# Adrenaline Responsiveness of Glucose Metabolism in Insulin-Resistant Adipose Tissue of Rats Fed a High-Fat Diet

By CHRISTIANE SUSINI, MARCELLE LAVAU\* and JEANNINE HERZOG Unité de Recherches Diététiques, INSERM, Hôpital Bichat, 170 Boulevard Ney, 75877 Paris-Cedex 18, France

## (Received 29 January 1979)

The effects of adrenaline  $(0.5 \mu M)$  and the combination of adrenaline and insulin (1.7 nM) on [6-<sup>14</sup>C]glucose metabolism were assessed in epididymal fat-pads from rats fed either a low- or high-fat diet. The response of lipolysis to adrenaline was clearly diminished in fat-fed rats. Insulin added to adrenaline inhibited the lipolysis by 50% regardless of the diet. Glucose utilization in adipose tissue of fat-fed rats was markedly stimulated by adrenaline (glucose uptake was increased 3-fold and the production of CO<sub>2</sub> and the glycerol moiety of acylglycerol was increased 4-fold). However, adipose tissue from fat-fed rats was resistant to the effect of insulin to produce a further increase in adrenaline-stimulated glucose uptake. The intracellular capacity of lipogenesis on the one hand, and the production of CO<sub>2</sub> and the glycerol moiety of acylglycerol moiety of and the production of CO<sub>2</sub> and the glycerol moiety of acylglycerol on the other, are of prime importance in the action of insulin and adrenaline on glucose utilization in this model.

The response of adipose tissue to insulin in terms of glucose utilization is severely decreased in rats given a high-fat diet (Lavau et al., 1972; Susini & Lavau, 1978). The interaction of the hormone with the cell membrane is not the rate-limiting factor in the expression of insulin action in this model (Lavau et al., 1979). Neither insulin binding nor the sensitivity of 2-deoxyglucose uptake to insulin are altered in the adipocytes of rats given a high-fat diet, compared with rats given a low-fat diet. The underlying mechanism of this resistance to insulin has been shown to be the drastic decrease in the intracellular enzymic capacity of fatty acid synthesis, the target pathway for insulin action in rat adipose tissue. In marked contrast with the rate of lipogenesis, the rate of tricarboxylic acid-cycle CO<sub>2</sub> production and the rate of synthesis of the glycerol moiety of acylglycerol, relative to the amounts of pyruvate or glucose taken up by the tissue, were not diminished by giving the rats a high-fat diet (Lavau et al., 1970, 1972). This finding led us to postulate that glucose utilization in adipose tissue of fat-fed rats might remain fully responsive to adrenaline, since this hormone has been reported to preferentially stimulate those pathways (Cahill et al., 1960). The present work was undertaken to examine the validity of this hypothesis.

### Materials and Methods

### Animals and diets

Wistar rats were purchased at weaning, divided into

\*To whom reprint requests should be addressed at Groupe de Recherches sur la Physiopathologie de la Nutrition, INSERM, Institut Biomedical des Cordeliers, 15-21 rue de l'Ecole de Mèdecine, 75006 Paris, France. two groups and fed *ad libitum* either a low- or a high-fat diet for 6 weeks. The low-fat diet consisted of the following (%, w/w): casein, 12.1; DL-methionine, 0.4; lard, 1.1; wheat flour, 77.1; salt mixture, 4.0; vitamin mixture and essential fatty acids, 2.2; bran, 3.0; water, 0.2. As a percentage of total joules, this diet was: proteins, 18%; carbo-hydrates, 73%; and fat, 9%. The high-fat diet consisted of the following (%, w/w): casein, 31.8; lard, 41.5; wheat flour, 7.4; salt mixture, 6.0; vitamin mixture, 3.3; bran, 4.5; water, 5.5. As a percentage of total joules, this diet was: proteins, 18%; carbo-hydrates, 10%; fat, 72%. The diets were formulated to provide the same amount of protein, vitamins, and salt per joule. The rats had access to food until they were killed.

