RESEARCH

BMC Veterinary Research



Characterization and antimicrobial resistance of *Staphylococcus hyicus* from swine exudative epidermitis in South Korea

Chi Sun Yun¹, Su-Min Kang¹, Dong Hyeon Kwon¹, Sanghyub Lee¹, Gyu-Tae Jeon¹, Hye Jeong Kang², Jongho Kim¹, Jae-Won Byun¹, Bok-Kyung Ku¹, Mi-Hye Hwang¹ and Ha-Young Kim^{1*}

Abstract

Background *Staphylococcus hyicus* causes porcine exudative epidermitis, predominantly affecting suckling and weaned piglets. This bacterium produces various exfoliative toxins (ExhA, ExhB, ExhC, ExhD, SHETA, and SHETB), which are responsible for the clinical manifestations of exudative epidermitis. However, treatment failure is common due to frequent antimicrobial resistance in porcine strains. Therefore, this study aimed to identify the genes encoding exfoliative toxins and assess the antimicrobial resistance profiles of *S. hyicus*. A total of 17 *S. hyicus* isolates were collected from piglets with skin lesions from 2014 to 2021. All strains were subjected to species-specific polymerase chain reaction targeting *sodA* to confirm the presence of *S. hyicus*, and polymerase chain reaction amplification of exfoliative toxin genes (*exhA*, *exhB*, *exhC*, *exhD*, *sheta*, and *shetb*) was performed to differentiate toxigenic strains. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis analysis and minimum inhibitory concentration tests using broth microdilution were conducted to further analyze the strains.

Results Exfoliative toxin genes were detected in 52.9% (n = 9) of the *S. hyicus* isolates, with notable detection of *exhB* (17.6%), *exhC* (17.6%), *exhD* (11.8%), *exhA* (5.9%), *sheta* (0%), and *shetb* (0%). Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis analysis categorized the isolates into 11 pulsotypes with 70% similarity. Among 18 tested antimicrobials, all isolates exhibited 100% susceptibility to ceftiofur and sulfonamides and high susceptibility rates to neomycin, tilmicosin, and tetracyclines. Whereas the susceptibility rate of spectinomycin was 0% in all isolates, multidrug resistance was observed in 82.4% of the isolates, and in all toxigenic strains.

Conclusions These findings provide crucial insights for monitoring and devising effective treatment strategies for managing exudative epidermitis in pigs caused by *S. hyicus*.

Keywords Antimicrobial resistance, Exfoliative toxin, Exudative epidermitis, Staphylococcus hyicus, Piglets

*Correspondence:

Ha-Young Kim

kimhy@korea.kr; jnm4jesus@naver.com

¹Animal Disease Diagnostic Division, Animal and Plant Quarantine Agency, 177 Hyeoksin 8-ro, Gimcheon 39660, Republic of Korea

²Bacterial Disease Division, Animal and Plant Quarantine Agency,

Gimcheon 39660, South Korea



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

Background

Staphylococcus hyicus is an important pathogen on swine farms worldwide because it causes exudative epidermitis (EE), also known as greasy pig disease, in young pigs [1]. EE is characterized by skin exfoliation with crusts, vesicles, and pustules, leading to considerable economic losses due to high morbidity and moderate mortality rates in pig-producing countries. It also causes significant discomfort and distress to the affected animals [2, 3]. Furthermore, *S. hyicus* is known to infect various animal species, including horses, goats, and cattle, and poses a zoonotic risk to humans [4–6].

S. hyicus can be classified into toxigenic and non-toxigenic strains based on their ability to cause EE in pigs, with specific virulence genes identified [7]. Exfoliative toxins (ExhA, ExhB, ExhC, ExhD, SHETA, and SHETB) are critical virulence factors of *S. hyicus*, inducing the characteristic symptoms of EE by cleaving the cell-to-cell adhesion of keratinocytes in the stratum granulosum of the superficial epidermis [3, 8]. While toxigenic strains of *S. hyicus* have been extensively examined due to their direct association with EE, non-toxigenic strains also play a significant role in the epidermitis that EE causes in piglets and should be considered in EE research [7, 9].

Research on the characteristics and antimicrobial resistance of *S. hyicus* isolated from EE is limited in Korea, as most studies are conducted locally [10-12]. *S. hyicus* infections are a major concern for pig breeders, and antimicrobial therapy is commonly employed during acute disease outbreaks due to the absence of a vaccine [13]. However, effective treatment is frequently hindered by the emergence of antimicrobial resistance among *S. hyicus* strains. Moreover, comprehensive data on resistance patterns in *S. hyicus* are lacking. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the pathological and molecular characteristics and antimicrobial resistance profiles of toxigenic and non-toxigenic strains of *S. hyicus* isolated from piglets exhibiting clinical signs of EE in South Korea.

