Communication

Angewandte International Edition www.angewandte.org

Carbonates Hot Paper

How to cite: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2024, 63, e202409822 doi.org/10.1002/anie.202409822

Synthesis and Characterization of Lithium Pyrocarbonate (Li₂[C₂O₅]) and Lithium Hydrogen Pyrocarbonate (Li[HC₂O₅])

Dominik Spahr,* Lkhamsuren Bayarjargal, Maxim Bykov, Lukas Brüning, Pascal L. Jurzick, Victor Milman, Nico Giordano, Mohamed Mezouar, and Björn Winkler

Abstract: The anhydrous pyrocarbonate and the first hydrogen pyrocarbonate $\text{Li}[\text{HC}_2\text{O}_5]$ have been synthesized in a laser-heated diamond anvil cell at moderate pressures (≈ 25 GPa). The structures of the two compounds have been obtained from single crystal X-ray diffraction data. Raman spectroscopy and DFT calculations have been employed to further characterize their structure-property relations. The present results significantly enlarge the group of inorganic pyrocarbonates by the discovery of the hydrogenated pyrocarbonate anion $\text{Li}[\text{HC}_2\text{O}_5]^-$. In the structure of $\text{Li}[\text{HC}_2\text{O}_5]$ there is a symmetric O–H–O arrangement at high pressures, which converts to a conventional O–H···O hydrogen bond upon pressure release.

Carbonates are studied to address numerous questions in science and technology, as they are the major reservoir of carbon in the biosphere, hydrosphere, in soils and in the Earth's crust.^[1,2] They are important constituents in a large variety of consumer products, and play a fundamental role in technological processes.^[3,4] For example, the mineral

[*]	D. Spahr, L. Bayarjargal, B. Winkler Goethe University Frankfurt, Institute of Geosciences, Altenhöfer- allee 1, 60438 Frankfurt, Germany E-mail: d.spahr@kristall.uni-frankfurt.de
	M. Bykov, L. Brüning Goethe University Frankfurt, Institute of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry, Max-von-Laue-Straße 7, 60438 Frankfurt, Germany
	P. L. Jurzick University of Cologne, Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, Greinstraße 6, 50939 Cologne, Germany
	V. Milman Dassault Systèmes BIOVIA, 22 Cambridge Science Park, Cambridge CB4 0FJ, United Kingdom
	N. Giordano Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Notkestrasse 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany
	M. Mezouar European Synchrotron Radiation Facility ESRF, 71 avenue des Martyrs, CS40220, 38043 Grenoble Cedex 9, France
ſ	© 2024 The Authors. Angewandte Chemie International Edition published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

zabuyelite $(Li_2[CO_3])$ is an important intermediate product in the lithium extraction process from dried salt lakes.^[5,6]

In order to be able to establish comprehensive and predictive models for structure-property relations of carbonates, it is necessary to understand their structures and stability fields as a function of pressure, temperature and composition by carrying out synthesis experiments. Conversely, predicted structural models can be benchmarked by such synthesis studies.

"Conventional" carbonates, such as $Li_2[CO_3]$ or the geologically much more relevant $Ca[CO_3]$ are characterized by the presence of nearly planar trigonal carbonate anions $([CO_3]^{2^-})$, where the interatomic bonding involves C- sp^2 hybrid orbitals between the central carbon atom and the three surrounding oxygen atoms.^[7-9] These carbonates are the anhydrous salts of the carbonic acid (H₂[CO₃]), and the isolated $[CO_3]^{2^-}$ -groups are not connected to each other.

Recently, the synthesis of $Sr[C_2O_5]$ and isostructural $Pb[C_2O_5]$ established inorganic anhydrous pyrocarbonate salts as a new family of carbonates.^[10-12] Inorganic anhydrous pyrocarbonates can be considered to be the salts of the hypothetical pyrocarbonic acid $(H_2[C_2O_5])$. In these pyrocarbonates two [CO₃]²⁻-groups are connected by sharing one oxygen atom, resulting in the formation of a $[C_2O_5]^{2-}$ -anion. In the last two years, several inorganic anhydrous pyrocarbonates have been synthesized at moderately high pressures $(\approx 20-40 \text{ GPa})$, and pyrocarbonates with mono-, di-, and trivalent metal cations have been obtained.^[10,11,13-15] The $[C_2O_5]^{2-}$ -anion is rather flexible due to the rotational degree of freedom around the bridging oxygen atom and hence can adapt itself to a broad range of structural environments. It therefore now seems plausible that inorganic pyrocarbonates may be obtained for all established "conventional" carbonates, and in fact may be the predominant carbonate phases at moderate pressures and high CO₂-fugacities.

