

-
-

ABSTRACT

 Chromosomal inversions are an important class of genetic variation that link multiple alleles together into a single inherited block that can have important e7ects on fitness. To study the role of large inversions in the massive evolutionary radiation of Lake Malawi cichlids, we used long-read technologies to identify four single and two tandem inversions that span half of each respective chromosome, and which together encompass over 10% of the genome. Each inversion is fixed in one of the two states within the seven major ecogroups, suggesting they played a role in the separation of the major lake lineages into specific lake habitats. One exception is within the benthic *sub-radiation, where both inverted and non-inverted alleles continue to segregate within the group. The evolutionary histories of three of the six inversions suggest they transferred from the pelagic* Diplotaxodon *group into* benthic *ancestors at the time the* benthic *sub- radiation was seeded. The remaining three inversions are found in a subset of* benthic *species living in deep waters. We show that some of these inversions are used as XY sex-determination systems but are also likely limited to a subset of total lake species. Our work suggests that inversions have been under both sexual and natural selection in Lake Malawi cichlids and that they will be important to understanding how this adaptive radiation evolved.*

INTRODUCTION

 Large genomic inversions are a particularly interesting type of genetic variation in the evolutionary 46 process¹⁻⁴. These rearrangements of DNA sequence strongly suppress recombination between inverted and non-inverted alleles, enabling the capture and accumulation of small genetic variants that are linked 48 together on each inversion haplotype⁵. These alternative haplotypes largely follow independent 49 evolutionary paths within a species, accumulating new mutations that can affect phenotypes under natural and sexual selection. Structural rearrangements can be large, spanning multiple megabases of 51 DNA containing hundreds of genes^{2,6}. They have been shown to play a role in adaptive divergence within a 52 variety of species⁷⁻¹², in creating alternative reproductive strategies and mating types¹³⁻¹⁶, in evolution of 53 sex chromosomes^{1,17}, and in the formation of pre- and post-zygotic barriers between incipient species^{18,19}.

 When comparing the genomes of extant species, chromosomal inversions are often found as fixed 55 differences, suggesting they might have an important role in speciation^{20–22}. However, the forces responsible for establishing inversions remain obscure. Do they play a role in adaptation to new ecological niches exploited by incipient species? Do they carry genetic variants that create prezygotic barriers that drive speciation? Can they resolve sexual conflict, linking sex-specific alleles to sex determining loci to 59 create sexually dimorphic species? Perhaps most likely, they play roles in many or all these processes 23,24 .

60 Few vertebrates offer as much potential for investigating evolution and speciation as the *Cichlidae* family of fish, one of the most species-rich and diverse families of vertebrates, with an estimated 2,000-3,000 62 species across the globe $25-27$. Evolutionary radiations have occurred at least three times in the African Great Lakes (Lake Malawi, Lake Tanganyika, and Lake Victoria). In Lake Malawi, more than 800 species of 64 cichlids evolved in the past 1.2 million years^{26,28-30}. Speciation in cichlid fishes involved extremely high levels of phenotypic divergence, including changes in body shape, jaw structures, and feeding behaviors 66 related to prey in their ecological niches^{25,31}. Additionally, traits that create prezygotic barriers between species are also extremely diverse. Color patterns are quite dramatic and diverse among species and 68 include both differences in color and species-specific patterns including bars, stripes, and blotches that 69 are thought to reinforce barriers between species in sympatry³². In some species, mating is seasonal, with gatherings on 'leks' where males build bowers by scooping and spitting out sand over the course of a week 71 or more³³. Females choose mates based upon features of these bowers including shape and size³⁴. Most

- of the Lake Malawi species can be induced to interbreed in the lab, providing the opportunity to use genetic
- approaches to study causality of associated changes. Despite the presence of these prezygotic barriers, 74 substantial gene flow has occurred multiple times within the lake^{29,35} and hybridization has been proposed
- 75 to seed radiations within the African Great Lakes $27,36,37$.
- Our current understanding of how the Lake Malawi radiation created >800 species is a set of three serial diversifications, starting from a single riverine-like ancestor lineage, that created seven major ecogroups 78 separated primarily by habitat²⁹. A pelagic lineage separated first, further diversifying into a deep-water ecogroup (*Diplotaxodon*) and a mid-water ecogroup (*Rhamphochromis*). A muddy/sandy benthic lineage evolved from the ancestral riverine lineage next, which split into three ecogroups (collectively referred to as *benthics*) living either in the water column (*utaka*), over shallow sandy/muddy shores (*shallow benthics*), or in deep-water habitats (*deep benthics*). Finally, an ecogroup living over rocky habitats evolved (*mbuna*). The riverine generalist *Astatotilapia calliptera (AC)* living in the border regions of the lake and surrounding rivers, is the seventh ecogroup*,* and is thought to represent the ancestral lineage that seeded the three primary Lake Malawi lineages. Subsequent radiations within these ecogroups based on trophic 86 specializations and sexual selection further diversified the species flock 29,31 .
- Here, we investigate the role large inversions played in the Lake Malawi radiation using new large molecule 88 technologies. We identify four single large inversions and two double inversions, ranging from 9.9Mb to 20.6Mb in size. A fifth single inversion, composed of just one of the two tandem inversions on chromosome 20, was also identified, indicating a serial set of rearrangements created this structural variant. These inversions primarily segregate by ecogroup, with no inversions found in the *mbuna* and *AC* samples, one inversion fixed in *Rhamphochromis*, three inversions fixed in *Diplotaxodon*, and all six segregating within the *benthic* sub-radiation. The evolutionary histories of these inversions are inconsistent with the species phylogeny and suggest that the three *Diplotaxodon* inversions spread into the *benthics* via hybridization around the time the benthic lineage diverged from the ancestral riverine species. The three additional inversions are found primarily in *benthic* species living in deep water habitats. We provide evidence that three of the inversions are involved in sex determination in a subset of *benthic* species. Our work provides a framework for understanding the role of inversions in the adaptive radiation of Lake Malawi cichlids, and suggests multiple roles in the establishment of ecogroups, adaptation to deep water habitats, and in controlling traits under sexual selection.

RESULTS

- *Improvement of the* Metriaclima zebra *reference*
- The *mbuna*, *Metriaclima zebra*, was the first species sequenced as a reference genome for Lake Malawi 104 cichlids³⁸. The current version of its genome, called M zebra UMD2a, has been created by assembling PacBio reads into contigs which were anchored to 22 chromosomes using recombinant maps generated 106 using crosses between different Lake Malawi species³⁹. While the overall quality of this reference is high compared to other non-model organisms, a total of 20.5% of the DNA was not anchored to a chromosome. Additionally, the orientation of contigs can be ambiguous given how contigs were anchored to linkage groups using recombinant maps. These imperfections could complicate inversion discovery, so we first 110 sought to improve the reference sequence.
- The Bionano Saphyr system generates large (50 kb 1 Mb) DNA molecules and labels a specific motif 112 (CTTAAG) with a fluorescent probe⁴⁰. While these molecules do not provide sequencing information, the distance between observed fluorescent loci can be used to assemble multiple molecules into large maps, with lengths spanning up to an entire chromosome. Comparison of these maps with an *in-silico* digest of the reference sequence identifies large structural changes in the observed sample or errors in the reference genome. We processed DNA collected from the blood of three *Metriaclima zebra* individuals

 using the Bionano pipeline and assembled DNA molecules into optical maps. We identified many discrepancies between the observed optical maps and the M_zebra_UMD2a assembly (**Figure 1**). These 119 differences fall into two primary categories: 1) contigs that were incorrectly ordered or oriented and 2) unplaced contigs that Bionano anchored to specific chromosomes. The first category is consistent with errors in the assembly caused by ambiguity in placing contigs into a linkage group using recombination maps. Our results indicate that 178 of the contigs are incorrectly oriented and 133 of the unanchored contigs could be placed onto specific chromosomes.