Methods

Samples and bacterial isolation

Piglets with skin lesions were presented to the Animal and Plant Quarantine Agency of Korea for differential diagnosis between 2014 and 2021. The piglets' bodies were covered with a moist, greasy exudate, and some exhibited thick, crusty lesions either covering the entire body or appearing as discrete, circumscribed lesions that did not coalesce. After necropsy, bacterial cultures were performed on selected skin samples corresponding to the gross lesions in each case. The samples were inoculated on 5% sheep blood agar plates (Asan Pharm Co., Seoul, Republic of Korea), and incubated aerobically at 37 °C. The suspected *Staphylococcus* colonies were isolated and identified as *S. hyicus* by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS; VITEK[®] MS; bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France).

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay for exfoliative toxin genes

Genomic DNA was extracted using the Maxwell[®] RSC PureFood GMO Kit (REF AS1600; Promega, Madison, WI, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. Extracted DNA from *S. hyicus* isolates was subjected to species-specific PCR targeting the *sodA* (superoxide dismutase A encoding gene) to confirm *S. hyicus* identity [14]. The presence of exfoliative toxin genes (*exhA*, *exhB*, *exhC*, *exhD*, *sheta*, and *shetb*) in the genomes of the isolates was confirmed using previously published primers and protocols [15, 16].

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE)

Following the CDC PulseNet protocol [17], DNA from *S. hyicus* isolates was digested using the *Sma*I enzyme (Takara Bio Inc., Shiga, Japan). Electrophoresis was conducted using the CHEF-DR[®] III PFGE system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA), and PFGE banding profiles were analyzed using BioNumerics software version 8.0 (Applied Maths, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium). The Dice coefficient and unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean were employed for analysis. Isolates exhibiting a coefficient of similarity of \geq 70% were considered genetically closely related [18].

Antimicrobial susceptibility test

Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were determined using the standard micro broth dilution method, as recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute [19]. The Sensititre Standard Susceptibility MIC Plates BOPO6F panel (Trek Diagnostic Systems, Cleveland, OH, USA), which contains 18 antimicrobials, was used according to the manufacturer's instructions. *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 25923) served as the quality control strain. Multidrug resistance (MDR) was defined as resistance to three or more antimicrobial subclasses.

Results

Detection of exfoliative toxin genes from *Staphylococcus* hyicus

A total of 17 *S. hyicus* isolates were obtained from different farms, with their clinical descriptions summarized in Fig. 1. All piglets were suckling (n=8) or weaned (n=9) and exhibited systemic atrophy and skin lesions, including erythema, skin thickening, and crust formation. Most cases demonstrated the following histological lesions, except for two cases with no records: hyperkeratosis and/

	% Similarity					2201011	1.07							1 (77
		Î	TOXIN GENE	YEAR	SEA SON	REGION	AGE	CO-INFECTION	CR/HK/HP	ERO/ULC	PN-epi	PN-derms	Antimicrobial Resistance Pattern	MDR
_	76.9.		Non	2014	Fall	Central	Wean	PRRSV	++++	+++	+++	++	PEN-DAN/ENO-GEN/NEO-CLI-FFL-TYLT/TUL	б
	68.3.	T. []	exhD	2017	Fall	Nothern	Wean	None	++	++	+++	++	PEN-DAN/ENO-GEN-CLI-TYLT/TUL	5
6	s — 11		exhD	2021	Fall	Central	Wean	None	+++		+++	+	PEN-DAN/ENO-GEN/SPE-CLI-TYLT/TUL-TIA	б
56.3,			exhA	2016	Winter	Central	Wean	PRRSV/PCV2	NT	NT	NT	NT	SPE-CTC/OTC-CLI-TIL/TYLT/TUL-TIA	5
55.6,	——— Tifi	1011	exhC	2018	Spring	Central	Suck	None	+++	++	+++	++	PEN-DAN/ENO-GEN/NEO/SPE-CLI-FFL-TIL/TYLT/TUL	б
	90. []		Non	2018	Spring	Southern	Suck	PRRSV	+++	+	++	-	PEN-DAN/ENO-CTC/OTC-CLI-TIL/TYLT/TUL	5
	-111		Non	2021	Fall	Central	Wean	None	+	+	+	+	PEN-SPE-CTC/OTC-CLI-FFL-TIL/TYLT/TUL-TIA	7
	83.3. 111	1 1111	exhC	2019	Spring	Central	Suck	None	++	+	+++	+	AMP/PEN-SPE-CLI-TIA	4
	78.3. []]]		Non	2020	Fall	Central	Suck	None	+++	++	+++		PEN	1
54.9,	e <u>so.</u>		exhB	2019	Spring	Central	Suck	None	++		++	-	DAN/ENO-GEN/SPE-CLI-FFL-TYLT/TUL-TIA	6
	88.0.		Non	2019	Winter	Central	Wean	None	+++	++	+++	+	PEN-FFL	2
63			Non	2019	Spring	Southern	Suck	None	++	+	++	+	PEN-FFL	2
	75.0.		exhB	2019	Spring	Central	Suck	None	NT	NT	NT	NT	DAN/ENO-GEN/NEO/SPE-CTC/OTC-CLI-FFL-TYLT/TUL-TIA	7
61.3			exhB	2020	Spring	Central	Wean	PRRSV	+++	+	++	+	PEN-DAN/ENO-SPE-CLI-FFL-TYLT/TUL-TIA	7
593,	st.7.		exhC	2015	Spring	Central	Suck	None	+++	++	++	+	AMP/PEN-DAN/ENO-GEN/NEO-CLI-FFL-TYLT/TUL	б
			Non	2016	Fall	Central	Wean	PRRSV/PCV2/HPS/SS/PM	+	++	++	+	PEN-DAN/ENO-GEN/SPE-CTC/OTC-CLI-FFL-TYLT/TUL-TIA	8
	——————————————————————————————————————		Non	2017	Winter	Nothem	Wean	SS	++	+	+++	++	PEN-DAN/ENO-GEN/NEO/SPE-CTC/OTC-CLI-TIL/TYLT/TUL-TIA	7

