Peer

Chemical constituents and biological activities of endophytic fungi from *Fagopyrum dibotrys*

Qiqi Xie^{1,*}, Yujie Jia^{1,*}, Jiwen Tao¹, Tongliang Bu¹, Qing Wang¹, Nayu Shen¹, Xinyu Zhang¹, Yirong Xiao², Lin Ye³, Zhao Chen⁴, Huahai Huang⁵, Qingfeng Li¹ and Zizhong Tang¹

- ¹ College of Life Science, Sichuan Agricultural University, Ya'an, Sichuan, China
- ² Sichuan Agricultural University Hospital, Sichuan Agricultural University, Ya'an, Sichuan, China
- ³ College of Animal Science and Technology, Sichuan Agricultural University, Cheng'du, Sichuan, China
 - ⁴ Ya'an People's Hospital, Ya'an People's Hospital, Ya'an, Sichuan, China
 - ⁵ Da'zhu Institute of Scientific and Technical Information, Unaffiliated, Da'zhu, Sichuan, China
 - * These authors contributed equally to this work.

ABSTRACT

Background. *Fagopyrum dibotrys* is an important wild food and feed germplasm resource. It has high nutritional and medicinal value and is rich in natural products, including flavonoids, phenolic acids, coumarins, and alkaloids. Endophytic fungi in *F. dibotrys* have emerged as valuable sources of natural products. However, studies on the biological activity and chemical composition of these endophytic fungi remain limited. **Methods**. In this paper, a new method to obtain natural active ingredients by fermentation of endophytic fungi from medicinal plants was proposed. Then the antioxidant and pathogenic activities of the endophytic fungi extracts were determined *in vitro*. In addition, secondary metabolites produced by endophytic fungi with medicinal activity were analyzed by high performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS).

Results. Among the 95 endophytic fungal strains in *F. dibotrys*, four strains with high phenol yields were selected by reaction: *Alternaria alstroemeriae* (J2), *Fusarium oxysporum* (J15), *Colletotrichum karsti* (J74), and *Colletotrichum boninense* (J61). Compared with those of various extracts, the ethyl acetate fractions of *A. alstroemeriae* (J2), *F. oxysporum* (J15), and *C. boninense* (J61) exhibited superior antioxidant and antibacterial properties. The results indicated that the fungal extract was an excellent natural antioxidant and might be a potential antibacterial agent. The DPPH free radical clearance of *A. alstroemeriae* was 94.96 \pm 0.004%. These findings indicated that *A. alstroemeriae* had strong antioxidant activity. In addition, the extract of *A. alstroemeriae* had good antibacterial activity against *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*, with MICs of 0.5 and 0.05 mg/mL, respectively. The chemical constituents of the ethyl acetate extract from *A. alstroemeriae* were further analyzed by liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC–MS). We noted that *A. alstroemeriae* can create a variety of medicinal substances that have high value in medicine, such as caffeic acid (884.75 ng/mL), 3-phenyllactic acid (240.72 ng/mL) and norlichexanthone (74.36 ng/mL).

Discussion. In summary, many valuable active substances and medicinal substances can be obtained through the study of endophytic fungi of *F. dibotrys*.

Submitted 9 July 2024 Accepted 24 October 2024 Published 18 November 2024

Corresponding author Zizhong Tang, 67031988@qq.com

Academic editor Vladimir Uversky

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 21

DOI 10.7717/peerj.18529

Copyright 2024 Xie et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

Subjects Biochemistry, Mycology **Keywords** Endophytic fungi, Microbe, Antioxidant, Antibacterial activity, Chemical composition, *F. dibotrys*

INTRODUCTION

Fagopyrum dibotrys, a perennial species within the genus Rhizopyrum, has significant medicinal and economic value. Due to its moderate feed value, high total phenol (TP) content and agronomic role, buckwheat may have significant advantages in production, conservation and utilization in the Mediterranean region (*Er & Keles, 2021*). The plant is found mainly in China, India and Nepal and grows in river valleys, swamps and shrubs at altitudes between 250 and 3,200 m. At present, this plant is considered to have anticancer, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant and other properties (*Xie et al., 2023*). *F. dibotrys* contains many flavonoids, terpenes, steroids, organic acids and volatile components, as well as essential amino acids and vitamins (*Zhu, 2016; Guo et al., 2022*).

Endophytic fungi represent crucial resources for the development of new natural products. They provide essential means to discover pharmacodynamic compounds from secondary metabolites and solve the scarcity problem of traditional Chinese medicinal plants (*Ma et al., 2023*). Endophytes, one of the sources of natural products, exhibit significant physiological activities (*Ancheeva, Daletos & Proksch, 2020*). Their primary components include polyketones, terpenes, steroidal fats, and phenols (*Liu & Liu, 2018*). Their main effects include antioxidant (*Fu et al., 2017*), hypoglycemic (*Mustafa et al., 2022*), anticancer (*Hazafa et al., 2020*), weight loss (*Montalbano et al., 2021*), anti-inflammatory (*Maleki, Crespo & Cabanillas, 2019*), and antimicrobial effects (*Shamsudin et al., 2022*; *Sunil & Xu, 2019*). Moreover, both the growth rate and yield of endophytic fungi significantly increase under *in vitro* conditions (*Zhao et al., 2019*). Consequently, plant endophytic fungi can fill the defects that bioactive substances are not easy to obtain and cost is high at present. The results of this study lay a foundation for the development of endophytic fungi with high efficiency and low toxicity (*Zhu et al., 2023*).

Oxidative stress is a state of imbalance between oxidation and antioxidant action in the body, which is considered to be an important factor leading to aging and disease (*Pisoschi* & Pop, 2015). If antioxidant mechanisms in the body cannot effectively eliminate ROS, an imbalance in cell homeostasis can occur and cause further irreversible damage, such as cell dysfunction, protein damage and DNA damage. Studies have shown that oxidative stress is linked with diseases such as vascular dementia (*Chen* & Zhong, 2014), kidney disease (*Daenen et al.*, 2018), diabetes, obesity, cancer, aging and osteoporosis (*Kimball*, *Johnson* & Carlson, 2021). Currently, the widely used antioxidants include butyl hydroxy anisole, dibutyl hydroxy toluene and tert-butylhydroquinone (*Gulcin*, 2020). Although these substances have strong antioxidant activity, they have highly toxic side effects and are expensive; However, their application in the fields of food and medicine is limited (*Xu et al.*, 2021). In recent years, with people's attention to health, natural antioxidants from plants have attracted attention because of their unique characteristics such as easy extraction,

safety and high efficiency (*Neha et al., 2019*). Consequently, natural antioxidants have become a focal point of research in food, medicine, and other fields.

Bacterial infections can cause a range of diseases that can affect multiple tissues and organs, such as the blood, lymphatic system, skin, liver, and heart (*Nasr, Radhakrishnan & D'Agati, 2013*). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is a class of gram-negative opportunistic bacteria. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is known for its easy colonization, rapid mutation, and multidrug resistance (*Chevalier et al., 2017; Marei, 2020*). This bacterium is commonly associated with respiratory infections (*Holger et al., 2022*), pulmonary infection (*Malhotra, Hayes & Wozniak, 2019*) and keratitis (*Subedi, Vijay & Willcox, 2018*). Clarifying the characteristics of bacteria, along with their clinical manifestations and treatment options for infections, is crucial for the prevention and control of bacterial diseases.

In recent years, secondary metabolites from endophytic fungi have not only replaced medicinal plants as a substantial resource for screening natural active compounds and lead compounds for new drugs but also have broad application prospects and research value in terms of biological control and other aspects (*Cao et al., 2021*). This development offers a novel approach to discover new antibacterial agents from endophytic fungi. Furthermore, the extraction of endophytic fungal metabolites plays a crucial role in ensuring the rational use of valuable medicinal plant resources in China (*Ravi et al., 2022*). *F. dibotrys*, a valuable herb in traditional Chinese medicine, has a wide array of applications (*Ma et al., 2023*). However, studies on endophytic fungi from *F. dibotrys* and their mechanisms of action are still rare and need to be further explored. In this context, the aim of our research is to clarify the antibacterial and antioxidant activities, as well as the chemical constituents, of endophytic fungal extracts from *F. dibotrys*.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Experimental materials

In 2022, to research the wild germplasm resources of *F. dibotrys*, the biochemistry and molecular biology research group collected plants of 40 strains of *F. dibotrys* from 40 locations at different latitudes and longitudes in Southwest China (Sichuan Meishan city, Ya'an city, Chengdu city, Deyang city, Leshan city and Liangshan Yi Autonomous Prefecture). To ensure the quality of the experimental materials, after the plants were uprooted, the samples were packed into plastic bags and immediately sent to the laboratory for further study.

Isolation of endophytic fungi

The roots, stems and leaves of the plants were cut into small pieces. The samples were washed twice with distilled water, disinfected with 75% ethanol for 2 min, soaked with 5% sodium hypochlorite solution for 10 min, washed with 75% ethanol for 1 min and finally washed twice with distilled water. When the samples were dry, they were added to potato dextrose agar medium (100 μ g/L ampicillin was added to prevent bacterial contamination) and cultured at 28 °C for one week. During this period, fungal mycelia of different shapes and colors were picked out and purified, cultured at 28 °C for 5–7 days, and stored at 4 °C (*Shen et al., 2023*).

Screening of endophytic fungi producing polyphenols

The endophytic fungi were cultured in fresh potato dextrose broth for one week (160 rpm, 28 °C). The filtrate of the culture medium was obtained by filtering the culture medium with sterile gauze. The conditions for the colorimetric reaction were consistent with those described previously (*Shen et al., 2023*). In simple terms, 0.1% FeCl₃ and 0.1% K_3 [Fe(CN)₆] were mixed and added to the culture filter. The final mixture in the test tube appears blue, indicating that the endophytic fungus has produced polyphenols after fermentation.

Identification of endophytic fungi producing polyphenols

The color, humidity, pigmentation and flatness of the fungal strains were observed using a CX21 FS1 microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). The phenol-producing endophytic fungi were identified by the ITS strain identification method. Fungal DNA was obtained following the directions from the kit (Rapid DNA extraction test kit, Tiangen, Beijing, China). PCR amplification was subsequently performed using an ITS1-forward primer (TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG) and an ITS4-reverse primer (TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC) (Cymbionics). The amplification procedure consisted of initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing at 58 °C for 30 s, extension at 72 °C for 1 min for 35 cycles, and finally extension at 72 °C for 5 min. (*Tian et al., 2022*). PCR products were sent to Chengdu Qingke Biosequencing Technology Company for sequencing. Next, the ITS region sequences were compared with existing species sequences in the GenBank database. The phylogenetic tree of phenol-producing endophytic fungi was constructed in MEGA 11.0 software.