 To further improve the reference, we used PacBio HiFi reads generated from an additional male*Metriaclima zebra* individual to create a new genome assembly, taking advantage of the low overall error rate (< 0.1%) of HiFi reads compared to previous generation PacBio technologies. Contigs generated from these data were combined with Bionano maps to generate a new hybrid genome assembly, which we refer to as the 128 M zebra GT3a assembly. The overall quality of the assembly was excellent, with 933Mb of 962 Mb of DNA assigned to the 22 linkage groups, (**Table S1**) reducing the amount of unplaced contigs from 196 Mb to 28 130 Mb. The N50 length was 32.542Mb, with many of the scaffolds containing entire chromosomes.

Identification of six large inversions from 11 species of Lake Malawi cichlids

133 Armed with the improved reference, we used the Bionano system to test eight different species of Lake Malawi cichlids that were currently used for experimental studies in the Streelman or McGrath laboratories (**Table 1 and Table S2**). We tested four *mbuna* species (*Pseudotropheus demasoni*, *Cynotilapia zebroides*, *Labeotropheus fuelleborni, and Labeotropheus trewavasae),* two *shallow benthic* species (*Mchenga conophoros* and *Nyassachromis prostoma* "Orange Cap"), a single *deep benthic* species (*Aulonocara sp. 'chitande type north' Nkhata Bay*), and a single *utaka* species (*Copidachromis virginalis)*. To expand our coverage of the Lake Malawi radiation, we also obtained samples for a single species of the *Diplotaxodon* ecogroup, *Diplotaxodon limnothrissa,* and a single species of the *Rhamphochromis* ecogroup, *Rhamphochromis longiceps*. Finally, we also tested a single male *Protomelas taeniolatus shallow benthic*

142
143

individual based on its position in principal component analysis of SNVs described below. In total, we were 144 able to obtain samples from 6 of the 7 ecogroups, excluding *Astatotilapia calliptera.*

 From these 31 individuals, we identified six new inversions ranging from 9.9 - 22.9 Mb in size (**Figure 2**): a single inversion on chromosomes 2, 10, 11, and 13, and a double inversion on chromosomes 11 and 20. Interestingly, regarding the double inversion on chromosome 20, we also identified four *Rhamphochromis* individuals that carried only the first inversion, lacking the 4.1 Mb long second part of this rearrangement 149 (**Figure 2**). This indicates that the tandem inversions on 20 were formed by at least a two-part process, 150 most parsimoniously with the left arm of the inversion (20a) forming first followed by the right inversion 151 (20b) occurring in that genetic background.

 The distribution within these species is summarized in **Table 1**. The most common structural rearrangements were the single inversion on chromosome 9, the double inversion on chromosome 11, and the double inversion on chromosome 20. These were found in a combination of *benthic* and *Diplotaxodon* individuals. The position of these three inversions were qualitatively consistent with regions of low recombination rate previously identified in an intercross between a *mbuna* and *benthic* individual, as 157 expected, since inversions suppress recombination between inverted and non-inverted haplotypes²². The remaining three inversions (2, 10, and 13) were only found in the *Aulonocara sp. 'chitande type north' Nkhata Bay* individuals. While for the majority of samples the inversion genotype was homozygous, for five samples optical maps supported both inverted and non-inverted haplotypes, indicating the inversions were heterozygous in those individuals (**Figure S1**).

 To provide further support for these structural rearrangements, we generated *de novo* hybrid genome assemblies of three *Aulonocara sp. 'chitande type north' Nkhata Bay* individuals, which carry all six of the identified inversions, and two additional *Metriaclima zebra* individuals using a combination of PacBio HiFi reads and Bionano maps. The overall quality of these assemblies is high, with large N50 values and a small number of individual contigs (**Table S3**). We performed whole genome alignments between the five new

 assemblies and the GT3a reference genome (**Figure S2-S7**). These alignments show that the new assemblies support the presence of all six inversions in the *Aulonocara sp. 'chitande type north' Nkhata Bay* individuals. For most inversions, a single scaffold covered the entire inversion with breakpoints in locations consistent with the Bionano maps. The two new control assemblies were consistent with the 171 M zebra GT3a reference and did not show any evidence of inversions in those regions. In other regions of 172 the genome, however, there were differences in alignment of the M_zebra_GT3a assembly and the *Metriaclima zebra* genomes, most prominently in the left arm of chromosome 2 (**Figure 2**) and in the highly repetitive chromosome 3, which likely indicate some errors in the M_zebra_GT3a assembly.

- We refined the location of the breakpoints for each inversion using the genomic alignments. However, the 176 presence of a large amount of repetitive DNA in regions near the breakpoints prevented us from defining the inversion boundaries at single base pair resolution.
- We also took advantage of a genome assembly for a *Rhamphochromis sp 'chilingali'* individual (fRhaChi2.1) created by the Darwin Tree of Life Project produced using PacBio data and Arima2 Hi-C data (Accession PRJEB72870). The genome alignment between the fRhaChi2.1 assembly and the GT3a assembly confirmed a single inversion on chromosome 20, consistent with the Bionano data (**Figure S2- S7)**.
- For each inversion, we determined the ancestral haplotype using two species as outgroups, *Oreochromis niloticus* and *Pundamilia nyererei. Oreochromis niloticus,* or Nile tilapia, is an important food fish that's estimated to have diverged from Lake Malawi cichlids 14.1 to 30 million years ago while *Pundamilia nyererei* is a more closely related haplochromine found in Lake Victoria^{38,41}. For this analysis we used a 187 published whole genome assembly for *Oreochromis niloticus* (UMD NMBU)³⁹ and generated a hybrid 188 genome assembly for *Pundamilia nyererei* using a previously published whole genome assembly³⁸ combined with Bionano maps we produced from a single individual (**Figure S8**). For all six inversions, the reference haplotype found in the GT3a assembly represents the ancestral state.
- *Inference of the segregation of these inversions in Lake Malawi using short-read sequencing*
- The distribution of these inversions within the lake can provide important information to their function. Genotyping inversions using short read sequencing is possible because each haplotype is on an independent evolutionary trajectory due to the suppression of recombination. Population genetics approaches take advantage of the fact that inversions create patterns of inheritance between SNVs that 196 are readily detected by approaches such as Principal Component Analysis (PCA) 42 .
- We analyzed short read sequencing data from the 31 samples with Bionano data along with 297 wild 198 individuals published by the Durbin lab²⁹, 15 wild individuals published by the Streelman lab 30,43 , and 22 wild individuals sequenced for this paper, aligning reads and calling small variants against our new M_zebra_GT3a reference genome. PCA analysis was used to analyze the major axes of variation across the whole genome and within the six inversions. We separately analyzed the left and right inversions on 11 and 20 (the two inversions on 11 were identical in their distribution). For the whole genome, the distribution of ecogroups within the first two principal components matched the previously reported results of Malinsky et al29 . Individuals from four of the ecogroups - *Rhamphochromis*, *Diplotaxodon*, *Astatotilapia callipter*a, 205 and *mbuna* - formed four distinct clusters (Figure 3A and Figure S9). A fifth, more diffuse cluster, composed of *shallow benthics*, *deep benthics*, and *utakas*, was also present. The broader distribution of the *benthic* ecogroups in PC space is consistent with both their recent separation as well as large amounts $\,$ of gene flow thought to have occurred between these different species $^{29,35}.$
- The PCA plots using the SNVs within the six inversions often violated the pattern of the whole genome PCA (**Figure 3A** and **Figure S10-S17**). Using samples that were sequenced with both Illumina and Bionano

species that were genotyped and the estimated number of species that live in Lake Malawi are listed in the whole-genome phylogeny (left).

