METHOD ARTICLE



Improved gene editing and fluorescent-protein tagging in

Aspergillus nidulans using a Golden Gate-based CRISPR-Cas9

plasmid system

[version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Domenico Modaffari^{1,2}, Aimée Finlayson^{1,1}, Yuyang Miao^{1,1}, Edward W. J. Wallace^{1,2}, Kenneth E. Sawin^{1,1}

¹Wellcome Centre for Cell Biology and Institute of Cell Biology, School of Biological Sciences, Wellcome Centre for Cell Biology and Institute of Cell Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Michael Swann Building, Max Born Crescent, Edinburgh, EH9 3BF, UK

²Institute for Cell Biology and Centre for Engineering Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, C.H. Waddington Building, Max Born Crescent, Edinburgh, Scotland, EH9 3BF, UK

First published: 17 Oct 2024, 9:602 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.23086.1 Latest published: 17 Oct 2024, 9:602

https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.23086.1

Abstract

CRISPR-Cas9 systems can be used for precise genome editing in filamentous fungi, including *Aspergillus nidulans*. However, current CRISPR-Cas9 systems for *A. nidulans* rely on relatively complex or multi-step cloning methods to build a plasmid expressing both Cas9 and an sgRNA targeting a genomic locus. In this study we improve on existing plasmid-based CRISPR-Cas9 systems for *Aspergilli* by creating an extremely simple-to-use CRISPR-Cas9 system for *A. nidulans* genome editing. In our system, a plasmid containing both Cas9 and an sgRNA is assembled in a one-step Golden Gate reaction.

We demonstrate precise, scarless genome editing with nucleotidelevel DNA substitutions, and we demonstrate markerless gene tagging by fusing fluorescent-protein coding sequences to the endogenous coding sequences of several *A. nidulans* genes. We also describe *A. nidulans* codon-adjusted versions of multiple recentgeneration fluorescent proteins, which will be useful to the wider *Aspergillus* community.

Plain Language Summary

For nearly a century, *Aspergillus nidulans*, a type of mold, has been a key tool for scientists to explore the functions of cells. *Aspergillus nidulans* is non-pathogenic, but related *Aspergillus* species are important human pathogens. Researchers often modify the DNA of *Aspergillus* species to understand more about the function of genes,

Open Peer Review Approval Status 1 2 version 1 17 Oct 2024 view view

- 1. HeeSoo Park, Kyungpook National University, Durham, South Korea
- 2. **Reinhard Fischer**, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Karlsruhe, Germany

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

units of DNA that contain the instructions needed for the cell to make proteins. In the last decade, a new method called CRISPR-Cas9 has revolutionized how scientists edit DNA. CRISPR-Cas9 has been adapted for use in many organisms, including different types of *Aspergillus* species. Building on existing tools, we developed a CRISPR-Cas9 system tailored for *A. nidulans* that is both cheaper and easier to use. We tested our new system by editing several *Aspergillus nidulans* genes. We have also used our system to incorporate recentlydeveloped fluorescent proteins into *Aspergillus nidulans* cells. These proteins glow in the presence of certain wavelengths of light, allowing researchers to easily see and track where specific proteins are within the cell. Our system will be adopted by researchers looking to explore and manipulate genes more effectively in *Aspergillus nidulans*.

Keywords

CRISPR-Cas9, Aspergillus, Fluorescent Protein, Cell Biology

Corresponding authors: Edward W. J. Wallace (edward.wallace@ed.ac.uk), Kenneth E. Sawin (ken.sawin@ed.ac.uk)

Author roles: Modaffari D: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Finlayson A**: Investigation, Validation; **Miao Y**: Validation; **Wallace EWJ**: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; **Sawin KE**: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Gamma Science**, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This work was supported by Wellcome [218470; the Wellcome-funded 4 year PhD Programme in Integrative Cell Mechanisms to D. Modaffari and Y. Miao]; [220234; Wellcome Biomedical Vacation scholarship to A. Finlayson]; [208779; Sir Henry Dale Fellowship jointly funded by the Wellcome Trust and the Royal Society to E.W.J. Wallace]; [210659; Wellcome Trust Investigator Award in Science to K.E. Sawin]; [203149; core funding for the Wellcome Centre for Cell Biology]; [226791; the Wellcome Discovery Research Platform for Hidden Cell Biology].

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2024 Modaffari D *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Modaffari D, Finlayson A, Miao Y *et al.* Improved gene editing and fluorescent-protein tagging in *Aspergillus nidulans* using a Golden Gate-based CRISPR-Cas9 plasmid system [version 1; peer review: 2 approved] Wellcome Open Research 2024, 9:602 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.23086.1

First published: 17 Oct 2024, 9:602 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.23086.1

Introduction

The filamentous fungus Aspergillus nidulans was first developed as a model system for microbial genetics in the 1940s and 1950s (Pontecorvo et al., 1953). Since then, A. nidulans has served as a platform for numerous important discoveries and insights in cell biology, particularly in cell-cycle regulation, microtubule biology, and vesicular trafficking (Osmani & Mirabito, 2004; Pinar & Peñalva, 2021). In recent decades, A. nidulans has become less commonly used as a model system for cell biology, due largely to the ease of genetic manipulation in other model systems such as yeasts (e.g. Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Schizosaccharomyces pombe). However, from a biological perspective, A. nidulans has much to offer: it is multicellular, more complex than yeasts; it is closely related to the fungal pathogen Aspergillus fumigatus (designated a critical priority pathogen by the World Health Organization (WHO, 2022)); and it produces numerous uncharacterized natural products (Caesar et al., 2020). Therefore, A. nidulans remains an excellent model organism and platform for future discovery research.

Targeted molecular-genetic modifications in A. nidulans are often made via homologous recombination of a DNA cassette containing long (>1 kb) homology arms. Such a cassette is typically produced via fusion PCR (Szewczyk et al., 2006) and normally includes a selectable marker as well as the genetic modification of interest. The number of available selectable markers in A. nidulans can limit the number of modifications possible in a given strain. This issue can be addressed in part by using larger collections of markers (Dohn et al., 2018), but such an approach also requires the use of A. nidulans strains with multiple auxotrophies. Alternatively, the commonlyused pyrG marker (encoding orotidine 5' phosphate decarboxylase) can be used for targeting multiple times, as it can be recycled through successive rounds of positive and negative selection; however, this is a lengthy procedure (Nielsen et al., 2006). Overall, neither of these approaches to genetic modification is ideal, and commonly-used techniques such as gene tagging and gene deletion typically take longer in A. nidulans than in yeasts (Todd et al., 2007a; Todd et al., 2007b).

The CRISPR-Cas9 system (Jinek et al., 2012) provides an attractive alternative to the gene-targeting approaches described above. CRISPR-Cas9 allows precise genome editing and can operate at sufficiently high efficiency that a selectable marker is no longer required to identify and isolate the genetic modification of interest. Briefly, a single-guide RNA (sgRNA) forms a ribonucleoprotein complex with endonuclease Cas9, which cleaves DNA at a specific genomic-locus "protospacer" sequence, complementary to a 20-nucleotide "spacer" sequence present within the sgRNA (Jinek et al., 2012). The protospacer must be followed directly by a Protospacer Adjacent Motif (PAM), which for Cas9 is the nucleotide sequence "NGG". Cas9 then produces a double-stranded break (DSB) in DNA, approximately three nucleotides upstream of the PAM (Jinek et al., 2012). CRISPR-Cas9 thus allows the induction of a sequence-specific DSB at almost any desired site in the genome, provided that it is adjacent to an NGG trinucleotide. The DSB caused by CRISPR-Cas9 can be exploited to introduce targeted genetic modifications via different DSB repair mechanisms, such as homology-directed repair (HDR) and non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) (Adli, 2018).

