RESEARCH

Open Access

A C2H2-type zinc finger protein TaZFP8-5B negatively regulates disease resistance



Lin Huang^{1,2*†}, Ruijie Xie^{2†}, Yanling Hu², Lilin Du², Fang Wang², Xueer Zhao², Yanyan Huang¹, Xuejiao Chen², Ming Hao², Qiang Xu¹, Lihua Feng¹, Bihua Wu², Zhenzhen Wei³, Lianquan Zhang¹ and Dengcai Liu^{2*}

Abstract

Background Zinc finger proteins (ZFPs) are important regulators in abiotic and biotic stress tolerance in plants. However, the role of the ZFPs in wheat responding to pathogen infection is poorly understood.

Results In this study, we found *TaZFP8-5B* was down-regulated by *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici* (*Pst*) infection. TaZFP8-5B possesses a single C2H2-type zinc finger domain with a plant-specific QALGGH motif, and an EAR motif (LxLxL) at the C-terminus. The EAR motif represses the trans-activation ability of TaZFP8-5B. Knocking down the expression of *TaZFP8* by virus-induced gene silencing increased wheat resistance to *Pst*, whereas *TaZFP8-5B*-overexpressing reduced wheat resistance to stripe rust and rice resistance to *Magnaporthe oryzae*, suggesting that *TaZFP8-5B* plays a negative role in the modulation of plant immunity. Using bimolecular fluorescence complementation, split-luciferase, and yeast two-hybrid assays, we showed that TaZFP8-5B interacted with a wheat calmodulin-like protein TaCML21. Knock-down of *TaCML21* reduced wheat resistance to *Pst*.

Conclusions This study characterized the function of TaZFP8-5B and its interacting protein TaCML21. Our findings provide a new perspective on a regulatory module made up of TaCML21-TaZFP8-5B in plant immunity.

Keywords Wheat, Cys2/His2-type transcription factor, Calmodulin-like protein, Plant immunity

[†]Lin Huang and Ruijie Xie contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: Lin Huang Ihuang@sicau.edu.cn Dengcai Liu dcliu7@sicau.edu.cn ¹State Key Laboratory of Crop Gene Exploration and Utilization in Southwest China, Sichuan Agricultural University, Chengdu, Sichuan 611130, China ²Triticeae Research Institute, Sichuan Agricultural University, Chengdu, Sichuan 611130, China ³Institute of Plant Protection, Sichuan Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Chengdu 610061. China

Background

Plants suffer from possible invasion of pathogens throughout their life cycle. To defend pathogen attack, plants have developed two major innate immune systems: i.e. pattern-triggered immunity (PTI) and effector-triggered immunity (ETI) [1, 2]. PTI is induced by several microbial patterns via cell surface-localized pattern-recognition receptors [3], and ETI is triggered by pathogen effectors via mostly intracellular receptors [4]. PTI has a role in the plant's basal defense mechanism, triggering the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), deposition of callose, and the activation of pathogenesis-related (PR) genes [5]. ETI confers strong resistance, leading to a hypersensitive response, which inhibits pathogen proliferation [6].



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

Transcriptional reprogramming is a major feature of plant immunity and is regulated by a series of transcription factors (TFs) and proteins associated with discrete transcriptional complexes [7]. TFs, such as WRKY, ethylene-responsive factor/APETALA2, basic-domain leucine-zipper, basic helix-loop-helix, and NAM/ATAF/ CUC are involved in plant immunity [8-11]. Zinc finger proteins (ZFPs) are the largest family of transcription regulators in plants. ZFPs can be categorized into various subfamilies such as C2H2, C2HC, C2HC5, C3HC4, CCCH, C4, C4HC3, C6, and C8 types [12]. The C2H2type ZFPs (C2H2-ZFPs) are known to have an important role in regulating the plant defense response to biotic and abiotic stresses [5, 13, 14]. For example, GmZFP03 modulates the expression of two superoxide dismutase1s to improve resistance against Phytophthora sojae in soybean [15]; Bsr-d1 regulates the expression of H_2O_2 -degradation enzymes to accomplish rice blast resistance [5]; ZFP36 is a key regulator in ABA-induced antioxidant defense [16]; PeSTZ1 from Populus euphratica enhances freezing tolerance through the modulation of ROS scavenging by direct regulation of the PeAPX2 expression [17].

There are numerous C2H2-ZF genes in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and some of them are reported to be involved in the abiotic stress tolerance. For instance, Li et al. [18] identified 457 C2H2-ZFPs from the wheat genome and 18 C2H2-ZFPs were induced by heat and drought stresses; The overexpression of *TaZFP1B* or *TaZFP13D* significantly enhanced antioxidant enzyme activity and improved wheat tolerance to drought [19, 20]. *TaZAT8* plays a significant role in regulating tolerance to the inorganic phosphate (Pi)-starvation [21]. However, the role of the C2H2-ZFPs in wheat responding to pathogen infection has been less reported.

Wheat stripe rust, caused by *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. tritici (Pst), is one of the most devastating fungal diseases of wheat. Improving host genetic resistance is a sustainable strategy to control this pathogen disease [22]. The plant defense response is determined by major resistance genes (R genes) and/or disease-resistant related genes that are involved in the defense responses [23]. Major R-genes are critical for the activation of hypersensitive response and often provide race-specific disease resistance [24]. The disease-resistant related genes are regulators in the pathogens' defense pathway and their transcripts change are associated with defense response. Therefore, a continuous understanding of the regulation mechanisms of the defense-responsive genes in wheat against Pst infection are important to develop new disease resistance strategies.

In the present study, we have characterized the function of C2H2-ZF gene, *TraesCS5B02G229800* (*TaZFP8-5B* hereafter), which was induced by *Pst* inoculation. Knock-down of *TaZFP8* significantly improved wheat resistance against *Pst*, whereas the overexpression of *TaZFP8-5B* reduced the *Pst* resistance. Heterologous overexpression of *TaZFP8-5B* in rice enhanced plants susceptibility to *Magnaporthe oryzae*. The TaZFP8-5B interacted physically with a CaM-like protein TaCML21 which served as a positive regulator for stripe rust resistance. Our results demonstrate that the TaCML21-TaZFP8-5B complex is a potential key regulator of plant immunity.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and Pst inoculation

Two wheat cultivars, Shumai126 and Fielder were used in the current study. Shumai126 was used to amplify the cDNA sequences of *TaZFP8-5B* and *TaCML21* and conduct BSMV-mediated gene silencing experiments. Fielder was used as receptor material to create *TaZFP8-5B*-overexpressed transgenic wheat plants. Shumai126 showed intermediate resistance to *Pst* race CYR34 at the seedling stage [25], while Fielder is susceptible to CYR34 and resistant to CYR23 [26]. The rice cultivar (*Oryza sativa* L. ssp. *Japonica*) Zhonghua11 (ZH11) was also transformed to generate *TaZFP8-5B*-overexpressed plants. Tobacco (*Nicotiana benthamiana*) was used for transiently expression and sub-cellular localization assays.