211 technologies, we assigned each cluster to specific inversion genotypes (**Table S2**). We did not have 212 Bionano data for two clusters (one on 9 and one on 11 – see **Figures S11, S13, and S14**), so we

 supplemented our dataset by adding Bionano data from an additional *shallow benthic* individual (*Protomelas taeniolatus*) that fell into both clusters. The PCA clusters varied in their complexities for each inversion depending largely on their distribution within the *benthic* ecogroup (more on this below) and included both homozygous genotypes and heterozygous genotypes. We summarize the overall distribution of the inversions within each of the ecogroups in **Figure 3B**.

 All specimens of the *mbuna* and *AC* ecogroup were fixed for the homozygous non-inverted alleles for all six inversions. In *Rhamphochromis*, all the inversions were homozygous non-inverted except for the left arm of 20 which was fixed for the single inversion state. The *Diplotaxodon* individuals all carried the non-inverted alleles for the inversions on 2, 10 and 13 and were fixed for the inversions on 9, 11, and 20.

 The distribution of these inversions was the most complicated within the benthics. The inversions on 2, 10, and 13, which were identified in *Aulonocara* sp. 'chitande type north' Nkhata Bay, were mostly found together in other *deep benthics*, including the *Alticorpus* (*geo7reyi*, *macrocleithrum*, *peterdaviesi*), *Aulonocara* (*blue chilumba*, *gold* and *minutus)*, and *Lethrinops* (*gossei, longimanus 'redhead', and sp. olivera*) genera. The inversions on 2 and 13 were also found in the shallow benthic species *Placidochromis longimanus*. However, not all the *deep benthics* carried the three inversions, including five Aulonocara species (*baenschi*, *getrudae*, *steveni*, *stuartgranti*, and *stuartgranti* Maisoni*)* and a single Lethrinops species (*longipinnis*). The distribution of these three inversions in *benthic* species known to inhabit the deepest habitats are suggestive for a role in depth adaptation.

 The double inversion on 20 was homozygous inverted in all benthic individuals. For the single inversion on 9, many *benthics* were homozygous for the inverted haplotype. However, an additional PC cluster was present, composed of 64 *shallow benthic* and *utaka* individuals heterozygous for the non-inverted haplotype (**Figure S1**). Interestingly, no *benthic* individuals were identified as homozygous for the non-inverted state.

 Finally, the distribution of the chromosome 11 double inversion was also determined. Individuals of all three genotypes (homozygous non-inverted, homozygous inverted, and heterozygous) were observed (**Figure 3a**).

Evolutionary history of 9,11, and 20 suggest introgression from Diplotaxodon *into benthic ancestors*

 The distribution of these inversions conflicts with the species tree, suggesting that these inversions could have spread via hybridization. To determine their evolutionary history, we built phylogenies for the whole genome as well as for each inversion using SNVs that fell within each of the six inversions using representative species from each ecogroup (73 total – **Table S2**) as well as two previously sequenced *Pundamilia nyererei* individuals as an outgroup (**Figure 4A** and **Figures S18 – S25**) 44,45 . To avoid issues with haplotype phasing, we focused on individuals that were homozygous for one of the two inverted genotypes.

 While the whole genome phylogeny matched the expected topology based upon our understanding of the 247 Lake Malawi species tree, the topologies of each of the inversions showed key differences between the separation of ecogroups. The *benthic* group often split into separate clades, correlated with their inversion 249 genotype. Prominently, we found the three inversions on 9, 11, and 20 showed key differences in the relationship between the *Diplotaxodon* and *benthic* ecogroups. While *Diplotaxodon* is a sister group to *Rhamphochromis* at the species level, for these three inversions, the *Diplotaxodon* individuals formed a clade with the *benthics* that also carried the inversion. Additionally, genetic distance between *Diplotaxodon* and *benthics* carrying the inversion was also much smaller than the rest of the genome (**Figure 4B**). Both the phylogenies and genetic distances between ecogroups are consistent with the introgression of the 9, 11, and 20 inversions from the *Diplotaxodon* to *benthic* ancestors at the time of the benthic radiation.

whole genome SNVs (top) or within the 9, 11, and 20 inversions. Between 2-25 representative samples were selected for each ecogroup (see **Table S2**) and individuals that were heterozygous were excluded from this analysis. Clades were collapsed by ecogroup for visualization purposes. Full phylogenies are presented in **Figures S18** to **S25**. The whole genome tree indicates *Diplotaxodon* and *Rhamphochromis* species split first and formed their own clade. For each of the displayed inversions, the first split was between samples carrying the inverted vs. normal genotypes. Additionally, the *Diplotaxodon* individuals now formed a clade with the *benthics* that carry the inversion. (**B**) Density plots of d_{XY} values comparing *benthic* species and species of the indicated ecogroup. For the displayed inversions, the *Diplotaxodon* species were much closer in evolutionary distance when compared to the whole genome plot.

257
258

258 *A role for large inversions in sex determination within the* benthic *ecogroup*

259 The evolution of sex determination is often associated with the presence of inversions to repress 260 recombination between the sex chromosomes $46,47$. We tested whether these inversions could play a role

261 in sex determination in *benthic* species, as we identified individuals that were heterozygous for some of 264 these inversions (**Table 1**). We started with the inversion on 10, as our Bionano data identified three male 267 *Aulonocara sp. 'chitande type north'* **Nkhata Bay individuals that were** heterozygous and a single female that was homozygous for the inverted haplotype. To test whether this inversion acted as an XY system in this species, we tested two separate broods (Brood 1; 2 parents, 24 offspring and Brood 2: 2 parents, 24 offspring) currently growing in the laboratory, sequencing 12 males and 12 females from each brood with Illumina paired-end sequencing. We used PCA to genotype each of the 52 animals (4 parents and 48 offspring) for the chromosome 10 inversion genotype. The association in the offspring between the inversion 10 genotype and sex was almost perfect: 24 females and 1 male were homozygous for the inversion, while 23 males were heterozygous for the inversion (**Figure 5B**).

We also used binned Weir Cockerham F_{st} analysis, grouping male and female individuals to scan the genome for regions that were associated with sex (Figure 5C). The inversion on 10 was the region of the genome that was in zoo strongest linkage disequilibrium with

297 sex. Males also had a much higher heterozygosity in this region than females (**Figure 5D**). Altogether, these 298 results indicate that the inversion on 10 acts as an XY sex determiner in the *Aulonocara sp. 'chitande type* 299 *north' Nkhata Bay* species.

 We also tested eight laboratory *Nyassachromis prostoma* "Orange Cap" individuals, as our Bionano data identified a male that was heterozygous for the inversion on 11 and a female that was homozygous for the inversion. We performed short-read sequencing on three males and five females growing in the lab, genotyping the inversion using PCA analysis. All three males were heterozygous for the inversion while the five females were homozygous, a significant association between genotype and sex (Fisher exact test p- value = 0.0179). This suggests a separate XY system segregates in *Nyassachromis prostoma* "Orange Cap" using the inversion on chromosome 11.

