

# Metal-Free CO Prodrugs Activated by Molecular Oxygen Protect against Doxorubicin-Induced Cardiomyopathy in Mice

Xiaoxiao Yang,<sup>§</sup> Wen Lu,<sup>§</sup> Rodrigo W. Alves de Souza, Qiyue Mao, Dipak Baram, Ravi Tripathi, Gangli Wang, Leo E. Otterbein,\* and Binghe Wang\*



first time that the CO prodrug **QCO-105** prevented cardiomyocyte injury, consistent with the known organ-protective effects of HO-1 and CO. Overall, such a new CO prodrug design serves as the starting point for developing CO-based therapy in attenuating the cardiotoxicity of doxorubicin.

## INTRODUCTION

Carbon monoxide (CO) has primarily been known as a toxic gas, but this perception has changed in recent years with the wide recognition of CO's endogenous production, pathophysiological roles, and pharmacological activities.<sup>1</sup> Exogenously delivered CO regulates a number of cellular processes, including inflammation,<sup>2</sup> the immune response,<sup>3</sup> apoptosis,<sup>4</sup> neurotransmission,<sup>5</sup> as well as neuromodulation and cognition,<sup>6</sup> to name a few.<sup>1</sup> The possible signaling roles of endogenous CO and the therapeutic potential of exogenous CO have motivated research efforts toward developing novel chemical approaches to deliver CO for biological application. The last two decades has witnessed the development of metalbased CO-releasing molecules (CORMs)<sup>7</sup> including those capable of nucleophile-, photo-, enzyme- or redox-triggered release,<sup>7–11</sup> photosensitive organic CO donors,<sup>12–14</sup> CO in solution,<sup>15</sup> CO in ultrasound-sensitive materials,<sup>16,17</sup> CO in foams,<sup>18</sup> and organic CO donors capable of releasing CO under near physiological conditions.<sup>12,19-22</sup> We have a longstanding interest in developing metal-free organic CO prodrugs to deliver CO<sup>23</sup> and have developed a series of prodrugs by taking advantage of decarbonylation chemistry of oxalyl derivatives<sup>24,25</sup> and cheletropic CO release from an unstable norbornadienone intermediate.<sup>26,27</sup> In the latter case, we used bimolecular<sup>28,29</sup> and unimolecular<sup>30</sup> Diels–Alder reaction and elimination reactions<sup>31-34</sup> to build the key norbornadienone intermediate (Figure 1). In a similar manner, Larsen's group independently developed a series of organic CO donors using cheletropic CO release from norbornadienone formed by an elimination reaction. Such donors showed promising bioactivity in modulating vasorelaxation and organ protection.<sup>35</sup> Herein, we describe a new chemistry design for activating an organic prodrug for CO release through a tautomerization-initiated reaction cascade that relies on oxidation by molecular oxygen (Figure 1D).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Design and Synthesis of Quinone-Based CO Prodrugs. In our continuous efforts to design new CO prodrugs, we are especially interested in approaches that use new mechanisms for prodrug activation and afford control of the selective delivery. The cheletropic reaction that forms the key norbornadiene-7-one intermediate has proven to be a preferential approach which allows for the incorporation of various trigger mechanisms including concentration (enrich-

Received:June 24, 2024Revised:October 3, 2024Accepted:October 7, 2024Published:October 17, 2024





© 2024 The Authors. Published by American Chemical Society



**Figure 1.** Organic CO donors capable of CO release under near-physiological conditions without light irradiation. (A) (I) Intermolecular D–A reaction-based CO prodrug; (III) elimination-based CO prodrug (LG: leaving group, EWG electro-withdrawing group); (B) oxalic acid-based CO prodrugs using artificial sweeteners as "carriers"; (C) elimination-based CO donors reported by the Larson group; and (D) tautomerization-oxidation-cheletropic reaction-based CO prodrugs described in this work.

ment),<sup>29</sup> aqueous environment,<sup>30</sup> pH changes,<sup>31</sup> reactive oxygen species,<sup>32,34,36</sup> and enzymes.<sup>33,37</sup> In the approach using a cheletropic extrusion reaction to release CO, designing an appropriate strategy to generate the norbornadiene-7-one intermediate is the key step (Figure 1A and C). Because dihydroquinones are known to undergo air-oxidation<sup>38-40</sup> and oxygen is readily available in the mammalian biological environment including cell culture, blood, and upper GI tract,<sup>41</sup> we have designed a prodrug approach that requires oxygen oxidation as a key step for activation. This new design with a unique mechanism of activation offers a chance of a CO release in an oxygenated environment. Similar oxygen responsiveness has been achieved with the molybdenumbased CORM (ALF186).<sup>42</sup> As we opt for developing metalfree organic CO prodrugs, we sought to utilize quinone chemistry to generate the key norbornadienone intermediate for CO release (Figure 1D). Since such a process involves ketone-enol tautomerization and oxidation, we envisioned that the quinone-based CO prodrugs (QCOs) would release CO under physiological conditions with pH and oxygen dependency, which could potentially be useful to achieve some selectivity in CO delivery. As a proof-of-concept, we chose to first synthesize QCO-101, a known compound that had been examined in the context of the Diels-Alder reaction<sup>43</sup> and Heck coupling reactions.<sup>44</sup> The synthesis was accomplished through Diels-Alder reaction between cyclopentadienone 1 and benzoquinone, 2a (Table 1). After confirming the structure of QCO-101 by NMR, we examined whether the tautomerization of QCO-101 would lead to the formation of norbornadien-7-one and subsequent CO release (Figure 1).

When QCO-101 was dissolved in a mixed solvent of phosphate buffer (PB)/DMSO (1:9) at pH 7.4 in a headspace vial at 25 °C, CO generation was detected by GC equipped with a methanizer-coupled FID detector. However, the CO yield was only about 17% after incubation for 20 h (Table 1). The side product, naphthoquinone QCP-101 (see the Supporting Information for details), was obtained with a

Table 1. Structures and CO Release Yield

| $ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array}\\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $ } \\ \end{array} |            | 110°C<br>Toluene Ph<br>Ph<br>QC 0-101/103/105  |                          |
|---|------------|--|--------------------------|
| QCO-  | Compound 2 | Substitution   | CO release<br>yield (%)* |
| 101   | 2a         | Н  | 16.6±1.8                 |
| 103   | 2b         | No. of the second secon | 63.5±4.3                 |
| 105   | 2c         | OH<br>22<br>OH   | 81.8±4.4                 |

<sup>a</sup>Tested with gas chromatography (GC) in pH 7.4 PB (10 mM):DMSO = 1:9 (v/v) solution.

yield of 18%, indicating a stoichiometric CO release. Notably, the enol intermediate (IM-1) was stable enough to be separated from the reaction mixture in a 67% yield (Figure 1). Interestingly, although IM-1 technically has sp<sup>2</sup>-hybridized carbon atoms that might formally fulfill the norbornadiene-7one structural feature, it did not spontaneously release CO as those generated from the Diels-Alder reaction between cyclopentadiene and alkyne (Figure 1a).<sup>30</sup> This could be due to the electro-donating nature of the hydroquinone moiety, which disfavors the cheletropic extrusion reaction.<sup>45</sup> Alternatively, the aromaticity of the dihydroquinone moiety might be too much of a stabilizing factor to allow for a spontaneous cheletropic reaction. There might be some interesting theoretical issues to examine. It is interesting to note that the reaction of a cyclopentadienone with a benzyne species did lead to CO generation at over 200 °C, although the cheletropic



**Figure 2.** CO release profiles of QCO-103 (A) and QCO-105 (B) in PBS buffer at different concentrations. The solvent consists of 10% PB and 90% DMSO. The phosphate concentration is indicated in the figure. For (B), phosphate concentration was 10 mM for all groups. (C) UV–vis kinetics profile of QCO-105 with designated phosphate concentrations at pH 5.8 (data interval: 3 min, [QCO] = 50  $\mu$ M).

reaction involved using a "double bond" from a benzene ring as part of the "norbornadienone" moiety.<sup>46</sup> It is unknown whether such a reaction would occur at room temperature. This issue may deserve additional theoretical studies.

In this study, we focused on the initial feasibility and practical issues. Though QCO-101 succeeded in achieving partial CO release, we desired a higher CO yield under nearphysiological conditions for biological application. One way to do so is to facilitate the oxidation step of the reaction. We reasoned that extending the conjugation might facilitate the oxidation step thus resulting in a decrease in aromatic stabilization, as shown in previous reports.<sup>38,47</sup> As such, we expected the extended conjugation to be favorable for enhanced susceptibility toward air oxidation and thus CO release. Therefore, 1,4-naphthoquinone 2b and naphthazarin 2c were chosen to react with cyclopentadienone 1 to give QCO-103 and QCO-105, respectively. As expected, these two new analogues showed a much higher overall CO yield in a mixed solvent of pH 7.4 PB (10 mM)/DMSO (1:9), as determined with GC (Table 1). Hence, QCO-103 and QCO-105 were chosen to conduct further studies.

