

Citation: Gutierrez L, Polidoro B, Obura D, Cabada-Blanco F, Linardich C, Pettersson E, et al. (2024) Half of Atlantic reef-building corals at elevated risk of extinction due to climate change and other threats. PLoS ONE 19(11): e0309354. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0309354

Editor: Vitor Hugo Rodrigues Paiva, MARE – Marine and Environmental Sciences Centre, PORTUGAL

Received: January 12, 2024

Accepted: August 9, 2024

Published: November 15, 2024

Copyright: © 2024 Gutierrez et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: DO; National Geographic Species Recovery Grant, https://www.nationalgeographic. com/ BP; New College of Interdisciplinary Arts and Sciences, Arizona State University, https:// newcollege.asu.edu/ FCB; Zoological Society of London, https://www.zsl.org/ BP; IUCN Species Survival Commission, https://iucn.org/our-union/ RESEARCH ARTICLE

Half of Atlantic reef-building corals at elevated risk of extinction due to climate change and other threats

Luis Gutierrez¹*, Beth Polidoro^{1,2}, David Obura^{2,3‡}, Francoise Cabada-Blanco^{2,4‡}, Christi Linardich⁵, Emma Pettersson^{2‡}, Paul Pearce-Kelly^{6‡}, Krista Kemppinen^{1‡}, Juan Jose Alvarado⁷, Lorenzo Alvarez-Filip⁸, Anastazia Banaszak⁸, Pilar Casado de Amezua⁹, James Crabbe¹⁰, Aldo Croquer¹¹, Joshua Feingold¹², Elizabeth Goergen¹³, Stefano Goffredo¹⁴, Bert Hoeksema¹⁵, Danwei Huang¹⁶, Emma Kennedy¹⁷, Diego Kersting¹⁸, Marcelo Kitahara¹⁹, Petar Kružić²⁰, Margaret Miller²¹, Flavia Nunes²², Juan Pablo Quimbayo²³, Andrea Rivera-Sosa²⁴, Rosa Rodríguez-Martínez⁸, Nadia Santodomingo²⁵, Michael Sweet²⁶, Mark Vermeij²⁷, Estrella Villamizar²⁸, Greta Aeby²⁹, Khatija Alliji³⁰, Daniel Bayley³¹, Elena Couce³⁰, Benjamin Cowburn³⁰, C. Isabel Nuñez Lendo³², Sean Porter³³, Kaveh Samimi-Namin¹⁵, Tom Shlesinger³⁴, Bryan Wilson³⁵

1 Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, United States of America, 2 Species Survival Commission, Coral Specialist Group, International Union for the Conservation of Nature, Gland, Switzerland, 3 Coastal Oceans Research and Development in the Indian Ocean East Africa, Mombasa, Kenya, 4 Institute of Marine Sciences, School of the Environment and Life Sciences, University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth, United Kingdom, 5 Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia, United States of America, 6 Zoological Society of London, London, United Kingdom, 7 University of Costa Rica, San José, Costa Rica, 8 Unidad Academia de Sistemas Arrecificales, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México, Mexico, 9 MedCoral Program, HyT Association, 10 University of Bedfordshire, Wolfson College, Oxford, United Kingdom, 11 The Nature Conservancy, Dominican Republic, 12 Nova Southeastern University, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, United States of America, 13 Department of Biology and Environmental Science, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar, 14 University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy, 15 Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, The Netherlands, 16 National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore, 17 University of Queensland, Queensland, Australia, 18 Spanish National Research Council, Instituto de Acuicultura de Torre de la Sal, Castellón de la Plana, Spain, 19 Departamento de Ciências do Mar, Universidade Federal de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, 20 University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia, 21 SECORE International, Hilliard, Ohio, United States of America, 22 Institut Français pour la Recherche et Exploitation de la Mer, Plouzané, France, 23 Center for Marine Biology, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, 24 Coral Reef Alliance, San Francisco, California, United States of America, 25 National History Museum of London, London, United Kingdom, 26 University of Derby, Derby, United Kingdom, 27 Carmabi Foundation, Willemstad, Curaçao, 28 Ecología en la Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Central de Venezuela, Caracas, venezuela, 29 The Hawai'i Institute of Marine Biology, Kaneohe, Hawai'i, United States of America, 30 Centre for Environmental, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science, Weymouth, United Kingdom, 31 Fauna & Flora International, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 32 Climate Change Cluster, University of Technology Sydney, Ultimo, NSW, Australia, 33 Oceanographic Research Institute, Durban, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, 34 Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel, 35 University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

‡ These authors also contributed equally to this work

* Lgutie16@asu.edu

Abstract

Atlantic reef-building corals and coral reefs continue to experience extensive decline due to increased stressors related to climate change, disease, pollution, and numerous anthropogenic threats. To understand the impact of ocean warming and reef loss on the estimated extinction risk of shallow water Atlantic reef-building scleractinians and milleporids, all 85 commissions/iucn-species-survival-commission-2021-2025 BP; The MSC Foundation, https://www. mscfoundation.org/ EP; Eurofins Foundation, https://www.eurofins.com/eurofins-foundation/, and CORDIO East Africa. None of the listed funders played a role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish nor preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

valid species were reassessed under the IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria, updating the previous Red List assessment of Atlantic corals published in 2008. For the present assessment, individual species declines were estimated based on the modeled coral cover loss (1989–2019) and projected onset of annual severe bleaching events (2020–2050) across the Atlantic. Species traits were used to scale species' relative vulnerability to the modeled cover declines and forecasted bleaching events. The updated assessments place 45.88%-54.12% of Atlantic shallow water corals at an elevated extinction risk compared to the previous assessments conducted in 2008 (15.19%-40.51%). However, coral cover loss estimates indicate an improvement in reef coverage compared to the historic time-series used for the 2008 assessments. Based on this, we infer that, although remaining dangerously high, the rate of Atlantic reef coral cover decline has surprisingly slowed in recent decades. However, based on modeled projections of sea-surface temperature that predict the onset of annual severe bleaching events within the next 30 years, we listed 26 (out of 85) species as Critically Endangered in the IUCN Red List. Each of these species had previously been listed under a lower threatened category and this result alone highlights the severe threat future bleaching events pose to coral survival and the reef ecosystems they support.

Introduction

The formation of the Panamanian Isthmus during the late Pliocene (\sim 3 mya) isolated the Atlantic from the eastern Pacific [1,2]. This has resulted in there being only 83 zooxanthellate and 2 azooxanthellate shallow water corals described in this region [3,4]. All are endemic to the Atlantic Ocean basin and absent from both the Indian and Pacific oceans [4,5]. Comparing the diversity of the Atlantic to the Indo-Pacific (where there are many hundreds of species and subsequent higher functional redundancy), the loss of each species in the region will have a more direct and significant consequence for the state and functioning of the reef ecosystem [5–8]. Regional losses in coral diversity has resulted in reductions in structural complexity, carbonate budgets, and heterogenous community structures [9,10].

The Wider Caribbean (WC; Caribbean Sea, Gulf of Mexico, Florida, Bahamas, and Bermuda) has the largest Atlantic reef system, accounting for 10% of global reef coverage, and has likely operated as a source for the dispersal of species across the whole of the Atlantic, with both physical and biological barriers to connectivity playing an important role in determining current distribution ranges [2,11–14]. In contrast, much lower levels of species diversity are present in the Southwestern Atlantic off Brazil. Here corals account for as little as 0.47% of the global coral reef coverage [11,15,16]. That said, the majority of coral species which make up reefs in this region have massive growth forms and are endemic, with the Amazon and Orinoco rivers acting as a biogeographic barrier to the WC coral fauna [15,17]. The low levels of genetic and structural diversity are likely the consequence of historic changes in regional sea level during the late Holocene and the presence of a narrow continental shelf off Brazil [15,16,18].

In the Eastern Atlantic, the Mid-Atlantic Barrier impedes dispersal of species across the ocean resulting in very few coral species also occurring along the West African coast and into the Mediterranean Sea [2,17]. In fact, coral reefs are not found in the Eastern Atlantic due to a variety of regional characteristics such as low temperatures, high volumes of river discharge,

and high isolation from other marine provinces. The extant corals mainly compromise azooxanthellate stony corals, with notable exceptions such as *Montastraea cavernosa* [17,19].

Over the last half-century, corals across the Atlantic have experienced severe mortality events and low recovery rates [20,21]. Steep declines in the Caribbean in particular began in the 1970s and 80s, as the western Atlantic was host to various diseases that severely impacted the structurally important Acroporid corals while also nearly driving a primary herbivore, the long spined sea urchin (Diadema antillarum) to functional extinction. Declines in D. antillarum, and other herbivores, have been associated with increases in algae cover with more recent populations being affected by a new epizootic event [22,23]. Many reefs remained dominated by healthy populations of the other major reef-building coral (i.e., Orbicella), yet more recent coral bleaching events caused high losses in live coral cover, especially those events that followed or occurred in tandem with diseases [24-27]. However, thermal stress and coral mortality has not been homogenous in the region with the Southern Caribbean being more severely affected by thermal events. Since 2014, the WC is being plagued by the emergence of Stony Coral Tissue Loss Disease (SCTLD). This has now been heralded as the most damaging coral epidemic in decades causing community shifts towards dominance of more weedy corals (i.e., sub-massive agaricids and Porites astreoides) and reductions in structural complexity and functional diversity [28-31]. Furthermore, global heating of the ocean's surface has intensified the frequency and intensity of hurricanes in the Atlantic, effectively reducing recovery time for corals and reef calcium carbonate budgets via erosion [20,32].

