

Ti−**O**−**Cu Nanotubular Mixed Oxide Grown on a TiCu Alloy as an Efficient Material for Simultaneous Photoelectrocatalytic Oxidation and PMS Activation for Pollutant Degradation**

[Carolyne](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Carolyne+I.+P.+Crivelli"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) I. P. Crivelli, Juliana de [Almeida,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Juliana+de+Almeida"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Cleber A. [Lindino,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Cleber+A.+Lindino"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Lucio C. de [Almeida,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Lucio+C.+de+Almeida"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Christiane A. [Rodrigues,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Christiane+A.+Rodrigues"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) and [Guilherme](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Guilherme+G.+Bessegato"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) G. Bessegato[*](#page-11-0)

ABSTRACT: This study introduces a novel photoelectrocatalytic (PEC) system featuring a Ti−O−Cu mixed nanotubular oxide photoanode for the simultaneous activation of peroxymonosulfate (PMS), targeting the removal of emerging contaminants, such as methylene blue dye, tetracycline, and ibuprofen. The Ti−5.5Cu (atom %) alloy substrate and the nanotubular oxide layer were synthesized through arc melting and electrochemical anodization. The conditions of photoelectrocatalysis-assisted PMS activation (PEC/aPMS) were optimized using experimental design, achieving 90.4% decolorization of methylene blue dye within 30 min under optimal conditions: pH 4, an applied potential of 0.5 V vs Ag/AgCl, and a PMS concentration 50 times the molar concentration of the contaminant, utilizing a 10 W UV LED at 365 nm. In contrast, only 25% decolorization was observed without PMS. Singlet oxygen $(^1O_2)$ was identified as the primary pathway for PMS activation (nonradical). Additionally, the PEC/aPMS system effectively degraded model contaminants, achieving 52% degradation of ibuprofen, 78% of methylene blue, and 92% of tetracycline in 10 mg L^{-1} total organic carbon solutions within 60 min under optimized conditions. The electrode exhibited remarkable stability, maintaining its efficiency throughout the experiments. These findings highlight the potential of mixed nanostructured oxide electrodes for developing highly efficient and durable PEC systems with integrated PMS activation for the removal of organic contaminants.

1. INTRODUCTION

The contamination of water sources has become a pressing environmental issue due to the toxicity and persistence of pollutants released into the environment. Approximately 80,000 chemical compounds, totaling 500 million tons, are discharged annually, many categorized as contaminants of emerging concern (CECs). These include pharmaceuticals, pesticides, personal care products, flame retardants, and perfluoroalkyl substances, among others.^{[1](#page-11-0)} CECs can act as endocrine disruptors, posing significant health risks to humans and wildlife.^{1,2} Despite the severity of this issue, current water treatment plants often fail to adequately monitor or regulate these contaminants, presenting a challenge in their detection and removal from the environment. Conventional wastewater treatment plants, while crucial, struggle to remove and degrade

many CECs effectively,^{2-[4](#page-11-0)} highlighting the need for advanced solutions.

Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) are methods used to remove contaminants from water sources by generating highly reactive species such as hydroxyl radicals (• OH) or other reactive species. $3,4$ $3,4$ $3,4$ These species can effectively break down a wide range of contaminants, resulting in byproducts with

Received: August 8, 2024 Revised: October 15, 2024 Accepted: November 4, 2024 Published: November 13, 2024

decreased toxicity.^{[5](#page-11-0),[6](#page-11-0)} In recent years, peroxymonosulfate (PMS) activation has garnered attention as a viable alternative for contaminant removal. PMS, available commercially as Oxone $(2KHSO₅·KHSO₄·K₂SO₄)$, is a potent oxidant $(E⁰ = 1.85 V vs$ NHE) but exhibits limited reactivity toward organic contami-nants.^{[7](#page-11-0)} PMS can be activated through heat, light, or catalysis, with catalytic activation being a more efficient and lowtemperature alternative.[8](#page-11-0) A range of catalysts, including transition metals, noble metals, and metal oxides, have been used in the catalytic activation of PMS. These methods help produce sulfate radicals and other reactive species that
effectively degrade pollutants.^{8−[10](#page-11-0)}

Various heterogeneous catalysts, primarily metal oxides and some carbonaceous (metal-free) materials, have been investigated for PMS activation. However, most of these catalysts are used in dispersion form, often as nanoparticles, which poses significant challenges for recovery and reuse, requiring additional filtration steps. In contrast, immobilized catalysts offer a distinct advantage as they can be more easily recovered and reused without complex separation processes. This highlights the potential of immobilized catalysts, which offer scalability, enhanced stability, and efficient pollutant degradation. Notably, recent studies have explored the activation of PMS within a photoelectrocatalysis (PEC) system.^{11-[16](#page-11-0)} A photoelectrocatalytic oxidation process typically involves an *n*-type photoelectrode that generates electrons and holes upon light absorption and a cathode that receives electrons directed by the electrochemical potential difference. These e^{-}/h^{+} pairs can also participate in redox reactions with PMS. While previous research predominantly utilized catalyst cathodes to activate PMS, such as platinum, 11^1 CuO₂, 14 and polydopamine-modified carbon felt cathodes, 13 there is growing interest in the potential of photoanodes to achieve PMS activation. The photoelectrode can be made from various materials, including semiconductors and metal oxides, and the choice of material depends on the desired properties, such as bandgap, light absorption, and electron transfer. For example, Bacha et al.¹⁶ developed a Co-BiVO4/FTO photoanode that showed significant PMS activation compared to other photocatalysts. Zheng and colleagues prepared a modified molybdenum disulfide-embedded carbon cloth photoanode for PMS activation.¹⁵ However, the development of efficient photoanodes for this purpose remains in its nascent stages, necessitating further research to optimize the performance and elucidate mechanisms of PMS activation.

Inspired by these challenges, in this work, we report the synthesis of a novel Ti−O−Cu mixed nanotubular oxide photoanode directly grown on a TiCu alloy through a simple and controllable electrochemical anodization process. This unique material combines the benefits of copper doping and a nanotubular structure to enhance light absorption, promote charge separation, and improve catalytic activity for pollutant degradation. This is the first time a Ti−O−Cu mixed nanotubular oxide photoanode has been synthesized and applied for simultaneous photoelectrocatalytic degradation and PMS activation to remove emerging contaminants. Given the limited understanding of PMS activation in photoelectrocatalytic systems, developing multifunctional materials such as the Ti−O−Cu mixed nanotubular oxide is crucial for advancing the removal of emerging contaminants through AOPs. The photoanode, comprising a Ti−O−Cu mixed nanotubular oxide prepared through electrochemical anodization of a Ti−Cu alloy, offers a highly stable oxide film with excellent surface area and electron transport properties.^{[17](#page-11-0)}

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Preparation of Ti−**Cu Alloys and Characterization.** Ti−5.5Cu (atom %) in atomic composition was prepared in an arc furnace under an inert atmosphere using 99.9% titanium (Ti-Brasil) and 99.9% copper (Alfa Aesar) as raw materials. During the preparation of the alloy, the lost mass was lower than 0.02 wt % in relation to the nominal composition. The ingot with 20 g was maintained at 1050 °C for 7200 min for homogenization and then cooled down to 1.0 °C min[−]¹ in a vacuum furnace until it reached room temperature. After this, the ingot was chopped into a disk shape (∼2.0 cm diameter and ∼1.0 mm thickness), and the elemental composition was verified using an X-ray fluorescence spectrometer (XRF-BRUKER S8 Tiger). For microstructural analysis, discs were polished and etched with a mixed acid solution (1.5% HCl, 2.5% $HNO₃$ and 1.0% HF in water). The morphological and compositional characterization of the sample was carried out using an optical microscope, Zeiss Axiolab 5, connected with a Cam Zeiss AxioCam ERc 5s, and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) JEOL-LV 6600 coupled with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX). The crystalline phases of the alloys were determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Bruker D8 Advance AXS diffractometer, Cu K*α* radiation, a Bragg−Brentano optical setup, and a Lynxeye detector. The angular range covered was $2\theta = 10 - 90^{\circ}$ with a step size of 0.02°. The Rietveld method was applied to XRD data to quantify the substrate phases (using TOPAS software).

2.2. Nanotube Array Electrode Preparation. The nanotubular oxide layer was produced by the electrochemical anodization of Ti−5.5Cu alloy discs in a two-electrode cell configuration. The cell included a platinum spiral as the counter electrode and an alloy disc as the working electrode. The electrolyte comprised 0.2 M HF in ethylene glycol with 3.5% (v/ v) $H₂O$. Anodization was carried out at 30 V for 120 min using a DC power supply. After anodization, the electrodes were rinsed with distilled water to remove residual electrolytes and soaked in ethanol for 24 h to remove the nanograss. Nanograss refers to a type of surface morphology characterized by the presence of very short and thin nanowires on top of the underlying nanotube structure. Finally, the electrode was annealed at 450 °C for 150 min in atmospheric air in a muffle furnace (EDG-F3000) to convert amorphous structures into crystalline phases. This electrode with the layer of a nanotubular mixed oxide of titanium and copper was named Ti−O−CuNT. Similarly, pure $TiO₂$ oxide electrodes (TNT) were produced using grade 2 titanium sheets (∼99.7%, Realum, Brazil) under identical conditions.