Preparation of endophytic fungus fermentation fluid extract

The fermentation mixture was centrifuged at 8,000 rpm and 4 °C for 15–20 min. After centrifugation, the precipitate was discarded, and the supernatant was collected. The supernatant was then filtered through a 0.22 μ m aqueous filter membrane and set aside for further study. The fermentation mixture was extracted with equal volumes of ethyl acetate, n-butanol, petroleum ether, chloroform and other solvents for 10 min for a total of 3 times. The extracted liquid was then transferred to a rotary evaporator, concentrated under reduced pressure, and further processed using a freeze dryer. The final product was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and subjected to testing for biological activity (*Hoque et al., 2023*).

Determination of total polyphenols content

The gallic acid standard solution was prepared according to a previously described method (*Marchut-Mikołajczyk et al., 2023*). Water (1 mL) and sample mixture (1 mL) were added to a beaker. Subsequently, 0.5 mL of Folin–Ciocalteu reagent was added. After 5 min, 1 mL of 20% sodium bicarbonate reagent was added, and then distilled water was added to 10 mL. The absorbance value (A₇₆₀) was obtained after reacting for 2 h. A standard curve was drawn with the absorbance as the vertical coordinate and the gallic acid mass concentration as the horizontal coordinate: $y = 0.00302 \times -0.00978$, $R^2 = 0.9905$.

Antioxidant activity

In this study, we evaluated the antioxidant activities of six different concentrations of the extract (0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, and 2 mg/mL). The assessment methods included DPPH, ABTS, and hydroxyl radical- and superoxide anion-scavenging assays. Ascorbic acid (Vc) was used as a positive control. Three replicates of each analysis were performed to ensure the reliability of the results.

2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrohydrazyl radical-scavenging activity

In accordance with the methods described by *Gauchan et al.* (2020), 150 μ L of 0.2 mM DPPH was mixed with a sample mixture with a concentration gradient of equal volume. Ethanol was used as the control. After 30 min of light-blocking treatment, 300 μ L of the mixture was placed on the enzyme label plate, and the absorbance at 517 nm was detected.

The DPPH free radical clearance was calculated as follows:

Clearance rate/% = $[1 - (A_b - A_a)/A_c] \times 100\%$

where A_c represents the absorbance of 150 μ L of DPPH solution and 150 μ L of anhydrous ethanol. A_b represents the absorbance of 150 μ L of DPPH solution mixed with 150 μ L of gradient sample solution. A_a represents the absorbance of 150 μ L of gradient sample solution in 150 μ L of anhydrous ethanol.

Hydroxyl radical-scavenging activity

In accordance with the methods described by *Dhayanithy*, *Subban & Chelliah* (2019), 150 μ L samples with different concentrations were added to 50 μ L of 8 mM ferrous sulfate, 50 μ L of 8 mM salicylic acid and 50 μ L of 8 mM H₂O₂. Distilled water was used as a control. The mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 20 min. Finally, 300 μ L of the mixture was placed on the enzyme-coated plate, and the absorbance at 510 nm was detected.

The scavenging rate of hydroxyl free radicals was calculated as follows:

Clearance rate/% = $[1 - (A_b - A_a)/A_c] \times 100\%$,

where A_b represents the absorption value of the sample mixture containing 150 μ L and the reaction mixture containing 150 μ L. A_c represents the absorption value of 150 μ L distilled water and 150 μ L reaction mixture. A_a represents the light absorption value after the hydrogen peroxide was replaced with distilled water.

ABTS free radical-scavenging activity

In accordance with the methods described by *Santos et al.* (2020), the ABTS mother liquor was obtained by absorbing ABTS (7 mM) and adding 2.45 mM potassium persulfate, and the mixture was incubated at 28 °C for 18 h. The ABTS mother liquor was diluted with phosphoric acid (PBS) solution until the absorption (734 nm) reached 0.7 \pm 0.02, and the ABTS working liquor was prepared. For each sample, 150 µL of ABTS working mixture was added. Ethanol was used as the control. After 30 min of protection from light, 300 µL of the mixture was placed on the enzyme-coated plate, and the absorbance at 734 nm was detected.

The scavenging rate of ABTS free radicals was calculated as follows:

Clearance rate/% = $[1 - (A_b - A_a)/A_c] \times 100\%$

where A_c represents the absorbance of 150 μ L of ABTS solution and 150 μ L of anhydrous ethanol. A_b indicates the absorbance of 150 μ L of ABTS solution mixed with 150 μ L of sample. A_a represents the absorbance of a 150 μ L sample with 150 μ L anhydrous ethanol.

Superoxide anion radical-scavenging activity

In accordance with the methods described by *Wang et al.* (2009), 300 μ L of Tris–HCl buffer (pH 8.2, 0.05 M) was mixed with 150 μ L of sample mixture and incubated at 25 °C for 10 min. Fifty microliters of pyrogallol (25 mM) was quickly added. Distilled water was used as a control. After 4 min, 50 μ L of HCl (8 M) was added to terminate the reaction. The absorption value of the 300 μ L mixture was measured at 320 nm.

The superoxide anion radical-scavenging rate was calculated as follows:

Clearance rate/% = $[1 - (A_b - A_a)/A_c] \times 100\%$

where A_b represents the absorbance of 150 μ L of sample or 150 μ L of reaction mixture. A_c represents the absorbance of 150 μ L of distilled water or 150 μ L of reaction mixture. A_a represents the absorbance of distilled water instead of pyrogallol.

Antibacterial activity

Minimum inhibitory concentration

The antibacterial activities of the fungal extracts were determined using the Oxford cup method. *Escherichia coli* (ATCC25922), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC9027), *Bacillus subtilis* (ATCC6633) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC6538) were incubated in sterile nutrient broth at 37 °C for 12 h, and 150 μ L of the suspension was uniformly coated on a Petri dish. Once the bacterial mixture was dry, each Petri dish was divided into three parts, and a sterilized Oxford cup was placed in each section. Each fungal extract was diluted with nutrient broth (0.5, 1 and 3 mg/mL). Then, 150 μ L of extract, 0.5% DMSO and 100 μ g/L chloramphenicol were added to the Oxford cup for the negative and positive controls, respectively. The culture plate was placed horizontally in a constant-temperature incubator and cultured at 37 °C for 24 h (*Nishad et al., 2021*). After incubation, the results were observed, and the diameter of the inhibition zone was recorded. If the diameter was greater than 7.8 mm, the solution in the Oxford cup was considered to have an inhibitory effect.

Minimum bactericidal concentration

A mixture of 100 μ L of fungal extract with antibacterial activity (0.2–3 mg/mL) and 100 μ L of bacterial suspension was inoculated into aseptic nutrient agar and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. The number of bacterial colonies on the medium was then counted. If the number of colonies was less than 10, the agent was considered to have bactericidal properties (*Toghueo*, 2019).

Fluorescence microscopy

According to *Paul et al. (2021)*, biofilms can be effectively observed by fluorescence microscopy. Four species of bacteria were treated with the endophytic fungal extract at a concentration equivalent to 2 MICs. The treated bacteria were then placed on a slide and incubated at 37 °C. After 48 h, the slide was rinsed 4 to 5 times with normal saline water to remove any nonadherent bacteria. The biofilm generated on the slide was stained with 0.1% acridine orange. The formation and characteristics of the biofilms were then examined under a fluorescence microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

Analysis of the bioactive compounds by LC–MS

Data were collected as previously described in *Shen et al. (2023)*. Specifically bioactive compound mass spectrometry detection.

Data analysis

The data are expressed as the means \pm standard deviations from three independent sets of observations. Single-factor variance analysis (ANOVA) and Duncan's multiple range test were performed using SPSS version 26.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). A *p* value of less than 0.05 (*P* < 0.05) was considered statistically significant for determining differences between groups.

RESULTS

Screening of endophytic fungi that produce polyphenols

Polyphenol-producing fungi were screened using the Folin–Ciocalteu color rendering test. Polyphenols in fermentation broth can produce a blue color on $FeCl_3$ -K₃ [Fe(CN)₆], as shown in Fig. S1. Among the tested strains, nine exhibited a blue reaction with the chromogenic agents. Among these, four strains presented the deepest coloration, providing preliminary evidence of their high phenol production capabilities. These strains were further identified for their potential in subsequent chemical and pharmacological studies.

Identification of endophytic fungi that produce polyphenols

Following purification, the morphological characteristics of the endophytic fungi on the agar plates were observed, as detailed in Table S1. The selected mycelium samples were then subjected to microscopic observation and identification, as shown in Fig. S2. The ITS rDNA sequences of the fungi were subsequently identified and matched, and the results are presented in Table 1. The sequence similarity among these identified fungi was found to be at least 99%. The phylogenetic tree of the phenol-producing strains isolated from *F. dibotrys* is depicted in Fig. 1. The strains were identified as *A. alstroemeriae* (J2), *F. oxysporum* (J15), *C. karsti* (J74), and *C. boninense* (J61).

Determination of total polyphenol content

Extracts of *A. alstroemeriae* (J2), *F. oxysporum* (J15), *C. karsti* (J74) and *C. boninense* (J61) were treated with different solvents. The resulting mixtures contained different total phenols, as detailed in Table S2 and illustrated in Fig. 2. The total phenol contents of the

Table 1 Study on endophytic strains of phenol-producing fungi from F. dibotrys.								
NO	Genus	Most closely related strain	Ident (%)	Accession.				
J2	Alternaria sp.	A. alstroemeriae	100.00%	OP482339.1				
J15	Fusarium sp.	F. oxysporum	100.00%	OP714469.1				
J74	Colletotrichum sp.	C. karsti	99.65%	OQ652534.1				
J61	Colletotrichum sp.	C. boninense	100.00%	MF062469.1				



Figure 1 Adjacent tree of ITS sequence of phenol-producing endophytic fungi of *F. dibotrys*. The number on the node is the boot score obtained from 1,000 replicates. *Mucor racemose* was selected as the outer group.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.18529/fig-1





Full-size 🖾 DOI: 10.7717/peerj.18529/fig-2

fungal extracts ranged from 13.75 ± 5.25 to 135.25 ± 0.33 mg GAE/g. *A. alstroemeriae* had a higher polyphenol content than did *F. oxysporum*, *C. karsti* and *C. boninense*. Among the four solvents, ethyl acetate was the most effective, yielding 135.25 ± 0.33 mg GAE/g for *A. alstroemeriae*, 92.74 ± 4.68 mg GAE/g for *F. oxysporum*, and 129.64 ± 4.28 mg GAE/g for *C. boninense*. For *C. karsti*, the use of n-butanol had greater efficiency; its extraction rate was 97.76 ± 4.31 mg GAE/g. *A. alstroemeriae*, *F. oxysporum*, *C. karsti* and *C. boninense* extracted from petroleum ether had lower polyphenol contents, with values of 26.49 ± 7.25 , 53.96 ± 4.31 , 13.75 ± 5.25 and 28.96 ± 6.55 mg GAE/g, respectively.