### Studies in vitro

Rats were decapitated at 10:00h and bled. Epididymal fat-pads were quickly removed. They were weighed, cut into small pieces (30-50 mg), and carefully pooled (so as to ensure that each incubation performed on 300-400 mg of tissue had an equal representation of proximal and distal parts of the pads). Tissue sections were blotted, weighed, and placed in flasks containing 3ml of Krebs-Ringer bicarbonate buffer, pH7.4, with 3% 48h-dialysed bovine serum albumin (fraction V), 10mm-[6-14C]glucose  $(1 \mu Ci/flask)$  with or without hormones. Final concentrations were  $0.5 \mu M$  for adrenaline and  $250\mu$ -units of insulin/ml, i.e. 10 ng/ml or 1.7 nm. The flasks were gassed for 45s with  $O_2/CO_2$  (19:1, v/v) and capped with a centre-well-equipped stopper (Kontes Glass). Incubations were carried out for 2h at 37°C in a Dubnoff incubator. At the end of the incubation 0.3ml of 1M-Hyamine hydroxide and 0.5ml of 6M-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> were injected through the stopper into the centre well and the medium respectively. The CO<sub>2</sub> was collected during slow shaking for an additional 90min. The centre wells were then dropped into counting vials containing 10ml of toluene/ethanol (4:1, v/v) with 0.4% 2,5-diphenyloxazole and 0.015% 1,4-bis-(5-phenyloxazol-2-yl)benzene. The fragments of tissue were removed from the flasks, rinsed three times in iso-osmotic saline, gently blotted on filter paper, and processed for determination of radioactively labelled lipids as previously described (Lavau et al., 1970). Glucose and glycerol concentrations were determined in triplicate on the deproteinized and neutralized incubation media by the methods of Bergmeyer & Bernt (1965) and Wieland (1965) respectively. Blanks were run for all the metabolic parameters by incubating tissue in the presence of acid  $(0.5 \text{ ml of } 6\text{M}-\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)$ , and values were corrected accordingly.

#### **Results and Discussion**

When the rats were given a high-fat diet for 6 weeks a 2-fold enlargement of the epididymal fatpads compared with the rats given a low-fat diet (4.1 g against 2.2 g; P < 0.01) was observed. Therefore we decided to express the results with respect to total tissue.

The pattern of glucose metabolism in adipose tissue of rats given a high-fat diet, shown in Table 1, was consistent with previous reports (Lavau *et al.*, 1972; Susini & Lavau, 1978), i.e., an uptake of glucose much lower than that in adipose tissue of rats given a low-fat diet; an increased channeling of the glucose taken up into the glycerol moiety of acylglycerol (13% against 7%); a marked decrease in fatty acid synthesis (7% against 62%); and an unaltered efficiency of glucose oxidation through the tricarboxylic acid cycle (about 5% in both groups).

In agreement with the results of Leboeuf et al. (1959), Table 1 shows that the addition of adrenaline to adipose tissue removed from rats given a low-fat diet resulted in a marked increase in the uptake of glucose. As reported by Cahill et al. (1960), adrenaline caused a strong stimulation of CO<sub>2</sub> and labelling of the glycerol moiety of acylglycerol, together with a substantial decrease in the rate of fatty acid synthesis. The response of glucose metabolism to adrenaline in adipose tissue of rats given a high-fat diet has never been assessed. The present work shows (Table 1) that rats given a high-fat diet did not alter the responsiveness to this hormone, which caused a nearly 3-fold increase in glucose uptake. As in the epididymal fat-pads from rats fed on a low-fat diet, adrenaline inhibited fatty acid synthesis in fat-pads from rats fed on the high-fat diet and preferentially diverted the glucose taken up into  $CO_2$  and the

Table 1. <i>Ef</i> l	fect of adrenaline (0.5 $\mu$	Table 1. Effect of adrenaline (0.5 μm) without or with insulin (250μ-units/ml or 1.7 nm) on [6- <sup>14</sup> C]glucose metabolism and glycerol output in epididymal adipose tissue of rats given a low- or a high-fat diet Results are expressed as means ± s.ε.m. for eight rats.	ulin (250µ-units/ml or 1.7 nM) on [6- <sup>14</sup> C]glucose metab rats given a low- or a high-fat diet Results are expressed as means ± s.E.M. for eight rats.	t-units[ml or 1.7 m) on [6-14C]gluco. rats given a low- or a high-fat diet re expressed as means±s.E.M. for ei	se metabolism and g ght rats.	lycerol output in e	pididymal adipose tissue of
			Incorporation (µn	nol/total tissue per	Incorporation (µmol/total tissue per 2h) of 14C from [6-14C]glucose into:	-14C]glucose into	Glycerol output
Diet	Incubation	(µmol/total tissue per 2h)	CO2	Lipids	Fatty acids	Glycerol	Glycerol (µmol/total tissue per 2h)
Low-fat	No hormone Adrenaline	$71.3 \pm 6.98$ 94.4 + 10.11 +	$3.2\pm0.46$ 11.8+1.56†	$51.1 \pm 6.07$ 41.7 + 5.071	$43.6 \pm 5.14$ 28.8 + 3.92*	$4.8 \pm 0.41$ 12.1 ± 0.99†	$5.0\pm 0.66$ $28.2\pm 2.041$
	Adrenaline+insulin	$121.7 \pm 13.0$	$9.8 \pm 1.03$	$73.7 \pm 6.34$	$58.8 \pm 6.09 \ddagger$	$13.2 \pm 1.09$	$13.1 \pm 1.68$
High-fat	No hormone	22.2±3.24*	$1.2 \pm 0.03^{*}$	4.6±0.17*	$1.6 \pm 0.16^{*}$	$2.9 \pm 0.10^{*}$	$5.3 \pm 0.63$
I	Adrenaline	59.0±5.29 <b>*</b> †	$5.9 \pm 0.46 * 1$	$11.6 \pm 0.85 * \uparrow$	$0.9 \pm 0.27 * 1$	$10.4 \pm 0.71$	$16.2 \pm 1.19 * 1$
	Adrenaline+insulin	63.3±4.85*	$5.2 \pm 0.63^{*}$	$10.6 \pm 1.12^{*}$	$1.4 \pm 0.15^{*}$	9.2±0.95	$8.3 \pm 1.10 \ddagger$
* $P < 0.01$ † Effect o	against the correspore f addition of adrenali	* $P < 0.01$ against the corresponding value for the low-fat diet. † Effect of addition of adrenaline significant at the level $P < 0.01$ (paired t test).	tt. 0.01 (paired t test).				