2.3. Physical−**Chemical and Photocharacterization.** The morphology and elemental composition of Ti−O−CuNT were examined using field emission scanning electron microscopy (SEM-FEG, FEI Inspect F50) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX), respectively. High-resolution SEM images were taken at 200,000× with an acceleration voltage of 5.0 kV and a current of 1.0×10^{-8} A. Cross-sectional analysis for EDX was prepared via focused ion beam (FEI Helios G4 CX Dual Beam). The chemical states of the elements in the oxide layer were identified using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, K-alpha, Thermo Scientific) with a monochromatic Al K*α* X-ray source (*hν* = 1486.6 eV) operating at 150 W under a pressure fixed to 4.8×10^{-9} mbar. The sample was irradiated for one hour in a typical setup with the X-ray source at 45° from the

sample surface while the angle between the analyzer and the sample surface was 90°. Spectra were charge-corrected to the main line of the C 1s (aromatic carbon) set to 284.7 eV and deconvoluted by OriginPro software using linear background subtraction and fitted with a Gaussian function. The peak positions were determined with ± 0.1 eV accuracy. Crystalline phases were determined using an X-ray diffractometer (XRD) (Bruker D8 ADVANCE) with Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5406$ Å), a Ni filter, and a parallel beam optical setup. The patterns were obtained at room temperature, and the angular range covered was $2\theta = 24-48^{\circ}$ with a step size of 0.02°. Diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (DRS) spectra in the ultraviolet−visible region were recorded between 200 and 800 nm at ambient temperature using a spectrophotometer (UV-2600 Shimadzu) with an integrating sphere module for diffuse reflectance.

Photoelectrochemical characteristics of TNT and Ti−O− CuNT were evaluated using current versus potential curves in the presence and absence of irradiation. Linear scanning voltammograms were taken at −0.5 to 1.5 V vs Ag/AgCl (3 M KCl) in a 0.1 M Na₂SO₄ electrolyte at a scan rate of 20 mV s⁻¹. The semiconductor electrode was irradiated by a 10 W UV LED (365 nm) positioned 5 mm from the external wall of the cell. A 50 mL highly transparent polystyrene cell was used to accommodate the working electrode (TNT or Ti−O− CuNT), counter electrode (stainless steel), and reference electrode (Ag/AgCl/KCl 3 M). An Ivium Vertex potentiostat was used for these measurements.

2.4. Activation of PMS in a Photoelectrocatalytic System. The mixed oxide electrode (Ti−O−CuNT) was applied in the photoelectrocatalytic degradation of the methylene blue (MB) dye solution by adding PMS (PEC/ aPMS system). To determine the performance of the Ti−O− CuNT electrode in activating the PMS, a 5.0 mg L^{-1} solution $(1.56 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$ of methylene blue (MB) dye was used as a model contaminant containing a 0.1 M Na_2SO_4 electrolyte. The initial concentration of PMS in the reactor was 0.468 mM, which refers to 30 times the MB molar concentration (0.1 mL of a PMS stock solution of 0.117 M was added to the cell). The PEC cell was a highly transparent polystyrene flask (50 mL) filled with 25.0 mL of the work solution.

The electrochemical setup featured a photoanode as the working electrode, comprising either Ti−O−CuNT or TNT (0.283 cm^2) , a stainless-steel counter electrode $(2.0 \times 2.0 \text{ cm})$, and a Ag/AgCl reference electrode immersed in a KCl solution (3 M). The measurements were conducted using an Ivium Vertex potentiostat. A 10 W UV LED, emitting at a maximum wavelength of 365 nm (below $TiO₂'s$ common band gap of 390 nm), was positioned 5 mm from the external wall of the cell to illuminate the photoanode, situated close to this wall. Continuous agitation was maintained at 500 rpm throughout the experiments, and 2 mL of the solution was periodically collected from the reactor at 2, 5, 10, 20, and 30 min intervals. The collected samples were subsequently assessed for decolorization of the methylene blue (MB) dye using a UV/ vis absorption spectrophotometer, specifically at 664 nm (Shimadzu UV 1800-PC). Following each measurement, collected aliquots were reintroduced into the cell. Every degradation experiment was conducted at least in duplicate, ensuring the reliability and consistency of our results.

2.5. Experimental Design. A full factorial design (FFED) with three independent variables (pH, applied potential, and PMS concentration) was applied for the optimization of MB degradation solution by the PEC/aPMS process using the response surface methodology^{[18](#page-11-0)} (see Table 1). Assays corresponding to the upper and lower values of the independent

^aConditions: 25 mL of MB 5 mg L^{−1} in Na₂SO₄ 0.1 M; irradiation by a 10 W UV LED at 365 nm. ^{*b*}Real values: *X*₁^a = pH, *X*₂^b = *E*_{app} (V vs Ag/AgCl), and X_3^c = PMS concentration (mmol L⁻¹).

variables were conducted in duplicate. In contrast, a central point test was additionally performed in triplicate to evaluate the pure error of the MB degradation experiments. The independent variables, namely, solution pH, applied potential (E_{app}), and PMS concentration, were explored in the ranges of 4.00−8.00, 0.5−1.5 V (vs Ag/AgCl), and 0.156−0.780 mmol L^{-1} , respectively. Subsequently, three levels for each *i*th independent variable (i.e., -1 , 0, $+1$) were chosen and coded according to eq 1

$$
x_i = \frac{X_i - X_{i0}}{\Delta X_i} \tag{1}
$$

where x_i is the coded level, X_i is the real value, X_{i0} is its real value in the central point, and ΔX_i is half of the difference between the upper and lower values of the *i*th independent variables. Table 1 presents the coded and real values for the three independent variables. Decolorization efficiency (DE/%) was chosen as a response and correlated with the coded values of the variables to generate a general first-order polynomial eq 2 by using the leastsquares method $^{18-20}$ $^{18-20}$ $^{18-20}$ $^{18-20}$ $^{18-20}$

$$
Y = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{i \neq j=1}^{k} \beta_i x_i x_j + \varepsilon
$$
 (2)

where *Y* is the observed response (i.e., $DE/\%$), β_0 is a constant coefficient, β_i is the linear effect, and β_{ij} is the interaction effect between the independent variables, respectively. The terms *k* and *ε* are the number of independent variables and the random error, respectively. The generated polynomial equation was then used to construct response surfaces (with StatSoft Statistica

Figure 1. Characterization of the Ti−5.5Cu (% at.) substrate. (a) Optical microscopy image and scanning electron micrograph of the annealed substrate microstructure in detail. (b) X-ray diffractometry pattern and phase quantification obtained from Rietveld refinement.

version 10 software) to determine the optimal conditions for MB degradation. All degradation assays were randomly conducted to minimize systematic errors in the decolorization efficiencies.

In cases involving the addition of PMS, a volume of a 0.04 M PMS stock solution prepared in ultrapure water was introduced into the cell to achieve the final concentrations, as detailed in [Table](#page-2-0) 1.

After the conditions for degrading the MB solution were optimized, experiments were conducted to compare various treatments and assess the contribution of each factor to PMS activation. These treatments included direct oxidation by PMS, PMS + UV radiation, PMS + electrocatalysis, PMS + photocatalysis, photocatalysis alone, photolysis, and pure catalysis (free electrode in the presence of PMS).

2.6. Mechanism of Generation of Reactive Species. The contribution of reactive species $^{\bullet}$ OH, SO₄ $^{\bullet-}$, and 1 O₂ was investigated through quenching experiments using the appropriate scavengers. Methyl alcohol (MeOH), *tert*-butyl alcohol (TBA), and sodium azide (SA) were employed as quenchers for • $OH/SO_4^{\bullet-}$, \bullet OH, and ${}^{1}O_2$, respectively.^{[21,22](#page-12-0)} In a typical degradation experiment outlined in [Section](#page-2-0) 2.4, a 50× quantity (molar ratio) of each scavenger was added to the PEC/aPMS system relative to the concentration of PMS in 25 mL of the working solution. The decolorization of the MB solution was monitored by using the same approach described in the previous sections.

2.7. Degradation of Different Organic Contaminants. The capacity of the PEC/aPMS system was assessed by degrading three distinct contaminants: methylene blue (MB), tetracycline (TC), and ibuprofen (IBP). In each case, 25 mL of a 10 mg L[−]¹ total organic carbon (TOC) solution (in 0.1 M $Na₂SO₄$) was introduced into the degradation cell. This concentration of contaminants is equivalent to 16.6 mg L^{-1} MB, 17 mg L^{-1} TC, and 13.9 mg L^{-1} IBP. A volume of PMS stock solution was added to achieve a final molar concentration 50 times that of the contaminant. Subsequently, simultaneous application of UV light at 365 nm and an electrochemical potential of +0.5 V vs Ag/AgCl was initiated.

The degradation progress of MB and TC was monitored using molecular absorption spectrophotometry at 664 and 356 nm, respectively. Samples were withdrawn at predetermined intervals, promptly analyzed, and returned to the reactor. IBP

degradation was assessed using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC Ultimate 3000 SD, ThermoFisher) with a diode array detector at $\lambda = 190$ nm. In this case, 0.5 mL aliquots were withdrawn at predetermined intervals and combined with 50 *μ*L of a 0.4 M sodium azide solution, acting as a quencher for singlet oxygen. Separation was achieved on a Restek C18 column (5 μ m, 150 \times 4.6 mm), and the mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile (70%) and 0.1 M acetic acid $\bar{1}(30\%)$ eluted in isocratic mode at a flow rate of 1 mL min $^{-1}$. The retention time for the IBF was 3.59 min.