Consequently, polar solvents were more effective at extracting higher quantities of polyphenols from *A. alstroemeriae*, *F. oxysporum*, and *C. boninense*. In contrast, moderately polar solvents were more suitable for extracting polyphenols from *C. karsti*.

Antioxidant activity

Table S2, Figs. 3, 4, 5 and 6 show the antioxidant activities. As shown in Fig. 3, the scavenging abilities for ABTS, hydroxyl free radicals, DPPH free radicals and superoxide free radicals increased with increasing extract concentration. At a concentration of 2 mg/mL, the ethyl acetate extracts from *A. alstroemeriae* exhibited more potent antioxidant effects than did the other organic solvents. In the four types of free radical assays, the scavenging capacity





of the ethyl acetate extract for DPPH was almost equivalent to that of vitamin C (Vc), reaching the highest level (Fig. 3C, P < 0.05).

Table S2 shows that ethyl acetate had the strongest scavenging ability after extraction of *A. alstroemeriae*, where the IC_{50 DPPH} was 0.02048 \pm 0.009 mg/mL, the IC_{50 ABTS} was 0.049 \pm 0.005 mg/mL, the IC_{50 OPH} was 0.08 \pm 0.001 mg/mL, and the IC_{50 O2-} was 0.28 \pm 0.005 mg/mL). For n-butanol extract, the IC_{50 ABTS} was 0.034 \pm 0.002 mg/mL, the IC_{50 OPH} was 0.14368 \pm 0.004 mg/mL, and the IC_{50 O2-} was 1.54 \pm 0.004 mg/mL.

For *F. oxysporum*, ethyl acetate extraction had the best antioxidant effect and the lowest IC50 value (P < 0.05). The IC_{50ABTS} was 0.051 ± 0.0002 , the IC_{50.OH} was 0.25 ± 0.006 , the IC_{50DPPH} was 0.08 ± 0.001 , and the IC_{50.O2} was 0.65 ± 0.041 (Table S2). For *C. karsti*, n-butanol extraction had the best antioxidant effect and the lowest IC50 (P < 0.05). The IC_{50ABTS} was 0.098 ± 0.001 , the IC_{50.OH} was 0.7 ± 0.005 , the IC_{50DPPH} was 0.08 ± 0.005 , and the IC_{50.O2} was 0.66 ± 0.039 (Table S2). For *C. boninense*, ethyl acetate extraction had the best antioxidant effect and the lowest IC50 value (P < 0.05). The IC_{50ABTS} was





 0.073 ± 0.003 , the IC_{50.OH} was 0.57 ± 0.005 , the IC_{50DPPH} was 0.01 ± 0.032 , and the IC_{50.O2-} was 0.23 ± 0.006 (Table S2).

Our results showed that ethyl acetate was the most effective extraction agent. The endophytic fungi responded to antioxidant mechanisms by scavenging free radicals. The ability to effectively remove free radicals and protect cells from oxidative damage may be attributed to the different polyphenol contents in the various extracts.

Antibacterial activity

The inhibitory effects of extracts of *A. alstroemeriae*, *F. oxysporum*, *C. karsti* and *C. boninense* were measured using the four bacteria mentioned in section "Antioxidant activity". *A. alstroemeriae*, *F. oxysporum*, *C. karsti*, and *C. boninense* had antibacterial effects on all the tested bacteria. However, the antibacterial efficacies varied depending on the solvent used for extraction, as detailed in Tables 2 and 3. Table 2 shows that *A. alstroemeriae* extracted with ethyl acetate had antibacterial effects on the tested bacteria, with MIC values between 0.5 and 2 mg/mL. Each of the extracts had an inhibitory effect on *E. coli*. Moreover, the n-butanol extract also had a good inhibitory effect on the tested bacteria, except for *P. aeruginosa*. Only *S. aureus* and *E. coli* were strongly inhibited by the use of





trichloromethane. The petroleum ether extract had a strong inhibitory effect only on *E. coli*. For *F. oxysporum*, only the ethyl acetate extract had an inhibitory effect on the tested bacteria. For *C. karsti*, only the n-butanol extract inhibited the tested bacteria. The ethyl acetate extract of *C. boninense* showed strong antibacterial activity. The MIC values were between 0.5 and 2 mg/mL. All extracts had inhibitory effects on *S. aureus* and *E. coli*. These results indicated that ethyl acetate could be used to extract *A. alstroemeriae*, *F. oxysporum* and *C. boninense*.

Table 3 shows the MBCs. A. alstroemeriae, F. oxysporum and C. boninense showed good bacteriostatic effects after extraction with ethyl acetate, except for P. aeruginosa. The concentrations of MBCs ranged from 1.0–2.0 mg/ml. There was no obvious inhibitory effect on P. aeruginosa. Moreover, the four extracts of C. karsti showed no bactericidal activity against the four kinds of bacteria, and the four different extracts showed no antibacterial activity.

Acridine orange can bind to nucleic acids to produce green fluorescence. As shown in Fig. 7, bacterial cells not treated with the fungal extract remained active and emitted strong





green fluorescence (Fig. 7A). In contrast, when the bacterial cells were treated with the fungi extracted with ethyl acetate, a reduction in fluorescence was observed. These results indicated that the use of ethyl acetate as the extraction agent achieved antibacterial effects by destroying the bacterial membrane but had no effect on *P. aeruginosa* (Figs. 7B–7D). These findings implied that ethyl acetate extracts can effectively inhibit cell proliferation and disrupt cellular processes, likely through interactions with the cell membrane structure.

Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry

The chemical constituents of *A. alstroemeriae*, *F. oxysporum*, *C. karsti* and *C. boninense* were determined by LC–MS to explore the functions of their metabolites. The relationships among their metabolites and their antibacterial and antioxidant activities were determined, and the results are presented in Table 4. Chromatograms of the metabolites of the four fungi are shown in Fig. S3. The compounds detected by LC–MS included phenolic acids, such as caffeic acid, syringic acid, and ferulic acid; hydroxybenzoic acids, such as gallic acid, gentian acid, haematommic acid; flavonoids (quercetin and taxifolin); coumarins (aesculetin); phenylacetic acids (vanillin, homogentisic acid, and homovanillic

Extracts	Gram-pos	itive bacteria	Gram-1	Gram-negative bacteria		
	S.aureus	B. subtills	E. coli	P. aeruginosa		
J2						
Ethyl acetate	0.5	2	0.5	1		
n-Butanol	2	Nd	1	2		
Chlorom	2	Nd	1	Nd		
Petroleum ether	Nd	Nd	1	Nd		
J15						
Ethyl acetate	2	2	1	2		
n-Butanol	2	2	2	Nd		
Chlorom	Nd	Nd	2	2		
Petroleum ether	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd		
J74						
n-Butanol	2	2	2	2		
Ethyl acetate	Nd	Nd	2	Nd		
Chlorom	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd		
Petroleum ether	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd		
J61						
Ethyl acetate	0.5	1	0.5	0.5		
n-Butanol	1	2	1	Nd		
Chlorom	1	Nd	0.5	2		
Petroleum ether	2	Nd	2	2		

Table 2	Minimum inhibitor	v concentration ((MIC) (mg	g/mL) of the	J2, J1	5, J74 and	J61 extracts.
---------	-------------------	-------------------	-----------	--------------	--------	------------	---------------

Notes.

nd, not detected (result higher 3.00 mg/mL).

acid); anthrones (*e.g.*, hematommone and norlichexanthone); and simple phenols (4methylcatechol and catechol). In addition, organic acids, such as citric acid, azelaic acid, ala-phe, alpha-linolenic acid, and acetic acid; hormones such as epinephrine, (\pm) -abscisic acid, and indole-3-acetic acid; and simple sugars such as d-fructose and d-ribose were included.

A total of 52 compounds were identified in *A. alstroemeriae*, with caffeic acid, 3-phenyllactic acid, and norlichexanthone being the predominant compounds at concentrations of 884.75 ng/mL, 240.72 ng/mL and 74.36 ng/mL, respectively. A total of 47 compounds were identified from *F. oxysporum*, the main component of which was ferulic acid (44.84 ng/mL). Fifty-one compounds were identified from the *C. karsti* extract, the main component of which was 3-phenyllactic acid (334.27 ng/mL). Fifty-five compounds were identified from *C. boninense* extracts, the main components of which were caffeic acid (36.75 ng/mL), ferulic acid (37.98 ng/mL) and gentisic acid (46.98 ng/mL). In conclusion, the metabolites of these four fungal strains included primarily phenolic and organic acids, which may contribute to their biological activity.

Extracts J2 Ethyl acetate n-Butanol	Gram-pos	itive bacteria	Gram-negative bacteria			
	S.aureus	B. subtills	E. coli	P. aeruginosa		
J2						
Ethyl acetate	2	Nd	2	Nd		
n-Butanol	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd		
Chlorom	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd		
Petroleum ether	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd		
J15						
Ethyl acetate	2	Nd	Nd	Nd		
n-Butanol	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd		
Chlorom	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd		
Petroleum ether	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd		
J74						
n-Butanol	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd		
Ethyl acetate	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd		
Chlorom	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd		
Petroleum ether	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd		
J61						
Ethyl acetate	2	2	2	Nd		
n-Butanol	2	1	Nd	Nd		
Chlorom	2	Nd	Nd	Nd		
Petroleum ether	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd		

Table 3 Minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) (mg/mL) of the J2, J15, J74 and J61 extracts.

Notes.

nd, not detected (result higher 3.00 mg/mL).

DISCUSSION

F. dibotrys, a variety of buckwheat, is renowned as both a medicinal and edible plant, exemplifying the concept of food–medicine homology. This perennial herb predominantly grows in hillside grasslands and forest understories in northern China. Characterized by its cold and bitter taste, *F. dibotrys* is believed to influence the stomach and liver channels in traditional practices, offering detoxification benefits, blood pressure reduction, and intestinal health improvements (*Valido et al., 2022*). *F. dibotrys* contains flavonoids, terpenoids and enzymes (*Jing et al., 2016*); the flavonoids include mainly rutin, quercetin, and epicatechin.