307 Finally, for the inversion on nine, there was a cluster of heterozygous individuals that included 7 individuals 308 that we had sex information for. All 7 of these individuals were male (**Table S2**). While this association is 309 not significant, it is also suggestive that the inversion on 9 could play a role in sex determination as well.

310 **DISCUSSION**

 Here, we characterize the presence of large inversions found in the Lake Malawi cichlid flock, identifying four single inversions and two double inversions, providing a framework to address the role structural variants played in this lake's adaptive radiation (**Figure 6**). Inversions can have multiple roles in the evolutionary process: 1) they can capture beneficial alleles responsible for adaptation to local habitats, 2) they can capture alleles that create pre- and post-zygotic barriers that prevent gene flow during speciation, and 3) they can capture alleles that influence sex-specific phenotypes that are linked to sex-determination 317 systems^{1,3,4}. Future work is now possible to determine how these inversions contribute to the evolution of Lake Malawi cichlids through these mechanisms.

210 The overall size of these inversions is large, together capturing >10% of the 210139112 | genome (~112 Mb). Apart from the benthics, the inversions are fixed in each ecogroup in the inverted or noninverted state. Such a distribution would be expected in the local adaptation hypothesis if they were involved in the adaptation of these ecogroups to their respective lake habitats. In this scenario, the inversions would be under selection prior to speciation, and would play roles in: 1) the split of the pelagic group from the ancestral riverine lineage (the left arm of the inversion on 20), 2) the diversification of the 335 *Rhamphochromis* and *Diplotaxodon* 336 groups (the inversions on 9, 11, and right arm of 20), and 3) the adaptation of inversions on 2, 10, and 13). The role of inversions in local adaptation between spatially separated populations has been well characterized^{6,8}. If two populations are undergoing adaptive divergence in the presence of migration

345 and gene flow, theory indicates that inversions will be selected for when they capture and link adaptive 346 alleles together 48 . Given gene flow was likely common during the formation of the ecogroups 49 , we expect 347 inversions that captured adaptive alleles that increase fitness in a habitat-specific context to feature 348 prominently in ecogroup inception. This hypothesis predicts that adaptive alleles for each ecogroup are 349 enriched within the inversions, which can be tested in Lake Malawi cichlids, as different species can 350 interbreed to test whether the inversions are associated with differences in phenotypes.

351 While the role of the inversions in most of the ecogroups could potentially be explained by local adaptation, 352 their role in the benthic sub-radiation appears to be more complicated. Inverted and non-inverted haplotypes for five of the six inversions are segregating within this group. Additionally, the presence of the 9, 11, and 20 inversions within *benthics* violates the whole species phylogeny and is consistent with its spread from the *Diplotaxodon* to a very early *benthic* ancestor via introgression between ancestors of these two groups. Hybridization has been proposed to fuel adaptive radiations of cichlids in all three great African lakes (Malawi, Tanganyika, and Victoria) and our work suggests that an ancient hybridization event between early *benthic* and *Diplotaxodon* ancestors occurred prior to the benthic radiation resulting in the 3 inversions (as well as other regions of the genome) spreading into what ultimately became the *benthic* 360 ecogroup^{26,27,36}. It will be interesting to study the role of these three inversions in *benthic* species. *Diplotaxodon* are pelagic, deep-water dwellers that feed on plankton or small fish while *benthics* live near 362 the shore over sandy and muddy habitats²⁹. It is not obvious how the traits of the *Diplotaxodon* would benefit *benthic* animals that live in such dissimilar environments. However, the initial habitats that the *benthic* ancestors utilized is not known and potentially their initial habitat was in deeper waters than the *AC* ancestors. Whether or not these inversions were involved in the benthic radiations, they are not strictly required for large radiations as the *mbuna* group, with an estimated >300 species*,* carries zero large 367 inversions²⁹. This could reflect the relative importance of allopatric vs. sympatric speciation in the two radiations, as the rocky habitats the *mbuna* inhabit are often spatially separated throughout the lake.

- The inversions we identified also play a role in sex determination in the *benthics*, with at least three of the inversions (9, 10, and 11) acting as XY systems to control sex determination. Despite the importance of the maintenance of distinct, reproductively compatible sexes, sex determination systems can be 372 evolutionarily labile, and Lake Malawi cichlids show exceptional variability, with at least 5 different genetic 373 sex determination systems described to date 50,51 . While we implicated multiple inversions in sex determination, our work also underscores how dynamic sex determination is within the *benthics*. While 375 many benthic *species* carry the different inversions, their genotypic distribution in most species is 376 inconsistent with a role for sex determination. Effectively, the genetic determination of sex determination largely must be determined in a species by species way, and individual species may be segregating multiple sex determination systems. Additionally, these inversions could have played a role in sex determination in the ancestors of pelagic ecogroups, which could have contributed to their spread before sex chromosome turnover ended balancing selection on the inverted/non-inverted haplotypes and subsequent fixation of these inversions. Identification of the causal alleles for sex determination on these inversions will allow us to resolve this question.
- The presence of non-inverted haplotypes in the *benthics* could have been retained from the time the lineage initially formed, with both haplotypes under balancing selection if they were used for sex determination. A recent preprint, however, which identified 5 of the 6 inversions presented here via short-386 read sequencing of 1375 individuals sampled from Lake Malawi, presented an alternative model⁵². They proposed the non-inverted haplotypes of the 9 and 10 inversions were introgressed back into the *benthics* from riverine species within and outside of the lake. Similarly, the non-inverted haplotypes on chromosomes 2, 10, and 13 in the *deep benthics* were introgressed from *shallow benthics*. In this model, it is unclear what evolutionary forces drove these back introgressions of the non-inverted alleles and when they developed a role in sex determination.
- The selective forces on sex chromosomes are strong, including balancing selection for sex ratio and sexually antagonistic selection on sexually dimorphic phenotypes. Lake Malawi cichlids show amazing sex 394 differences, characterized by diversification in numerous phenotypes, including pigmentation, behavior, and morphology thought to contribute to species barriers. The use of inversions in sex-determination allows for the accumulation of alleles in linkage with the sex-determiner gene which will have sex-specific 397 effects in the male individuals that carry the Y chromosomes. Interestingly in all three cases, the non-398 inverted haplotype acts as the Y, suggesting that different haplotypes could play independent roles in

 evolution. For example, the inversion X haplotype on 10 could carry alleles responsible for adaptation to deep water habits, which would be carried by both sexes, while the non-inverted Y haplotype carries alleles under sexual selection.

 This report provides an initial framework for understanding the role of inversions in the adaptive radiation of Lake Malawi cichlids. Future work identifying and characterizing causal alleles captured within these inversions will enable us to discern the specific mechanisms by which they contribute to speciation using this remarkable evolutionary system as a model for the evolution of all animals.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

 We thank Krish Roy for the acquisition of the Bionano Saphyr system, Manasi Pimpley for assistance in adapting existing Bionano kits to cichlid tissue, and the Molecular Evolution Core Laboratory at the Parker H. Petit Institute for Bioengineering and Bioscience at the Georgia Institute of Technology for the use of their shared equipment, services, and expertise. This work was supported in part by NIH R35 GM139594 and the Nelson and Bennie Abell Professorship to P.T.M., R01GM144560 to J.T.S., and U.S. National Science Foundation (DEB-1830753) to T.D.K..