Partial deprotonation of $H_2[CO_3]$ leads to an intermediate hydrogenated anion containing one hydrogen atom. The corresponding salts are called hydrogencarbonates (colloquially known as bicarbonates). In these carbonates one of the oxygen atoms of the $[CO_3]^{2-}$ -group is connected to a hydrogen atom forming a $[HCO_3]^{-}$ -group. Crystal structures of hydrogencarbonates such as Na $[HCO_3]$, K $[HCO_3]$ or Cs $[HCO_3]$ are well established,^[16-18] but Li $[HCO_3]$ has not yet been demonstrated to exist in solid form,^[19] i.e. there is no report of a single crystal diffraction study of Li $[HCO_3]$. There is no obvious reason why hydrogenated pyrocarbonate anions ($[HC_2O_3]^{-}$) should not exist in analogy to conventional hydrogencarbonates, but they have neither been predicted nor synthesized up to now.

In the present study we investigated the reaction of $Li_2[CO_3]$ with CO₂ between 10 GPa and 25 GPa and at elevated temperatures in order to obtain an inorganic lithium pyrocarbonate salt. The high-pressure experiments were carried out in laser-heated diamond anvil cells (LH-DACs). Li₂[CO₃] powder was compacted between a diamond and a glass plate. In a second step, the powder compact was placed on the culet of the lower diamond of the DAC and a ruby chip for pressure determination was added. Afterward, the DAC was cooled down to $\approx 100 \text{ K}$ for the cryogenic loading. CO2-I (dry ice) was directly condensed into the gasket hole from a CO₂ gas jet until the gasket hole and the powder compact were completely covered. In the last step, the DAC was tightly closed and compressed to the target pressure without intermediate heating. While we use argon as a purge gas, sometimes the co-condensation of H2O-ice cannot be completely prevented (see SI).

During cold compression CO₂-I ($Pa\overline{3}$) undergoes a pressure-induced phase transition to CO₂-III (*Cmca*) in a broad (\approx 5 GPa) pressure range around \approx 12 GPa.^[20,21] The experimental Raman spectrum of CO₂-III at 25(2) GPa is accurately reproduced by the Raman spectrum obtained from the DFT-based calculations (Figure 1 a). Heating of CO₂ at relatively low pressures causes the appearance of high-temperature polymorphs such as phase II or IV (see summary in Ref. [22]).^[23,24] At ambient conditions Li₂[CO₃] crystallizes in space group C2/c.^[25] Upon compression a



Figure 1. (a) Raman spectra for CO₂-III at 25(2) GPa. (b) Raman spectra for the high-pressure phase Li₂[CO₃]-*P*6₃/*mcm* at 25(2) GPa. (c) Raman spectra of Li₂[C₂O₅] after the synthesis at elevated pressures and temperatures. (d) Raman spectra of Li[HC₂O₅] after the synthesis. Experimental Raman spectra are shown in blue and DFT-based calculations (rescaled by 2%) are shown in black. Peaks of CO₂-III are marked by an asterisk (*), of Li₂[CO₃] by a circle (\circ) and of CO₂-IV by a cross (+). The arrow indicates the position of the strongest Raman mode of Li[HC₂O₅].

phase transition from γ -Li₂[CO₃] to a Li₂[CO₃]-*P*6₃/mcm phase was found experimentally at ≈ 10 GPa and the hexagonal phase was predicted to be stable at >8 GPa by DFT-based calculations.^[26,27] The experimentally obtained Raman spectrum of Li₂[CO₃] at 25 GPa prior to the laser heating is in agreement with the Raman spectrum from our DFT-based calculations in the high-pressure space group *P*6₃/mcm (Figure 1 b). In summary, the contributions from all phases in the DAC to the experimental Raman spectra before the laser-heating are well understood.