Endophytic fungi have the unique ability to survive in plant tissues without causing harm to their host. They can produce a variety of bioactive substances during growth and have the potential for new drug development. Endophytes are also important sources of natural products (*Hasan et al.*, 2022). Studies have shown that polyphenols have antitumor activity, which provides new ideas for the development of drugs for cancer prevention and treatment (*Li et al.*, 2022). In addition, phenolic substances play important roles in antioxidation, free radical scavenging, and other pharmacological activities (*Loffredo et al.*, 2017).





Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.18529/fig-7

Consequently, the development of endophytic fungi that produce polyphenolic compounds holds considerable potential in the fields of medicine, food, and beyond. For example, *Marchut-Mikołajczyk et al. (2023)* reported that *Bacillus cereus* and *Bacillus mycoides*, which were isolated from Urtica dioica, may be potential sources of biosurfactants and polyphenols. *Marsola et al. (2022)* obtained Phomopsis *archeri* from *Brunfelsia uniflora*, a fungus that produces cellulase and lassase and has antioxidant effects. *Coriolopsis rigida*, a fungus isolated from rice, can yield hydroxyphenylacetamide, which has shown potent antioxidant activity (*Dantas et al., 2023*).

In this study, we focused on the phenol-producing properties of endophytic fungi from *F. dibotrys*. The endophytic fungi *A. alstroemeriae*, *F. oxysporum*, *C. karsti* and *C. boninense*, which have high phenol yields and are particularly worthy of further investigation, were selected. Our findings indicated that, compared with nonpolar solvents, both small and large polyphenols were more efficiently extracted using polar and medium-polar solvents. This observation was consistent with previous research in the field (*Nakilcioğlu-Taş* & *Ötleş*, 2021).

The extract of *A. alstroemeriae* presented the highest antioxidant activity, and caffeic acid, a component of this extract, has been recognized for its effectiveness against bacterial, fungal, viral, and other diseases (*Khan et al.*, 2021). caffeic acid is not only a potent antioxidant but also has anticancer and anti-inflammatory effects (*Habtemariam*, 2017). Compared with that of the conventional antioxidant vitamin C (Vc), the antioxidant capacity of the *A. alstroemeriae* extract was significantly lower. Standard antioxidants are purified small molecules, whereas plant endophytic fungal extracts are mixtures of multiple ingredients. The natural secondary metabolites within these extracts have emerged as significant sources of new antimicrobial agents because of their unique biological activities.