Factors that Impact CO-Release from QCOs. Because the formal reaction involves an initial tautomerization step, which is known to be pH-dependent, we next assessed the CO release profiles of QCO-103 and QCO-105 at different pH values using GC. As expected, the CO release kinetics and the overall yields were found to be pH-dependent (Figure 2A). Specifically, the release of CO from QCO-103 was much faster under basic conditions (pH 10) with a half-life  $(t_{1/2})$  of 40 min. Under neutral (pH 7.4) or acidic (pH 2.0) conditions, the CO yield was much lower at 13% and 2%, respectively, at the 4 h point. The maximal overall CO release from QCO-103 at 24 h was 69% at pH 7.4 and 53% at pH 2.0. Such results support a pH effect on the enolization step or the oxidation step of the reaction cascade.<sup>48</sup> We further probed the effect of the buffer concentration at pH 7.4 to see whether the reaction involved general acid/base catalysis. Specifically, we studied the CO release rate in 10 and 50 mM PB and found a noticeably faster reaction with a higher CO yield at 50 mM PB. Such results indicate that general acid/base catalysis in the cascade reaction of QCO-103 is most likely at the enolization step.

**QCO-105** was examined in a similar fashion and was found to have a more desirable CO release profile at pH 7.4 (lysosome), pH 5.8 (lysosome), and pH 2.0 (gastric fluid). Specifically, in a mixed solvent of pH 5.8 PBS (10 mM):DMSO (1:9), the  $t_{1/2}$  of CO release was 19.1 min with almost quantitative CO release in 2 h (Figure 2B). At pH 2.0, quantitative CO release was achieved with a  $t_{1/2}$  value of 38.1

min. Proton NMR studies using deuterated TFA/D<sub>2</sub>O as the acidic condition also showed complete conversion of QCO-105 to QCP-105 in 16 min without noticeable formation of an intermediate (Supporting Information, Figure S5). The kinetic profiles at higher pH (pH 7.4 or pH 10) showed a fast initial phase (0-30 min) followed by a leveling-off phase (30-120 min), with the overall CO release yield being 82% and 70% at pH 7.4 and 10 in 10 mM PBS, respectively. Such results suggest the existence of a non-CO-releasing pathway under more basic conditions. The color of the CO-release reaction mixture of QCO-105 changed from light yellow to orange. Therefore, we monitored the reaction with UV-vis spectroscopy at pH 5.8 and different phosphate concentrations. When comparing the results at 5- and 10 mM PB, a slower CO release rate (Figure 2C) was observed at 5 mM compared to the reaction at 10 mM. The dependency of CO release on the buffer concentration indicates general acid/base catalysis. However, further increasing the buffer concentration to 20-50 mM did not lead to additional increases in the reaction rate, giving an initial indication of a switch in the rate-determining step (RDS) of the reaction cascade (Figure 2C). By analyzing UV spectra (Supporting Information Figure. S1) and the CO release profile, the following three conclusions can be made. First, UV spectra (Figure S1) do not show perfect isosbestic point(s). Such results are in agreement with the proposed mechanism for the reaction sequence occurring through a stable intermediate, which accumulates to a small extent. Second, the isosbestic point(s) is more coherent at a low 5 mM PB concentration (Supporting Information, Figure. S1), indicating that enolization is the rate-limiting step under such conditions with no accumulation of an additional intermediate. However, the imperfection of the isosbestic point(s) became more pronounced at higher concentrations of the PB, indicating the accumulation of an intermediate under such conditions and possibly a switch of the RDS to either the oxidation step or a subsequent step. Considering the fast cheletropic extrusion reaction rate ( $k_3$  on the  $10^4 \sim 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$ scale according to literature<sup>49</sup>) for the last step, it is reasonable to suggest the RDS not being the cheletropic step. Data in Figure 2C appear to show the formation of the final product to follow pseudo-first-order reaction kinetics with a half-life of 26.6 min under these conditions (5 mM, 37 °C) at pH 5.8 with a rate constant  $k_1$  of ~4.16 × 10<sup>-4</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. This value is generally on the same scale as literature tautomerization reaction kinetics tested with broadband dielectric spectroscopy.<sup>50,51</sup> To the best of our knowledge, our results represent the first case of using a simple UV-vis method to examine tautomerization kinetics by studying these quinone derivatives

## Scheme 1. Proposed Mechanism of Tautomerization-Induced CO Generation



under near-physiological conditions. Third, when the phosphate concentration was increased to 10 mM, the overall reaction kinetics increased, consistent with a general acid/basecatalyzed reaction in which proton transfer is involved in the RDS. Increasing the phosphate concentration further did not change the apparent pseudo-first-order reaction half-lives, which were around 14.7 min (Figure 2C, Supporting Information, Figure S1). Such results suggest a switch of the rate-limiting step to the oxidation reaction at buffer concentrations higher than 10 mM. Such a deduction is supported by the appearance of the more pronounced intermediate peak (presumably the enol species) in the UVvis spectra around 500 nm. LC-MS analysis confirmed the appearance of the enol intermediate in the sample (Figure S2). Therefore, the oxidation reaction pseudo-first-order rate constant under the specific experimental conditions we used ([PB] = 10–50 mM) can also be calculated as  $k_2 \approx 8.3 \times 10^{-4}$  $s^{-1}$ . To this end, we show that CO release from QCO-103 and QCO-105 can be readily achieved under near-physiological conditions and that the CO release kinetics is pH-dependent and follows a general acid/base catalysis mechanism.

**CO Release Mechanism Studies.** To further examine the proposed reaction mechanism (Figure 1 and Path A in Scheme 1), we compared it against the other plausible pathway (Path B, Scheme 1). In pathway A, cheletropic CO release happens after oxidation of hydroquinone IM, leading to the formation of norbornadienone intermediate A. However in pathway B, CO release occurs via direct cheletropic extrusion of CO from the tautomer IM to form the intermediate B, which undergoes oxidation to form QCP products.

The successful separation of relatively stable intermediate IM from the CO release reaction of QCO-101 serves as a strong initial indication of Pathway A being the most likely mechanism. It is still essential to study whether oxidation is a prerequisite for CO release, especially for QCO-103 and QCO-105 which are structurally analogous, but different from QCO-101. First, we analyzed the CO release reaction of QCO-103 using HPLC. Dimethylacetamide (DMA) was used to replace the PBS/DMSO mixture as a solvent to slow down the reaction. In pure DMA, the CO release rate was much slower than that in the PB (pH 10):DMSO mixture (Figure 3A), presumably owing to the slower enolization in DMA compared with a buffered aqueous solution. Nevertheless, when deoxygenated DMA was used in a N2 prepurged reaction in a two-compartment setting (see Supporting Information Section 2.3 for details), no CO release was detected by GC analysis (Figure 3A). Such results demonstrate the essential nature of oxidation by molecular oxygen for the release of CO release. We interpret these results as indicating pathway A is the dominant CO release pathway for QCO-103 to release



Figure 3. CO release profile in a controlled oxygen environment measured by GC. (A) QCO-103 was incubated in  $N_2$  purged DMA, normal DMA, and PB/DMSO (1:9, v/v, pH 10, 10 mM); (B) QCO-105 was incubated in  $N_2$  purged PB/DMSO (1:9, v/v, pH 5.8, 10 mM) for 2 h followed by GC analysis of headspace gas. Afterward, 1 mL of air was injected into the headspace vial followed by incubation for 2 h and GC analysis.

CO. Such a deduction is also supported by further HPLC and LC-MS studies (Supporting Information, Figures S3 and S4) of the same reaction conditions, which showed accumulation of the enol intermediate (IM-2, Figure 1D) under oxygen-free conditions. In contrast, this intermediate remained at a minimum level under oxygenated conditions (Figure S3). Similarly, the release of CO from QCO-105 was also found to be oxygen-dependent. Specifically, with a two-compartment setup, we confirmed that QCO-105 did not release  $\tilde{CO}$  in an oxygen-free solution of a PB (pH 5.8)/DMSO (1:9) mixture. After reoxygenation by injecting 1 mL of air into the headspace vial and incubating for 30 min, GC analysis showed a 91% CO release after 2 h (Figure 3B). It should be noted that air oxidation of IM generates hydrogen peroxide as a side product. However, because of the presence of the ubiquitous catalase in mammalian cells and its effective decomposition of hydrogen peroxide at a rate  $(k_{cat} = 3.8 \times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1}, k_{cat}/k_m = 3.5 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}$  $(ca. 8.3 \times 10^{-4})^{52}$  much faster than its generation from IM (ca. 8.3  $\times 10^{-4}$  $s^{-1}$ , this study), we anticipate minimal effect of the peroxide generated from IM.53 Experimentally, we have indirect evidence to support this point. Though externally added  $H_2O_2$  is known to induce HO-1 expression,<sup>54</sup> QCO-103 did not induce HO-1 despite generating H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> to a similar extent as QCO-105 As a result, we did not further pursue the issue of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> generation from IM. To further study the CO release chemistry, we also confirmed the two-electron transfer oxidation reaction process with an electrochemistry study (Supporting Information, Figure S10), which is consistent with the proposed CO-release mechanism. To this end, we show that pathway A is the most likely reaction mechanism for the release of CO from these three CO prodrugs.

