

BCLC stage C hepatocellular carcinoma: modern therapeutic strategies in the age of immunotherapy

Victor Lopez-Lopez^{1,2#}, Ignacio Sánchez-Esquer^{1,2#}, Pablo Ramírez^{1,2}, Ricardo Robles-Campos^{1,2}

¹Department of General and Digestive Surgery, Virgen de la Arrixaca University Hospital, IMIB-Arrixaca, Murcia, Spain; ²Digestive and Endocrine Surgery and Transplantation of Abdominal Organs Research Group, Biomedical Research Institute of Murcia (IMIB), Murcia, Spain [#]These authors contributed equally to this work as co-first authors.

Correspondence to: Victor Lopez-Lopez, MD, PhD. Department of General and Digestive Surgery, Virgen de la Arrixaca University Hospital, IMIB-Arrixaca, Ctra. Madrid-Cartagena, s/n, El Palmar, 30120 Murcia, Spain; Digestive and Endocrine Surgery and Transplantation of Abdominal Organs Research Group, Biomedical Research Institute of Murcia (IMIB), Murcia, Spain. Email: victorrelopez@gmail.com; victor.lopez5@um.es.

Comment on: Zhang TQ, Geng ZJ, Zuo MX, *et al.* Camrelizumab (a PD-1 inhibitor) plus apatinib (an VEGFR-2 inhibitor) and hepatic artery infusion chemotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma in Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer stage C (TRIPLET): a phase II study. Signal Transduct Target Ther 2023;8:413.

Keywords: Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC); immunotherapy; hepatic arterial infusion chemotherapy (HAIC)

Submitted Mar 22, 2024. Accepted for publication Sep 29, 2024. Published online Oct 29, 2024. doi: 10.21037/jgo-24-37 View this article at: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jgo-24-37

A recent issue of *Signal Transduct Target Ther* provides a thought-provoking study by Zhang and colleagues about a phase II trial about the use of camrelizumab [a programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) inhibitor] plus apatinib [a vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 (VEGFR-2) inhibitor] and hepatic artery infusion chemotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer stage C (BCLC-C) (1). This trial contributes to the existing body of knowledge on treating patients with HCC in the BCLC-C category.

Primary liver malignancies ranked as the world's sixth most common cancer type and held the third highest position in cancer-related deaths (2,3). HCC represents 75–85% of all liver cancer cases. The last update by the BCLC group on prognosis and treatment strategies was recently published including some new recommendations, such as the use of transarterial radioembolization (TARE) in patients with a BLCL-B (4). The current approach for HCC involves classifying patients based on tumor burden and symptoms to predict prognosis. For patients classified as BCLC-C, systemic chemotherapy is often recommended. Even so, various effective treatment options are available for eligible patients in the first, second, and further lines of therapy (5).

Currently, the preferred initial treatment for unresectable

or metastatic HCC is the combination of atezolizumab [an anti-programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) agent] and bevacizumab [a vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) inhibitor] due to its enhanced survival advantages compared to sorafenib (6-8). This combination therapy shows increased PD-L1 on tumor cells and increased PD-1 expression on CD4⁺ cells (9). Recently, it has been demonstrated that the combination of durvalumab and tremelimumab outperforms sorafenib in response rate (20% compared to 6%) and overall survival (OS) (with a median of 16.4 vs. 13.8 months) (10). However, this combination did not show an impact on progression-free survival (PFS), with medians of 3.78 and 4.07 months respectively. Tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) like sorafenib or lenvatinib remain options when other treatments are unsuitable (5).

Different strategies with anti-PD-1 antibodies have been described in the treatment of HCC patients previously treated with sorafenib. The CheckMate 040 study investigated the effectiveness and safety of various dosages of nivolumab in 262 participants, some of whom had been previously treated with sorafenib with an objective response rate (ORR) of 15% in the dose-escalation phase and 20% in the dose-expansion phase with nivolumab treatment (11). Additionally, the median OS was observed to be 15 months in

the dose-expansion phase. Two phase III trials, CheckMate 459 and KEYNOTE-240 (12,13), exploring nivolumab (vs. sorafenib) as a first-line treatment (median OS: 16.4 vs. 14.7 months) and pembrolizumab (vs. placebo) as a second-line therapy (median OS: 13.9 vs. 10.6 months), did not demonstrate statistical significance for their primary endpoints. KEYNOTE-394 trial adds to this understanding, evaluated the effectiveness of pembrolizumab in Asian patients with advanced HCC who had prior treatment with sorafenib, compared to a placebo (14). The results indicated statistically significant enhancements in OS, as well as PFS and ORR. Another trial, KEYNOTE-524, showed that lenvatinib combined with pembrolizumab was effective and safe in treatment-naïve unresectable HCC, but this was only assessed in the first-line setting (15).