1979

 $\ddagger$  Effect of addition of insulin + adrenaline significant at the level P < 0.01 (paired t test)

glycerol moiety of acylglycerol. In contrast the response of lipolysis (glycerol output) to adrenaline was clearly diminished in adipose tissue of rats given a high-fat diet, compared with rats given the low-fat diet (Table 1). These findings are relevant when compared with those by Gorman *et al.* (1973), who reported an unimpaired adrenaline binding and a loss of adrenaline-stimulated adenyl cyclase activity in adipocyte plasma membranes in rats given a high-fat diet.

The combined effects of insulin and adrenaline on glucose utilization in adipose tissue was dependent on the fat-content of the diet given to the rats. When the tissue was removed from rats given a low-fat diet, the combined addition of insulin and adrenaline resulted in a further increase in glucose uptake that correlated closely with the enhancement of fatty acid synthesis. On the other hand when tissue was removed from rats given a high-fat diet, addition of insulin + adrenaline did not cause any detectable increase in glucose uptake, but only restored fatty acid synthesis to the low basal value. Insulin inhibited the lipolysis elicited by adrenaline by 50% (Table 1), a result in keeping with several reports providing evidence that insulin was able to antagonize lipolysis at low ( $< 10^{-5}$  M) adrenaline concentrations (Jungas & Ball, 1963; Miller & Allen, 1973). This inhibitory effect of insulin was observed whatever the fatcontent of the diet. Taken together these results provide evidence of the pathway specificity of the resistance to hormones exhibited by the adipose tissue of fat-fed rats.

The responsiveness of glucose utilization to adrenaline in adipose tissue of fat-fed rats is marked in comparison with the negligible action of insulin. These findings strongly suggest that adrenaline prevails over insulin in the regulation of adiposetissue glucose metabolism in fat-fed rats. This may have some relevance to the physiology of human adipose tissue, since the diet in developed Western countries is a high-fat diet.

In conclusion adipose tissue adapts to high-fat diet by drastic changes in the pattern of glucose metabolism. Moreover the present work clearly shows that under such dietary conditions adipose tissue is resistant to insulin and fully responsive to adrenaline in terms of glucose utilization. The results support the premise that the critical factors in the expression of hormone actions in this model are the intracellular capacities of the preferential pathways of the hormones.

This work was supported in part by a grant from the National Institutes of Health, U.S.A. (Obesity Research Center AM-17624).

#### References

- Bergmeyer, H. U. & Bernt, E. (1965) in Methods of Enzymatic Analysis (Bergmeyer, H. U., ed), pp. 123-130, Academic Press, New York
- Cahill, G. F., Leboeuf, B. & Flinn, R. B. (1960) J. Biol. Chem. 235, 1246-1250
- Gorman, R. R., Tepperman, H. M. & Tepperman, J. (1973) J. Lipid Res. 14, 279–285
- Jungas, R. L. & Ball, E. G. (1963) *Biochemistry* 2, 383-388 Lavau, M., Nadeau, M., Griglio, S., de Gasquet, P. &
- Randall, R. J. (1970) Bull. Soc. Chim. Biol. 52, 1363–1379
- Lavau, M., Nadeau, M. & Susini, C. (1972) *Biochimie* 54, 1057–1067
- Lavau, M., Fried, S. K., Susini, C. & Freychet, P. (1979) J. Lipid Res. 20, 8-16
- Leboeuf, B., Flinn, R. B. & Cahill, G. F. (1959) Proc. Soc. Exp. Med. 102, 527-530
- Miller, E. A. & Allen, D. O. (1973) J. Lipid Res. 14, 331-336
- Susini, C. & Lavau, M. (1978) Diabetes 27, 114-120
- Wieland, O. (1965) in *Methods of Enzymatic Analysis* (Bergmeyer, H. U., ed.), pp. 211–214, Academic Press, New York