Fig. 1 Dendrogram showing the relationship among 17 Staphylococcus hyicus pulsotypes, exfoliative toxin gene detection, pathologic features, and antimicrobial resistance profiles

or epithelial hyperplasia (n=15), erosion and/or ulceration in the epidermis (n=13), pyonecrotic epidermitis (n=15), and/or dermatitis (n=12). Additionally, one suckling piglet (12.5%) and five weaned piglets (55.6%) were co-infected with other viral or bacterial pathogens, including porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus, porcine circovirus 2, Streptococcus suis, Glaesserella parasuis, and Pasteurella multocida. Overall, 9 of the 17 isolates (52.9%) were identified as toxigenic strains. The highest frequencies were for exhB (n=3) and exhC(n=3) at 17.6% each, followed by for *exhD* (11.8%, n=2) and exhA (5.9%, n=1), with neither sheta nor shetb detected. Toxigenic strains were predominantly found in the central region (n=8/9) and during spring (n=6/9), with no difference between suckling (n=5) and weaned (n=4) piglets (Fig. 1).

PFGE analysis of Staphylococcus hyicus

All isolates were categorized into 11 pulsotypes with 70% similarity. The dendrogram revealed no cluster formation based on toxin gene presence, years of isolation, season, region, age, or antimicrobial resistance patterns (Fig. 1).

Antimicrobial susceptibility of Staphylococcus hyicus

Table 1 describes the antimicrobial resistance and cumulative percentages of *S. hyicus* isolates, including nine toxigenic and eight non-toxigenic strains. All isolates were 100% susceptible to ceftiofur and sulfonamides, while neomycin (70.6%), tilmicosin (70.6%), and tetracyclines (64.7%) showed relatively high susceptibility rates. Conversely, susceptibility rates for spectinomycin (0%), clindamycin (17.6%), penicillin (17.6%), ampicillin (23.5%), florfenicol (23.5%), tylosin (23.5%),

tulathromycin (23.5%), and fluoroquinolones (29.4%) were relatively low. Resistance to ampicillin, fluoroquinolones, aminoglycosides, clindamycin, tylosin tartrate, tulathromycin, and tiamulin was higher in toxigenic strains than in non-toxigenic strains, whereas resistance to penicillin, tetracyclines, and tilmicosin was higher in non-toxigenic strains. The prevalence of MDR was very high at 82.4%, excluding three non-toxigenic strains (Fig. 1). Additionally, toxigenic strains were all resistant to clindamycin and exhibited 100% MDR, whereas non-toxigenic strains were all resistant to penicillin.

Discussion

S. hyicus has been globally recognized as the causative pathogen of EE in pigs for over 180 years, establishing it as a significant staphylococcal skin disease. Clinical manifestations are most severe in piglets aged 3–32 days, often leading to dehydration and potential mortality [20, 21]. While extensive research has been conducted on staphylococcal-induced EE [22–26], studies specifically targeting *S. hyicus* remains sparse, both nationally and globally.