Genetic manipulation via CRISPR-Cas9 has several advantages compared to previous methods. First, genome editing is possible using relatively short homology (<100 bp) arms (Nødvig *et al.*, 2018; Schuster & Kahmann, 2019; Zhang *et al.*, 2016), making it much easier to generate homology-repair (HR) templates. Second, markerless/scarless editing avoids the need for selectable markers (Schuster & Kahmann, 2019). Third, markerless gene tagging allows modified genomic loci to retain endogenous promoters, untranslated regions, and terminator elements, thereby preserving both transcriptional and post-transcriptional gene regulation; by contrast, when gene tagging involves a selectable marker, one or more of these elements is typically disrupted. Finally, markerless editing and tagging allow the introduction of an unlimited number of genetic modifications within the same strain.

Since their discovery, CRISPR systems have been used for genetic modification of model and non-model organisms, including *Aspergilli* (Schuster & Kahmann, 2019). Delivery of CRISPR-Cas9 complexes for genome editing in filamentous fungi is typically achieved either by: 1) expressing the CRISPR-Cas9 complex *in vivo* from an autonomously-replicating plasmid, or 2) reconstituting the CRISPR-Cas9 complex *in vitro* and introducing it into cells (Schuster & Kahmann, 2019; Shen *et al.*, 2023; Song *et al.*, 2019). The price of reagents makes the reconstitution approach more expensive than plasmid-based CRISPR systems, especially if it is necessary to test multiple sgRNAs for a given locus.

A popular plasmid-based CRISPR-Cas9 system for filamentous fungi, including Aspergilli, was developed in the Mortensen group by Nødvig et al. (Nødvig et al., 2018; Nødvig et al., 2015), with more than 250 plasmid requests on Addgene as of July 2024. In this system, the sgRNA spacer is inserted into a Cas9-expressing vector via PCR and USER cloning (Geu-Flores et al., 2007; Nødvig et al., 2018; Nødvig et al., 2015). While this system has been shown to be highly effective for genome editing, the USER cloning method requires an adenine and a thymine spaced 6 to 15 nucleotides apart within the spacer, which could limit spacer selection (Nødvig et al., 2018). Furthermore, USER cloning requires modified DNA oligonucleotides that include deoxyuridine (Nødvig et al., 2018), which increases the price of the reaction (£10 per deoxyuridine, Integrated DNA Technologies, June 2024). Thus, despite the strengths of the system developed by Nødvig et al., it would be desirable to have a simpler method to introduce sgRNA spacer sequences into the system.

Here we build on the system of Nødvig *et al.* (Nødvig *et al.*, 2018; Nødvig *et al.*, 2015) and incorporate a Golden Gate-based sgRNA cloning approach (Engler *et al.*, 2008; Torres-Garcia *et al.*, 2020) to construct an efficient, inexpensive, and easy-to-use plasmid-based CRISPR-Cas9 system for use in

A. nidulans. We show that our system can be used both for precise genome editing and for markerless gene tagging with a range of recent-generation fluorescent proteins.

Results

Improving an existing CRISPR system

To improve upon the system of Nødvig *et al.*, we modified their CRISPR-Cas9 vectors to incorporate several features of a CRISPR system developed by Torres-Garcia *et al.* for fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* (Torres-Garcia *et al.*, 2020). Similarities and differences between our approach and that of Nødvig *et al.* are summarized in Table 1; we describe three key differences below.

First, we introduce the sgRNA spacer sequence into CRISPR-Cas9 vectors in a one-pot reaction by Golden Gate cloning, using two annealed complementary 60-mer oligonucleotides as the insert (Torres-Garcia et al., 2020). Golden Gate cloning depends on digestion of both vector and insert with a type IIS restriction enzyme (Engler et al., 2008), and the recognition sequence for the enzyme must be present in the vector only at the insert cloning site. The type IIS enzyme BsaI, which recognises a 6-base sequence, is most commonly used in Golden Gate cloning and was used by Torres-Garcia et al. (Torres-Garcia et al., 2020); however, we found that the Nødvig et al. CRISPR-Cas9 vectors contained 4 or more BsaI sites, depending on the specific vector. Rather than remove these sites, we chose to use a different type IIS enzyme, PaqCI (isoschizomer of AarI), at the Golden Gate cloning site. Because PaqCI has a 7-base rather than a 6-base recognition sequence, this also decreases the likelihood that the enzyme recognition sequence for Golden Gate cloning will be present within a spacer sequence or in any future modified forms of our CRISPR-Cas9 vectors.

Second, our CRISPR-Cas9 vectors contain a superfolderGFP (sfGFP) cassette at the prospective spacer insertion site, under

the control of a constitutive bacterial promoter (Figure 1) (Torres-Garcia *et al.*, 2020). Correct Golden Gate-based insertion of the spacer sequence into the CRISPR-Cas9 vector leads to excision of the sfGFP cassette (and its promoter), allowing simple visual selection of colonies on bacterial transformation plates; incorrect clones appear green on plates, while correct clones are colorless. Altogether, we found our PaqCI-based sgRNA spacer cloning approach to be extremely efficient; in experiments involving cloning more than 50 spacers into our CRISPR-Cas9 vectors, we never found sequence errors after Sanger sequencing.

Third, in our approach, the sgRNA is liberated by an HDV ribozyme at the 5' end of the spacer sequence (Torres-Garcia *et al.*, 2020). The HDV remains attached at the 5' end of the liberated sgRNA, which may increase sgRNA stability (Ryan *et al.*, 2014).

Finally, to increase the number of dominant selectable markers for *A. nidulans* transformation, we constructed a CRISPR-Cas9 vector containing the nourseothricin resistance gene (*NAT*) driven by the *A. fumigatus pyrG* promoter and terminator. We found that untransformed protoplasts were sensitive (i.e. could not form colonies) at concentrations of nourseothricin above 100 µg/mL, while protoplasts transformed with the *NAT*-containing CRISPR-Cas9 vector were resistant (Extended data Figure S1). Notably, nourseothricin was effective only when transformation plates contained sucrose (1 M) (Oakley *et al.*, 2012) as an osmotic balancer. When KCl (0.6 M) was used as an osmotic balancer, untransformed protoplasts were resistant to all concentrations of nourseothricin tested (up to 200 µg/mL; Extended data Figure S1).

Scarless nucleotide-level gene editing

To confirm that our CRISPR-Cas9 plasmids retain the ability to perform genome editing, we initially introduced a stop codon into a gene coding sequence using a single-stranded HR

Table 1. Features of the CRISPR plasmid system generated in this study. Differences in our system compared to that of Nødvig *et al.* (Nødvig *et al.*, 2018) are indicated in bold.

