i.S



https:/doi.org/10.1093/ckj/sfae330 Advance Access Publication Date: 26 October 2024 Original Article

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Kidney transplantation in patients with polycystic kidney disease: increased risk of infection does not compromise graft and patient survival

Johannes Waiser ¹,*, Jens Klotsche^{2,*}, Petra Glander¹, Danilo Schmidt³, Marcel Naik ¹, Lutz Liefeldt¹, Klemens Budde ¹, Jan Halbritter¹, Fabian Halleck¹, Bianca Zukunft¹, Robert Peters⁴, Frank Friedersdorff⁴, Nils Lachmann⁵, Kai-Uwe Eckardt ¹, Leonie d'Anjou^{1,†} and Friederike Bachmann^{1,†}

¹Department of Nephrology and Medical Intensive Care, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany, ²German Rheumatism Research Center Berlin – a Leibniz Institute, Berlin, Germany, ³Business Unit IT, Charité -Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany, ⁴Department of Urology, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany and ⁵Institute of Transfusion Medicine, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Germany

*Johannes Waiser and Jens Klotsche contributed equally to this work. †Leonie d'Anjou and Friederike Bachmann contributed equally to this work. Correspondence to: Johannes Waiser; E-mail: johannes.waiser@charite.de

ABSTRACT

Background. Patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD) represent >10% of patients awaiting kidney transplantation. These patients are prone to potentially severe urinary tract (UTI) and liver cyst infections after transplantation. Whether such infections compromise outcome is unclear.

Methods. Between 2000 and 2017 we performed 193 kidney transplantations in patients with ADPKD. In 189 patients, we assessed the occurrence, frequency, and severity of infection episodes requiring inpatient treatment and their impact on graft and patient outcomes compared with 189 matched controls. Risk factors were analyzed by uni- and multivariable analyses.

Results. During a mean observation period of 77 months UTIs occurred more frequently in ADPKD patients (39.1% vs. 26.7%, P = .022; 0.8 ± 1.4 vs. 0.5 ± 1.1 episodes, P < .001). Eight ADPKD patients suffered from 19 episodes of liver cyst infection. Steroid medication (RR 3.04; P < .001) and recipient age (RR 1.05; P = .003) increased the risk for UTI/urosepsis, while nephrectomy reduced it (unilateral, RR 0.60; P = .088; bilateral, RR 0.45; P = .020). Patient survival was similar in both groups. The risk of graft failure was lower in ADPKD patients [hazard ratio (HR) 0.67; P = .047] due to a lower risk of death-censored graft loss (HR 0.47; P = .014). Donor age (HR 1.34; P = .002) and rejection (HR 8.47; P < .001) were risk factors for death-censored graft loss.

Received: 3.6.2024; Editorial decision: 27.8.2024

[©] The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the ERA. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

Conclusions. ADPKD patients are at increased risk of UTI and liver cyst infection after transplantation. Steroid medication and recipient age seem to increase the risk of UTI/urosepsis, while nephrectomy seems to reduce it. Nevertheless, patient survival was similar compared to non-ADPKD patients and death-censored graft survival even better.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



Keywords: infection, kidney transplantation, polycystic kidney disease, risk factors, survival

KEY LEARNING POINTS

What was known:

• Patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD) are prone to urinary tract infections and liver cyst infections, which may also occur and recur after kidney transplantation. However, the frequency of such infection episodes after transplantation under modern immunosuppressive regimens, risk factors for their occurrence and their impact on graft and patient outcomes are unclear.

This study adds:

• In this single-center study comparing 189 ADPKD patients with an equal number of matched controls, we found that significantly more patients (OR 1.81) experienced significantly more episodes (OR 1.68) of urinary tract infection requiring inpatient treatment and that liver cyst infections occurred in 4% of patients. Nevertheless, patient survival was similar and graft survival, especially death-censored graft survival even better. Recipient age and steroid treatment seem to increase the risk of infection while nephrectomy of the native kidneys seems to reduced it.

Potential impact:

• The observation of similar survival rates and lower rates of graft loss despite an increased risk of infection is reassuring for ADPKD patients considering kidney transplantation. Awareness of the increased infection risk may facilitate early diagnosis and treatment. Our findings suggest that a reduction in infection risk should be weight into risk benefit assessments for nephrectomy and that steroid sparing immunosuppressive regimes may mitigate infection risk.