In this study, we investigated the exfoliative toxins produced by *S. hyicus* isolated from pigs with EE. Prior studies in South Korea have documented swine EE and associated mortality caused by *S. hyicus* on farms in the Gyeongsang [10], Chungcheong [27], and Jeolla provinces [12], with exacerbation of some cases due to concurrent viral infections. However, in-depth studies of the exfoliative toxins produced by *S. hyicus* are limited. These toxins are key virulence factors of *S. hyicus*, and ExhA, ExhB, ExhC, ExhD, SHETA, and SHETB toxins facilitate skin exfoliation in pigs [3, 15, 16]. All variants of these

Antimicrobial class or subclass	Antimicrobials	Cumulative percentage of s tration (µg/ml)	trains inhibited at a	ntimicrobial concen-	MIC ₅₀ (µg/mL) ^a	MIC ₉₀ (µg/mL) ^a	S (%) ^b	q (%) I	R (%) ^b	MIC breakpoint
		≤0.12 0.25 0.5 1 2	4 8 16	32 64 128 256						
Penicillinase-labile penicillins	Ampicillin	23.5 88.2 100			0.5	-	23.5	64.7	11.8	
	Penicillin	17.6 23.5 35.3 64.7 94	.1 100		-	2	17.6	P QN	82.4	≥ 0.25
Cephalosporin III	Ceftiofur	94.1 10	0		-	<i>—</i>	100	P QN	0	≥ 8
Fluoroquinolone	Danofloxacin	17.6 29.4 35.3 100			-	<i>—</i>	29.4	5.9	64.7	~I
	Enrofloxacin	29.4 35.3	100		4	4	29.4	5.9	64.7	≥4
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin	41.2	47.1 64.7 100		00	16	47.1	17.6	35.3	≥ 16
	Neomycin		35.3 70.6 88.2	100	8	32	70.6	P ON	29.4	≥ 16
	Spectinomycin			41.2 100	128	128	0	41.2	58.8	≥ 128
Tetracyclines	Oxytetracycline	64.7	100		0.5	8	64.7	P QN	35.3	≥ 2
	Chlortetracycline	64.7	100		0.5	8	64.7	P ON	35.3	≥ 2
Lincosamides	Clindamycin	17.6	23.5 100		16	16	17.6	P QN	82.4	≥4
Phenicols	Florfenicol	23	.5 41.2 100		8	8	23.5	17.6	58.8	8 <1
Macrolides	Tilmicosin		35.3 64.7 70.6	100	8	64	70.6	P ON	29.4	≥ 32
	Tylosin	23.5		100	32	32	23.5	P ON	76.5	≥ 4
	Tulathromycin	23	.5	100	64	64	23.5	P ON	76.5	≥ 64
Sulfonamides	Sulfadimethoxine			100	256	256	100	P ON	0	≥ 512
	Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazol	10	0		2	2	100	P ON	0	≥ 4/76
Pleuromutilins	Tiamulin	41.2	47.1	100	32	32	47.1	P QN	52.9	≥ 32
n=17 (piglets with exudativ	/e epidermitis)									
The gray zone represents th	ne tested concentration range for eac	ch antimicrobial on the BOPO6F pla	ite							
a. MIC ₅₀ and MIC ₉₀ concent	rations at which isolate growth was ii	nhibited by 50% and 90%, respectiv	vely							
b. S. susceptible: I. intermec	diate: R. resistant									

(2024) 20:533

Page 4 of 7

c. MIC breakpoints of 18 antimicrobials are indicated as vertical double lines according to CLSI guidelines (2018), except for neomycin, which follows the recommendations from a previous study (Moreno et al., 2023) d. ND, not determined

Susceptibility and resistance are indicated by vertical double (sensitive) lines based on the reference guidelines for each antimicrobial

exfoliative toxins induce blister formation in porcine skin by cleaving desmoglein-1, though human desmoglein-1 is resistant to these toxins [3, 8]. Although toxigenic strains of S. hyicus have been isolated from both healthy and diseased pigs, the isolation rate is higher in pigs affected by EE than in healthy pigs [16, 22, 28]. In this study, 52.9% of the isolates were identified as toxigenic, consistent with the findings of Andresen et al. [22], who reported that 47.1-66.7% of S. hyicus strains isolated from pigs with EE appeared toxigenic. However, these findings contrast with those of Russian [29] and Brazilian [18] studies which reported that approximately 90% of isolates are toxigenic. The highest detection rates in this study were for exhB and exhC (17.6% each), followed by for exhD (11.8%) and exhA (5.9%). Although the number of isolates was insufficient for a robust comparison with other studies, previous research has shown variable detection rates of exfoliative toxins; for instance, 18-22% with the highest rate for *exhB* was observed in Denmark [30], 0.7-48.9% with the highest rate for exhA in Japan [28], 24.4–76.1% with the highest rate for *exhC* in Brazil [18], and 89.5% with the highest detection rate for exhD in Russia [29]. Additionally, studies within the same country have shown temporal changes in the distribution of toxin genes, such as a decrease in the prevalence of *exhB* [15, 18]. Therefore, distribution of exfoliative toxins and prevalence of toxigenic strains reported in the literature vary according to different countries and study periods.