Features	Nødvig <i>et al.</i> (Nødvig <i>et al.</i> , 2018)	This study
Fungal maintenance sequence	AMA1	AMA1
Cas9	<i>Aspergillus niger</i> codon-adjusted Cas9-NLS driven by <i>A. nidulans tef1</i> promoter	Aspergillus niger codon-adjusted Cas9-NLS driven by A. nidulans tef1 promoter
sgRNA transcription	sgRNA driven by Aspergillus fumigatus U3 promoter	sgRNA driven by <i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> U3 promoter
sgRNA processing	sgRNA liberated by flanking tRNAs	sgRNA liberated by HDV ribozyme at 5' end and tRNA at 3' end
Spacer cloning	Insertion of spacer sequence into vector via USER cloning (allows multiple sgRNAs per plasmid)	Insertion of spacer sequence into vector via Golden Gate cloning (PaqCI). Visual selection in bacteria via sfGFP pop-out cassette
Selectable markers	pyrG, argB , hph, ble	pyrG, hph, ble, NAT



Figure 1. Overview of Golden Gate-based sgRNA plasmid assembly. A CRISPR-Cas9 vector is mixed in a PaqCI Golden Gate reaction with a short dsDNA fragment containing the spacer sequence. The resulting plasmid gains an assembled sgRNA and loses a superfolderGFP (sfGFP) cassette that would otherwise be expressed in bacteria. The plasmids also contain a bacterial replication origin and ampicillinresistance gene (not shown). Correctly-assembled plasmids can be identified by the absence of green fluorescence/color in the *E. coli* transformant colonies. The plasmid can then be recovered and used for editing in *A. nidulans*.

template, as originally tested by Nødvig *et al.* (Nødvig *et al.*, 2018). We chose the *wA* gene as a target, as disruption of *wA* leads to white-colored conidia, which are easily detected on solid media (Mayorga & Timberlake, 1992). Previous work has shown that the efficacy of CRISPR in *Aspergilli* can vary, depending on spacer sequence (Nødvig *et al.*, 2018). In our test to edit *wA*, we found only a minority of colonies with white conidia

(Figure 2; Extended data Table S1). Nevertheless, the intended genomic modification was successful, as verified by Sanger sequencing, and we had similar success using a double-stranded HR template (Extended data Table S1).

Although the *wA* editing was relatively inefficient, we achieved a much higher success rate for nucleotide-level modification in the *sakA* gene (Extended data Table S1). By contrast, similar attempts to modify the *cotA* gene were unsuccess-ful (Extended data Table S1). Locus-dependent variability of editing efficiency is not surprising, as both spacer sequence and local chromatin state can affect DNA cleavage by Cas9 (Hsu *et al.*, 2013; Isaac *et al.*, 2016).

Overall, these results indicate that our CRISPR-Cas9 system can be used for scarless genome editing at the level of individual nucleotide bases, although success can depend on the efficiency of the sgRNA-Cas9 at the targeted genomic locus.

Markerless gene tagging with fluorescent proteins

As *A. nidulans* is a model organism for cell biology, we next tested the utility of our CRISPR-Cas9 system for gene tagging, which is particularly important for microscopy and protein biochemistry. We generated multiple tagging cassettes coding for recent-generation fluorescent proteins (FPs), codon-adjusted for *A. nidulans* (Table 2), and we used these with our CRISPR-Cas9 system for both N- and C-terminal tagging. As part of our workflow, we purified clonal strains by dissecting and plating individual spores recovered from transformant colonies. This takes less time than repeated streak-purification of transformants, decreasing strain-construction time to 7–10 days.

We fused the coding sequence of each of the FPs mNeon-Green, mCardinal, mScarlet3, mStayGold, and mStayGold2 (Table 2) to the 5' end of the coding sequence of transmembrane protein chitin synthase B (ChsB), at the endogenous *chsB* locus (Figure 3A). All the FP-tagged ChsB fusion proteins were visible by fluorescence microscopy, and all localized to the expected location—the inner layer of the spitzenkörper (SPK), a structure containing small vesicles, cytoskeletal elements and ribosomes, in close apposition to the fungal hyphal tip (Fukuda *et al.*, 2009; Steinberg *et al.*, 2017). In these experiments, we found that tagging could be successful using homology arms as short as 30 bp; in other experiments, involving N- or C-terminal tagging of six other genes, we found that tagging could be successful using homology arms as short as 20 bp (Extended data Table S2).

Among the FPs we tested, we had a particular interest in the newly-developed FP mStayGold, which has been described to be reasonably bright and very photostable, at least when expressed in mammalian cells (Ando *et al.*, 2024). Surprisingly, we found that mStayGold-ChsB was approximately ten times less bright than mNeonGreen-ChsB (Figure 3B). While we do not currently have an explanation for the low fluorescence of mStayGold-ChsB, in this instance it was sufficiently bright for



Figure 2. Introduction of an early stop codon mutation in *w***A with CRISPR-Cas9. A.** Diagram of *w***A** gene editing. Dashed line indicates double-stranded break in DNA, induced by Cas9-sgRNA complex expressed from plasmid. 'X' in DNA homology-repair template indicates stop codon. **B.** Transformation plate after 3 days at 37°C and 2 days at room temperature. White arrowhead points to a successfully edited colony (white spores). Green arrowhead points to an unedited colony (green spores).

Table 2. A.	nidulans cod	on-adjusted	fluorescent	proteins us	ed in this
study.					

Fluorescent protein	$\lambda_{_{ex}}$ / $\lambda_{_{em}}$ (nm)	Origin
mStayGold	499/510	Ando et al. (Ando et al., 2024)
mStayGold2	499/509	Ando et al. (Ando et al., 2024)
mNeonGreen	506/517	Shaner et al. (Shaner et al., 2013)
mScarlet3	569/572	Gadella et al. (Gadella et al., 2023)
mCardinal	604/659	Chu <i>et al.</i> (Chu <i>et al.</i> , 2014)

 λ_{ex} = maximum excitation wavelength

 λ_{em} = maximum emission wavelength



Figure 3. Markerless fluorescent-protein tagging with CRISPR. A. Maximum intensity projections of chitin synthase B (ChsB) tagged at its N-terminus with the fluorescent proteins mScarlet3 (strain aDM018), mCardinal (strain aDM048), mNeonGreen (strain aDM045), mStayGold (strain aDM046), and mStayGold2 (strain aDM047). B. Quantification of hyphal tip fluorescence for mNeonGreen-ChsB (aDM045) and mStayGold-ChsB (aDM046). p < 0.05 by Welch's two-tailed t-test. n = 7 for both strains. **C.** Photostability of mNeonGreen-ChsB (aDM045) and mStayGold-ChsB (aDM046). Images are individual timepoints (seconds) from a movie acquired with continuous laser exposure. Micrographs are sum intensity projections. Contrast settings were adjusted separately for each strain (to give comparable appearance at 0 sec) but are the same for all timepoints within the same strain. **D.** Micrographs of mScarlet3-ChsB, mStayGold2-FksA (1,3-beta-glucan synthase) double-tagged strain (aDM029). Scale bars = 2µm.

imaging, presumably because of the normally high expression level of ChsB. Consistent with work in mammalian cells (Ando *et al.*, 2024), in time-lapse imaging experiments we found that mStayGold-ChsB was substantially more photostable than mNeonGreen-ChsB (Figure 3C).

Successive rounds of tagging

We next tested how well our CRISPR-Cas9 system could work for multiple rounds of gene tagging, as tagging two or more different proteins in the same cell is often critical for determining protein colocalization and/or protein-protein interactions. Starting from an mScarlet3-ChsB strain, we tagged the N-terminus of transmembrane protein glucan synthase FksA with mStayGold2. To our knowledge, FksA has not been tagged previously in *A. nidulans*. In these experiments, we used a CRISPR-Cas9 plasmid containing the same selectable marker (*pyrG*) for both rounds of tagging, as *A. nidulans* readily loses plasmids in the absence of selection (Gems *et al.*, 1991).