INTRODUCTION

Autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD) is the most common hereditary kidney disease and the fourth leading cause of kidney failure accounting for \sim 10% of patients requiring kidney replacement therapy [1-4]. For patients with ADPKD and kidney failure kidney transplantation (Tx) is the preferred treatment option [5]. In 2021, >16% of all patients, who were added to the Eurotransplant waiting list in Germany suffered from ADPKD [6]. After Tx, these patients may experience episodes of urinary tract infection (UTI) and cyst infection of their native kidneys and liver. These episodes may recur and expand to episodes of sepsis. In this case-control study, we compared the occurrence, frequency, and severity of UTIs and liver cyst infections in a cohort of hospitalized ADPKD patients, who had received a kidney transplant at our center, with a cohort of matched control kidney transplant patients, who suffered from other causes of kidney failure. In addition, we analyzed patient survival, graft survival and graft function. Risk factors for the respective endpoints were analyzed by uni- and multivariable analysis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In 2017, we searched our electronic database system 'Tbase' [7] for patients who had received a kidney allograft between 1 January 2000 and 31 October 2017. We found 1478 Tx events during this period. In 272 cases the individual chart contained the term 'cyst', 'zyst', 'ADPKD', or the ICD-10 code 'Q61'. We excluded 37 cases, in which Tx was performed under the age of 18 years, and 42 cases in which the diagnosis could not be confirmed by either family history, pathology or imaging [8]. This resulted in a group of 193 Tx events. Patients with any other cause of kidney failure were matched with the ADPKD cohort using the following criteria: donor type (living or deceased donor), recipient gender, recipient age (±5 years), and date of Tx (±1 year). Hereby, we were able to identify 189 matched pairs.

Notably, only patients without current and historical, preformed donor-specific HLA antibodies (2000–2008: ELISA, Lambda Antigen Tray LAT, One Lambda; since 2008: Luminex Single Antigen Bead Assay, One Lambda) and negative preoperative complement-dependent cytotoxicity crossmatch using isolated donor-derived T and B lymphocytes were accepted for transplantation. Because the immunological risk in all patients was considered low, thymoglobulin induction was not used.

Both groups were compared concerning the following endpoints: UTI, urosepsis, liver cyst infection, death, overall graft failure, death-censored graft failure, and renal function measured as serum creatinine-based estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR_{cr}) calculated according to the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) formula [9]. Patients were censored at the time of graft failure. Risk factors for the previously mentioned endpoints were calculated by univariable and multivariable analyses. In the analysis of UTI and urosepsis patients were censored from the time at which both native kidneys had been removed.

A diagnosis of UTI was made in patients with typical signs and symptoms of UTI including blood tests and a positive urine culture. We did not distinguish between lower and upper UTI, because in our experience such a differentiation is generally difficult in renal allograft recipients. A diagnosis of urosepsis was based on the sepsis-2 criteria including positive urine and blood cultures [10]. Liver cyst infection was diagnosed by magnetic resonance imaging or positron-emission computed tomography [11] in combination with blood tests and blood cultures. Only infection episodes requiring hospitalization were recorded. The necessity of inpatient treatment was caused by either the severity of disease or the necessity of intravenous (IV) antibiotic treatment or both.

The Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) was calculated at the time of Tx. The CCI is a common, validated and widely accepted instrument used to predict 10-year mortality [12]. The CCI was further adapted in kidney failure patients [13] and kidney transplant recipients [14, 15]. Kidney failure as comorbid condition was considered present in all patients, irrespective of graft function. Serious ongoing conditions such as dementia, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, and malignancy represent an absolute contraindication for Tx and therefore were not present.

The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, as revised in 2013 and the Declaration of Istanbul. The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of the Charité (EA1/048/14).

