

# ARTICLE **OPEN**

Check for updates

# Retention of ES cell-derived 129S genome drives NLR[P](http://crossmark.crossref.org/dialog/?doi=10.1038/s41418-024-01379-2&domain=pdf)1 hypersensitivity and transcriptional deregulation in Nlrp3tm<sub>1Flv</sub> mice

Felix D. Wei[s](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0228-5081)s $\odot^{1\boxtimes}$  $\odot^{1\boxtimes}$  $\odot^{1\boxtimes}$ , Yubell Alvarez<sup>1</sup>, Farhad Shakeri<sup>2,3</sup>, Anshupa Sahu<sup>2,3</sup>, Petro Leka<sup>4</sup>, Alesja Dernst<sup>1</sup>, Jessika Rollheiser<sup>1</sup>, Matilde Vasconcelos<sup>4</sup>, Adriana Geraci<sup>1</sup>, Fraser Duthie<sup>1,4</sup>, Rainer Stahl<sup>1,4</sup>, Hye Eun Lee<sup>4</sup>, Anne-Kathrin Gellner<sup>5,6</sup>, Andreas Buness<sup>2,3</sup>, Eicke Latz<sup>4,7</sup> and Felix Meissner  $\mathbf{D}^{1}$  $\mathbf{D}^{1}$  $\mathbf{D}^{1}$ <sup>1</sup>

© The Author(s) 2024

Immune response genes are highly polymorphic in humans and mice, with heterogeneity amongst loci driving strain-specific host defence responses. The inadvertent retention of polymorphic loci can introduce confounding phenotypes, leading to erroneous conclusions, and impeding scientific advancement. In this study, we employ a combination of RNAseq and variant calling analyses to identify a substantial region of 129S genome, including the highly polymorphic Nlrp1 locus, proximal to Nlrp3, in one of the most commonly used mouse models of NLRP3 deficiency (Nlrp3tm1Flv). We show that the presence of the Nlrp1129S locus leads to an increase in NLRP1B protein expression, and a sensitising of Nlrp3tm1Flv macrophages to NLRP1 inflammasome activation, independent of NLRP3 deficiency. Retention of 129S genome further leads to protein sequence differences and altered gene regulation across multiple cell types, including of the key tissue-resident macrophage marker, TIM4. Using alternative models of NLRP3 deficiency, including a previously undescribed conditional Nlrp3 allele enabling precise temporal and cell-type specific control over Nlrp3 deletion, we further show that NLRP3 contributes to Talabostat-driven IL-1β release. Our study also establishes a generic framework to identify functionally relevant SNPs and assess genomic contamination in transgenic mice using RNAseq data. This allows for unambiguous attribution of phenotypes to the target gene and advances the precision and reliability of research in the field of host defence responses.

Cell Death & Differentiation (2024) 31:1717–1729;<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41418-024-01379-2>

#### INTRODUCTION

Evolutionary pressure results in the emergence of gene paralogs and polymorphisms that shape protein function and regulation. Due to strong selection pressure, immune genes are disproportionately hyperpolymorphic across inbred mouse strains [\[1\]](#page-11-0), resulting in strain-specific host defence mechanisms, subsequently maintained through generations of inbreeding.

The retention of embryonic stem cell (ESC)-derived genetic material in transgenic mice, especially of polymorphic immune loci, can lead to confounding phenotypes independent of the target gene  $[2-6]$  $[2-6]$  $[2-6]$  $[2-6]$  $[2-6]$ , as well as the identification of novel immune defence mechanisms [\[7\]](#page-11-0).

Inflammasomes are key innate immune signalling hubs that when activated induce a lytic form of cell death known as pyroptosis, and the release of the inflammatory cytokines IL-1β and IL-18. While the inflammasome protein NLRP3 is activated by danger signals including viral infection, potassium efflux and excessive extracellular ATP, the murine NLRP1 inflammasome can be activated by anthrax lethal toxin, Toxoplasma gondii (T. gondii) infection, and inhibition of dipeptidyl proteases (DPP) 8/9. Whether an endogenous murine NLRP1 activator exists remains unknown.

While, NLRP3 is largely conserved across inbred laboratory mouse strains, the neighbouring Nlrp1 locus is highly variable  $[8, 9]$  $[8, 9]$  $[8, 9]$  $[8, 9]$ . There are five Nlrp1 genes of which, Nlrp1a, Nlrp1b and Nlrp1c-ps are present in C57B6 strains, and Nlrp1b in 129S strains [[9\]](#page-11-0). Furthermore, five distinct Nlrp1b alleles, distributed across 14 laboratory mouse strains, display differential sensitivities to a diverse range of stimuli  $[8]$  $[8]$ , and may be subject to alternative methods of regulation.

NLRP3 has been implicated in a large number of diseases. Murine models of NLRP3 deficiency have shown NLRP3's causal contribution to the pathogenesis of gout [[10](#page-11-0)] and atherosclerosis [\[11\]](#page-11-0), through activation by uric acid crystals and cholesterol crystals respectively, however, its mechanistic contribution to diseases including multiple sclerosis [\[12,](#page-11-0) [13\]](#page-11-0), Alzheimer's disease [\[14,](#page-11-0) [15](#page-11-0)], and diet-induced inflammation [\[16](#page-11-0)] remains to be clarified. Previous research has shown beneficial effects on disease

Received: 23 July 2024 Revised: 9 September 2024 Accepted: 12 September 2024 Published online: 17 September 2024

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Institute of Innate Immunity, Department for Systems Immunology and Proteomics, Medical Faculty, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany. <sup>2</sup>Institute for Medical Biometry, Informatics and Epidemiology, Medical Faculty, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn, Germany. <sup>3</sup>Institute for Genomic Statistics and Bioinformatics, Medical Faculty, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany. <sup>4</sup>Institute of Innate Immunity, Department for Innate Immunity & Metaflammation, Medical Faculty, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany. <sup>5</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn, Germany. <sup>6</sup>Institute of Physiology II, Medical Faculty, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany. <sup>7</sup>Deutsches RheumaForschungszentrum (DRFZ), an Institute of the Leibniz Association, Berlin, Germany. <sup>⊠</sup>email: [fweiss@uni-bonn.de](mailto:fweiss@uni-bonn.de); [felix.meissner@uni-bonn.de](mailto:felix.meissner@uni-bonn.de)

phenotypes in Nlrp3<sup>-/-</sup> mice even in the absence of clear evidence for NLRP3 inflammasome activation in vivo [\[13](#page-11-0)–[19](#page-11-0)], and with limited pharmacological validation. This suggests a potential inflammasome-independent role for NLRP3, or an animal model effect independent of NLRP3 deficiency.

Our study identifies a substantial region of 129S ESC-derived genome in a frequently used model of Nlrp3 deficiency  $(Nlrp3^{tm1Flv}$  [[20\]](#page-11-0)). The ~40 Mb region on chromosome 11 contains several hundred genes critical for cell function and identification, and key immune genes, including the Nlrp1 locus. We show that the presence of alternative Nlrp1 loci, and strain-specific transcriptional and translational regulation of NLRP1 proteins, results in differential NLRP1 inflammasome responses. Differences in gene transcription and protein expression, as well as proteincoding sequences identified in this study, impact innate immune responses independently of the loss of NLRP3 expression, and need to be considered when ascribing mechanistic phenotypes in Nlrp3<sup>tm1Flv</sup> mice. Validation of a novel inducible Nlrp3 allele allowing for temporal and cell-type specific control of Nlrp3 deletion will provide greater clarity on the mechanistic contribution of NLRP3 to disease pathology, in the absence of confounding effects. Finally, our analytical strategy to identify coding variants in relevant expressed genes is applicable to historic and newly generated datasets, enabling a straight-forward analysis of coding variants and genetic heterogeneity in transgenic mice currently considered congenic.

#### RESULTS

# Nlrp3<sup>tm1Flv</sup> mouse macrophages display increased sensitivity to NLRP1 activation by Talabostat

DPP8/9 inhibition by Talabostat activates the NLRP1 inflammasome (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)a [[21](#page-11-0)–[25](#page-11-0)]). We compared the dose-dependent activation of NLRP1 by Talabostat in bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs), generated from C57B6/J and Nlrp3<sup>-</sup>  $(Nlrp3^{tm1F\tilde{W}})$  mice, backcrossed >10 generations to C57B6/J and defined by Charles River as congenic.

 $N$ lrp3<sup>-/-</sup> versus C57B6/J BMDMs showed a significant increase in IL-1β secretion at low doses of Talabostat stimulation (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)b). Consistent with a lower threshold of NLRP1 inflammasome formation, the supernatant LDH levels—indicative of cell membrane rupture by pyroptotic cell death—were also significantly higher in Nlrp3<sup>−/−</sup> BMDMs (Fig. [1c](#page-2-0)). These data show that Nlrp3<sup>−/−</sup> BMDMs are hypersensitive to DPP8/9 inhibition induced IL-1β release and pyroptosis compared to C57B6/J controls.

Activation of the NLRP1 inflammasome leads to ASC speck formation, where Caspase-1 is recruited and activated. The frequency of ASC speck positive cells was significantly higher at lower doses of Talabostat treatment in Nlrp3<sup>-/-</sup> BMDMs (Fig. [1d](#page-2-0), e). These results mirror those observed by IL-1β and LDH release, and show a lower threshold for inflammasome assembly upon NLRP1 activation in  $N l r p 3^{-/-}$  versus C57B6/J BMDMs. Caspase-1 inhibition by VX-765 significantly rescued cell death, as measured by LDH, in both genotypes (Fig. [1f](#page-2-0)), showing that increased IL-1β and LDH release is due to the formation of a functional inflammasome.

# Transcriptional deregulation in Nlrp3tm1Flv mouse macrophages is restricted to genes located on chromosome 11

In order to determine whether increased sensitivity to NLRP1 activation by Talabostat was due to changes in gene regulation of NLRP1-associated genes, we performed RNA sequencing (RNAseq)<br>of mature polyA RNA from *Nlrp3<sup>−/−</sup>* and *Nlrp3<sup>+/+</sup>* (Nlrp3<sup>tm1Flv</sup>) litter-mate controls (Fig. [2a](#page-3-0) and Supplementary Fig. 1).