Both toxigenic and nontoxigenic strains of S. hyicus have been reported to induce hyperkeratosis and inflammatory cell hyperplasia of the epidermis in pigs [7, 9, 31]. Consistent with this, our findings showed no correlation between the presence or type of exfoliative toxins and clinicopathological presentation of skin lesions. For instance, 47.1% of the S. hyicus isolates were identified as non-toxigenic strains, yet al.l were isolated from skin displaying mild-to-severe pathological lesions of EE. The absence of toxins in the isolates even in cases with severe skin lesions suggests the involvement of other virulence factors in EE, necessitating further research utilizing whole-genome sequencing to identify potential virulence determinants beyond exfoliative toxins involved in EE in pigs. Additional predisposing factors that may contribute to S. hyicus colonization and virulence in pigs include viral diseases, nutritional deficiencies, dermatophytosis, pityriasis rosea, parasitism, poor hygiene, inadequate ventilation, high humidity, trauma, and genetic predisposition [20].

The current study demonstrated a high diversity of both toxigenic and non-toxigenic *S. hyicus* strains in South Korea, irrespective of the year of isolation, season, region, age, or antimicrobial resistance pattern. Consistent with our finding, previous studies have reported significant diversity in the PFGE patterns of *S. hyicus* strains isolated from pigs [18, 31-33], with no clustering based on toxigenic strains or resistance profiles. Furthermore, various PFGE patterns have been identified on the same farm [32]. PFGE analysis of *S. hyicus* strains isolated from other animal species has shown diverse patterns and high variability in chickens and bovine milk [32, 34]. Given these studies, the high diversity observed in the PFGE results of this study appears to be inherent to the characteristics of *S. hyicus*. Therefore, PFGE results have limitations in cross-national comparative analyses for epidemiological research, necessitating the application of other molecular analysis techniques.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing revealed that all S. hyicus isolates were 100% susceptible to ceftiofur and sulfonamides. However, the isolates demonstrated low susceptibility to penicillins (17.6-23.5%) and fluoroquinolones (29.4%). Among the macrolides, resistance to tilmicosin was 29.4%, while resistance to tylosin and tulathromycin was 76.5%. Consistent with our findings, other studies have shown low resistance rates to ceftiofur (0-0.97%) and sulfadimethoxine (1.9-5.2%) in Brazil and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (9.7-25.8%) in Brazil and Japan among S. hyicus isolates from porcine EE [18, 28]. Despite the low resistance to ceftiofur, third-generation cephalosporins are classified as highest priority critically important antimicrobials (HPCIA) for humans and veterinary critically important antimicrobials (VCIA) for animals, according to the WHO (in 2024) [35] and WOAH (in 2021), respectively [36]. Fluoroquinolones are also classified into the HPCIA and VCIA categories. However, our results indicated a higher fluoroquinolone resistance rate at 64.7% compared to 0-13.2% in European countries, except for that reported by one Brazilian study [13, 18, 37]. Thus, there is an urgent need to address the high rate of fluoroquinolone resistance. Penicillin resistance rates vary widely across different countries and study periods, including 25.0% in Germany and 76.8% in Japan, and even fluctuate within the same country over time [13, 18, 28, 37]. However, a direct comparison of the MDR results obtained in this study with those reported by previous Korean studies poses challenges due to differing antimicrobials and testing methods used, even though a previous Korean report indicated a 12.6% MDR rate [10]. Furthermore, MDR has been observed to increase over time [18] and is predominant in toxigenic strains [28]. Consistent with this, 82.4% of isolates were MDR, with 76.5% (n=13) resistant to five or more antimicrobial subclasses, and all toxigenic strains were 100% MDR. These findings showed that S. hyicus isolates from EE exhibited increased resistance to most antimicrobials, which was unlike the findings of previous studies. Ensuring that bacteria do not develop resistance to antimicrobials is crucial for both animal and human health. Therefore, it is essential to confirm diagnoses using susceptibility tests rather than base diagnoses on clinical symptoms alone to select appropriate antimicrobials [20]. Likewise, developing a vaccine against *S. hyicus* should also be considered, as autogenous vaccines using strains isolated from affected herds have reduced metaphylactic antimicrobial treatment and lowered morbidity and mortality rates in weaned pigs [20, 38].

Despite pigs developing disease resistance with age, *S. hyicus* can still be recovered from older pigs' skin, and these asymptomatic carriers can contaminate naïve herds [39]. Research has shown that suckling piglets are primarily infected by dams, some of whom are vaginally infected at birth [20]. Moreover, *S. hyicus* has been isolated from healthy pigs. However, this study included limited samples for the differential diagnosis of piglets with skin lesions. Therefore, further studies are warranted to determine the overall distribution of *S. hyicus* based on clinical manifestations, age groups, and pig farm environments in South Korea.