InterpretationJoin Mathematical Stress of the second st	NO	Name of identified	RT(min)	Formula	m/z	Adduction		Endophytic fungi (ng/ml)		
14-Methylcatechol2.9 $C_7H_8O_2$ 123.044[M-H]6.041.481.32nd2Aesculetin4.4 $C_9H_6O_4$ 177.019[M-H]2.762.7712.441.523Aesculetin4.2 $C_9H_6O_4$ 177.019[M-H]12.001.776.091.204Caffeic acid4.4C9H8O4179.034[M-H]19.86ndnd9.615Caffeic acid4.2 $C_9H_8O_4$ 179.034[M-H]36.7511.939.34884.756Caffeic acid4.0 $C_9H_8O_4$ 179.035[M-H]nd0.590.567.267Catechol4.0 $C_9H_8O_4$ 109.028[M-H]5.691.019.622.628Divaricatinic acid5.8 $C_{11}H_{14}O_4$ 209.082[M-H]7.901.034.32nd9Ferulic acid5.0 $C_{10}H_{10}O_4$ 193.050[M-H]37.9824.0144.84nd10Ferulic acid5.2 $C_{10}H_{10}O_4$ 193.050[M-H]nd1.192.6512Gentisic acid4.2 $C_7H_6O_5$ 169.014[M-H]3.36nd3.9011.9813Gentisic acid4.2 $C_7H_6O_4$ 153.019[M-H]3.36nd3.9011.98		compound					J61	J15	J74	J2
2Aesculetin4.4 $C_9H_6O_4$ 177.019[M-H]2.762.7712.441.523Aesculetin4.2 $C_9H_6O_4$ 177.019[M-H]12.001.776.091.204Caffeic acid4.4C9H8O4179.034[M-H]19.86ndnd9.615Caffeic acid4.2 $C_9H_8O_4$ 179.034[M-H]36.7511.939.34884.756Caffeic acid4.0 $C_9H_8O_4$ 179.035[M-H]nd0.590.567.267Catechol4.0 $C_9H_8O_4$ 179.035[M-H]nd9.622.628Divaricatinic acid5.8 $C_{11}H_{14}O_4$ 209.082[M-H]7.901.034.32nd9Ferulic acid5.0 $C_{10}H_{10}O_4$ 193.050[M-H]37.9824.0144.84nd10Ferulic acid5.2 $C_{10}H_{10}O_4$ 193.050[M-H]ndnd1.192.6512Gentisic acid4.2 $C_7H_6O_5$ 169.014[M-H]nd3.36nd3.9011.9813Gentisic acid4.2 $C_7H_6O_4$ 153.019[M-H]3.36nd3.9011.98	1	4-Methylcatechol	2.9	$C_7H_8O_2$	123.044	[M-H]	6.04	1.48	1.32	nd
3Aesculetin4.2 $C_9H_6O_4$ 177.019[M-H]12.001.776.091.204Caffeic acid4.4C9H8O4179.034[M-H]19.86ndnd9.615Caffeic acid4.2 $C_9H_8O_4$ 179.034[M-H]36.7511.939.34884.756Caffeic acid4.0 $C_9H_8O_4$ 179.035[M-H]nd0.590.567.267Catechol4.0 $C_6H_6O_2$ 109.028[M-H]5.691.019.622.628Divaricatinic acid5.8 $C_{11}H_{14}O_4$ 209.082[M-H]7.901.034.32nd9Ferulic acid5.0 $C_{10}H_{10}O_4$ 193.050[M-H]37.9824.0144.84nd10Ferulic acid5.2 $C_{7}H_6O_5$ 169.014[M-H]ndnd1.192.6512Gentisic acid4.2 $C_7H_6O_5$ 169.014[M-H]3.36nd3.9011.9813Gentisic acid4.2 $C_7H_6O_4$ 153.019[M-H]3.36nd3.9011.98	2	Aesculetin	4.4	$C_9H_6O_4$	177.019	[M-H]	2.76	2.77	12.44	1.52
4Caffeic acid4.4C9H8O4179.034[M-H]19.86ndnd9.615Caffeic acid4.2 $C_9H_8O_4$ 179.034[M-H]36.7511.939.34884.756Caffeic acid4.0 $C_9H_8O_4$ 179.035[M-H]nd0.590.567.267Catechol4.0 $C_6H_6O_2$ 109.028[M-H]5.691.019.622.628Divaricatinic acid5.8 $C_{11}H_{14}O_4$ 209.082[M-H]7.901.034.32nd9Ferulic acid5.0 $C_{10}H_{10}O_4$ 193.050[M-H]37.9824.0144.84nd10Ferulic acid5.2 $C_{10}H_{10}O_4$ 193.050[M-H]6.202.722.63nd11Gallic acid4.2 $C_7H_6O_5$ 169.014[M-H]ndnd1.192.6512Gentisic acid4.2 $C_7H_6O_4$ 153.019[M-H]3.36nd3.9011.9813Gentisic acid3.8 C_1HO_4 153.019[M-H]46.985.108.118.77	3	Aesculetin	4.2	$C_9H_6O_4$	177.019	[M-H]	12.00	1.77	6.09	1.20
5Caffeic acid4.2 $C_9H_8O_4$ 179.034[M-H]36.7511.939.34884.756Caffeic acid4.0 $C_9H_8O_4$ 179.035[M-H]nd0.590.567.267Catechol4.0 $C_6H_6O_2$ 109.028[M-H]5.691.019.622.628Divaricatinic acid5.8 $C_{11}H_{14}O_4$ 209.082[M-H]7.901.034.32nd9Ferulic acid5.0 $C_{10}H_{10}O_4$ 193.050[M-H]37.9824.0144.84nd10Ferulic acid5.2 $C_{10}H_{10}O_4$ 193.050[M-H]6.202.722.63nd11Gallic acid4.2 $C_7H_6O_5$ 169.014[M-H]ndnd1.192.6512Gentisic acid4.2 $C_7H_6O_4$ 153.019[M-H]3.36nd3.9011.9813Genticic acid3.8 C_1HO_4 153.019[M-H]46.985.108.118.77	4	Caffeic acid	4.4	C9H8O4	179.034	[M-H]	19.86	nd	nd	9.61
6Caffeic acid4.0 $C_9H_8O_4$ 179.035[M-H]nd0.590.567.267Catechol4.0 $C_6H_6O_2$ 109.028[M-H]5.691.019.622.628Divaricatinic acid5.8 $C_{11}H_{14}O_4$ 209.082[M-H]7.901.034.32nd9Ferulic acid5.0 $C_{10}H_{10}O_4$ 193.050[M-H]37.9824.0144.84nd10Ferulic acid5.2 $C_{10}H_{10}O_4$ 193.050[M-H]6.202.722.63nd11Gallic acid4.2 $C_7H_6O_5$ 169.014[M-H]ndnd1.192.6512Gentisic acid4.2 $C_7H_6O_4$ 153.019[M-H]3.36nd3.9011.9813Genticic acid3.8 C_1H_0 153.019[M_H]46.985.108.118.77	5	Caffeic acid	4.2	$C_9H_8O_4$	179.034	[M-H]	36.75	11.93	9.34	884.75
7Catechol4.0 $C_6H_6O_2$ 109.028[M-H]5.691.019.622.628Divaricatinic acid5.8 $C_{11}H_{14}O_4$ 209.082[M-H]7.901.034.32nd9Ferulic acid5.0 $C_{10}H_{10}O_4$ 193.050[M-H]37.9824.0144.84nd10Ferulic acid5.2 $C_{10}H_{10}O_4$ 193.050[M-H]6.202.722.63nd11Gallic acid4.2 $C_7H_6O_5$ 169.014[M-H]ndnd1.192.6512Gentisic acid4.2 $C_7H_6O_4$ 153.019[M-H]3.36nd3.9011.9813Genticic acid3.8 $C_1H_0O_4$ 153.019[M-H]46.985.108.118.77	6	Caffeic acid	4.0	$C_9H_8O_4$	179.035	[M-H]	nd	0.59	0.56	7.26
8Divaricatinic acid5.8 $C_{11}H_{14}O_4$ 209.082[M-H]7.901.034.32nd9Ferulic acid5.0 $C_{10}H_{10}O_4$ 193.050[M-H]37.9824.0144.84nd10Ferulic acid5.2 $C_{10}H_{10}O_4$ 193.050[M-H]6.202.722.63nd11Gallic acid4.2 $C_7H_6O_5$ 169.014[M-H]ndnd1.192.6512Gentisic acid4.2 $C_7H_6O_4$ 153.019[M-H]3.36nd3.9011.9813Genticic acid3.8 $C_1H_0O_4$ 153.019[M_H]46.985.108.118.77	7	Catechol	4.0	$C_6H_6O_2$	109.028	[M-H]	5.69	1.01	9.62	2.62
9Ferulic acid 5.0 $C_{10}H_{10}O_4$ 193.050 $[M-H]$ 37.98 24.01 44.84 nd10Ferulic acid 5.2 $C_{10}H_{10}O_4$ 193.050 $[M-H]$ 6.20 2.72 2.63 nd11Gallic acid 4.2 $C_7H_6O_5$ 169.014 $[M-H]$ ndnd 1.19 2.65 12Gentisic acid 4.2 $C_7H_6O_4$ 153.019 $[M-H]$ 3.36 nd 3.90 11.98 13Gentisic acid 3.8 $C_1H_1O_4$ 153.019 $[M, H]$ 46.98 5.10 8.11 8.77	8	Divaricatinic acid	5.8	$C_{11}H_{14}O_4$	209.082	[M-H]	7.90	1.03	4.32	nd
10Ferulic acid5.2 $C_{10}H_{10}O_4$ 193.050[M-H]6.202.722.63nd11Gallic acid4.2 $C_7H_6O_5$ 169.014[M-H]ndnd1.192.6512Gentisic acid4.2 $C_7H_6O_4$ 153.019[M-H]3.36nd3.9011.9813Genticic acid3.8CH0153.019[M-H]46.985.108.118.77	9	Ferulic acid	5.0	$C_{10}H_{10}O_4$	193.050	[M-H]	37.98	24.01	44.84	nd
11Gallic acid4.2 $C_7H_6O_5$ 169.014[M-H]ndnd1.192.6512Gentisic acid4.2 $C_7H_6O_4$ 153.019[M-H]3.36nd3.9011.9813Genticic acid3.8C H O153.019[M H]46.985.108.118.77	10	Ferulic acid	5.2	$C_{10}H_{10}O_4$	193.050	[M-H]	6.20	2.72	2.63	nd
12Gentisic acid4.2 $C_7H_6O_4$ 153.019[M-H]3.36nd3.9011.9813Gentisic acid3.8G H O153.019[M H]46.985.108.118.77	11	Gallic acid	4.2	$C_7H_6O_5$	169.014	[M-H]	nd	nd	1.19	2.65
13 Centicic acid 38 CHO 153019 [MH] 46.98 5.10 8.11 8.77	12	Gentisic acid	4.2	$C_7H_6O_4$	153.019	[M-H]	3.36	nd	3.90	11.98
15 Genuse actu 5.6 $C_{7116}C_4$ 155.017 [M-11] 40.76 5.10 0.11 0.77	13	Gentisic acid	3.8	$C_7H_6O_4$	153.019	[M-H]	46.98	5.10	8.11	8.77
14 Haematommic acid 3.3 C ₉ H ₈ O ₅ 195.030 [M-H] 32.79 1.20 1.69 0.73	14	Haematommic acid	3.3	$C_9H_8O_5$	195.030	[M-H]	32.79	1.20	1.69	0.73
15 Haematommone 7.1 C ₁₆ H ₁₀ O ₇ 313.036 [M-H] 8.31 nd nd nd	15	Haematommone	7.1	$C_{16}H_{10}O_7$	313.036	[M-H]	8.31	nd	nd	nd
16 Haematommone 7.2 C ₁₆ H ₁₀ O ₇ 313.036 [M-H] 7.10 nd nd nd	16	Haematommone	7.2	$C_{16}H_{10}O_7$	313.036	[M-H]	7.10	nd	nd	nd
17 Homogentisic acid 5.0 C ₈ H ₈ O ₄ 167.034 [M-H] 2.44 14.83 11.89 3.57	17	Homogentisic acid	5.0	$C_8H_8O_4$	167.034	[M- H]	2.44	14.83	11.89	3.57
18 Homogentisic acid 5.4 C ₈ H ₈ O ₄ 167.034 [M-H] 2.87 1.23 nd 0.91	18	Homogentisic acid	5.4	$C_8H_8O_4$	167.034	[M- H]	2.87	1.23	nd	0.91
19 Homovanillic acid 7.0 C ₉ H ₁₀ O ₄ 181.050 [M-H] 2.80 1.71 nd 0.81	19	Homovanillic acid	7.0	$C_9H_{10}O_4$	181.050	[M- H]	2.80	1.71	nd	0.81
20 Isovanillic acid 4.5 C ₈ H ₈ O ₄ 167.034 [M-H] 2.48 1.29 3.36 1.31	20	Isovanillic acid	4.5	$C_8H_8O_4$	167.034	[M-H]	2.48	1.29	3.36	1.31
21 Norepinephrine 4.7 $C_8H_{11}NO_3$ 168.066 [M-H] nd nd 16.67	21	Norepinephrine	4.7	$C_8H_{11}NO_3$	168.066	[M-H]	nd	nd	nd	16.67
22 norlichexanthone 7.1 $C_{14}H_{10}O_5$ 257.046 [M-H] nd nd 74.36	22	norlichexanthone	7.1	$C_{14}H_{10}O_5$	257.046	[M-H]	nd	nd	nd	74.36
23 Quercetin 6.2 $C_{15}H_{10}O_7$ 301.036 [M-H] 11.03 1.29 3.39 0.83	23	Quercetin	6.2	$C_{15}H_{10}O_7$	301.036	[M-H]	11.03	1.29	3.39	0.83
24 Quercetin 6.3 $C_{15}H_{10}O_7$ 301.036 [M-H] 6.74 0.61 2.13 0.83	24	Quercetin	6.3	$C_{15}H_{10}O_7$	301.036	[M-H]	6.74	0.61	2.13	0.83
25 Syringic acid 4.8 C ₉ H ₁₀ O ₅ 197.045 [M-H] 2.21 nd 1.14 nd	25	Syringic acid	4.8	$C_9H_{10}O_5$	197.045	[M-H]	2.21	nd	1.14	nd
26 Syringic acid 4.3 C ₉ H ₁₀ O ₅ 197.045 [M-H] 2.63 nd 1.16 0.37	26	Syringic acid	4.3	$C_9H_{10}O_5$	197.045	[M-H]	2.63	nd	1.16	0.37
27 Taxifolin 5.1 C ₁₅ H ₁₂ O ₇ 303.052 [M-H] 2.21 nd nd 1.16	27	Taxifolin	5.1	$C_{15}H_{12}O_7$	303.052	[M-H]	2.21	nd	nd	1.16
28 Vanillin 4.4 C ₈ H ₈ O ₃ 151.039 [M-H] 6.09 1.93 3.52 4.15	28	Vanillin	4.4	$C_8H_8O_3$	151.039	[M-H]	6.09	1.93	3.52	4.15
29 (±)-Abscisic acid 6.1 $C_{15}H_{20}O_4$ 263.129 [M-H] 30.79 24.21 24.73 34.28	29	(\pm) -Abscisic acid	6.1	$C_{15}H_{20}O_4$	263.129	[M-H]	30.79	24.21	24.73	34.28
30 (±)-Abscisic acid 5.8 $C_{15}H_{20}O_4$ 263.129 [M-H] 4.93 3.75 5.26 6.31	30	(\pm) -Abscisic acid	5.8	$C_{15}H_{20}O_4$	263.129	[M-H]	4.93	3.75	5.26	6.31
31 (Z)-9,12,13- trihydroxyoctadec- 15-enoic acid 7.6 C ₁₈ H ₃₄ O ₅ 329.234 [M-H] 21.06 21.16 47.1 25.59	31	(Z)-9,12,13- trihydroxyoctadec- 15-enoic acid	7.6	C ₁₈ H ₃₄ O ₅	329.234	[M-H]	21.06	21.16	47.1	25.59
32 1,5,6,7- 4.1 C ₈ H ₉ N O 134.060 [M-H] 2.75 nd nd 1.43 TETRAHYDRO- 4H-INDOL-4-ONE	32	1,5,6,7- Tetrahydro- 4h-indol-4-one	4.1	C ₈ H ₉ N O	134.060	[M-H]	2.75	nd	nd	1.43
33 12- 11.7 C ₁₈ H ₃₆ O ₃ 299.260 [M-H] 278.40 360.43 232.45 138.16 Hydroxyoctadecanoic acid	33	12- Hydroxyoctadecanoic acid	11.7	C ₁₈ H ₃₆ O ₃	299.260	[M-H]	278.40	360.43	232.45	138.16
34 13(S)-HOTrE 9.7 C ₁₈ H ₃₀ O ₃ 293.213 [M-H] 2.34 3.64 3.30 6.42	34	13(S)-HOTrE	9.7	C ₁₈ H ₃₀ O ₃	293.213	[M-H]	2.34	3.64	3.30	6.42

 Table 4
 The identification of the chemical composition of endophytic fungi extracts by LC-MS analysis.