CARES-310 (16), an international phase 3 trial conducted across 95 sites in 13 countries, focused on patients with unresectable or metastatic HCC without prior systemic treatment. Participants were either treated with camrelizumab intravenously every two weeks and daily oral rivoceranib (formerly apatinib, a VEGFR-2 inhibitor), or with oral sorafenib twice daily. The camrelizumab-rivoceranib combination showed an improvement in median PFS (5.6 vs. 3.7 months) and OS (22.1 vs. 15.2 months) compared to sorafenib, positioning it as a potential first-line treatment for this patient group. Recently, a phase I study by Xu et al., and a phase II study called RESCUE (17), enrolled patients with advanced HCC, whether they were treatment-naïve or had been refractory/intolerant to first-line targeted therapy. The effectiveness and tolerability of the camrelizumab plus apatinib combination in the first-line group were similar to those observed with pembrolizumab plus lenvatinib and atezolizumab plus bevacizumab. Moreover, the efficacy of this combination strategy in the second-line group (ORR 22.5%) aligned with the Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved second-line combination, nivolumab plus ipilimumab [an anti-cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) antibody] (11). In Western countries, patients can be treated with a combination of nivolumab and ipilimumab. This regimen has demonstrated considerable effectiveness in early-phase trials, and its efficacy is awaiting confirmation from a phase III clinical trial that has already concluded (the CheckMate-9DW trial) (18).

Different randomized clinical trials have attempted to demonstrate the usefulness of different combinations of chemotherapy with the aim of improving oncologic outcomes in patients with advanced unresectable HCC. The addition of pembrolizumab to lenvatinib as first-line therapy for advanced HCC did not meet prespecified significance for improved OS and PFS vs. lenvatinib plus placebo (19). In the COSMIC-312 study, first-line cabozantinib plus atezolizumab did not improve OS vs. sorafenib (20). On the other hand, in RATIONALE-301 trial, tislelizumab demonstrated OS benefit that was noninferior vs. sorafenib, with a higher ORR, while median PFS was longer with sorafenib (21).

Hepatic arterial infusion chemotherapy (HAIC) is recognized for its direct delivery of chemotherapy to liver tumors, resulting in higher local drug concentration and reduced systemic side effects (22). At the moment, HAIC it is not currently a standard of treatment for patients with advanced HCC but combination treatment containing immunotherapy may be an area to develop. In a recent meta-analysis, the authors determined that for patients with inoperable HCC, HAIC may provide greater benefits than the conventional transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) therapy (23). The data showed that patients who were treated initially with HAIC experienced improved OS and enhanced PFS, when compared to those who underwent TACE.

In the treatment of advanced HCC, the use of hepatic arterial infusion chemotherapy of infusional fluorouracil, leucovorin, and oxaliplatin (HAIC-FO), combining oxaliplatin and fluorouracil, has been proposed by the Chinese Society of Clinical Oncology and the adapted Pan-Asiatic Guidelines of the European Society for Medical Oncology (24), especially when there is macrovascular invasion. A phase III trial, FOHAIC-1 (25), compared HAIC-FO and sorafenib in advanced HCC patients without prior systemic therapy. This study primarily included patients with significant intrahepatic tumor load and limited extrahepatic metastases and concluded that HAIC-FO was more effective than sorafenib in extending median OS (13.9 vs. 8.2 months). Tumor downstaging was observed in 16 patients (12.3% of 130) receiving HAIC-FO, including 15 who underwent curative surgery or ablation, resulting in a median OS of 20.8 months. He et al. (26) also reported similar downstaging benefits using HAIC-FOLFOX combined with sorafenib, and a study presented at the European Society for Medical Oncology in 2020 indicated higher resection rates in unresectable early- or intermediate-stage HCC patients treated with HAIC-FOLFOX (23.9%) compared to those receiving TACE (11.5%) (27). However, more research is needed to compare HAIC chemotherapy with the commonly used first-line immune checkpoint inhibitors, particularly in treating advanced high-risk HCC.

The TRIPLET study by Zhang et al. (1), explored the

Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology, Vol 15, No 5 October 2024

efficacy of combining HAIC-FOLFOX with camrelizumab and apatinib. This single-arm phase II trial primary endpoint was to assess the ORR and PFS. A total of 35 patients were enrolled in the study. The results showed a higher ORR of 77.1% and a prolonged PFS of 10.38 months compared to other studies such as RESCUE (17) or CARES-310 (16), and also reported a significant percentage of patients achieving disease downstaging and candidates for curative treatments (17.1%) compared to FOHAIC-1 (12.3%) (25) or HAIC-FOLFOX (26) (12.8%).