**CO Release in Cell Culture Models.** After studying the CO release chemistry, we next studied whether **QCO-103** and



Figure 4. Cell imaging of CO using the CO probe CODP-202 in macrophages in response to QCO-103 and QCP-103. RAW264.7 cells incubated with (A) 10  $\mu$ M CODP-202 for 60 min; (B) 10  $\mu$ M CODP-202 plus 50  $\mu$ M QCO-103 for 60 min; and (C) 10  $\mu$ M CODP-202 plus 50  $\mu$ M QCP-103 for 60 min; scale bar: 25  $\mu$ m.



Figure 5. Transformation of QCO-105 to QCP-105 in RAW264.7 cells (red arrows point to precipitated QCO-105; scale bar: 25 µm).

![](_page_4_Figure_7.jpeg)

**Figure 6.** Anti-inflammatory effects of **QCO-103** and **QCO-105**. RAW264.7 cells were pretreated with QCO and QCP compounds at different concentrations for 5 h, followed by the addition of LPS (1  $\mu$ g/mL) and incubation for 1 h. Culture medium containing 0.5% DMSO was used as the vehicle control (n = 3, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001, *t*-test, TNF is measured by ELISA after 5× dilution of the culture medium).

**QCO-105** release CO in cell culture and exhibit antiinflammatory activity, as observed with other CO prodrugs we have developed.<sup>23,26,30,34,55</sup> First, we used a CO probe (**CODP-202**) developed in our laboratory<sup>56</sup> to detect whether **QCO-103** generated CO in cell culture. After the incubation of RAW264.7 cells with 50  $\mu$ M **QCO-103** and 10  $\mu$ M **CODP-202** for 60 min, significant blue fluorescence was seen, confirming CO production (Figure 4). In contrast, neither vehicle control nor **QCP-103** showed noticeable fluorescence. We conducted the same experiments using a second CO probe, COP-1,<sup>57</sup> with the same conclusion of CO release (Supporting Information, Figure S6).

To further study the conversion of QCO-105 in cell culture, we took advantage of the inherent fluorescence of QCO-105 and QCP-105 (Supporting Information, Figure S7). Specifically, QCO-105 elicits blue fluorescence with a maximum excitation and emission wavelength of 385 and 435 nm, respectively. Upon conversion to QCP-105 after CO release, the maximum emission wavelength is red-shifted to 552 nm, yielding a yellow fluorescence. These characteristic fluorescence properties allowed for visualizing the transformation of

![](_page_5_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 7. QCO-105 dose-dependently increases the level of HO-1 expression in RAW264.7 macrophages, which was not observed in response to QCO-103 or QCP-105. (A) QCO and QCP at 25 and 50  $\mu$ M for 6 h; (B) QCO-105 dose-dependently induced HO-1 expression at 6 h (CDDO-Me was used as the positive control).

QCO-105 to QCP-105 in a cell culture using fluorescence microscopy. Specifically, after incubating RAW264.7 cells with QCO-105 for 30 min, blue fluorescence was detected in the cells while the yellow channel showed weak fluorescence (Figure 5). After further incubation for 5 h, blue fluorescence significantly decreased, while strong yellow fluorescence emerged, indicating conversion of QCO-105 to QCP-105 intracellularly. It must be noted that due to the low aqueous solubility of QCO-105, several fluorescent particles remained in images of the blue fluorescence channel, likely due to the incomplete conversion of undissolved QCO-105 to QCP-105. Such results support the deduction that solubilized QCO-105 released CO in the cell culture. Collectively, these data show the conversion of QCO-103 and QCO-105 to their respective QCP products with simultaneous release of CO in RAW264.7 macrophages.

Anti-inflammatory Activity of QCO-103 and QCO-105 in Macrophages. CO is known to exert anti-inflammatory activity both in vitro and in vivo.<sup>7</sup> Lipopolysaccharide (LPS)induced release of TNF from RAW264.7 cells has been extensively used as an in vitro model to evaluate the antiinflammatory activity of CO prodrugs and CO gas.<sup>24,30</sup> Therefore, we next tested the anti-inflammatory activity of compounds QCO-103/-105 and their CO-released product QCP-103/-105 by ELISA. As shown in Figure 6, LPS induced a significant increase in TNF expression in RAW264.7 cells while preincubation with QCO-103 or QCO-105 for 5 h, followed by LPS, significantly inhibited TNF expression in a dose-dependent manner. Incubation with control compounds QCP-103/-105 had no effect on LPS-induced TNF. Such results are consistent with CO as the anti-inflammatory component of QCO-103 and QCO-105. Cytotoxicity assays showed no effect of the compounds on cell viability within the period of the assay (Figure S8).

QCO-105 Induces HO-1 Expression in Macrophages. It has been reported that a conjugate of an Mn-based CORM and Nrf2 activator dimethylfumarate, HYCO-3, showed CO release in cells as well as stimulation of HO-1 expression.<sup>58</sup> It is also known that some naturally occurring anthraquinone derivatives such as rhein<sup>59</sup> and rubrofusarin,<sup>60</sup> also increase HO-1 expression, thus offering cytoprotective and/or antiinflammatory activities.<sup>61</sup> We next tested whether QCO-103 and QCO-105 would induce HO-1 (Figure 7A). Interestingly, QCO-105 at 25  $\mu$ M significantly induced HO-1 expression in a dose-dependent fashion comparable to CDDO-Me as a positive control (Figure 7B).<sup>62</sup> Neither QCO-103 nor QCP-105 induced HO-1 expression despite being administered at a higher concentration. It is worth noting that 250 ppm of CO gas did not induce HO-1 expression in RAW264.7 cells, as we recently reported,<sup>63</sup> indicating that the induction of HO-1 by QCO-105 is likely due to a CO-independent mechanism.

It is well-known that exposure to CO or increasing HO-1 expression suppresses TNF expression in macrophages challenged with LPS.<sup>64,65</sup> The HO-1/CO axis also protects against TNF-mediated inflammation in the pancreas, liver,<sup>66</sup> and lungs<sup>67</sup> through the Nrf2-ARE-HO-1 axis. HO-1 activation offers protective effects in the liver,<sup>68</sup> intestine,<sup>69</sup> kidney,<sup>70</sup> and heart,<sup>71</sup> to name a few.<sup>72</sup> Therefore, CO generation coupled with HO-1 activation may render **QCO-105** a good candidate for treating systemic inflammation and organ injury.

Article

QCO-105 Treatment Prevents Doxorubicin-Induced Cardiotoxicity in Mice. Among all the protective effects of exogenously administered CO or endogenous CO generated through increased HO-1, we were interested in studying the cardioprotective effects of the CO prodrugs.<sup>71,73</sup> Our work and others have demonstrated the potent cytoprotective effects of exogenous CO in the heart.<sup>74–77</sup> This occurs in part, by preventing cardiomyocyte death and promoting overall cardiovascular health.71,73,78 Previous findings have demonstrated the benefits of inhaled and oral CO against DXRinduced cardiotoxicity.<sup>79,80</sup> We also observed that pretreatment with QCO-105 protected against DXR-induced toxicity in H9c2 mouse cardiomyocytes (Supporting Information, Figure S9). Given the promising CO/HO-1 dual function of QCO-105, we were interested in testing whether the prophylactic administration of QCO-105 would protect the heart against acute DXR-induced cardiac dysfunction in mice. Specifically, QCO-105, QCP-105, and Solutol vehicle alone were administered to separate cohorts of mice 1 h before injecting a single dose of DXR (20 mg/kg, i.p.). Cardiac function was examined both before and 7 days after DXR administration by echocardiography. DXR induces highly reproducible cardiomyopathy in mice, which is a very well-characterized clinically relevant model. Administration of QCO-105 significantly attenuated DXR-induced cardiac dysfunction, evidenced by a preserved ejection fraction and fractional shortening compared to QCP and vehicle (Solutol) controls (Figure 8A-C). Subsequently, we investigated the impact of QCO-105 on stress response genes in the heart in response to DXR, specifically examining  $\beta$ -MyHC (beta-myosin heavy chain), a gene known to be upregulated with cardiac failure or hypertrophy, and B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP), a marker of cardiac dysfunction.<sup>81</sup> We observed that QCO-105 effectively prevented DXR-induced upregulation of  $\beta$ -MyHC and BNP mRNA compared to that of the vehicle (Solutol) and QCP-105-treated mice (Figure 8D). Collectively, the ability of QCO-105 to preserve cardiac function upon prophylactic administration indicates its potential as a cardioprotective agent against DXR-toxicity.