Statistical analyses

The risk of death, overall graft failure, and death-censored graft failure for ADPKD patients and matched controls was analyzed by Cox-proportional hazard models. Conditional logistic regression analysis was applied to compare the likelihood for UTI and urosepsis between ADPKD and matched controls. The association of defined risk factors with survival outcomes (death, death-censored graft failure, overall graft failure) was estimated by Cox-proportional hazard models. The likelihood for UTI or urosepsis during the initial Tx hospitalization was analyzed by a generalized linear model with robust error variances, the assumption of a Poisson distribution for onset of infections and the log link function including the defined risk factors and initial therapy after Tx. The entire observation period was divided into single treatment episodes based on immunosuppressive therapy administered during follow-up. Episodes of UTI or urosepsis were assigned to the treatment episode during which they occurred. The resulting patient-treatment episode data were analyzed by a multilevel mixed-effects Poisson regression model including the time under treatment as exposure to assess the association between UTI or urosepsis with time-depended therapy and defined baseline risk factors. The course of eGFR during follow-up was analyzed by a multilevel mixed-effect linear regression model. A worst-case imputation for missing eGFR values was performed in case of death-censored graft failure by 5 ml/min/1.73m². All defined risk factors were tested in univariable models for each outcome. LASSO (least absolute shrinkage and selection operator) regression was performed to identify a multivariable model for each defined outcome. LASSO is suited for models with high levels of multicollinearity. Relevant variables were included in the model regardless of their significance in univariate association with the outcome by LASSO. Continuously distributed variables were included as continuous in the regression models, the unit for interpretation is given in the tables. Variables resulting in <10 cases in cells in a cross table were not included in the regression analysis to assure reliable estimates. All statistical analyses were performed with SAS v.9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Table 1: Patient characteristics at transplantation.

	ADPKD complete n = 193	ADPKD matched-pair $n = 189$	Control matched-pair $n = 189$	P value
Recipient age (years), mean \pm SD	55.1 ± 10.2	55.1 ± 10.1	55.2 ± 10.2	.924
Waiting time (months), mean \pm SD	47.9 ± 42.1	48.2 ± 42.4	50.1 ± 37.0	.643
Female recipient, n (%)	81 (42.0)	80 (42.3)	80 (42.3)	
Recipient HbA1c, mean \pm SD	5.4 ± 0.7	5.6 ± 0.8	5.7 ± 0.9	.254
Recipient BMI (kg/m²), mean \pm SD	$\textbf{26.0} \pm \textbf{3.9}$	26.0 ± 3.9	$\textbf{26.2} \pm \textbf{4.9}$.661
Recipient CCI score, mean \pm SD	3.6 ± 1.3	3.6 ± 1.3	4.0 ± 1.8	.069
Unilateral nephrectomy before Tx, n (%)	61 (31.6)	61 (32.3)	12 (6.3)	<.001
Bilateral nephrectomy before Tx, n (%)	22 (11.4)	22 (11.6)	9 (4.8)	.015
Underlying cause of chronic kidney disease				
Diabetic nephropathy, n (%)			18 (9.5)	
Vascular nephropathy, n (%)			19 (10.1)	
Primary glomerulopathy, n (%)			70 (37.0)	
Systemic disease, n (%)			8 (4.2)	
Hereditary kidney disease, n (%)			10 (5.3)	
Obstructive nephropathy/chronic			26 (13.8)	
pyelonephritis, n (%)				
Miscellaneous, n (%)			13 (6.9)	
Undetermined, n (%)			25 (13.2)	
vPRA %, mean \pm SD	$\textbf{6.5} \pm \textbf{21.5}$	$\textbf{6.6} \pm \textbf{21.7}$	11.3 ± 26.5	.061
Donor age (years), mean \pm SD	54.1 ± 13.9	54.2 ± 14.0	53.8 ± 14.8	.787
Donor eGFR _{cr} (ml/min/1.73 m ²),	83.4 ± 24.6	83.6 ± 24.7	84.5 ± 24.7	.723
mean \pm SD				
Living donor, n (%)	68 (35.2)	65 (34.4)	65 (34.4)	
Preemptive Tx, n (%)	23 (11.9)	22 (11.6)	12 (6.4)	.072
AB0 compatible Tx, n (%)	177 (91.7)	174 (92.1)	183 (96.8)	.073
Steroids, n (%)	193 (100.0)	189 (100.0)	189 (100.0)	
Basiliximab, n (%)	183 (94.8)	179 (94.7)	177 (93.7)	.660
Cyclosporine A, n (%)	85 (44.0)	83 (43.9)	83 (43.9)	
Tacrolimus, n (%)	104 (53.9)	102 (54.0)	102 (54.0)	
Everolimus, n (%)	3 (1.6)	3 (1.6)	2 (1.1)	.653
Belatacept, n (%)	1 (0.5)	1 (0.5)	3 (1.6)	.315
Mycophenolate, n (%)	179 (92.7)	175 (92.6)	182 (96.3)	.116
Fingolimod, n (%)	2 (1.0)	2 (1.1)	1 (0.5)	.562
Sotrastaurin, n (%)	12 (6.2)	12 (6.3)	5 (2.7)	.082