The Li₂[CO₃]+CO₂ mixture was laser-heated from both sides at pressures between 10 GPa and 25 GPa in several experiments. In this pressure range the direct and indirect heating of CO₂-III results in a phase transformation into CO₂-IV, causing the appearance of strong new Raman modes at low wavenumbers (< 400 cm⁻¹).^[24] We found that heating Li₂[CO₃] in the CO₂ atmosphere at pressures \geq 20 GPa causes the appearance of new Raman modes in the region between 700 cm⁻¹ and 1100 cm⁻¹ at ambient temperatures, which are characteristic for vibrations of [C₂O₅]^{2–}-groups.^[10,11,13–15]

Heating the sample for 30 minutes to a maximum temperature of $\approx 1500(200)$ K at 25(2) GPa (Figure 2 a) resulted in an ambient temperature Raman spectrum of the unknown phase with very little contamination by other



Figure 2. (a) The Li₂[CO₃] + CO₂ mixture after laser heating at 25(2) GPa up to temperatures of $\approx 1500(200)$ K. (b) Raman map for Li[HC₂O₅] as an overlay over the photograph of the gasket hole. Raman maps of: (c) Li₂[C₂O₅], (d) Li[HC₂O₅], (e) CO₂-IV and (f) residual CO₂-III.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2024, 63, e202409822 (2 of 5)

© 2024 The Authors. Angewandte Chemie International Edition published by Wiley-VCH GmbH

phases (Figure 1 c). When mapping the intensities of the Raman modes of the unknown phase across the gasket hole we found that a second new and unknown phase is present (Figure 2 b–d). The second phase also shows characteristic vibrations for $[C_2O_5]^{2-}$ -groups (Figure 1 d). In addition CO₂-IV is present in the heated areas (Figure 2e), while CO₂-III (Figure 2 f) only occurs at the borders of the gasket hole. The Raman maps allowed us to determine those locations in the gasket hole with the highest concentrations of the two new phases. These regions (Figure 2 b-d) were then chosen for subsequent single crystal X-ray diffraction experiments using a µm-sized X-ray beam (see SI).

We determined the crystal structure of the first unknown phase and found that it is the inorganic pyrocarbonate salt Li₂[C₂O₅] (Figure 3 a). Li₂[C₂O₅] crystallizes at 25(2) GPa in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$ with Z = 4 and a = 6.085(1) Å, b = 5.313(3) Å, c = 7.996(3) Å and $\beta = 100.85(3)^{\circ}$ (V = 253.9(2) Å³). The crystal structure is characterized by the presence of isolated $[C_2O_5]^{2-}$ -groups



Figure 3. (a) Monoclinic structure (*P*2₁/*c*, *Z* = 4) of lithium pyrocarbonate (Li₂[C₂O₅]). (b) Monoclinic structure (*C*2/*c*, *Z* = 4) of lithium hydrogen pyrocarbonate (Li[HC₂O₅]). (c) Geometry of the $[C_2O_5]^{2-}$ -groups in Li₂[C₂O₅]. (d) Geometry of the $[HC_2O_5]^{-}$ -groups in Li₂[HC₂O₅]. Structural models were obtained by single crystal diffraction at 25(2) GPa. The experimental uncertainties are: ≈ 0.003 Å (O–C bonds), ≈ 0.01 Å (O–H bond) and $\approx 0.3^{\circ}$ (angles).

without any residues attached to the oxygen atoms. The agreement between the experimental structure refinement and the results from our DFT-based full geometry optimizations is very good (see Table S1). In addition, the DFT-calculated Raman spectrum nicely reproduces the experimental data (Figure 1 c). Hence, the structure of $\text{Li}_2[\text{C}_2\text{O}_5]$ at 25(2) GPa is now unambiguously established, showing that for yet another "conventional" carbonate a corresponding pyrocarbonate can be obtained. During the review of the present manuscript, Sagatova *et al.*^[28] published a crystal structure prediction of $\text{Li}_2[\text{C}_2\text{O}_5]$. The predicted structure does not agree with the structure found experimentally by us, as it has a different space group $(P\overline{1})$ instead of $P2_1/c$, and the topology is different.