Gene expression analysis revealed significant changes between genotypes at baseline and after LPS stimulation, with Nlrp1b showing a small but statistically significant reduction in expression

**SPRINGER NATURE** 

in  $N$ lrp3<sup>-/-</sup> BMDMs at baseline, which was not maintained following LPS stimulation (Fig. [2a](#page-3-0) and Supplementary Table 1).

Additional deregulated genes showed no statistically significant shared functional enrichment as determined by Gene Ontology analysis of biological process or molecular function (adj.  $P < 0.05$ ), and included genes related to annexins (Anxa6), apoptosis regulation (Xaf1), cell cycle (Pttq1) and histones (H2aw) among others (Fig. [2](#page-3-0)b).

Gene expression changes were also identified in FACS-isolated bone marrow resident granulocyte–monocyte progenitors (GMPs, Supplementary Fig. 2a–d and Supplementary Table 2). Despite the limited number of differentially expressed genes, there was highly significant overlap between the two cell types (48% of DE genes in GMPs, 38% of DE genes in BMDMs), including Xaf1, Anxa6, Pttg1, H2aw and Nlrp1b (Supplementary Fig. 2d, e).

Strikingly, the majority of significantly differentially expressed genes in both BMDMs and GMPs, including Nlrp1b, were located on chromosome 11, which is also home to Nlrp3 (Fig. [2](#page-3-0)c).

# Nlrp3<sup>tm1Flv</sup> mice contain a substantial region of 129S genome proximal to Nlrp3, including the highly polymorphic Nlrp1 locus

Nlrp3tm1Flv mice were originally generated in 129SvEvBRD Lex1 ESCs before being backcrossed >10 generations to C57B6J using speed congenics and defined as congenic by Charles River. Multiple transgenic immune receptor and effector knock-out mouse lines generated in 129 ES cells have been found to contain regions of 129S genome proximal to the target gene despite extensive backcrossing, often confounding results [\[2](#page-11-0)–[6](#page-11-0)].

Given that the Nlrp1 locus is proximal to Nlrp3 on chromosome 11, this raises the possibility that the observed hypersensitivity in Nlrp3tm1Flv BMDMs to NLRP1 activation could be due to the unintended retention of 129S genome.

In order to determine whether and to what degree Nlrp3tm1Flv mice retained 129S genome, and which genes expressed in macrophages are of 129S origin, we performed variant calling analysis on polyA-RNAseq reads from  $Nlrp3^{-/-}$  and  $Nlrp3^{+/+}$  littermate control macrophages (Fig. [3a](#page-5-0)).

In Nlrp3<sup>-/-</sup> BMDMs, we identified 1111 SNPs matching the 129S1 genome in at least three out of four biological replicates, with 1096 SNPs located on chromosome 11 (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)b and Supplementary Fig. 3a). By contrast, only 51 such SNPs matching the 129S1 genome were found in RNAseq data from  $N l r p 3^{+/-}$ BMDMs, with three SNPs on chromosome 11 (Fig. [3b](#page-5-0) and Supplementary Fig. 3b).

As variant calling was performed using RNAseq reads generated from mature polyA RNA, all SNPs identified are located in the coding regions of mRNA from genes expressed in BMDMs. In total, 124 genes located on chromosome 11 in Nlrp3−/<sup>−</sup> BMDMs contained ≥2 129S1 SNPs, in at least three out of four biological replicates (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)c and Supplementary Table 3). No genes matching to 129S1 were identified on chromosome 11 of  $N$ lrp3<sup>+/+</sup> BMDMs using the same criteria (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)c and Supplementary Table 4). These genes spanned a region of ~40 Mb around Nlrp[3](#page-5-0) (Fig. 3d), home to a total of 715 genes, and genes identified as being of 129S origin included those previously identified as significantly deregulated, including Anxa6, Xaf1 and H2aw (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)c). Furthermore, multiple genes critical for immune cell function were found to be of 129S origin, including C1qbp, Ccl9, Igtp, Timd4, and critically, Nlrp1b (Fig. [3c](#page-5-0), e). Similar results were observed in GMPs, where 956 SNPs matching the 129S1 genome were observed, with ≥2 129S1 SNPs within 120 genes (Supplementary Table 5).

We extended our analysis to microglia in order to determine whether expression changes of genes located on chromosome 11 in Nlrp3<sup> $-/-$ </sup> mice were not just limited to cultured BMDMs and their precursors. Gene expression analysis of microglia purified from adult mouse brains of Nlrp<sup>3tm1Flv</sup> Nlrp3<sup>-/-</sup> and Nlrp3<sup>+/+</sup> litter-mate controls also revealed a significant deregulation of

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Fig. 1 Nlrp3<sup>tm1Flv</sup> mouse macrophages are hypersensitive to NLRP1 inflammasome activation by Talabostat. a Schematic showing murine NLRP1 inflammasome formation in response to Talabostat treatment. b IL-1β release from C57B6/J and Nlrp3<sup>−/−</sup> mouse BMDMs stimulated with LPS (10 ng/ml) and Talabostat (0.3, 3 or 30 μM) for 24 h, or primed with LPS (10 ng/ml) for 3 h and then stimulated with Nigericin (8 μM)<br>for 90 min (C57B6/J, *n* = 5, *Nlrp3<sup>-/-</sup>, n* = 6)**. c** LDH release, relative BMDMs stimulated with LPS (10 ng/ml) and Talabostat (0.3, 3 or 30 µM) for 24 h (C57B6/J,  $n = 6$ , Nlrp3<sup>-/-</sup>,  $n = 6$ ). d Representative flow cytometry plots showing identification of ASC speck positive cells in C57B6/J and Nlrp3<sup>−/−</sup> mouse BMDMs, pre-gated on live single cells, stimulated with LPS (10 ng/ml) and Talabostat (0.3, 3 or 30 μM) for 16 h, in the presence of VX-765 (50 μM). Percentages represent % of ASC<br>Speck + cells in the representative plot. e Bar plot showing % of ASC speck posi Speck+ cells in the representative plot. e Bar plot showing % of ASC speck positive BMDMs from C57B6/J and Nlrp3<sup>-7–</sup> mice stimulated with LPS (10 ng/ml) and Talabostat (0.3, 3 or 30 µM) for 16 h, in the presence of VX-765 (50 µM, C57B6/J,  $n = 3$ , Nlrp3KO<sup>129ES</sup>,  $n = 3$ ). **f** LDH release, relative to total lysis controls, measured from C57B6/J and Nlrp3−/<sup>−</sup> mouse BMDMs stimulated with Talabostat (0.3 or 3 μM) in the presence or absence of VX-765 (50 μM) for 24 h (C57B6/J, n = 3, N/rp3<sup>−/−</sup>, n = 3). **b–f** All *P* values were calculated using multiple unpaired parametric t-tests. FDR (q) was calculated using Benjamini–Hochberg correction. \*q < 0.05, \*\*q < 0.01, \*\*\*q < 0.001, \*\*\*q < 0.0001, ns not significant (q > 0.05). Error bars represent standard deviation.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Fig. 2 Differentially expressed genes in Nlrp3<sup>tm1Flv</sup> mice are predominantly located on chromosome 11. a Volcano plots of gene<br>expression fold-change versus P value in RNAseq of Nlrp3<sup>tm1Flv</sup> Nlrp3<sup>+/+</sup> and Nlrp3<sup>-/-</sup> BMD adj. P < 0.05, Benjamini–Hochberg adjusted) genes, and individual DE genes, are shown in red. Left: comparison at baseline. Middle: comparison after 3 h of LPS (10 ng/ml) stimulation. Right: comparison after 24 h of LPS (10 ng/ml) stimulation. b Heatmap of log2 normalised counts of genes commonly differentially expressed (adj  $P < 0.05$ , Benjamini–Hochberg adjusted) in all three comparisons above. c Pie charts showing the number of differentially expressed (DE) genes (adj. P < 0.05) in BMDMs and GMPs between Nlrp3<sup>tm1Flv</sup> Nlrp3<sup>+/+</sup> and Nlrp3<sup>-</sup> mice, and whether they are located on chromosome 11 (blue) or an alternative chromosome (grey).

multiple genes located on chromosome 11 including those with critical immune cell functions, such as Ubb, Ccl4, Ccl3, Pttg1, Xaf1 and again Nlrp1b (Supplementary Fig. 4 and Supplementary Table 6).

These data show that Nlrp3 $tm1FW$  mice contain a substantial region of 129S mouse strain genome on chromosome 11, in the region surrounding Nlrp3, as a result of their production in 129S ESCs, despite extensive backcrossing and validation as congenic. The presence of polymorphisms both in coding and regulatory regions can impact gene and protein expression, as well as the ability to accurately quantify transcripts. In such cases, alternative analysis approaches are required using multiple reference genomes to determine gene expression changes [\[26](#page-11-0)].

# Nlrp3tm1Flv BMDMs display strain-specific regulation of NLRP1 inflammasome transcripts and proteins

Given that Nlrp3tm1Flv mice contain a substantial region of 129S genome, and express transcripts known to display polymorphisms to C57B6, including at the Nlrp1 locus, we aligned transcripts to both the 129S and standard C57B6-based reference genomes to accurately quantify gene expression changes in  $N$ lrp3<sup>-/-</sup> BMDMs (Fig. [4a](#page-6-0)). This analysis identified 52 and 85 significant differentially expressed genes at baseline and following 24 h of LPS treatment, respectively (Fig. [4b](#page-6-0)).

The combined genome RNAseq analysis revealed a sig-<br>nificant upregulation of *Nlrp1b* in *Nlrp3<sup>−/−</sup>* BMDMs following 24 h of LPS treatment (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)c and Supplementary Table 7), with the exception of one sample which was identified as Nlrp1<sup>129S/C57B6</sup> and subsequently excluded. Consistent with known literature, there was a significant downregulation of Nlrp1a and Nlrp1c-ps as both genes are not present in the Nlrp1<sup>129S</sup> locus (Fig. [4c](#page-6-0)).