Moreover, the TOC (total organic carbon) concentration of the initial and final aliquots (60 min) was assessed to evaluate mineralization (TOC- L_{CSH} , Shimadzu). Two samples were taken for the 60 min aliquot: one sample was analyzed without quenching, and the other was quenched with sodium azide $(NaN₃)$.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Metallography and Quantification of Substrate Phases. The stoichiometric composition of the Ti−5.5Cu alloy substrate was measured by XRF, and the values in mass percentage were 92.82% and 7.18%, which correspond to 94.49 (± 0.01) and 5.51 (± 0.01) in atomic percentage for Ti and Cu, respectively. The measured and expected values of the Ti and Cu contents are in excellent agreement, indicating that the casting procedures were appropriate.

Figure 1a shows an optical micrograph and inset scanning electron microscopy image obtained from the Ti−5.5Cu (at. %) substrate. The optical image exhibits two different fields, corresponding to a lenticular region separated by darker fields and the opposite color on the electron image. From EDX analysis, the lenticular region was associated with the primary *α*-Ti (1-dark phase), and Ti₂Cu was related to the precipitate (2—white phase), as predicted by the Ti−Cu phase diagram for this composition.

The X-ray diffraction measurement was carried out to corroborate the phases identified by EDX analysis for Ti− 5.5Cu (at. %). The data were compared with the standard XRD patterns, and Rietveld refinement (Figure 1b) was used to determine the quantification of phases that comprise the substrate. Rietveld refinements of the XRD data showed two phases, indicating that Ti- α (*P6*₃/*mmc*) was a majority phase of Ti−5.5Cu (at. %) (~76.4%) with the minor phase of Ti₂Cu (*I*4/

mmm) (∼23.7%), in an approximate 3:1 ratio composition. The refinement parameters obtained were as follows: residual profile $(R_p = 4.14)$, expected residual $(R_{exp} = 4.03)$, weighted residual $(R_{wp}^r = 5.40)$, and goodness of fit $(X^2 = 1.34)$. The Ti- α and Ti₂Cu phases are equilibrium phases in the Ti–Cu system. However, only Ti- α can dissolve copper below the solubility limit in the Ti−Cu system (≤1.6 at. % Cu), forming a solid solution.²³ All analyses carried out on the Ti-5.5Cu (at. %) substrate suggest the composition α -Ti as the predominant phase, α -Ti doped with copper and Ti₂Cu precipitate.^{[24](#page-12-0)}

3.2. Characteristics of TNT and Ti−**O**−**CuNT Electrodes.** *3.2.1. Morphological and Crystallographic Characterization.* Electrochemical anodization of the Ti−5.5Cu (atom %) alloy followed by annealing at 450 °C produced well-ordered Ti−O−Cu mixed oxide nanotubes as observed by SEM (Figure 2). The uniform nanotube morphology with an inner diameter

Figure 2. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of the Ti−O− Cu nanotubular oxide film (Ti−O−CuNT) grown on a Ti−5.5Cu (at. %) alloy substrate by electrochemical anodization and annealed at 450 $^{\circ}$ C. (A) Top view showing the nanotube structure. (B) Cross-section illustrating the film thickness and nanotube architecture.

of ∼70 nm, wall thickness of 12 nm, and length of 2 *μ*m provides a high surface area, beneficial for enhanced mass transport and light absorption in photoelectrocatalysis.

XPS analysis confirmed the presence and chemical states of Ti, Cu, and O on the film surface ([Figure](#page-5-0) 3). The Ti, Cu, and O species were confirmed on the film surface by the peaks in the Ti 2p, Cu 2p, and O 1s binding energy regions, respectively [\(Figure](#page-5-0) [3](#page-5-0)a−c). For Ti 2p spectra ([Figure](#page-5-0) 3a), the peaks at 459.5 eV (Ti $2p_{3/2}$) and 465.3 eV (Ti $2p_{1/2}$) correspond to the Ti⁴⁺ and its doublet, respectively, separated by 5.8 eV. However, these peaks shifted by 1.0 eV when compared with the reference standard,²⁵ indicating the presence of impurity dopants in the $TiO₂$ lattice.

The Cu 2p spectra showed signatures of Cu^{1+} and Cu^{2+} ([Figure](#page-5-0) 3b), which are assigned with Cu $2p_{3/2}$ and Cu $2p_{1/2}$, respectively, derived from copper oxide. The deconvolution of this spectrum revealed that the material is composed of a mix of valences between Cu^{1+} (933.1/933.6 eV) and Cu^{2+} (953.0/ 953.3 eV),^{[25](#page-12-0)} where the Cu⁺ species was predominant on the composition in relation to Cu^{2+} . Despite the detected Cu 2p_{1/2} peak and its respective doublet Cu $2p_{3/2}$ from Cu²⁺, the shakeup satellite characteristic of this species was absent. The absence of shakeup satellite peaks in the Cu^{2+} spectrum may be associated with a high density of oxygen vacancies in the oxide composition.[26](#page-12-0)

The O 1s binding energy region of Ti−O−CuNT is shown in [Figure](#page-5-0) 3c, which is fitted with four peaks after deconvolution. The peaks at binding energies 530.7, 532.5, and 529.4 eV are attributed to the oxygen atoms bound to Ti^{4+} , Cu^{1+} , and Cu^{2+} species, respectively.^{[27](#page-12-0)} Meanwhile, the peak of 532.6 eV can be ascribed to oxygen bound to H due to the interaction of the

sample with the atmospheric air.^{[28](#page-12-0)} Furthermore, a shift of peaks at ∼0.9 eV was observed, which may indicate a TiO₂ doping process and/or the formation of a mixed valence oxide between .
Ti−O−Cu.^{[29](#page-12-0),3}

From the diffraction data obtained for Ti−O−CuNT, crystalline phases of TiO₂ (anatase and rutile), Cu₂O, and nonstoichiometric Ti_4Cu_2O were obtained, with the anatase phase being the predominant. The anatase is the most photoactive and desirable $TiO₂$ phase in photoelectrocatalytic applications due to its lower charge recombination rate and greater charge carrier mobility.^{[31](#page-12-0)} Also, XRD data revealed only the incidence of Cu^{1+} , whereas the peak of Cu^{2+} was suppressed, which may be due to its lower concentration on the composition of the film grown on Ti−5.5Cu (at. %). This result was similar to XPS data, indicating a higher concentration of Cu^{1+} than Cu^{2+} .

3.2.2. Photoelectrochemical Characterization. Linear scanning voltammograms of the TNT and Ti−O−CuNT electrodes, in the dark and under irradiation (10 W UV 365 nm LED) (photocurrent), are shown in [Figure](#page-5-0) 4a. At both electrodes, an approximately zero anodic current is displayed without irradiation, as expected for an *n*-type semiconductor. However, when the TNT electrode is irradiated, the current increases linearly from -0.25 to $+0.5$ V (vs Ag/AgCl), reaching about 1.8 mA and becoming approximately constant. The Ti−O−CuNT electrode showed a significantly lower photocurrent than the electrode without copper doping. This behavior can be explained by the absorptivity of the materials in the ultraviolet region, as shown in [Figure](#page-5-0) 4b (obtained by diffuse reflectance spectroscopy). The absorption spectrum of the TNT sample clearly shows that its absorbance is much higher than that of Ti− O−CuNT in the region from 200 to 350 nm.

3.3. Simultaneous Photoelectrocatalytic Oxidation and PMS Activation by the Ti−**O**−**CuNT Electrode.** *3.3.1. Experimental Design for Optimization of PEC MB Degradation Conditions.* Initially, it was found that the Ti−O− Cu mixed oxide electrode can activate PMS in a photoelectrocatalytic system ([Figure](#page-6-0) 5). In the absence of PMS, there was a photoelectrocatalytic decolorization of 19% in 30 min, while in the presence of PMS, it reached 56%. Then, the degradation conditions were optimized through a $2³$ FFED using the independent variables, and their ranges are shown in [Table](#page-2-0) 1. The pH range (i.e., from 4.00 to 8.00) was chosen because it is closer to neutral pH and fits most wastewater available for treatment. In addition, the $\mathrm{SO_4}^{\bullet-}$ radical has a wide operational pH range, so it is possible to use the AOPs in a range of 3.0 to 9.0. 32 The potential range (0.5 to 1.5 V vs Ag/AgCl) refers to the lowest potential capable of generating maximum photocurrent values (see [Figure](#page-5-0) 4a), while the potential of 1.5 V is the threshold value, above which the stability of the mixed oxide is affected. The concentration of PMS, the reactive species precursor, was selected within a range (0.156 to 0.780 mM) commonly used in related studies.^{[33](#page-12-0)} These concentrations are equivalent to 10, 30, and 50 times the molar concentration of the contaminant (MB dye).

Using the least-squares method, a first-order polynomial model ([eq](#page-2-0) 2) was constructed from the data of runs 1−19. The resulting model (eq 3) for decolorization efficiency is

decolorization efficiency, (DE)/%

 $= 60.04 - 18.31X_1 - 5.09X_2 + 7.14X_3$ (3)

Only the statistically significant terms (at a 95% confidence level) were included in eq 3, where X_1, X_2 , and X_3 represent the

Figure 3. Analysis of layer composition and crystalline structures of Ti−O−CuNT annealed at 450 °C: (a−c) XPS spectrum of Ti 2p, Cu 2p, and O 1s and (d) XRD profiles of Ti−O−CuNT compared with TNT.