QCO-105 Mitigates Markers of DXR-Induced Ferroptosis. Although the detailed cytoprotective mechanism of QCO-105 and CO gas is yet to be fully understood, existing

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Figure 8.** QCO-105 prevents acute doxorubicin (DXR) toxicity to the heart and attenuates the expression of markers of DXR-induced ferroptosis. QCO-105 (50 mg/kg, ip) attenuated DXR (20 mg/kg)-induced cardiac dysfunction after 7 days, evidenced by preserved ejection fraction (A) and fractional shortening (B); (C) representative echocardiography (LVIDd: left ventricular internal dimension in diastole, LVIDs: left ventricular internal dimension in systole); (D) QCO-105 prevented DXR-induced upregulation of the cardiac dysfunction markers  $\beta$ -MyHC and BNP compared to QCP and Solutol controls (3–7 mice per group); and (E) Gpx4 and HO-1 mRNA expression in the ventricle of mice 7 days after a single DXR injection  $\pm$  QCO-105 (3–5 mice per group, Solutol was used as the vehicle). Expression was determined by RT-qPCR and normalized to that of Hprt1. Mean  $\pm$  SEM; ns: no significant difference,  $n \ge 3$ , \**P* < 0.05, \*\**P* < 0.01, \*\*\**P* < 0.001.

research indicates that CO can prevent cell death.<sup>73,82</sup> Notably, ferroptosis is a recently identified form of programmed cell death that has been implicated in the development of DXR-induced cardiomyopathy.<sup>83</sup> Ferroptosis is primarily driven by

the excessive accumulation of pro-oxidant iron in cells, leading to lipid peroxidation and protein damage. Because the HO-1/ CO axis is closely involved in iron metabolism, we next measured the expression of glutathione peroxidase 4 (Gpx4) and HO-1 in the heart on day 7 after DXR administration. Gpx4 is a key antioxidant enzyme that protects against lipid peroxidation and is a hallmark of ferroptosis.<sup>83,84</sup> Mice treated with DXR show a >50% decrease in Gpx4 in the heart, which was completely prevented by treatment with QCO-105 (Figure 8E). Neither Solutol nor QCP-105 had any effect on DXR-induced reduction in Gpx4 expression in the heart, suggesting that CO prevents cardiac dysfunction in part by preserving antioxidative capacity against lipid peroxidation and therefore ferroptosis.

DXR is also known to upregulate HO-1 expression in the heart, contributing to ferroptosis.<sup>83</sup> There are paradoxical interpretations of such DXR-induced HO-1 expression. On the one hand, HO-1 is a stress-response element and its upregulation by DXR is part of the acute stress response to limit cell and tissue injury.<sup>83</sup> Recent work shows that the knockdown of HO-1 in the heart impacts mitochondrial quality control; aggravates the cardiac response to ischemia/ reperfusion injury and promotes fibrosis.<sup>71,85-87</sup> On the other hand, activation and upregulation of HO-1 is indicative of DXR-induced ferroptosis and cardiomyopathy.<sup>83</sup> When HO-1 expression was measured in the heart on day 7 after DXR treatment, it was found that DXR led to elevated HO-1 expression (Figure 8E), indicative of ongoing injury more than any beneficial effects of HO-1 induction. In contrast, the QCO-105 treatment showed normal HO-1 expression in the heart. While the DXR-QCP-105 treatment showed HO-1 expression in the heart equivalent to DXR-Solutol-treated controls. Such combined findings reflect the ability of CO to limit cellular injury and the overall stress response in vivo in this acute injury model.<sup>83,84</sup> Collectively, our observations suggest that QCO-105 may hold the potential to mitigate DXR-induced myocardial injury in part through mechanisms that involve preventing the loss in antioxidant power, as indicated by the preservation of Gpx4 levels and blocking the upregulation of BNP and  $\beta$ -MyHC. Much more mechanistic work in cell culture and animal models is needed to elucidate the detailed molecular signaling events, but the ability of QCO-105 to offer cardioprotection in mouse models justifies additional work with this class of CO prodrugs in organ protection.

## CONCLUSIONS

In our continuous efforts to explore the therapeutic potential of developing "CO in a pill", we are searching for novel CO prodrugs with unique molecular mechanisms for selective cellular and tissue targeting. In this study, we describe a unique structural class of CO prodrugs activated by molecular oxygen through a cascade reaction including enolization, oxidation, and cheletropic CO release. Furthermore, such prodrug activation is both pH- and O2-dependent. The mechanism by which CO is released from these compounds (QCO-103/-105) has been carefully examined through various studies. These two prodrugs suppress inflammation as evidenced by their ability to inhibit LPS-induced TNF production in macrophages. This directed us to test the pharmacological efficacy of QCO-105 in a mouse model of doxorubicininduced cardiotoxicity as DXR toxicity is in part driven by a robust inflammatory reaction that occurs in the heart after

administration. A very pronounced cardioprotective effect was observed in mice treated with QCO-105 prior to administration of cardiotoxin DXR. DXR is an effective chemotherapy with applications from leukemia and Hodgkin's lymphoma to cancers affecting the breast, stomach, lung, thyroid, bladder, and soft tissue. However, it can have significant harmful effects on the heart both acutely and chronically,<sup>88</sup> which remains an unaddressed medical need. The mechanism (s) of action of QCO-105 is likely through its ability to generate CO, which in turn regulates the expression of anti-inflammatory/antioxidant genes such as HO-1, and inhibition of ferroptosis. Other signaling pathways such as the recently reported heme-dependent Ca2+ sensitization effect of CO in cardiomyocytes using an organic CO prodrug may also contribute to the overall cardioprotective activity of CO.89 Taken together, the cardioprotective effects recapitulate what has been observed with inhaled CO and CORM.<sup>79</sup> As a result, the development of this family of CO prodrugs warrants further mechanistic and pharmacological explorations for the treatment of DXR toxicity and other diseases of the heart.

### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General. Chemical reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Louis, Missouri, USA) and Oakwood (Estill, South Carolina, USA). Solvents were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA), and the dry solvents were prepared by a Vigor Tech purification system (Houston, Texas, USA). Silica gel for the flash column was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, Missouri, USA). Certificated CO calibration gas was purchased from GASCO (Oldsmar, Florida, USA). Flash column separation was conducted on a Biotage SP1 system (Charlotte, North Carolina, USA). HPLC analysis was performed on an Agilent 1100 HPLC system (Santa Clara, California, USA). <sup>1</sup>HNMR (400 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>CNMR (101 MHz) were analyzed with Bruker AV-400 MHz Ultra Shield NMR. Data for <sup>1</sup>HNMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR are reported in terms of chemical shift ( $\delta$ , ppm). Multiplicity [s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet or unresolved, br = broad singlet, coupling constant(s) in Hz]. The fluorescence spectrum was recorded on a Shimadzu RF-5301PC fluorospectrometer (Kyoto, Japan). GC was analyzed using Agilent 7820A system with Restek 5A mole sieve column (2m, 0.53 mm ID), Restek CH4izer methanizer coupled with FID. All compounds were >95% pure by HPLC analysis or elemental analysis.

General Procedure to Synthesize QCO Compounds. In a 20 mL scintillation vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar was weighed 100 mg (192 mmol) of 2,5-dimethyl-3,4-diphenylcyclopentadienone dimer and 384 mmol quinone derivatives: QCO-101: benzoquinone; QCO-103: 1,4-naphthoquinone; and QCO-105: naphthazarin. The reagent was dissolved in 10 mL of toluene, and the reaction was stirred at 110 °C for 5 h. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by flash column using ethyl acetate/hexane as the eluent. The target fraction was collected and evaporated to dryness to yield the target compound.

1,4-Dimethyl-2,3-diphenyl-1,4,4a,8a-tetrahydro-1,4-methanonaphthalene-5,8,9-trione (**QCO-101**). Yield %: 82%, white powder. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 7.20–7.11 (m, 6H), 6.85 (s, 2H), 6.81 (dd, *J* = 6.5, 2.9 Hz, 4H), 3.30 (s, 2H), 1.54 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 199.9, 195.8, 143.9, 141.7, 133.2, 129.5, 128.4, 127.9, 59.0, 52.0, 11.9. HRMS–ESI: 391.1310 calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Na; [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> found, 391.1324.

Synthesis of Tautomerized Intermediate 5,8-Dihydroxy-1,4dimethyl-2,3-diphenyl-1,4-dihydro-1,4-methanonaphthalen-9-one (IM-1). IM-1 was separated from the CO-release reaction mixture of QCO-101 in a DMSO solution after lyophilization by flash chromatography. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 7.21–7.18 (m, 6H, benzene Ar–H), 7.07–7.05 (m, 4H, benzene Ar–H), 6.52 (s, 2H, hydroquinone Ar–H), 4.78 (br-s, 2H, phenol-OH), 1.82 (s, 6H,  $-CH_3$ ). Due to stability concerns, residual solvents (EtOAc/Hexane) were not completely evaporated. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 194.4, 148.1, 145.6, 134.5, 131.7, 130.0, 129.3, 128.4, 128.2, 127.8, 115.9, 60.4, 10.7. HRMS-ESI: 391.1310 calcd for  $C_{25}H_{20}O_3Na$ ; [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> found, 391.1325.

1,4-Dimethyl-2,3-diphenyl-1,4,4a,9a-tetrahydro-1,4-methanoanthracene-9,10,11-trione (**QCO-103**). Yield %: 76%, white powder. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 8.00 (dd, *J* = 5.8, 3.3 Hz, 2H), 8.00 (dd, *J* = 5.8, 3.3 Hz, 2H), 7.70 (dd, *J* = 5.8, 3.3 Hz, 2H), 7.06 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 6.3 Hz, 2H), 7.00 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 4H), 6.45 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 3.53 (s, 2H), 1.61 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 200.1, 194.5, 141.5, 137.2, 134.5, 133.2, 129.5, 128.0, 127.6, 127.5, 59.5, 53.1, 12.1. HRMS–ESI: 419.1467 calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> found, 419.1638.