Protein expression analysis by liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS) corroborated gene expression data. Nlrp3−/<sup>−</sup> BMDMs did not express NLRP3 or NLRP1A, but robustly expressed NLRP1B, whereas  $Nlrp3^{+/+}$  BMDMs expressed



NLRP3 and NLRP1A, while NLRP1B was not detected (Fig. [4d](#page-6-0) and Supplementary Table 8). Nlrp1c-ps is a pseudogene which is not translated and therefore not detected by LC–MS/MS. Finally, Nlrp3<sup>tm1Flv</sup> litter-mate controls displayed the same hypersensitivity to Talabostat as observed between Nlrp3<sup>-/−</sup> and C57B6J BMDMs (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)e).

These data show that the increase in sensitivity coincides with the presence of the Nlrp1<sup>129S</sup> locus and the detection of NLRP1B by LC-MS/MS, and raises the possibility that NLRP1 hypersensitivity in Nlrp3tm1Flv BMDMs is not due to NLRP3 deficiency, but instead to the presence of the Nlrp1<sup>129S</sup> locus and the differential expression of NLRP1 proteins.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>1722

Fig. 3 Nlrp3<sup>tm1Flv</sup> mice contain a ~40 Mb region of 129S chromosome 11, including the Nlrp1<sup>129S</sup> locus. a Schematic representation of variant calling analysis from polyA-RNAseq to identify mouse strain of origin for expressed transcripts. **b** Table showing the number of<br>identified 129S1 SNPs in at least three out of four replicates in both N/rp3<sup>+/+</sup> and in red. c Heatmap showing number of 129S1 SNPs in genes located on chromosome 11 from both Nlrp3+/<sup>+</sup> and Nlrp3−/<sup>−</sup> BMDMs. Right: subset of genes identified as containing ≥2 129S1 SNPs in at least three out of four biological replicates. Bottom: total number of genes containing ≥2 129S1 SNPs in at least three out of four biological replicates. **d** Line plot showing the location of *Nlrp3* and *Nlrp1b,* and every<br>identified SNP mapping to the 129S1 genome compared to the reference geno chromosome 11. Based on presence in three out of four biological replicates in each genotype. **e** Snapshot from the Integrative Genomics<br>Viewer (IGV) showing RNAseq reads of N*Irp1b* from NIrp3<sup>+/+</sup> and NIrp3<sup>–/–</sup> BMDMs at (expression range: 0–300). Bottom: zoom view of reads at exon 2 of Nlrp1b (expression range: 0–100). SNPs are highlighted in separate colours (adenine  $(A)$  = green, thymine  $(T)$  = red, cytosine  $(C)$  = blue, quanine  $(G)$  = brown).

# NLRP1 hypersensitivity is associated with the presence of alternative Nlrp1 loci, not NLRP3 deficiency

In order to determine whether NLRP1 hypersensitivity observed in Nlrp3tm1Flv BMDMs was due to NLRP3 deficiency or the presence of the Nlrp1<sup>129S</sup> locus, we analysed NLRP1 inflammasome activation in alternative genetic and pharmacological models of NLRP3 deficiency.

Two alternative transgenic murine models of constitutive NLRP3<br>deficiency, Nlrp3<sup>tm1Tsch</sup> (generated in C57B6 ESCs [\[10](#page-11-0)]), and Nlrp3<sup>tm1Bhk</sup> (generated in 129S ESCs [\[27\]](#page-11-0), but containing the Nlrp1<sup>C57B6</sup> locus, Supplementary Fig. 5a) did not display hypersensitivity to Talabostat stimulation compared to C57B6/N or J controls as determined by IL-1β and LDH release (Fig. [5](#page-7-0)a, b). As expected, they displayed a significant reduction in LPS  $+$  Nigericin-dependent IL-1β release (Fig. [5](#page-7-0)c).

These data were validated through pharmacological inhibition of NLRP3 by MCC950, a highly selective and potent inhibitor of NLRP3, as well as tamoxifen dependent NLRP3 depletion, neither of which displayed a hypersensitive response to Talabostat (Supplementary Fig. 5b–f). Surprisingly, multiple models of NLRP3 deficiency resulted in a significant reduction of IL-1β release in response to high doses of Talabostat (Fig. [5a](#page-7-0) and Supplementary Fig. 5b, f) suggesting a previously undescribed role for NLRP3 in Talabostat-mediated inflammasome activation.

Consistent with previous observations that NLRP1B protein detection is associated with Talabostat hypersensitivity, LC–MS/ MS analysis only detected NLRP1B in Nlrp3tm1Flv BMDMs, and not in C[5](#page-7-0)7B6/J, C57B6/N, Nlrp3tm1Tsch or Nlrp3tm1Bhk BMDMs (Fig. 5d and Supplementary Table 9). NLRP1A was detected in all mouse<br>strains containing the Nlrp1<sup>C57B6</sup> locus (Fig. [5d](#page-7-0)).

In order to determine whether the  $N$ lrp1<sup>129S</sup> locus was still capable of inducing Talabostat hypersensitivity in the presence of NLRP3, we analysed the response of wild-type 129S2 and C57B6/J BMDMs. Wild-type 129S2 BMDMs released significantly higher amounts of LDH in response to Talabostat compared to C57B6/J (Fig. [5](#page-7-0)e), phenocopying the results observed in Nlrp3 $t^{m1Flv}$ BMDMs. Analysis of protein expression by LC–MS/MS revealed a familiar pattern of protein expression, where hypersensitive 129S2 BMDMs express NLRP1B, and C57B6 BMDMs express NLRP1A but not NLRP1B (Fig. [5](#page-7-0)f and Supplementary Table 10). Both BMDM populations expressed NLRP3 (Fig. [5f](#page-7-0)).

We analysed ASC speck formation in HEK ASC-GFP reporter cells expressing NLRP1A, NLRP1B<sup>C57B6</sup> or NLRP1B<sup>1295</sup> to determine the inflammasome forming capacity of different murine NLRP1 proteins (Fig. [5](#page-7-0)g). HEK reporters expressing NLRP1A showed a significant reduction in ASC speck formation in response to Talabostat, compared to those expressing NLRP1BC57B6 or NLRP1B<sup>129S</sup> (Fig. [5](#page-7-0)h). There was no significant difference in Talabostat induced ASC speck formation between NLRP1BC57B6 and NLRP1B<sup>129S</sup> expressing HEK reporters (Fig. [5h](#page-7-0)). As previously reported, NLRP1B<sup>C57B6</sup> expressing HEK ASC-GFP cells display a significant increase in spontaneous speck formation in the absence of any triggers compared to those expressing NLRP1B<sup>129S</sup> (Fig. [5](#page-7-0)h [[28](#page-11-0)]).

We conclude that the hypersensitivity to Talabostat observed in Nlrp3tm1Flv BMDMs is associated with the presence of the Nlrp1<sup>129S</sup> locus, the increased expression of NLRP1B, and is independent of NLRP3 deficiency or an intrinsic increase in NLRP1B<sup>129S</sup> sensitivity. We further show that NLRP1A only weakly forms inflammasomes in response to Talabostat. Finally, our data also show that NLRP3 contributes to Talabostat-driven IL-1β maturation or release.

# Nlrp3<sup>tm1Flv</sup> mice misexpress the canonical macrophage marker TIM4

The peritoneal cavity macrophage population can be broadly separated into two functionally distinct subsets: short-lived monocyte-derived macrophages and long-lived tissue-resident macrophages. Monocyte-derived macrophages are inflammatory and invade the peritoneum during inflammation, while long-lived tissue-resident macrophages regulate tissue homoeostasis. In multiple organs including the peritoneal cavity, the cell surface protein TIM4 is used to distinguish long-lived tissue-resident macrophages (TIM4+) from short-lived monocyte-derived macrophages (TIM4−, Fig. [6](#page-8-0)a and Supplementary Fig. 6 [\[29](#page-11-0)–[34\]](#page-11-0)). As such TIM4 is a critical tool in understanding tissue biology and the role of myeloid cells in inflammation.

Analysis of peritoneal macrophage subtypes revealed that, despite having the same total number of macrophages in the peritoneum (Fig. [6b](#page-8-0)), TIM4− macrophages appeared to be virtually absent from Nlrp3tm1Flv peritoneal cavity (Fig. [6](#page-8-0)c). Quantification of TIM4+ and TIM4− macrophages as a percentage of total macrophages (CD11b+ F4/80+) revealed a significant decrease in the percentage of TIM4− macrophages in the Nlrp3<sup>tm1Flv</sup> peritoneal cavity compared to C57B6/J (16.4%–0.8%, adj.  $P < 0.0001$ , Fig. [6](#page-8-0)d).

The absence of TIM4− macrophages in Nlrp3<sup>tm1Flv</sup> was not due to the loss of tonic NLRP3 signalling as Casp1/11<sup>-/-</sup> mice showed no significant difference in percent frequency of TIM+ and TIM4− macrophages relative to C57B6/J control mice, and significantly more TIM4− macrophages than Nlrp3<sup>tm1Flv</sup> mice as a percent of total macrophages (14.9%–0.8%, adj.  $P < 0.0001$ , Fig. [6](#page-8-0)d). Strikingly, TIM4− macrophages were also absent from 129S2 mice (Fig. [6e](#page-8-0)), suggesting that the lack of TIM4− macrophages in Nlrp3<sup>tm1Flv</sup> mice could be another strain-specific effect caused by the retention of 129S ESC-derived genome.

RNAseq analysis of  $Nlrp3^{-/-}$  (Nlrp3<sup>tm1Flv</sup>) BMDMs, which are monocyte derived, revealed that the TIM4 coding gene Timd4 is highly significantly upregulated in Nlrp3<sup>-/-</sup> and BMDMs (Fig. [6f](#page-8-0)). Timd4 is located on chromosome 11 (Chr11: 46,808,799) in close proximity to Nlrp3 (Chr11: 59,539,569). Variant analysis of RNAseq data identified three SNPs in Timd4, defining it as of 129S origin.