The crystal structure of the second unknown phase was also solved and refined from single crystal X-ray diffraction data. We found that this phase is a hydrogen pyrocarbonate, Li[HC₂O₅] (Figure 3 b). Li[HC₂O₅] crystallizes at 25(2) GPa in the monoclinic space group C2/c with Z=4 and a = 12.085(9) Å, b = 4.373(1) Å, c = 5.231(7) Å and $\beta = 117.5(1)^{\circ}$ (V = 245.3(4) Å³). Due to the presence of only light atoms the hydrogen atom could easily be recognized in the difference Fourier map. A refinement without a hydrogen atom results in a strong residual electron density between the two oxygen atoms (Figure 4 a). After introducing the hydrogen atom of the [HC₂O₅]⁻-group, the residual electron density between the two oxygen atoms vanishes (Figure 4 b) and the *R*-value decreases by ≈ 0.5 %. The experimental error in the hydrogen position is larger than for the other atoms. However, it is generally accepted that DFT model calculations can reliably predict hydrogen positions.^[29] The experimental structural model for Li-



Figure 4. Difference Fourier map arround the $[HC_2O_5]^-$ -group in Li- $[HC_2O_5]$ at 25(2) GPa: (a) refinement without a hydrogen and (b) with a hydrogen atom placed between the oxygen atoms.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2024, 63, e202409822 (3 of 5)

Angewandte International Edition Chemie

 $[HC_2O_5]$ is fully supported by the DFT-based calculations, and so it is now well established that in this hydrogen pyrocarbonate a symmetric hydrogen bonding occurs within the $[HC_2O_5]^-$ -group at 25(2) GPa (see Table S2). The planar $[HC_2O_5]^-$ -group exhibits C_2 point symmetry because it lies on a special position.

Figures 3 (c) & (d) show the geometry of the $[C_2O_5]^{2-}$ -groups in $Li_2[C_2O_5]$ in comparison to the geometry of the $[HC_2O_5]^{-}$ -groups in $Li[HC_2O_5]$. The bond lengths and bond angles, other than the torsion between the two $[CO_3]^{2-}$ -groups in the $[C_2O_5]^{2-}$ -groups, are very similar between the pyro-groups with and without the hydrogen. Whether or not the hydrogen bond causes the $[HC_2O_5]^{-}$ -groups also occur in anhydrous pyrocarbonates.^[14] The geometries of the pyrocarbonate groups in $Li_2[C_2O_5]^{2-}$ -groups in $Li_2[C_2O_5]^{2-}$ -groups in other pyrocarbonates.^[10,11,13-15]

Experimentally it is found that at 25(2) GPa a symmetric hydrogen bond is present in the $[HC_2O_5]^-$ -group within the experimental uncertainty (Figure 3 d). This is supported by our DFT-based calculations, which show that at pressures ≥ 10 GPa the hydrogen bond is symmetric (Figure 5 a). The O–H–O distances in the $[HC_2O_5]^-$ -group are similar to those in the symmetrized hydrogen bond in δ -AlOOH (≈ 1.2 Å) at 18 GPa.^[30] Symmetric hydrogen bonds have also been observed in the high-pressure phase of H₂O ice-X at pressures ≥ 60 GPa.^[31]



Figure 5. (a) Pressure dependent O–H and O···H bond lengths in the $[HC_2O_5]^-$ group of $Li[HC_2O_5]$. Electron localization function from DFT calculations of the $[HC_2O_5]^-$ group at (b) 2 GPa and (c) 25 GPa, showing the transition from a asymmetric double-well hydrogen bond to a single-well symmetric hydrogen bond. The black line connecting the data points is a guide to the eye.

The DFT calculations for Li[HC₂O₅] were carried out in space group Cc. In this setting, there are no symmetry constraints on the hydrogen position. This allows us to investigate the behavior during pressure release. We found that at pressures < 10 GPa the O–H–O system becomes a double-well hydrogen bond and a clear distinction between the acceptor and the donor oxygen atom (O-H-O) can be made (Figure 5). The barrier between the double-wells is very small (0.01 eV per unit cell at 8 GPa). Figures 5 (b) & (c) show the electron localization function^[32] from DFT calculations of the [HC₂O₅]⁻-group at 2 GPa and at 25 GPa, demonstrating the symmetric O-H-O bonding at elevated pressures. At 2 GPa the covalent O-H bond has a Mulliken bond population of $0.49 \text{ e}^{-}/\text{Å}^{3}$, while the O---H bond has a population of $0.24 \text{ e}^{-1}/\text{Å}^3$. In contrast the symmetric O–H–O bonds at 25 GPa both have Mulliken bond populations of $0.41 \text{ e}^{-}/\text{Å}^{3}$.

