

# Defluorinative Multicomponent Cascade Reaction of Trifluoromethylarenes via Photoexcited Palladium Catalysis

Zhibin Li, Lei Bao, Kaihang Wei, Beibei Zhan, Ping Lu, and Xiaheng Zhang\*

Cite This: JACS Au 2024, 4, 4223–4233



ACCESS	III Metrics & More	🗐 Article	e Recommenc	lations	51	Supporting Information
<b>ABSTRACT:</b> The motifs has prover therapeutic profile	e incorporation of aromatic n to be a fruitful strategy fo s of modern pharmaceutical c	difluoromethyl r enhancing the candidates. While		HN		

the approximate the defluor of the solution of the transmitted the defluor of the solution of



present a photocatalytic manifold that combines commercially available trifluoromethylarenes, feedstock dienes, and various nucleophiles to achieve a modular defluorinative MCR. This method features mild reaction conditions and a broad substrate scope with excellent functional group compatibility. Furthermore, this protocol enables a previously unreported process of defluorinative editing for the resulting MCR aromatic difluoromethyl adducts. Preliminary mechanistic studies support the proposed photoexcited palladium catalytic cycle.

KEYWORDS: defluorination, photocatalysis, multicomponent reaction, palladium-catalyzed, difluoromethyl motifs

# INTRODUCTION

Organofluorine compounds are an important class of molecules found extensively across natural products, pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, and advanced materials.<sup>1-8</sup> In particular, aromatic difluoromethyls (ArCF<sub>2</sub>), which are prevalent in drug molecules and serve as privileged bioisosteres of benzoyl groups,<sup>9–17</sup> have garnered increasing attention due to their high lipophilicity, metabolic stability and desirable electronic properties (Figure 1a).<sup>18</sup> Consequently, there is a growing demand for the development of direct methodologies for the efficient and selective integration of ArCF<sub>2</sub> moieties into various organic frameworks. Over the past few decades, considerable efforts have been devoted to construct this valuable motif through deoxyfluorination, <sup>19,20</sup> site-selective C–H fluorination<sup>21-23</sup> and fluoroalkylation. <sup>24–28</sup> In contrast, the selective functionalization of a single C-F bond in commercially available trifluoromethylated arenes (ArCF<sub>3</sub>) would generate significant opportunities to readily access the ArCF<sub>2</sub> functionality in modern drug discovery.

Conventional methods for activating C–F bond for this class of substrate typically involve electrochemical reduction,<sup>29,30</sup> low-valent metals,<sup>31-33</sup> or frustrated Lewis pairs.<sup>34,35</sup> However, the reactivity and selectivity of these processes usually suffer from the high energy of  $C(sp^3)$ –F cleavage (~481 kJ/mol for PhCF<sub>3</sub>)<sup>36</sup> and the tendency toward exhaustive defluorination.<sup>37</sup> Recently, photoredox catalysis has emerged as a potent platform for the C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–F cleavage of ArCF<sub>3</sub>. Notable works from

research groups led by König,<sup>38</sup> Jui,<sup>39,40</sup> Gouverneur,<sup>41</sup> Molander,<sup>42,43</sup> Glorius,<sup>44</sup> Zhang,<sup>45</sup> and others<sup>46–50</sup> have demonstrated the feasibility of single-electron reduction of the  $C(sp^3)$ -F bond. Nevertheless, these pioneering advancements have primarily focused on two-component coupling, highlighting the inherent limitation of direct addition between ArCF<sub>2</sub> radicals and acceptors (H,  $CO_2$ , alkene, *etc.*) (Figure 1b, left). Multicomponent cascade reactions, known for their sustainable nature in rapidly synthesizing complex structures, drugs, natural products, and materials in a single step, play an essential role in the synthetic toolkit of organic chemists.<sup>51,52</sup> Hence, it is extremely desirable to develop innovative MCRs involving the challenging selective/cascade defluorination of ArCF<sub>3</sub>, thereby inspiring rational reaction design (Figure 1b, right).<sup>53,54</sup> 1,3-Butadienes, easily accessible feedstocks and valuable building blocks, present an attractive platform for efficient difunctionalization to access complex and high-value molecules.55-57 Despite the demonstrated utility, a threecomponent coupling of N-/C-based nucleophiles, butadiene, and ArCF<sub>3</sub> for the 1,4-difunctioalization of butadiene, which

Received:June 22, 2024Revised:October 15, 2024Accepted:October 16, 2024Published:November 6, 2024







**Figure 1.** Development and construction of (het)aromatic difluoromethyl-contained motifs. **a**, Representative drug molecule containing (het)aromatic difluoromethyl group. **b**, Defluorinative functionalization of trifluoromethylarenes. **c**, Defluorinative multicomponent cascade reaction (DF-MCR) of trifluoromethylarenes.

# Table 1. Optimization of Reaction Conditions<sup>a</sup>



Entry	Variation of standard condition	Yield <sup>b</sup>
1	None	85%(E/Z = 1:2)
2	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> instead of LiOH	29%
3	TMG instead of LiOH	72%
4	$Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$ instead of $Pd(PPh_3)_4$	79%
5	$Pd_2(dba)_3$ instead of $Pd(PPh_3)_4$	19%
6	MeCN instead of THF	43%
7	no XantPhos	28%
8	no ( <i>o</i> -OMe)Ph <sub>2</sub> PPh	72%
9	no $Pd(PPh_3)_4$	N.D.
10	in the dark	N.D.
11	no LiOH	trace
12	0.3 mmol scale	$91\%^{c}(E/Z = 1:2)$

"Reaction conditions: 1 (0.30 mmol), 2 (0.15 mmol), 3 (0.45 mmol), Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (1.5 mol%), XantPhos (8 mol%),  $[(o-OMe)Ph]_2PPh$  (8 mol%), LiOH (0.15 mmol), THF (0.1 M),  $\lambda_{max}$  = 440 nm Kessil (40 W), N<sub>2</sub>, RT – 40 °C, 6 h. <sup>b</sup>GC yield with 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene as internal standard. <sup>c</sup>12 h, isolated yield. N.D., not detected.