Therefore, monocyte-derived macrophages are not absent from the Nlrp3<sup>tm1Flv</sup> peritoneum, but instead aberrantly upregulate the normally tissue-resident macrophage restricted marker TIM4 as a result of the presence of 129S genome, confounding cell identification by flow cytometry.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Fig. 4 Combined genomes RNAseq and LC–MS/MS analysis reveals differential expression of Nlrp1 genes and proteins in Nlrp3tm1Flv **macrophages. a** Schematic representation of RNAseq analysis using both 129S and the C57B6-based reference genome for gene expression<br>analysis. **b** Volcano plots of gene expression fold-change versus P value in RNAseq of N genomes RNAseq. Total number of differentially expressed (DE, adj. P < 0.05, Benjamini–Hochberg adjusted) genes, and individual DE genes, are shown in red. Left: comparison at baseline. Right: comparison after 24 h of LPS (10 ng/ml) stimulation. c Box plots of Nlrp1a, Nlrp1b and Nlrp1c-ps gene expression in Nlrp3<sup>-/-</sup> and Nlrp3<sup>+/+</sup> BMDMs at baseline and after 24 h of LPS (10 ng/ml) stimulation (adj. P, Benjamini–Hochberg adjusted, FC = fold-change). d Heatmap of log<sub>2</sub> LFQ values of NLRP3, NLRP1B and NLRP1A proteins from LC–MS/MS of Nlrp3<sup>+/+</sup> and Nlrp3<sup>-/-</sup> BMDMs at baseline and after 24 h of LPS (10 ng/ml) stimulation. Grey spaces indicate samples where proteins were not detected. e IL-1β release and LDH release relative to total lysis controls from Nlrp3<sup>tm1Flv</sup> litter-mate Nlrp3<sup>+/+</sup> and Nlrp3<sup>−/−</sup> BMDMs stimulated with LPS (10 ng/ml) and Talabostat (0.3, 3 or 30 µM) for 24 h (Nlrp3<sup>+/+</sup>, n = 4, Nlrp3<sup>-/-</sup>, n = 4). P values were calculated using multiple unpaired parametric t-tests. FDR (q) was calculated using two-stage step-up Benjamini, Krieger and Yekutieli. \*q < 0.05, \*\*q < 0.01, \*\*\* $q$  < 0.001, \*\*\*\* $q$  < 0.0001, ns not significant ( $q$  > 0.05). Error bars represent standard deviation.

#### **DISCUSSION**

In this study we use conventional and combined genomes RNAseq with variant calling analysis to identify genetic variation in one of the most frequently used mouse models of NLRP3 deficiency. We identify the retention of a ~40 Mb region of 129S ESC-derived genomic material proximal to Nlrp3, leading to changes in gene regulation across multiple cell types, peptide sequences, the differential expression of polymorphic proteins, and alterations to the NLRP1 inflammasome response.

Post-transcriptional and -translational regulation of NLR proteins is critical for immune homoeostasis, and the upregulation of inflammasome proteins is a key priming step before activation and pyroptosis. We show that the presence of the Nlrp1<sup>129S</sup> locus results in the LPS-dependent upregulation of Nlrp1b transcript and protein compared to Nlrp1<sup>C57B6</sup> mice. Macrophages containing the Nlrp1<sup>129S</sup> locus, and expressing NLRP1B but not NLRP1A, are hypersensitive to NLRP1 activation by Talabostat, regardless of NLRP3 expression. Multiple alternative murine models of NLRP3 deficiency do not contain Nlrp1<sup>1295</sup>, including conditional alleles, reducing the impact of confounders.

Gain-of-function mutations to inflammasome proteins cause severe auto-inflammatory diseases in humans. Our data shows



**Fig. 5 Presence and expression of the NLRP1<sup>1295</sup> locus, not NLRP3 deficiency, drives hypersensitivity to Talabostat. a IL-1β release from<br>C57B6/J, C57B6/N, N/rp3<sup>-/-</sup> (N|rp3<sup>tm</sup> and N|rp3<sup>-/-</sup> (N|rp3<sup>tm1Bhk</sup>) BMDMs stim** 24 h (C57B6/J, n = 3, C57B6/N, Nlrp3<sup>tm1Tsch</sup> and Nlrp3<sup>tm1Bhk</sup>, n = 4). b LDH release relative to total lysis controls from C57B6/J, C57B6/N, Nlrp3<sup>−/−</sup> (Nlrp3<sup>tm1Tsch</sup>) and Nlrp3<sup>−/−</sup> (Nlrp3<sup>tm1Bhk</sup>) BMDMs stimulated with LPS (10 ng/ml) and Talabostat (0.3, 3 or 30 μM) for 24 h (C57B6/J, n = 3, C57B6/N, Nlrp3<sup>tm1Tsch</sup> and Nlrp3<sup>tm1Bhk</sup>, n = 4). c IL-1β release from C57B6/J, C57B6/N, Nlrp3<sup>−/−</sup> (Nlrp3<sup>tm1Tsch</sup>) and Nlrp3<sup>−/−</sup> (Nlrp3<sup>tm1Bhk</sup>) BMDMs primed with LPS (10 ng/ml) for 3 h and then stimulated with Nigericin (8 μM) for 90 min (n = 4). **d** Heatmap of log<sub>2</sub> LFQ values of NLRP3, NLRP1B and NLRP1A<br>proteins from C57B6/J, C57B6/N, *Nlrp3<sup>-/ –</sup>* (Nlrp3<sup>tm1Tsch</sup>) and *Nlrp3<sup>-*</sup> spaces indicate samples where proteins were not detected (ND). e LDH release, relative to total lysis controls, from C57B6/J and 129S2 BMDMs after stimulation with Talabostat (0.3 or 3  $\mu$ M) for 24 h (n = 3). **f** Heatmap of log<sub>2</sub> LFQ values of NLRP3, NLRP1B and NLRP1A proteins from C57B6/J and 129S2 BMDMs. Grey spaces indicate samples where proteins were not detected (ND). **g** Western blot analysis of C-terminal HA tagged<br>NLRP1A, NLRP1B<sup>129S</sup> and NLRP1B<sup>C57B6</sup> expression in HEK ASC-GFP cells. **h** Bar plot sh either stably expressing NLRP1A, NLRP1B<sup>129S</sup> or NLRP1B<sup>C57B6</sup> stimulated with Talabostat (0.3, 3 or 30 μM) for 16 h (NLRP1A, NLRP1B<sup>129S</sup>, NLRP1B<sup>C57B6</sup>:  $n = 3$ , Empty:  $n = 1$ ). a–h P values were calculated using multiple unpaired parametric t-tests. FDR (q) was calculated using Benjamini–Hochberg correction.  $x_q < 0.05$ ,  $x=q < 0.01$ ,  $x=xq < 0.0001$ ,  $x=xq < 0.0001$ , ns not significant (q > 0.05). Error bars represent standard deviation.

that NLRP1B<sup>C57B6</sup>, unlike NLRP1B<sup>1295</sup>, can form inflammasomes in the absence of any triggers, likely due to the substantial peptide sequence heterogeneity between the two alleles. This suggests that through evolutionary pressure, the Nlrp1C57B6 locus or  $N$ lrp1b<sup>C57B6</sup> gene itself have accrued polymorphisms that restrict its expression, potentially through both transcriptional and posttranslational mechanisms, protecting mice from spontaneous inflammasome formation. While mice containing the Nlrp1 $1295$ locus can therefore tolerate higher expression levels of NLRP1B at baseline, they are subsequently sensitised to NLRP1 inflammasome triggers, as observed in Nlrp3tm1Flv macrophages.

The exclusive detection of NLRP1A and not NLRP1B in mice containing the Nlrp1C57B6 locus, and the reduction in NLRP1A sensitivity to stimulation by Talabostat, warrants further mechanistic interrogation. NLRP1A and NLRP1B proteins display a high degree of sequence heterogeneity, and which individual mutations or regions define the differences in inflammasome forming ability remains to be resolved. Furthermore, our work raises additional questions of whether phenotypes previously ascribed to strain-specific sequence differences of NLRP1B, such as macrophage sensitivity to T. gondii infection [\[35\]](#page-11-0), are in fact due to the differential expression of NLRP1A and NLRP1B.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>1724

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

**Fig. 6 Monocyte-derived peritoneal macrophages from NIrp3<sup>tm1FIv</sup> mi<b>ce overexpress TIM4. a** Representative flow cytometry plots showing<br>identification of TIM4— and TIM4+ macrophages (F4/80+ CD11b+) in C57B6/J and *NIrp3<sup>*</sup> gated on single, live and CD45+ populations. **b** Bar plot of the total number of macrophages (CD11b+ F4/80+) in each peritoneum of each mouse (Nlrp3<sup>-/-</sup>, n = 4; C57B6/J, n = 4). P value was calculated using an unpaired t-test. Error bars represent standard deviation. c Representative histogram of distribution of TIM4− and TIM4+ macrophages (F4/80+ CD11b+) in C57B6/J and Nlrp3−/<sup>−</sup> (Nlrp3tm1Flv) mouse peritoneum. d Bar plot of TIM4− and TIM4+ macrophages (F4/80+ CD11b+) in C57B6/J, Nlrp3<sup>-/−</sup> (Nlrp3<sup>tm1Flv)</sup> and Casp1/11<sup>-/-</sup> mouse peritoneum. Percentages above bars show the mean value for each group. (Nlrp3<sup>-/-</sup>, n = 7; C57B6/J, n = 7; Casp1/11<sup>-/-</sup>, n = 8). Adj. P values were calculated using a two-way ANOVA with Turkey's multiple comparison testing. \*adj. P < 0.05, \*\*adj. P < 0.01, \*\*\*adj. P < 0.001, \*\*\*\*adj. P < 0.0001, ns not significant (adj. P > 0.05). Error bars represent standard deviation. e Bar plot of TIM4− and TIM4+ macrophages (F4/80+ CD11b+) in C57B6/J and 129S2 mouse peritoneum. Percentages above bars show the mean value for each group (C57B6/J,  $n = 4$ ; 129S2,  $n = 4$ ). P values were calculated using multiple unpaired parametric t-tests. FDR (q) was calculated using Benjamini–Hochberg correction.  $*q <$  0.05,  $**q <$  0.01,  $***q <$  0.001,  $****\tilde{q} <$  0.0001, ns not significant (q > 0.05). Error bars represent standard deviation. f log<sub>2</sub> normalised counts of Timd4 from RNAseq of Nlrp3<sup>-/-</sup> and Nlrp3<sup>+/+</sup> (Nlrp3<sup>tm1Flv</sup>) BMDMs at baseline and after 3 and 24 h of LPS (10 ng/ml) stimulation (Nlrp3<sup>-/-</sup>,  $n = 4$ ; Nlrp3<sup>+/+</sup>, n = 4). \*adj. P < 0.05, \*\*adj. P < 0.01, \*\*\*adj. P < 0.001, \*\*\*\*adj. P < 0.0001, ns not significant. Wald Test, Benjamini–Hochberg corrected.