5,8-Dihydroxy-1,4-dimethyl-2,3-diphenyl-1,4,4a,9a-tetrahydro-1,4-methanoanthracene-9,10,11-trione (**QCO-105**). Yield %: 72%, yellow powder. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN,  $\delta$ ): 12.23 (s, 2H), 7.30 (s, 2H), 7.18–7.04 (m, 6H), 6.63–6.52 (m, 4H), 3.63 (s, 2H), 1.54 (s, 6H). CD<sub>3</sub>CN was chosen due to the overlapping of the signal for aromatic protons with CDCl<sub>3</sub>. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 200.2, 200.0, 156.5, 141.9, 133.2, 129.2, 129.2, 128.3, 127.8, 115.9, 59.7, 52.4, 12.3. HRMS–ESI: 473.1365 calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Na; [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> found, 473.1358.

General Procedure to Synthesize CO-Released QCP Compounds. QCO compounds were dissolved in DMSO and heated at 60 °C overnight with an oxygen balloon. After the reaction completion was checked by TLC, the reaction was lyophilized to remove DMSO. The residue was further purified by flash chromatography if necessary.

5,8-Dimethyl-6,7-diphenylnaphthalene-1,4-dione (QCP-101). Yield %: 18%, yellow solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ): 7.16– 7.09 (m, 6H), 6.94–6.78 (m, 6H), 2.41 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ): 188.2, 148.9, 140.0, 138.8, 137.9, 131.2, 129.6, 127.8, 126.8, 20.4. HRMS–ESI: 361.1204 calcd for  $C_{24}H_{18}O_2Na$ ; [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> found, 361.1205.

1,4-Dimethyl-2,3-diphenylanthracene-9,10-dione (**QCP-103**). Yield %: 85%, yellow powder. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 8.17 (dd, *J* = 5.7, 3.3 Hz, 2H), 7.74 (dd, *J* = 5.7, 3.3 Hz, 2H), 7.13 (dq, *J* = 14.5, 7.2 Hz, 6H), 6.91 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 4H), 2.50 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 186.8, 148.9, 140.2, 138.1, 134.8, 133.5, 133.2, 129.7, 127.8, 126.7, 126.5, 20.9. HRMS–ESI: 411.1361 calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Na; [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> found, 411.1374.

5,8-Dihydroxy-1,4-dimethyl-2,3-diphenylanthracene-9,10-dione (QCP-105). Yield %: 92%, orange powder. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 12.97 (s, 2H), 7.27 (s, 2H), 7.18–7.09 (m, 6H), 6.92–6.90 (m, 4H), 2.54 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 190.2, 156.6, 149.8, 140.0, 139.5, 132.8, 129.6, 128.3, 127.9, 126.9, 114.0, 21.8. HRMS–ESI: 443.1259 calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Na; [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> found, 443.1268.

**HPLC and LCMS Analysis.** Column: Kromasil C18 5  $\mu$ m, 4.6 × 150 mm. Mobile phase: A: 0.1% TFA in H<sub>2</sub>O; B, 0.1% TFA in ACN. Flow rate: 1 mL/min. Gradient: 5–95% B (0–10 min), 95% B (10–12 min), 95% to 5% B (12–12.1 min), 5% B (12.1–15 min); Detector: DAD monitored at 220 and 254 nm. LCMS analysis was performed on AB Sciex API 3200 LC–MS/MS (ESI) system (Framingham, Massachusetts, USA) with Agilent 1200 HPLC serving as the LC module. Column: Waters SunFire C18 3.5  $\mu$ m, 3 × 150 mm. Mobile phase: A: 0.1% FA in H<sub>2</sub>O; B: 0.1% FA in ACN. Flow rate: 0.5 mL/min. Gradient: 5–95% B (0–10 min), 95% B (10–12 min), 95% to 5% B (12–12.1 min), 5% B (12.1–15 min).

**Cell Culture.** RAW 264.7 and H9c2 cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). Raw 264.7 and H9c2 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, Corning) supplemented with 10% fetus bovine serum (FBS, Corning) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (PNS). Fetal bovine serum (FBS), DMEM, and Trypsin–EDTA (0.05%) were purchased from Gibco BRL (Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA). CO gas treatment was conducted under 37 °C with a gastight chamber (2500 mL volume, Mitsubishi Gas Chemical, Japan) equipped with needle valve gas inlet and outlet ports under constant supplement (5 mL/min) of premixed CO gas (250 ppm of CO, 5%

 $CO_2$  in balanced air, Airgas, PA, USA). Cell incubator: VWR symphony (Radnor, Pennsylvania, USA). Cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) was purchased from Dojindo (Kumamoto, Japan) and used according to the manufacturer's manual. Optical density (OD) and microplate fluorescence assay were measured with a multiwavelength plate reader: PerkinElmer Vector3 (Shelton, Connecticut, USA). For the CCK assay, OD was measured at 450 nm; for fluorescence reading, fluorescence intensity (counts per second, CPS) was measured with the excitation filter wavelength of 405 nm and excitation filter wavelength of 535 nm, bandwidth = 10 nm, aperture: normal, signal collection time: 2 s per well. Cell imaging was conducted on an Olympus IX73 inverted fluorescence microscope (Tokyo, Japan).

Murine Model of Cardiac Injury. 10-to-12 week-old male C57BL/6 mice (Jackson Laboratories) were housed under specific pathogen-free conditions with 12 h day/light cycles. All mouse procedures were approved by the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA, Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (#083-2021). Briefly, QCO-105 and QCP-105 were tested in combination with DXR. One hour after dosing with QCO- or QCP-105 (50 mg/kg, p.o., formulated with Solutol), or Solutol control, animals were infused with a single dose of DXR (20 mg/kg, i.p.; ~60  $mg/m^2$ ; 3–7 mice per group). Hearts of the mice treated with the acute toxicity regimen were harvested 7 days after DXR administration. The presence of DXR-induced cardiomyopathy was confirmed by mRNA quantification of the cardiac dysfunction markers (B-type Natriuretic Peptide, BNP: Nppb; and beta Myosin Heavy Chain,  $\beta$ -MyHC: Myh7) and measurement of cardiac function by echocardiography compared with control Solutol-treated mice (see Supporting Informatio 2.9.2). The ventricles were carefully collected, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C until analysis.

**Statistical Analysis.** All data were presented as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation ( $n \geq 3$ ). Statistical analysis was performed by Student's *t*-test for comparison between two groups or by one-way ANOVA for comparison among multiple groups using GraphPad Prism 9 (San Diego, CA, USA). A *P*-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The significance level is noted in the figure legend.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### **③** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.4c01431.

Additional materials and methods and experimental details including UV–vis spectrum, fluorescent spectrum, GC study, LCMS study, electrochemistry study, HPLC traces, elemental analysis, Western-blot, cytotoxicity assay, animal study, and NMR spectrum of compounds (PDF)

Molecular formula strings (CSV)

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

### **Corresponding Authors**

- Leo E. Otterbein Department of Surgery, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02215, United States; Email: lotterbe@ bidmc.harvard.edu
- Binghe Wang Chemistry Department, Center for Diagnostics and Therapeutics, Georgia State University, Atlanta, Georgia 30303, United States; o orcid.org/0000-0002-2200-5270; Email: bwang31@gsu.edu

### Authors

Xiaoxiao Yang – Chemistry Department, Center for Diagnostics and Therapeutics, Georgia State University, Atlanta, Georgia 30303, United States; O orcid.org/0000-0001-9895-9559

- Wen Lu Chemistry Department, Center for Diagnostics and Therapeutics, Georgia State University, Atlanta, Georgia 30303, United States
- Rodrigo W. Alves de Souza Department of Surgery, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02215, United States
- **Qiyue Mao** Chemistry Department, Center for Diagnostics and Therapeutics, Georgia State University, Atlanta, Georgia 30303, United States
- **Dipak Baram** Chemistry Department, Center for Diagnostics and Therapeutics, Georgia State University, Atlanta, Georgia 30303, United States
- **Ravi Tripathi** Chemistry Department, Center for Diagnostics and Therapeutics, Georgia State University, Atlanta, Georgia 30303, United States
- Gangli Wang Chemistry Department, Center for Diagnostics and Therapeutics, Georgia State University, Atlanta, Georgia 30303, United States; © orcid.org/0000-0001-9204-7807

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.4c01431

## **Author Contributions**

<sup>§</sup>X.Y. and W.L. contributed equally to this work. The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

## Notes

The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): X.Y. is the founder of Alpharmax Ltd. Co. R.W.A.d.S. is a scientific advisor for Hillhurst Biopharmaceuticals, Inc. L.E.O. is a founder and advisor of GEM-Biosciences. B.W. is a founder of OncoSpherix. All the other authors declare no competing interests. L.E.O. is affiliated with Kyunghee University, Department of Pharmacology, College of Korean Medicine, Kyung Hee University Seoul, 02447, Republic of Korea.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the financial support from the National Institutes of Health for our CO-related work (R01DK119202 for CO and colitis; R01DK128823 for CO and acute kidney injury to B.W. and L.E.O.). We also acknowledge financial support from the Georgia Research Alliance in the form of an Eminent Scholar endowment (B.W.), the Dr. Frank Hannah Chair endowment (B.W.), and other GSU internal resources. Mass spectrometric analyses were conducted by the Georgia State University Mass Spectrometry Facilities, which are partially supported by an NIH grant for the purchase of a Waters Xevo G2-XS Mass Spectrometer (1S10OD026764-01). TOC was created by X.Y. (2024) BioRender.com/a140409.

## ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA, analysis of variance; ARE, antioxidant responsive element; BNP, B-type Natriuretic Peptide;  $\beta$ -MyHC, betamyosin heavy chain; CCK-8, cell counting kit-8; CDDO-Me, Bardoxolone Methyl; CO, carbon monoxide; CORM, COreleasing molecule; DMA, dimethylacetamide; DXR, doxorubicin; Gpx4, glutathione peroxidase 4; HO-1, heme oxygenase-1; Nrf2, nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2; PB, phosphate buffer; RDS, rate-determining step; ROS, reactive oxygen species

### REFERENCES

(1) Wang, B.; Otterbein, L. E. Carbon Monoxide in Drug Discovery: Basics, Pharmacology, and Therapeutic Potential. In *Wiley Series in Drug Discovery and Development*; Wang, B., Ed.; John Wiley and Sons: Hoboken, NJ, 2022; p 608.

(2) Chiang, N.; Shinohara, M.; Dalli, J.; Mirakaj, V.; Kibi, M.; Choi, A. M.; Serhan, C. N. Inhaled carbon monoxide accelerates resolution of inflammation via unique proresolving mediator-heme oxygenase-1 circuits. *J. Immunol.* **2013**, *190* (12), 6378–6388.

(3) Fagone, P.; Mazzon, E.; Bramanti, P.; Bendtzen, K.; Nicoletti, F. Gasotransmitters and the immune system: Mode of action and novel therapeutic targets. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **2018**, *834*, 92–102.

(4) Thom, S. R.; Fisher, D.; Xu, Y. A.; Notarfrancesco, K.; Ischiropoulos, H. Adaptive responses and apoptosis in endothelial cells exposed to carbon monoxide. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2000**, 97 (3), 1305–1310.

(5) Ueno, K.; Morstein, J.; Ofusa, K.; Naganos, S.; Suzuki-Sawano, E.; Minegishi, S.; Rezgui, S. P.; Kitagishi, H.; Michel, B. W.; Chang, C. J.; et al. Carbon Monoxide, a Retrograde Messenger Generated in Postsynaptic Mushroom Body Neurons, Evokes Noncanonical Dopamine Release. *J. Neurosci.* **2020**, *40* (18), 3533–3548.

(6) Bauer, N.; Liu, D.; Nguyen, T.; Wang, B. Unraveling the interplay of dopamine, carbon monoxide, and heme oxygenase in neuromodulation and cognition. *ACS Chem. Neurosci.* **2024**, *15*, 400–407.

(7) Motterlini, R.; Otterbein, L. E. The therapeutic potential of carbon monoxide. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* **2010**, 9 (9), 728–743.

(8) Barrett, J. A.; Li, Z.; Garcia, J. V.; Wein, E.; Zheng, D.; Hunt, C.; Ngo, L.; Sepunaru, L.; Iretskii, A. V.; Ford, P. C. Redox-mediated carbon monoxide release from a manganese carbonyl-implications for physiological CO delivery by CO releasing moieties. *R Soc. Open Sci.* **2021**, 8 (11), 211022.

(9) Kawahara, B.; Ramadoss, S.; Chaudhuri, G.; Janzen, C.; Sen, S.; Mascharak, P. K. Carbon monoxide sensitizes cisplatin-resistant ovarian cancer cell lines toward cisplatin via attenuation of levels of glutathione and nuclear metallothionein. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **2019**, *191*, 29–39.

(10) Romanski, S.; Stamellou, E.; Jaraba, J. T.; Storz, D.; Krämer, B.; Hafner, M.; Amslinger, S.; Schmalz, H. G.; Yard, B. A. Enzymetriggered CO-releasing molecules (ET-CORMs): evaluation of biological activity in relation to their structure. *Free Radic Biol. Med.* **2013**, *65*, 78–88.

(11) Steiger, C.; Lühmann, T.; Meinel, L. Oral drug delivery of therapeutic gases - carbon monoxide release for gastrointestinal diseases. *J. Controlled Release* **2014**, *189*, 46–53.

(12) Lazarus, L. S.; Benninghoff, A. D.; Berreau, L. M. Development of triggerable, trackable, and targetable carbon monoxide releasing molecules. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2020**, *53* (10), 2273–2285.

(13) Palao, E.; Slanina, T.; Muchová, L.; Šolomek, T.; Vítek, L.; Klán, P. Transition-metal-free CO-releasing BODIPY derivatives activatable by visible to NIR light as promising bioactive molecules. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138* (1), 126–133.

(14) Ramundo, A.; Janoš, J.; Muchová, L.; Šranková, M.; Dostál, J.; Kloz, M.; Vítek, L.; Slavíček, P.; Klán, P. Visible-light-activated carbon monoxide release from porphyrin-flavonol hybrids. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2024**, *146* (1), 920–929.

(15) Belcher, J. D.; Gomperts, E.; Nguyen, J.; Chen, C.; Abdulla, F.; Kiser, Z. M.; Gallo, D.; Levy, H.; Otterbein, L. E.; Vercellotti, G. M. Oral carbon monoxide therapy in murine sickle cell disease: Beneficial effects on vaso-occlusion, inflammation and anemia. *PLoS One* **2018**, *13* (10), No. e0205194.

(16) Changizi, S.; Marquette, I. G.; VanSant, J.; Alghazwat, O.; Elgattar, A.; Liao, Y.; Bashur, C. A. Carbon monoxide release from ultrasound-sensitive microbubbles improves endothelial cell growth. *J. Biomed Mater. Res. A* **2024**, *112* (4), 600–612. (18) Byrne, J. D.; Gallo, D.; Boyce, H.; Becker, S. L.; Kezar, K. M.; Cotoia, A. T.; Feig, V. R.; Lopes, A.; Csizmadia, E.; Longhi, M. S.; et al. Delivery of therapeutic carbon monoxide by gas-entrapping materials. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2022**, *14* (651), No. eabl4135.

(19) Ji, X.; Damera, K.; Zheng, Y.; Yu, B.; Otterbein, L. E.; Wang, B. Toward Carbon Monoxide–Based Therapeutics: Critical Drug Delivery and Developability Issues. *J. Pharm. Sci.* **2016**, *105* (2), 406–416.

(20) Min, Q.; Ji, X. Strategies toward metal-Free carbon monoxide prodrugs: an update. *ChemMedChem* **2023**, *18* (1), No. e202200500.

(21) Lazarus, L. S.; Esquer, H. J.; Benninghoff, A. D.; Berreau, L. M. Sense and release: a thiol-responsive flavonol-based photonically driven carbon monoxide-releasing molecule that operates via a multiple-input AND logic gate. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139* (28), 9435–9438.

(22) Min, Q.; Ni, Z.; You, M.; Liu, M.; Zhou, Z.; Ke, H.; Ji, X. Chemiexcitation-triggered prodrug activation for targeted carbon monoxide delivery. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2022**, *61* (26), No. e202200974.

(23) Yang, X. X.; Ke, B. W.; Lu, W.; Wang, B. H. CO as a therapeutic agent: discovery and delivery forms. *Chin J. Nat. Med.* **2020**, *18* (4), 284–295.

(24) De La Cruz, L. K.; Yang, X.; Menshikh, A.; Brewer, M.; Lu, W.; Wang, M.; Wang, S.; Ji, X.; Cachuela, A.; Yang, H.; et al. Adapting decarbonylation chemistry for the development of prodrugs capable of in vivo delivery of carbon monoxide utilizing sweeteners as carrier molecules. *Chem. Sci.* **2021**, *12* (31), 10649–10654.

(25) Yang, X.; Lu, W.; Wang, M.; De La Cruz, L. K.; Tan, C.; Wang, B. Activated charcoal dispersion of carbon monoxide prodrugs for oral delivery of CO in a pill. *Int. J. Pharm.* **2022**, *618*, 121650.

(26) Ji, X.; Wang, B. Strategies toward organic carbon monoxide Prodrugs. Acc. Chem. Res. 2018, 51 (6), 1377–1385.

(27) Yang, X.; Tripathi, R.; Wang, M.; Lu, W.; Anifowose, A.; Tan, C.; Wang, B. Toward "CO in a Pill": Silica-Immobilized Organic CO Prodrugs for Studying the Feasibility of Systemic Delivery of CO via In Situ Gastrointestinal CO Release. *Mol. Pharmaceutics* **2023**, *20* (3), 1850–1856.