Moreover, TRIPLET included patients with high-risk features like portal vein tumor thrombus and large tumor size, showing promising response rates in this subgroup. The study also assessed patient quality of life, noting an initial decline followed by improvement over time. Although there was a transient decline in patient quality of life within the initial four treatment cycles, it generally improved thereafter. Interestingly, it appeared that the improvement of quality of life (QoL) coincided with the control of disease. In addition, TRIPLET showed that the triple therapy could be well-tolerated, with adverse events aligning with known safety profiles.

As the authors point out, there are several limitations in this study that need to be considered. First, it is a phase II clinical trial without a control group, and that difficult to definitively attribute the observed improvements to the combined systemic therapy following HAIC. The sample size is limited and there is a possibility to concerns overestimating the ORR. To further evaluate the effectiveness of the triple therapy, a phase 3 randomized controlled trial is underway, comparing it with the combination of camrelizumab and apatinib in patients with HCC at BCLC-C. In addition, an important aspect of the TRIPLET study, in terms of its applicability to the global HCC population, is its focus on patients primarily infected with the hepatitis B virus (HBV), which is a leading cause of HCC in China. This contrasts with Europe, where HCC is more frequently linked to other causes like alcohol-related liver disease.

It is important to emphasize that the use of HAIC can be associated with liver function deterioration thus precluding the use of systemic agents (TKIs, immune checkpoint inhibitors or anti-VEGF) that require a preserved liver function (usually Child-Pugh A class). In future clinical trials, combination therapies using HAIC should be compared with a combination treatment strategy based on TKIs and immune checkpoint inhibitors (without HAIC). This combination has recently shown very promising efficacy and safety, in a comprehensive review analyzing the pathogenetic rationale of this combination treatment strategy and the risk of adverse events (immune-related adverse events or risk of liver function impairment), which requires optimal patient selection (28). This is a crucial point for future clinical trials comparing OS (which could also be affected by impaired liver function) and safety of treatment strategies with and without HAIC. In conclusion, the TRIPLET study demonstrates promising outcomes, surpassing those of preceding studies, by employing an innovative treatment combination that previously lacked extensive evidence. This leads us to consider the possibility of a new first-line therapeutic approach for treating the condition. However, further phase 3 clinical trial are needed to confirm these promising results.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*. The article has undergone external peer review.

Peer Review File: Available at https://jgo.amegroups.org/ article/view/10.21037/jgo-24-37/prf

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at https://jgo.amegroups. org/article/view/10.21037/jgo-24-37/coif). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

Lopez-Lopez et al. HCC and inmunotherapy

References

- Zhang TQ, Geng ZJ, Zuo MX, et al. Camrelizumab (a PD-1 inhibitor) plus apatinib (an VEGFR-2 inhibitor) and hepatic artery infusion chemotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma in Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer stage C (TRIPLET): a phase II study. Signal Transduct Target Ther 2023;8:413.
- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, et al. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2021;71:209-49.
- Singal AG, Kanwal F, Llovet JM. Global trends in hepatocellular carcinoma epidemiology: implications for screening, prevention and therapy. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2023;20:864-84.
- Reig M, Forner A, Rimola J, et al. BCLC strategy for prognosis prediction and treatment recommendation: The 2022 update. J Hepatol 2022;76:681-93.
- Bruix J, Chan SL, Galle PR, et al. Systemic treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma: An EASL position paper. J Hepatol 2021;75:960-74.
- Finn RS, Qin S, Ikeda M, et al. Atezolizumab plus Bevacizumab in Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma. N Engl J Med 2020;382:1894-905.
- Cheng AL, Kang YK, Chen Z, et al. Efficacy and safety of sorafenib in patients in the Asia-Pacific region with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: a phase III randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet Oncol 2009;10:25-34.
- Llovet JM, Ricci S, Mazzaferro V, et al. Sorafenib in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. N Engl J Med 2008;359:378-90.
- Shigeta K, Datta M, Hato T, et al. Dual Programmed Death Receptor-1 and Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Receptor-2 Blockade Promotes Vascular Normalization and Enhances Antitumor Immune Responses in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Hepatology 2020;71:1247-61.
- Abou-Alfa GK, Chan SL, Kudo M, et al. Phase 3 randomized, open-label, multicenter study of tremelimumab (T) and durvalumab (D) as first-line therapy in patients (pts) with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (uHCC): HIMALAYA. J Clin Oncol 2022;40:abstr 379.
- Yau T, Kang YK, Kim TY, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Nivolumab Plus Ipilimumab in Patients With Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma Previously Treated With Sorafenib: The CheckMate 040 Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Oncol 2020;6:e204564.