<sup>*a*</sup>Reaction conditions:(Het)ArCF<sub>3</sub> (0.9 mmol), **1** (0.6 mmol), **2** (0.3 mmol), Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (1.5 mol%), XantPhos (8 mol%),  $[(o-OMe)Ph]_2PPh$  (8 mol%), LiOH (0.3 mmol), THF (0.1 M),  $\lambda_{max}$  = 440 nm Kessil (40 W), N<sub>2</sub>, RT – 40°C, 12 h. Hydrogenation yield is shown in square brackets. See Supporting Information for hydrogenation procedures. <sup>*b*</sup>W/o [(o-OMe)Ph]\_2PPh, with Mg(OTf)<sub>2</sub> (20 mol%), 12–24 h. <sup>*c*</sup>TMG (0.3 mmol) instead of LiOH as base.

could provide a straightforward and practical strategy for the rapid synthesis of intriguing  $ArCF_2$ -C4 linker-nucleophile molecules, has remained elusive thus far.<sup>58–61</sup>

Drawing inspiration from the recent achievements in visible light-induced palladium catalysis,  $^{62-69}$  we envisioned that the aforementioned transformation could be realized through this paradigm with commercially available materials, eliminating the need for an exogeneous photosensitizer. Herein, we report a modular, practical, and general photoexcited palladium-catalyzed 1,4-difunctionalization of butadiene with ArCF<sub>3</sub> and *N*-/C-based nucleophiles (Figure 1c, > 100 examples, up to 98% yield). The mild reaction conditions tolerate a wide range of functional groups and bioactive molecules that are typically incompatible with traditional palladium catalysis, thereby creating new opportunities to expedite drug discovery and the exploration of advanced materials. Furthermore, the adaptability and versatility of this approach are highlighted through a subsequent defluorinative coupling of the resultant products,

which would be challenging to achieve using the currently established methods.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We began our investigations by selecting 1,3-butadiene 1, 1phenylpiperazine 2 and 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)-1,1'-biphenyl 3 as the model substrates, and we were delighted to find that the desired product 112 was obtained in 85% yield under the standard condition, albeit as a mixture of E/Z isomers (Table 1, entry 1). It is intriguing that only trace amounts of overdefluorinated side products were detected by LC-MS analysis, likely attributed to the overwhelming loading of trifluoromethylarenes, which surpasses the generation of difluoromethylarene products. Other bases, such as  $K_2CO_3$ , and TMG, proved to be less effective, resulting in lower yields (entries 2 and 3). Furthermore, other palladium species (1.5 mol%) led to inferior results (entries 4 and 5, see Supporting Information for details). Switching the solvent to MeCN resulted in a significant decrease in the yield of the DF-MCR product 112, likely due to the poor

# Scheme 2. Scope of Nucleophiles<sup>abc</sup>



"Reaction conditions: **3** (0.9 mmol), **1** (0.6 mmol), amine (0.3 mmol), Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (1.5 mol%), XantPhos (8 mol%),  $[(o-OMe)Ph]_2PPh$  (8 mol%), LiOH (0.3–0.9 mmol, when amine hydrochloride was used, 1.0 equiv. of hydrochloride consumed additional 1.0 equiv. of LiOH), THF (0.1 M),  $\lambda_{max} = 440$  nm Kessil (40 W), N<sub>2</sub>, RT-40 °C, 12 h. Hydrogenation yield is shown in square brackets. See Supporting Information for hydrogenation procedures. <sup>b</sup>w/o [(o-OMe)Ph]<sub>2</sub>PPh, with Mg(OTf)<sub>2</sub> (20 mol%). <sup>c</sup>**11** (0.9 mmol), **1** (0.6 mmol), 1,3-dicarbonyl compound (0.3 mmol), Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (1.5 mol%), XantPhos (8 mol%), [(o-OMe)Ph]<sub>2</sub>PPh (8 mol%), LiOH (0.3 mmol), THF (0.1 M),  $\lambda_{max} = 440$  nm Kessil (40 W), N<sub>2</sub>, RT-40 °C, 12 h. Hydrogenation procedures. See Supporting Information for hydrogenation procedures. The shown in square brackets. See Supporting Information for hydrogenation yield is shown in square brackets. See Supporting Information for hydrogenation yield is shown in square brackets. See Supporting Information for hydrogenation yield is shown in square brackets. See Supporting Information for hydrogenation yield is shown in square brackets. See Supporting Information for hydrogenation procedures.

solubility for the catalyst and base (43% yield) (entry 6, see Supporting Information for details). The inclusion of two types

of phosphine ligands proved to be crucial for enhancing the reaction efficiency. A yield of only 28% was observed in the