Figure 4. (a) Linear scan voltammograms for TNT and Ti−O−CuNT electrodes under irradiation and in the dark. Conditions: 0.1 M Na2SO4 electrolyte; scan rate of 20 mV s $^{-1}$; radiation from a 10 W UV 365 nm LED. (b) Absorption spectra obtained by diffuse reflectance spectroscopy for UV and visible regions for TNT and Ti−O−CuNT samples.

coded variables for pH, applied potential, and PMS concentration, respectively. Polynomial [eq](#page-4-0) 3 was statistically evaluated using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) comparing the variation sources with the *F*-test (i.e., the Fisher distribution), which allows finding the polynomial with the best fit to the

experimental data.^{[34](#page-12-0)} [Figure](#page-6-0) 6a depicts a good correlation between the predicted and observed values with an R^2 value equal to 0.962, whereas [Figure](#page-6-0) 6b confirms the absence of systematic errors attributed to the random distribution of the residuals around the zero deviation. Therefore, these statistical

Figure 5. Decolorization of a 5 mg L^{-1} MB solution by photoelectrocatalysis (PEC) and photoelectrocatalysis with activated PMS (PEC/aPMS) using a Ti−O−CuNT photoanode. Conditions: 25 mL of MB 5 mg L⁻¹ in Na₂SO₄ 0.1 M; [PMS] = 0.468 mM; pH 6.5; 1.5 V vs Ag/AgCl potential; constant agitation of 1000 rpm; irradiation by a 10 W UV LED at 365 nm; spectrophotometric analysis at 664 nm.

results indicate that the model is statistically significant (at a 95% confidence level) (see also [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.4c07301/suppl_file/ao4c07301_si_001.pdf) S1).^{[18](#page-11-0)}

Analyzing polynomial [eq](#page-4-0) 3, it is observed that the pH value, applied potential, and PMS concentration significantly influenced the decolorization efficiency. [Equation](#page-4-0) 3 also shows that the decrease in pH causes a higher decolorization efficiency, indicated by the highly negative value of the coded variable X_1 , which is the most significant variable. This effect is attributed to the electrostatic interaction between the surface charges of the Ti−O−CuNT electrode and the ionic form of PMS (HSO_5^-) . The point of zero charge of $TiO₂$ is known to be found at pH 5.9.³⁵ Therefore, at pH 4.0, the electrode surface is positively Therefore, at pH 4.0, the electrode surface is positively charged, and PMS exists as an anion $(HSO_5^-/SO_5^{2-}$ with p $K_a =$ 9.4).³² Consequently, more PMS is adsorbed on the electrode surface, increasing the formation of the PMS−Ti−O−Cu complex and facilitating the PMS catalysis cycle with the copper catalytic sites. Regarding the concentration of PMS, there is a positive effect on the response when its concentration is increased as this implies a greater generation of reactive species that act directly on the degradation of the MB dye.

Therefore, considering the negative effect of pH on the decolorization, added to the fact that the interactions between the investigated variables were not statistically significant, only the response surface for decolorization as a function of applied

 10^{0} 90 Decolor ization¹^{ele} 80 70 60 Ó

potential and PMS concentration was investigated, setting the coded variable X_1 at the lowest level (i.e., at pH 4.0), as previously justified. Figure 7 shows the response surface related

Figure 7. Response surface generated from a full factorial design expressing the decolorization efficiency as a function of PMS concentration and applied potential after 30 min of PEC/aPMS treatment. Conditions: 25 mL of 5.0 mg L^{-1} of MB solution in 0.1 M Na2SO4 with a Ti−O−CuNT anode, potential of 0.5 V vs Ag/AgCl, irradiated by a 10 W UV LED at 365 nm. Independent variable pH solution was set at coded level -1 (i.e., at pH 4.0).

to DE (in %) as a function of the applied potential and PMS concentration. As can be seen, the increase in PMS concentration causes a higher DE for all applied potential values, with a maximum decolorization efficiency of about 94% after 30 min of PEC/aPMS treatment of MB solution for a higher PMS concentration (which is 50 times the molar concentration of the dye) and lower applied potential. Furthermore, [eq](#page-4-0) 3 and the response surface show that DE increased linearly with the increase in the PMS concentration and the decrease in the applied potential in the investigated intervals.

Figure 6. (a) Predicted–observed and (b) residual–predicted plots determined for the decolorization of a 5 mg L⁻¹ of MB solution in 0.10 mol L⁻¹ Na2SO4 with a Ti−O−CuNT anode irradiated by a 10 W UV LED at 365 nm in the presence of PMS (PEC/aPMS system).

Figure 8. (a) Comparison of decolorization of MB dye solution by different systems. Common conditions: 25 mL of 5 mg L⁻¹ MB in 0.1 M Na₂SO₄ at pH 4, under constant stirring. The independent conditions were as follows: Ti−O−CuNT electrode, [PMS] = 0.780 mM, potential of 0.5 V vs Ag/ AgCl, 10 W UV LED irradiation at 365 nm; (b) % of decolorization in 30 min of treatment by the different evaluated systems. (c) Comparison of decolorization of MB dye solution by PEC (■) and PEC/aPMS (●) with Ti−O−CuNT (red) and TNT (black) electrodes.

Degradation time / min

As is already known, irradiating the $TiO₂$ and other semiconductors with photons of appropriate energy generates electron−hole pairs. Using a photoelectrocatalytic system, with the application of a potential difference, accelerates the transfer of electrons from the semiconductor to the counter electrode, reducing the recombination of charges in relation to a purely photocatalytic (PC) system.^{[17](#page-11-0)} A lower potential level (0.5 V vs Ag/AgCl) was observed to contribute positively to more significant MB degradation. As observed in the photocurrent curve [\(Figure](#page-5-0) 4a), this potential can produce practically the maximum photocurrent and favors the activation of the PMS. This behavior can be attributed to the fact that more positive potentials can have a negative effect on the copper oxidation state cycle responsible for PMS catalysis. For PMS conversion, the catalyst (copper centers) must transfer an electron to the PMS that is adsorbed on the catalyst surface. Thus, with this electronic transfer, the oxidation of $Cu(I)$ centers to $Cu(II)$ or Cu(III) occurs. Photogenerated electrons may be able to reduce the Cu(II) or Cu(III) centers again to Cu(I) for the renewal of the catalysis cycle. Thus, higher potentials, such as 1.5 V, may disfavor this reduction process due to the high positive potential and the depletion of photogenerated electrons from the photoanode. This behavior corroborates what is observed in [eq](#page-4-0) 3 (see the negative coefficient in the variable X_2 for the applied potential) and the response surface generated from this polynomial equation.

These findings identify the optimal conditions for the independent variables-pH, applied potential, and PMS concentration-within the studied range, determined as pH 4.0, an applied potential of 0.5 V vs Ag/AgCl, and a PMS concentration of 0.780 mmol L^{-1} (equivalent to 50 times the MB concentration) for effective PEC/aPMS treatment of the MB solution.

3.3.2. Comparison between Various Treatment Combinations. After the optimization of some conditions of PEC/aPMS treatment (pH 4, potential 0.5 V vs Ag/AgCl, and $[PMS]$ = 0.780 mM), several different combinations and isolated systems were evaluated for comparison purposes (Figure 8a,b). For each electrode use, a "regeneration" step was performed by cyclic voltammetry in a 0.1 M Na_2SO_4 solution (50 cycles) under UV irradiation, ensuring good repeatability. No decrease in the material efficiency was observed.

Direct oxidation by PMS resulted in only 6% decolorization of the MB solution at 30 min due to the direct redox reaction (E^0 = 1.85 V). 36 The photolysis of the dye is negligible, obtaining a decolorization of only 3%, showing that the dye is relatively stable under 365 nm UV irradiation. Combining irradiation with PMS (photolysis + PMS) resulted in a decolorization of 17%, indicating that UV light allowed an increase of 9%. This may be attributed to the activation of PMS by radiation $(HSO_5^- + h\nu \rightarrow$ $HO^* + SO_4^{(-)}$, which generated additional reactive species, albeit at a negligible scale. 37

The photocatalytic (PC) decolorization using Ti−O−CuNT and light was only 7%, but it improved to 27% with the addition of PMS due to generating additional reactive species. The photoelectrocatalysis (PEC) treatment showed a decolorization of 25% because of the more effective charge separation compared to PC. However, adding PMS to the PEC system resulted in a substantial improvement of 90% in decolorization, revealing a synergistic effect. This significant increase in decolorization efficiency is attributed to the formation of additional reactive species, including radicals (°OH , SO_4 ^{•-}, and/or $O_2^{\bullet -}$), PMS activated at the surface, or singlet oxygen $({}^{1}O_{2})$. These mechanistic details will be discussed later.