We then used the doubly-tagged strain to investigate FksA localization relative to ChsB. Like mScarlet3-ChsB, mStay-Gold2-FksA localized to hyphal tips (Figure 3D). However, examination of individual Z-sections showed that the two proteins did not fully co-localize; rather, mStayGold2-FksA appeared to localize to regions immediately surrounding or adjacent to mScarlet3-ChsB (Figure 3D). In *Neurospora crassa*,

glucan synthase FKS-1 (homolog of *A. nidulans* FksA) similarly localizes adjacently to chitin synthase CHS-1 (Sánchez-León & Riquelme, 2015). Our results thus confirm that the stratification of the SPK seen in *N. crassa* is conserved in *A. nidulans*, as was first shown by Schultzhaus *et al.* on the basis of the localization of other SPK-associated proteins (Peñalva, 2015; Schultzhaus *et al.*, 2015).

In summary, our CRISPR-Cas9 system allowed us to successively tag ChsB and FksA at their endogenous loci in a way that is both simpler and quicker than traditional methods.

Discussion

Here we report an improved CRISPR-Cas9 method for genetic manipulation of *A. nidulans*; we anticipate that this will be a powerful tool for cell biology research. We modified an existing plasmid-based CRISPR system for filamentous fungi (Nødvig *et al.*, 2018) to enable fast, efficient and inexpensive cloning of an sgRNA spacer sequence into a CRISPR-Cas9

vector available with a variety of selectable markers (Figure 1). Most notably, inserting spacer sequences via Golden Gate cloning greatly facilitates the testing of multiple sgRNAs for one or more loci. We also added another dominant selection marker to the available CRISPR-Cas9 vectors. This increases versatility and may allow simultaneous transformation with multiple plasmids. We show that our CRISPR-Cas9 system can be used for easy, precise gene editing and gene tagging, using short homology arms (Figure 2, Figure 3; Extended data Tables S1, S2). Overall, our CRISPR-Cas9 system can be used for most single-locus genetic modifications in *A. nidulans*. All vectors and FP cassettes are available via Addgene (Table 3).

We chose to modify the system of Nødvig *et al.* (Nødvig *et al.*, 2018; Nødvig *et al.*, 2015) because of its wide use in the field. Our system retains key elements of the Nødvig *et al.* (Nødvig *et al.*, 2018; Nødvig *et al.*, 2015) system for fungal plasmid replication and expression of Cas9, sgRNA, and selectable markers. Because the Nødvig *et al.* system has been

Table 3. Plasmids shared on Addgene.

Identifier	Addgene number	Use
pDM026	216808	Vector for Aspergillus CRISPR-Cas9 genetic engineering with Golden Gate cloning drop-out cassette for spacer insertion and pyrG selectable marker.
pDM028	216809	Vector for Aspergillus CRISPR-Cas9 genetic engineering with Golden Gate cloning drop-out cassette for spacer insertion and hph selectable marker.
pDM030	216810	Vector for Aspergillus CRISPR-Cas9 genetic engineering with Golden Gate cloning drop-out cassette for spacer insertion and ble selectable marker.
pDM068	216811	Vector for Aspergillus CRISPR-Cas9 genetic engineering with Golden Gate cloning drop-out cassette for spacer insertion and NAT selectable marker.
pDM042	216812	Aspergillus nidulans codon-adjusted mScarlet3 fluorescent protein, includes linker for C-terminal tagging.
pDM043	216813	Aspergillus nidulans codon-adjusted mScarlet3 fluorescent protein, includes linker for N-terminal tagging.
pDM052	216814	Aspergillus nidulans codon-adjusted mNeonGreen fluorescent protein, includes linker for C-terminal tagging.
pDM053	216815	Aspergillus nidulans codon-adjusted mNeonGreen fluorescent protein, includes linker for N-terminal tagging.
pDM070	216816	Aspergillus nidulans codon-adjusted mCardinal fluorescent protein, includes linker for C-terminal tagging.
pDM071	216817	Aspergillus nidulans codon-adjusted mCardinal fluorescent protein, includes linker for N-terminal tagging.
pDM098	216818	Aspergillus nidulans codon-adjusted mStayGold fluorescent protein, includes linker for C-terminal tagging.
pDM097	216819	Aspergillus nidulans codon-adjusted mStayGold fluorescent protein, includes linker for N-terminal or internal tagging.
pDM067	216820	Aspergillus nidulans codon-adjusted mStayGold2 fluorescent protein, includes linker for C-terminal tagging.
pDM069	216821	Aspergillus nidulans codon-adjusted mStayGold2 fluorescent protein, includes linker for N-terminal tagging.

shown to work in multiple filamentous fungi (Erdmann *et al.*, 2022; Mattos *et al.*, 2020; Nødvig *et al.*, 2018; Nødvig *et al.*, 2015; Schuster & Kahmann, 2019; Wenderoth *et al.*, 2017), we predict our system will work equally well in other fungal species.

We note that other CRISPR-Cas9 systems for *A. nidulans* and related fungi are also available (Chang, 2023; Rozhkova & Kislitsin, 2021; Schuster & Kahmann, 2019; Shen *et al.*, 2023; Song *et al.*, 2019; Wang & Coleman, 2019; Yuan *et al.*, 2024). Importantly, the specific features of different systems can be either advantageous or disadvantageous, depending on the goals of the experiment, and thus it is important to consider the relevant trade-offs.

For example, Aspergillus CRISPR plasmids can be assembled using parts of a modular cloning-based synthetic biology toolkit that has a wide range of uses in filamentous fungi (Mózsik et al., 2021). While this approach offers considerable power and flexibility, it should be noted that plasmid construction via modular cloning normally requires two rounds of cloning: in the first round, the sgRNA transcriptional unit is assembled, and in the second round, the final plasmid carrying the sgRNA, Cas9 and a selectable marker is constructed. Therefore, for a simple task such as introducing one sgRNA sequence into a Cas9-expressing plasmid, a modular cloning approach may not be time- or cost-effective. Using this modularcloning toolkit, a plasmid for one-step sgRNA cloning has been constructed, but for selection in Aspergillus this is currently limited to the ble (phleomycin-resistance) gene (Mózsik et al., 2021).

Concurrent with our work, Yuan et al. adapted the Mortensen lab CRISPR plasmids for Golden Gate assembly (Yuan et al., 2024). Unlike our system, which uses annealed oligonucleotides to introduce an sgRNA spacer sequence into a CRISPR-Cas9 vector, the Yuan et al. system uses sgRNA units made from synthetic gene fragments and is specifically designed to facilitate cloning of a tRNA-gRNA array into a plasmid expressing Cas9 (or Cas12a) (Yuan et al., 2024). Having multiple sgRNAs, leading to multiple DSBs within a genomic region, may be particularly useful for making large genomic deletions (e.g. tens of kilobases), especially in contexts that do not require the precise editing offered by an introduced HR template (Yuan et al., 2024). Nødvig et al. also assembled multiple sgRNAs in a single CRISPR plasmid with their USER-based cloning system, in order to target multiple sites (Nødvig et al., 2018). However, the use of multiple sgRNAs may also increase the possibility of off-target effects, and thus may represent "overkill" if only a single DSB is required for a gene-editing experiment. In the context of precise, HR template-dependent genome editing and gene tagging at single loci, where a single sgRNA is often sufficient, the speed, efficiency, and low cost of our system may be particularly advantageous. For simultaneous targeting of two or more loci, our system could be modified to incorporate multiple sgRNAs within a single plasmid; alternatively, multiple single-sgRNA plasmids containing different selectable markers could be used simultaneously.