Wheat seedlings were grown in a growth chamber under a 16/8 h, 20/16 °C light/dark cycle. The *Pst* races CYR23 or CYR34 were used to inoculate the wheat plants as described by He et al. [27]. Seedlings sprayed with isododecane served as a mock control. Inoculated and mock-inoculated leaves were sampled at different days post inoculation (dpi) for expression analysis. Three biological replicates were conducted for each time point.

RNA extraction and expression analysis

Total RNA from the seedling leaves was isolated using TRNzol Universal Reagent (Tiangen Biotech Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. First-strand cDNA synthesis was performed using the Hifair[®] III 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Super Mix kit (Shanghai Yeasen Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed in a 10.0 µL reaction volume including 1.0 µL diluted cDNA, 5.0 µL TB Green[®] Premix Ex Taq[™] II (Tli RNaseH Plus) (TaKaRa), 400 nM of each primer. The Bio-Rad CFX96 Real-Time PCR System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) was used for amplification under the following program: 95 °C for 3 min, 40 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s, and 60 °C for 10 s. Primers were designed using the qPCR primer database (https://biodb.swu.edu.cn/qprimerdb/) (Supplementary Table S1). The wheat elongation factor TaEF-1 α (GenBank accession no. Q03033), and the rice OsUbiquitin 5 (GenBank accession no. AK061988) were

used as the internal references, respectively. The expression level was quantified using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method [28].

Sequence analysis

The *TaZFP8-5B* and *TaCML21* sequences were amplified from the cDNA library of Shumai126 seedling leaves inoculated with *Pst* race CYR34 using specific markers (Supplementary Table S1). The amino acid sequences and protein domains of TaZFP8 and TaCML21 were retrieved from the SMART website (http://smart.embl-heidelbe rg.de/). Multiple sequence alignments were carried out using MULTALIN (http://multalin.toulouse.inra.fr/mul talin/multalin.html). The molecular sizes of TaZFP8 and TaCML21 were predicted using the Compute pI/Mw tool (https://web.expasy.org/compute_pi/). A phylogenetic tree was constructed with MEGA 11 software (http://www.megasoftware.net) using the neighbor-joining method with 1000 bootstrap replicates.

Plasmids construction

The coding sequences of *TaZFP8-5B* and *TaCML21* were amplified from Shumai126 using primers containing BamHI and Sall restriction sites as overhangs. The PCR products were inserted into the plant binary expression vector pCAMBIA2300 with an enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) tag. For barley stripe mosaic virusinduced gene silencing (BSMV-VIGS) system, selected fragments of TaZFP8 and TaCML21 were amplified and inserted into the BSMV-y vector [29]. The TaZFP8-5B and TaCML21 were sub-cloned into pSPYNE and pSPYCE with XbaI+KpnI and XbaI restriction sites to generate pSPYNE-TaZFP8-5B and pSPYCE-TaCML21 vectors for bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assay. The TaZFP8-5B was linked to the N-terminal part of the luciferase reporter gene LUC to generate nLUC-TaZFP8-5B vector and TaCML21 was fused with the C-terminal part of LUC to construct TaCML21cLUC for split-luciferase (LUC) assay. The primers used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table S1.

BSMV-mediated gene silencing

Different vectors were linearized using appropriate enzymes and transcribed to RNA in vitro. Transcripts of BSMV: α , β , γ (or γ -gene) were mixed in a 1:1:1 ratio and then added to the FES buffer. The third leaves of Shumai126 seedlings were infected with the virus mixture as described by Holzberg et al. [29]. The infected plants were then maintained in a 16/8 h, light/dark cycle at 28 °C for approximately 12 days. Following this, the fifth leaves were inoculated with uredospore of *Pst* race CYR34, and sampled at 1, 2 and 5 dpi for silencing efficiency estimation. The disease phenotype was recorded at 14 dpi.

Histological observation

Seedling leaves sampled at 1 and 5 dpi were observed for the hyphal development of *Pst.* Leaf segments were stained with wheat germ agglutinin (WGA) (Alexa-488; Thermo Fisher Scientific) conjugated with a fluorescent dye as described by Wang et al. [25] and were further observed using an BX-63 fluorescence microscope (Olympus Corp. Tokyo, Japan). The average value of hyphal length and infection areas from at least 30 infection sites of three independent biological repeats were calculated with ImageJ software.

Yeast two-hybrid assay

A high-quality Y2H library, previously constructed using *Pst*-infected wheat seedling leaves of *Yr15* introgression line AVS+*Yr15* (pedigree: V763/6*Avocet) [30], was screened using the Matchmaker GAL4 system (Clontech Laboratories) to identify candidate targets that interact with TaZFP8-5B. The pGBKT-TaZFP8-5B and pGAD-TaCML21 as well as other candidate targets were co-transformed into the yeast strain Y2HGold and grown on the selective medium (SD/-Trp/-Leu, SD/-Trp/-Leu/-His, and SD/-Trp/-Leu/-His/-Ade).

Agrobacterium tumefaciens infiltration assays

The Agrobacterium tumefaciens strains GV3101 (pSoupp19, Weidi, Shanghai) were transformed with different recombinant vectors and then grown on LB medium supplemented with rifampicin (50 mg L^{-1}) and kanamycin (50 mg L^{-1}) at 28 °C for 24 h. The recombinant strains were adjusted to an optical density of 0.6 at 600 nm (OD600) and then infiltrated into 4-week-old *N. benthamiana* leaves. The transformed *N. benthamiana* plants were grown in a greenhouse at 20 °C with a 16 h/8 h light/dark photo-period for subsequent analysis.