The Southwestern Atlantic (Brazil), has also not escaped bleaching events (with first records dating from the early 1990s and followed by events in 1997, 2003, 2005, 2010, 2016 – 2017, and 2019) [15,33–36]. Despite the observation of bleaching, the corals in many reefs recovered quickly and therefore were reported as exhibiting a high degree of resilience to elevated temperatures [18,33]. However, there is conflicting evidence here with others showing evidence of more recent mass mortality [33]. Regardless of the thermotolerance of the corals in this region, the southwestern Atlantic has one major advantage over other areas as it is unaffected by hurricanes [15]. As for the east Atlantic, there exists little information on trends related to coral species.

These widespread threats that simultaneously operate at regional or global scales (e.g., hurricanes, bleaching and disease), can be further exacerbated by local impacts, such as coastal runoff, development, pollution, overfishing, and tourism which occur at varying degrees throughout the Atlantic [21,37,38]. The combined effects of these global and local stressors on Atlantic reef-building corals threaten the persistence of these species and the functional integrity of reef systems. However, it is well known that coral species vary in their vulnerability and resilience to such stressors yielding variation in their overall fitness, abundance, and extinction risk [39–43]. Such variability has resulted in alterations in reef community assemblages over the last several decades emphasizing the importance of regular assessments of coral populations and their subsequent conservation.

In 2008, the estimated extinction risk of the world's known reef-building corals was published for the first time in the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List of Threatened Species. Nearly a third of all reef-building corals were threatened with extinction at the time [44], with the WC having the highest proportion (between 2 – 8%) of Critically Endangered species of all regions assessed. Here, we present and summarize the most updated extinction risk for all known 85 Atlantic reef-building corals, following a similar approach as the original 2008 assessment, but with additional consideration of the impacts of projected annual severe bleaching (ASB) events induced by rising sea surface temperatures (SST).

Estimating extinction risk plays an important role in the conservation of species globally. Assessments identify at-risk species, outlining their major threats, and as such are valuable

tools in preventing continued biodiversity loss. Through reassessments, it is possible to track changes in extinction risk over time (i.e., worsening or improving conditions) that help evaluate the effectiveness of conservation interventions. For reef-building corals, continued reassessments are key in estimating the expected degree of biodiversity loss over time given the compounding threats that plague corals and coral reefs globally.

Methods

IUCN red list process

Reassessments for all 85 valid shallow water Atlantic reef-building scleractinian and milleporid coral species were conducted by the IUCN Species Survival Commissions' Coral Specialist Group and more than 20 coral specialists from across the globe, all of whom contributed extensive amounts of expertise and data. This assessment evaluated all the main reef-building coral species of the North and South Atlantic Ocean (with the Mediterranean Sea), including 78 of the order Scleractinia and 7 of the hydrozoan genus *Millepora* (Order Anthoathecata). The taxonomy followed the most recent classifications from the World Register of Marine Species [3] representing 15 families and 31 genera (with two genera currently without family assignments). All species assessed are zooxanthellate (although we note, *Astrangia poculata* and *Oculina* spp. can be apozooxanthellate (either zooxanthellate or azooxanthellate). The full species list was generated in 2020 (S1 Dataset).

For each coral species, information on distribution range, population, habitat, ecology, threats, and conservation efforts were revised and updated by a group of experts and added to the species' Red List account in the IUCN Species Information System (SIS). Following the IUCN Red List guidelines [45], species extinction risk was assessed based on the following criteria, selecting the criterion with the highest risk: Population size reduction (A), Geographic range (B), Small population size and reduction (C), Very small or restricted population (D), and Quantitative analysis (E).

The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species Criteria provides a standardized methodology for measuring relative extinction risk for a wide range of species [46]. The extinction risk of species is discretized into eight categories, ranging from Least Concern to Extinct, with an additional category of Data Deficient (DD) for cases when the information available for a species is insufficient to assess its extinction risk (Fig 1). Species assessed as either Vulnerable (VU), Endangered (EN) or Critically Endangered (CR) are considered threatened and in need of urgent conservation.

All species distributions were mapped using ArcGIS 10.7.1 and informed by known distributions in Corals of the World [4], World Register of Marine Species including World List of Scleractinia [3,47], and expert knowledge. Minimum convex polygons were created and clipped to the first 200 km off the coastline, rather than known reef habitat, to consistently map and assess corals across the entire ocean basin [48]. These included regions lacking coral reefs (e.g., Eastern Atlantic) and potential off-reef habitats. Total range size (km^2) was calculated in ArcGIS as well as sub-regional range size and species richness based on Marine Ecoregions of the World [11].

Six Atlantic species not previously assessed in 2008, due to taxonomic uncertainty, have been included in this assessment update. The assessments were released on the IUCN Red List website (www.iucnredlist.org) in December 2022.

Almost all coral species were assessed under Criterion A, which measures population decline over a time period of 10 years or three generation lengths (whichever is greater). A generation length is defined as the average age of reproductive adults [45].





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0309354.g001

Criterion A is best applied to widespread species where the rate of decline exceeds the species rate of recovery [46] and can be applied to a period of three generations in the past, in the future, or a combination of past and future time frames [45]. To qualify for a threatened category, the quantitative threshold of estimated population decline begins at 30% for Vulnerable, followed by 50% for Endangered, and 80% or higher for Critically Endangered [45]. For the majority of corals, the previous assessment used an estimated average age for reproducing "adult" coral colonies of 10 years based on measures of survival and fecundity [44]. In the absence of better estimates, we retained this method, and applied a three-generation length period of 30 years.

Most coral species lack species-specific quantitative data to estimate population size and/or trends over a 30-year time frame across the majority or entirety of their global range. Where direct observational data are lacking, Criterion A allows data on habitat decline or other proxy variables that reflect ecosystem-level changes to be used as a surrogates [48]. The percentage cover of hard corals is the most widely used indicator of coral-reef ecosystem state [11] and an Essential Ocean Variable [49]. Coral cover is a direct measure of total live coral abundance and is available with global coverage updated to 2020 [11] and was used as the primary indicator for coral reef habitat decline. However, species are not evenly distributed across ecosystems and can have varying species-specific responses to ecosystem changes [50,51]. To account for this and using the same approach as the prior assessment [44], we calculated the amount of coral cover decline within each species range (over a three-generation length or 30-year period) and then adjusted this up or down based on species' vulnerability traits, to estimate the degree of population decline for each coral species with newer surrogate datasets and updated coral species vulnerability traits.

To estimate population decline over three generation lengths in the past (Criterion A2), a surrogate dataset was used, based on the percentage of modeled coral cover loss over the past 30 years within the species range [11]. Declines projected into the future (Criterion A3) utilized the estimated average year for the onset of annual severe bleaching in the future across

species' ranges [52]. These datasets were used for all species except 11, for which sufficient species-specific data on population trends was available to assess under Criterion A (*Acropora cervicornis, Acropora palmata, Astrangia poculata, Balanophyllia europaea, Cladocora caespitosa, Dendrogyra cylindrus, Mussismilia harttii, Oculina arbuscula, Oculina patagonica, Orbicella annularis,* and *Orbicella faveolata*).

Three species (*Mussismilia hispida*, *Scolymia wellsii*, and *Porites colonensis*) were assessed under Criterion B due to their highly restricted range. Under Criterion B, a species is considered threatened if its global distribution range is small and there is evidence of fragmentation and/or a continued decline in habitat, population, or range size [45]. Specifically, a species must have an extent of occurrence (EOO) of less than 20,000 km² or an area of occupancy (AOO) of less than 2,000 km² as defined by the IUCN Red List guidelines v15 [48].

Seven other species (*Millepora laboreli*, *Oculina robusta*, *Oculina tenella*, *Oculina valenciennesi*, *Porites gabonensis*, *Schizoculina africana*, and *Schizoculina fissipara*), whose distribution ranges are poorly known, largely due to taxonomic uncertainty, were categorized as Data Deficient.

Species vulnerability traits

For each of the 85 species, data for ten traits were compiled (Table 1) developed from the list of traits used to inform the previous Red List assessment of corals [44] and expert opinion. The traits were used as indicators of species-specific vulnerability or resiliency to overall threats. Each trait was classified into three levels of relative vulnerability: highly susceptible, moderately susceptible, and more resistant (scored as 3, 2, and 1 respectively). Overall vulnerability of a species was based on the higher between the average or mode of all ten trait scores. Species which scored high across the majority of the traits were assumed to be more vulnerable to anthropogenic threats or other stressors. Moderate susceptibility represents the uncertainty of species vulnerability given the lack of trait data and is therefore treated as the middle value between species known to be highly vulnerable or more resistant to threats. Therefore, species

	1: More Resistant	2: Moderately Susceptible	3: Highly Susceptible
Total Depth Range	> 20 m	Unknown	\leq 20 m
Dominant Depth Range	> 10 m	Unknown	\leq 10 m
Generalized Global Abundance	Common	Uncommon & unknown	Rare
Restricted or Highly Fragmented Range	No	Unknown	Yes
Found Off - Reef	Yes	Unknown	No
Disease Vulnerability	Moderately or highly resistant	Unknown	Moderately or highly susceptible
SCTLD ^a Vulnerability	Low vulnerability	Unknown/ Presumed vulnerable	High & intermediate vulnerability
Bleaching Vulnerability	Moderately or highly resistant	Unknown	Moderately or highly susceptible
Recovery	Recovers quickly	Unknown	Does not recover quickly
Ocean Acidification Vulnerability	Pocilloporidae	Unknown	Acroporidae, Poritidae, Merulinidae, Dendrophylliidae, Agariciidae, Astrocoeniidae and Rhizangiidae

Table 1. Trait-based indicators of species relative vulnerability and resilience.