#### Scheme 3. Late-stage Modification of Natural Products and Drug Derivatives<sup>a</sup>

"Reaction conditions: ArCF<sub>3</sub> (0.9 mmol), 1,3-butadiene (0.6 mmol), amine (0.3 mmol), Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (1.5 mol%), XantPhos (8 mol%), [(o-OMe)Ph]<sub>2</sub>PPh (8 mol%), LiOH (0.3–0.9 mmol, when amine hydrochloride was used, 1.0 equiv. of hydrochloride consumed additional 1.0 equiv. LiOH), THF (0.1 M),  $\lambda_{max}$  = 440 nm Kessil (40 W), N<sub>2</sub>, RT – 40°C, 12 h. Hydrogenation yield is shown in square brackets. See Supporting Information for hydrogenation procedures.

absence of XantPhos, while a slightly reduced yield of 72% was obtained without the assistance of  $(o-OMe)Ph_2PPh$  (entries 7 and 8). Finally, control experiments showed that the presence of the palladium catalyst, light, and LiOH was essential for achieving useful efficiencies of this transformation (entries 9–11). Notably, a 91% isolated yield was achieved when the reaction was carried out on a 0.3 mmol scale (entry 12).

With the optimized conditions in hand, we first evaluated the generality of the protocol with respect to trifluoromethylarenes. To simplify purification, the products were isolated as the corresponding hydrogenated DF-MCR adducts after reduction with  $Pd(OH)_2/C$ . As shown in Scheme 1, a wide array of aromatic bis(trifluoromethyl) compounds bearing electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substituents were found to be competent substrates (3-17, 54–95% yield). Notably, various functional groups such as (het)arenes, ethers, unprotected amines, and nitriles were all compatible, providing practical handles for further derivatization. Additionally, aromatic mono(trifluoromethyl) compounds (18–21) underwent smooth reactions to yield the desired products in 39–60% yield. It is worth mentioning that the benzylic alcohol 22 was cleanly preserved, providing MCR product in 51% yield.

Considering the significance of nitrogen-containing heterocycles in bioactive molecules synthesis, we were pleased to find that a broad range of pyridine substrates with trifluoromethyl groups at 2-, 3-, and 4- positions readily engage in this DF-MCR (23–38, 40–81% yield). Interestingly, the addition of 20% Mg(OTf)<sub>2</sub> significantly enhance the conversion of the ArCF<sub>3</sub> and improved the MCR yields. We assumed that Mg<sup>2+</sup> could facilitate C-F bond cleavage or promote single electron transfer (SET) from Pd(0) to (het)aromatic trifluoromethyls by anchoring to the nitrogen atom.<sup>70,71</sup> Furthermore, this reactivity could be extended to the quinoline substrates, albeit with slightly lower yields (39 and 40, 41% and 48% yield, respectively).

Next, we explored the range of nucleophiles in this new protocol (Scheme 2). It was gratifying to discover that a diverse array of medicinally relevant nitrogen-based nucleophiles could engage in this transformation. Commercially available morpholine derivatives (41-43), piperidine derivatives (44-52), piperazine derivatives (53-63) and pyrrolidine derivatives (64-68) were all tolerated in this DF-MCRs with moderate to excellent yields (39-95% yield). Pleasingly, the presence of other polar functional groups such as amides and alcohols did not impede the reaction efficiency (52, 56-58, and 65). Moreover, the protocol could employ acyclic amine such as

# Scheme 4. Synthetic Applications<sup>a</sup>

a. Cascade defluorinative coupling



Netupitant-C4 linker-Betahistine, 121, 59%

"Reaction conditions:  $\text{ArCF}_2$ R (0.9 mmol), 1 (0.6 mmol), amine (0.3 mmol), Pd(PPh\_3)\_4 (1.5 mol%), XantPhos (8 mol%), [(o-OMe)Ph]\_2PPh (8 mol%), LiOH (0.3 mmol), THF (0.1 M),  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  = 440 nm Kessil (40 W), N<sub>2</sub>, RT – 40 °C, 12 h. Hydrogenation yield is shown in square brackets. See SI for hydrogenation procedures.

benzylamines (69-74), alkyl amines (75-77) as nucleophiles, affording the desired products in good yields (42-90% yield). Additionally, primary amines (78) were reactive under the

optimized conditions, albeit with slightly lower yield (36% yield). Amides were also applicable substrates; however, only 28% yield of the desired product was obtained, likely due to the



**Figure 2.** Preliminary mechanistic experiments. **a**, Radical trapping experiment. **b**, Radical clock experiment. **c**, *E*/*Z* Selectivity changes over time. **d**, DFT analysis.

less nucleophilic nature of succinimide (80). Remarkably, this transformation could also accommodate aromatic amines (81-86) delivering the target products with useful levels of efficiency (45-62% yield). Encouraged by these promising results, we next sought to extend the protocol to carbon-based nucleophiles. Gratifyingly, various 1,3-dicarbonyl cyclic ester or ketones (87-91, 51-73% yield) could serve as coupling partners. Additionally, a range of acyclic 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds (92-95) was examined in the DF-MCRs, yielding the desired products at useful levels (31–75% yield). Notably, this protocol demonstrates good to excellent E/Z selectivity with the C-nucleophiles, in stark contrast to previous instances with N-nucleophiles that usually resulted in low E/Z selectivity. Finally, other 1,3-conjugated dienes were examined. Under standard conditions, 2-methyl-1,3-butadiene exhibited good reactivity with a regioselectivity of 1:3 (96, 66% yield). However, when 1-phenyl-1,3-butadiene was used in the reaction, 1,2-addition was superior to 1,4-addition with 2:1 regioselectivity in 29% yield (97).