The Agrobacterium strains carrying pCAMBIA35S-TaZFP8-5B-eGFP and pCAMBIA35S-TaCML21-eGFP were infiltrated into N. benthamiana leaves for sublocalization. The eGFP fluorescence signals were observed using a laser-scanning confocal microscope at 48 h post infiltration (hpi). The Agrobacterium strains carrying pSPYNE-TaZFP8-5B and pSPYCE-TaCML21 were coinfiltrated into N. benthamiana leaves for BiFC. Two days after infiltration, YFP fluorescence was captured by a Leica STELLARIS STED/EM CPD300 Confocal Laser Microscope with a 488 nm laser. Agrobacteria carrying nLUC-TaZFP8-5B and TaCML21-cLUC were co-infiltration into N. benthamiana leaves for split-LUC. 48 h after transfection, the 1-mM luciferin (Coolaber, China) was sprayed onto the inoculated leaves and the LUC activity was analyzed by a ChemiDoc imaging system (BIO-RAD, USA).

Overexpression of TaZFP8-5B in wheat and rice plants

The coding sequence (CDS) of *TaZFP8-5B* was fused into the downstream of the maize ubiquitin promoter to generate overexpression vector *ProUbi: TaZFP8-5B.* The vector was transformed into the wheat cultivar Fielder using the PureWheat technique developed by the Japan Tobacco Company. Positive *TaZFP8-5B* transgenic plants were identified by PCR using universal primers. The second leaves of the T₁ transgenic lines were challenged with the avirulent *Pst* race CYR23. At 16 dpi, the disease phenotype was observed and the infected wheat leaves were sampled for fungal biomass analysis. The *Pst* biomass was calculated using the DNA amounts of fungal *PstEF* against the wheat *TaEF-1a* amounts by quantitative PCR [26].

The CDS of TaZFP8-5B was inserted into the pCAM-BIA2300-CaMV35S-GFP vector to generate TaZFP8-5B-GFP. The construct was introduced into A. tumefaciens strain GV3101 and then transformed into rice cv. ZH11. Positive T₀ transgenic plants were confirmed by PCR amplification using a gene-specific primer set (Table S1) and were further self-pollinated. T_1 transgenic lines were detected by PCR and the expression of TaZFP8-5B was estimated by qRT-PCR using OsUbiquitin 5 as the endogenous control. Positive lines were selected to evaluate resistance to Magnaporthe oryzae strain GZ8 using a punch inoculation method as described by Li et al. [31]. After 7 dpi, the infected leaves were sampled for relative fungal biomass quantitation using the DNA amounts of *M. oryzae Pot2* (*Mopot2*) against rice ubiquitin DNA amounts [32]. All experiments were repeated three times with consistent results.

Results

Identification of TaZFP8

Utilizing our previous RNA-seq data from AVS+*Yr15* (highly *Pst*-resistant), we found the expression levels of *TraesCS5B02G229800* (*TaZFP8-5B*) were significantly

reduced by *Pst* inoculation (Fig. 1a). qRT-PCR analysis confirmed that the expression levels of *TraesC-S5B02G229800* were significantly down-regulated by the *Pst* infection in wheat lines AVS + *Yr15* and Shumai126 at different time points (Fig. 1b, c), implying the potential role of *TraesCS5B02G229800* in wheat defense response.

The *TraesCS5B02G229800* was 1318 bp in length, with a predicted open reading frame (ORF) of 915 bp, encoded a 304 amino-acid protein with a molecular weight of 26.6 kDa and a pI of 12.67. The N-terminus of the predicted protein possesses a single C2H2-type zinc finger domain with a plant-specific QALGGH motif, and an ethylene-responsive element binding factor-associated amphiphilic repression (EAR) motif (LxLxL) at the C-terminus (Fig. S1a, b). The *TaZFP8-5B* sequence in AVS+*Yr15* is identical to *TraesCS5B02G229800*, while two synonymous SNPs (C510G and G672A) were detected in Shumai126.

Phylogenetic analysis revealed that *TaZFP8-5B* was clustered with *T. dicoccoides* zinc finger protein 8-like protein (TdZFP8, accession number XP_037441652.1) and shared high similarity (>96%) with *Aegilops tauschii* AetZFP8 (XP_020188179.1) and *Hordeum vulgare* HvZFP8 (XP_044946812.1) (Fig. S1c). Three homologs of TaZFP8 in Chinese Spring with 96–100% nucleotide sequence similarity were identified on chromosomes 5A, 5B, and 5D.

To investigate its localization in plants, we performed a subcellular localization experiment using *N. benthamiana* leaves. The fusion construct *TaZFP8-5B-eGFP* was transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana*. The fluorescence signal of the TaZFP8-5B-eGFP protein was only observed in the nucleus (Fig. S2), implying that TaZFP8-5B is located in the nucleus.



Fig. 1 The expression patterns of TaZFP8 upon *Pst* inoculation. (a) Expression levels of *TraesCS5B02G229800* and its homologs in wheat leaves of AVS + *Yr15* inoculated with *Pst* race CYR34 detected by RNA-seq. Expression levels of *TaZFP8-5B* in *Pst*-resistant wheat lines AVS + *Yr15* (b) and Shumai126 (c) measured by qRT-PCR. Error bars represent SEM from three replications. Asterisks represent the level of significant differences. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001

Knockdown of *TaZFP8* enhances wheat resistance to stripe rust

To determine the function of TaZFP8 in *Pst* resistance, two specific cDNA fragments were chosen to simultaneously silence three copies of *TaZFP8* on wheat chromosome 5, due to the high similarity (95.53 to 96.73%) between the three copies. The BSMV:*TaZFP8* and BSMV:*y* leaves had chlorotic mosaic symptoms and no other evident defects. Photobleaching was observed in plants inoculated with BSMV:*PDS* (Fig. 2a), indicating that the silencing system was functioning correctly. After *Pst* inoculation, we observed less urediniospore production and necrosis on leaves treated with BSMV:*TaZFP8* compared to the control (Fig. 2b). The transcript levels of *TaZFP8* were decreased by 42-67% in BSMV:*TaZFP8* leaves (Fig. 2c).

Histological analysis was performed to characterize the disease symptoms in *TaZFP8*-silenced leaves. *Pst* hyphal length was obviously decreased and *Pst* infection areas were significantly (p<0.001) reduced in BSMV:*TaZFP8* leaves compared to the controls (Fig. S3).