Technical considerations for using the CRISPR-Cas9 system

In our experience, successful CRISPR-Cas9-based genetic modification in A. nidulans can vary among and within loci, depending on sgRNA efficiency (Extended data Tables S1, S2). Because of this, we recommend that researchers test sgRNA efficiency via the Technique to Assess Protospacer Efficiency (TAPE) assay described by Nødvig et al. (Nødvig et al., 2018), either prior to or simultaneous with a CRISPR-Cas9 editing experiment. TAPE takes advantage of the fact that homologous recombination experiments in A. nidulans are usually performed in strains carrying deletion of the nkuA gene, involved in NHEJ (Nayak et al., 2006). In TAPE, cells (i.e. nkuA mutants) are transformed with a CRISPR-Cas9 plasmid containing the relevant sgRNA but in the absence of an accompanying HR template. If an sgRNA efficiently promotes DSB formation, then cells will fail to form colonies on plates selective for the CRISPR-Cas9 plasmid, due to the combined absence of NHEJ and HR template-driven homologous recombination. By contrast, if an sgRNA does not promote DSB formation, then cells will be able to form colonies. When an sgRNA is found to be efficient via TAPE assay, transformation with a CRISPR-Cas9 plasmid together with a HR template leads to the vast majority of colonies (on selective plates) having the intended genetic modification (Extended data Tables S1, S2) (Nødvig et al., 2018). In this context, the ease with which our method enables cloning of sgRNA spacer sequences into CRISPR-Cas9 plasmids makes it possible to generate and test a wide variety of sgRNA spacer sequences in a short time.

With efficient sgRNAs, an edited or tagged strain can be generated in 7–10 days using our system (see Protocol). In particular, isolating individual strains by spore dissection rather than by multiple rounds of streak-purification on plates can save several days in the verification process, while also ensuring that selected strains are fully clonal. We used this approach to create a doubly-tagged strain by two successive transformations. Overall, when using efficient sgRNAs, the total time required to make two successive genetic modifications in a strain is approximately the same as the time required for a single genetic cross (Todd *et al.*, 2007b).

We verified that our method can be used for gene editing via either single-stranded or double-stranded HR templates (Figure 2, Extended data Table S1). Although a single-stranded HR template can be used, we would recommend using a double-stranded HR template, as it may be less likely to produce unintended mutations in the insertion locus (Gallagher *et al.*, 2020).

Recommendations for tagging with fluorescent proteins

We have used our CRISPR-Cas9 system to tag several proteins with *A. nidulans* codon-adjusted versions of recent-generation FPs (Figure 3A, Extended data Table S2). The FP sequences include linkers for N- or C-terminal tagging (Table 2; Methods). These will be useful reagents for *A. nidulans* cell biology research.

By tagging chitin synthase ChsB with five different FPs, we were able to evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of different FPs in A. nidulans. The highly photostable FPs mStayGold and mStayGold2 were of particular interest, as they have the potential to significantly enhance long-term imaging experiments (Ando et al., 2024). These proteins have been developed only recently and have not been thoroughly characterised in fungi. Surprisingly, we found that mStayGold is ten times less bright than mNeonGreen, at least when fused to the N-terminus of ChsB in A. nidulans (Figure 3B). In mammalian cells, mStayGold has been shown to be as bright as, or brighter than, mNeonGreen (Ando et al., 2024). It is possible that the brightness of mStayGold depends on the local cellular environment or the context of the protein fusion. Further experiments tagging other proteins will be needed to determine whether mStayGold is consistently less bright than mNeonGreen in A. nidulans or other fungi. Other monomeric versions of StayGold have also been developed (Ivorra-Molla et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2024), and it is possible that these could have properties distinct from mStayGold when expressed in fungal cells. In any case, the mStayGold that we tested appears to be more photostable than mNeonGreen in vivo in A. nidulans (Figure 3C) and thus may be a good choice for live-cell protein visualisation if the tagged protein is sufficiently abundant to yield a detectable fluorescent signal.

In our view, mStayGold should be preferred over mStay-Gold2. mStayGold and mStayGold2 were initially described as equally bright and photostable, with mStayGold2 possibly better-suited for N-terminal tagging of proteins of interest (Ando *et al.*, 2023). However, additional experiments by the same authors showed that mStayGold2 is slightly less photostable than mStayGold, and that mStayGold is in fact suitable for N-terminal tagging (Ando *et al.*, 2024). Therefore, we would recommend the use of mStayGold over mStayGold2 for future gene tagging in *A. nidulans*.

Finally, we also note that the far-red fluorescent protein mCardinal has been reported to be a dimer *in vitro* (Wannier *et al.*, 2018). As this could potentially perturb localization of a tagged protein, care should be taken when tagging with mCardinal.

Overall, the palette of fluorescent proteins presented here provides multiple options for cell biology experiments in *Aspergilli*.

Methods

Protocol

A detailed protocol of CRISPR plasmid construction and *A. nidulans* engineering can be found on protocols.io: Genetic engineering of *A. nidulans* using CRISPR-Cas9. DOI: dx.doi. org/10.17504/protocols.io.6qpvr3z93vmk/v1.

Codon optimisation of fluorescent proteins

To generate a codon-usage table for *A. nidulans*, the coding sequences of *A. nidulans* genes with the assigned gene ontology term GO:0003735 'structural constituent of ribosome' were downloaded from FungiDB (https://fungidb.org)

(Basenko *et al.*, 2018). The sequences were then used to generate a codon-usage table using cusp (https://www.bioinformatics.nl/cgi-bin/emboss/cusp). The generated codon usage table can be found in the Zenodo repository (Extended data Table S3). *A. nidulans* codon-adjusted gene sequences were generated using the python package DnaChisel (https://edinburgh-genomefoundry.github.io/DnaChisel/) (Zulkower & Rosser, 2020).

Plasmid construction

Plasmid pDM026 (CRISPR-Cas9 vector with *pyrG* gene), pDM028 (CRISPR-Cas9 vector with *hph* gene), and pDM030 (CRISPR-Cas9 vector with *ble* gene) were constructed by respectively digesting pFC330 (Addgene #87842), pFC332 (Addgene #87845), and pFC333 (Addgene #87844) with restriction enzymes PacI (New England Biolabs, USA; #R0547) and BgIII (New England Biolabs, USA; #R0144) and combining them with synthesized gene fragment gDM002 (Integrated DNA Technologies, USA) containing *A. nidulans* U3 promoter, HDV ribozyme, GFP drop-out cassette, glycine tRNA and U3 terminator via Gibson assembly (Gibson *et al.*, 2009). Correct insertions in the final plasmids were verified by Sanger sequencing (Azenta, USA or MRC PPU DNA Sequencing and Services, UK).

Plasmid pDM068 (CRISPR-Cas9 vector with *NAT* gene), was constructed by replacing *pyrG* coding sequence with a *NAT* coding sequence via PCR amplification of pDM026, followed by Gibson assembly (Gibson *et al.*, 2009). The *NAT* gene is therefore driven by the *A. fumigatus* pyrG promoter. The plasmid was verified by whole-plasmid sequencing (SNPsaurus, USA).

Plasmids containing *A. nidulans* codon-adjusted fluorescent proteins were constructed via Gibson assembly (Gibson *et al.*, 2009), inserting synthesised gene fragments (Integrated DNA Technologies, USA) into pUC19 cloning vector. Regions of interest were sequenced by Sanger sequencing (Azenta, USA or MRC PPU DNA Sequencing and Services, UK).

CRISPR-Cas9 plasmids containing sgRNA spacer sequences were assembled by Golden Gate Reaction using PaqCI (#R0745, New England Biolabs, USA). 60bp-long complementary oligonucleotides were annealed by mixing 2.5 μ L of each 100 μ M oligo with 5 μ L of annealing buffer (100 mM NaCl, 25 mM Tris, pH 7.5) in a 200 μ L PCR tube. Forward and reverse oligonucleotide sequences were:

- - where "NNN...NNN" is the spacer sequence of the desired sgRNA. PaqCI recognition sites are underlined.
- - where "*NNN*...*NNN*" is the reverse complement of the spacer sequence of the desired sgRNA. PaqCI recognition sites are underlined.