We used the unit cell volumes obtained by the DFT calculations to determine the compression mechanism and the bulk moduli of the hydrous lithium pyrocarbonate and anhydrous lithium pyrocarbonate phases. For Li[HC₂O₅] there is no noticeable dependence of the volume on the detailed configuration of the O-H-O group, i.e. the pressure-induced symmetrization of the hydrogen bond does not change the compressibility (Figure S3). For the hydrogen pyrocarbonate, we obtain a bulk modulus of $K_0 = 25.7(4)$ GPa with $K_p = 5.9(1)$. The calculations show that for this phase, a van der Waals correction to the standard DFT-GGA-PBE approach is required, as otherwise the pressure dependence of the unit cell volumes at low pressures cannot be described with a reasonable equation of state (EoS) (Figure S3). When a vdW-correction is employed, the whole data set can be well represented by a single EoS-fit and a fit between 0-50 GPa or 10-50 GPa will result in the same values for K_0 and K_p (Table S3). For anhydrous Li₂[C₂O₅], calculations at lower pressures imply that it would undergo a spontaneous deformation and that the high-pressure phase cannot be recovered (Figure S4-S6). Hence, we used the p, V data ≥ 10 GPa for the determination of the bulk modulus, which can be well represented by a single EoS-fit. We obtained a bulk modulus of $K_0 = 41(2)$ GPa with $K_p = 5.9(1)$ for Li₂[C₂O₅] from the EoS between 10-50 GPa, which is significantly larger than for $Li[HC_2O_5]$.

In conclusion, we enlarged the family of carbonates by the synthesis of the first hydrogen pyrocarbonate $\text{Li}[\text{HC}_2\text{O}_3]$ and anhydrous $\text{Li}_2[\text{C}_2\text{O}_5]$. The present study therefore has not only strengthened the hypothesis, that pyrocarbonateanalogs of all "conventional" carbonates can be obtained, but also demonstrated that hydrogen pyrocarbonates, such as $\text{Li}[\text{HC}_2\text{O}_5]$ can be obtained, even if no "conventional" hydrogencarbonate analog, such as $\text{Li}[\text{HCO}_3]$, has been found yet. The first synthesis of a hydrogen pyrocarbonate yielded a structure with a symmetric O–H–O arrangement within the pyrocarbonate group. It is now of interest to understand, if this is typical or if hydrogen bonds between pyrocarbonate groups can be formed.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2024, 63, e202409822 (4 of 5)

© 2024 The Authors. Angewandte Chemie International Edition published by Wiley-VCH GmbH





Supporting Information

The supplementary material contains the experimental and computational details of the experiments. Furthermore, additional information to the results of the single crystal structure solution and DFT-based calculations are shown. Experimental and DFT-calculated structural data has been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC).^[33]

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge funding from the DFG (WI1232, BA4020 and FOR2125/CarboPaT). MB acknowledges the support of the DFG Emmy-Noether Program (project BY112/2-1). BW is grateful for support by the BIOVIA Science Ambassador program. We acknowledge the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF) for provision of synchrotron radiation facilities. Parts of this research were carried out at beamline ID27. We acknowledge DESY (Hamburg, Germany), a member of the Helmholtz Association HGF, for the provision of experimental facilities. Parts of this research were carried out at PETRA III, beamline P02.2. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Conflict of Interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Data Availability Statement

The supplementary material contains the experimental and computational details together with the crystallographic data associated with this article. Raw experimental data are available on request from the authors.

Keywords: symmetric hydrogen bond • pyrocarbonate • hydrogen pyrocarbonate • single crystal diffractio • high-pressure synthesis

- N. R. McKenzie, B. K. Horton, S. E. Loomis, D. F. Stockli, N. J. Planavsky, C.-T. A. Lee, *Science* 2016, 352, 444.
- [2] M. M. Hirschmann, EPSL 2018, 502, 262.
- [3] F. R. Siegel, Properties and Uses of the Carbonates, in G. V. Chilingar, H. J. Hissell, R. W. Fairbridge (Editors), *Carbonate Rocks, volume 9H of Developments in Sedimentology, Physical and Chemical Aspects*, pages 343–393, Elsevier, Amsterdam 1967.
- [4] C. M. Woodall, N. McQueen, H. Pilorge, J. Wilcox, Greenhouse Gas. Sci. Technol. 2019, 9, 1096.
- [5] R. J. W. Idemoto, Y. and, N. Koura, S. Kohara, C. K. Loong, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 1998, 59, 363.