Having demonstrated the success of these DF-MCRs, we were further motivated to explore late-stage modification of natural products and pharmaceuticals (scheme 3). Trifluoromethylarenes derived from estrone, galactose, and azelnidipine were proved to be effective substrates for late-stage defluorinative coupling with 1,3-butadiene 1 and 1-phenylpiperazine 2 under standard conditions, resulting in the corresponding products **98–100** in 60–82% yields. Furthermore, a series of aminecontaining drug molecules could also be employed to furnish the target ArCF<sub>2</sub>-C4 linker-amines in synthetically useful yields. Methyl amines such as fluoxetine (101), maprotiline (103), doluxetine (104), betahistine (105) and nortriptyline (110)were reacted smoothly to give the desired products in moderate to excellent yields (54-96% yield). Cyclic amines such as troxipide (102), desbenzyl donepezil (106), *D*-proline (107), sitagliptin (108), nortropine (109), and aprepitant (111) were also competent to deliver satisfying results (65-94% yield), showcasing the broad compatibility of our protocol with complex structural scaffolds.

To highlight the potential utility of the DF-MCRs in organic synthesis, several applications were showcased. First, a cascade defluorinative coupling of 3 was demonstrated, providing benzylic monofluoromethyl compound 113 under standard condition (scheme 4a). To our knowledge, this represents the first instance of DF-MCRs adducts engaging in a metallaphotoredox-catalyzed cascade defluorinative editing process. It is noteworthy that perfluoroalkylarene 114 could also be utilized for selectively defluorinative coupling, producing the corresponding product 115 in 55% yield (Scheme 4b). Additionally, a gram-scale reaction was performed under the standard conditions, giving the target products 116 in 88% yield (Scheme 4c). Moreover, when piperazine was employed as the nucleophile for iteratively defluorinative coupling, two C-N bonds were formed in a single step to afford 118 in 75% yield (Scheme 4d, right). Similar result was also observed with malonic acid cyclic isopropylidene ester as a carbon-based nucleophile (117, 85% yield) (Scheme 4d, left). Furthermore, a cascade C-N bond formation was carried out to provide 119 and **120** in moderate yield by using *t*-butyloxycarboryl protected

amine **68** as a nucleophile (Scheme 4e). Finally, two medicinally significant drugs (netupitant and betahistine) could be employed in this newly developed three-component cascade coupling to generate the C4-linked drug derivative **121** in 59% yield, a synthesis that would be challenging if using existing synthetic methodologies (Scheme 4f).

To gain insight into this transformation, several mechanistic studies were conducted. First, when the reaction was carried out in the presence of TEMPO, only a trace amount of product 116 was detected, along with the identification of the difluoromethyl-TEMPO adduct 124 (detected by GCMS). This observation suggests the involvement of difluoromethyl radical intermediates in the process (Figure 2a). In contrast, when BHT was used as an inhibitor, we found that the yield of 116 remained largely unaffected, and no BHT adduct 125 was found in the reaction system, likely due to the rapid recombination of the difluoromethyl radical with Pd (I) species to form the difluoromethyl Pd(II) complex (Figure 2a). Furthermore, an  $\alpha$ -cyclopropylstyrene 126 was employed in a radical clock experiment, where ring-opening followed by intramolecular cyclization resulted in the formation of the corresponding product 127 in 30% yield, supporting the radical mechanism in this process (Figure 2b). A tracking experiment revealed that the E/Z ratio of 14a did not change over time, indicating that irradiation did not alter the configuration of the double bond during the photocatalysis process (Figure 2c). The slightly favorable Z-configuration suggested by the E/Z ratio led to speculation that the Z-configuration in the metal complex intermediates is more stable than the *E*-configuration. Thus, density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed to investigate some details of these two configurations in the Pd (II) complex before product releases. The geometries were optimized in a vacuum at the B3LYP-D3 level. The mixed basis set was adopted (LanL2DZ for Pd and 6-31G\* for nonmetallic atoms) and it was improved for single-point calculations (LanL2DZ for Pd and 6-311G\*\* for nonmetallic atoms) with the inclusion of solvent effects of THF (SMD model). As shown in Figure 2d, the  $\pi$ -center of the substrate faces inward to XantPhos in Z- IM, while it faces outward to the ligand in E- IM. This suggests that the substrate may be stabilized by XantPhos in the former, a viewpoint supported by the Gibbs free energy calculations. Specifically, the  $\Delta G$  of Z-IM is 2.3 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> lower than that of E-IM. This observation may explain the higher proportion of Z-products compared to E-products in the experimental results compared with those reported in the literature. We also conducted UV-vis experiments and found that  $Pd(PPh_3)_4$  can be excited at approximately 340 nm with moderate absorbance. A similar result was observed upon the addition of XantPhos, resulting in a red shift to around 360 nm and decreased absorbance. It was unexpected that the addition of [(2-OMe)Ph]<sub>2</sub>PPh led to a significant increase in absorbance (Figure S6). Furthermore, <sup>31</sup>P NMR experiments revealed that either XantPhos or [(2-OMe)Ph]<sub>2</sub>PPh can facilitate the ligand exchange process of Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (Figures S12 and S13). These results suggest the generation of a Pd(0)-XantPhos-[(2-OMe)Ph]<sub>2</sub>PPh complex in the reaction system, which likely serves as the active catalyst for this reaction.