To evaluate whether the expression level of defenserelated genes in *TaZFP8*-silenced leaves was affected by *Pst* infection, transcripts of two wheat pathogenesisrelated (PR) genes (*TaPR1* and *TaPR2*) were examined by qRT-PCR. Transcript levels of *TaPR1* and *TaPR2* were significantly induced in *TaZFP8*-knockdown plants (Fig. 2d). We further assayed the expression of marker gene *TaRboh* which was reported to mediate H_2O_2 production [33], and a candidate catalase (i.e., TaCAT) as well as a superoxide dismutase (i.e., TaSOD) which are involved in ROS signaling. We found higher expression levels of TaRboh, whereas lower levels of TaCAT and TaSOD in BSMV:TaZFP8 plants compared to the control (Fig. 2e). These data suggest that simultaneous knockdown the three copies of TaZFP8 on chromosome 5 enhances wheat resistance to stripe rust pathogen.

Overexpression of *TaZFP8-5B* reduces plant disease resistance

To specifically determine the role of *TaZFP8-5B* during the wheat-*Pst* interaction, we generated *TaZFP8-5B* overexpressing lines in the wheat cultivar Fielder. Two positive T_0 transgenic plants *TaZFP8-5B*OE-J#2 and *TaZFP8-5B*OE-J#12 were obtained by PCR and qRT-PCR analysis (Fig. S4a). When inoculated with avirulent *Pst* race CYR23, Fielder leaves showed prominent cell death with no visible urediniospore pustules, whereas T_1 plants overexpressing *TaZFP8-5B* produced pustules and had 67.1% and 81.3% increase in fungal biomass (Fig. 3a and b), indicating a negative role in wheat against stripe rust pathogen.

To further investigate the role of *TaZFP8* in plant defense responses, we constructed rice lines heterologous expression of *TaZFP8-5B*. Five T_1 transgenic lines (*TaZFP8-5B* OE-#1 to *TaZFP8-5B* OE-#5) expressing *TaZFP8-5B* were obtained and two of them (OE-#1 and OE-#2) with higher gene expression levels were selected



Fig. 2 Silencing *TaZFP8* increases wheat resistance to *Pst.* (a) The third leaves of wheat cultivar Shumai126 were inoculated with BSMV: γ , BSMV:*PDS*, BSMV:*TaZFP8-1as* and BSMV:*TaZFP8-2as* vectors. The virus symptoms (BSMV: γ and BSMV:*TaZFP8*) and photobleaching phenotypes (BSMV:*PDS*) on leaves were observed and photographed 10 days after infection. Mock, wheat leaves inoculated with FES buffer. (b) The resistance phenotype of the fifth leaves at 14 dpi, inoculated with *Pst* CYR34. (c-e) Relative expression of *TaZFP8*, *TaPR1*, *TaPR2*, *TaRboh*, *TaCAT*, and *TaSOD* in wheat leaves at 1 dpi. The transcript levels of the genes were detected by qRT-PCR. Error bars represent SEM from three independent biological replicates. Asterisks represent the level of significant differences. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001



Fig. 3 *TaZFP8-5B* negatively regulates plant disease resistance. (**a** and **b**) Overexpression of *TaZFP8-5B* increases wheat susceptibility to stripe rust. Fielder and *TaZFP8-5B* OE lines (TaZFP8-5B OE-J#2 and TaZFP8-5B OE-J#12) were inoculated with *Pst* CYR23, and disease symptoms were observed at 16 dpi (**a**), and fungal biomass in infected leaves was measured by qPCR (**b**). (**c-e**) Overexpression of *TaZFP8-5B* reduces rice resistance to *Magnaporthe oryzae*. (**c**) Disease symptoms of *TaZFP8-5B* OE lines (TaZFP8-5B OE-J#1 and TaZFP8-5B OE-#1 and TaZFP8-5B OE-#2) and control. (**d**) Lesion length and (**e**) relative fungal growth were measured on leaves at 7 dpi. Error bars represent SEM from three independent biological replicates. Asterisks represent significant differences. ****p* < 0.001

for punch-inoculation (Fig. S4b). M. oryzae inoculation assay showed that the disease symptoms became more severe in TaZFP8-5B OE lines with significantly larger lesion sizes than ZH11 (Fig. 3c, d). Consistently, the fungal biomass in TaZFP8-5B OE lines was significantly higher than the control (Fig. 3e). To evaluate whether the expression of TaZFP8-5B affects the transcripts of ROSrelated marker genes, we detected the expression levels of OsRbohA [5], OsCAT, and OsSOD [34] in TaZFP8-5B OE-#1 and -#2 transgenic lines (Fig. S4c). The results showed that the expression levels of OsCAT and OsSOD were significantly higher in OE lines than those in wildtype plants. The relative expression of OsRbohA was significantly increased in OE-#1 but not in OE-#2. Taken together, these results demonstrate that TaZFP8-5B functions as a negative regulator in plant disease resistance pathways.

EAR motif represses the trans-activation ability of TaZFP8-5B in yeast

The EAR motif was found to be essential for transcriptional repression in plants [35, 36]. TaZFP8-5B contains an EAR motif at the C-terminus. To examine the transcriptional activity of TaZFP8-5B, fusion plasmids pBD-TaZFP8-5B (1–915 bp, full-length coding region), pBD-TaZFP8-5B^{Δ EAR} (1–894 bp, EAR deletion) (Fig. 4a), pBD-53 (positive control), and pBD-Lam (negative control) were separately co-transformed with pGADT7 into the yeast strain Y2HGold. The transformants harboring pBD-53 or pBD-TaZFP8-5B^{Δ EAR} grew well and colonies turned blue on SD/-Trp/-His/-Leu medium supplemented with X- α -gal, while transformants containing pBD-TaZFP8-5B or pBD-Lam did not (Fig. 4b). This results indicate that the EAR motif can repress the transactivation ability of TaZFP8-5B in yeast cells.



Fig. 4 Transcription activity assay of full-length or truncation of TaZFP8-5B in yeast. (**a**) Illustration of pGBKT7-TaZFP8-5B (full-length) and pGBKT7-TaZFP8-5B^{ΔEAR} (EAR motif deletion mutant), and pGBKT7 constructs. (**b**) The constructs were separately transformed into yeast strain Y2HGold and diluted yeast solutions were dropped onto SD/-Leu/-Trp, and then onto SD/-Leu/-Trp/-His/X-α-gal media, respectively. Photographs were recorded after 2-d of incubation. All experiments were repeated three times with consistent results

TaZFP8-5B interacts with a calmodulin-like protein, TaCML21

To identify the interacting proteins of TaZFP8-5B, we used TaZFP8-5B as a bait to screen the Y2H library of AVS+*Yr15* which was constructed using RNA from *Pst* CYR34-infected wheat seedling leaves. A wheat calmodulin-like (CML) protein was identified as an interacting protein of TaZFP8-5B. The CML protein had the highest sequence similarity with CML21 in common wheat. Phy-logenetic analysis showed its relatedness to other plant CML21 proteins, such as TdCML21, ZmCML21, and OsCML21 (Fig. S5). We therefore designated the CML protein as TaCML21.