Species traits used to rank corals on their relative vulnerability and resilience to population decline. These traits informed population reduction as modeled by the Global Coral Reef Monitoring Network coral cover data and UNEP climate projections.

^aSCTLD – Stony Coral Tissue Loss Disease.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0309354.t001

themselves were classified as highly susceptible (rank 3), moderately susceptible (rank 2), and more resistant (rank 1).

These vulnerability traits were collated from previous coral extinction risk assessments [44], and other assessments that related species vulnerability to depth or depth range [42,53], disease or bleaching susceptibility [43,54,55], and recovery potential [56]. Trait information and classification for each species were also informed by the expert assessor knowledge and published trait data [3,4,57,58]. Where species-specific trait data were lacking, traits were assigned a middle score of 2 (moderately susceptible) which mainly applied for traits such as bleaching, disease, and SCTLD vulnerability and recovery potential.

Species given a highly susceptible (rank 3) score were characterized by the following traits: rare abundance with shallow (≤ 10 m) and/or narrow depth intervals (≤ 20 m); restricted to reef habitat with a highly fragmented population, and a moderate or high susceptibility to diseases and bleaching with a low recovery rate (See Table 1 for specific traits). By contrast, more resistant species were those with traits such as: common abundance with wider depth intervals, predominantly found in waters deeper than 10 m, and that can occur in habitats other than biogenic reef. These traits also included species that were observed to be resistant to disease and bleaching, had a low susceptibility to SCTLD, and had a high recovery rate from disease and/or bleaching.

Estimating past population trends: Coral cover loss

Estimates of coral cover loss for each species were calculated based on average coral cover changes across each species' distribution, adjusted by species traits. For this approach, modeled changes in historic coral cover from 1978–2019, compiled by the Global Coral Reef Monitoring Network (GCRMN) [11], were used as a surrogate for estimating population decline for coral species over the past three generations (1989–2019) in eight subregions (formed from one or more ecoregion) of the Atlantic [11]. Five subregions are within the Wider Caribbean region and three are within the Brazilian region. Model outputs included the median estimate of coral cover change (50%), as well as the upper (80%) and lower (20%) uncertainty bounds or confidence intervals available for each subregion [11]. For each of these subregions (*i*), coral cover changes (C_i) were calculated as the proportional percentage change from the starting value (C_0) to the current value (C_1) using the following equation:

$$C_i = ((C_1 - C_0)/C_0) \times 100$$

However, estimates of coral cover changes were not available within subregions of some coral species' ranges, including some parts of Brazil (i.e., Amazonia, Guiana, and southeastern Brazil), the eastern Atlantic, and the Mediterranean Sea. For these subregions, coral cover loss was conservatively treated as having no change over the 30-year period and given a value of zero for all years.

Total estimates of coral cover change within each species' range were calculated as the sum of the proportion of the species range within each subregion multiplied by the subregional coral cover percentage change over the three-generation length period (i.e., 1989–2019). Total species range (km^2) was measured in ArcGIS as well as the proportion that coincides within each of the Atlantic subregions (*i*). The proportion of species' ranges (*R*) within each subregion was multiplied by the percentage coral cover change (*C_i*) for the corresponding subregion calculated from the GCRMN model and summed together for an estimation of total decline using the following equation.

$$Total \ decline = \sum (R_i \times C_i)$$

Based on species trait scores, moderately susceptible species (overall vulnerability score of 2) were assigned estimates of coral cover change from the median estimate (50%), whereas the highly susceptible (overall vulnerability score of 3) and more resistant (overall vulnerability score of 1) species were assigned coral cover changes calculated from the upper (80%) and lower (20%) bounds respectively.

Projecting future population trends: Annual severe bleaching

For each species, future population decline was estimated based on the projected onset of annual severe bleaching (ASB) over a three-generation length time period, corresponding to 2020–2050. The onset of ASB was taken from SST projections made available by UNEP, which combined the 2019 IPCC CMIP6 global climate models and WCMC-UNEP global coral reef distribution map [52,59]. ASB describes the timeframe during which reefs are expected to experience severe bleaching events annually that result in large reductions in live coral and are not predicted to recover [60]. Heat stress for corals is quantified when sea surface temperature (SST) exceeds 1°C above the maximum monthly mean, and the indicator is cumulative during a three-month period [61,62]. ASB is expected to occur above eight Degree Heating Weeks (DHWs), which may reflect a 1°C exceedance over eight weeks, 2°C for four weeks, etc., during the 3-month window. We used the most recent global climate models, CMIP6, from which annual DHW values were calculated for 27-x-27-km grid cells that contain reef habitat (to 30 m depth) across the globe. SST projections were unavailable for the eastern Atlantic given the absence of reef habitat.

For each species, the thermal grids [52] were clipped to the species' distribution polygon using ArcGIS with the average year of the onset of ASB estimated from the resulting grid cells. The onset of ASB was based on SST changes as projected from two CMIP6 scenarios based on Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSP): SSP5-8.5, potentially representing current global emissions following a precautionary approach recommended by Red List guidelines, and SSP2-4.5 representing a future reduction in emissions under current enacted climate policy [52,63,64]. Each scenario accounted for the potential adaptation of species to thermal stress. In doing so, the 1°C exceedance over the monthly maximum was increased by incremental quarter-degree Celsius intervals (e.g., between 0 – 2°C over the 1°C average monthly mean threshold) [52]. This effectively pushes back the projected onset of ASB due to adaptation potential.

The potential for adaptability to heat stress was based on species' overall vulnerability to bleaching Table 1. Species that are estimated or known to be resistant or moderately susceptible to bleaching were inferred to have the ability to adapt to a small degree of heating. So, the estimate of ASB for these species were based on the likelihood that the species may adapt to at least 1°C above the 1°C exceedance over the monthly maximum. Species with a high susceptibility to bleaching were inferred to have a low potential for adaptation to heat stress, and therefore the 0°C quarter degree interval was applied. We assumed a higher potential for climate adaptation given higher potential for surviving heating events [65]. The coarse scale for inferred adaptability is due to the lack of a comprehensive metric to assess species-level adaptation to heat stress.

In either case, if the average year of ASB onset was projected to occur within three generation lengths (i.e., before 2050), then a projected decline of at least 80% (i.e., Critically Endangered) was assumed. In this conservative approach, a decline of at least 80% at the onset of ASB is likely an underestimation, as it has been shown corals are unlikely to survive two severe bleaching events per decade [63].

Additionally, to account for UNEP depth model limitations and potential depth refugia, if the species' dominant depth range Table 1 extended deeper than 30 m, the proportion of the

range within 0 – 30 m was multiplied by 80% to estimate the average proportional decline based on that species' depth range. This accounts for coral species with shallow depth preferences being more frequently exposed to extreme temperatures compared to those that also occur at deeper, cooler depths [66] though there are some exceptions and corals in larger depths can have lower thermal thresholds [67,68].

Updated method comparison

The first global extinction risk assessment of corals [44] used similar species traits but a different dataset on coral cover loss, and it did not have access to projected temperature changes. Its estimates of coral cover loss were based on expert judgement aggregated from subregions of the globe, divided into the categories "percentage of destroyed" and "destroyed plus declining" reef area [38]. It is thus not possible to directly compare the 2008 coral Red List categories with these newly updated categories. Instead, to enable an assessment of change in status over time, we used our current data and methods to back-cast coral cover changes. CMIP6 climate projections are not suitable for back-casting, as the projected DHWs are only available between 2015 – 2100, not back to 2004 – 2008, the timing of the first assessment.

The complete list of species, traits, trait scores, GCRMN declines, and back-casting estimates, average years of ASB onset at 0°C and 1°C degrees of adaptation for both the SSP5 8.5 and SSP2 4.5 scenarios, as well as the final Red List categories for all 85 Atlantic coral species are available in the Supplemental Materials.

Results

The extinction risk of Atlantic corals

Updated assessments for the 85 Atlantic reef-building corals for the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species were released in December 2022 on the IUCN Red List website (www.iucnredlist. org). A total of 39 species, representing 45.88% of the species assessed (*Fig 2*) are listed in a threatened category (CR, EN, or VU). The best estimate of the percentage of threatened



Fig 2. Proportion of Atlantic reef-building corals in IUCN red List categories by family. Number of species in parentheses. Red List categories are distinguished by color; Critically Endangered (CR) red, Endangered (EN) orange, Vulnerable (VU) yellow, Near Threatened (NT) green, Least Concern (LC) dark green, and Data Deficient (DD) gray.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0309354.g002

species, accounting for Data Deficient (DD) species, is 50% (45.88% - 54.12%) of all Atlantic reef-building corals.

From the updated assessment, the coral families with the highest proportion of species threatened include; Acroporidae and Dendrophylliidae (100%), Agariciidae, Cladocoridae, Faviidae, Meandrinidae, Merulinidae, and Milleporidae (\geq 50%). There are just two species of *Acropora* in the Atlantic (*A. cervicornis* and *A. palmata*), both of which qualify for Critically Endangered (CR) status due to observed past population declines. There is also a single species of Dendrophylliidae (*Balanophyllia europaea*), endemic to the Mediterranean, which was classified as Vulnerable.