Changes in the expression of immune genes are not limited to the Nlrp1 locus. The upregulation of Timd4 in Nlrp3tm1Flv monocyte-derived macrophages results in their misidentification as tissue-resident macrophages due to increase surface expression of TIM4. As a result, TIM4 cannot be used to determine frequencies of monocyte-derived versus tissue-resident macrophages in Nlrp3<sup>tm1Flv</sup> mice, and heterogenous cell populations are obtained when purifying cells for molecular analysis such as RNAseq or LC–MS/MS, as well as confounding population analyses by FACS. Beyond cell identification, TIM4 is involved in mediating the clearance of apoptotic cells [[36](#page-11-0)–[38](#page-11-0)], regulating cholesterol metabolism [[39,](#page-11-0) [40](#page-11-0)] and enabling cross-presentation of tumour antigens [[41\]](#page-11-0). Therefore, potential phenotypic changes in<br>Nlrp3<sup>tm1Flv</sup> macrophages driven by the differential expression of TIM4 should also be considered.

Other immune genes identified as containing 129S SNPs include Igtp, an interferon induced gene critical for host defence [\[42](#page-11-0)], C1qbp, a complement family member that aids the clearance of apoptotic cells  $[43]$  $[43]$ , *Itgae*, which codes for the dendritic  $[44]$  $[44]$  and T cell  $[45]$  $[45]$  $[45]$ marker CD103, Xaf1, an antagonist of the anti-apoptosis protein XIAP [\[46](#page-12-0)] and the chemokine Ccl9, amongst others. Further investigations in Nlrp3tm1Flv mice are required to assess the contribution of these differences to immune responses. While unlikely, we also cannot exclude that the presence of contaminating 129S genome directly or indirectly impacts the regulation of the NLRP1 inflammasome, and further work could pursue individual candidates.

Genes required for conserved functions across multiple cell types were also found to contain 129S SNPs including but not limited to genes related to cell cycle (Pttg1, Aurkb, Ccng1), trafficking (Gosr1), histones (H2aw), metabolism (Mat2b, Guk1, Srebf1, Galnt10) translation (Larp1, Mm3) and transcription (Cnot8, Top3a, Chd3, Pol2ra, Ncor1, Mnt).

Finally, the region around Nlrp3 that we detect as being of 129S origin contains genes not expressed in macrophage or GMPs, but of importance in functions such as neuronal signalling (Gabrg2, Gabra1, Gabra6, Gria1), olfaction (80 olfactory receptor genes), and development (Wnt3a), among others. Further variant calling analysis either on DNA sequencing data or RNAseq from relevant cell types would be needed to determine the full scale of 129S contamination and the effect on cell function, which could have significant knock-on effects due to alterations in paracrine signalling.

In transgenic mice, ESC-derived genome retention represents a minority, but potentially functionally important, proportion of the genome. Whole exome sequencing does not inform researchers on which mutated genes are expressed, and to what degree. Our approach to identify genetic heterogeneity in transgenic mice using variant calling analysis on RNAseq data allows for the detection of SNPs in coding regions of functionally relevant expressed genes. Furthermore, it allows for the post hoc analysis of genetic heterogeneity in historical samples that utilised RNAseq.

1726

Our identification of 129S genome in Nlrp3tm1Flv mice, and corresponding changes in gene and protein expression, peptide sequences, and immune response, highlights the careful consideration that should be given to ascribing phenotypes in Nlrp3tm1Flv mice to NLRP3 deficiency. Alternative models of NLRP3 deficiency generated in C57B6 ESCs, for example, those described in this study (Nlrp3<sup>tm1Tsch</sup>, Nlrp3<sup>fl/fl</sup>) as well as Nlrp3<sup>tm1Vmd</sup> [[47\]](#page-12-0) could be used to validate observations and eliminate potential<br>confounders. Indeed, Nlrp3<sup>tm1Tsch</sup> and Nlrp3<sup>tm1Vmd</sup> have been used extensively to further the field of NLRP3 biology and its role in disease. Further work in Nlrp3tm1Flv mice should evaluate or consider the full scale of disruption caused by 129S genomic retention, as many other cell types may be altered by genetic differences or paracrine activities from affected cells.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Mice

Mice were housed in specific-pathogen-free conditions. C57B6/J and 129S2/SvPPasCrl mice were obtained from Charles River Laboratories.<br>Nlrp3<sup>tm1Flv</sup> and Nlrp3<sup>tm1Bhk</sup> mice have been previously described [\[20](#page-11-0), [27\]](#page-11-0), and were maintained in-house on a C57B6/J background, Casp1/11<sup>-/−</sup> mice have been previously described [\[7](#page-11-0)], and were maintained in-house on a C57B6/J background. Frozen bone marrow from Nlrp3tm1Tsch, which have been previously described [[10\]](#page-11-0), was obtained from University of Cologne.<br>*Nlrp3<sup>fi/fi</sup> (s*train ID: 12809) mice were obtained from Taconic. Rosa26<sup>ERt2Cre</sup> mice have been previously described [[48\]](#page-12-0) and were obtained from Jackson Laboratories (strain ID: 008463). All animal experiments requiring ethical approval were performed under the ethics license AZ. 81-02/04.2019.A336, approved by the Ethics Committee of North Rhein Westphalia. Male and female mice were used, all experiments were sex matched. Genotyping of strain-specific Nlrp1b was performed as previously described [\[6](#page-11-0)].

#### Cell culture

For BMDM production, femurs and tibias were obtained from 8–26-weekold mice and flushed with  $DMEM + 10%$  FBS through a 70  $\mu$ m filter. Isolated cells were either frozen in freezing media (FCS  $+$  10% DMSO), or used fresh. For all individual experiments samples were prepared in a matched manner. Isolated or thawed cells were centrifuged (350 $\times$ a, 5 min) and resuspended in  $DMEM + Glutamax$ , supplemented with  $10\%$ FBS, 1% P/S and 15–20% L929 cell-conditioned medium. BMDMs were then differentiated over a period of 7 days (d) in a cell culture incubator (37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). Cell culture media was supplemented with an additional 10% of L929 cell-conditioned media on day 3. On the final day of differentiation BMDMs were harvested by cell scraping and resuspended at the desired concentration in  $DMEM + Glutamax$ , supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% P/S. For stimulation experiments BMDMs were plated in 96-<br>well plates at a density of 10 × 10<sup>5</sup>/well. For Rosa26<sup>ERt2Cre</sup> NIrp3<sup>f1/f1</sup> BMDMs, Cre was induced on day 4 with 500 nM 4-hydroxytamoxifen. On day 6, media was replaced with fresh complete DMEM.

HEK293 cells stably expressing GFP fused ASC, murine Nlrp1b alleles (a kind gift from Florian I. Schmidt, University Hospital Bonn, DE) and Nlrp1a were cultured in DMEM + Glutamax, supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% P/S. Polyclonal HEK ASC-GFP cell lines expressing NLRP1A-HA under control of the human PGK promoter were generated by lentiviral transduction and selected by blasticidin (10 μg/ml).

#### Cell stimulation

BMDMs were plated at a density of  $1 \times 10^5$  cells/well of a 96-well plate, or  $1 \times 10^6$  cells/well of a 6-well plate. For RNAseq and LC–MS/MS experiments, BMDMs were cultured in  $DMEM + Glutamax$  supplemented with 1% P/S and 10% FCS, and stimulated with LPS-EB Ultrapure (10 ng/ml, Invivogen) for 0, 3 or 24 h. For NLRP1 and NLRP3 inflammasome activation, BMDMs were cultured in DMEM + Glutamax supplemented with 1% P/S and 2% FCS. NLRP1 inflammasome activation by Talabostat (Hoelzel) was induced in BMDMs by the simultaneous treatment with LPS (10 ng/ml) and Talabostat (0.3, 3 or 30 μM) or a DMSO control, for 16 or 24 h, either in presence or absence of VX-765 (50 μM), all as indicated. For long-term inhibition of MCC950 treated BMDMs, cells were cultured in  $DMEM +$ Glutamax supplemented with 1% P/S and 10% FCS, supplemented every 48 h with MCC950 (5 μM, Invivogen). NLRP3 inflammasome activation by Nigericin in BMDMs required a priming step where BMDMs were incubated with LPS (10 ng/ml) for 3 h. Subsequently, BMDMs were further incubated with Nigericin (8 μM, Invivogen) for an additional 90 min.

HEK cells were plated at a density of  $5 \times 10^5$ /well of a 12-well plate. NLRP1 inflammasome formation was activated by incubation with Talabostat (0.3, 3 or 30  $\mu$ M) or a DMSO control for 16 h in DMEM + Glutamax, supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% P/S.

#### Immunoblot

Whole cell extracts were lysed in 1X NuPage LDS Sample Buffer (Thermo Fisher), and protein was loaded in each lane of a 4–12% Bolt Bis-Tris Plus Gel (Thermo Fisher), and was then electrophoretically separated, immunoblotted, and imaged with a Sapphire Biomolecular Imager (Azure Biosystems). Primary antibodies used were NLRP3 (Cryo-2, AdipoGen Life Sciences), HA-HRP (2999S, Cell Signaling Technology) and ACTINB-HRP (AB49900, Abcam). Secondary antibodies IRDye 800CW and IRDye 680RD (LI-COR) were used.

#### Mouse IL-1β measurements by HTRF

IL-1β concentrations in cell supernatants were measured by a homogenous time-resolved fluorescence (HTRF) "sandwich" antibody-based assay, following manufacturer's instructions (62MIL1BPEG, CisBio). Briefly, the anti-mouse IL-1β solutions were mixed at a 1:1 ratio. A portion of 4 μl/ well of this mixture was distributed in white low-volume medium-binding HTRF-adapted 384-well assay plates (784075, Greiner Bio-One). This was followed by the addition of the samples (tissue culture supernatants; 16 μl/well). The plates were centrifuged at RT, 1000  $\times$  g for 5 min, followed by a 3 h incubation at RT. HTRF signals were measured using SpectraMax i3.