We further analyzed the subcellular localization of TaCML21 in *N. benthamiana* leaf cells. When *N. benthamiana* leaves transformed with fusion construct TaCML21-eGFP, fluorescent signals were observed in both the cytoplasm and nucleus (Fig. S6).

We cloned TaCML21 into the pGADT7 and TaZFP8-5B into the pGBKT7. The growth of the Y2HGold strain co-transformed with AD-TaCML21 and BD-TaZFP8-5B on SD/-Trp/-Leu/-His/-Ade/X-α-gal medium, confirming the interaction between TaZFP8-5B and TaCML21 (Fig. 5a). The BiFC assay was used to further verify the interaction between TaZFP8-5B and TaCML21. Yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) signals were detected in the nuclei when TaZFP8-5B and TaCML21 were co-expressed in tobacco cells, whereas no signal was observed in the negative controls, indicating that TaZFP8-5B interacts with TaCML21 in the nucleus (Fig. 5b). Additionally, the TaZFP8-5B-TaCML21 interaction was further confirmed by LUC assay. Strong luminescence signals were observed in the area co-expressed TaZFP8-5B and TaCML21 proteins, while no signal was detected in the area expressed either nLUC-TaZFP8-5B+cLUC or nLUC+TaCML21-cLUC (Fig. 5c). These data therefore demonstrate the interaction between TaZFP8-5B and TaCML21.



Fig. 5 TaZFP8-5B interacts with TaCML21. (a) Analysis of interaction between TaZFP8-5B and TaCML21 using yeast two-hybrid assay. Yeast cells of Y2H-Gold strains transformed with the recombinant constructs were assayed for growth on SD/-Leu/-Trp and SD/-Trp/-Leu/-His/-Ade/X-α-gal mediums. pBD-53/pAD and pBD-lam/pAD were positive and negative controls, respectively. (b) Confirmation of the interaction between TaZFP8-5B and TaCML21 using BiFC assay. pNE-TaZFP8-5B/pCE and pNE/pCE-TaCML21 were negative controls. Scale bars = 50 μm. (c) Detection of the TaZFP8-5B-TaCML21 interaction in *N. benthamiana* leaves transiently expressing the constructs by luciferase complementation imaging assay. OsBIK1 and OsXLG2 are the reported interacting proteins that were used as positive control. The LUC empty vector was used as the negative control

Silencing of *TaCML21* reduces the wheat stripe rust resistance

We used VIGS system to test the effect of TaCML21 on Pst resistance. Two gene-specific fragments of TaCML21 (150-bp and 143-bp) were inserted into BSMV: y plasmid. When the Pst CYR34 was inoculated on BSMV-infected leaves, uredinia in TaCML21-knockdown leaves were increased compared to BSMV:y and mock controls at 14 dpi (Fig. 6a). The transcription of TaCML21 was reduced by 36-65% in TaCML21-silenced leaves from 1 to 5 dpi (Fig. 6b). Pst hyphal length and the infection areas of Pst were much larger in TaCML21-silenced leaves than those of controls (Fig. S7a-c). We further assessed the transcript levels of defense-related genes in TaCML21knockdown leaves inoculated with CYR34. Transcript levels of TaPR1 and TaPR2 were significantly lower in TaCML21-knockdown leaves inoculated with CYR34 compared to that in the controls (Fig. 6c). These results indicate that silencing of *TaCML21* reduced the wheat stripe rust resistance.

Discussion

Previous studies showed that ZFPs regulated wheat responses to abiotic stresses such as heat, drought, and inorganic phosphate (Pi)-starvation [19–21]. Here, we report a wheat C2H2-type ZFP (TaZFP8-5B) functions as a negative regulator in plant immunity, as demonstrated by VIGS assay and transgenic complementation. We

show that TaZFP8-5B interacted with TaCML21, which is positively involved in wheat resistance against *Pst*.

TaZFP8-5B possesses a single ZF domain with a conserved QALGGH motif at the N-terminus, and an EAR motif at the C-terminus, which shares a similar structure to typical C2H2-ZFPs in plants [13, 14]. Previous studies showed that C2H2-ZFPs with a single zinc finger structure are mainly associated with plant growth and development [13, 14, 37]. A recent study shown that wheat C2H2-ZFPs with single zinc finger motif may have potential roles in responses to biotic stresses [38]. In the present study, we showed that the TaZFP8-5B with single zinc finger motif worked as a negative regulator for disease resistance, supporting the involvement of a single ZFP in biotic stress responses. The QALGGH motif is widely present in the ZFPs of both dicots and monocots plants [39, 40] and was considered as a necessary element for DNA-binding activity [41]. The function of the QALGGH motif in TaZFP8-5B needs to be further investigated. The EAR motif is the major form of transcriptional repression element in plants, which is known to function as negative regulators in a broader context of gene regulation [42]. In the present study, we showed that the EAR motif can inhibit the transactivation ability of TaZFP8-5B in yeast cells (Fig. 4). Further quantitative expression analysis revealed that TaPR1 and TaPR2 genes were up-regulated in TaZFP8-knockdown plants. Therefore, we speculate that the TaZFP8-5B may have a



Fig. 6 Knocking down *TaCML21* reduces resistance to *Pst.* (a) The disease symptoms were photographed 14 days after *Pst* race CYR34 inoculation on the fifth leaf of BSMV-inoculated Shumai126. (b-c) Relative expression levels of *TaCML21* and PR genes *TaPR1* and *TaPR2* in leaves inoculated with CYR34 were evaluated by qRT-PCR at 1 dpi. Error bars represent SEM from three independent biological replicates. Asterisks represent the level of significant differences. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01

role in regulating the downstream gene expression negatively which is consistent with those of previous reports for C2H2-ZFPs [43, 44].