Since the 2008 assessment, six additional species have been described and are now officially recognized as distinct, increasing the number of assessed Atlantic reef-building corals from 79 to 85 species. Of the 79 species listed in 2008, 12 (15.2%) were listed in a threatened category (CR, EN, VU), two (2.5%) as Near Threatened (NT), 45 (57%) as Least Concern (LC), and 20 (25.3%) as DD [44]. When compared to the current listing, 32.9% (26 of 79 species) now have a higher extinction risk (e.g., VU to CR or LC to NT, etc.) than previously recognized. In contrast, only 3.8% (3 of 79 species) qualify for a lower extinction risk (e.g., CR to EN or VU to LC, etc.). However, it should be noted that all but one of these changes represents 'non-genuine' changes in listed extinction risk. A result due to the different methodologies utilized for the 2008 assessment and the current update. *Dendrogyra cylindrus* is the only species to have a 'genuine' change in status from VU to CR, given that both assessments have been informed by species-specific population data and not surrogate datasets. Further, most species (38% or 30 of 79 species), have maintained their previously determined extinction risk category. The extinction risk of 15 species previously categorized as DD were also assessed due to sufficient data, but five species (5.9%) of the total 85 remain DD.

Spatial distribution of threatened corals

Regarding the distribution of the assessed reef-building corals, most species are concentrated in the WC (68 species in total) (Fig 3). The Greater Antilles has the most corals with 63 species, followed closely by surrounding ecoregions (e.g., Southern Caribbean & Southwestern Caribbean). Of the 68 coral species in the WC, 51 are endemic (i.e., 75% of the coral diversity in the WC). Guianan ecoregion is found to have 59 species, but this is likely due to the proximity to the Southwestern Caribbean and so many species' distribution maps are marked in ArcGIS as occurring in Brazil. The Brazilian province has the second highest number of corals across its ecoregions (23 species; nine endemics representing 13% of Brazil's diversity) with Northeastern Brazil acting as the local diversity hotspot (23 species). The Eastern Atlantic has an overall lower number of corals (14 total; three endemics which represent 21% of the Eastern Atlantic's diversity), with most eastern species found off the African coast in ecoregions such as the Gulf of Guinea Central (12 species). The Mediterranean Sea only has four species known to occur throughout all its ecoregions, with three of them endemics. It must be highlighted that the only reef-building coral in this sea (*C. caespitosa*) is listed as threatened.

The WC hosts the highest number of threatened species as seen in the Southern Caribbean and Southwestern Caribbean (28 species), but proportionally has an overall lower percentage given higher species richness. Brazilian ecoregions host the second highest number of threatened species, with most of the regionally threatened species found in Northeastern Brazil (13 species). Overall, the Brazilian province has a high percentage of at-risk species and contains the ecoregion with the highest ratio of threatened species, Trindade and Martim Vaz Islands with 62.5% of corals (five of eight species). There is a relatively low number of threatened corals found to occur within the Eastern Atlantic ecoregions, as seen in the Cape Verde and





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0309354.g003

Azores Canaries Madeira (one species each). The low species richness, however, contributes to a high percentage of at-risk species in the Mediterranean, with 50% (two of four) of species threatened. The West African coast has the lowest percentage of threatened species within the Atlantic but the highest percentage of those classified as DD. In the Gulf of Guinea, 50% (three of six species) of corals are listed as DD.

Annual severe bleaching

We highlight that most coral species found to be highly vulnerable to bleaching under both emission scenarios (SSP5-8.5 representing current global emissions and SSP2-4.5 representing future reductions in emissions) (Table 1) qualified for CR status. Exceptions were made for species whose dominant depth range exceeds 30 m from the surface, as a proportional decline was calculated from the assumed minimum decline of 80% due to the onset of ASB. On average, under SSP5-8.5, ASB is expected to occur by 2030 (not considering the possibility of adaptation) and under SSP2-4.5 this is only extended by three years (2033). This was for all the species that the projections were applied to. With only a three-year delay in the onset of ASB between scenarios, even the reduced emission scenario is well within the three-generation length time frame (30 years). In fact, both scenarios predict the onset of ASB within the time frame of a single generation.

Coral cover

Seven species qualified for NT status based on the GCRMN coral cover data (*Agaricia graha-mae, Madracis formosa, Mussa angulosa, Orbicella franksi, Porites branneri, Pseudodiploria clivosa,* and *Stephanocoenia intersepta*). A single species (*Millepora striata*) qualified for VU status under both the GCRMN and IPCC approaches. Overall, species rich ecoregions that represent large proportions of species' total ranges have estimated positive trends in coral coverage (especially for the median estimate and 20% CI). For example, the Greater Antilles Ecoregion has an average +34.28% coral cover change over the past 30 years, while also representing the highest number of extant corals. Therefore, when using coral cover estimates, many species are estimated to have increases in population.

Yet, the reported changes in population are likely overestimations as minimum convex polygons (including marine area extending up to 200km from the coastline) were utilized to create species ranges, rather than species area of occurrence. For most of the species, occurrence data are not sufficient to calculate the area of occurrence, so minimum convex polygons provide a consistent means to measure species range and assess sub-regional losses of habitat [48]. Additionally, known reef layers fail to account for suitable habitat outside of reefs and cannot be used to create range maps for species in regions lacking coral reefs, such as the West African coast and the Mediterranean Sea.

Estimated coral cover change for several ecoregions had not been calculated due to the lack of sufficient coral cover data compiled by the GCRMN. These include the northeastern coast of the United States, southern Brazil, mid-Atlantic islands, and the entirety of the West African coast and Mediterranean Sea. For these ecoregions that may be at the margins of coral reef distribution, coral cover change was assumed to be zero. In doing so, the decline estimates for species with a large proportion of their range within these marginal areas may not accurately reflect total decline nor their true extinction risk.

Back-casting to 2008 and comparison to 2021

In comparing the time-series 1978 - 2008 (T_1) with 1989 - 2019 (T_2) from the eight Atlantic subregions with available GCRMN estimates, T_1 depicts greater losses in coral cover in species rich ecoregions (i.e., Greater Antilles and Western and Southwestern Caribbean) (Fig 4). In contrast, T_2 estimates more gains in coral coverage, that are applicable to species determined to be moderately and highly susceptible to decline, whereas gains in T_1 are applied solely to more resistant species. It is likely that severe losses in the 1980s displayed a more drastic reduction in live coral cover that has yet to be rivaled in more recent decades, as hypothesized in parts of the Indo-Pacific [69]. The estimated gains in coral cover for select regions may point





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0309354.g004

towards species adaptation to reoccurring threats (i.e., thermal stress and disease), environmental filtering of more susceptible species, or the alleviation of some local threats (i.e., coastal runoff, eutrophication, overfishing, etc.). However, the role that continuously rising SST, as modeled by the IPCC, will have on this trend remains uncertain.

If the GCRMN data were available during the 2008 assessments and used to categorize species, 32.9% (26 of 79 species) of corals would have qualified for a higher extinction risk than they were assigned. The number of changes would have been equal to those reported for this current update. Only 2.5% (two of 79) would have qualified for a lower extinction risk, and 39.2% (31 of 79) would have remained in the same category. However, the changes in status do not necessarily reflect the same finalized categorization identified in this current update. For example, *Agaricia grahamae* (originally LC) would have qualified for VU status using the T_1 time series (based on a decline of -41.45% coral cover), but currently qualifies for NT status using the T_2 time series (based on a decline of -25.45% coral cover). Overall, the GCRMN data suggest that loss of coral cover was more significant in T_1 when compared to the T_2 time series and is reflected in the threatened status of Atlantic corals, which would have been more threatened in 2008 compared to this current update.

Discussion

Using the data-driven methodology applied in this study, the best estimate for the percentage of threatened Atlantic shallow water corals is approximately 50% (45.88% - 54.12%). According to this reassessment, a genuine change in status was only reported for one species - *Dendrogyra cylindrus* (VU to CR). A result which was based on observations of severe decline due to the recent spread and impacts of SCTLD on this species [70]. All other reported changes in status are considered non-genuine due to differences in methodologies between assessments.

Thus, these results cannot accurately reflect realized deteriorations or recoveries of species population but rather differences in modeled data, which will need to be communicated appropriately depending upon the application of results for different policies and conservation actions.

To address this and to try and track changes in Red List status and identify potential 'genuine' changes, we performed a back-casting exercise to compare current decline estimates with those of 2008 using the same datasets. Doing so contributes to the Red List Index, which tracks changes in species status over time, highlighting marked improvements or deteriorations in extinction risk. Which in turn, better supports policies and management plans protecting atrisk species [46,71,72]. However, we were unable to fully replicate our methods as the UNEP (2020) projections only go back to 2015.

Recognizing that we cannot compare our data to the 2008 assessment, we still hold true to the data underpinning these assessments are the most up-to-date estimations of rising SST and changes in coral cover in the region [11,52]. Further, we also illustrate that via both the IPCC and GCRMN models utilized, an alarming number of Atlantic corals are listed as threatened. Moving forward we now have access to these freely available datasets for future reassessments and the overall identification of potential biodiversity loss.

For this assessment process, such datasets were also combined with a trait-based approach to estimate individual species' vulnerability and resiliency to decline. Most studies on the loss of corals typically focus on the severity of coral reef loss at either regional or global levels [20,21,33,61,73–75]. While insights at the biome/ecosystem level are important for corals, changes in species composition are more relevant for measures of biodiversity and may be of higher priority to management/conservation plans [76]. Individual coral species differentially contribute to reef function, vary in their relative abundance, and respond to environmental stressors at differing degrees [41,77]. For example, in WC the abrupt coral die-off following the SCTLD outbreak disproportionally reduced the abundance of species that are key providers of three-dimensionality, shifting to the dominance of assemblages dominated by taxa with simpler morphological attributes and slower growth rates [28]. Understanding how each species has responded or is likely to respond to certain threats can provide a better understanding of shifts in population and ecosystem functionality [78].