On the basis of mechanistic experiments and earlier precedents,  $^{58-69}$  a putative mechanistic pathway was proposed (Figure 3). Initially, under visible light conditions (blue LED), a direct single electron transfer from excited Pd(0) complex A to trifluoromethylarenes generates intermediate B (Tables S6-S11, Figures S12 and S13), which then produces a hybrid



Figure 3. Proposed mechanism.

difluoromethyl Pd(I) radical intermediate C via Li<sup>+</sup> assisted C-F bond cleavage (Table S2).<sup>70,71</sup> Subsequently, C may undergo a radical addition into 1,3-dienes to form hybrid allylic Pd(I) radical species D (path a), or it may proceed through the recombination of the resulting difluoromethyl radical with Pd(I) leading to the formation of the difluoromethyl Pd(II) complex C'<sup>45</sup> (path b). This is followed by radical recombination of D or the migration insertion of C', resulting in the generation of  $\pi$ allylpalladium complexes E. Meanwhile, OH<sup>-</sup> can serve as a suitable base in THF conditions to enhance the nucleophilicity of the amine, which then attacks the  $\pi$ -allylpalladium complexes E to yield the desired DF-MCR product F and regenerate the palladium catalyst in a redox-neutral process.

#### CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have demonstrated a general catalytic protocol for the defluorinative multicomponent coupling of trifluoromethylarenes, dienes, and N-/C-based nucleophiles enabled by the photoexcited palladium catalytic system. These transformations were characterized by their wide applicability, mild redox-neutral conditions, and capacity for the late-stage modification of natural products or drugs. Furthermore, the successful cascade editing of difluoromethyl groups within this protocol underscores its potential for efficiently accessing complex molecular diversity. Mechanistic investigations are presented and discussed.

#### METHODS

General Procedure for Three Components Coupling

To an 8 mL vial equipped with a stir bar was added Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (5.2 mg, 4.6  $\mu$ mol, 0.015 equiv.), XantPhos (14.0 mg, 0.024 mmol, 0.08 equiv.), bis(2-methoxyphenyl)phenylphosphine (7.8 mg, 0.024 mmol, 0.08 equiv.), substituted trifluoromethylbenzene (0.90 mmol, 3.0 equiv.), amine or 1,3-dicarbonyl compound (if solid or high boiling point liquid, 0.30 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), LiOH (7.2 mg, 0.30 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and THF (3.0 mL). The solution was degassed by bubbling with nitrogen for 8 min, and 1,3-butadiene (2.0 mol/L in THF, 300  $\mu$ L, 0.60 mmol, 2.0 equiv) was syringed into the reaction vessel before sealing with parafilm. The reaction was carried out in a steel chamber under the irradiation at room temperature via blue LEDs (40 W,

 $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 440 \text{ nm}$ ) for 12 h. The reaction mixture was removed from the light, cooled to ambient temperature, and quenched by exposure to air. After the removal of the solvent, the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to afford the desired product.

# ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacsau.4c00899.

General information, synthesis of starting materials, details of reaction optimization, synthetic applications and preliminary mechanistic experiments, computation data, characterization of all compounds, references, and NMR Spectra (PDF)

# AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

Xiaheng Zhang – School of Chemistry and Materials Science, Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hangzhou 310024, P. R. China;
orcid.org/0000-0002-9999-1347; Email: xiahengz@ ucas.ac.cn

# Authors

- Zhibin Li Department of Chemistry, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, P. R. China
- Lei Bao School of Chemistry and Materials Science, Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hangzhou 310024, P. R. China
- Kaihang Wei School of Chemistry and Materials Science, Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hangzhou 310024, P. R. China
- **Beibei Zhan** School of Chemistry and Materials Science, Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hangzhou 310024, P. R. China
- Ping Lu Department of Chemistry, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, P. R. China; © orcid.org/0000-0002-2259-2700

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/jacsau.4c00899

# **Author Contributions**

X.Z. conceptualized the project. Z.L., K.W., B.Z., P.L., and X.Z. designed, performed, and analyzed experiments. L.B. conducted the DFT calculations. Z.L., B.Z., and X.Z. prepared the manuscript, which was revised by all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript. CRediT: Zhibin Li data curation, methodology, writing - original draft; Lei Bao formal analysis, investigation; Kaihang Wei investigation, methodology; Bei-Bei Zhan investigation, writing - original draft; Ping Lu validation; Xiaheng Zhang conceptualization, funding acquisition, project administration, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing.

#### Funding

We are grateful for financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (22171049, 22301054), the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (LDQ23B020001), the Hangzhou leading innovation and entrepreneurship team project (TD2022002) and Research Funds of Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, UCAS.

#### Notes

The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): X. Zhang, Z. Li and K. Wei are inventors on a Chinese patent application (Application No. CN202410093803X).

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Mingshuo Chen for help discussions.

#### REFERENCES

(1) Kirsch, P.Modern Fluoroorganic Chemistry: Synthesis, Reactivity, Applications; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2004.

(2) Purser, S.; Moore, P. R.; Swallow, S.; Gouverneur, V. Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2008**, *37*, 320–330.

(3) Berger, R.; Resnati, G.; Metrangolo, P.; Weberd, E.; Hulliger, J. Organic Fluorine Compounds: a Great Opportunity for Enhanced Materials Properties. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 3496–3508.

(4) Wang, J.; Sánchez-Roselló, M.; Aceña, J. L.; Del Pozo, C.; Sorochinsky, A. E.; Fustero, S.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Liu, H. Fluorine in Pharmaceutical Industry: Fluorine-containing Drugs Introduced to the Market in the Last Decade (2001–2011). *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 2432– 2506.