Finally, it was verified whether the copper in the mixed Ti− O−CuNT electrode was responsible for activating the PMS. For this, the PEC/aPMS and PEC systems were investigated using the TNT and Ti−O−CuNT electrodes [\(Figure](#page-7-0) 8c). It was found that PEC treatment resulted in 25% decolorization with both electrodes. In PEC/aPMS with the copper-free electrode (TNT), a decolorization of 35% was obtained. Thus, adding PMS resulted in a 10% increase, which can be attributed to the activation of PMS by light but not necessarily due to the conversion of PMS by TiO_2 -based PEC. It is only in the PEC/ aPMS system with the Ti−O−CuNT electrode that a significant efficiency improvement is observed (to 90.4%), proving that the mixed oxide $\left(\text{Cu}_2\text{Ti}_4\text{O} \text{ and } \text{Cu}_2\text{O} \text{, } \text{Figure 3}\right)$ $\left(\text{Cu}_2\text{Ti}_4\text{O} \text{ and } \text{Cu}_2\text{O} \text{, } \text{Figure 3}\right)$ $\left(\text{Cu}_2\text{Ti}_4\text{O} \text{ and } \text{Cu}_2\text{O} \text{, } \text{Figure 3}\right)$ acts directly in PMS catalysis. These results also confirm no activation of PMS by the stainless-steel cathode, as reported by other works using platinum cathodes.¹

3.4. Mechanism of Generation of Reactive Species. Using scavengers of free radicals and other reactive species is essential for studying their actions in advanced oxidation systems.[38](#page-12-0) Methanol (MeOH) interacts similarly with sulfate radicals (SO₄^{•−}) and hydroxyl radicals ([•]OH), having rate constants of 9.7×10^8 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ and 1.0×10^7 M⁻¹ s −1 , respectively; hence, its use suggests the involvement of radical reactions when MB degradation is inhibited.[21](#page-12-0) Conversely, *tert*butyl alcohol (TBA) predominantly scavenges • OH due to its significantly higher rate constant with $^{\bullet}\mathrm{OH}$ (3.8–7.6 \times 10 8 M $^{-1}$ s⁻¹) compared to SO₄^{•–} (4.0–9.1 × 10⁵ M⁻¹ s⁻¹).²¹ Sodium azide (SA) serves as a quencher of singlet oxygen $(^1O_2)$ as it can promote the nonradiative decay back to its ground state.^{[22](#page-12-0)}

As shown in Figure 9, under optimized conditions, the PEC/ aPMS system achieves a 90.4% degradation efficiency after 30 min without any scavengers. However, decolorization decreased to 37.7%, 37.1%, and 11.7% after adding MeOH, TBA, and SA, respectively. Thus, the main route of formation of reactive species via PMS activation by the Ti−O−CuNT electrode can be ascribed to the formation of the ${}^{1}O_{2}$. In addition, there is a contribution of photoelectrocatalytically generated • OH, but no SO4 •− was formed by PMS activation.

Considering pseudo-first-order kinetics for all curves is shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.4c07301/suppl_file/ao4c07301_si_001.pdf) S1, the rate constants, *k*, were calculated and are shown in Table 2. From these data, the contributions of the radical and nonradical pathways to the MB decolorization by PEC/aPMS were calculated using eqs 4 and 5°

$$
R_{\text{nonradical}} = \frac{k_{\text{MeOH}}}{k_{\text{total}}} \times 100\%
$$
\n⁽⁴⁾

$$
R_{\text{radical}} = 100\% - R_{\text{nonradical}} \tag{5}
$$

where *R*_{radical} and *R*_{nonradical} represent the estimated contribution rates calculated for the radical and nonradical mechanisms, respectively, k_{MeOH} is the rate constant in the presence of the

Figure 9. Influence of scavengers on the decolorization of MB dye solution by the PEC/aPMS system using sodium azide (SA), methyl alcohol (MeOH), and *tert*-butyl alcohol (TBA) in the proportion of 50 \times [PMS] = 0.4 M. Conditions: 25 mL MB 5 mg L⁻¹ in Na₂SO₄ 0.1 M; 0.5 V;[PMS] of 0.780 mM; pH 4; constant agitation; irradiation by a 10 W UV LED at 365 nm.

Table 2. Rate Constants (k) Obtained by $\ln[abs/abs_0]$ vs Time for the Degradation of 25 mL of 5 mg L^{-1} MB in $Na₂SO₄$ 0.1 M Using PEC/aPMS in the Absence and Presence of Different Scavengers

quencher	captured species $k \text{ (min}^{-1})$		R^2	contribution $(\%)$	
		0.0755	0.994		
MeOH	$SO_4^{\bullet-}$ e HO ^{\bullet}	0.0139	0.971	18.4	
TBA	HO^{\bullet}	0.0136	0.979	18.0	
sodium azide	$^{1}O_{2}$	0.0039	0.939	81.6	

scavenger MeOH, and k_{total} refers to the constant in the absence of scavengers.

As the contribution percentages of MeOH and TBA scavengers were very close (about 18%), there were no captured SO4 •− radicals but only • OH (generated by photoelectrocatalysis on $TiO₂$). Then, the contribution of the nonradical species $($ ¹O₂</sub>) was 81.6%. Therefore, the most significant contribution of decolorization is due to the nonradical pathway. However, at this moment, it is impossible to state that other species are not being generated, such as the surface-activated PMS route. 39,40 39,40 39,40

Activation of peroxymonosulfate (PMS) through both radical and nonradical pathways results in significant differences. The nonradical oxidation reactions have a significant advantage as they are not impacted by pH, are resistant to inorganic ions and organic matter found in wastewater, reduce the formation of toxic byproducts (such as chloride and bromide), and increase the efficiency of PMS consumption.³³

This evidence suggests a possible PMS activation mechanism by copper in the PEC/aPMS system. In the ${}^{1}O_{2}$ activation pathway, $\mathrm{SO_5}^\bullet/\mathrm{O_2}^{\bullet-}$ radicals are generally considered precursor intermediates. Moreover, these species can be produced by copper oxide. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) of the Ti−O−CuNT sample showed that the copper is mainly in the $Cu(I)$ oxidation state and, to a lesser extent, $Cu(II)$. The first step of PMS activation ($HSO₅⁻$) involves the formation of a high-valence oxidation state, Cu(III) ([eq](#page-9-0) 6).^{40,[41](#page-12-0)} Then, Cu(III) (in the form of the complex $[\equiv Cu(III)-O-H]$) reacts with PMS (HO−O−SO₃⁻), forming the metastable complex [\equiv $Cu(III)$ −O−O−SO₃] ([eq](#page-9-0) 7). Then, there is an electron transfer from the PMS (a ligand) to the metal, producing O₂ $^{\bullet -}$ [\(eq](#page-9-0) 8).⁴⁰ Subsequently, $O_2^{\bullet -}$ is oxidized by Cu(III) sites, generating ¹O₂ ([eqs](#page-9-0) 9 and [10](#page-9-0)), which is thermodynamically possible $(E^0_{\text{Cu(III)}/\text{Cu(II)}} = 2.3 \text{ V} \text{ vs } E^0_{\text{O}_2^{\bullet-}/^1\text{O}_2} = 0.79 \text{ V}.^{41}$ $(E^0_{\text{Cu(III)}/\text{Cu(II)}} = 2.3 \text{ V} \text{ vs } E^0_{\text{O}_2^{\bullet-}/^1\text{O}_2} = 0.79 \text{ V}.^{41}$ $(E^0_{\text{Cu(III)}/\text{Cu(II)}} = 2.3 \text{ V} \text{ vs } E^0_{\text{O}_2^{\bullet-}/^1\text{O}_2} = 0.79 \text{ V}.^{41}$ Another possible reaction to generate ${}^{1}O_{2}$ occurs by recombining two $O_{2}^{\bullet-}$ (eq 11).⁴⁰ Finally, ${}^{1}O_{2}$ acts directly on the MB decolorization.

$$
\equiv Cu(I) + HSO_5^- \rightarrow \equiv Cu(III) + SO_4^{2-} + OH^-
$$
 (6)

Cu(III) O H HO O SO Cu(III) O O SO H O 3 3 2 [] + [] + (7)

$$
2[\equiv Cu(HI) - O - O - SO_3] + 3H_2O + HO - O - SO_3^-
$$

$$
\rightarrow 2[\equiv Cu(III) - OH] + 2O_2^{--} + 7H^+ + 3SO_4^{2-} \qquad (8)
$$

$$
[\equiv Cu(III) - O – O – SO3] + 2O2• + HO•
$$

\n→ [≡Cu(II) – OH] + SO₄² + 3O₂[•] (9)

$$
2O_2^{\bullet -} + 2H_2O \to 2^{1}O_2 + H_2O_2 + 2HO^-
$$
 (10)

$$
2O_2^{\bullet -} + 2H^+ \to {}^1O_2 + H_2O_2 \tag{11}
$$

Additional ${}^{1}O_{2}$ production route is from ${SO_{5}}^{\bullet-}$. This can happen through direct one-electron oxidation of PMS by both $Cu(III)$ and $Cu(II)$ (eqs 12 and 13). Thus, the recombination of two $\mathrm{SO}_5^{\bullet-}$ radicals occurs, forming ${}^1\mathrm{O}_2$ (eq 14). Furthermore, the combination of $SO_5^{\bullet-}$ and $SO_4^{\bullet-}$ radicals can also occur to generate $^{1}O_{2}$ (eq 15). 40

$$
\equiv Cu(III) + HO - O - SO_3^- \rightarrow \equiv Cu(II) + SO_5^{\bullet -} + H^+ \tag{12}
$$

$$
\equiv Cu(\text{II}) + \text{HO} - \text{O} - \text{SO}_3^- \rightarrow \equiv Cu(\text{I}) + \text{SO}_5^{\bullet -} + \text{H}^+ \tag{13}
$$

$$
2SO_5^{\bullet -} + H_2O \to 1.S^1O_2 + 2HSO_4^{\bullet}
$$
 (14)

$$
SO_5^{\bullet -} + SO_4^{\bullet -} \to 0.5^1O_2 + S_2O_8^{2-} \tag{15}
$$

Although it is not entirely possible to rule out the activation of PMS through radical pathways due to the occurrence of multiple simultaneous reactions, the evidence obtained from experiments using scavengers suggests that the radical component of the PEC/aPMS system primarily stems from the photoelectrocatalytic process. In this process, the photogenerated holes oxidize water molecules and create radicals • OH. Therefore, Figure 10 illustrates the proposed mechanism for generating reactive species in the PEC/aPMS system.