Species traits

The use of species-specific biological and ecological traits functioned to differentiate levels of vulnerability and resiliency to past and future threats [79]. This builds off the work of other studies that based species risk on differences in exposure, sensitivity, and adaptability [42,44]. However, as is common in trait-based approaches, gaps exist in the trait data for many species as well as for certain sets of traits. This was particularly the case for Eastern Atlantic (e.g., *Oculina patagonica*) species. SCTLD vulnerability had the most data gaps, given it is a relatively new disease and studies on its severity are not comprehensive for all corals [54]. Other traits, such as disease and bleaching vulnerability in general, are predominantly informed by expert opinion due to the lack of standardized measures of species susceptibility and are more subjective reflections of risk. In many cases, traits were treated as unknown values and received the middle trait rank of two (i.e., moderately susceptible), given the uncertainty in how the vulnerability compares to other corals.

Trait-based approaches are highly sensitive to the quality of trait information available, the number of traits considered, and how species are grouped [80]. Our approach utilized ten trait-based indicators of vulnerability and resiliency, including both continuous and categorical data divided amongst three levels of risk. By filling in missing traits information, including

additional traits (e.g., pollution vulnerability), and/or altering grouping structure (i.e., modified thresholds, increased number of ranks, etc.), there is potential to alter species risk level. Additionally, we did not differentially assign weights to traits based on the level of impact or potential threat. It can be argued that bleaching vulnerability plays a larger role in population reduction compared to other traits, considering rising SST [81]. However, to what extent this is true relative to all threats remains unclear given the spatial and temporal variability of threats [45].

Moving forward, the usefulness of trait-based approaches (as utilized here) will benefit from more comprehensive studies on the response of species to known and potential threats that emphasize relevant trait characteristics [57,82]. Such work should consider both interspecies variation amongst different taxa, as well as intraspecies variation within populations. Outlining relative vulnerability and resiliency (comparing species), or specified thresholds of risk (limits of survival), can better equip trait-based approaches to accurately differentiate more atrisk from highly resistant species.

Coral cover

The ten trait-based indicators resulted in the final rankings (3-highly susceptible, 2-moderately susceptible, and 1-more resistant) that ultimately determined the assigned level of decline (80%, 50%, and 20% CIs respectively) - as modelled by the GCRMN's estimated changes in coral cover. The loss of live coral cover has served as a measure of habitat decline and ecosystem state in other studies [1,63]. Given that such data provide a poor proxy for species-level declines, species traits were used to distinguish those likely to have experienced severe losses in their population compared to more resistant corals.

Yet, geographical differences in baseline conditions and community structure are important factors when assessing population decline [83]. We assumed the populations at the ecoregion level were proportional to species' total range. However, corals are not distributed evenly across reefs with various species serving as either the dominant reef-builders or rare species across sites with shifts in community structures commonly occurring after disturbances [23,77,84]. Some species may even increase with an overall decline in coral cover, due to reduced competition, faster rates of reproduction and growth rates, and or higher resiliency becoming increasingly more common when compared to historic community assemblages [84,85]. Thus, species traits serve as indicators of species vulnerability and resiliency, but still provide a poor proxy to true long-term population data across a species range.

For the various ecoregions, the GCRMN concludes that the WC is undergoing a large-scale transition towards an algal-dominated state, with Brazil seeing a more heterogenous relationship between live coral cover and algal cover [11]. Yet, for both regions, increases in coral cover are reported to be occurring. Especially in regional species diversity hotspots (i.e., Greater Antilles and Northeastern Brazil). In these cases, there is also an apparent stabilization in algal cover over the last few decades [11]. That said, it is highly unlikely that these 'positive trends' in live coral cover are even for all species. More data on community structure and species richness and evenness at all scales can better inform both species range maps and population models to improve our reflection of changes over time.

Climate change and the interacting effects on coral

Only two trait-based indicators (bleaching vulnerability and dominant depth range) were ultimately used to inform species climate susceptibility to changing SST as modeled by the IPCC CMIP6 projections. Species ranked more resistant and moderately susceptible (rank 1 and 2 respectively) to bleaching susceptibility and assumed to be capable of adapting to 1°C above the maximum monthly mean, saw as much as a 30-40-year delay in the onset of ASB when compared to highly susceptible (rank 3) species. For species with a rank of 1 or 2, ASB is projected to occur in 2059 under SSP5-8.5 and 2073 under SSP2-4.5 (as opposed to 2030 and 2033 respectively for highly susceptible species). Of note, is that again both timelines are beyond the three-generation length time frame. The several decades delay in the onset of ASB for rank 1 & 2 species highlights both the value of a small degree of adaptation and the large discrepancies that are created from using such a model [86,87].

Given that the IPCC climate projections include quarter degree intervals between $0 - 2^{\circ}C$, there is potential to account for adaptation at a finer scale based on variation in the adaptability of species. However, with no metric to rank corals on bleaching susceptibility to this degree (related to the variation in interspecies adaptive potential), it remains challenging to assign species a level of adaptability that likely reflects their relative risk. We therefore had to assume a potential for adaptation given evidence to suggest corals are capable of acclimatizing and adapting to rising temperatures [56,65]. Nevertheless, there remains a need for a more comprehensive understanding of the factors that contribute to coral adaptation to changing climatic conditions and how this varies across biological and geographic scales [88].

Simultaneously, the dominant depth range was used to account for potential depth refugia for corals that are predominately found in deeper cooler waters and the 30 m depth limitation of the IPCC projections. Thus, species with wide depth ranges were estimated to experience reduced declines, assuming the potential for refugia from thermal stress in waters deeper than 30m [66,89]. However, the possibility for deeper waters to function as climate refugia remains contested [90]. Corals experiencing thermal stress at shallower depths may also experience it at deeper depths [67,68]. Therefore, again there is a degree of uncertainty in the decline estimates for corals with particularly wide depth ranges.

In a sensitivity analysis (comparing the assumed 80% decline as occurring at the predicted onset of ASB to that of the alternate threshold of the first instance of two bleaching events per decade), we found there to be no cases where changes in extinction risk status were needed. This is because, on average, two bleaching events per decade occur roughly four to seven years before the onset of ASB. Given that the average predicted onset of ASB for the Caribbean is 2033, either estimate of an 80% decline (e.g. onset of ASB or onset of two bleaching events per decade) occur well within the three-generation length time period (e.g. before 2050).

Regionally, the IPCC projections predict highly unsuitable conditions for Atlantic coral reefs, with modeled elevated SSTs seeing an on average onset of ASB by 2030. Even the 'top refugia' identified for the region (the Bahamas and the US-Florida & Texas) are still projected to see ASB after 2044, thus placing corals at risk within the next three-generations [52]. This amount of consistent widespread thermal stress will have ecosystem level impacts that go beyond inducing ASB events [56,91]. While the impact of climate change will no doubt be severe, the inclusion of species adaptation remains underrepresented in projected climate outcomes [92–94].

Conclusions

A major challenge for coral species assessments is the lack of species-specific population data [44,50] alongside the challenges in coral species delimitation and current instability in their taxonomy [95–97]. Comparing relative extinction risk amongst species is aided by the availability of documented changes in populations. In the absence of such data, assessments must rely on modeled, inferred, or projected changes in populations [45]. Modeled data introduce uncertainty and makes it difficult to compare assessments that estimate declines differently [72]. Subsequently, comparing the severity of decline amongst species becomes challenging,

especially when a clear metric is lacking. Species traits served as the means to distinguish more resilient corals from vulnerable species but was impeded by a lack of species data. The degree of uncertainty in these assessments emphasizes the need for standardized methodologies and the importance of filling the gaps in species-specific data. More research is needed on understanding species' boundaries, adaptability to threats, populations and community dynamics, and documenting trait characteristics for all corals as well as the resolution of taxonomic uncertainty for the DD species (*Millepora laboreli*, *Oculina robusta*, *Oculina tenella*, *Oculina valenciennesi*, *Porites gabonensis*, *Schizoculina africana*, and *Schizoculina fissipara*).

Considering the threats facing coral reef ecosystems, the importance of continued monitoring, protection, and conservation efforts only become more vital. Globally, considerable progress needs to be made to climate policy as even the reduced emissions scenario (SSP2-4.5) projects the regional onset of annual severe bleaching events within the next decade. Yet, there might still be potential for adaptation to warmer waters (both at the species and ecosystem level), but this potential may be offset by more local perturbations. Mitigating local threats is especially valuable for the WC as it undergoes a continued transition towards an algal-dominated state. The protection and restoration of key herbivores (i.e., Diadema and parrotfishes), the management of pollutants and fishing practices, and the establishment and enhancement of marine protected areas (MPAs) could help reverse this trend and further encourage gains in coral cover as has been reported in some localities (e.g., Northeastern Brazil) [11]. Future reefs may see shifts in coral communities as species seek suitable habitat in response to climate change [98–101]. Protecting suitable waters outside of reef habitat or low-density reefs may increase the survivability of species as they migrate and/or adapt to changing SST. With the uncertainty in species potential for adaptation, maintaining biodiversity serves as an important safety net in ensuring climate-resilient reef systems.

Supporting information

S1 Dataset. Complete Atlantic coral species & assessors list. Dataset used to compile all relevant information used to inform species assessments including taxonomic information, trait rankings, year of annual severe bleaching onset for both CMIP6 SSP2-4.5 and SSP5-8.5 for degree intervals 0 and 1 based on species distributions, and percent decline as calculated from GCRMN data for the 20, 50, and 80 percentiles based on species distributions including back-casted declines for comparison to 2008 assessment results. Included is a full list of associated assessors and their affiliations. (XLSX)

Acknowledgments

We thank the contributors to the Caribbean & Brazil GCRMN as well as the UNEP IPCC for the data used for these assessments. We are very grateful to Ruben van Hooidonk, Christopher Cromwell, and Daniel Barshis for their expertise in method development and review. Additionally, we extend thanks to Joanna Bluemel for providing important trait data and editorial edits.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Beth Polidoro, David Obura, Francoise Cabada-Blanco.