(continued on next page)

PeerJ

Table 4 (continued)

NO	Name of identified	RT(min) Formula	Formula	m/z Adduction	Endophytic fungi (ng/ml)				
	compound					J61	J15	J74	J2
35	2,6-Dihydroxy-4- Methoxytoluene	4.8	$C_8 \; H_{10} \; O_3$	153.055	[M-H]	278.40	360.43	232.45	138.16
36	2-Hydroxybenzyl al- cohol	3.5	C7 H8 O2	123.044	[M-H]	89.03	nd	23.00	15.96
37	2-Hydroxycinnamic acid	5.5	C9 H ₈ O ₃	163.039	[M-H]	nd	nd	2.54	0.73
38	2-Methoxycinnamic acid	4.9	$C_{10}H_{10}O_3$	177.055	[M-H]	3.10	1.82	1.44	0.62
39	2-Methylglutaric acid	2.0	C ₆ H ₁₀ O ₄	145.050	[M-H]	46.37	14.08	19.08	62.31
40	2-Oxobutyric acid	0.8	$C_4 H_6 O_3$	101.023	[M-H]	12.89	18.79	8.08	42.68
41	3- Hydroxyphenylacetic acid	4.7	C ₈ H ₈ O ₃	151.039	[M-H]	862.25	7.66	140.82	9.78
42	3-Hydroxypicolinic acid	1.8	$C_6 \ H_5 \ N \ O_3$	138.019	[M-H]	27.36	27.13	28.06	32.08
43	3-Phenyllactic acid	4.9	$C_9 H_{10}O_3$	165.055	[M-H]	142.81	334.27	81.61	240.72
44	3-Phosphoglyceric acid	14.1	$C_3 H_7 O_7 P$	184.984	[M-H]	12.46	10.57	12.18	12.08
45	4- Acetamidobutanoic acid	1.8	$C_6 H_{11} N O_3$	144.066	[M-H]	5.67	1.85	1.90	79.83
46	4- Dodecylbenzenesulfonic acid	14.3	$C_{18} H_{30} O_3 S$	325.185	[M-H]	110.56	138.27	107.13	72.28
47	4-methyl-2- oxopentanoic acid	3.9	C ₆ H ₁₀ O ₃	129.055	[M-H]	14.20	121.83	4.86	13.83
48	4-Oxoproline	0.9	$C_5 H_7 N O_3$	128.034	[M-H]	5.46	50.36	8.56	307.42
49	6-Hydroxycaproic acid	4.4	$C_6 H_{12} O_3$	131.070	[M-H]	32.57	43.55	17.25	72.32
50	7-Methylxanthine	0.8	$C_{6} H_{6} N_{4} O_{2}$	165.040	[M-H]	4.74	9.05	2.96	38.49
51	9-HpODE	8.5	C ₁₈ H ₃₂ O ₄	311.223	[M-H]	20.97	49.99	74.16	19.92
52	Ala-Phe	7.2	$C_{12} H_{16} N_2 O_3$	235.109	[M-H]	273.59	nd	98.17	nd
53	alpha- Hydroxyhippuric acid	3.9	C ₉ H ₉ N O ₄	194.046	[M-H]	7.27	11.68	5.49	51.88
54	alpha-Linolenic acid	12.4	C18 H30 O2	277.218	[M-H]	6.58	9.25	22.26	4.94
55	Anthranilic acid	5.0	C ₇ H ₇ N O ₂	136.040	[M-H]	113.21	2.04	189.24	2.00
56	Azelaic acid	5.5	$C_9 H_{16}O_4$	187.097	[M-H]	304.69	110.84	27.58	66.58
57	Benzoic acid	4.6	$C_7H_6O_2$	121.029	[M-H]	81.58	53.66	120.92	82.07
58	Citric acid	1.3	$C_6 H_8 O_7$	191.019	[M-H]	12.86	3.57	6.54	111.38
59	D-Fructose	0.8	$C_6H_{12}O_6$	179.056	[M-H]	6.61	98.86	3.84	298.18
60	Indole-3-acetic acid	5.8	C ₁₀ H ₉ N O ₂	174.055	[M-H]	150.03	3.86	134.91	18.18

Notes.

nd, not detect.

For example, the endophytic fungus *Alternaria* sp. of *Salvia miltiorrhiza* had strong antibacterial effects on experimental bacteria, with the lowest inhibitory concentrations ranging from 86.7 to 364.7 μ M (*Tian et al., 2017*).

Our study revealed that four endophytic fungal strains exhibited inhibitory effects on the tested bacterial strains, although the extent of inhibition varied among the different extracts. In recent years, researchers have reported that the inhibitory effect of endophytic fungi on microorganisms is closely related to the extraction solvent. Debalke (*Wang et al., 2023*) reported that the ethyl acetate extract of *Colletotrichum* sp. had inhibitory effects on *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*, and our results were consistent with these findings. Among the endophytes studied, *A. alstroemeriae* presented the best antioxidant and bactericidal activities. This may be related to the presence of organic acids and phenolic compounds in the extracts. Among all the ingredients, 3-phenyllactic acid and norlichexanthone were the principal antibacterial compounds. Norlichexanthone has been shown to have antibacterial benefits; for example, it can inhibit the formation of *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms and reduce virulence gene expression (*Baldry et al., 2016*). *Techaoei et al.* (2020) reported the antibacterial potential of 2-naphthalenemethanol produced by the plant endophytic fungus *Aspergillus cejpii*.

Bacterial cells rely on their membrane structure for proper internal activities. Antimicrobial compounds can kill pathogenic bacteria by destroying cell membranes (*Zhang et al., 2018*). Among them, phenolic substances have abundant hydroxyl group types, numbers, substitution positions, and saturated side chains and have good antibacterial performance. Phenolic substances have good lipid solubility and can directly enter bacterial cell membranes and interfere with or even destroy the membrane structure, thus inhibiting the adhesion of pathogenic bacteria to host cells (*Lyu et al., 2020; Stasiuk & Kozubek, 2010*). For example, in a study by *Naveed et al. (2018)*, phenolic acid (chlorogenic acid) was found to play an important role in human diseases not only as an antibacterial agent but also in regulating lipid metabolism, sugars and other pathological processes in hereditary and healthy metabolic diseases. Our findings further confirmed that the extracts from *A. alstroemeriae, F. oxysporum*, and *C. boninense* possessed robust antibiofilm capabilities, potentially leading to their complete eradication.

A. alstroemeriae, *F. oxysporum*, *C. karsti*, and *C. boninense* are useful endophytic fungi. Substances produced by endophytic fungi were further analyzed by LC–MS. Notably, some of the substances identified are very difficult to extract directly from the plant. However, endophytic fungi can act as containers to aid in production. Quercetin, a flavonol compound known for its tumor-inhibitory, free radical-fighting, antioxidant, antibacterial, and anti-inflammatory properties (*Hosseini et al., 2020*), is traditionally extracted directly from plants. However, this extraction process is difficult and costly, posing challenges to medical and economic advancements. Our results suggest that endophytic fungi may serve as effective alternatives for the generation of these natural products. Through the fermentation of *A. alstroemeriae*, valuable compounds such as phenolic acids, flavonoids, organic acids, and auxins can be produced.

Phenolic acids are biologically active compounds known for their lack of adverse side effects (*Staszowska-Karkut & Materska*, 2020). Coumarin, owing to its antioxidant

properties, can mitigate oxidative damage and influence various physiological and biochemical processes (*Chang, Alasalvar & Shahidi, 2019*). The hydroxyl group present in hydroxybenzoic acid enhances its antioxidant efficacy (*Li et al., 2020*). Flavonoids can directly damage the bacterial envelope and can also act on specific molecular targets of these microbes (*Donadio et al., 2021*). Plant hormones regulate plant growth, development, and stress response (*Chen et al., 2020*).

Based on the above results, A. alstroemeriae from F. dibotrys was determined to have strong biological activity; thus, this strain might be a promising molecular source for future studies. Notably, secondary metabolites such as paclitaxel, a potent anticancer drug, inhibit mitosis and induce apoptosis, making it one of the most successful natural anticancer agents (Zhu & Chen, 2019; Marupudi et al., 2007). Quercetin, abscisic acid, and indoleacetic acid may be related to the growth mechanism of host plants (*Zhang et al.*, 2022). Quercetin regulates IAA oxidation by delaying the dioxygenase activity of auxin oxidation 1 (DAO2) proteins, which belong to the 1-oxyglutaric acid and Fe(II)-dependent oxygenase superfamily, to mediate auxin signaling in plants (Singh et al., 2021). Abscisic acid plays important roles in several physiological processes in plants, such as stomatal closure, epidermal wax accumulation, leaf senescence, bud dormancy, seed germination, osmoregulation, and growth inhibition (*Chen et al., 2020*). Indoleacetic acid is a plant hormone that not only regulates plant growth and development but also plays important roles in microbe-plant interactions and interacts with the metabolites of Xanthomonas aeruginosa (Djami-Tchatchou et al., 2021; Zhou et al., 2022). These results suggest that A. alstroemeriae may have positive effects on the growth quality and yield of F. dibotrys through its interaction with specific fungal hosts.

Building on these insights, we propose a novel approach that leverages the inherent advantages of endophytic fungi, namely, their high utilization value, compact size, and rapid anabolic capabilities. Through the optimization of fermentation conditions, we can expedite and increase the production of target metabolites, thereby increasing their biochemical efficacy (*Zhao et al., 2022; Al-Sarraj & Daigham GE, 2022*). Therefore, the medicinal value of endophytic fungi of *F. dibotrys* warrants further exploration and study.

CONCLUSIONS

This study revealed that the endophytic fungus *F. dibotrys* has potent antioxidant and antibacterial properties. Specifically, the phenolic compounds in *A. alstroemeriae* extracts contribute to these antioxidant and antibacterial activities, whereas flavonoids, including compounds such as paclitaxel, offer anticancer benefits. In summary, our findings suggest a novel approach to harness *F. dibotrys* for the extraction of innovative medicinal substances. In particular, *A. alstroemeriae* within *F. dibotrys* has emerged as a promising candidate for natural antioxidant and antibacterial applications. Nonetheless, the broader application of endophytic fungi in medicine warrants additional, in-depth research.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This study was supported by the Sichuan Sharing and Service Platform of Scientific and Technological Resource (Enzyme Resource) of China (Project No 2020JDPT0018) and the Technology Department of Sichuan Province International Cooperation Program of China (Project No 2023YFH0043). This work was also supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2021YFD1200105). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors:

Sichuan Sharing and Service Platform of Scientific and Technological Resource (Enzyme Resource) of China: 2020JDPT0018.

The Technology Department of Sichuan Province International Cooperation Program of China: 2023YFH0043.

The National Key R&D Program of China: 2021YFD1200105.

Competing Interests

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

Author Contributions

- Qiqi Xie conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Yujie Jia conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Jiwen Tao conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Tongliang Bu conceived and designed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Qing Wang conceived and designed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Nayu Shen conceived and designed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Xinyu Zhang conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Yirong Xiao conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Lin Ye conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Zhao Chen conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.

- Huahai Huang conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Qingfeng Li conceived and designed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Zizhong Tang conceived and designed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.

DNA Deposition

The following information was supplied regarding the deposition of DNA sequences:

The *A. alstroemeriae*, *F. oxysporum*, *C. karsti*, and *C. boninense* sequences are available at GenBank: OP482339.1, OP714469.1, OQ652534.1, and MF062469.1, respectively.

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability: The raw data is available in the Supplemental File.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.18529#supplemental-information.