The tube was placed in a thermocycler and incubated at 95° C for 3 minutes, followed by a ramp-down of 1°C every 30 seconds for 70 cycles (i.e. until reaching 25°C). The annealed oligonucleotide mix was then diluted 1:1750 in water.

The PaqCI Golden Gate reaction was set up in a 200µL PCR tube, using:

- 0.5 µl CRISPR-Cas9 vector plasmid (from 75 ng/µL stock).
- 0.5 µl diluted annealed spacer oligonucleotides.
- 0.25 µL PaqCI (New England Biolabs, USA; #R0745).
- 0.125 µL PaqCI activator (New England Biolabs, USA; #S0532SVIAL).
- 0.25 µL T4 Ligase (New England Biolabs, USA; #M0202).
- 1 μL T4 Ligase buffer (New England Biolabs, USA; #B0202SVIAL).
- 7.375 µL deionized water.

The reaction was placed in a thermocycler at 37°C for 60 minutes followed by 60°C for 5 minutes (if the reaction was then to be stored at -20°C, the 60°C incubation was repeated after thawing). For bacterial transformations, 30 μ L of DH5 α *E. coli* chemically competent cells were transformed with 2.5 μ L of the Golden Gate reaction. After DNA minipreps, correct spacer insertion was verified by Sanger sequencing (Azenta, USA or MRC PPU DNA Sequencing and Services, UK), using primer oDM154.

Lists of all plasmids (including summaries of fluorescent protein amino-acid linker sequences), oligonucleotides, and gene fragments used in this study (Extended data Tables S4–S6) can be found in the Zenodo repository. Plasmid and genefragment DNA sequence files can also be found in the Zenodo repository.

A. nidulans cultivation and strain construction

A. nidulans was grown on YG (20 g/L glucose, 5 g/L yeast extract, 1 mL/L Hutner's trace element solution (Hill & Kafer, 2001)) with supplements as required (2.44 g/L uridine, 1.01 g/L uracil, 0.411 mg/L pyridoxine). When grown on solid media, agar was added to a final concentration of 1.5%. Strains were incubated at either 30° C or 37° C.

For *A. nidulans* transformation, protoplasts were produced essentially as described in Oakley *et al.* (Oakley *et al.*, 2012), but with the following modifications: 1) for cell-wall digestion, Extralyse[®] (Laffort, France) was used instead of VinoTaste[®] Pro; 2) all protoplast washes were performed at 4°C; and 3) Eppendorf Protein LoBind[®] tubes (Eppendorf, Germany; #0030108116) were used during protoplast washes to maximize protoplast recovery. All details of transformation are available as part of the protocol linked to this paper (dx.doi. org/10.17504/protocols.io.6qpvr3z93vmk/v1). HR templates were

generated by PCR (for gene tagging) or by annealing or annealing/extension of oligonucleotides (for gene editing; see Extended data Tables S1, S2, S5). Protoplasts were transformed with 1–2 μ g of sgRNA plasmid and 0.5–1.5 μ g of HR template. Transformations were plated on YG agar adjusted for osmolarity by having either final concentrations of 0.6 M KCl or 1 M sucrose. Nourseothricin was used at a final concentration of 150 μ g/mL for selection of *NAT* resistance plasmids.

Transformation plates were incubated at 30°C for 1 day and 37°C for 3–4 days, until sporulating colonies formed. Strains were purified by dissection of single spores on a non-selective plate using a Singer MSM 400 Tetrad Dissection Microscope (Singer, USA).

Strains were verified by amplification of the genomic region of interest from 1–10 μ L of a spore suspension first boiled at 95°C for 15 minutes and frozen at -70°C for 10 minutes, as described by Fraczek *et al.* (Fraczek *et al.*, 2019). Genotyping PCRs were performed using NEB LongAmp Taq (New England Biolabs, USA; #M0323S) as in Fraczek *et al.* (Fraczek *et al.*, 2019). High-fidelity spore PCRs were performed using PlatinumTM SuperFi II DNA Polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA; #12361010) and verified by Sanger sequencing (Azenta, USA or MRC PPU DNA Sequencing and Services, UK). All spore PCR methods are available as part of the protocol linked to this paper (dx.doi.org/10.17504/ protocols.io.6qpvr3z93vmk/v1).

A list of all *A. nidulans* strains used in this study (Extended data Table S7) can be found in the Zenodo repository. DNA sequence and sequencing files of edited and tagged loci can also be found in the Zenodo repository.

Fluorescence microscopy

Spinning disk confocal microscopy (Figure 3A-C) was performed using a Nikon Ti2-E microscope equipped with a Yokogawa CSU-W1 confocal scanner unit with a tri-band dichroic mirror (Di01-T405/488/561; Semrock), Plan Apo VC (100x/1.4 NA) oil objective and a Photometrics Prime 95B camera. Spores of relevant A. nidulans strains were inoculated on minimal media (Hill & Kafer, 2001) agar plates with required supplements and grown for 16-20 hours at 30°C. Agar plugs containing hyphae were cut from the plate and inverted onto Ibidi 4-well µ-Slide glass-bottom chambers (Cat. No: 80427, Ibidi GmbH, Germany) filled with 200 µL liquid minimal media with supplements. To allow cells to adapt to the imaging temperature (18-20°C), chambers with cells were left on the microscope stage for at least 30 minutes before the start of imaging. For imaging NeonGreen, mStayGold and mStay-Gold2, a 488 nm laser was used for excitation, with a 525/50 nm band-pass emission filter. For mScarlet3 and mCardinal, a 561 nm laser was for excitation, with a 568 nm long-pass emission filter. For each image, 21 Z-sections were acquired, with 0.2 µm spacing. Z-position was controlled using a NIDAQ Piezo Z device. Imaging of time-courses (Figure 3C) used a 70 ms exposure per Z-section, with continuous illumination and acquisition until the fluorescent signal was no longer detected.

Laser scanning confocal microscopy (Figure 3D) was performed using a Zeiss LSM 980 microscope equipped with an Airyscan 2 detector. On the day before imaging, 10^3 and 10^4 spores (to provide different cell densities at the time of imaging) of strain aDM029 were inoculated in 400 µL of liquid minimal medium (Hill & Kafer, 2001) with required supplements in Ibidi 8-well µ-Slide glass-bottom chambers (Cat. No: 80827, Ibidi GmbH, Germany) and grown at 30°C overnight. Imaging was done at 37°C in a temperature-controlled environmental chamber. To allow cells to adapt to the imaging temperature, chambers with cells with cells were left on the microscope stage for at least 30 minutes before the start of imaging. Cells were imaged using an Alpha Plan Apochromat (x100/1.45 NA) oil objective and a 488/561 dual-band dichroic mirror. mScarlet3-chsB and mStayGold2-fksA were excited using 561 nm and 488 nm lasers respectively. 29 Z-sections (0.14 µm spacing) were acquired using Airyscan 2 Multiplex mode; both channels were imaged sequentially in the same Z-section before the next Z-section was imaged. Images were deconvolved using Huygens Software (https://svi.nl/Huygens-Software). FIJI (Schindelin et al., 2012), is a free alternative that can perform deconvolution.

All microscopy images were processed using FIJI (Schindelin *et al.*, 2012). Figures were assembled using Adobe illustrator (https://www.adobe.com/products/illustrator.html). Inkscape (https://inkscape.org) is a free alternative that can be used for figure assembly.

Ethics and consent statement

Ethical approval and consent were not required.