# LDH cytotoxicity assay

LDH in cell supernatants was measured using the LDH Cytotoxicity Kit (Thermo Fisher) according to manufacturer's instructions. LDH values were normalised to a total lysis control after substraction of spontaneous background signal. Samples were measured on a SpectraMax i3.

#### Peritoneal cavity cell isolation for FACS analysis

Mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation, and the peritoneal cavity filled with 10 ml phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)  $+$  2 mM EDTA. Mice were subsequently shaken to dislodge residing cells, before the  $PBS + 2$  mM EDTA was removed. Cells were then centrifuged (350 ×  $g$ , 5 min) and resuspended in 1X Red Blood Cell Lysis solution (555899, BD Bioscience) for 15 min at RT. Cells were then centrifuged again (350  $\times$  q, 5 min) and processed for staining.

#### Isolation of bone marrow cells for GMP isolation

In order to isolate GMPs, femurs and tibias were obtained from 8–12-weekold mice and flushed with PBS supplemented with 0.5% BSA and 2 mM EDTA (FACS buffer) through a 70 μm filter. Isolated cells were centrifuged  $(350 \times g, 5 \text{ min})$  and resuspended in 1X Red Blood Cell Lysis solution (555899, BD Bioscience) for 15 min at RT. Cells were then centrifuged again  $(350 \times g, 5 \text{ min})$  and processed for staining.

#### Cell staining for FACS sorting and analysis

All staining was performed at  $4^{\circ}C$  in PBS + 1% BSA + 2 mM EDTA. Bone marrow was stained with the following markers for the isolation of GMPs. Lineage markers, all FITC (B220 (11-0452-85, eBioscience) CD19 (11-0193- 85, eBioscience), CD11b (11-0112-82, eBioscience), CD3e (11-0033-82, eBioscience), TER-119 (11-5921-85, eBioscience), CD2 (11-0021-85, eBioscience), CD8b (11-0083-85, eBioscience), CD4 (11-0042-85, eBioscience), Ly-6G (553127, BD Pharmingen)), Sca1-Pacific Blue (108120, BioLegend), c-Kit-APC/Cy7 (47-1172-82, eBioscience), CD16/32-PerCP/Cy5.5 (560540, BD Pharmingen) and CD34-AF647 (128606, BioLegend).

For identification of peritoneal cavity cell subsets the following markers were used: CD45-PE/Cy7 (552848, BD Biosciences), CD11b-BV510 (101245, BioLegend), F4/80-APC (123116, BioLegend) and TIM4-PE (130005, BioLegend). Cells were stained in the presence of Fc Block (553142, BD Biosciences).

For ASC speck staining the following markers were used: ASC-PE (653903, BioLegend). Cells were permeabilized with the FoxP3 Transcription Factor Staining Set (00-5523-00, Thermo Fisher) according to manufacturer's instructions before staining. Cells were stained in the presence of Fc Block (553142, BD Biosciences).

For viability staining in peritoneal cavity populations, cells were incubated with 7-AAD 15 min prior to FACS analysis or sorting. For viability staining in BMDMs LIVE/DEAD Fixable Aqua Dead Cell Stain Kit was used (L34957, Thermo Fisher), and cells stained prior to fixation. For cell number analysis, Precision Plus Counting Beads (Biolegend) were used according to manufacturer's instructions.

FACS analysis was performed on a BD Canto or Sony ID7000 5L, sorting was performed on a BD Aria III.

#### Microglia purification

Microglia were purified from adult brain tissue using the Neural Tissue Dissociation Kit (130-092-628, Miltenyi Biotec), Myelin Removal Beads II (130-096-433, Miltenyi Biotec) and CD11b Microglia Microbeads (130-093- 636, Miltenyi Biotec) according to manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, mice were deeply anaesthetised (Ketamine 240 mg/kg and Xylazine 32 mg/kg bodyweight) and the organs were transcardially perfused with 50 ml cold PBS (pH 7.4). The brain was removed and the two hemispheres separated, only one hemisphere was used for downstream sample preparation. Brain tissue was subsequently digested, myelin was removed and microglia isolated by bead-based positive selection.

#### RNA extraction and sequencing

For BMDMs and GMPs RNA was extracted using Picopure RNA Isolation Kit (Thermo Fisher) according to manufacturer's instructions. For microglia, RNA was extracted using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). Residual DNA was removed using RNAse-Free DNase Set (Qiagen). RNA was assessed for quality and quantity (TapeStation, Agilent).

For GMPs and BMDMs, RNA sequencing libraries were prepared using the NEBNext Ultra RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina following manufacturer's instructions (NEB, Ipswich, MA, USA). Briefly, mRNAs were first enriched with Oligo(dT) beads. Enriched mRNAs were fragmented for 15 min at 94 °C. First-strand and second-strand cDNAs were subsequently synthesised. cDNA fragments were end repaired and adenylated at 3' ends, and universal adapters were ligated to cDNA fragments, followed by index addition and library enrichment by limited-cycle PCR. Sequencing libraries were validated using NGS Kit on the Agilent 5300 Fragment Analyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA), and quantified by using Qubit 4.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA).

The sequencing libraries were multiplexed and loaded on the flowcell on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 instrument according to manufacturer's instructions. The samples were sequenced using a  $2 \times 150$  Pair-End (PE) configuration v1.5. Image analysis and base calling were conducted by the NovaSeq Control Software v1.7 on the NovaSeq instrument. Raw sequence data (.bcl files) generated from Illumina NovaSeq were converted into fastq files and de-multiplexed using Illumina bcl2fastq program version 2.20. One mismatch was allowed for index sequence identification.

For microglia, 3′ mRNA Seq was performed using the QuantSeq 3′ mRNA-Seq Library Prep Kit FWD (Lexogen). Final libraries were pooled and sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 device with 1x 100 bp and 10M reads/sample.

#### RNAseq analysis

RNAseq datasets were processed with nf-core RNA-seq v3.6 [[49](#page-12-0)] pipeline using STAR [\[50](#page-12-0)] for alignment and salmon for gene quantification [[51\]](#page-12-0). The library strandedness parameter was set to forward and the reference was set to GRCm38. Statistical analysis was performed in the R environment [\[52](#page-12-0)] with the Bioconductor R-package DESeq2 [[53,](#page-12-0) [54](#page-12-0)]. The Benjamini–Hochberg method was used to calculate multiple testing adjusted  $p$  values. For Microglia dataset, only genes with at least three read counts in at least two samples and at least five read counts in total across all samples were considered for analysis. For GMP dataset, only genes with at least 20 read counts in at least three samples and at least 60 read counts in total across all samples were considered for analysis. For BMDM dataset, only genes with at least 50 read counts (minCount) in at least three samples and at least 150 read counts in total across all samples were considered for analysis. Data visualisation, such as volcano plots and heatmaps, were generated upon VST transferred data [[55\]](#page-12-0), using R-packages ggplot2 [[56\]](#page-12-0), ComplexHeatmap [\[57](#page-12-0)] and on Graphpad Prism (v10.0.2).

For the combined genomes approach, the nf-core RNA-seq pipeline (version 3.14.0 [\[49\]](#page-12-0)) was utilised for the preprocessing and quantification of the RNAseq reads. The pipeline was executed using its default parameters, performing a series of automated steps for quality control, read trimming, alignment and quantification. In our analysis, the mouse reference genome (GRCm38) and the 129S1 genome (129S1\_SvImJ\_v1) were merged to form a composite reference genome. The trimmed reads were aligned competitively to this composite genome. Competitive alignment ensures that each read is mapped to the genome with the highest sequence similarity, thereby improving the accuracy of the quantification. The resulting count matrix including characteristic identifiers from both genomes, was imported into R (version 4.3 cite R) for downstream analysis. In this step, the counts for each pair of identifiers corresponding to a given gene symbol were aggregated, yielding a single count value per gene symbol. Hence, the gene expression was represented regardless of its source genome.

The differential gene expression analysis was executed with the Bioconductor package DESeq2 (cite DESeq2 and Bioconductor). Subsequently, the Benjamini–Hochberg method was applied to calculate adjusted p values (false discovery rate) for each statistical contrast.

Mutation calling was done on RNAseq data with nf-core RnaVar v1.0.0 [[49\]](#page-12-0) pipeline using STAR [[50\]](#page-12-0) for alignment and GATK4 [[58\]](#page-12-0) for variant calling. The group-specific mutations were then identified using isec command from bcftools utilities [\[59](#page-12-0)]. Unknown group-specific mutations were removed by overlaying the group-specific mutations with known 129S mutations (Accession Nr: GCA\_001624185.1). Gene ontology analysis was performed using gProfiler. Statistical domain scope was defined as all genes or proteins expressed in the given dataset. Significance was determined by Bonferroni correction.

#### Sample preparation for mass spectrometry

For proteomics analysis without Anl-enrichment, cells were lysed in sodium deoxycholate (SDC) buffer (1% SDC, 10 mM tris(2-carboxy(ethyl)phosphine), 40 mM 2-chloroacetamide, 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5) heated at 95 °C for 10 min and sonicated to shear DNA. Proteins were digested with trypsin and LysC (1:100 enzyme/protein ratio, w/w) at 37 °C, 1000 rpm overnight. Digests were desalted using in-house-made SDB-RPS StageTips.

Desalted peptides were dried in a vacuum concentrator and resolubilized in 0.1% formic acid. Concentrations were determined using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer and normalised between samples for equal peptide injection.