- Finn RS, Ryoo BY, Merle P, et al. Pembrolizumab As Second-Line Therapy in Patients With Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma in KEYNOTE-240: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Phase III Trial. J Clin Oncol 2020;38:193-202.
- Yau T, Park JW, Finn RS, et al. LBA38_PR CheckMate 459: A randomized, multi-center phase III study of nivolumab (NIVO) vs sorafenib (SOR) as first-line (1L) treatment in patients (pts) with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (aHCC). Ann Oncol 2019;30:v874-5.
- 14. Qin S, Chen Z, Fang W, et al. Pembrolizumab plus best supportive care versus placebo plus best supportive care as second-line therapy in patients in Asia with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC): Phase 3 KEYNOTE-394 study. J Clin Oncol 2022;40:abstr 383.
- Finn RS, Ikeda M, Zhu AX, et al. Phase Ib Study of Lenvatinib Plus Pembrolizumab in Patients With Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma. J Clin Oncol 2020;38:2960-70.
- Qin S, Chan SL, Gu S, et al. Camrelizumab plus rivoceranib versus sorafenib as first-line therapy for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (CARES-310): a randomised, open-label, international phase 3 study. Lancet 2023;402:1133-46.
- Xu J, Shen J, Gu S, et al. Camrelizumab in Combination with Apatinib in Patients with Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma (RESCUE): A Nonrandomized, Open-label, Phase II Trial. Clin Cancer Res 2021;27:1003-11.
- Ducreux M, Abou-Alfa GK, Bekaii-Saab T, et al. The management of hepatocellular carcinoma. Current expert opinion and recommendations derived from the 24th ESMO/World Congress on Gastrointestinal Cancer, Barcelona, 2022. ESMO Open 2023;8:101567.
- Llovet JM, Kudo M, Merle P, et al. Lenvatinib plus pembrolizumab versus lenvatinib plus placebo for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (LEAP-002): a randomised, double-blind, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2023;24:1399-410.
- Kelley RK, Rimassa L, Cheng AL, et al. Cabozantinib plus atezolizumab versus sorafenib for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (COSMIC-312): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2022;23:995-1008.
- Qin S, Finn RS, Kudo M, et al. RATIONALE 301 study: tislelizumab versus sorafenib as first-line treatment for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. Future Oncol 2019;15:1811-22.
- 22. Ueshima K, Ogasawara S, Ikeda M, et al. Hepatic Arterial

Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology, Vol 15, No 5 October 2024

Infusion Chemotherapy versus Sorafenib in Patients with Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Liver Cancer 2020;9:583-95.

- Si T, Huang Z, Khorsandi SE, et al. Hepatic arterial infusion chemotherapy versus transarterial chemoembolization for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: A systematic review with meta-analysis. Front Bioeng Biotechnol 2022;10:1010824.
- 24. Chen LT, Martinelli E, Cheng AL, et al. Pan-Asian adapted ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of patients with intermediate and advanced/ relapsed hepatocellular carcinoma: a TOS-ESMO initiative endorsed by CSCO, ISMPO, JSMO, KSMO, MOS and SSO. Ann Oncol 2020;31:334-51.
- 25. Lyu N, Wang X, Li JB, et al. Arterial Chemotherapy of Oxaliplatin Plus Fluorouracil Versus Sorafenib in

Cite this article as: Lopez-Lopez V, Sánchez-Esquer I, Ramírez P, Robles-Campos R. BCLC stage C hepatocellular carcinoma: modern therapeutic strategies in the age of immunotherapy. J Gastrointest Oncol 2024;15(5):2367-2371. doi: 10.21037/jgo-24-37 Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Biomolecular Exploratory, Randomized, Phase III Trial (FOHAIC-1). J Clin Oncol 2022;40:468-80.

- 26. He M, Li Q, Zou R, et al. Sorafenib Plus Hepatic Arterial Infusion of Oxaliplatin, Fluorouracil, and Leucovorin vs Sorafenib Alone for Hepatocellular Carcinoma With Portal Vein Invasion: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Oncol 2019;5:953-60.
- Li QJ, He MK, Chen HW, et al. Hepatic Arterial Infusion of Oxaliplatin, Fluorouracil, and Leucovorin Versus Transarterial Chemoembolization for Large Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Randomized Phase III Trial. J Clin Oncol 2022;40:150-60.
- Stefanini B, Ielasi L, Chen R, et al. TKIs in combination with immunotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma. Expert Rev Anticancer Ther 2023;23:279-91.