3.5. Degradation of the Drugs Ibuprofen and Tetracycline for Proof of Concept. To demonstrate the broad applicability of the PEC/aPMS system, we evaluated its effectiveness against tetracycline (TC) and ibuprofen (IBP) alongside methylene blue (MB) (all 10 mg L^{-1} total organic carbon (TOC) solution in 0.1 M $Na₂SO₄$). As shown in [Figure](#page-10-0) [11](#page-10-0)a, the system achieved significant degradation for all contaminants within 60 min, reaching 78, 52, and 92% for MB, IBP, and TC, respectively. These results highlight the system's ability to degrade structurally diverse organic pollutants.

Finally, we tested that the PEC/aPMS system can activate PMS and significantly increase the photoelectrocatalytic system's efficiency with two other model contaminants: tetracycline and ibuprofen. [Figure](#page-10-0) 11a illustrates the percentage degradation over a 60 min treatment period, while [Figure](#page-10-0) 11b depicts the mineralization at the end of this duration. The photoelectrocatalytic system can degrade all the contaminants,

Figure 10. Proposed mechanism for generating reactive species in the photoelectrocatalytic system with the Ti−O−CuNT electrode in the presence of PMS (PEC/aPMS) during the degradation of methylene blue (MB) dye under irradiation. The mechanism was based on the results of experiments with different scavengers, and the detailed chemical reactions are presented in eqs 6−15.

which have very different chemical structures, reaching 78, 52, and 92% degradation for MB, IBP, and TC, respectively. On the other hand, TOC measurements showed an interesting behavior: when we quenched the 60 min sample with sodium azide, the mineralization was 28, 9, and 39% for MB, IBP, and TC, respectively. However, another sample that was not quenched was also measured, reaching 42, 50, and 73%, respectively. This result further confirms the nonradical mechanism, which has slower kinetics. This result confirms that singlet oxygen is generated since the surface-activated PMS route cannot occur without the catalyst material. Singlet oxygen is less efficient than radicals (such as sulfate/hydroxyl) in completely oxidizing contaminants. While less efficient than radicals in complete oxidation, singlet oxygen offers advantages like resistance to common wastewater contaminants and reduced formation of harmful byproducts. $\!^{40}$ $\!^{40}$ $\!^{40}$

To further evaluate the performance of the Ti−O−CuNT electrode in the PEC/aPMS system, we conducted a comparison to recently published studies using similar materials ([Table](#page-10-0) 3). Our system utilized contaminant concentrations that were even higher than those in other comparable studies, along with a less powerful, cost-effective light source that is more energy-efficient. Additionally, we maintained a compatible concentration of PMS, achieving excellent removal percentages for the contaminants. These results underscore the robustness of our PEC/aPMS system compared to other advanced photoelectrocatalytic technologies. Notably, our system demonstrated high stability and reusability as a single electrode was used for all experiments. In the event of electrode deactivation, the oxide layer can be easily removed, allowing for reanodization to regenerate a new catalytic oxide without complex procedures, excessive reagent costs, or waste generation. The table highlights the versatility of our system, particularly in its ability to effectively degrade structurally diverse pollutants, positioning it as a competitive option for wastewater treatment applications.

Figure 11. (a) Degradation (%) vs treatment time and (b) removal of total organic carbon (TOC) at 60 min for the treatment of different contaminants by the PEC/aPMS system: tetracycline (TC), methylene blue (MB), and ibuprofen (IBP), all 10 mg L[−]¹ in total organic carbon in 0.1 M Na₂SO₄. Conditions: [PMS] = 50 × [contaminant]; pH 4; constant agitation; irradiation by a 10 W UV LED at 365 nm. TOC samples were also quenched using sodium azide to stop reactions with reactive species.

Table 3. Comparative Analysis of Degradation Efficiencies of Various Contaminants by Photoelectrocatalysis-Assisted PMS Activation by Photoanodes

photoanode material	contaminant	[PMS]	light source	potential	degradation %	reference
Ti-O-Cu nanotubular mixed oxide grown on a TiCu alloy	methylene blue, MB $(16.6 \text{ mg } L^{-1})$	2.6 mM	10 W UV LED (365 nm)	$0.5 V$ vs Ag/AgCl	78% (MB)	this work
	ibuprofen, IBP $(13.9 \text{ mg } L^{-1})$	3.0 mM			52% (IBP)	
	tetracycline, TC $(17 \text{ mg } L^{-1})$	$1.7 \text{ }\mathrm{mM}$			92% (TC) (60 min)	
$MoS2(\emptyset)\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}\mathbb{C}$	norfloxacin $(2 \text{ mg } L^{-1})$	40 ppm (0.13 mM)	350 W xenon lamp with a 420 nm cutoff filter	1.5V	100% (30 min)	15
$g - C_3 N_4 / TiO_2$ nanotube arrays	tetracycline $(10 \text{ mg } L^{-1})$	2 mM	500 W xenon lamp	2.0V	95.69% (60 min)	42
BiVO ₄	bisphenol A $(10 \text{ mg } L^{-1})$	5 mM	300 W Xe lamp with a 420 nm cutoff filter	0.25 V vs SCE	100% (120 min)	43
$FTO-Bi_2WO_6$	sulfamethoxazole, SMX $(5 \text{ mg } L^{-1})$	3 mM	300 W xenon lamp with a AM1.5G filter	$1.0 V$ vs Ag/AgCl	98% (SMX)	44
	tetracycline, TC $(5 \text{ mg } L^{-1})$				79% (TC)	
	diclofenac chloride, DC (5 mg L^{-1})				83% (DC) (90 min)	
TiO_2/WO_3	17α -ethinyl estradiol (1 mg L ⁻¹)	10 mg L^{-1}	100 W xenon ozone-free solar simulator	$1.0 V$ vs Ag/AgCl	88.8% (60 min)	45
$Co-BiVO4$	bisphenol A $(20 \text{ mg } L^{-1})$	2 mM	300 W Xe-lamp (100 mW cm^{-2})	1.2V	99.16% (60 min)	16
$CoFe2 - BiVO4$	tetracycline $(20 \text{ mg } L^{-1})$	0.5 mM	300 W Xe lamp with a 420 nm cutoff filter	$0.6 V$ vs RHE	89.1% (60 min)	46
Sn-doped α -Fe ₂ O ₃	2-(4-isobutylphenyl)propanoic acid $(10 \text{ mg } L^{-1})$	5 mM	visible LED 400 nm, 6 mW cm^{-2}	$1.5 V$ vs RHE	99% (210 min)	47

4. CONCLUSIONS

In an unprecedented way, we showed that it is possible to activate PMS by the photoanode of a photoelectrochemical system consisting of a Ti−O−Cu mixed oxide nanotube. Through a $2³$ experimental design and surface response, the conditions of the combined PEC/aPMS system showed superior activity at pH 4, an applied potential of 0.5 V vs Ag/ AgCl, and a PMS concentration of 0.780 mM (50× the molar concentration of the contaminant). In only 30 min of treatment, 90.4% MB decolorization (5 mg L $^{-1})$ was achieved by the PEC/ aPMS system. Additionally, it was demonstrated that PMS activation occurs mainly through nonradical pathways, likely through the formation of singlet oxygen, ${}^{1}O_{2}$. Due to its preparation method (anodization of a Ti−Cu alloy), the catalyst (copper) is firmly bonded to the $TiO₂$ crystal structure, and the electrodes showed excellent stability. There is still a need to

assess the toxicity of the treated sample, the efficiency in the presence of real contaminated matrices, and the scale-up of the system, which will be evaluated in the future stages. These results are an essential step in the search for more efficient advanced oxidative processes, showing a new approach for applying highstability mixed oxide electrodes prepared from metal alloys.

■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

\bullet Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.4c07301.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.4c07301?goto=supporting-info)

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of data experimental design and curves of $ln[abs/abs_0]$ vs time (kinetics) ([PDF](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.4c07301/suppl_file/ao4c07301_si_001.pdf))

■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

Corresponding Author

Guilherme G. Bessegato − *Universidade Tecnológica Federal do Paraná (UTFPR), 85660-000 Dois Vizinhos, Parana, Brazil*; [orcid.org/0000-0003-4500-1173;](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4500-1173) Email: bessegato@utfpr.edu.br

Authors

Carolyne I. P. Crivelli − *Universidade Estadual do Oeste do Paraná (UNIOESTE), 85903-000 Toledo, Parana, Brazil* Juliana de Almeida − *Universidade Federal de Sa*̃*o Paulo*

(UNIFESP), 09913-030 Diadema, Sã*o Paulo, Brazil*

Cleber A. Lindino− *Universidade Estadual do Oeste do Paraná (UNIOESTE), 85903-000 Toledo, Parana, Brazil;* orcid.org/0000-0003-2465-0764

Lucio C. de Almeida − *Department of Chemistry, Universidade Estadual de Londrina (UEL), 86057-970 Londrina, Parana, Brazil*

Christiane A. Rodrigues − *Universidade Federal de Sa*̃*o Paulo (UNIFESP), 09913-030 Diadema, Sa*̃*o Paulo, Brazil;* orcid.org/0000-0002-1915-0936

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsomega.4c07301](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.4c07301?ref=pdf)

Funding

The Article Processing Charge for the publication of this research was funded by the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel - CAPES (ROR identifier: 00x0ma614).