(28) Wang, D.; Viennois, E.; Ji, K.; Damera, K.; Draganov, A.; Zheng, Y.; Dai, C.; Merlin, D.; Wang, B. A click-and-release approach to CO prodrugs. *Chem. Commun. (Camb)* **2014**, *50* (100), 15890–15893.

(29) Zheng, Y.; Ji, X.; Yu, B.; Ji, K.; Gallo, D.; Csizmadia, E.; Zhu, M.; Choudhury, M. R.; De La Cruz, L. K. C.; Chittavong, V.; et al. Enrichment-triggered prodrug activation demonstrated through mitochondria-targeted delivery of doxorubicin and carbon monoxide. *Nat. Chem.* **2018**, *10* (7), 787–794.

(30) Ji, X.; Zhou, C.; Ji, K.; Aghoghovbia, R.; Pan, Z.; Chittavong, V.; Ke, B.; Wang, B. Click and release: a chemical strategy toward developing gasotransmitter prodrugs by using an intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 15846–15851.

(31) Ji, X.; de La Cruz, L. K.; Pan, Z.; Chittavong, V.; Wang, B. pHsensitive metal-free carbon monoxide prodrugs with tunable and predictable release rates. *Chem. Commun.* **201**7, *53*, 9628–9631.

(32) Li, Z.; Wang, Y.; Liu, M.; Pan, Y.; Ni, Z.; Min, Q.; Wang, B.; Ke, H.; Ji, X. Reactive oxygen species-activated metal-free carbon monoxide prodrugs for targeted cancer treatment. *J. Med. Chem.* **2023**, *66* (21), 14583–14596.

(33) Ji, X.; Pan, Z.; Li, C.; Kang, T.; De La Cruz, L. K. C.; Yang, L.; Yuan, Z.; Ke, B.; Wang, B. Esterase-sensitive and pH-controlled carbon monoxide prodrugs for treating systemic inflammation. *J. Med. Chem.* **2019**, *62* (6), 3163–3168.

(34) Pan, Z.; Zhang, J.; Ji, K.; Chittavong, V.; Ji, X.; Wang, B. Organic CO prodrugs activated by endogenous ROS. *Org. Lett.* **2018**, 20 (1), 8–11.

(35) Kueh, J. T. B.; Stanley, N. J.; Hewitt, R. J.; Woods, L. M.; Larsen, L.; Harrison, J. C.; Rennison, D.; Brimble, M. A.; Sammut, I.

delivery. Soft Matter 2012, 8 (25), 6689.

A.; Larsen, D. S. Norborn-2-en-7-ones as physiologically-triggered carbon monoxide-releasing prodrugs. *Chem. Sci.* **201**7, *8*, 5454–5459.

(36) Xing, L.; Wang, B.; Li, J.; Guo, X.; Lu, X.; Chen, X.; Sun, H.; Sun, Z.; Luo, X.; Qi, S.; et al. A fluorogenic ONOO-triggered carbon monoxide donor for mitigating brain ischemic damage. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2022**, *144* (5), 2114–2119.

(37) Ji, X.; Ji, K.; Chittavong, V.; Yu, B.; Pan, Z.; Wang, B. An esterase-activated click and release approach to metal-free CO-prodrugs. *Chem. Commun.* **2017**, *53* (59), 8296–8299.

(38) Campos-Martin, J. M.; Blanco-Brieva, G.; Fierro, J. L. Hydrogen peroxide synthesis: an outlook beyond the anthraquinone process. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, 45 (42), 6962–6984.

(39) Roginsky, V.; Barsukova, T. Kinetics of oxidation of hydroquinones by molecular oxygen. Effect of superoxide dismutase. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 2 2000, No. 7, 1575–1582.

(40) Sella, E.; Shabat, D. Hydroquinone-quinone oxidation by molecular oxygen: a simple tool for signal amplification through autogeneration of hydrogen peroxide. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2013**, *11* (31), 5074–5078.

(41) Montoya, C. A.; Maier, E.; Banic, M.; McNabb, W. C.; Moughan, P. J. Oxygen concentration of gut luminal contents varies post-prandially in growing pigs. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* **2022**, *106* (3), 545–551.

(42) Seixas, J. D.; Mukhopadhyay, A.; Santos-Silva, T.; Otterbein, L. E.; Gallo, D. J.; Rodrigues, S. S.; Guerreiro, B. H.; Goncalves, A. M.; Penacho, N.; Marques, A. R.; et al. Characterization of a versatile organometallic pro-drug (CORM) for experimental CO based therapeutics. *Dalton Trans.* **2013**, *42* (17), 5985–5998.

(43) Coxon, J.; Oconnell, M.; Steel, P. Photochemistry of hemicyclone Diels-Alder adducts of substituted benzoquinones. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1986**, 39 (10), 1537–1557.

(44) Lamb, C. J. C.; Vilela, F.; Lee, A.-L. Pd(II)-catalyzed enantioselective desymmetrization of polycyclic cyclohexenediones: conjugate addition versus oxidative Heck. *Org. Lett.* **2019**, *21* (21), 8689–8694.

(45) Isaacs, N. S.; Laila, A. A. R. Rates of addition of sulphur dioxide to some 1,3-dienes. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1976**, *17* (9), 715–716.

(46) Beringer, F. M.; Huang, S. J. Rearrangement and cleavage of 2aryliodoniobenzoates. Trapping agents for benzyne1–3. *J. Org. Chem.* **1964**, 29 (2), 445–448.

(47) Conant, J. B.; Fieser, L. F. Reduction potentials of quinones. II. The potentials of certain derivatives of benzoquinone, naphthoquinone and anthraquinone. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1924**, *46* (8), 1858–1881.

(48) Munday, R. Autoxidation of naphthohydroquinones: Effects of pH, naphthoquinones and superoxide dismutase. *Free Radical Res.* **2000**, 32 (3), 245–253.

(49) Lai, C.-H.; Li, E. Y.; Chen, K.-Y.; Chow, T. J.; Chou, P.-T. Theoretical investigation of cheletroptic decarbonylation reactions. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2006**, *2* (4), 1078–1084.

(50) Wojnarowska, Z.; Włodarczyk, P.; Kaminski, K.; Grzybowska, K.; Hawelek, L.; Paluch, M. On the kinetics of tautomerism in drugs: new application of broadband dielectric spectroscopy. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *133* (9), 0945074.

(51) Bomzon, B.; Khunger, Y.; Subramanian, R. A dielectric and spectrophotometric study of the tautomerization of 2-hydroxypyridine and 2-mercaptopyridine in water. *RSC Adv.* **2020**, *10* (4), 2389–2395. (52) Srivastava, S.; Singh, D.; Patel, S.; Singh, M. R. Role of enzymatic free radical scavengers in management of oxidative stress in autoimmune disorders. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2017**, *101*, 502–517.

(53) Andrés, C. M. C.; Pérez de la Lastra, J. M.; Juan, C. A.; Plou, F. J.; Pérez-Lebeña, E. Chemistry of hydrogen peroxide formation and elimination in mammalian cells, and its role in various pathologies. *Stresses* **2022**, *2* (3), 256–274.

(54) Zhang, X. T.; Sun, X. Q.; Wu, C.; Chen, J. L.; Yuan, J. J.; Pang, Q. F.; Wang, Z. P. Heme oxygenase-1 induction by methylene blue protects RAW264.7 cells from hydrogen peroxide-induced injury. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **2018**, *148*, 265–277.

(55) Yang, X.; Lu, W.; Hopper, C. P.; Ke, B.; Wang, B. Nature's marvels endowed in gaseous molecules I: carbon monoxide and its

physiological and therapeutic roles. Acta Pharm. Sin. B 2021, 11 (6), 1434–1445.

pubs.acs.org/jmc

(56) Yang, X.; Yuan, Z.; Lu, W.; Yang, C.; Wang, M.; Tripathi, R.; Fultz, Z.; Tan, C.; Wang, B. De novo construction of fluorophores via CO insertion-initiated lactamization: a chemical strategy toward highly sensitive and highly selective turn-on fluorescent probes for carbon monoxide. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2023**, 145 (1), 78–88.

(57) Michel, B. W.; Lippert, A. R.; Chang, C. J. A reaction-based fluorescent probe for selective imaging of carbon monoxide in living cells using a palladium-mediated carbonylation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134* (38), 15668–15671.

(58) Motterlini, R.; Nikam, A.; Manin, S.; Ollivier, A.; Wilson, J. L.; Djouadi, S.; Muchova, L.; Martens, T.; Rivard, M.; Foresti, R. HYCO-3, a dual CO-releaser/Nrf2 activator, reduces tissue inflammation in mice challenged with lipopolysaccharide. *Redox Biol.* **2019**, *20*, 334– 348.

(59) Zhuang, S.; Zhong, J.; Bian, Y.; Fan, Y.; Chen, Q.; Liu, P.; Liu, Z. Rhein ameliorates lipopolysaccharide-induced intestinal barrier injury via modulation of Nrf2 and MAPKs. *Life Sci.* **2019**, *216*, 168–175.