In the present study, we found TaCML21 is a direct partner of TaZFP8-5B (Fig. 5). CML proteins were known to function as Ca²⁺ sensors and transducers in plantpathogen signaling [45–48]. Increasing evidence shows that reduction of CML expression or loss of CML function in plants strongly affects immune responses [49]. For example, silencing of *CML24* in *Arabidopsis* impaired the defense response to bacterial strains of *Pseudomonas syringae* [50]. *NtCaM13*-knockout tobacco plants reduces basal resistance against pathogens [51]. In the current study, *TaCML21*-silenced wheat leaves display enhanced plant susceptibility to stripe rust and the deregulation of PR gene expression, suggesting that *TaCML21* also acts as a positive regulator in plant immunity.

In plants, the CaM/CML family can bind various proteins including diverse TFs [52]. Recent reports demonstrated that the CaM-TF complex functions as a repressor in regulation of gene expression [53, 54]. Upon pathogen attack, the elevation of nuclear Ca²⁺ signal interacts with CaM-TF which relieves transcriptional repression conferred by the CaM-TF complex and leads to de-repress the expression of the immune system [53]. In the current study, we showed that TaCML21 interacts with TaZFP8-5B. TaCML21 functions as a positive regulator, whereas TaZFP8-5B is a negative regulator in plant immune responses. We hypothesize that the possible mechanism of TaCML21-TaZFP8-5B in defense responses may fit the transcription repression and de-repression model [53]. In this scenario, the TaCML21-TaZFP8-5B complex may act as a repressor of the plant immune response by their interactions with promoters of target gene(s) in the absence of pathogen attack; upon pathogen infection, the increased Ca²⁺ signal could interact with TaCML21-TaZFP8-5B complex and affects the protein conformation, causing the abrogation of transcriptional repression and activation of plant defense responses (Fig. S8). Further study is required to clarify the regulation mechanism of the TaCML21-TaZFP8-5B complex in plant immunity.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.or g/10.1186/s12870-024-05843-6.

Supplementary Figure S1: Sequence analysis of TaZFP8. (a) Schematic diagram of TaZFP8 protein structure. (b) Sequence alignment of TaZFP8 with other C2H2-type ZFPs. The sequences were aligned with DNAMAN

software. C2H2-ZF domain (gray), QALGGH conserved motif (green), and EAR motif (blue). (c) Phylogenetic analysis of TaZFP8. Different C2H2-ZFs from *T. aestivum* (Ta), *T. dicoccoides* (Td), Hordeum vulgare (Hv), Zea mays (Zm), Oryza sativa (Os), Setaria italica (Si), Aegilops tauschii (Att), Arabidopsis thaliana (At), Solanum tuberosum (St), Nicotiana benthamiana (Nb) and Vitis vinifera (Vv) were used for the phylogenetic analyses using MEGA11

Supplementary Figure S2: Subcellular localization of TaZFP8-5B protein. TaZFP8-5B-eGFP fusion protein and eGFP were separately mixed with NLSmRFP (nuclear localization marker protein) and expressed in the leaves of *N. benthamiana*

Supplementary Figure S3: Fungal development in *TaZFP8*-silenced leaves during initial stages of *Pst* inoculation. (a) Microscopic observation of pathogen development in *TaZFP8*-silenced leaves at different time points after *Pst* inoculation. (b) Hyphal length and (c) infection area of *Pst* in *TaZFP8*-silenced leaves after being infected with CYR34. Wheat leaves were sampled at 1 and 5 dpi and observed microscopically after stained with WGA. SV, substomatal vesicle; H, haustoria; IH, infection hypha; HMC, haustorial mother cell; and SH, secondary hyphae. Bars = 100 µm. Asterisks represent significant differences. ** p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001

Supplementary Figure S4: Transcript levels of *TaZFP8-5B* and ROS-related genes in transgenic plants. (a) Transcript levels in Fielder and *TaZFP8-5B*OE plants. (b) Transcript levels of *TaZFP8-5B* in the rice cultivar ZH11 back-ground. TaZFP-5BOE-#1 to -#5, overexpression transgenic events. (c) Relative expression of *OsRbohA*, *OsCAT*, and *OsSOD* in rice leaves at 1 dpi. The transcript levels of the genes in *TaZFP8-5B*OE-#1 and *TaZFP8-5B*H2 leaves were detected by qRT-PCR. Error bars represent SEM from three replicates. Asterisks represent significant differences. *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001

Supplementary Figure S5: Phylogenetic tree of TaCML21 and CML variants from various species. *T. aestivum* (Ta), *T. dicoccoides* (Td), *T. urartu* (Tu), *Z. mays* (Zm), *O. sativa* (Os), *A. thaliana* (At), *S. tuberosum* (St), *Capsicum annuum* (Ca), *Glycine max* (Gm)

Supplementary Figure S6: Subcellular localization of TaCML21 protein. TaCML21-eGFP and eGFP were separately mixed with mRFP (NLS-mRFP, nuclear localization protein) and expressed in the leaves of *N. benthamiana*

Supplementary Figure S7: Fungal development in *TaCML21*-silenced leaves during initial stages of *Pst* inoculation. (a) Microscopic observation of *Pst* growth in *TaCML21*-silenced leaves at 1 and 5 dpi. (b) Hyphal length and (c) infection area of *Pst* in gene silenced leaves after infected with CYR34. Wheat leaves were sampled at different time points and observed microscopically after stained with WGA. SV, substomatal vesicle; H, haustoria; IH, infection hypha; HMC, haustorial mother cell; and SH, secondary hyphae. Bars = 100 µm. * p < 0.05,** p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001

Supplementary Figure S8: Possible working model of TaCML21-TaZFP-5B complex in plant defense responses. The TaCML21-TaZFP8-5B complex represses the expression of target gene(s) that are involved in regulation of plant defense; upon pathogen infection, the generated Ca^{2+} signal could interact with TaCML21-TaZFP8-5B complex that leads to the complex degradation and transcriptional de-repression and activation of plant defense responses. Dash lines represent hypothetical situations. Red spheres denote Ca^{2+} ions. The number of red spheres represent the level of concentration

Supplementary Table S1: List of primers used in this study

Author contributions

LH, BHW, and DCL conceived and designed the research. LH, RJX, YLH, LLD, XEZ, FW, XJC, MH, LHF, ZZW conducted experiments. RJX, YYH, QX and LQZ analyzed data. LH, RJX, and DCL wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 32341035, 32272068), Sichuan Science and Technology Program (2024YFNH0024, 2021YFYZ0002), Sichuan Provincial Agricultural Department Innovative Research Team (SCCXTD-2024-11), and State Key Laboratory of Crop Gene Exploration and Utilization in Southwest China (SKL-KF202322).