Data availability

Underlying data

Zenodo: Improved gene editing and fluorescent-protein tagging in Aspergillus nidulans using a Golden Gate-based CRISPR-Cas9 plasmid system. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo. 10700141 (Modaffari, 2024).

The project contains the following underlying data:

• DNA_files.zip. ZIP file containing annotated sequence information for all plasmids, gene fragments and *A. nidulans* genetic loci used in this work, presented in .gbk format. In addition, sequencing files for *A. nidulans* strain verification are presented in .ab1 or .fasta format. All formats can be opened using free software ApE (https://jorgensen.biology.utah.edu/wayned/ape/)

- code.zip. ZIP file containing python script for *A. nidulans* codon-adjusting and R script for generating graph and statistical test of Figure 3B, including tip measurement tables for Figure 3B.
- microscopy_data.zip. ZIP file containing raw microscopy data files for all biological images, in either .tif, .nd2, or .czi format. All formats can be opened using free open-source software FIJI (Schindelin *et al.*, 2012).
- README.txt. Text file describing the structure of the repository.

Extended data

Zenodo: Improved gene editing and fluorescent-protein tagging in Aspergillus nidulans using a Golden Gate-based CRISPR-Cas9 plasmid system. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo. 10700141 (Modaffari, 2024).

The project contains the following extended data:

- combined_extended_data.pdf. All extended data supplementary figures and tables.
- extended_data_tables.zip. ZIP file containing separate supplementary data tables in .pdf or .csv format.
- extended_data_figures.zip. ZIP file containing extended data supplementary figures in .pdf format.
- README.txt. Text file describing the structure of the repository.

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

Software availability statement

Archived scripts used in this publication are available from: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10700141.

Acknowledgments

We thank Uffe Mortensen for sharing plasmids via Addgene, Dave Kelly and Toni McHugh from the DRP-HCB Microscopy core for support with imaging, Berl Oakley for advice on *A. nidulans* transformation, Herb Arst for introducing us to *A. nidulans*, and members of the Sawin and Wallace labs for advice on the project as it has developed. For the purpose of open access, the author has applied a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

References

Adli M: The CRISPR tool kit for genome editing and beyond. *Nat Commun.* 2018; **9**(1): 1911.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Ando R, Shimozono S, Ago H, et al.: StayGold variants for molecular fusion and membrane targeting applications. 2023. Publisher Full Text

Ando R, Shimozono S, Ago H, *et al.*: **StayGold variants for molecular fusion and membrane-targeting applications.** *Nat Methods.* 2024; **21**(4): 1–9. **Publisher Full Text**

Basenko EY, Pulman JA, Shanmugasundram A, *et al.*: **FungiDB: an integrated bioinformatic resource for fungi and oomycetes.** *J Fungi (Basel).* 2018; **4**(1): 39. **PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text**

Caesar LK, Kelleher NL, Keller NP: In the fungus where it happens: history and future propelling Aspergillus nidulans as the archetype of natural products research. Fungal Genet Biol. 2020; 144: 103477. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Chang PK: A simple CRISPR/Cas9 system for efficiently targeting genes of Aspergillus Section Flavi Species, Aspergillus nidulans, Aspergillus fumigatus, Aspergillus terreus, and Aspergillus niger. Microbiol Spectr. 2023; 11(1): e0464822

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Chu J, Haynes RD, Corbel SY, et al.: Non-invasive intravital imaging of cellular differentiation with a bright red-excitable fluorescent protein. Nat Methods. 2014; 11(5): 572-578.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Dohn JW Jr, Grubbs AW, Oakley CE, et al.: New multi-marker strains and complementing genes for Aspergillus nidulans molecular biology. Fungal Genet Biol. 2018; 111: 1-6.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Engler C, Kandzia R, Marillonnet S: A one pot, one step, precision cloning method with high throughput capability. *PLoS One.* 2008; **3**(11): e3647. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Erdmann EA, Nitsche S, Gorbushina AA, et al.: Genetic engineering of the rock inhabitant knufia petricola provides insight into the biology of extremotolerant black fungi. Front Fungal Biol. 2022; **3**: 862429. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Fraczek MG, Zhao C, Dineen L, et al.: Fast and reliable PCR amplification from Aspergillus fumigatus spore suspension without traditional DNA extraction. Curr Protoc Microbiol. 2019; 54(1): e89.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Fukuda K, Yamada K, Deoka K, et al.: Class III chitin synthase ChsB of Aspergillus nidulans localizes at the sites of polarized cell wall synthesis and is required for conidial development. Eukaryot Cell. 2009; 8(7): 945-956. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Gadella TWJ Jr, van Weeren L, Stouthamer J, et al.: mScarlet3: a brilliant and fast-maturing red fluorescent protein. Nat Methods. 2023; 20(4): 541-545. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Gallagher DN, Pham N, Tsai AM, et al.: A Rad51-independent pathway promotes single-strand template repair in gene editing. PLoS Genet. 2020; 16(10): e1008689.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Gems D, Johnstone IL, Clutterbuck AJ: An autonomously replicating plasmid transforms Aspergillus nidulans at high frequency. Gene. 1991; **98**(1): 61–67. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Geu-Flores F, Nour-Eldin HH, Nielsen MT, et al.: USER fusion: a rapid and efficient method for simultaneous fusion and cloning of multiple PCR products. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007; 35(7): e55.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Gibson DG, Young L, Chuang RY, et al.: Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. Nat Methods. 2009; 6(5): 343-345. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Hill T, Kafer E: Improved protocols for Aspergillus minimal medium: trace element and minimal medium salt stock solutions. Fungal Genet Rep. 2001; 48(1): 20-21.

Publisher Full Text

Hsu PD, Scott DA, Weinstein JA, et al.: DNA targeting specificity of RNA-guided Cas9 nucleases. Nat Biotechnol. 2013; 31(9): 827–832. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Isaac RS, Jiang F, Doudna JA, *et al.*: **Nucleosome breathing and remodeling constrain CRISPR-Cas9 function**. *Elife*. 2016; **5**: e13450. **PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text**

Ivorra-Molla E, Akhuli D, McAndrew MBL, *et al.*: A monomeric StayGold fluorescent protein. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2024; 42(9): 1368–1371. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Jinek M, Chylinski K, Fonfara I, et al.: A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. Science. 2012; 337(6096): 816-821

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Mattos EC, Silva LP, Valero C, et al.: The Aspergillus fumigatus phosphoproteome reveals roles of High-Osmolarity Glycerol Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinases in promoting cell wall damage and Caspofungin tolerance. *mBio*. 2020; **11**(1): e02962–19. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Mayorga ME, Timberlake WE: **The developmentally regulated** *Aspergillus nidulans* wA gene encodes a polypeptide homologous to polyketide and fatty acid synthases. *Mol Gen Genet*. 1992; **235**(2–3): 205–212. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Modaffari D: Improved gene editing and fluorescent-protein tagging in Aspergillus nidulans using a Golden Gate-based CRISPR-Cas9 plasmid system. Zenodo. 2024.