METHODS

 Samples. Wild-caught cichlids were acquired from Old World Exotic Fish Inc in 2022 and Cichlidenstadel in 2024. Lab-reared species were purchased from Southeast Cichlids, Old World Exotic Fish Inc., and Cichlidenstadel at various points in the past two decades (**Table S2**). Fish were delivered live to the Georgia Institute of Technology cichlid aquaculture facilities. All samples were collected following anesthetization with tricaine according to procedures approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC protocol numbers A100029 and A100569).

420 Caudal fins were collected from subjects and flash frozen on powdered dry ice before storage at -80°C. Care was taken to minimize freeze-thaw cycles prior to DNA extraction via Bionano or Qiagen protocols. If necessary, fins were sectioned on a sterile aluminum block set in dry ice prior to digestion.

 Blood was collected following rapid decapitation of anesthetized subjects. Wide-bore, low-retention 424 pipette tips, 0.5M EDTA (pH 8.0, Invitrogen Cat# 15575-038), and Bionano Cell Buffer (Part Number 20374) prevented blood from clotting at room temperature. Samples were used immediately for Bionano DNA extraction (see below).

 Bionano. Bionano DNA extractions were predominantly performed on fresh whole blood samples (see above). Blood concentration was determined via a manual logical count performed on a hemocytometer and 2 million cells were carried forward for DNA extraction with the Bionano SP-G2 Blood & Cell Culture DNA Isolation Kit (Part Number 80060) as per the Bionano Prep SP-G2 Fresh Human Blood DNA Isolation Protocol (Document Number CG-00005, Rev C). Because nucleated cichlid blood had high 432 concentrations, steps 3 and 4 were skipped and samples were resuspended in Bionano Cell Buffer to a total volume of 200µL. Following extraction, samples were allowed to homogenize undisturbed at room temperature for at minimum 72 hours prior to quantification using the Qubit dsDNA Quantitation, Broad Range kit (ThermoFisher, Cat # Q32853).

Bionano data for a single *Protomelas taeniolatus* sample (PT_2003_m, see **Table_S2**) was generated from

 fin tissue using a combination of the Bionano Prep SP Tissue and Tumor DNA Isolation Protocol (Document Number 30339, Rev A) and the Bionano Prep SP-G2 Fresh Human Blood DNA Isolation

Protocol.

 750ng of homogenized DNA was fluorescently labeled using the Bionano Direct Label and Stain-G2 (DLS- G2) Kit (Part Number 80046, Protocol - CG-30553-1, Rev E). Labeled DNA was quantified using the Qubit dsDNA Quantitation, High Sensitivity kit (ThermoFisher, Cat # Q32854) and loaded onto a flow cell within

- a Bionano Saphyr Chip G3.3 (Part Number 20440). Samples were imaged on the Bionano Saphyr with at least 500Gbp of data collected per sample.
- Molecules for each sample were assembled using the Bionano *de novo* assembly pipeline on Bionano Access Version 1.7. The preassembly setting was turned on, and the variant annotation parameters were deselected for every assembly. *De novo* maps were aligned to the Mzebra_GT3a genome.
- **PacBio HiFi sequencing and genome assembly.** PacBio *de novo* assemblies were generated from HiFi reads across three sequencing runs. The MZ_GT3.3 and YH_GT1.3 were sequenced following DNA extraction from frozen caudal fin tissue using the Qiagen MagAttract HMW DNA Kit (Cat. No. 67563). In the first sequencing run a *Metriaclima zebra* female was sequenced sequenced on a PacBio Sequel II system by the Georgia Genomics and Bioinformatics core. In the second run, the same the *Metriaclima zebra* female and an *Aulonocara sp. 'chitande type north' Nkhata Bay* female was sequenced on a PacBio Revio instrument by the HudsonAlpha Institute for Biotechnology. Reads from both runs were used to assemble the MZ_GT3.3 genome and reads from the second run alone were used to assemble the YH_GT1.3 genome (see details below).
- 457 Mzebra_GT3a, MZ_GT3.2, YH_GT1.1, and YH_GT1.2 were assembled using HiFi reads generated with DNA extracted from fresh whole blood and heart tissue. DNA was extracted via the PacBio Nanobind Tissue Kit 459 RT (Part Number 102-208-000) following a modified DNA from animal tissue using the Nanobind® PanDNA kit protocol (Part Number 102-574-600). Blood and heart tissue were combined and homogenized together using a Qiagen TissueRuptor (Qiagen 9002755) and centrifugation g-force was halved during the DNA extraction. DNA fragments <25kb were removed using the PacBio Short Read Elimination kit (Part Number 102-208-300). Library preparation and sequencing was performed by the University of Maryland Institute for Genome Sciences on a PacBio Revio instrument.
- 465 HiFi reads from all samples were assembled using the Mabs de novo assembler (v2.28) 53 using the ${\tt mabs-}$ hifiasm algorithm and default parameters. Each assembly from mabs-hifiasm was evaluated using 467 Inspector (inspector.py v1.0.1)⁵⁴ run on default parameters. The assemblies were error corrected with 468 inspector-correct.py (v1.0) to resolve large structural errors. These error-corrected contigs from were uploaded to Bionano Access.
- 470 The MZ_GT3.2, MZ_GT3.3, YH_GT1.1, YH_GT1.2 and YH_GT1.3 scaffold-level assemblies were generated 471 by bridging gaps in their contigs using Bionano maps via the single-enzyme Bionano Hybrid Scaffold 472 pipeline using default parameters. A unique set of Bionano maps was used to scaffold the contigs from 473 each *de novo* assembly. The resulting hybrid scaffold NCBI. fasta file (which denotes gaps filled by 474 Bionano maps with stretches of N nucleotides) was concatenated with any unscaffolded contigs from 475 the error-corrected mabs assembly. These five scaffold-level assemblies have been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank (accessions TBD).
- 477 A scaffold-level genome was identically generated for Mzebra_GT3a. These scaffolds were further aligned 478 to the corrected UMD2a genome (see below) and anchored to linkage groups using D-GENIES 55 with the Minimap2 v2.28 aligner and "Many repeats" options. An anchored genome was output using the Query assembled as reference export option.
- Note that the mitochondrial DNA sequence in Mzebra_GT3a is the same as the mitochondria assembled in UMD2a. This Whole Genome Shotgun project has been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession JBEVYI000000000. The version described in this paper is version JBEVYI010000000.
- **Correcting errors in M_zebra_UMD2a.** We generated Bionano molecules for 3 male and 1 female *Metriaclima zebra* subjects, assembled Bionano maps, and aligned them to the UMD2a reference genome. We reordered or reoriented contigs that were revealed as misassemblies or inversions, respectively, in all

487 four Bionano maps and also corresponded to breakpoints between contigs in UMD2a. By filtering 488 interchromosomal translocation calls in the Bionano Access software, we were able to insert unmapped 489 contigs into the established linkage groups.