Conclusion

This study analyzed the pathological findings, toxin types, and antimicrobial resistance of *S. hyicus* isolated from EE lesions from affected pigs in the Republic of Korea. All exfoliative toxins (ExhA, ExhB, ExhC, and ExhD) were detected, except for *sheta* and *shetb*. Ceftiofur and sulfon-amides exhibited 100% antimicrobial susceptibility. Additionally, most *S. hyicus* isolates were found to be MDR. Thus, our study showed that selecting effective antimicrobials is crucial for enhancing treatment efficiency and preventing antimicrobial resistance. Owing to the limited number of samples available for disease diagnosis, further nationwide prevalence studies are necessary, regardless of clinical symptoms.

The results were calculated using the Dice coefficient and the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic averages (UPGMA), shown with a similarity greater than 70%. Seasonal divisions: Spring, March-May; Summer, June-August; Fall, September-November; Winter, December-February. Regional divisions: Northern, Gyeonggi and Gangwon; Central, Chungbuk, Chungnam, Gyeongbuk, and Jeonbuk; Southern, Jeonnam and Gyeongnam. Age categories: Wean: Weaned piglets (25–70 days old); Suck: Suckling piglets (1–24 days old). Co-infections: PRRSV: Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus; PCV2: Porcine circovirus type2; SS: Streptococcus suis; HPS: Haemophilus parasuis; PM: Pasteurella multocida. Pathological features: CR/HK/ HP: Crust, Hyperkeratosis, or Hyperplasia in the epidermis; ERO/ULC: Erosion or Ulceration; PN-epi: Pyonecrotic epidermatitis; PN-dermis: Pyonecrotic dermatitis. Severity indicator: +++, severe; ++, moderate; +, weak; -, no histological lesion; NT, not tested. Anitmicrobial resistance profile: AMP, ampicillin; PEN, penicillin; XNL, ceftiofur; DAN, danofloxacin; ENO, enrofloxacin; GEN, gentamicin; NEO, neomycin; SPE, spectinomycin; CTC, chlortetracycline; OTC, oxytetracycline; CLI, clindamycin; FFL, florfenicol; TIL, tilmicosin; TYLT, tylosin tartrate; TUL, tulathromycin; SDM, sulfadimethoxine; SXT,

Acknowledgements

multidrug resistance.

We thank the farmers of the sampled pigs for their active cooperation.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Kim HY, Yun CS Data curation: Kim HY, Yun CS Formal analysis: Yun CS, Kang SM Methodology: Kim HY, Hwang MH Software: Yun CS Validation: Kim HY, Byun JW, Ku BK Investigation: Kwon DH, Lee S, Jeon GT, Kang HJ, Kim J Writing - original draft: Yun CS, Kang SM, Kwon DH, Lee S, Jeon GT, Kang HJ, Kim J Writing - review & editing: Kim HY, Hwang MH, Byun JW, Ku BK.

trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole; TIA, tiamulin; MDR,

Funding

This research was supported by a grant from the Animal and Plant Quarantine Agency, Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs of the Republic of Korea (B-1543069-2024-25-01). The funding body had no role in the design of the study, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, and in writing the manuscript.

Data availability

Upon reasonable request, the datasets of this study can be made available by the corresponding author.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study did not require ethics approval because the piglets' bodies were submitted to the Animal and Plant Quarantine Agency for diagnosis by veterinarians and animal owners with their consent.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 20 August 2024 / Accepted: 21 November 2024 Published online: 28 November 2024

References

- Foster AP. Staphylococcal skin disease in livestock. Vet Dermatol. 2012;23. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3164.2012.01093.x. 342 – 51, e63.
- Andresen LO, Wegener HC, Bille-Hansen V. Staphylococcus hyicus-skin reactions in piglets caused by crude extracellular products and by partially purified exfoliative toxins. Microb Pathog. 1993;15:217–25. https://doi.org/10. 1006/mpat.1993.1072.
- Fudaba Y, Nishifuji K, Andresen LO, Yamaguchi T, Komatsuzawa H, Amagai M, et al. Staphylococcus hyicus exfoliative toxins selectively digest porcine desmoglein 1. Microb Pathog. 2005;39:171–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpa th.2005.08.003.
- Österlund A, Nordlund E. Wound infection caused by Staphylococcus hyicus subspecies hyicus after a donkey bite. Scand J Infect Dis. 1997;29:95. https://d oi.org/10.3109/00365549709008674.
- Casanova C, Iselin L, von Steiger N, Droz S, Sendi P. Staphylococcus hyicus bacteremia in a farmer. J Clin Microbiol. 2011;49:4377–8. https://doi.org/10.11 28/JCM.05645-11.
- Kirk F, Mashicharan M, Braddick M, Saxena P. Staphylococcus hyicus, a novel pathogen causing destructive infective endocarditis requiring mitral annular reconstruction. JTCVS Tech. 2022;13:70–3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xjtc.2022. 03.008.