REFERENCES

- Ancheeva E, Daletos G, Proksch P. 2020. Bioactive secondary metabolites from endophytic fungi. *Current Medicinal Chemistry* 27(11):1836–1854 DOI 10.2174/0929867326666190916144709.
- Baldry M, Nielsen A, Bojer MS, Zhao Y, Friberg C, Ifrah D, Glasser Heede N, Larsen TO, Frøkiær H, Frees D, Zhang L, Dai H, Ingmer H. 2016. Norlichexanthone reduces virulence gene expression and biofilm formation in *staphylococcus aureus*. *PLOS ONE* 11(12):e0168305 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0168305.
- Cao D, Sun P, Bhowmick S, Wei Y, Guo B, Wei Y, Mur LAJ, Sun Z. 2021. Secondary metabolites of endophytic fungi isolated from *Huperzia serrata*. *Fitoterapia* 155:104970 DOI 10.1016/j.fitote.2021.104970.
- Chang SK, Alasalvar C, Shahidi F. 2019. Superfruits: phytochemicals, antioxidant efficacies, and health effects—a comprehensive review. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* **59(10)**:1580–1604 DOI 10.1080/10408398.2017.1422111.
- Chen K, Li GJ, Bressan RA, Song CP, Zhu JK, Zhao Y. 2020. Abscisic acid dynamics, signaling, and functions in plants. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology* 62(1):25–54 DOI 10.1111/jipb.12899.
- Chen ZC, Zhong CJ. 2014. Oxidative stress in Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroscience Bulletin* 30(2):271–281 DOI 10.1007/s12264-013-1423-y.
- Chevalier S, Bouffartigues E, Bodilis J, Maillot O, Lesouhaitier O, Orange N. 2017. Structure, function and regulation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* porins. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* **41**(5):698–722 DOI 10.1093/femsre/fux020.

- Daenen K, Andries A, Mekahli D, Van SA, Jouret F, Bammens B. 2018. Oxidative stress in chronic kidney disease. *Pediatric Nephrology* **34(6)**:975–991 DOI 10.1007/s00467-018-4005-4.
- Dantas SBS, Moraes GKA, Araujo AR, Chapla VM. 2023. Phenolic compounds and bioactive extract produced by endophytic fungus *Coriolopsis rigida*. *Natural Product Research* 37(12):2037–2042 DOI 10.1080/14786419.2022.2115492.
- Dhayanithy G, Subban K, Chelliah J. 2019. Diversity and biological activities of endophytic fungi associated with Catharanthus roseus. *BMC Microbiology* 19(1):22 DOI 10.1186/s12866-019-1386-x.
- Djami-Tchatchou AT, Li ZA, Stodghill P, Filiatrault MJ, Kunkel BN. 2021. Identification of indole-3-acetic acid-regulated genes in *pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato Strain DC3000. *Journal of Bacteriology* 204(1):e0038021 DOI 10.1128/JB.00380-21.
- Donadio G, Mensitieri F, Santoro V, Parisi V, Bellone ML, De Tommasi N, Izzo V, Dal Piaz F. 2021. Interactions with microbial proteins driving the antibacterial activity of flavonoids. *Pharmaceutics* 13(5):13050660 DOI 10.3390/pharmaceutics13050660.
- Ellatif SA, Abdel Razik ES, Al-Surhanee AA, Al-Sarraj F, Daigham GE, Mahfouz AY. 2022. Enhanced production, cloning, and expression of a xylanase gene from endophytic fungal strain *trichoderma harzianum* kj831197.1: unveiling the *in vitro* anti-fungal activity against phytopathogenic fungi. *Journal of Fungi* 8(5):8050447 DOI 10.3390/jof8050447.
- **Er M, Keles G. 2021.** Buckwheat conservation as hay or silage: agronomic evaluation, nutritive value, conservation quality, and intake by lactating dairy goats. *Tropical Animal Health and Production* **53**(2):1–8 DOI 10.1007/s11250-021-02655-w.
- Fu M, Xu Y, Chen Y, Wu J, Yu Y, Zou B, An K, Xiao G. 2017. Evaluation of bioactive flavonoids and antioxidant activity in Pericarpium Citri Reticulatae (*Citrus reticulata* 'Chachi') during storage. *Food Chemistry* 230:649–656 DOI 10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.03.098.
- Gauchan DP, Kandel P, Tuladhar A, Acharya A, Kadel U, Baral A, Shahi AB, García-Gil MR. 2020. Evaluation of antimicrobial, antioxidant and cytotoxic properties of bioactive compounds produced from endophytic fungi of Himalayan yew (*Taxus wallichiana*) in Nepal. *F1000Research* **9**:23250.2 DOI 10.12688/f1000research.23250.2.
- Gulcin İ. 2020. Antioxidants and antioxidant methods: an updated overview. Archives of Toxicology 94(3):651–715 DOI 10.1007/s00204-020-02689-3.
- Guo X, Luo Z, Zhang M, Huang L, Wang H, Li Y, Qiao X, Li A, Wu B. 2022. The spatiotemporal regulations of epicatechin biosynthesis under normal flowering and the continuous inflorescence removal treatment in *F. dibotrys. BMC Plant Biology* 22(1):379 DOI 10.1186/s12870-022-03761-z.

- Habtemariam S. 2017. Protective effects of caffeic acid and the alzheimer's brain: an update. *Mini-Reviews in Medicinal Chemistry* 17(8):667–674DOI 10.2174/1389557516666161130100947.
- Hasan AEZ, Julistiono H, Bermawie N, Riyanti EI, Arifni FR. 2022. Soursop leaves (*Annona muricata* L.) endophytic fungi anticancer activity against HeLa cells. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences* 29(8):103354 DOI 10.1016/j.sjbs.2022.103354.
- Hazafa A, Rehman KU, Jahan N, Jabeen Z. 2020. The role of polyphenol (flavonoids) compounds in the treatment of cancer cells. *Nutrition and Cancer* 72(3):386–397 DOI 10.1080/01635581.2019.1637006.
- Holger DJ, Rebold NS, Alosaimy S, Morrisette T, Lagnf A, Belza AC Coyne, AJK, El
 Ghali A, Veve MP, Rybak MJ. 2022. Impact of ceftolozane-tazobactam vs. best
 alternative therapy on clinical outcomes in patients with multidrug-resistant and
 extensively drug-resistant *pseudomonas aeruginosa* lower respiratory tract infections.
 Infectious Diseases and Therapy 11(5):1965–1980 DOI 10.1007/s40121-022-00687-9.
- Hoque N, Khan ZR, Rashid PT, Begum MN, Sharmin S, Hossain MJ, Rana MS, Sohrab MH. 2023. Antimicrobial, antioxidant, and cytotoxic properties of endophytic fungi isolated from *Thysanolaena maxima Roxb.*, Dracaena spicata Roxb. and Aglaonema hookerianum Schott. BMC Complementary Medicine and Therapies 23(1):347 DOI 10.1186/s12906-023-04185-4.
- Hosseini A, Razavi BM, Banach M, Hosseinzadeh H. 2020. Quercetin and metabolic syndrome: a review. *Phytotherapy Research* **35**(10):5352–5364 DOI 10.1002/ptr.7144.
- Jing R, Li HQ, Hu CL, Jiang YP, Qin LP, Zheng CJ. 2016. Phytochemical and pharmacological profiles of three *fagopyrum buckwheats*. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 17(4):17040589 DOI 10.3390/ijms17040589.
- Khan F, Bamunuarachchi NI, Tabassum N, Kim YM. 2021. Caffeic acid and its derivatives: antimicrobial drugs toward microbial pathogens. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 69(10):2979–3004 DOI 10.1021/acs.jafc.0c07579.
- Kimball JS, Johnson JP, Carlson DA. 2021. Oxidative stress and osteoporosis. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery* 103(15):1451–1461 DOI 10.2106/JBJS.20.00989.
- Li T, Li X, Dai TT, Hu P, Niu XQ Liu, CM. 2020. Binding mechanism and antioxidant capacity of selected phenolic acid- *β*-casein complexes. *Food Research International* 129:108802 DOI 10.1016/j.foodres.2019.108802.
- Li M, Zheng Y, Zhao J, Liu M, Shu X, Li Q, Wang Y, Zhou Y. 2022. Polyphenol mechanisms against gastric cancer and their interactions with gut microbiota: a review. *Current Oncology* 29(8):5247–5261 DOI 10.3390/curroncol29080417.
- Liu JJ, Liu G. 2018. Analysis of secondary metabolites from plant endophytic fungi. *Methods in Molecular Biology* 1848:25–38 DOI 10.1007/978-1-4939-8724-5_3.
- Loffredo L, Perri L, Nocella C, Violi F. 2017. Antioxidant and antiplatelet activity by polyphenol-rich nutrients: focus on extra virgin olive oil and cocoa. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 83(1):96–102 DOI 10.1111/bcp.12923.

- Lyu JI, Ryu J, Jin CH, Kim DG, Kim JM, Seo KS, Kim JB, Kim SH, Ahn JW, Kang SY, Kwon SJ. 2020. Phenolic compounds in extracts of *hibiscus acetosella* (cranberry hibiscus) and their antioxidant and antibacterial properties. *Molecules* 25(18):25184190 DOI 10.3390/molecules25184190.
- Ma N, Yin D, Liu Y, Gao Z, Cao Y, Chen T, Huang Z, Jia Q, Wang D. 2023. Succession of endophytic fungi and rhizosphere soil fungi and their correlation with secondary metabolites in *F. dibotrys. Frontiers in Microbiology* **14**:1220431 DOI 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1220431.
- Maleki SJ, Crespo JF, Cabanillas B. 2019. Anti-inflammatory effects of flavonoids. *Food Chemistry* 299:125124 DOI 10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.125124.
- Malhotra S, Hayes D, Wozniak DJ. 2019. Cystic fibrosis and *pseudomonas aeruginosa*: the host-microbe interface. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* 32(3):e00138-18 DOI 10.1128/CMR.00138-18.
- Marchut-Mikołajczyk O, Chlebicz M, Kawecka M, Michalak A, Prucnal F, Nielipinski M, Filipek J, Jankowska M, Perek Z, Drozdzyński P, Rutkowska N, Otlewska A.
 2023. Endophytic bacteria isolated from *Urtica dioica L.-* preliminary screening for enzyme and polyphenols production. *Microbial Cell Factories* 22(1):169 DOI 10.1186/s12934-023-02167-2.
- Marei EM. 2020. Isolation and characterization of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and its virulent bacteriophages. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences* 23(4):491–500 DOI 10.3923/pjbs.2020.491.500.
- Marsola SJ, Jorge LF, Meniqueti AB, Bertéli MBD, De Lima TEF, Bezerra JL, Lopes AD, Gazim ZC, Do Valle JS, Colauto NB, Linde GA. 2022. Endophytic fungi of *Brunfelsia uniflora*: isolation, cryopreservation, and determination of enzymatic and antioxidant activity. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology* **38**(6):94 DOI 10.1007/s11274-022-03278-5.
- Marupudi NI, Han JE, Li KW, Renard VM, Tyler BM, Brem H. 2007. Paclitaxel: a review of adverse toxicities and novel delivery strategies. *Expert Opinion on Drug Safety* 6(5):609–621 DOI 10.1517/14740338.6.5.609.
- Montalbano G, Mhalhel K, Briglia M, Levanti M, Abbate F, Guerrera MC, D'Alessandro E, Laurà R, Germaná A. 2021. Zebrafish and flavonoids: adjuvants against obesity. *Molecules* 26(10):3014 DOI 10.3390/molecules26103014.
- Mustafa S, Akbar M, Khan MA, Sunita K, Parveen S, Pawar JS, Massey S, Agarwal NR, Husain SA. 2022. Plant metabolite diosmin as the therapeutic agent in human diseases. *Current Research in Pharmacology and Drug Discovery* 3:100122 DOI 10.1016/j.crphar.2022.100122.
- Nakilcioğlu-Taş E, Ötleş S. 2021. Influence of extraction solvents on the polyphenol contents, compositions, and antioxidant capacities of fig (*Ficus carica L.*) seeds. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências* 93(1):e20190526 DOI 10.1590/0001-3765202120190526.