Data availability

The data and plant materials generated and analyzed supporting the findings of the current work are available within the manuscript and its supplementary information files or are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 9 July 2024 / Accepted: 18 November 2024 Published online: 23 November 2024

References

- Ngou BPM, Ahn H, Ding P, Jones JDG. Mutual potentiation of plant immunity by cell-surface and intracellular receptors. Nature. 2021;592(7852):110–5.
- Pruitt RN, Locci F, Wanke F, Zhang L, Saile SC, Joe A, Karelina D, Hua C, Frohlich K, Wan WL, et al. The EDS1-PAD4-ADR1 node mediates *Arabidopsis* patterntriggered immunity. Nature. 2021;598(7881):495–9.
- Couto D, Zipfel. Regulation of pattern recognition receptor signalling in plants. Nat Rev Immunol. 2016;16(9):537–52.
- Monteiro F, Nishimura MT. Structural, functional, and genomic diversity of plant NLR proteins: an evolved resource for rational engineering of plant immunity. Annu Rew Phytopathol. 2018;56(1):243–67.
- Li W, Zhu Z, Chern M, Yin J, Yang C, Ran L, Cheng M, He M, Wang K, Wang J, et al. A natural allele of a transcription factor in rice confers broad-spectrum blast resistance. Cell. 2017;170(1):114–26.
- 6. Balint-Kurti P. The plant hypersensitive response: concepts, control and consequences. Mol Plant Pathol. 2019;20(8):1163–78.
- Tsuda K, Somssich IE. Transcriptional networks in plant immunity. New Phytol. 2015;206(3):932–47.
- Seo E, Choi D. Functional studies of transcription factors involved in plant defenses in the genomics era. Brief Funct Genomics. 2015;14(4):260–7.
- Amorim LLB, Santos RDFD, Neto JAOP, Guida-Santos M, Crovella S, Benkolseppon AM. Transcription factors involved in plant resistance to pathogens. Curr Protein Pept Sc. 2017;18 4:335–51.
- Burke R, Schwarze J, Sherwood OL, Jnaid Y, McCabe PF, Kacprzyk J. Stressed to death: the role of transcription factors in plant programmed cell death induced by abiotic and biotic stimuli. Front Plant Sci. 2020;11:1235.
- Valandro F, Menguer PK, Cabreira-Cagliari C, Margis-Pinheiro M, Cagliari A. Programmed cell death (PCD) control in plants: new insights from the Arabidopsis thaliana deathosome. Plant Sci. 2020;299:110603.
- 12. Li W, He M, Wang J, Wang Y. Zinc finger protein (ZFP) in plants-a review. Plant Omics. 2013;6:474–80.
- Wang K, Ding Y, Cai C, Chen Z, Zhu C. The role of C2H2 zinc finger proteins in plant responses to abiotic stresses. Physiol Plant. 2019;165(4):690–700.
- 14. Liu Y, Khan AR, Gan Y. C2H2 zinc finger proteins response to abiotic stress in plants. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(5):2730.
- Li W, Zheng X, Cheng R, Zhong C, Zhao J, Liu TH, Yi T, Zhu Z, Xu J, Meksem K, et al. Soybean ZINC FINGER PROTEIN03 targets two SUPEROXIDE DISMUTASE1s and confers resistance to Phytophthora sojae. Plant Physiol. 2023;192(1):633–47.
- Zhang H, Liu Y, Wen F, Yao D, Wang L, Guo J, Ni L, Zhang A, Tan M, Jiang M. A novel rice C2H2-type zinc finger protein, ZFP36, is a key player involved in abscisic acid-induced antioxidant defence and oxidative stress tolerance in rice. J Exp Bot. 2014;65(20):5795–809.
- He F, Li HG, Wang JJ, Su Y, Wang HL, Feng CH, et al. *PeSTZ1*, a C2H2-type zinc finger transcription factor from *Populus euphratica*, enhances freezing tolerance through modulation of ROS scavenging by directly regulating *PeAPX2*. Plant Biotechnol J. 2019;17(11):2169–83.
- 18. Li Y, Sun A, Wu Q, Zou X, Chen F, Cai R, Xie H, Zhang M, Guo X. Comprehensive genomic survey, structural classification and expression analysis of C(2)

H(2)-type zinc finger factor in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L). BMC Plant Biol. 2021;21(1):380.