Data curation: Luis Gutierrez, Beth Polidoro, David Obura, Francoise Cabada-Blanco, Christi Linardich, Krista Kemppinen.

Formal analysis: Beth Polidoro, Christi Linardich.

Funding acquisition: Beth Polidoro, David Obura.

Investigation: Beth Polidoro.

Methodology: Luis Gutierrez, Beth Polidoro, Christi Linardich.

Project administration: Beth Polidoro, David Obura, Francoise Cabada-Blanco, Christi Linardich, Emma Pettersson, Paul Pearce-Kelly.

Resources: Beth Polidoro, David Obura.

Supervision: Beth Polidoro, David Obura, Francoise Cabada-Blanco, Paul Pearce-Kelly.

Validation: Luis Gutierrez, Beth Polidoro, David Obura, Francoise Cabada-Blanco, Christi Linardich, Juan Jose Alvarado, Lorenzo Alvarez-Filip, Anastazia Banaszak, Pilar Casado de Amezua, James Crabbe, Aldo Croquer, Joshua Feingold, Elizabeth Goergen, Stefano Goffredo, Bert Hoeksema, Danwei Huang, Emma Kennedy, Diego Kersting, Marcelo Kitahara, Petar Kružić, Margaret Miller, Flavia Nunes, Juan Pablo Quimbayo, Andrea Rivera-Sosa, Rosa Rodríguez-Martínez, Nadia Santodomingo, Michael Sweet, Mark Vermeij, Estrella Villamizar, Greta Aeby, Khatija Alliji, Daniel Bayley, Elena Couce, Benjamin Cowburn, C. Isabel Nuñez Lendo, Sean Porter, Kaveh Samimi-Namin, Tom Shlesinger, Bryan Wilson.

Visualization: Luis Gutierrez.

Writing – original draft: Luis Gutierrez.

Writing – review & editing: Beth Polidoro, David Obura, Francoise Cabada-Blanco, Christi Linardich, Paul Pearce-Kelly, Juan Jose Alvarado, Lorenzo Alvarez-Filip, Anastazia Banaszak, Pilar Casado de Amezua, James Crabbe, Aldo Croquer, Joshua Feingold, Elizabeth Goergen, Stefano Goffredo, Bert Hoeksema, Danwei Huang, Emma Kennedy, Diego Kersting, Marcelo Kitahara, Petar Kružić, Margaret Miller, Flavia Nunes, Juan Pablo Quimbayo, Andrea Rivera-Sosa, Rosa Rodríguez-Martínez, Nadia Santodomingo, Michael Sweet, Mark Vermeij, Estrella Villamizar, Greta Aeby, Khatija Alliji, Daniel Bayley, Elena Couce, Benjamin Cowburn, C. Isabel Nuñez Lendo, Sean Porter, Kaveh Samimi-Namin, Tom Shlesinger, Bryan Wilson.

References

- Keith DA, Rodríguez JP, Rodríguez-Clark KM, Nicholson E, Aapala K, Alonso A, et al. Scientific Foundations for an IUCN Red List of Ecosystems. Convertino M, editor.PLoS ONE. 2013 May 8; 8(5): e62111. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0062111 PMID: 23667454
- Briggs JC. Coral reefs: Conserving the evolutionary sources. Biological Conservation. 2005 Dec; 126 (3):297–305.
- WoRMS Editorial Board. World Register of Marine Species. [Internet]. 2022. [cited 2022 July]. Available from: https://www.marinespecies.org at VLIZ. https://doi.org/10.14284/170
- Veron J.E.N., Stafford-Smith M.G., Turak E. and DeVantier L.M. (2016). Corals of the World. Version [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2021 June]; Available from: http://www.coralsoftheworld.org/synonymy_lookup/ ?version=0.01.
- Kuffner IB, Toth LT. A geological perspective on the degradation and conservation of western Atlantic coral reefs. Conservation Biology. 2016; 30(4):706–15. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12725 PMID: 27029403
- 6. Roff G. Evolutionary History Drives Biogeographic Patterns of Coral Reef Resilience. BioScience. 2020 Dec 16; biaa145.

- McWilliam M, Hoogenboom MO, Baird AH, Kuo CY, Madin JS, Hughes TP. Biogeographical Disparity in the functional diversity and redundancy of corals. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2018 Mar 20; 115 (12):3084–9. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1716643115 PMID: 29507193
- O'Dea A, Lessios HA, Coates AG, Eytan RI, Restrepo-Moreno SA, Cione AL, et al. Formation of the Isthmus of Panama. Sci Adv. 2016 Aug 5; 2(8):e1600883. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1600883</u> PMID: 27540590
- Estrada-Saldívar N, Jordán-Dalhgren E, Rodríguez-Martínez RE, Perry C, Alvarez-Filip L. Functional consequences of the long-term decline of reef-building corals in the Caribbean: evidence of acrossreef functional convergence. R Soc open sci. 2019 Oct; 6(10):190298. <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.</u> 190298 PMID: 31824686
- Perry CT, Alvarez-Filip L. Changing geo-ecological functions of coral reefs in the Anthropocene. Functional Ecology. 2019; 33(6):976–88.
- Souter D, Planes S, Wicquart J, Logan M, Obura D, Staub F. Status of Coral Reefs of the World: 2020. 2020;20.
- Teschima MM, Zilberberg C, Nunes FLD. Strong genetic differentiation demarks populations of Favia across biogeographic regions of the Atlantic Ocean. Coral Reefs. 2022 Jun; 41(3):523–34.
- De Souza JN, Nunes FLD, Zilberberg C, Sanchez JA, Migotto AE, Hoeksema BW, et al. Contrasting patterns of connectivity among endemic and widespread fire coral species (Millepora spp.) in the tropical Southwestern Atlantic. Coral Reefs. 2017 Sep; 36(3):701–16.
- Carlon DB, Budd AF. INCIPIENT SPECIATION ACROSS A DEPTH GRADIENT IN A SCLERACTI-NIAN CORAL? Evolution. 2002 Nov; 56(11):2227–42. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2002</u>. tb00147.x PMID: 12487353
- 15. Leão ZMAN Kikuchi RKP, Ferreira BP Neves EG, Sovierzoski HH Oliveira MDM, et al. Brazilian coral reefs in a period of global change: A synthesis. Braz j oceanogr. 2016; 64(spe2):97–116.
- Nunes FLD, Norris RD, Knowlton N. Long Distance Dispersal and Connectivity in Amphi-Atlantic Corals at Regional and Basin Scales. Steinke D, editor. PLoS ONE. 2011 Jul 22; 6(7): e22298. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0022298</u> PMID: 21799816
- Principe SC, Acosta AL, Andrade JE, Lotufo TMC. Predicted Shifts in the Distributions of Atlantic Reef Building Corals in the Face of Climate Change. Front Mar Sci. 2021 Jul 19; 8:673086.
- Mies M, Francini-Filho RB, Zilberberg C, Garrido AG, Longo GO, Laurentino E, et al. South Atlantic Coral Reefs Are Major Global Warming Refugia and Less Susceptible to Bleaching. Front Mar Sci. 2020 Jun 25; 7:514.
- 19. Irving R. Ascension Island's Hermatypic but Non-Reef Building Corals. In 2013. p. 213–21.
- 20. Jackson EJ, Donovan M, Cramer K, Lam V. STATUS AND TRENDS OF CARIBBEAN CORAL REEFS: 1970-2012. 2014;306.
- Gardner TA, Côté IM, Gill JA, Grant A, Watkinson AR. Long-Term Region-Wide Declines in Caribbean Corals. Science. 2003 Aug 15; 301(5635):958–60. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1086050 PMID: 12869698
- Hewson I, Ritchie IT, Evans JS, Altera A, Behringer D, Bowman E, et al. A scuticociliate causes mass mortality of *Diadema antillarum* in the Caribbean Sea. Sci Adv. 2023 Apr 21; 9(16): eadg3200. https:// doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adg3200 PMID: 37075109
- Cramer KL, Donovan MK, Jackson JBC, Greenstein BJ, Korpanty CA, Cook GM, et al. The transformation of Caribbean coral communities since humans. Ecology and Evolution. 2021 Aug; 11 (15):10098–118. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.7808 PMID: 34367562
- Muñiz-Castillo AI, Rivera-Sosa A, Chollett I, Eakin CM, Andrade-Gómez L, McField M, et al. Three decades of heat stress exposure in Caribbean coral reefs: a new regional delineation to enhance conservation. Sci Rep. 2019 Dec; 9(1):11013. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-47307-0</u> PMID: <u>31358849</u>
- Muller EM, Rogers CS, Spitzack AS, Van Woesik R. Bleaching increases likelihood of disease on Acropora palmata (Lamarck) in Hawksnest Bay, St John, US Virgin Islands. Coral Reefs. 2008 Mar; 27(1):191–5.
- Randall CJ, Van Woesik R. Contemporary white-band disease in Caribbean corals driven by climate change. Nature Clim Change. 2015 Apr; 5(4):375–9.
- 27. Eakin CM, Morgan JA, Heron SF, Smith TB, Liu G, Alvarez-Filip L, et al. Caribbean Corals in Crisis: Record Thermal Stress, Bleaching, and Mortality in 2005. Romanuk TN, editor. PloS ONE. 2010 Nov 15; 5(11): e13969. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0013969 PMID: 21125021
- 28. Alvarez-Filip L, González-Barrios FJ, Pérez-Cervantes E, Molina-Hernández A, Estrada Saldívar N. Stony coral tissue loss disease decimated Caribbean coral populations and reshaped reef

functionality. Commun Biol. 2022 Jun 9; 5(1):440. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-022-03398-6 PMID: 35681037