(60) Paudel, P.; Jung, H. A.; Choi, J. S. Anthraquinone and naphthopyrone glycosides from Cassia obtusifolia seeds mediate hepatoprotection via Nrf2-mediated HO-1 activation and MAPK modulation. *Arch Pharm. Res.* **2018**, *41* (6), 677–689.

(61) Xin, D.; Li, H.; Zhou, S.; Zhong, H.; Pu, W. Effects of anthraquinones on immune responses and inflammatory diseases. *Molecules* **2022**, *27* (12), 3831.

(62) Zhou, S. F.; Wang, Y. Y.; Zhe, H.; Yang, Y.; He, Z. Bardoxolone methyl (CDDO-Me) as a therapeutic agent: an update on its pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties. *Drug Des., Dev. Ther.* **2014**, *8*, 2075–2088.

(63) Yang, X.; Mao, Q.; Wang, B. On the question of CO's ability to induce HO-1 expression in cell culture: a comparative study using different CO sources. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2024**, *19* (3), 725–735.

(64) Bao, H.; Qu, Q.; Zhang, W.; Wang, X.; Fang, J.; Xue, J.; Liu, Z.; He, S. Nrf2 exerts anti-inflammatory effects in LPS-induced gEECs by inhibiting the activation of the NF-kappaB. *Mediators Inflammation* **2021**, 2021, 9960721.

(65) Thimmulappa, R. K.; Scollick, C.; Traore, K.; Yates, M.; Trush, M. A.; Liby, K. T.; Sporn, M. B.; Yamamoto, M.; Kensler, T. W.; Biswal, S. Nrf2-dependent protection from LPS induced inflammatory response and mortality by CDDO-Imidazolide. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2006**, 351 (4), 883–889.

(66) Zhang, F.-h.; Sun, Y.-h.; Fan, K.-l.; Dong, X.-b.; Han, N.; Zhao, H.; Kong, L. Protective effects of heme oxygenase-1 against severe acute pancreatitis via inhibition of tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  and augmentation of interleukin-10. *BMC Gastroenterol.* **2017**, *17* (1), 100.

(67) Lee, I. T.; Luo, S.-F.; Lee, C.-W.; Wang, S.-W.; Lin, C.-C.; Chang, C.-C.; Chen, Y.-L.; Chau, L.-Y.; Yang, C.-M. Overexpression of HO-1 protects against TNF- $\alpha$ -mediated airway inflammation by down-regulation of TNFR1-dependent oxidative stress. *Am. J. Pathol.* **2009**, 175 (2), 519–532.

(68) Bakhautdin, B.; Das, D.; Mandal, P.; Roychowdhury, S.; Danner, J.; Bush, K.; Pollard, K.; Kaspar, J. W.; Li, W.; Salomon, R. G.; et al. Protective role of HO-1 and carbon monoxide in ethanolinduced hepatocyte cell death and liver injury in mice. *J. Hepatol.* **2014**, *61* (5), 1029–1037.

(69) Magierowska, K.; Magierowski, M.; Surmiak, M.; Adamski, J.; Mazur-Bialy, A. I.; Pajdo, R.; Sliwowski, Z.; Kwiecien, S.; Brzozowski, T. The protective role of carbon monoxide (CO) produced by heme oxygenases and derived from the CO-releasing molecule CORM-2 in the pathogenesis of stress-induced gastric lesions: evidence for noninvolvement of nitric oxide (NO). *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2016**, *17* (4), 442. (70) Lever, J. M.; Boddu, R.; George, J. F.; Agarwal, A. Heme oxygenase-1 in kidney health and disease. *Antioxid. Redox Signaling* **2016**, *25* (3), 165–183. (71) Otterbein, L. E.; Foresti, R.; Motterlini, R. Heme oxygenase-1 and carbon monoxide in the heart: the balancing act between danger signaling and pro-Survival. *Circ. Res.* **2016**, *118* (12), 1940–1959.

(72) Ryter, S. W. Therapeutic potential of heme oxygenase-1 and carbon monoxide in acute organ Injury, Critical Illness, and Inflammatory Disorders. *Antioxidants (Basel)* **2020**, *9* (11), 1153.

(73) Chu, L. M.; Shaefi, S.; Byrne, J. D.; Alves de Souza, R. W.; Otterbein, L. E. Carbon monoxide and a change of heart. *Redox Biol.* **2021**, *48*, 102183.

(74) Wang, B.; Cao, W.; Biswal, S.; Dore, S. Carbon monoxideactivated Nrf2 pathway leads to protection against permanent focal cerebral ischemia. *Stroke* **2011**, *42* (9), 2605–2610.

(75) Bihari, A.; Cepinskas, G.; Forbes, T. L.; Potter, R. F.; Lawendy, A. R. Systemic application of carbon monoxide-releasing molecule 3 protects skeletal muscle from ischemia-reperfusion injury. *J. Vasc. Surg.* **2017**, *66* (6), 1864–1871.

(76) Correa-Costa, M.; Gallo, D.; Csizmadia, E.; Gomperts, E.; Lieberum, J.-L.; Hauser, C. J.; Ji, X.; Wang, B.; Câmara, N. O. S.; Robson, S. C.; et al. Carbon monoxide protects the kidney through the central circadian clock and CD39. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2018**, *115* (10), E2302–E2310.

(77) Alves de Souza, R. W.; Voltarelli, V.; Gallo, D.; Shankar, S.; Tift, M. S.; Young, M.; Gomperts, E.; Gomperts, A.; Otterbein, L. E. Beneficial effects of oral carbon monoxide on doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity. J. Am. Heart Assoc. **2024**, *13* (9), No. e032067.

(78) Durante, W.; Johnson, F. K.; Johnson, R. A. Role of carbon monoxide in cardiovascular function. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* **2006**, *10* (3), 672–686.

(79) Suliman, H. B.; Carraway, M. S.; Ali, A. S.; Reynolds, C. M.; Welty-Wolf, K. E.; Piantadosi, C. A. The CO/HO system reverses inhibition of mitochondrial biogenesis and prevents murine doxorubicin cardiomyopathy. *J. Clin. Invest.* **2007**, *117* (12), 3730–3741.

(80) Alves de Souza, R. W.; Voltarelli, V.; Gallo, D.; Shankar, S.; Tift, M. S.; Young, M.; Gomperts, E.; Gomperts, A.; Otterbein, L. E. Beneficial Effects of Oral Carbon Monoxide on Doxorubicin-Induced Cardiotoxicity. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* **2024**, *13* (9), No. e032067.

(81) Depre, C.; Shipley, G. L.; Chen, W.; Han, Q.; Doenst, T.; Moore, M. L.; Stepkowski, S.; Davies, P. J.; Taegtmeyer, H. Unloaded heart in vivo replicates fetal gene expression of cardiac hypertrophy. *Nat. Med.* **1998**, *4* (11), 1269–1275.

(82) Wegiel, B.; Gallo, D.; Csizmadia, E.; Harris, C.; Belcher, J.; Vercellotti, G. M.; Penacho, N.; Seth, P.; Sukhatme, V.; Ahmed, A.; et al. Carbon monoxide expedites metabolic exhaustion to inhibit tumor growth. *Cancer Res.* **2013**, *73* (23), 7009–7021.

(83) Fang, X.; Wang, H.; Han, D.; Xie, E.; Yang, X.; Wei, J.; Gu, S.; Gao, F.; Zhu, N.; Yin, X.; et al. Ferroptosis as a target for protection against cardiomyopathy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2019**, *116* (7), 2672–2680.

(84) Maiorino, M.; Conrad, M.; Ursini, F. GPx4, lipid peroxidation, and cell death: discoveries, rediscoveries, and open issues. *Antioxid. Redox Signaling* **2018**, *29* (1), 61–74.

(85) Wang, H.; Siren, J.; Perttunen, S.; Immonen, K.; Chen, Y. C.; Narumanchi, S.; Kosonen, R.; Paavola, J.; Laine, M.; Tikkanen, I.; et al. Deficiency of heme oxygenase 1a causes detrimental effects on cardiac function. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* **2024**, *28* (7), No. e18243.

(86) Liu, X.; Wei, J.; Peng, D. H.; Layne, M. D.; Yet, S. F. Absence of heme oxygenase-1 exacerbates myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury in diabetic mice. *Diabetes* **2005**, *54* (3), 778–784.

(87) Suliman, H. B.; Keenan, J. E.; Piantadosi, C. A. Mitochondrial quality-control dysregulation in conditional HO-1(-/-) mice. *JCI Insight* **2017**, 2 (3), No. e89676.

(88) Singal, P. K.; Iliskovic, N. Doxorubicin-induced cardiomyopathy. N. Engl. J. Med. **1998**, 339 (13), 900–905.

(89) Payne, F. M.; Nie, S.; Diffee, G. M.; Wilkins, G. T.; Larsen, D. S.; Harrison, J. C.; Baldi, J. C.; Sammut, I. A. The carbon monoxide prodrug oCOm-21 increases Ca(2+) sensitivity of the cardiac myofilament. *Physiol Rep* **2024**, *12* (6), No. e15974.