(5) Muller, K.; Faeh, C.; Diederich, F. Fluorine in Pharmaceuticals: Looking Beyond Intuition. *Science* **2007**, *317*, 1881–1886.

(6) Gillis, E. P.; Eastman, K. J.; Hill, M. D.; Donnelly, D. J.; Meanwell, N. A. Applications of Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry. *J. Med. Chem.* **2015**, *58*, 8315–8359.

(7) Clayden, J. Fluorinated Compounds Present Opportunities for Drug Discovery. *Nature* **2019**, *573*, 37–38.

(8) Fujiwara, T.; O'Hagan, D. Successful Fluorine-containing Herbicide Agrochemicals. J. Fluor. Chem. 2014, 167, 16–29.

(9) Hagmann, W. K. The Many Roles for Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 4359–4369.

(10) Brahms, D. L. S.; Dailey, W. P. Fluorinated Carbenes. *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 1585–1632.

(11) Feng, Z.; Xiao, Y.; Zhang, X. Transition-metal (Cu, Pd, Ni)-Catalyzed Difluoroalkylation via Cross-coupling with Difluoroalkyl Halides. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2018**, *51*, 2264–2278.

(12) Ma, X.; Song, Q. Recent Progress on Selective Deconstructive Modes of Halodifluoromethyl and Trifluoromethylcontaining Reagents. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2020**, *49*, 9197–9219.

(13) Ai, H.; Ma, X.; Song, Q.; Wu, X. C-F Bond Activation under Transition-metal-free Conditions. *Sci. China: chem* **2021**, *64*, 1630–1659.

(14) Li, S.; Shu, W. Recent Advances in Radical Enabled Selective Csp<sup>3</sup>–F Bond Activation of Multifluorinated Compounds. *Chem. Commun.* **2022**, *58*, 1066–1077.

(15) Zhao, F.; Zhou, W.; Zuo, Z. Recent Advances in the Synthesis of Difluorinated Architectures from Trifluoromethyl Groups. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2022**, *364*, 234–267.

(16) Wang, Z.; Sun, Y.; Shen, L.; Yang, W.; Meng, F.; Li, P. Photochemical and Electrochemical Strategies in C–F Bond Activation and Functionalization. *Org. Chem. Front.* **2022**, *9*, 853–873.

(17) Simur, T. T.; Ye, T.; Yu, Y.; Zhang, F.; Wang, Y. C–F Bond Functionalizations of Trifluoromethyl Groups via Radical Intermediates. *Chin. Chem. Lett.* **2022**, *33*, 1193–1198.

(18) Ni, C.; Hu, J. The Unique Fluorine Effects in Organic Reactions: Recent Facts and Insights into Fluoroalkylations. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2016, 45, 5441–5454.

(19) Middleton, W. J.; Bingham, E. M.  $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -Difluoroarylacetic Acids: Preparation from (Diethylamino)sulfur Trifluoride and  $\alpha$ -Oxoarylacetates. *J. Org. Chem.* **1980**, *45*, 2883–2887.

(20) Ni, C.; Hu, M.; Hu, J. Good Partnership between Sulfur and Fluorine: Sulfur-based Fluorination and Fluoroalkylation Reagents for Organic Synthesis. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 765–825.

(21) Xia, J.; Zhu, C.; Chen, C. Visible Light-promoted Metal-free C– H Activation: Diarylketone-catalyzed Selective Benzylic Mono- and Difluorination. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, 135, 17494–17500. (22) Xu, P.; Guo, S.; Wang, L.; Tang, P. Silver-catalyzed Oxidative Activation of Benzylic C-H Bonds for the Synthesis of Difluoromethylated Arenes. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 5955–5958.

(23) Ning, Y.; Sivaguru, P.; Zanoni, G.; Anderson, E. A.; Bi, X. Synthesis of  $\beta$ -Difluoroalkyl Azides via Elusive 1,2-Azide. *Chem* **2020**, *6*, 486–496.

(24) Xie, J.; Zhang, T.; Chen, F.; Mehrkens, N.; Rominger, F.; Rudolph, M.; Hashmi, A. S. K. Gold-catalyzed Highly Selective Photoredox C(sp<sup>2</sup>)-H Difluoroalkylation and Perfluoroalkylation of Hydrazones. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 2934–2938.

(25) Fu, X.; Xue, X.; Zhang, X.; Xiao, Y.; Zhang, S.; Guo, Y.; Leng, X.; Houk, K. N.; Zhang, X. Controllable Catalytic Difluorocarbene Transfer Enables Access to Diversified Fluoroalkylated Arenes. *Nat. Chem.* **2019**, *11*, 948–956.

(26) Zhang, X.; Nottingham, K. G.; Patel, C.; Alegre-Requena, J. V.; Levy, J. N.; Paton, R. S.; McNally, A. Phosphorus-mediated sp<sup>2</sup>-sp<sup>3</sup> Couplings for C–H Fluoroalkylation of Azines. *Nature* **2021**, *594*, 217–222.

(27) Feng, X.; Ren, J.; Gao, X.; Min, Q.; Zhang, X. 3,3-Difluoroallyl Sulfonium Salts: Practical and Bench-Stable Reagents for Highly Regioselective gem -Difluoroallylations. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2022**, *61*, No. e202210103.

(28) Deng, D.; Tang, S.; Yuan, Y.; Xie, D.; Zhu, Z.; Huang, Y.; Liu, Y. C-F Insertion Reaction Sheds New Light on the Construction of Fluorinated Compounds. *Chin. Chem. Lett.* **2024**, *35*, 109417.