- 29. Sharp WC, Shea CP, Maxwell KE, Muller EM, Hunt JH. Evaluating the small-scale epidemiology of the stony-coral -tissue-loss-disease in the middle Florida Keys. Keshavmurthy S, editor. PloS ONE. 2020 Nov 11; 15(11): e0241871. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241871 PMID: 33175886
- Van Woesik R, Randall CJ. Coral disease hotspots in the Caribbean. Ecosphere. 2017 May; 8(5): e01814.
- Muller EM, Sartor C, Alcaraz NI, Van Woesik R. Spatial Epidemiology of the Stony-Coral-Tissue Loss Disease in Florida. Front Mar Sci. 2020 Mar 19; 7:163.
- Lange ID, Perry CT, Alvarez-Filip L. Carbonate budgets as indicators of functional reef "health": A critical review of data underpinning census-based methods and current knowledge gaps. Ecological Indicators. 2020 Mar; 110:105857.
- Duarte GAS, Villela HDM, Deocleciano M, Silva D, Barno A, Cardoso PM, et al. Heat Waves Are a Major Threat to Turbid Coral Reefs in Brazil. Front Mar Sci. 2020 Mar 30; 7:179.
- **34.** De Oliveira Soares M, Teixeira CEP, Ferreira SMC, Gurgel ALAR, Paiva BP, Menezes MOB, et al. Thermal stress and tropical reefs: mass coral bleaching in a stable temperature environment? Mar Biodivers. 2019 Dec; 49(6):2921–9.
- Teixeira CD, Leitão RLL, Ribeiro FV, Moraes FC, Neves LM, Bastos AC, et al. Sustained mass coral bleaching (2016–2017) in Brazilian turbid-zone reefs: taxonomic, cross-shelf and habitat-related trends. Coral Reefs. 2019 Aug; 38(4):801–13.
- Ferreira BP, Costa MBSF, Coxey MS, Gaspar ALB, Veleda D, Araujo M. The effects of sea surface temperature anomalies on oceanic coral reef systems in the southwestern tropical Atlantic. Coral Reefs. 2013 Jun; 32(2):441–54.
- Donovan MK, Burkepile DE, Kratochwill C, Shlesinger T, Sully S, Oliver TA, et al. Local conditions magnify coral loss after marine heatwaves. Science. 2021 May 28; 372(6545):977–80. https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.abd9464 PMID: 34045353
- **38.** Wilkinson C. Status of coral reefs of the world: 2004. Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville, Queensland, Australia. 2004.
- McWilliam M., Dornelas M., Álvarez-Noriega M., Baird A. H., Connolly S. R., & Madin J. S. Net effects of life-history traits explain persistent differences in abundance among similar species. Ecology. 2023; 104(1): e3863. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3863 PMID: 36056537
- **40.** Shlesinger T, van Woesik R. Different population trajectories of two reef-building corals with similar Life history traits. Journal of Animal Ecology. 2021; 90(5):1379–89. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.13463</u> PMID: 33666226
- **41.** González-Barrios FJ, Álvarez-Filip L. A framework for measuring coral species-specific contribution To reef functioning in the Caribbean. Ecological Indicators. 2018 Dec; 95:877–86.
- Foden WB, Butchart SHM, Stuart SN, Vié JC, Akçakaya HR, Angulo A, et al. Identifying the World's Most Climate Change Vulnerable Species: A Systematic Trait-Based Assessment of all Birds, Amphibians and Corals. Lavergne S, editor. PLoS ONE. 2013 Jun 12; 8(6): e65427. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0065427</u> PMID: 23950785
- Loya Y., Sakai K., Yamazato K., Nakano Y., Sambali H., & Van Woesik R. Coral bleaching: the winners and the losers. Ecology letters. 2001; 4(2), 122–131.
- Carpenter KE, Abrar M, Aeby G, Aronson RB, Banks S, Bruckner A, et al. One-Third of Reef Building Corals Face Elevated Extinction Risk from Climate Change and Local Impacts. Science. 2008 Jul 25; 321(5888):560–3. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1159196 PMID: 18653892
- 45. IUCN. IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria: Version 3.1. 2nd ed. Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK: IUCN. 2012. iv + 32pp.
- Mace GM, Collar NJ, Gaston KJ, Hilton-Taylor C, Akçakaya HR, Leader-Williams N, et al. Quantification of Extinction Risk: IUCN's System for Classifying Threatened Species. Conservation Biology. 2008 Dec; 22(6):1424–42. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2008.01044.x PMID: 18847444
- 47. Hoeksema B.W., Cairns S. World List of Scleractinia. [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 July 24]. Available from: https://www.marinespecies.org/scleractinia.
- 48. Standards IUCN and Committee Petitions. Guidelines for Using the IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria. Version 15.1. Prepared by the Standards and Petitions Committee. 2022. Downloadable from https://www.iucnredlist.org/documents/RedListGuidelines.pdf
- 49. Muller-Karger FE, Miloslavich P, Bax NJ, Simmons S, Costello MJ, Sousa Pinto I, et al. Advancing Marine Biological Observations and Data Requirements of the Complementary Essential Ocean Variables (EOVs) and Essential Biodiversity Variables (EBVs) Frameworks. Front Mar Sci. 2018 Jun 27; 5:211.

- 50. Edmunds P, Riegl B. Urgent need for coral demography in a world where corals are disappearing. ar Ecol Prog Ser. 2020 Feb 6; 635:233–42.
- Ortiz JC, Wolff NH, Anthony KRN, Devlin M, Lewis S, Mumby PJ. Impaired recovery of the Great Barrier Reef under cumulative stress. Sci Adv. 2018 Jul 6; 4(7): eaar6127. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aar6127</u> PMID: 30035217
- UNEP. Projections of future coral bleaching conditions using IPCC CMIP6 models: climate policy implications, management applications, and Regional Seas summaries. United Nations Environment Programme, Nairobi, Kenya. 2020.
- West JM, Salm RV. Resistance and Resilience to Coral Bleaching: Implications for Coral Reef onservation and Management. Conservation Biology. 2003 Aug; 17(4):956–67.
- 54. Florida Coral Disease Response Research & Epidemiology Team. Case Definition: Stony Coral Tissue Loss Disease (SCTLD). [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2021 June 01]. Available from: https://floridadep.gov/sites/default/files/Copy%20of%20StonyCoralTissueLossDisease_CaseDefinition%20final% 2010022018.pdf
- Marzloff MP, Oliver ECJ, Barrett NS, Holbrook NJ, James L, Wotherspoon SJ, et al. Differential vulnerability to climate change yields novel deep-reef communities. Nature Clim Change. 2018 Oct; 8 (10):873–8.
- Baker AC, Glynn PW, Riegl B. Climate change and coral reef bleaching: An ecological assessment of long-term impacts, recovery trends and future outlook. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science. 2008 Dec; 80(4):435–71.
- 57. Madin JS, Hoogenboom MO, Connolly SR, Darling ES, Falster DS, Huang D, et al. A Trait-Based Approach to Advance Coral Reef Science. Trends in Ecology & Evolution. 2016 Jun; 31(6):419 28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2016.02.012 PMID: 26969335
- Kornder NA, Riegl BM, Figueiredo J. Thresholds and drivers of coral calcification responses to climate change. Global change biology. 2018 Nov; 24(11):5084–95. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14431</u> PMID: 30152194
- 59. UNEP-WCMC WorldFish Centre, WRI TNC. Global distribution of warm-water coral reefs, compiled from multiple sources including the Millennium Coral Reef Mapping Project. Version 4.1. Includes contributions from IMaRS-USF and IRD (2005), IMaRS-USF (2005) and Spalding et al. (2001). Cambridge (UK): UN Environment World Conservation Monitoring Centre [Internet]. 2021. Data https://doi.org/10.34892/t2wk-5t34.
- **60.** van Hooidonk R, Maynard JA, Planes S. Temporary refugia for coral reefs in a warming world. Nature Clim Change. 2013 May; 3(5):508–11.
- van Hooidonk R, Maynard JA, Manzello D, Planes S. Opposite latitudinal gradients in projected ocean acidification and bleaching impacts on coral reefs. Global Change Biology. 2014; 20(1):103–12. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12394 PMID: 24151155
- van Hooidonk R, Maynard JA, Liu Y, Lee S. Downscaled projections of Caribbean coral bleaching that can inform conservation planning. Global Change Biology. 2015 Sep; 21(9):3389–401. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/gcb.12901 PMID: 25833698
- 63. Obura D, Gudka M, Samoilys M, Osuka K, Mbugua J, Keith DA, et al. Vulnerability to collapse of coral reef ecosystems in the Western Indian Ocean. Nat Sustain. 2022 Feb; 5(2):104–13.
- Roelfsema M, van Soest HL, Harmsen M, van Vuuren DP, Bertram C, den Elzen M, et al. Taking stock of national climate policies to evaluate implementation of the Paris Agreement. Nat Commun. 2020 Dec; 11(1):2096. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15414-6 PMID: 32350258
- Kubicek A, Breckling B, Hoegh-Guldberg O, Reuter H. Climate change drives trait-shifts in coral reef communities. Sci Rep. 2019 Mar 6; 9(1):3721. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-38962-4</u> PMID: 30842480
- **66.** Riegl B, Piller WE. Possible refugia for reefs in times of environmental stress. International Journal of Earth Sciences. 2003 Sep 1; 92(4):520–31.
- 67. Frade PR, Bongaerts P, Englebert N, Rogers A, Gonzalez-Rivero M, Hoegh-Guldberg O. Deep reefs Of the Great Barrier Reef offer limited thermal refuge during mass coral bleaching. Nat Commun. 2018 Sep 4; 9(1):3447. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05741-0 PMID: 30181537
- Smith T.B., Gyory J., Brandt M.E., Miller W.J., Jossart J., and Nemeth R.S. (2016). Caribbean mesophotic coral ecosystems are unlikely climate change refugia. Global Change Biology. 2016; 22:2756– 2765. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13175 PMID: 26648385
- 69. Chan YKS, Affendi YA, Ang PO, Baria-Rodriguez MV, Chen CA, Chui APY, et al. Decadal stability In coral cover could mask hidden changes on reefs in the East Asian Seas. Commun Biol. 2023 Jun 10; 6(1):630. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-023-05000-z PMID: 37301948