- Cheuk A, Ouellet F, Houde M. The barley stripe mosaic virus expression system reveals the wheat C2H2 zinc finger protein TaZFP1B as a key regulator of drought tolerance. BMC Plant Biol. 2020;20(1):144.
- Bouard W, Houde M. The C2H2 zinc finger protein TaZFP13D increases drought stress tolerance in wheat. Plant Stress. 2022;6:100119.
- Ding W, Wang Y, Fang W, Gao S, Li X, Xiao K. *TaZAT8*, a C2H2-ZFP type transcription factor gene in wheat, plays critical roles in mediating tolerance to Pi deprivation through regulating P acquisition, ROS homeostasis and root system establishment. Physiol Plant. 2016;158(3):297–311.
- 22. Zeng QD, Zhao J, Wu J, Zhan G, Han D, Kang Z. Wheat stripe rust and integration of sustainable control strategies in China. Front Agric Sci Eng. 2022;9:37.
- Singh KP, Kumari P, Rai PK. Current status of the disease-resistant gene(s)/ QTLs, and strategies for improvement in *Brassica juncea*. Front Plant Sci. 2021;12:617405.
- 24. Jones JDG, Dangl JL. The plant immune system. Nature. 2006;444:323-9.
- Wang Y, Hu Y, Gong F, Jin Y, Xia Y, He Y, Jiang Y, Zhou Q, He J, Feng L, et al. Identification and mapping of QTL for stripe rust resistance in the Chinese wheat cultivar Shumai126. Plant Dis. 2022;106(4):1278–85.
- 26. Wang N, Tang C, Fan X, He M, Gan P, Zhang S, Hu Z, Wang X, Yan T, Shu W, et al. Inactivation of a wheat protein kinase gene confers broad-spectrum resistance to rust fungi. Cell. 2022;185(16):2961–74.
- 27. He Y, Feng L, Jiang Y, Zhang L, Yan J, Zhao G, Wang J, Chen G, Wu B, Liu D, et al. Distribution and nucleotide diversity of *Yr15* in wild emmer populations and Chinese wheat germplasm. Pathogens. 2020;9(3):212.
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using realtime quantitative PCR and the 2^{-ΔΔCT} method. Methods. 2001;25(4):402–8.
- 29. Holzberg S, Brosio P, Gross C, Pogue GP. Barley stripe mosaic virus-induced gene silencing in a monocot plant. Plant J. 2002;30(3):315–27.
- Yaniv E, Raats D, Ronin Y, Korol AB, Grama A, Bariana H, Dubcovsky J, Schulman AH, Fahima T. Evaluation of marker-assisted selection for the stripe rust resistance gene Yr15, introgressed from wild emmer wheat. Mol Breed. 2015;35:43.
- Li XP, Ma XC, Wang H, Zhu Y, Liu XX, Li TT, Zheng YP, Zhao JQ, Zhang JW, Huang YY, et al. Osa-miR162a fine-tunes rice resistance to *Magnaporthe oryzae* and yield. Rice. 2020;13(1):38.
- Park CH, Chen S, Shirsekar G, Zhou B, Khang CH, Songkumarn P, Afzal AJ, Ning Y, Wang R, Bellizzi M, et al. The *Magnaporthe oryzae* effector AvrPiz-t targets the RING E3 ubiquitin ligase APIP6 to suppress pathogen-associated molecular pattern-triggered immunity in rice. Plant Cell. 2012;24(11):4748–62.
- Yoshioka H, Numata N, Nakajima K, Katou S, Kawakita K, Rowland O, et al. *Nicotiana benthamiana* gp91^{phox} homologs *NbrbohA* and *NbrbohB* participate in H₂O₂ accumulation and resistance to *Phytophthora infestans*. Plant Cell. 2003;15(3):706–18.
- Chen Z, Fei X, Sun F, Cui X. Effects of saline-alkali stress on activities and gene expression of antioxidant enzymes of transgenic Lc-CDPK rice. J Northwest Sci-Tech Univ Agric for. 2019;47(05):15–22.
- Kagale S, Rozwadowski K. EAR motif-mediated transcriptional repression in plants: an underlying mechanism for epigenetic regulation of gene expression. Epigenetics-Us. 2011;6(2):141–6.
- Chow V, Kirzinger MW, Kagale S. Lend me your EARs: a systematic review of the broad functions of EAR motif-containing transcriptional repressors in plants. Genes. 2023;14(2):270.
- Shi X, Wu Y, Dai T, Gu Y, Wang L, Qin X, Xu Y, Chen F. JcZFP8, a C2H2 zinc finger protein gene from Jatropha curcas, influences plant development in transgenic tobacco. Electron J Biotechn. 2018;34:76–82.

- Manser B, Zbinden H, Herren G, Steger J, Isaksson J, Bräunlich S, Wicker T, Keller B. Wheat zinc finger protein TaZF interacts with both the powdery mildew AvrPm2 protein and the corresponding wheat Pm2a immune receptor. Plant Commun. 2023;5:100769.
- Cheuk A, Houde M. Genome wide identification of C1-2i zinc finger proteins and their response to abiotic stress in hexaploid wheat. Mol Genet Genomics. 2016;291(2):873–90.
- Gourcilleau D, Lenne C, Armenise C, Moulia B, Julien JL, Bronner G, Leblanc-Fournier N. Phylogenetic study of plant Q-type C2H2 zinc finger proteins and expression analysis of poplar genes in response to osmotic, cold and mechanical stresses. DNA Res. 2011;18(2):77–92.
- 41. Takatsuji H. Zinc-finger proteins: the classical zinc finger emerges in contemporary plant science. Plant Mol Biol. 1999;39(6):1073–8.
- 42. Kazan K. Negative regulation of defence and stress genes by EAR-motifcontaining repressors. Trends Plant Sci. 2006;11(3):109–12.
- Ciftci-Yilmaz S, Morsy M, Song L, Coutu A, Krizek B, Lewis M, Warren D, Cushman J, Connolly E, Mittler R. The EAR-motif of the Cys2/His2-type zinc finger protein Zat7 plays a key role in the defense response of *Arabidopsis* to salinity stress. J Biol Chem. 2007;282:9260–8.
- 44. Hiratsu K, Mitsuda N, Matsui K, Ohme-Takagi M. Identification of the minimal repression domain of *SUPERMAN* shows that the DLELRL hexapeptide is both necessary and sufficient for repression of transcription in *Arabidopsis*. Biochem Bioph Res. 2004;321(1):172–8.
- 45. Chin D, Means AR. Calmodulin: a prototypical calcium sensor. Trends Cell Biol. 2000;10(8):322–8.
- Bender KW, Snedden WA. Calmodulin-related proteins step out from the shadow of their namesake. Plant Physiol. 2013;163(2):486–95.
- Wang L, Liu Z, Han S, Liu P, Sadeghnezhad E, Liu M. Growth or survival. What is the role of calmodulin-like proteins in plant? Int J Biol Macromol. 2023;242:124733.
- Zeng H, Xu L, Singh A, Wang H, Du L, Poovaiah BW. Involvement of calmodulin and calmodulin-like proteins in plant responses to abiotic stresses. Front Plant Sci. 2015;6:600.
- 49. Cheval C, Aldon D, Galaud JP, Ranty B. Calcium/calmodulin-mediated regulation of plant immunity. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2013;1833(7):1766–71.
- Ma W, Smigel A, Tsai YC, Braam J, Berkowitz GA. Innate immunity signaling: cytosolic Ca²⁺ elevation is linked to downstream nitric oxide generation through the action of calmodulin or a calmodulin-like protein. Plant Physiol. 2008;148(2):818–28.
- Takabatake R, Karita E, Seo S, Mitsuhara I, Kuchitsu K, Ohashi Y. Pathogeninduced calmodulin isoforms in basal resistance against bacterial and fungal pathogens in tobacco. Plant Cell Physiol. 2007;48(3):414–23.
- 52. Galon Y, Finkler A, Fromm H. Calcium-regulated transcription in plants. Mol Plant. 2010;3(4):653–69.
- 53. Fromm H, Finkler A. Repression and de-repression of gene expression in the plant immune response: the complexity of modulation by Ca²⁺ and calmodulin. Mol Plant. 2015;8(5):671–3.
- Zhang L, Du L, Shen C, Yang Y, Poovaiah BW. Regulation of plant immunity through ubiquitin-mediated modulation of Ca²⁺–calmodulin–AtSR1/ CAMTA3 signaling. Plant J. 2014;78(2):269–81.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.