- Leekitcharoenphon P, Pamp SJ, Andresen LO, Aarestrup FM. Comparative genomics of toxigenic and non-toxigenic Staphylococcus hyicus. Vet Microbiol. 2016;185:34–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2016.01.018.
- Nishifuji K, Sugai M, Amagai M. Staphylococcal exfoliative toxins: molecular scissors of bacteria that attack the cutaneous defense barrier in mammals. J Dermatol Sci. 2008;49:21–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdermsci.2007.05.007.
- Li Y, Gou H, Chu P, Zhang K, Jiang Z, Cai R, et al. Comparison of host cytokine response in piglets infected with toxigenic and non-toxigenic Staphylococcus hyicus. Front Vet Sci. 2021;8:639141. https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.63 9141.
- 10. Lee D, Yeo S. Prevalence of Staphylococcus hyicus subsp. hyicus in pigs with reference to antibiotic susceptibility of isolates. Korean J Vet Res. 1990;30.
- Park JE, Shin HJ, Easwaran M, Park JW. Antimicrobial susceptibility of Staphylococcus hyicus isolated from Korean pigs with exudative epidermitis. J Prev Vet Med. 2018;42:41–5. https://doi.org/10.13041/jpvm.2018.42.1.41.
- Kang SC, Kim JH, Kim B, Song JK, Lee HY, Shin S, et al. Congenital swinepox of neonatal pigs in a Korean domestic farm. Korean J Vet Res. 2020;60:241–4. https://doi.org/10.14405/kjvr.2020.60.4.241.
- Werckenthin C, Cardoso M, Martel JL, Schwarz S. Antimicrobial resistance in staphylococci from animals with particular reference to bovine Staphylococcus aureus, porcine Staphylococcus hyicus, and canine Staphylococcus intermedius. Vet Res. 2001;32:341–62. https://doi.org/10.1051/vetres:2001129.
- Voytenko AV, Kanbar T, Alber J, Lämmler C, Weiss R, Prenger-Berninghoff E, et al. Identification of Staphylococcus hyicus by polymerase chain reaction mediated amplification of species specific sequences of superoxide dismutase A encoding gene sodA. Vet Microbiol. 2006;116:211–6. https://doi.or g/10.1016/j.vetmic.2006.03.009.
- Onuma K, Uoya Y, Koide T, Shibata A, Tanabe T, Sato H. Detection of Staphylococcus hyicus exfoliative toxin genes by dot blot hybridization and multiplex polymerase chain reaction. Microbiol Immunol. 2011;55:168–73. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1348-0421.2011.00308.x.
- Kanbar T, Voytenko AV, Alber J, Lämmler C, Weiss R, Skvortzov VN. Distribution of the putative virulence factor encoding gene sheta in Staphylococcus hyicus strains of various origins. J Vet Sci. 2008;9:327–9. https://doi.org/10.414 2/jvs.2008.9.3.327.
- Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) [Internet]. 2016. https://www.cdc.gov/pulsenet/pathogens/pfge. html. Accessed 2023 Jan 18.
- Moreno AM, Moreno LZ, Poor AP, Matajira CEC, Moreno M, Gomes VTM, et al. Antimicrobial resistance profile of Staphylococcus hyicus strains isolated from Brazilian swine herds. Antibiot (Basel). 2022;11:205. https://doi.org/10.33 90/antibiotics11020205.
- CLSI Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Performance standards for antimicrobial disks and dilution susceptibility tests for bacteria isolated from animals. CLSI supplement VET08. CLSI Document VET08. 4th ed. Wayne, PA, USA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2018.
- Frana TS, Hau SJ. Staphylococcosis. In: Zimmerman JJ, Karriker LA, Ramirez A, Schwartz KJ, Stevenson GW, Zhang J, editors. Diseases of swine. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2019. pp. 926–33.
- Wang M, Hu J, Zhu L, Guo C, Lu H, Guo C, et al. A fatal suppurative pneumonia in piglets caused by a pathogenic coagulase-positive strain of Staphylococcus hyicus. Vet Res Commun. 2017;41:139–46. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11 259-017-9682-0.
- 22. Andresen LO, Ahrens P, Daugaard L, Bille-Hansen V. Exudative epidermitis in pigs caused by toxigenic Staphylococcus chromogenes. Vet Microbiol. 2005;105:291–300. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2004.12.006.
- van Duijkeren E, Jansen MD, Flemming SC, de Neeling H, Wagenaar JA, Schoormans AHW, et al. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in pigs with exudative epidermitis. Emerg Infect Dis. 2007;13:1408–10. https://doi.or g/10.3201/eid1309.061268.
- Lu L, He K, Ni Y, Yu Z, Mao A. Exudative epidermitis of piglets caused by nontoxigenic Staphylococcus sciuri. Vet Microbiol. 2017;199:79–84. https://doi.or g/10.1016/j.vetmic.2016.12.016.