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors would like to express their gratitude and indebtedness for the financial support provided by the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico-CNPq, Brazil (grant nos. 465571/2014-0, 428014/2018-6, and 406156/2022-0); Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo-FAPESP, Brazil (grant no. 2014/50945-4); Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior-CAPES, Brazil (finance code 001); and National Institute of Alternative Technologies for Detection, Toxicological Assessment and Removal of Micropollutants and Radioactives-INCT-DATREM. We also thank the Nucleo de Instrumentação para Pesquisa e Ensino�NIPE and Laboratório de Caracterização de Materiais-LACAM of the Centro de Equipamentos Multiusuários de Diadema-CEMUD-ICAQF/UNIFESP-Campus Diadema for the Raman, DRS, and XRD analyses; Brazilian Nanotechnology National Laboratory-LNNano/CNPEM, a private nonprofit organization under the supervision of the Brazilian Ministry for Science, Technology, and Innovations-MCTI for SEM (proposal no. 20230746) and XPS experiments (proposal no. 20232113); and Center of Analyses (LabCA) from the Universidade Tecnológica Federal do Paraná-Campus Dois Vizinhos-for the TOC and HPLC analyses.

■ **REFERENCES**

(1) Khan, S.; Naushad, M.; Govarthanan, M.; Iqbal, J.; Alfadul, S. M. Emerging [Contaminants](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.112609) of High Concern for the Environment: Current Trends and Future [Research.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.112609) *Environ. Res.* 2022, *207*, 112609. (2) Petrie, B.; Barden, R.; Kasprzyk-Hordern, B. A [Review](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.08.053) on Emerging Contaminants in Wastewaters and the Environment: Current Knowledge, Understudied Areas and [Recommendations](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.08.053) for Future [Monitoring.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.08.053) *Water Res.* 2015, *72*, 3−27.

(3) Mukherjee, J.; Lodh, B. K.; Sharma, R.; Mahata, N.; Shah, M. P.; Mandal, S.; Ghanta, S.; Bhunia, B. Advanced [Oxidation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.140473) Process for the Treatment of Industrial [Wastewater:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.140473) A Review on Strategies, [Mechanisms,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.140473) Bottlenecks and Prospects. *Chemosphere* 2023, *345*, 140473.

(4) Preethi; Shanmugavel, S. P.; Kumar, G.; N, Y. K.; M, G.; J, R. B. Recent Progress in [Mineralization](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2023.122842) of Emerging Contaminants by Advanced [Oxidation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2023.122842) Process: A Review. *Environ. Pollut.* 2024, *341*, 122842.

(5) Sharma, A.; Ahmad, J.; Flora, S. J. S. [Application](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2018.07.010) of Advanced Oxidation Processes and Toxicity Assessment of [Transformation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2018.07.010) [Products.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2018.07.010) *Environ. Res.* 2018, *167*, 223−233.

(6) Wang, J.; Wang, S. Toxicity Changes of [Wastewater](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.128202) during Various Advanced Oxidation Processes [Treatment:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.128202) An Overview. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2021, *315*, 128202.

(7) Ghanbari, F.; Moradi, M. Application of [Peroxymonosulfate](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.10.064) and its Activation Methods for Degradation of [Environmental](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.10.064) Organic [Pollutants:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.10.064) Review. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2017, *310*, 41−62.

(8) Ren, G.; Zhang, J.; Wang, X.; Liu, G.; Zhou, M. A [Critical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.173839) Review of [Persulfate-Based](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.173839) Electrochemical Advanced Oxidation Processes for the Degradation of Emerging [Contaminants:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.173839) From Mechanisms and Electrode Materials to [Applications.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.173839) *Sci. Total Environ.* 2024, *944*, 173839.

(9) Ding, Y.; Wang, X.; Fu, L.; Peng, X.; Pan, C.; Mao, Q.; Wang, C.; Yan, J. Nonradicals Induced [Degradation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142794) of Organic Pollutants by Peroxydisulfate (PDS) and [Peroxymonosulfate](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142794) (PMS): Recent Advances and [Perspective.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142794) *Sci. Total Environ.* 2021, *15*, 142794.

(10) Tian, D.; Zhou, H.; Zhang, H.; Zhou, P.; You, J.; Yao, G.; Pan, Z.; Liu, Y.; Lai, B. Heterogeneous [Photocatalyst-Driven](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.131166) Persulfate Activation Process under Visible Light [Irradiation:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.131166) From Basic Catalyst Design Principles to Novel [Enhancement](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.131166) Strategies. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2022, *428*, 131166.

(11) Li, N.; Tang, S.; Rao, Y.; Qi, J.; Zhang, Q.; Yuan, D. [Peroxymonosulfate](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2018.12.063) Enhanced Antibiotic Removal and Synchronous Electricity Generation in a [Photocatalytic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2018.12.063) Fuel Cell. *Electrochim. Acta* 2019, *298*, 59−69.

(12) Lim, J.; Kwak, D.; Sieland, F.; Kim, C.; Bahnemann, D. W.; Choi, W. Visible Light-Induced Catalytic Activation of [Peroxymonosulfate](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.12.025) Using [Heterogeneous](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.12.025) Surface Complexes of Amino Acids on TiO₂. *Appl. Catal., B* 2018, *225*, 406−414.

(13) Wang, K.; Liang, G.; Waqas, M.; Yang, B.; Xiao, K.; Zhu, C.; Zhang, J. Peroxymonosulfate Enhanced [Photoelectrocatalytic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2019.116301) Degradation of Ofloxacin Using an [EasilyCoatedCathode.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2019.116301) *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 2020, *236*, 116301.

(14) Liu, X.; You, S.; Ren, N.; Zhou, H.; Zhang, J. [Complete](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125682) Solar-Driven [Dual-Photoelectrode](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125682) Fuel Cell for Water Purification and Power Generation in the Presence of [Peroxymonosulfate.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125682) *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2021, *416*, 125682.

(15) Zheng, Z.; Zhang, Z.; Wong, K. C. J.; Lung, C. W.; Khan, M.; He, J.; Kumar, A.; Lo, I. M. C. Facilitating [Peroxymonosulfate](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2022.139591) Activation for Effective Antibiotics [Degradation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2022.139591) from Drinking Water by Photo[electrocatalytic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2022.139591) System Using $MoS₂$ Embedded Carbon Substrate. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2023, *452* (P4), 139591.

(16) Bacha, A. U. R.; Nabi, I.; Cheng, H.; Li, K.; Ajmal, S.; Wang, T.; Zhang, L. [Photoelectrocatalytic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.124482) Degradation of Endocrine-Disruptor Bisphenol − A with Significantly Activated [Peroxymonosulfate](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.124482) by Co-BiVO4 [Photoanode.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.124482) *Chem. Eng. J.* 2020, *389*, 124482.

(17) Bessegato, G. G.; Guaraldo, T. T.; de Brito, J. F.; Brugnera, M. F.; Zanoni, M. V. B. Achievements and Trends in [Photoelectrocatalysis:](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12678-015-0259-9) From [Environmental](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12678-015-0259-9) to Energy Applications. *Electrocatalysis* 2015, *6* (5), 415−441.

(18) Myers, R. H.; Montgomery, D. C.; Anderson-Cook, C. M. *Response Surface Methodology: Process and Product Optimization Using Designed Experiments*, 3rd ed.; John Wiley and Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, 2009.

(19) Almeida, L. C.; Garcia-Segura, S.; Bocchi, N.; Brillas, E. [Solar](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2011.01.003) [Photoelectro-Fenton](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2011.01.003) Degradation of Paracetamol Using a Flow Plant with a [Pt/Air-Diffusion](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2011.01.003) Cell Coupled with a Compound Parabolic Collector: Process Optimization by Response Surface [Methodology.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2011.01.003) *Appl. Catal., B* 2011, *103* (1−2), 21−30.

(20) Rajendran, H. K.; Fakrudeen, M. A. D.; Chandrasekar, R.; Silvestri, S.; Sillanpää, M.; Padmanaban, V. C. A [Comprehensive](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2022.102827) Review on Analytical and Equation Derived [Multivariate](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2022.102827) Chemometrics for the Accurate [Interpretation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2022.102827) of the Degradation of Aqueous [Contaminants.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2022.102827) *Environ. Technol. Innov.* 2022, *28*, 102827.

(21) Cai, J.; Zhou, M.; Pan, Y.; Du, X.; Lu, X. [Extremely](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.117902) Efficient [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.117902) Degradation of Organic Pollutants with Co-Gener-ation of Hydroxyl and Sulfate Radicals on Blue-TiO₂ [Nanotubes](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.117902) [Anode.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.117902) *Appl. Catal., B* 2019, *257*, 117902.

(22) Burns, J. M.; Cooper, W. J.; Ferry, J. L.; King, D. W.; DiMento, B. P.; McNeill, K.; Miller, C. J.; Miller, W. L.; Peake, B. M.; Rusak, S. A.; Rose, A. L.; Waite, T. D. [Methods](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-012-0251-x) for Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) Detection in Aqueous [Environments.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-012-0251-x) *Aquat. Sci.* 2012, *74* (4), 683− 734.