 Illumina extractions and sequencing. DNA was extracted from fresh or frozen fin tissues for short-read sequencing using the Qiagen MagAttract HMW DNA Kit (Cat. No. 67563) or the Qiagen DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Cat No. 69504) using the Manual Purification of High-Molecular Weight Genomic DNA from Fresh or Frozen Tissue and Purification of Total DNA from Animal Tissues (Spin-Column) protocols respectively. DNA was delivered to the Georgia Tech Molecular Evolution Core where libraries were 495 prepared with the NEBNext® Ultra™ II FS DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB #E6177) using the Protocol for FS DNA Library Prep Kit (E7805, E6177) with Inputs ≥100 ng. A small subset of samples was sent to an external collaborator by the Molecular Evolution Core where library preparation was performed using the KAPA HyperPrep Kit (Roche, Material Number: 07962363001). Samples across all runs were sequenced on a NovaSeq 6000 instrument using v1.5 chemistry.

500 **Variant calling and PCA analysis.** Fastq files from Illumina sequencing were converted to UBAM format 501 $\;$ using the <code>gatk</code>⁵⁶ $\;$ FastqToSam algorithm. UBAM files were used for alignment to Mzebra GT3 using 502 bwa⁵⁷ mem; the -M and -p flags were used. To carry forward metadata from the unaligned BAMs, ${\tt gatk}$ 503 MergeBamAlignment was called on the alignments. BAM files were converted to GVCF format using 504 gatk HaplotypeCaller. Variant calling was performed using the GVCF files for the analysis cohort. 505 The gatk GenomicsDBImport and gatk GenotypeGVCFs algorithms were used to generate a 506 master vcf file which was subsequently filtered with q atk Variant Filtration (parameters below). 507 The variants that passed filtering were used for PCA analysis.

508 PCA was performed with $plink2^{58}$. To avoid overrepresenting species from the cohort, a core subset of 509 the samples was used for PC 1 and 2 calculations (**Table S2**, column S). Eigenvectors from the whole 510 cohort were then plotted on this PC space and visualized using $pletly.$

511 Note that GATK ≥ v4.3.0.0 and python ≥ 3.7 were used for these analyses. Custom python scripts were 512 written to automate and parallelize processing of the samples in the cohorts.

513 The commands used for variant calling and PCA are the following:

514 *UBAM Generation:*

515 > gatk FastqToSam --FASTQ <fq1> --FASTQ2 <fq2> --READ_GROUP_NAME <RUNID> -- 516 TMP_DIR <Temp_dir> --OUTPUT <temp_bam_file> --SAMPLE_NAME <sample_name> -- 517 LIBRARY NAME <library name> --PLATFORM <platform>

518 *Alignment to GT3:*

519 > bwa mem -t <threads> -M -p <GT3 FASTA> <UBAM file>

520 *Merge metadata from UBAM to BAM:*

521 > gatkMergeBamAlignment -R <GT3 FASTA> --UNMAPPED BAM <UBAM file> 522 ALIGNED BAM <BAM file>

523 *Generate GVCFS:*

524 > gatk HaplotypeCaller -R <GT3 FASTA> -I <BAM file> -ERC GVCF -O <GVCF file>

525 – ERC GVCF is used to generate GVCF files that can be used for subsequent joint genotyping

526 *Read Depth Information:*

527 > gatk CountReads -I <BAM file>

528 *Generate GenomicsDB:*

529 > gatk --java-options -Xmx <memory> GenomicsDBImport --genomicsdb-workspace-530 path <database output path> --intervals <interval> --sample-name-map 531 <cohort samples.txt>

532 *Joint Genotyping:*

533 > gatk --java-options -Xmx <memory> GenotypeGVCFs -R <GT3_FASTA> -V 534 <path to database> -O <VCF file> --heterozygosity 0.00175 -A 535 DepthPerAlleleBySample -A Coverage -A GenotypeSummaries -A TandemRepeat -A 536 StrandBiasBySample -A ReadPosRankSumTest -A AS_ReadPosRankSumTest -A 537 AS QualByDepth -A AS StrandOddsRatio -A AS MappingQualityRankSumTest -A 538 FisherStrand -A QualByDepth RMSMappingQuality -A DepthPerSampleHC -G 539 StandardAnnotation AS StandardAnnotation -G StandardHCAnnotation

540 Note that an average measure of heterozygosity, 0.00175 was used from what was reported in Malinksy *et* 541 *al*.²⁹.

542 *Filtering Variants:*

543 > gatk VariantFiltration -R <GT3 FASTA> <VCF file> -O <Filtered VCF> --544 filter-name 'allele freq' --filter-expression 'AF < 0.05' --filter-name 545 'inbreeding test' --filter-expression 'InbreedingCoeff < -0.6' --filter-name 546 'depth Qual' --filter-expression 'QD < 2.0' --filter-name 'max DP' --filter-547 expression 'DP > 11000' --filter-name 'min_DP' --filter-expression 'DP < 548 7600' --filter-name 'strand bias' --filter-expression 'FS > 40.0' --filter-549 name 'mapping_quality' --filter-expression 'MQ < 50.0' --filter-name 550 'no calls' --filter-expression 'NCC > 119' --verbosity ERROR"))

 Variants were filtered by allele frequency, inbreeding coefficient, quality by depth, depth, fisher's exact test for strand bias, mapping quality, and by excess missingness according to methods published in 553 Malinksky e*t al.²⁹.* We filtered by depth to include variants with a mean depth per sample between 10% and 95% of the distribution of all variant depths.

```
555 > gatk SelectVariants -V <Filtered_VCF> --exclude-filtered -O 
556 <pass_VCF_file>
```
557

558 *PCA:*

559 For PCA, first a subset of the pass VCF file containing the samples we used to create the principal $\;$ components was generated using $\rm{bcftools}^{59}$. Next, the relevant pfiles were generated for both the pass_VCF_file and the subset_samples_VCF. Linkage pruning was only performed for the whole genome and whole chromosome PCAs using the –indep-pairwise 50 5 0.1 flag and parameters. Since inversions inherently link together variants, linkage pruning was not used when restricting the analysis to within inverted regions. A linear scoring system is generated using the subset_samples_VCF pfiles. This scoring system is applied to the genotype matrix for the whole cohort's samples to scale each sample 566 consistently. The resulting first two eigenvectors per sample from the .sscore file are plotted with $\text{plotly}.$

```
567 > plink2 --vcf <pass_VCF_file> --out <whole_pfiles>
```

```
568 > plink2 --vcf <subset samples VCF file> --out <subset pfiles>
```

```
569 > plink2 --pfile <whole_pfiles> --set-missing-var-ids @:# --make-pgen --out 
570 <whole corrected>
```

```
571
```
572 LD Pruning for Whole genome and whole chromosome PCAs:

573 > plink2 –pfile <subset_pfiles> --out 574 <subset samples ld pruning intermediate> --allow-extra-chr --set-missing-575 var-ids @:#' --indep-pairwise 50 5 0.1

576 > plink2 -pfile <subset_pfiles> --freq counts -pca allele-wts -out 577 <sample subset yes ld pruning pca> --allow-extra-chr --set-missing-var-ids 578 @:# --max-alleles 2 -–extract < 579 subset samples ld pruning intermediate.prune.in

580 > plink2 –pfile <whole_corrected> --read-freq < 581 sample subset yes 1d pruning pca.acount> --score < 582 sample subset yes ld pruning pca.eigenval.allele> 2 5 header-read no-mean-583 imputation variance-standardize --score-col-nums 6-15 –out 584 <projected pca yes ld --allow-extra-chr

585

586 No LD Pruning for inverted region PCAs:

587 > plink2 --pfile <subset pfiles> --freq counts -pca allele-wts -out 588 <subset samples no ld pruning pca> --allow-extra-chr --set-missing-var-ids 589 @:# --max-alleles 2

590 > plink2 –pfile <whole_corrected> --read-freq < 591 subset samples no 1d pruning pca.acount> --score' 592 <subset samples no ld pruning pca.eigenvec.allele> 2 5 header-read no-mean-593 imputation variance-standardize --score-col-nums 6-15 --out 594 <projected PCA no ld> --allow-extra-chr

Genome alignment. Genomes were aligned using minimap2⁶⁰ (v2.22) to align the query genome to the M_zebra_GT3 reference using default settings. Custom scripts were used to convert the output paf files to dataframe objects, filtering removed alignments that were 1) secondary matches, 2) had less than 30% percent identify, and 3) were less than 10,000 base pairs in length. Further, we excluded any alignments from a contig containing less than 2,000,000 total bp of alignments, to account for repetitive DNA. 600 Alignments were plotted using s eaborn. We estimated the intervals containing the breakpoints for the inversions using genome alignments of Aulonocara sp. 'chitande type north' Nkhata Bay and M_zebra_GT3 (**Table S4**).