- Nasr SH, Radhakrishnan J, D'Agati VD. 2013. Bacterial infection-related glomerulonephritis in adults. *Kidney International* 83(5):792–803 DOI 10.1038/ki.2012.407.
- Naveed M, Hejazi V, Abbas M, Kamboh AA, Khan GJ, Shumzaid M, Ahmad F, Babazadeh D, FangFang X, Modarresi-Ghazani F, WenHua L, XiaoHui Z. 2018. Chlorogenic acid (CGA): a pharmacological review and call for further research. *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy* 97:67–74 DOI 10.1016/j.biopha.2017.10.064.
- Neha K, Haider MR, Pathak A, Yar MS. 2019. Medicinal prospects of antioxidants: a review. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 178:687–704 DOI 10.1016/j.ejmech.2019.06.010.
- Nishad JH, Singh A, Bharti R, Prajapati P, Sharma VK, Gupta VK, Kharwar RN.
 2021. Effect of the histone methyltransferase specific probe BRD4770 on metabolic profiling of the endophytic fungus *diaporthe longicolla*. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 12:725463 DOI 10.3389/fmicb.2021.725463.
- Paul P, Das S, Chatterjee S, Shukla A, Chakraborty P, Sarkar S, Maiti D, Das A, Tribedi
 P. 2021. 1 4-Naphthoquinone disintegrates the pre-existing biofilm of Staphylococcus aureus by accumulating reactive oxygen species. *Archives of Microbiology* 203:4981–4992 DOI 10.1007/s00203-021-02485-2.
- Pisoschi AM, Pop A. 2015. The role of antioxidants in the chemistry of oxidative stress: a review. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 97:55–74 DOI 10.1016/j.ejmech.2015.04.040.
- Ravi P, Somu P, Acharya D, Gomez LA, Thathapudi JJ, Ramachandra YL, Rudraiah SB, Isaq M, Karua CS, Arifullah M, Poojari CC, Lee YR. 2022. Isolation and phytochemical screening of endophytic fungi isolated from medicinal plant *mappia foetida* and evaluation of its *in vitro* cytotoxicity in cancer. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology* 194(10):4570–4586 DOI 10.1007/s12010-022-03929-1.
- Santos TFB, Dos Santos Carvalho C, De Almeida MA, Delforno TP, Duarte ICS. 2020. Endophytic fungi isolated from Brazilian medicinal plants as potential producers of antioxidants and their relations with anti-inflammatory activity. *3 Biotech* **10**(5):223 DOI 10.1007/s13205-020-02211-7.
- Shamsudin NF, Ahmed QU, Mahmood S, Ali Shah SA, Khatib A, Mukhtar S, Alsharif MA, Parveen H, Zakaria ZA. 2022. Antibacterial effects of flavonoids and their structure-activity relationship study: a comparative interpretation. *Molecules* 27(4):1149 DOI 10.3390/molecules27041149.
- Shen N, Chen Z, Cheng G, Lin W, Qin Y, Xiao Y, Chen H, Tang Z, Li Q, Yuan M, Bu T. 2023. Diversity, chemical constituents and biological activities of endophytic fungi from *Alisma orientale* (Sam.) Juzep. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 14:1190624 DOI 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1190624.
- Singh P, Arif Y, Bajguz A, Hayat S. 2021. The role of quercetin in plants. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* 166:10–19 DOI 10.1016/j.plaphy.2021.05.023.

- Stasiuk M, Kozubek A. 2010. Biological activity of phenolic lipids. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* 67(6):841–860 DOI 10.1007/s00018-009-0193-1.
- Staszowska-Karkut M, Materska M. 2020. Phenolic composition, mineral content, and beneficial bioactivities of leaf extracts from black currant (*ribes nigrum* l.), raspberry (*rubus idaeus*), and aronia (*aronia melanocarpa*). *Nutrients* 12(2):12020463 DOI 10.3390/nu12020463.
- Subedi D, Vijay AK, Willcox M. 2018. Overview of mechanisms of antibiotic resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: an ocular perspective. *Clinical and Experimental Optometry* 101(2):162–171 DOI 10.1111/cxo.12621.
- Sunil C, Xu B. 2019. An insight into the health-promoting effects of taxifolin (dihydroquercetin). *Phytochemistry* 166:112066 DOI 10.1016/j.phytochem.2019.112066.
- Techaoei S, Jirayuthcharoenkul C, Jarmkom K, Dumrongphuttidecha T, Khobjai W.
 2020. Chemical evaluation and antibacterial activity of novel bioactive compounds from endophytic fungi in *Nelumbo nucifera*. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences* 27:2883–2889 DOI 10.1016/j.sjbs.2020.08.037.
- Tian J, Fu L, Zhang Z, Dong X, Xu D, Mao Z, Liu Y, Lai D, Zhou L. 2017. Dibenzoα-pyrones from the endophytic fungus *Alternaria sp*. Samif01: isolation, structure elucidation, and their antibacterial and antioxidant activities. *Natural Product Research* **31(4)**:387–396 DOI 10.1080/14786419.2016.1205052.
- Tian F, Liao XF, Wang LH, Bai XX, Yang YB, Luo ZQ, Yan FX. 2022. Isolation and identification of beneficial orchid mycorrhizal fungi in *Paphiopedilum barbigerum* (*Orchidaceae*). *Plant Signaling & Behavior* 17(1):2005882 DOI 10.1080/15592324.2021.2005882.
- **Toghueo RMK. 2019.** Bioprospecting endophytic fungi from *Fusarium* genus as sources of bioactive metabolites. *Mycology* **11**(1):1–21 DOI 10.1080/21501203.2019.1645053.
- Valido E, Stoyanov J, Gorreja F, Stojic S, Niehot C, Kiefte-de Jong J, Llanaj E, Muka T, Glisic M. 2022. Systematic review of human and animal evidence on the role of buckwheat consumption on gastrointestinal health. *Nutrients* 15:15010001 DOI 10.3390/nu15010001.
- Wang H, Liu Z, Duan F, Chen Y, Qiu K, Xiong Q, Lin H, Zhang J, Tan H. 2023. Isolation, identification, and antibacterial evaluation of endophytic fungi from Gannan navel orange. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 14:1172629 DOI 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1172629.
- Wang AN, Yi XW, Yu HF, Dong B, Qiao SY. 2009. Free radical scavenging activity of Lactobacillus fermentum in vitro and its antioxidative effect on growing-finishing pigs. Journal of Applied Microbiology 107:1140–1148 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2009.04294.x.
- Xie L, Liu R, Wang D, Pan Q, Yang S, Li H, Zhang X, Jin M. 2023. Golden Buckwheat extract-loaded injectable hydrogel for efficient postsurgical prevention of local tumor recurrence caused by residual tumor cells. *Molecules* 28(14):28145447 DOI 10.3390/molecules28145447.

- Xu X, Liu A, Hu S, Ares I, Martínez-Larrañaga M-R, Wang X, Martínez M, Anadón A, Martínez M.-A. 2021. Synthetic phenolic antioxidants: metabolism, hazards and mechanism of action. *Food Chemistry* 353:129488
 DOI 10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.129488.
- Zhang M, Gao C, Xu L, Niu H, Liu Q, Huang Y, Lv G, Yang H, Li M. 2022. Melatonin and indole-3-acetic acid synergistically regulate plant growth and stress resistance. *Cells* 11(20):11203250 DOI 10.3390/cells11203250.
- Zhang GF, Liu XF, Zhang S, Pan BF, Liu ML. 2018. Ciprofloxacin derivatives and their antibacterial activities. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 146:599–612 DOI 10.1016/j.ejmech.2018.01.078.
- Zhao YY, Cartabia A, Lalaymia I, Declerck S. 2019. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and production of secondary metabolites in medicinal plants. *Mycorrhiza* 32(3-4):221–256 DOI 10.1007/s00572-022-01079-0.
- Zhao YY, Cartabia A, Lalaymia I, Declerck S. 2022. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and production of secondary metabolites in medicinal plants. *Mycorrhiza* 32(3-4):221–256 DOI 10.1007/s00572-022-01079-0.
- **Zhou ZY, Liu X, Cui JL, Wang JH, Wang ML, Zhang G. 2022.** Endophytic fungi and their bioactive secondary metabolites in medicinal leguminosae plants: nearly untapped medical resources. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **369**(1):fnac052 DOI 10.1093/femsle/fnac052.
- Zhu F. 2016. Chemical composition and health effects of *Tartary buckwheat*. *Food Chemistry* 203:231–245 DOI 10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.02.050.
- **Zhu LY, Chen LH. 2019.** Progress in research on paclitaxel and tumor immunotherapy. *Cellular & Molecular Biology Letters* **24(1)**:1–11 DOI 10.1186/s11658-019-0164-y.
- Zhu J, Wang Z, Song LX, Fu WX, Liu L. 2023. Anti-Alzheimer's natural products derived from plant endophytic fungi. *Molecules* 28(5):40 DOI 10.3390/molecules28052259.