#### LC–MS/MS

LC–MS/MS measurements were performed as previously described [\[60](#page-12-0)]. Briefly, peptide mixtures were analysed with an EASY-nLC 1000 or Vanquish chromatographic system coupled to a Orbitrap Exploris 480<br>(Thermo Fisher Scientific). For NIrp3<sup>tm1FIv</sup> litter-mate measurements, 300 ng of peptides were separated on 50 cm in-house-made 75 µm inner diameter columns, packed with 1.9-µm ReproSil C18 beads (Dr. Maisch GmbH) at a flow rate of 300 nl min−<sup>1</sup> and 60 °C maintained by an in-house-made column oven. Samples were analysed without prefractionation in a single shot measurement with a nonlinear 90 gradient. Spectra were acquired with data-independent acquisition (DIA) using full scans with a range of 300–1650 m/z. For 129S2, C57B6J, C57B6N, Nlrp3<sup>tm1Tsch</sup>, Nlrp3<sup>tm1Bhk</sup> and Nlrp3<sup>tm1Flv</sup> measurements, peptides were separated by 120-min chromatographic gradients using a binary buffer system with buffer A (0.1% formic acid in LC–MS-grade water) and buffer B (80% ACN, 0.1% formic acid in LC–MS-grade water), with an Ionopticks Aurora Ultimate analytical column. Samples were measured in DIA mode with a window m/z range from 400 to 1000 m/z separated into 25 isolation windows with a size of 24 m/z per window. We used a staggered window approach with isolation windows shifted by 12 m/z. Data acquisition was controlled by Xcalibur (version 4.4.16.14, Thermo Fisher Scientific).

#### LC–MS/MS analysis

For staggered windows, files were deconvoluted with the MSConverter tool of the ProteoWizard software suite (v3.0.21321 [[61\]](#page-12-0)). DIA MS raw files were processed by DIA-NN [\[62](#page-12-0)] (version 1.8) with FASTA digest for libraryfree search and deep learning-based spectra, RTs, and IMs prediction enabled. Precursor FDR was set to 1%, and default parameters were used with the following changes: the precursor range was restricted to 300–1650 m/z, or 400–1000 m/z where appropriate, and the fragment ion range to 200–1650 m/z. The "--relaxed-prot-inf" option was enabled via the command line. MBR was enabled, neural network classifier was set to "double-pass mode," and the quantification strategy to "robust LC (high accuracy)." Spectra were matched against the mouse December 2022 UniProt FASTA database, including protein NL1B1. Protein intensities were

<span id="page-11-0"></span>normalised by the MaxLFQ [[63\]](#page-12-0) algorithm using an in-house script. Bioinformatic analyses were performed with Perseus [[64](#page-12-0)] (version 1.6.15.0) and R (version 4.1.2). Before statistical analysis, quantified proteins were filtered for at least four valid values in at least one group of replicates. Samples were identified as outliers by principal component analysis and subsequently removed if necessary. Statistical tests and parameters used to evaluate annotation enrichment and significant abundance differences of quantified proteins are specified in the figure legends.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses and  $n$  numbers are provided in the appropriate figure legends. All experiments were performed with a minimum of three biological replicates. Experiments were performed a minimum of two independent times, with the exception of Figs. [1](#page-2-0)E, F, [5](#page-7-0)E and [6](#page-8-0)B, E. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. A difference was considered statistically significant at  $q < 0.05$ . Statistical analyses were performed by Graphpad Prism (v10.2). Statistical analysis for RNAseq and LC–MS/MS is outlined in the relevant "Materials and methods" section.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY

Raw RNAseq data generated in this study have been deposited to the Array Express and are available under the accession number E-MTAB-13601. Raw LC–MS/MS data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository, with the dataset identifier PXD046782.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Lilue J, Doran AG, Fiddes IT, Abrudan M, Armstrong J, Bennett R, et al. Sixteen diverse laboratory mouse reference genomes define strain-specific haplotypes and novel functional loci. Nat Genet. 2018;50:1574–83.
- 2. Li P, Allen H, Banerjee S, Franklin S, Herzog L, Johnston C, et al. Mice deficient in IL-1β-converting enzyme are defective in production of mature IL-1β and resistant to endotoxic shock. Cell. 1995;80:401–11.
- 3. Chisolm DA, Cheng W, Colburn SA, Silva-Sanchez A, Meza-Perez S, Randall TD, et al. Defining genetic variation in widely used congenic and backcrossed mouse models reveals varied regulation of genes important for immune responses. Immunity. 2019;51:155–168.e5.
- 4. Vanden Berghe T, Hulpiau P, Martens L, Vandenbroucke RE, Van Wonterghem E, Perry SW, et al. Passenger mutations confound interpretation of all genetically modified congenic mice. Immunity. 2015;43:200–9.
- 5. Er-Lukowiak M, Duan Y, Rassendren F, Ulmann L, Nicke A, Ufer F, et al. A P2rx7 passenger mutation affects the vitality and function of T cells in congenic mice. iScience. 2020;23:101870.
- 6. Gerlic M, Croker BA, Cengia LH, Moayeri M, Kile BT, Masters SL. NLRP1a expression in Srebp-1a-deficient mice. Cell Metab. 2014;19:345–6.
- 7. Kayagaki N, Warming S, Lamkanfi M, Walle LV, Louie S, Dong J, et al. Noncanonical inflammasome activation targets caspase-11. Nature. 2011;479:117–21.
- 8. Taabazuing CY, Griswold AR, Bachovchin DA. The NLRP1 and CARD8 inflammasomes. Immunol Rev. 2020;297:13–25.
- 9. Boyden ED, Dietrich WF. Nalp1b controls mouse macrophage susceptibility to anthrax lethal toxin. Nat Genet. 2006;38:240–4.
- 10. Martinon F, Pétrilli V, Mayor A, Tardivel A, Tschopp J. Gout-associated uric acid crystals activate the NALP3 inflammasome. Nature. 2006;440:237–41.
- 11. Duewell P, Kono H, Rayner KJ, Sirois CM, Vladimer G, Bauernfeind FG, et al. NLRP3 inflammasomes are required for atherogenesis and activated by cholesterol crystals. Nature. 2010;464:1357–61.
- 12. Coll RC, Robertson AAB, Chae JJ, Higgins SC, Muñoz-Planillo R, Inserra MC, et al. A small-molecule inhibitor of the NLRP3 inflammasome for the treatment of inflammatory diseases. Nat Med. 2015;21:248–55.
- 13. Inoue M, Chen P, Siecinski S, Li Q, Liu C, Steinman L, et al. An interferon-βresistant and NLRP3 inflammasome–independent subtype of EAE with neuronal damage. Nat Neurosci. 2016;19:1599–609.
- 14. Ising C, Venegas C, Zhang S, Scheiblich H, Schmidt SV, Vieira-Saecker A, et al. NLRP3 inflammasome activation drives tau pathology. Nature. 2019;575:669–73.
- 15. Heneka MT, Kummer MP, Stutz A, Delekate A, Schwartz S, Vieira-Saecker A, et al. NLRP3 is activated in Alzheimer's disease and contributes to pathology in APP/ PS1 mice. Nature. 2013;493:674–8.
- 16. Christ A, Günther P, Lauterbach MAR, Duewell P, Biswas D, Pelka K, et al. Western diet triggers NLRP3-dependent innate immune reprogramming. Cell. 2018;172:162–175.e14.
- 17. van Deventer HW, Burgents JE, Wu QP, Woodford R-MT, Brickey WJ, Allen IC, et al. The inflammasome component Nlrp3 impairs antitumor vaccine by enhancing

the accumulation of tumor-associated myeloid-derived suppressor cells. Cancer Res. 2010;70:10161–9.