603 **Phylogenies.** To create phylogenies, bcftools was used to filter out non SNV variants from the master 604 vcf file. $\mathtt{Bcftools}$ was also used to create individual vcf files for each inversion, filtering out SNVs that fell 605 outside of the inverted region. $Vcf2phi$ ilip⁶¹ was used to convert the to the phylip format, using the -m 606 $\,$ 50 option to filter out SNVs with less than 50 genotyped samples. <code>Iqtree2</code>⁶² was used to create trees and 607 estimate confidence values for each node using the following options: $-nt'$, '24', ' $-$ mem', '96G', ' 608 v','--seqtype', 'DNA','-m','GTR+I+G','-B','1000'. Trees were visualized with irol^{63} or 609 $ete3^{64}$.

610 **Nucleotide diversity analysis.** To analyze the Pixy between individuals of different species, we used scikit-allel (v1.3.8) ⁶⁵ 611 to calculate the genetic diLerence between each sample using the 612 allel.pairwise distance function and the citblock for the metric. We restricted the analysis to 613 benthic animals that carried the inversion and compared these to animals of various ecogroups. We used 614 the seaborn function hist plot to create density histograms for each of the inversions.

 Fst & pedigree. A vcf file was created for the *Aulonocara* samples listed in **Table S2** (Column I). The PCA $$ approach (described above) was used to genotype each of the samples for the inversion on 10. For the F $_{\rm{ST}}$ 617 analysis, we used $scikit-allel (v1.3.8)$ to perform $allel$ a window size of 100. For the heterozygosity analysis, we used the 619 allel.heterozygosity_observed function on both the male and female population, subtracting the average heterozygosity from the male offspring from the female offspring.

DATA AVAILABILITY

 All Illumina and PacBio sequencing reads have been deposited to the NCBI Short Read archive at BioProject PRJNA1112855. The VCF files used in these analyses are available through the Dryad Digital Repository (TBD).

REFERENCES

- 1. Kirkpatrick, M. How and Why Chromosome Inversions Evolve. *PLOS Biol.* **8**, e1000501 (2010).
- 2. Wellenreuther, M. & Bernatchez, L. Eco-Evolutionary Genomics of Chromosomal Inversions. *Trends*
- *Ecol. Evol.* **33**, 427–440 (2018).
- 3. Berdan, E. L. *et al.* How chromosomal inversions reorient the evolutionary process. *J. Evol. Biol.* **36**,
- 1761–1782 (2023).
- 633 4. Hoffmann, A. A. & Rieseberg, L. H. Revisiting the Impact of Inversions in Evolution: From Population
- Genetic Markers to Drivers of Adaptive Shifts and Speciation? *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **39**, 21–42
- (2008).
- 5. Andolfatto, P., Depaulis, F. & Navarro, A. Inversion polymorphisms and nucleotide variability in
- Drosophila. *Genet. Res.* **77**, 1–8 (2001).
- 6. Harringmeyer, O. S. & Hoekstra, H. E. Chromosomal inversion polymorphisms shape the genomic
- landscape of deer mice. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **6**, 1965–1979 (2022).
- 7. Huang, K., Andrew, R. L., Owens, G. L., Ostevik, K. L. & Rieseberg, L. H. Multiple chromosomal
- inversions contribute to adaptive divergence of a dune sunflower ecotype. *Mol. Ecol.* **29**, 2535–2549

(2020).

- 8. Hager, E. R. *et al.* A chromosomal inversion contributes to divergence in multiple traits between deer
- mouse ecotypes. *Science* **377**, 399–405 (2022).
- 9. Joron, M. *et al.* Chromosomal rearrangements maintain a polymorphic supergene controlling butterfly
- mimicry. *Nature* **477**, 203–206 (2011).
- 10. Joron, M. *et al.* A Conserved Supergene Locus Controls Colour Pattern Diversity in Heliconius
- Butterflies. *PLOS Biol.* **4**, e303 (2006).
- 11. Palmer, D. H. & Kronforst, M. R. A shared genetic basis of mimicry across swallowtail butterflies
- points to ancestral co-option of doublesex. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 6 (2020).
- 12. Jones, F. C. *et al.* The genomic basis of adaptive evolution in threespine sticklebacks. *Nature* **484**, 55–61 (2012).
- 13. Lamichhaney, S. *et al.* Structural genomic changes underlie alternative reproductive strategies in
- the ruL (Philomachus pugnax). *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 84–88 (2016).
- 655 14. Küpper, C. *et al*. A supergene determines highly divergent male reproductive morphs in the ruff.
- *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 79–83 (2016).
- 15. Wang, J. *et al.* A Y-like social chromosome causes alternative colony organization in fire ants.
- *Nature* **493**, 664–668 (2013).
- 16. Tuttle, E. M. *et al.* Divergence and Functional Degradation of a Sex Chromosome-like Supergene.
- *Curr. Biol. CB* **26**, 344–350 (2016).
- 17. Hughes, J. F. *et al.* Chimpanzee and human Y chromosomes are remarkably divergent in structure
- and gene content. *Nature* **463**, 536–539 (2010).
- 18. Fishman, L., Stathos, A., Beardsley, P. M., Williams, C. F. & Hill, J. P. CHROMOSOMAL
- REARRANGEMENTS AND THE GENETICS OF REPRODUCTIVE BARRIERS IN MIMULUS (MONKEY
- FLOWERS). *Evolution* **67**, 2547–2560 (2013).

- 19. Noor, M. A., Grams, K. L., Bertucci, L. A. & Reiland, J. Chromosomal inversions and the
- reproductive isolation of species. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **98**, 12084–12088 (2001).
- 668 20. Fuller, Z. L., Koury, S. A., Phadnis, N. & Schaeffer, S. W. How chromosomal rearrangements shape
- adaptation and speciation: Case studies in Drosophila pseudoobscura and its sibling species
- Drosophila persimilis. *Mol. Ecol.* **28**, 1283–1301 (2019).
- 671 21. Fuller, Z. L., Leonard, C. J., Young, R. E., Schaeffer, S. W. & Phadnis, N. Ancestral polymorphisms
- explain the role of chromosomal inversions in speciation. *PLOS Genet.* **14**, e1007526 (2018).
- 22. Kirkpatrick, M. & Barton, N. Chromosome Inversions, Local Adaptation and Speciation. *Genetics*
- **173**, 419–434 (2006).
- 23. Lowry, D. B. & Willis, J. H. A Widespread Chromosomal Inversion Polymorphism Contributes to a
- Major Life-History Transition, Local Adaptation, and Reproductive Isolation. *PLoS Biol.* **8**, e1000500
- (2010).
- 24. Trickett, A. J. & Butlin, R. K. Recombination suppressors and the evolution of new species.