- [6] T. Ding, M. Zheng, Y. Peng, S. Lin, X. Zhang, M. Li, Geosci. Front. 2023, 14, 15520.
- [7] R. J. Reeder, *Carbonates: Mineralogy and Chemistry*, De Gruyter, Herlin, Hoston **1983**.
- [8] B. Winkler, J. Zemann, V. Milman, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B 2000, 56, 648.
- [9] L. Bayarjargal, C.-J. Fruhner, N. Schrodt, B. Winkler, *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* 2018, 281, 31.
- [10] D. Spahr, J. König, L. Bayarjargal, V. Milman, A. Perlov, H.-P. Liermann, B. Winkler, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2022, 144, 2899.
- [11] D. Spahr, J. König, L. Bayarjargal, R. Luchitskaia, V. Milman, A. Perlov, H.-P. Liermann, B. Winkler, *Inorg. Chem.* 2022, 61, 9855.
- [12] M. V. Banaev, N. E. Sagatov, D. N. Sagatova, P. N. Gavryushkin, *ChemistrySelect* 2022, 7, e20220194.
- [13] D. Spahr, L. Bayarjargal, E. Haussühl, R. Luchitskaia, A. Friedrich, V. Milman, E. Fedotenko, B. Winkler, *Chem. Commun.* 2023, 59, 11951.
- [14] L. Bayarjargal, D. Spahr, V. Milman, J. Marquardt, N. Giordano, B. Winkler, *Inorg. Chem.* 2023, 62, 13910.
- [15] D. Spahr, L. Bayarjargal, M. Bykov, L. Brüning, T. H. Reuter, V. Milman, H.-P. Liermann, B. Winkler, *Dalton Trans.* 2024, 53, 40.
- [16] R. L. Sass, R. F. Scheuerman, Acta Crystallogr. 1962, 15, 77.
- [17] J. O. Thomas, R. Tellgren, l. Olovsson, Acta Crystallogr. 1974, B30, 1155.
- [18] J. A. Kaduk, Z. Kristallogr. 1993, 205, 319.
- [19] Y. Sun, X. Song, J. Wang, J. Yu, Cryst. Res. Technol. 2011, 46, 173.
- [20] K. Aoki, H. Yamawaki, M. Sakashita, Y. Gotoh, K. Takemura, Science 1994, 263, 356.
- [21] H. Olijnyk, A. P. Jephcoat, Phys. Rev. B 1998, 57, 879.
- [22] D. Scelta, K. F. Dziubek, M. Ende, R. Miletich, M. Mezouar, G. Garbarino, R. Hini, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2021**, *126*, 065701.
- [23] C. S. Yoo, H. Kohlmann, H. Cynn, M. F. Nicol, V. lota, T. LeBihan, *Phys. Rev. B* 2002, 65, 104103.
- [24] F. Datchi, B. Mallick, A. Salamat, S. Ninet, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2012, 108, 125701.
- [25] H. Effenberger, J. Zemann, Z. Kristallogr. 1979, 150, 133.
- [26] A. Grzechnik, P. Houvier, L. Farina, J. Solid State Chem. 2003, 173, 13.
- [27] P. N. Gavryushkin, A. Behtenova, Z. I Popov, V. V. Bakakin, A. Y. Likhacheva, K. D. Litasov, A. Gavryushkin, *Cryst. Growth Des.* 2016, *16*, 5612.
- [28] D. N. Sagatova, N. E. Sagatov, P. N. Gavryushkin, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* 2024, 167, 112808.
- [29] V. Milman, B. Winkler, Z. Kristallogr. 2001, 216, 99.
- [30] A. Sano-Furukawa, T. Hattori, K. Komatsu, H. Kagi, T. Nagai, J. J. Molaison, A. M. dos Santos, C. A. Tulk, *Sci. Rep.* 2018, *8*, 15520.
- [31] A. F. Goncharov, V. V. Struzhkin, H.-k. Mao, R. J. Hemley, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1999**, 83, 1998.
- [32] A. Savin, R. Nesper, S. Wengert, T. E. Fässler, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 1808.
- [33] Deposition Numbers 2333047 (for Li₂[C₂O₅] single crystal), 2333048 (for Li₂[C₂O₅] DFT), 2333049 (for Li[HC₂O₅] single crystal) and 2333050 (for Li[HC₂O₅] DFT) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures.

Manuscript received: May 24, 2024 Version of record online: November 6, 2024

© 2024 The Authors. Angewandte Chemie International Edition published by Wiley-VCH GmbH