http://www.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10700141

Mózsik L, Pohl C, Meyer V, et al.: Modular synthetic biology toolkit for filamentous fungi. ACS Synth Biol. 2021; 10(11): 2850-2861 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Nayak T, Szewczyk E, Oakley CE, et al.: A versatile and efficient gene-targeting system for Aspergillus nidulans. Genetics. 2006; 172(3): 1557–1566. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Nielsen ML, Albertsen L, Lettier G, et al.: Efficient PCR-based gene targeting with a recyclable marker for Aspergillus nidulans. Fungal Genet Biol. 2006; 43(1): 54-64.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Nødvig CS, Hoof JB, Kogle ME, et al.: Efficient oligo nucleotide mediated CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing in Aspergilli. Fungal Genet Biol. 2018; 115: 78-89. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Nødvig CS, Nielsen JB, Kogle ME, et al.: A CRISPR-Cas9 system for genetic engineering of filamentous fungi. PLoS One. 2015; 10(7): e0133085. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Oakley CE, Edgerton-Morgan H, Oakley BR: Tools for manipulation of secondary metabolism pathways: rapid promoter replacements and gene deletions in Aspergillus nidulans. In: Keller, N.P, Turner, G. (Eds.), Fungal secondary metabolism: methods and protocols, Methods in molecular biology. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ, 2012; **944**: 143–161.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Osmani SA, Mirabito PM: The early impact of genetics on our understanding of cell cycle regulation in Aspergillus nidulans. Fungal Genet Biol. 2004; 41(4) 401-410

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Peñalva MA: A lipid-managing program maintains a stout Spitzenkörper. Mol Microbiol. 2015; **97**(1): 1–6. **PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text**

Pinar M, Peñalva MA: The fungal RABOME: RAB GTPases acting in the endocytic and exocytic pathways of *Aspergillus nidulans* (with excursions to other filamentous fungi). *Mol Microbiol.* 2021; **116**(1): 53–70. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Pontecorvo G, Roper JA, Hemmons LM, et al.: The genetics of Aspergillus nidulans. In: Demerec, M. (Ed.), Adv Genet. Academic Press, 1953; 5: 141–238. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Rozhkova AM, Kislisin VY: **CRISPR/Cas genome editing in filamentous fungi.** Biochem Mosc. 2021; **86**(Suppl 1): S120–S139. **PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text**

Ryan OW, Skerker JM, Maurer MJ, et al.: Selection of chromosomal DNA libraries using a multiplex CRISPR system. *eLife*. 2014; **3**: e03703. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Sánchez-León E, Riquelme M: Live imaging of β-1,3-glucan synthase FKS-1 in Neurospora crassa hyphae. Fungal Genet Biol. 2015; 82: 104–107 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, et al.: Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods. 2012; 9(7): 676-682. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Schultzhaus Z, Yan H, Shaw BD: Aspergillus nidulans flippase DnfA is cargo of the endocytic collar and plays complementary roles in growth and phosphatidylserine asymmetry with another flippase, DnfB. *Mol Microbiol.* 2015; 97(1): 18-32.

PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Schuster M, Kahmann R: CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing approaches in filamentous fungi and oomycetes. Fungal Genet Biol. 2019; 130: 43-53. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Shaner NC, Lambert GG, Chammas A, et al.: A bright monomeric green fluorescent protein derived from Branchiostoma lanceolatum. Nat Methods. 2013; 10(5): 407-409.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Shen JY, Zhao Q, He QL: Application of CRISPR in filamentous fungi and macrofungi: from component function to development potentiality. ACS Synth Biol. 2023; 12(7): 1908-1923. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Song R, Zhai Q, Sun L, et al.: CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing technology in filamentous fungi: progress and perspective. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2019; 103(17): 6919-6932

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Steinberg G, Peñalva MA, Riquelme M, et al.: Cell biology of hyphal growth. Microbiol Spectr. 2017; 5(2). PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Szewczyk E, Nayak T, Oakley CE, et al.: Fusion PCR and gene targeting in Aspergillus nidulans. Nat Protoc. 2006; 1(6): 3111–3120. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Todd RB, Davis MA, Hynes MJ: **Genetic manipulation of** *Aspergillus nidulans:* **heterokaryons and diploids for dominance, complementation and haploidization analyses.** *Nat Protoc.* 2007a; **2**(4): 822–830. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Todd RB, Davis MA, Hynes MJ: Genetic manipulation of Aspergillus nidulans: meiotic progeny for genetic analysis and strain construction. Nat Protoc. 2007b; 2(4): 811-821

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Torres-Garcia S, Di Pompeo L, Eivers L, et al.: SpEDIT: a fast and efficient CRISPR/Cas9 method for fission yeast [version 1; peer review: 3 approved].

Wellcome Open Res. 2020; 5: 274. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Wang Q, Coleman JJ: **Progress and challenges: development and implementation of CRISPR/Cas9 technology in filamentous fungi.** *Comput Struct Biotechnol J.* 2019; **17**: 761–769. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Wannier TM, Gillespie SK, Hutchins N, et al.: Monomerization of far-Red Fluorescent Proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018; **115**(48): E11294–E11301. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Wenderoth M, Pinecker C, Voß B, et al.: Establishment of CRISPR/Cas9 in Alternaria alternata. Fungal Genet Biol. 2017; 101: 55-60. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

WHO: WHO fungal priority pathogens list to guide research, development and public health action [WWW Document]. 2022; (accessed 7.2.24). **Reference Source**

Yuan G, Deng S, Czajka JJ, et al.: CRISPR-Cas9/Cas12a systems for efficient genome editing and large genomic fragment deletions in *Aspergillus niger*. 2024.

Publisher Full Text

Zhang H, Lesnov GD, Subach OM, *et al.*: **Bright and stable monomeric** green fluorescent protein derived from StayGold. *Nat Methods*. 2024; **21**(4): 657-665.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Zhang C, Meng X, Wei X, et al.: Highly efficient CRISPR mutagenesis by Microhomology-Mediated End Joining in Aspergillus fumigatus. Fungal Genet Biol. 2016; 86: 47–57. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Zulkower V, Rosser S: DNA Chisel, a versatile sequence optimizer. Bioinformatics. 2020; 36(16): 4508–4509. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 04 December 2024

https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.25422.r112747

© **2024 Fischer R.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Reinhard Fischer

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Karlsruhe, Germany

The paper by Modaffari et al. describes the improvement of a vector system for CRISPR Cas9 genome editing in fungi, published by Nodvig et al. in 2018. There were several improvements which are useful for the application. In addition they describe new fluorescent proteins, which is also very useful for the fungal community. The system could be further developed to easily label fungal organelles as described 20 years ago (Toews, M.W., Warmbold, J., Konzack, S., Rischitor, E.P., Veith, D., Vinuesa, C., Vienken, K., Wei, H. & Fischer, R. (2004) Establishment of mRFP1 as a fluorescent marker in *Aspergillus nidulans* and construction of expression vectors for high-throughput protein tagging using recombination in vitro (GATEWAY). Curr. Genet., 45:883-889.). This could be extended to peroxisomes, endosomes and others. I suggest abbreviating Aspergillus nidulans after the first use.

Is the rationale for developing the new method (or application) clearly explained? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Is the description of the method technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details provided to allow replication of the method development and its use by others?

Yes

If any results are presented, are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions about the method and its performance adequately supported by the findings presented in the article?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Fungal Genetics and Fungal Cell Biology

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 01 November 2024

https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.25422.r107520

© **2024 Park H.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



HeeSoo Park

Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, Kyungpook National University, Durham, NC, 27710, South Korea

In this manuscript, the authors construct a Golden Gate-based sgRNA plasmid to improve the CRISPR-Cas9 plasmid system. This plasmid system allows us to perform the transformation process in E. coli very efficiently. The authors demonstrated this process using the Golden Gate-based sgRNA plasmid system in Aspergillus nidulans. Overall, this system can greatly improve the gene editing system in A. nidulans.

Is the rationale for developing the new method (or application) clearly explained?

Yes

Is the description of the method technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details provided to allow replication of the method development and its use by others?

Yes

If any results are presented, are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions about the method and its performance adequately supported by the findings presented in the article?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Fungal genetics

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.