Heredity **73**, 339–345 (1994).

- 25. Kocher, T. D. Adaptive evolution and explosive speciation: the cichlid fish model. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **5**, 288–298 (2004).
- 26. Santos, M. E., Lopes, J. F. & Kratochwil, C. F. East African cichlid fishes. *EvoDevo* **14**, 1 (2023).
- 27. Svardal, H., Salzburger, W. & Malinsky, M. Genetic Variation and Hybridization in Evolutionary Radiations of Cichlid Fishes. *Annu. Rev. Anim. Biosci.* **9**, 55–79 (2021).
- 28. Johnson, Z. V. *et al.* Cellular profiling of a recently-evolved social behavior in cichlid fishes. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 4891 (2023).
- 29. Malinsky, M. *et al.* Whole-genome sequences of Malawi cichlids reveal multiple radiations
- interconnected by gene flow. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **2**, 1940–1955 (2018).

- 30. Patil, C. *et al.* Genome-enabled discovery of evolutionary divergence in brains and behavior. *Sci.*
- *Rep.* **11**, 13016 (2021).
- 31. Todd Streelman, J. & Danley, P. D. The stages of vertebrate evolutionary radiation. *Trends Ecol.*
- *Evol.* **18**, 126–131 (2003).
- 32. Maan, M. E. & Sefc, K. M. Colour variation in cichlid fish: Developmental mechanisms, selective
- pressures and evolutionary consequences. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **24**, 516–528 (2013).
- 33. Konings, A. Fishes, as well as birds, build bowers.
- 34. Martin, C. & Genner, M. A role for male bower size as an intrasexual signal in a Lake Malawi cichlid
- fish. (2009) doi:10.1163/156853908X396836.
- 35. Loh, Y.-H. E. *et al.* Origins of Shared Genetic Variation in African Cichlids. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 906–
- 917 (2013).
- 36. Meier, J. I. *et al.* Cycles of fusion and fission enabled rapid parallel adaptive radiations in African
- cichlids. *Science* **381**, eade2833 (2023).
- 37. Keller, I. *et al.* Population genomic signatures of divergent adaptation, gene flow and hybrid
- speciation in the rapid radiation of Lake Victoria cichlid fishes. *Mol. Ecol.* **22**, 2848–2863 (2013).
- 38. Brawand, D. *et al.* The genomic substrate for adaptive radiation in African cichlid fish. *Nature* **513**, 375–381 (2014).
- 39. Conte, M. A. *et al.* Chromosome-scale assemblies reveal the structural evolution of African cichlid genomes. *GigaScience* **8**, giz030 (2019).
- 40. Lam, E. T. *et al.* Genome mapping on nanochannel arrays for structural variation analysis and sequence assembly. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **30**, 10.1038/nbt.2303 (2012).
- 41. Ciezarek, A. G. *et al.* Ancient and Recent Hybridization in the Oreochromis Cichlid Fishes. *Mol.*
- *Biol. Evol.* **41**, msae116 (2024).

- 42. Nowling, R. J., Manke, K. R. & Emrich, S. J. Detecting inversions with PCA in the presence of
- population structure. *PLoS ONE* **15**, e0240429 (2020).
- 43. York, R. A. *et al.* Behavior-dependent cis regulation reveals genes and pathways associated with
- bower building in cichlid fishes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **115**, E11081–E11090 (2018).
- 44. Kratochwil, C. F., Liang, Y., Urban, S., Torres-Dowdall, J. & Meyer, A. Evolutionary Dynamics of
- Structural Variation at a Key Locus for Color Pattern Diversification in Cichlid Fishes. *Genome Biol.*
- *Evol.* **11**, 3452–3465 (2019).
- 45. Feulner, P. G. D., Schwarzer, J., Haesler, M. P., Meier, J. I. & Seehausen, O. A Dense Linkage Map of
- Lake Victoria Cichlids Improved the Pundamilia Genome Assembly and Revealed a Major QTL for Sex-
- Determination. *G3 GenesGenomesGenetics* **8**, 2411–2420 (2018).
- 46. Johnson, N. A. & Lachance, J. The genetics of sex chromosomes: evolution and implications for
- hybrid incompatibility. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **1256**, E1-22 (2012).
- 47. Natri, H. M., Merilä, J. & Shikano, T. The evolution of sex determination associated with a
- chromosomal inversion. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 145 (2019).
- 48. Kondrashov, A. S. & Mina, M. V. Sympatric speciation: when is it possible? *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **27**, 201–223 (1986).
- 49. Danley, P. D. & Kocher, T. D. Speciation in rapidly diverging systems: lessons from Lake Malawi. *Mol. Ecol.* **10**, 1075–1086 (2001).
- 50. Parnell, N. F. & Streelman, J. T. Genetic interactions controlling sex and color establish the
- potential for sexual conflict in Lake Malawi cichlid fishes. *Heredity* **110**, 239–246 (2013).
- 51. Behrens, K. A., Koblmueller, S. & Kocher, T. D. Diversity of Sex Chromosomes in Vertebrates: Six
- Novel Sex Chromosomes in Basal Haplochromines (Teleostei: Cichlidae). *Genome Biol. Evol.* **16**,
- evae152 (2024).

- 52. Blumer, L. M. *et al.* Introgression dynamics of sex-linked chromosomal inversions shape the
- Malawi cichlid adaptive radiation. Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.07.28.605452 (2024).
- 53. Schelkunov, M. I. Mabs, a suite of tools for gene-informed genome assembly. *BMC Bioinformatics*
- **24**, 377 (2023).
- 54. Chen, Y., Zhang, Y., Wang, A. Y., Gao, M. & Chong, Z. Accurate long-read de novo assembly
- evaluation with Inspector. *Genome Biol.* **22**, 312 (2021).
- 741 55. Cabanettes, F. & Klopp, C. D-GENIES: dot plot large genomes in an interactive, efficient and
- simple way. *PeerJ* **6**, e4958 (2018).
- 56. Poplin, R. *et al.* Scaling accurate genetic variant discovery to tens of thousands of samples.
- 201178 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/201178 (2018).
- 57. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform.
- *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1754–1760 (2009).
- 58. Chang, C. C. *et al.* Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets.

GigaScience **4**, s13742-015-0047–8 (2015).

- 59. Li, H. A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping and
- population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 2987–2993

(2011).

- 60. Li, H. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* **34**, 3094–3100 (2018).
- 61. Ortiz, E. M. vcf2phylip v2.0: convert a VCF matrix into several matrix formats for phylogenetic analysis. Zenodo https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2540861 (2019).
- 756 62. Minh, B. Q. *et al.* IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the
- Genomic Era. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **37**, 1530–1534 (2020).

- 63. Letunic, I. & Bork, P. Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) v6: recent updates to the phylogenetic tree
- display and annotation tool. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **52**, W78–W82 (2024).
- 64. Huerta-Cepas, J., Serra, F. & Bork, P. ETE 3: Reconstruction, Analysis, and Visualization of
- Phylogenomic Data. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **33**, 1635–1638 (2016).
- 65. Miles, A. *et al.* cggh/scikit-allel: v1.3.13. Zenodo https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13772087 (2024).