- Moon DC, Jeong SK, Hyun BH, Lim SK. Prevalence and characteristics of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolates in pigs and pig farmers in Korea. Foodborne Pathog Dis. 2019;16:256–61. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.20 18.2509.
- Lee GY, Lee HH, Yang SJ. Antimicrobial resistance profiles and clonal diversity of Staphylococcus epidermidis isolates from pig farms, slaughterhouses, and retail pork. Vet Microbiol. 2023;282:109753. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2 023.109753.
- Lee S, Jung JY, Kim SH, Kim JW, Park JW, Kang DY, et al. Porcine ear necrosis syndrome by coinfection of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus and Staphylococcus hyicus. Korean J Vet Res. 2017;57:143–46. https://do i.org/10.14405/kjvr.2017.57.2.143.
- Futagawa-Saito K, Ba-Thein W, Fukuyasu T. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of exfoliative toxigenic and non-toxigenic Staphylococcus hyicus strains in Japan. J Vet Med Sci. 2009;71:681–4. https://doi.org/10.1292/jvms.71.681.
- Kanbar T, Voytenko AV, Alber J, Lämmler C, Weiss R, Zschöck M, et al. Prevalence of genes encoding exfoliative toxins among Staphylococcus hyicus isolated in Russia and Germany. J Vet Med B Infect Dis Vet Public Health. 2006;53:429–33. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0450.2006.00988.x.
- Andresen LO, Ahrens P. A multiplex PCR for detection of genes encoding exfoliative toxins from Staphylococcus hyicus. J Appl Microbiol. 2004;96:1265–70. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2004.02258.x.
- Hassler C, Nitzsche S, Iversen C, Zweifel C, Stephan R. Characteristics of Staphylococcus hyicus strains isolated from pig carcasses in two different slaughterhouses. Meat Sci. 2008;80:505–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci. 2008.02.001.
- Shimizu A, Kloos WE, Berkhoff HA, George CG, Ballard DN. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis of Staphylococcus hyicus and Staphylococcus chromogenes genomic DNA and its taxonomic, epidemiological, and ecologic applications in veterinary medicine. J Vet Med Sci. 1997;59:443–50. https://doi.org/10.1292 /jvms.59.443.
- Adkins PRF, Middleton JR, Calcutt MJ, Stewart GC, Fox LK. Species identification and strain typing of Staphylococcus agnetis and Staphylococcus hyicus isolates from bovine milk by use of a novel multiplex PCR assay and pulsedfield gel electrophoresis. J Clin Microbiol. 2017;55:1778–88. https://doi.org/10 .1128/JCM.02239-16.
- Gillespie BE, Headrick SI, Boonyayatra S, Oliver SP. Prevalence and persistence of coagulase-negative Staphylococcus species in three dairy research herds. Vet Microbiol. 2009;134:65–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2008.09.007.
- World Health Organization (WHO). WHO list of medically important antimicrobials [Internet]. 2024. gcp > who-mia-list-2024-lv. https://cdn.who.int. Accessed 2024 May 18.
- World Organization for Animal Health (WOAH). List of Antimicrobial Agents of Veterinary Importance [Internet]. 2021. https://www.woah.org. Accessed 2024 May 18 app > uploads > 2021/06.
- Aarestrup FM, Jensen LB. Trends in antimicrobial susceptibility in relation to antimicrobial usage and presence of resistance genes in Staphylococcus hyicus isolated from exudative epidermitis in pigs. Vet Microbiol. 2002;89:83– 94. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-1135(02)00177-3.
- Arsenakis I, Boyen F, Haesebrouck F, Maes DGD. Autogenous vaccination reduces antimicrobial usage and mortality rates in a herd facing severe exudative epidermitis outbreaks in weaned pigs. Vet Rec. 2018;182:744. https: //doi.org/10.1136/vr.104720.
- Vaillancourt K, LeBel G, Yi L, Grenier D. In vitro antibacterial activity of plant essential oils against Staphylococcus hyicus and Staphylococcus aureus, the causative agents of exudative epidermitis in pigs. Arch Microbiol. 2018;200:1001–7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-018-1512-4.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.