(29) Lund, H.; Jensen, N. J.; Almqvist, S.O.; Enzell, C. R.; Taticchi, A.; Mannervik, B. Electroörganic Preparations. XXXVI. Stepwise Reduction of Benzotrifluoride. *Acta. Chem. Scand.* **1974**, *28b*, 263–265.

(30) Yamauchi, Y.; Fukuhara, T.; Hara, S.; Senboku, H. Electrochemical Carboxylation of  $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -Difluorotoluene Derivatives and its Application to the Synthesis of  $\alpha$ -Fluorinated Nonsteroidal Antiinflammatory Drugs. *Synlett* **2008**, 2008, 438–442.

(31) Munoz, S. B.; Ni, C.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, F.; Shao, N.; Mathew, T.; Olah, G. A.; Prakash, G. K. S. Selective Late-stage Hydrodefluorination of Trifluoromethylarenes: a Facile Access to Difluoromethylarenes. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, 2017, 2322–2326.

(32) Fuchibe, K.; Ohshima, Y.; Mitomi, K.; Alkiyama, T. Low-Valent Niobium-Catalyzed Reduction of  $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -Trifluorotoluenes. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 1497–1499.

(33) Amii, H.; Hatamoto, Y.; Seo, M.; Uneyama, K. A New C-F Bondcleavage Route for the Synthesis of Octafluoro[2.2]paracyclophane. *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 7216–7218.

(34) Stahl, T.; Klare, H. F. T.; Oestreich, M. Main-group Lewis Acids for C–F Bond Activation. *ACS Catal.* **2013**, *3*, 1578–1587.

(35) Forster, F.; Metsänen, T. T.; Irran, E.; Hrobarik, P.; Oestreich, M. Cooperative Al–H Bond Activation in DIBAL-H: Catalytic Generation of an Alumenium-ion-like Lewis Acid for Hydrodefluorinative Friedel–Crafts Alkylation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2017**, *139*, 16334–16342.

(36) O'Hagan, D. Understanding Organofluorine Chemistry. An Introduction to the C-F Bond. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2008**, *37*, 308-319.

(37) Luo, Y.Comprehensive Handbook of Chemical Bond EnergiesCRC PressBoca Raton2007

(38) Chen, K.; Berg, N.; Gschwind, R.; König, B. Selective Single  $C(sp^3)$ -F Bond Cleavage in Trifluoromethylarenes: Merging Visible-Light Catalysis with Lewis Acid Activation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 18444–18447.

(39) Wang, H.; Jui, N. T. Catalytic Defluoroalkylation of Trifluoromethylaromatics with Unactivated Alkenes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 163–166.

(40) Vogt, D. B.; Seath, C. P.; Wang, H.; Jui, N. T. Selective C-F Functionalization of Unactivated Trifluoromethylarenes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 13203-13211.

(41) Sap, J. B. I.; Straathof, N. J. W.; Knauber, T.; Meyer, C. F.; Médebielle, C.; Buglioni, L.; Genicot, C.; Trabanco, A. A.; Noël, T.; Am Ende, C. W.; Gouverneur, V. Organophotoredox Hydrodefluorination of Trifluoromethylarenes with Translational Applicability to Drug Discovery. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2020**, *142*, 9181–9187.

(42) Campbell, M. W.; Polites, V. C.; Patel, S.; Lipson, J. E.; Majhi, J.; Molander, G. A. Photochemical C-F Activation Enables Defluorinative Alkylation of Trifluoroacetates and Acetamides. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2021, 143, 19648–19654.

(43) Shreiber, S. T.; Granados, A.; Matsuo, B.; Majhi, J.; Campbell, M. W.; Patel, S.; Molander, G. A. Visible-light-induced C–F Bond Activation for the Difluoroalkylation of Indoles. *Org. Lett.* **2022**, *24*, 8542–8546.

(44) Ye, J.; Bellotti, P.; Heusel, C.; Glorius, F. Photoredox-Catalyzed Defluorinative Functionalizations of Polyfluorinated Aliphatic Amides and Esters. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2022**, *61*, No. e202115456.

(45) Luo, Y.; Tong, F.; Zhang, Y.; He, C.; Zhang, X. Visiblelightinduced Palladium-catalyzed Selective Defluoroarylation of Trifluoromethylarenes with Arylboronic Acids. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143*, 13971–13979.

(46) Sugihara, N.; Suzuki, K.; Nishimoto, Y.; Yasuda, M. Photoredoxcatalyzed C–F Bond Allylation of Perfluoroalkylarenes at the Benzylic Position. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2021**, *143*, 9308–9313.

(47) Liu, C.; Shen, N.; Shang, R. Photocatalytic Defluoroalkylation and Hydrodefluorination of Trifluoromethyls Using *o*-Phosphinophenolate. *Nat. Commun.* **2022**, *13*, 354.

(48) Yan, S.; Liu, S.; Chen, L.; Bo, Z.; Jing, K.; Gao, T.; Yu, B.; Lan, Y.; Luo, S.; Yu, D. Visible-light Photoredox-catalyzed Selective Carboxylation of C(sp<sup>3</sup>)-F Bonds with CO<sub>2</sub>. *Chem* **2021**, *7*, 3099–3113.

(49) Huang, J.; Gao, Q.; Zhong, T.; Chen, S.; Lin, W.; Han, J.; Xie, J. Photoinduced Copper-catalyzed C–N Coupling with Trifluoromethylated Arenes. *Nat. Commun.* **2023**, *14*, 8292.