- 18. Gris D, Ye Z, Iocca HA, Wen H, Craven RR, Gris P, et al. NLRP3 plays a critical role in the development of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis by mediating Th1 and Th17 responses. J Immunol. 2010;185:974–81.
- 19. Irrera N, Pizzino G, Calò M, Pallio G, Mannino F, Famà F, et al. Lack of the Nlrp3 inflammasome improves mice recovery following traumatic brain injury. Front Pharmacol. 2017;8:459.
- 20. Sutterwala FS, Ogura Y, Szczepanik M, Lara-Tejero M, Lichtenberger GS, Grant EP, et al. Critical role for NALP3/CIAS1/Cryopyrin in innate and adaptive immunity through its regulation of caspase-1. Immunity. 2006;24:317–27.
- 21. Chui AJ, Okondo MC, Rao SD, Gai K, Griswold AR, Johnson DC, et al. N-terminal degradation activates the NLRP1B inflammasome. Science. 2019;364:82–85.
- 22. Zhong FL, Robinson K, Teo DET, Tan K-Y, Lim C, Harapas CR, et al. Human DPP9 represses NLRP1 inflammasome and protects against autoinflammatory diseases via both peptidase activity and FIIND domain binding. J Biol Chem. 2018;293:18864–78.
- 23. Vasconcelos, de NM, Vliegen G, Gonçalves A, Hert ED, Martín-Pérez R, et al. DPP8/ DPP9 inhibition elicits canonical Nlrp1b inflammasome hallmarks in murine macrophages. Life Sci Alliance. 2019;2:e201900313.
- 24. Okondo MC, Rao SD, Taabazuing CY, Chui AJ, Poplawski SE, Johnson DC, et al. Inhibition of Dpp8/9 activates the Nlrp1b inflammasome. Cell Chem Biol. 2018;25:262–267.e5.
- 25. Gai K, Okondo MC, Rao SD, Chui AJ, Ball DP, Johnson DC, et al. DPP8/9 inhibitors are universal activators of functional NLRP1 alleles. Cell Death Dis. 2019;10:1–10.
- 26. van der Veeken J, Zhong Y, Sharma R, Mazutis L, Dao P, Pe'er D, et al. Natural genetic variation reveals key features of epigenetic and transcriptional memory in virus-specific CD8 T cells. Immunity. 2019;50:1202–1217.e7.
- 27. Kovarova M, Hesker PR, Jania L, Nguyen M, Snouwaert JN, Xiang Z, et al. NLRP1 dependent pyroptosis leads to acute lung injury and morbidity in mice. J Immunol. 2012;189:2006–16.
- 28. Jenster L-M, Lange K-E, Normann S, vom Hemdt A, Wuerth JD, Schiffelers LDJ, et al. P38 kinases mediate NLRP1 inflammasome activation after ribotoxic stress response and virus infection. J Exp Med. 2023;220:e20220837.
- 29. Zhou T-A, Hsu H-P, Tu Y-H, Cheng H-K, Lin C-Y, Chen N-J, et al. Thymic macrophages consist of two populations with distinct localization and origin. eLife. 2022;11:e75148.
- 30. Thornley TB, Fang Z, Balasubramanian S, Larocca RA, Gong W, Gupta S, et al. Fragile TIM-4–expressing tissue resident macrophages are migratory and immunoregulatory. J Clin Investig. 2014;124:3443–54.
- 31. Silva HM, Báfica A, Rodrigues-Luiz GF, Chi J, Santos P, d'Emery A, et al. Vasculature-associated fat macrophages readily adapt to inflammatory and metabolic challenges. J Exp Med. 2019;216:786–806.
- 32. Tran S, Baba I, Poupel L, Dussaud S, Moreau M, Gélineau A, et al. Impaired Kupffer cell self-renewal alters the liver response to lipid overload during non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. Immunity. 2020;53:627–640.e5.
- 33. Shaw TN, Houston SA, Wemyss K, Bridgeman HM, Barbera TA, Zangerle-Murray T, et al. Tissue-resident macrophages in the intestine are long lived and defined by Tim-4 and CD4 expression. J Exp Med. 2018;215:1507–18.
- 34. Louwe PA, Badiola Gomez L, Webster H, Perona-Wright G, Bain CC, Forbes SJ, et al. Recruited macrophages that colonize the post-inflammatory peritoneal niche convert into functionally divergent resident cells. Nat Commun. 2021;12:1770.
- 35. Ewald SE, Chavarria-Smith J, Boothroyd JC. NLRP1 is an inflammasome sensor for Toxoplasma gondii. Infect Immun. 2014;82:460–8.
- 36. Kobayashi N, Karisola P, Peña-Cruz V, Dorfman DM, Jinushi M, Umetsu SE, et al. TIM-1 and TIM-4 glycoproteins bind phosphatidylserine and mediate uptake of apoptotic cells. Immunity. 2007;27:927–40.
- 37. Czuczman MA, Fattouh R, van Rijn JM, Canadien V, Osborne S, Muise AM, et al. Listeria monocytogenes exploits efferocytosis to promote cell-to-cell spread. Nature. 2014;509:230–4.
- 38. Min C, Park J, Kim G, Moon H, Lee S-A, Kim D, et al. Tim-4 functions as a scavenger receptor for phagocytosis of exogenous particles. Cell Death Dis. 2020;11:1–10.
- 39. Wang Y, Wang Y, Ding L, Ren X, Wang B, Wang L, et al. Tim-4 reprograms cholesterol metabolism to suppress antiviral innate immunity by disturbing the Insig1-SCAP interaction in macrophages. Cell Rep. 2022;41:111738.
- 40. Magalhaes MS, Smith P, Portman JR, Jackson-Jones LH, Bain CC, Ramachandran P, et al. Role of Tim4 in the regulation of ABCA1+ adipose tissue macrophages and post-prandial cholesterol levels. Nat Commun. 2021;12:4434.
- 41. Joshi S, López L, Morosi LG, Amadio R, Pachauri M, Bestagno M, et al. Tim4 enables large peritoneal macrophages to cross-present tumor antigens at early stages of tumorigenesis. Cell Rep. 2024;43:114096.
- 42. Collazo CM, Yap GS, Sempowski GD, Lusby KC, Tessarollo L, Vande Woude GF, et al. Inactivation of LRG-47 and IRG-47 reveals a family of interferon γ-inducible

<span id="page-12-0"></span>genes with essential, pathogen-specific roles in resistance to infection. J Exp Med. 2001;194:181–7.

- 43. Mukundan L, Odegaard JI, Morel CR, Heredia JE, Mwangi JW, Ricardo-Gonzalez RR, et al. PPAR-Δ senses and orchestrates clearance of apoptotic cells to promote tolerance. Nat Med. 2009;15:1266–72.
- 44. Coombes JL, Siddiqui KRR, Arancibia-Cárcamo CV, Hall J, Sun C-M, Belkaid Y, et al. A functionally specialized population of mucosal CD103+ DCs induces Foxp3+ regulatory T cells via a TGF-β -and retinoic acid-dependent mechanism. J Exp Med. 2007;204:1757–64.
- 45. MacKay LK, Rahimpour A, Ma JZ, Collins N, Stock AT, Hafon M-L, et al. The developmental pathway for CD103+ CD8+ tissue-resident memory T cells of skin. Nat Immunol. 2013;14:1294–301.
- 46. Liston P, Fong WG, Kelly NL, Toji S, Miyazaki T, Conte D, et al. Identification of XAF1 as an antagonist of XIAP anti-caspase activity. Nat Cell Biol. 2001;3:128–33.
- 47. Mariathasan S, Weiss DS, Newton K, McBride J, O'Rourke K, Roose-Girma M, et al. Cryopyrin activates the inflammasome in response to toxins and ATP. Nature. 2006;440:228–32.
- 48. Ventura A, Kirsch DG, McLaughlin ME, Tuveson DA, Grimm J, Lintault L, et al. Restoration of p53 function leads to tumour regression in vivo. Nature. 2007;445:661–5.
- 49. Ewels PA, Peltzer A, Fillinger S, Patel H, Alneberg J, Wilm A, et al. The nf-core framework for community-curated bioinformatics pipelines. Nat Biotechnol. 2020;38:276–8.
- 50. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics. 2013;29:15–21.
- 51. Patro R, Duggal G, Love MI, Irizarry RA, Kingsford C. Salmon: fast and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression using dual-phase inference. Nat Methods. 2017. [https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4197.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4197)
- 52. R Core Team. R: the R project for statistical computing. 2019. [https://www.r](https://www.r-project.org/)[project.org/.](https://www.r-project.org/)
- 53. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8) [s13059-014-0550-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8).
- 54. Huber W, Carey VJ, Gentleman R, Anders S, Carlson M, Carvalho BS, et al. Orchestrating high-throughput genomic analysis with Bioconductor. Nat Methods. 2015;12:115–21.
- 55. Anders S, Huber W. Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. Genome Biol. 2010;11:1–12.
- 56. Wickham H. Elegant graphics for data analysis. 2016. [https://ggplot2-book.org/.](https://ggplot2-book.org/)
- 57. Gu Z, Eils R, Schlesner M. Complex heatmaps reveal patterns and correlations in multidimensional genomic data. Bioinformatics. 2016;32:2847–9.
- 58. Heldenbrand JR, Baheti S, Bockol MA, Drucker TM, Hart SN, Hudson ME, et al. Recommendations for performance optimizations when using GATK3.8 and GATK4. BMC Bioinform. 2019. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-019-3169-7.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-019-3169-7)
- 59. Li H, Barrett J. A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 2011;27:2987–93.
- 60. Swietlik JJ, Bärthel S, Falcomatà C, Fink D, Sinha A, Cheng J, et al. Cell-selective proteomics segregates pancreatic cancer subtypes by extracellular proteins in tumors and circulation. Nat Commun. 2023;14:2642.
- 61. Chambers MC, Maclean B, Burke R, Amodei D, Ruderman DL, Neumann S, et al. A cross-platform toolkit for mass spectrometry and proteomics. Nat Biotechnol. 2012;30:918–20.
- 62. Demichev V, Messner, CB, Vernardis SI, Lilley KS, Ralser M. DIA-NN: neural networks and interference correction enable deep proteome coverage in high throughput. Nat Methods. 2020;17:41–4.
- 63. Cox J, Hein MY, Luber CA, Paron I, Nagaraj N, Mann M. Accurate proteome-wide label-free quantification by delayed normalization and maximal peptide ratio extraction, termed MaxLFQ. Mol Cell Proteom. 2014;13:2513–26.
- 64. Tyanova S, Temu T, Sinitcyn P, Carlson A, Hein MY, Geiger T, et al. The Perseus computational platform for comprehensive analysis of (prote)omics data. Nat Methods. 2016;13:731–40.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Prof. Florian I. Schmidt (University Hospital Bonn), Dr. Lea Jenster (University Hospital Bonn) and Prof. Dagmar Wachten (University Hospital Bonn) for their manuscript proof reading. We would like to thank Prof. Manolis Pasparakis (University of Cologne) for providing Nlrp3<sup>tm1Tsch</sup> bone marrow, and Prof. Christian Kurts (University Hospital Bonn) for providing Nlrp3tm1Bhk mice. We would like to thank Prof. Seth Masters (Hudson Institute of Medical Research) for providing strain-specific Nlrp1b genotyping protocols. We would like to thank Dr. Tobias Dierkes (University Hospital Bonn), Dr. Susanne Schmidt (University Hospital Bonn) and the NGS Core Facility of the Medical Faculty at the University of Bonn/WGGC-Bonn for providing support and instrumentation for the 3′ mRNA Seq. We would like to acknowledge the granted access to the Bonna cluster hosted by the University of Bonn. We would like to thank the Flow Cytometry Core Facility of the Medical Faculty at the University of Bonn for providing support and instrumentation funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation)—Project # 216372545 and Project # 471514137. This work was funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No. 848146 (To\_Aition) (to EL), by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy—EXC2151—390873048 (to EL and FM), by the DFG SFB1454—432325352 (to EL and FM), SFB1403— 414786233 (to EL and FM), TRR237—369799452 (to EL and FM), GRK2168— 272482170 (to EL), the Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft, Zukunftsthema "Immunology and Inflammation" (ZT-0027), and the Faculty of Medicine at the University of Bonn BONFOR 1B Postdoc Fellowship Project ID: O-187.0008.1.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

FDW, EL and FM conceived the study. FDW and YA designed experiments. FDW, YA, PL, AD, JR, MV, AG, FD, RS, HEL and A-KG performed experiments. FDW, AS, FS and AB performed data analysis and visualisation. FDW, EL and FM acquired funding. FDW and FM wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed and edited the manuscript.

#### **FUNDING**

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

EL is cofounder and consultant of IFM Therapeutics and Odyssey Therapeutics as well as a cofounder and board member of Dioscure Therapeutics and a Stealth Biotech. FM is a cofounder and consultant of Odyssey Therapeutics. The other authors declare no competing interests.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41418-024-01379-2.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41418-024-01379-2)

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Felix D. Weiss or Felix Meissner.

Reprints and permission information is available at [http://www.nature.com/](http://www.nature.com/reprints) [reprints](http://www.nature.com/reprints)

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons  $\bigcirc$ Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

© The Author(s) 2024