- 70. Neely KL, Lewis CL, Lunz KS, Kabay L. Rapid Population Decline of the Pillar Coral Dendrogyra cylindrus Along the Florida Reef Tract. Front Mar Sci. 2021 Apr 22; 8:656515.
- Rondinini C, Di Marco M, Visconti P, Butchart SHM, Boitani L. Update or Outdate: Long-Term Viability of the IUCN Red List. Conservation Letters. 2014; 7(2):126–30.
- 72. Butchart SHM, Resit Akçakaya H, Chanson J, Baillie JEM, Collen B, Quader S, et al. Improvements to the Red List Index. Lusseau D, editor. PLoS ONE. 2007 Jan 3; 2(1): e140. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000140</u> PMID: 17206275
- 73. Bleuel J, Pennino MG, Longo GO. Coral distribution and bleaching vulnerability areas in Southwestern Atlantic under ocean warming. Sci Rep. 2021 Dec; 11(1):12833. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-92202-2 PMID: 34172760</u>
- Suchley A, Alvarez-Filip L. Local human activities limit marine protection efficacy on Caribbean coral reefs. Conservation Letters. 2018; 11(5): e12571.
- 75. Roff G, Mumby PJ. Global disparity in the resilience of coral reefs. Trends in Ecology & Evolution. 2012 Jul; 27(7):404–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.04.007 PMID: 22658876
- 76. Strain EMA, Edgar GJ, Ceccarelli D, Stuart-Smith RD, Hosack GR, Thomson RJ. A global assessment of the direct and indirect benefits of marine protected areas for coral reef conservation. Diversity and Distributions. 2019; 25(1):9–20.
- Alvarez-Filip L, Carricart-Ganivet JP, Horta-Puga G, Iglesias-Prieto R. Shifts in coral assemblage composition do not ensure persistence of reef functionality. Sci Rep. 2013 Dec; 3(1):3486.
- Vinebrooke R. D., Cottingham K. L., Norberg J., Scheffer M., Dodson S. I., Maberly S. C. and Sommer U. Impacts of multiple stressors on biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: the role of species co-tolerance. Oikos. 2004; 104(3):451–457.
- 79. Mouillot D, Graham NAJ, Villéger S, Mason NWH, Bellwood DR. A functional approach reveals community responses to disturbances. Trends in Ecology & Evolution. 2013 Mar; 28(3):167–77. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.10.004</u> PMID: 23141923
- Legras G, Loiseau N, Gaertner JC, Poggiale JC, Gaertner-Mazouni N. Assessing functional diversity: the influence of the number of the functional traits. Theor Ecol. 2020 Mar; 13(1):117–26.
- van Hooidonk R, Maynard J, Tamelander J, Gove J, Ahmadia G, Raymundo L, et al. Local-scale projections of coral reef futures and implications of the Paris Agreement. Sci Rep. 2016 Dec 21; 6 (1):39666. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep39666 PMID: 28000782
- 82. Kuo CY, Pratchett MS, Madin JS, Baird AH. A test of adaptive strategy theory using fifteen years of change in coral abundance. Coral Reefs. 2023 Aug; 42(4):951–66.
- Tilman D., & Kareiva P. (Eds.). Spatial ecology: the role of space in population dynamics and interspecific interactions (Vol. 30). Princeton University Press. 1997.
- Toth LT, Stathakopoulos A, Kuffner IB, Ruzicka RR, Colella MA, Shinn EA. The unprecedented loss Of Florida's reef-building corals and the emergence of a novel coral-reef assemblage. Ecology. 2019 Sep; 100(9): e02781. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.2781 PMID: 31170313
- 85. Darling ES, Alvarez-Filip L, Oliver TA, McClanahan TR, Côté IM. Evaluating life-history strategies of reef corals from species traits. Bellwood D, editor. Ecol Lett. 2012 Dec; 15(12):1378–86. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01861.x PMID: 22938190
- Fyfe JC, Kharin VV, Santer BD, Cole JNS, Gillett NP. Significant impact of forcing uncertainty in a large ensemble of climate model simulations. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2021 Jun 8; 118(23): e2016549118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2016549118 PMID: 34074753
- Knutti R, Sedláček J. Robustness and uncertainties in the new CMIP5 climate model projections. Nature Clim Change. 2013 Apr; 3(4):369–73.
- Van Woesik R, Shlesinger T, Grottoli AG, Toonen RJ, Vega Thurber R, Warner ME, et al. Coral bleaching responses to climate change across biological scales. Global Change Biology. 2022 Jul; 28 (14):4229–50. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16192 PMID: 35475552
- 89. Bongaerts P, Frade PR, Ogier JJ, Hay KB, van Bleijswijk J, Englebert N, et al. Sharing the slope: depth partitioning of agariciid corals and associated Symbiodinium across shallow and mesophotic habitats (2-60 m) on a Caribbean reef. BMC Evol Biol. 2013; 13(1):205. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-13-205 PMID: 24059868
- 90. Rocha LA, Pinheiro HT, Shepherd B, Papastamatiou YP, Luiz OJ, Pyle RL, et al. Mesophotic coral ecosystems are threatened and ecologically distinct from shallow water reefs. Science. 2018 Jul 20; 361(6399):281–4. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaq1614 PMID: 30026226
- **91.** Hoegh-Guldberg O, Poloczanska ES, Skirving W, Dove S. Coral Reef Ecosystems under Climate Change and Ocean Acidification. Front Mar Sci. 2017 May 29; 4:158.

- Matz M. V., Treml E. A., & Haller B. C. Estimating the potential for coral adaptation to global warming across the Indo-West Pacific. Global Change Biology. 2020; 26(6):3473–3481. https://doi.org/10. 1111/gcb.15060 PMID: 32285562
- Torda G, Donelson JM, Aranda M, Barshis DJ, Bay L, Berumen ML, et al. Rapid adaptive responses to climate change in corals. Nature Clim Change. 2017 Sep; 7(9):627–36.
- 94. Palumbi SR, Barshis DJ, Traylor-Knowles N, Bay RA. Mechanisms of reef coral resistance to future climate change. Science. 2014 May 23; 344(6186):895–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1251336</u> PMID: 24762535
- 95. Cowman PF, Quattrini AM, Bridge TCL, Watkins-Colwell GJ, Fadli N, Grinblat M, et al. An enhanced target-enrichment bait set for Hexacorallia provides phylogenomic resolution of the staghorn corals (Acroporidae) and close relatives. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution. 2020 Dec; 153:106944. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2020.106944 PMID: 32860973
- 96. Huang D, Licuanan WY, Baird AH, Fukami H. Cleaning up the "Bigmessidae": Molecular phylogeny of scleractinian corals from Faviidae, Merulinidae, Pectiniidae and Trachyphylliidae. BMC Evol Biol. 2011 Dec; 11(1):37. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-11-37 PMID: 21299898
- 97. Kitahara MV, Fukami H, Benzoni F, Huang D. The New Systematics of Scleractinia: Integrating Molecular and Morphological Evidence. In: Goffredo S, Dubinsky Z, editors. The Cnidaria, Past, Present and Future [Internet]. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2016 [cited 2023 Dec 20]. p. 41–59. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-31305-4_4
- Keshavmurthy S., Mezaki T., Reimer J. D., Choi K. S., & Chen C. A. Succession and Emergence of Corals in High-Latitude (Temperate) Areas of Eastern Asia into the Future. In Coral Reefs of Eastern Asia under Anthropogenic Impacts. Cham: Springer International Publishing. 2023;53–71.
- 99. Pinsky ML, Selden RL, Kitchel ZJ. Climate-Driven Shifts in Marine Species Ranges: Scaling from Organisms to Communities. Annu Rev Mar Sci. 2020 Jan 3; 12(1):153–79. <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010419-010916 PMID: 31505130</u>
- Kumagai NH, García Molinos J, Yamano H, Takao S, Fujii M, Yamanaka Y. Ocean currents and herbivory drive macroalgae-to-coral community shift under climate warming. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2018 Sep 4; 115(36):8990–5. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1716826115 PMID: 30126981
- 101. Weatherdon LV, Magnan AK, Rogers AD, Sumaila UR, Cheung WWL. Observed and Projected Impacts of Climate Change on Marine Fisheries, Aquaculture, Coastal Tourism, and Human Health: An Update. Front Mar Sci [Internet]. 2016 Apr 19 [cited 2023 Dec 20];3. Available from: http://journal. frontiersin.org/Article/10.3389/fmars.2016.00048/abstract.