(50) Zhang, F.; Zhang, G.; Zhou, Q.; Bian, T.; Zhou, L.; Zhang, Z. Hybrid Palladium-Catalyzed Intramolecular Carboamination of Conjugated Dienes: Synthesis of Functionalized Pyrrolidines via Selective Trifluoromethylarene Defluorination. *J. Org. Chem.* **2024**, *89*, 7790–7794.

(51) Rotstein, B. H.; Zaretsky, S.; Rai, V.; Yudin, A. K. Small Heterocycles in Multicomponent Reactions. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 8323–8359.

(52) Touré, B. B.; Hall, D. G. Natural Product Synthesis Using Multicomponent Reaction Strategies. *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *109*, 4439– 4486.

(53) Fan, Y.; Huang, Z.; Lu, Y.; Zhu, S.; Chu, L. Defluorinative Alkylboration of Alkenes Enabled by Dual Photoredox and Copper Catalysis. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2024**, *63*, No. e202315974.

(54) Chen, M.; Cui, Y.; Chen, X.; Shang, R.; Zhang, X. C-F Bond Activation Enables Synthesis of Aryl Difluoromethyl Bicyclopentanes as Benzophenone-type Bioisosteres. *Nat. Commun.* **2024**, *15*, 419.

(55) Holmes, M.; Schwartz, L. A.; Krische, M. J. Intermolecular Metal-Catalyzed Reductive Coupling of Dienes, Allenes, and Enynes with Carbonyl Compounds and Imines. *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118*, 6026–6052. (56) Li, G.; Huo, X.; Jiang, X.; Zhang, W. Asymmetric Synthesis of

Allylic Compounds via Hydrofunctionalisation and Difunctionalisation of Dienes, Allenes, and Alkynes. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2020**, *49*, 2060–2118.

(57) Canfield, A. M.; Rodina, D.; Paradine, S. M. Dienes as Versatile Substrates for Transition Metal-Catalyzed Reactions. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2024**, 63, No. e202401550.

(58) Huang, H.; Bellotti, P.; Pflüger, P. M.; Schwarz, J. L.; Heidrich, B.; Glorius, F. Three-component, Interrupted Radical Heck/allylic Substitution Cascade Involving Unactivated Alkyl Bromides. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 10173–10183.

(59) Cheung, K. P. S.; Kurandina, D.; Yata, T.; Gevorgyan, V. Photoinduced Palladium-catalyzed Carbofunctionalization of Conjugated Dienes Proceeding via Radical-polar Crossover Scenario: 1,2-Aminoalkylation and Beyond. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2020**, *142*, 9932–9937.

(60) Huang, H.; Bellotti, P.; Kim, S.; Zhang, X.; Glorius, F. Catalytic Multicomponent Reaction Involving a Ketyl-type Radical. *Nat. Synth.* **2022**, *1*, 464–474.

(61) Cai, Y.; Gaurav, G.; Ritter, T. 1,4-Aminoarylation of Butadienes via Photoinduced Palladium Catalysis. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2024**, *63*, No. e202311250.

(62) Sarkar, S.; Cheung, K. P. S.; Gevorgyan, V. Recent Advances in Visible Light Induced Palladium Catalysis. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2024**, 63, No. e202311972.

(63) Wang, G.; Shang, R.; Cheng, W.; Fu, Y. Irradiation-Induced Heck Reaction of Unactivated Alkyl Halides at Room Temperature. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 18307–18312.

(64) Cheng, W.; Shang, R.; Fu, Y. Irradiation-induced Palladium-Catalyzed Decarboxylative Desaturation Enabled by a Dual Ligand System. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 5215.

(65) Parasram, M.; Chuentragool, P.; Sarkar, D.; Gevorgyan, V. Photoinduced Formation of Hybrid Aryl Pd-Radical Species Capable of 1,5-HAT: Selective Catalytic Oxidation of Silyl Ethers into Silyl Enol Ethers. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 6340–6343.

(66) Chuen-Tragool, P.; Kurandina, D.; Gevorgyan, V. Catalysis with Palladium Complexes Photoexcited by Visible Light. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 11586–11598.

(67) Kancherla, R.; Muralirajan, K.; Maity, B.; Zhu, C.; Krach, P. E.; Cavallo, L.; Rueping, M. Oxidative Addition to Palladium (0) Made Easy through Photoexcited-State Metal Catalysis: Experiment and Computation. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 3412–3416.

(68) Zhou, W.; Cao, G.; Zhang, Z.; Yu, D. Visible Light-induced Palladium-catalysis in Organic Synthesis. *Chem. Lett.* **2019**, *48*, 181–191.

(69) Torres, G. M.; Liu, Y.; Arndtsen, B. A. A Dual Light-Driven Palladium Catalyst: Breaking the Barriers in Carbonylation Reactions. *Science* **2020**, *368*, 318–323.

(70) Sheng, J.; Cheng, X. Electrochemical Mono-Deuterodefluorination of Trifluoromethyl Aromatic Compounds with Deuterium Oxide. *CCS Chem.* **2024**, *6*, 230–240.

(71) Luo, Y.; Ma, Y.; Li, G.; Huo, X.; Zhang, W. Desymmetrization of Geminal Difluoromethylenes using a Palladium/Copper/Lithium Ternary System for the Stereodivergent Synthesis of Fluorinated Amino Acids. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2023**, *62*, No. e202313838.