(23) Murray, J. L. The Cu-Ti [\(Copper-Titanium\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02880329) System. *Bull. Alloy Phase Diagrams* 1983, *4* (1), 81−95.

(24) de Almeida, J.; Camara, ̂ S. H.; Bertazzoli, R.; Rajeshwar, K.; da Silva, R. A. G.; de Arruda Rodrigues, C. Selective [Photoelectrocatalytic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2023.147117) CO2 Reduction to Ethanol Using [Nanotubular](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2023.147117) Oxides Grown on [Metastable](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2023.147117) Ti-Cu Alloy. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2023, *477*, 147117.

(25) Moulder, J. F.; Chastain, J. *Handbook of X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy: A Reference Book of Standard Spectra for Identification and Interpretation of XPS Data*; Physical Electronics Division, Perkin-Elmer Corporation, 1992.

(26) Poulston, S.; Parlett, P. M.; Stone, P.; Bowker, M. [Surface](https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1096-9918(199611)24:12<811::aid-sia191>3.0.co;2-z) Oxidation and [Reduction](https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1096-9918(199611)24:12<811::aid-sia191>3.0.co;2-z) of CuO and Cu₂O Studied Using XPS and [XAES.](https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1096-9918(199611)24:12<811::aid-sia191>3.0.co;2-z) *Surf. Interface Anal.* 1996, *24*, 811−820.

(27) Idriss, H. On the Wrong [Assignment](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.susc.2021.121894) of the XPS O 1s Signal at 531−532 EV [Attributed](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.susc.2021.121894) to Oxygen Vacancies in Photo- and Electro-Catalysts for Water Splitting and Other Materials [Applications.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.susc.2021.121894) *Surf. Sci.* 2021, *712*, 121894.

(28) Kim, H. I.; Kim, J.; Kim, W.; Choi, W. Enhanced [Photocatalytic](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp1122823?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and [Photoelectrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp1122823?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Activity in the Ternary Hybrid of CdS/ [TiO2/WO3](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp1122823?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) through the Cascadal Electron Transfer. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2011, *115* (19), 9797−9805.

(29) Zhang, L.; Cao, H.; Lu, Y.; Zhang, H.; Hou, G.; Tang, Y.; Zheng, G. [High-Efficiency](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10008-019-04439-7) and Sustainable Photoelectric Conversion of $CO₂$ to Methanol over [CuxO/TNTs](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10008-019-04439-7) Catalyst by Pulse Potential Method. *J. Solid State Electrochem.* 2020, *24* (2), 447−459.

(30) Wang, Y.; Yang, R.; Ding, Y.; Zhang, B.; Li, H.; Bai, B.; Li, M.; Cui, Y.; Xiao, J.; Wu, Z. S. Unraveling Oxygen Vacancy Site [Mechanism](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-37008-8) of Rh-Doped RuO₂ Catalyst for [Long-Lasting](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-37008-8) Acidic Water Oxidation. *Nat. Commun.* 2023, *14* (1), 1412.

(31) Paramasivam, I.; Jha, H.; Liu, N.; Schmuki, P. A [Review](https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.201200564) of Photocatalysis Using [Self-Organized](https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.201200564) TiO₂ Nanotubes and Other Ordered Oxide [Nanostructures.](https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.201200564) *Small* 2012, *8* (20), 3073−3103.

(32) Oh, W. D.; Dong, Z.; Lim, T. T. [Generation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2016.04.003) of Sulfate Radical through [Heterogeneous](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2016.04.003) Catalysis for Organic Contaminants Removal: Current [Development,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2016.04.003) Challenges and Prospects. *Appl. Catal., B* 2016, *194*, 169−201.

(33) Zhou, X.; Zhao, Q.; Wang, J.; Chen, Z.; Chen, Z. [Nonradical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.128312) Oxidation Processes in PMS-Based [Heterogeneous](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.128312) Catalytic System: Generation, Identification, Oxidation [Characteristics,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.128312) Challenges Response and [Application](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.128312) Prospects. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2021, *410*, 128312.

(34) Bezerra, M. A.; Santelli, R. E.; Oliveira, E. P.; Villar, L. S.; Escaleira, L. A. Response Surface [Methodology](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2008.05.019) (RSM) as a Tool for [Optimization](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2008.05.019) in Analytical Chemistry. *Talanta* 2008, *76* (5), 965−977.

(35) Kosmulski, M. The [Significance](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0001-8686(02)00080-5) of the Difference in the Point of Zero Charge [between](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0001-8686(02)00080-5) Rutile and Anatase. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2002, *99* (3), 255−264.

(36) Khalik, W. F.; Ho, L. N.; Ong, S. A.; Voon, C. H.; Wong, Y. S.; Yusoff, N. A.; Lee, S. L.; Yusuf, S. Y. [Optimization](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.05.160) of Degradation of Reactive Black 5 (RB5) and Electricity [Generation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.05.160) in Solar Photo[catalytic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.05.160) Fuel Cell System. *Chemosphere* 2017, *184*, 112−119.

(37) Çobanoğlu, K.; Değermenci, N. [Comparison](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-022-09964-z) of Reactive Azo Dye Removal with UV/H_2O_2 , $UV/S_2O_8^{2-}$ and UV/HSO_5^- Processes in Aqueous [Solutions.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-022-09964-z) *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 2022, *194* (4), 302.

(38) Huang, Y.; Nengzi, L. c.; Zhang, X.; Gou, J.; Gao, Y.; Zhu, G.; Cheng, Q.; Cheng, X. Catalytic Degradation of [Ciprofloxacin](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.124274) by Magnetic $CuS/Fe₂O₃/Mn₂O₃$ Nanocomposite Activated Peroxymonosulfate: Influence Factors, [Degradation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.124274) Pathways and Reaction [Mechanism.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.124274) *Chem. Eng. J.* 2020, *388*, 124274.

(39) Xing, S.; Li, W.; Liu, B.; Wu, Y.; Gao, Y. Removal of [Ciprofloxacin](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.122837) by Persulfate Activation with CuO: A [PH-Dependent](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.122837) Mechanism. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2020, *382*, 122837.

(40) Ding, Y.; Fu, L.; Peng, X.; Lei, M.; Wang, C.; Jiang, J. [Copper](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.131776) Catalysts for Radical and [Nonradical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.131776) Persulfate Based Advanced Oxidation Processes: Certainties and [Uncertainties.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.131776) *Chem. Eng. J.* 2022, *427*, 131776.

(41) Jawad, A.; Zhan, K.; Wang, H.; Shahzad, A.; Zeng, Z.; Wang, J.; Zhou, X.; Ullah, H.; Chen, Z.; Chen, Z. Tuning of Persulfate [Activation](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b04696?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) from a Free Radical to a Nonradical Pathway through the [Incorporation](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b04696?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Non-Redox [Magnesium](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b04696?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Oxide. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2020, *54* (4), 2476−2488.

(42) Li, Q.; Wang, Z.; Li, Y.; Zhang, H. [Degradation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mssp.2024.108879) of Tetracycline [Hydrochloride](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mssp.2024.108879) through $G-C_3N_4/TiO_2$ Nanotube Arrays for Photo[electrocatalytic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mssp.2024.108879) Activation of PMS System: Performance and [Mechanistic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mssp.2024.108879) Analysis. *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.* 2025, *185*, 108879. (43) Shao, H.; Wang, Y.; Zeng, H.; Zhang, J.; Wang, Y.; Sillanpää, M.; Zhao, X. Enhanced [Photoelectrocatalytic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.121105) Degradation of Bisphenol a by BiVO4 Photoanode Coupling with [Peroxymonosulfate.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.121105) *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2020, *394*, 121105.

(44) Orimolade, B. O.; Idris, A. O.; Feleni, U.; Mamba, B. Peroxymonosulfate Assisted [Photoelectrocatalytic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2022.106481) Degradation of [Pharmaceuticals](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2022.106481) at a $FTO-Bi_2WO_6$ Electrode: Mechanism and Kinetics [Studies.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2022.106481) *Catal. Commun.* 2022, *169*, 106481.

(45) Dhawle, R.; Giannakopoulos, S.; Frontistis, Z.; Mantzavinos, D. Peroxymonosulfate Enhanced [Photoelectrocatalytic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2023.02.003) Degradation of 17*α*-Ethinyl [Estradiol.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2023.02.003) *Catal. Today* 2023, *413*−*415*, 114026.

(46) Wang, W.; Liu, X.; Jing, J.; Mu, J.; Wang, R.; Du, C.; Su, Y. [Photoelectrocatalytic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2023.03.202) Peroxymonosulfate Activation over CoFe₂O₄-BiVO4 Photoanode for [Environmental](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2023.03.202) Purification: Unveiling of Multi-Active Sites, Interfacial Engineering and [Degradation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2023.03.202) Pathways. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2023, *644*, 519−532.

(47) Machreki, M.; Tyuliev, G.; Ž igon, D.; Guo, Q.; Chouki, T.; Sobrido, A. B. J.; Dimitrov, S.; Emin, S. [Photoelectrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2023.115126) Activation of [Peroxymonosulfate](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2023.115126) Using Sn-Doped α -Fe₂O₃ Thin Film for Degradation of [Anti-Inflammatory](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2023.115126) Pharmaceutical Drug. *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A* 2024, *446*, 115126.