Immunosuppression refers to the initial treatment after Tx.

Note: in the control group one patient concomitantly received cyclosporine A and everolimus.

Abbreviations: ADPKD, polycystic kidney disease; BMI, body mass index; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; eGFRcr, creatinine-based estimated glomerular filtration rate; SD, standard deviation; Tx, transplantation; vPRA, virtual panel reactive antibodies (Eurotransplant Reference Laboratory, HLA database version 4). Chi-square test for categorical variables, Wilcoxon signed-rank-test for continuously distributed variables.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows patient characteristics at the time of transplantation. As expected, more patients in the ADPKD group underwent nephrectomy before Tx as compared to the control group. All other patient characteristics were similar between groups.

The mean observation time of the complete ADPKD cohort (n = 193) was 78.9 \pm 51.9 months. The mean observation time of the matched-pair cohorts (n = 189) was 79.4 \pm 52.0 (ADPKD) and 74.4 \pm 48.6 months (control), respectively.

After Tx, altogether 29 endogenous kidneys were removed in the ADPKD group; both kidneys in five patients, the first kidney in nine patients and the second kidney in 10 patients. In the control cohort, 16 endogenous kidneys were removed after Tx, both kidneys in three patients and the first kidney in 10 patients (P = .161).

Posttransplant immunological data including calcineurininhibitor trough levels, frequencies and types of rejection, drugs used for the treatment of rejection, and white blood cell (WBC) counts according to groups are shown in Table 2. There were no differences between both groups except for the number of preand posttransplant WBC counts, which were significantly lower in ADPKD patients as compared to the control group.

UTI and liver cyst infection episodes

Episodes of UTI/urosepsis requiring hospitalization are summarized in Table 3a. The total number of UTI episodes during the entire observation period was 126 vs. 79 (ADPKD vs. non-ADPKD), the total number of urosepsis episodes was 50 vs. 36. Altogether, 41 vs. 27 patients suffered from recurrent UTI/urosepsis episodes. During the initial hospital stay at the time of Tx, the number of patients who experienced UTI/urosepsis was not different between both cohorts. By contrast, the number of patients with UTI during the entire observation period was higher in the ADPKD cohort compared to the control cohort. Also, the number of UTI episodes per patient

	ADPKD	ADPKD matched-pair	Controlmatched-pair	
	complete $n = 193$	n = 189	n = 189	P value
Cyclosporin A trough level				
Month 1–3, median (IQR)	177 (162–195)	177 (162–195)	176 (155–196)	.562
Month 4–12, median (IQR)	110 (96–123)	110 (95–122)	112 (102–124)	.224
Month >12, median (IQR)	92 (84–102)	91 (84–103)	93 (84–103)	.733
Tacrolimus trough level				
Month 1–3, median (IQR)	9.95 (9.10–11.00)	10.00 (9.10–11.00)	9.83 (8.55–11.10)	.277
Month 4–12, median (IQR)	7.35 (6.50-8.25)	7.32 (6.50-8.26)	7.15 (6.35–8.35)	.719
Month >12, median (IQR)	6.00 (5.30–6.81)	6.00 (5.30–6.82)	6.00 (5.49–6.70)	.832
Number of patients with rejection, n (%)	43 (22.3)	41 (21.7)	41 (21.7)	
TCMR, n (%)	38 (19.7)	36 (19.1)	33 (17.5)	.690
ABMR, n (%)	5 (2.6)	5 (2.7)	5 (2.7)	
Mixed TCMR/ABMR, n (%)	1 (0.5)	1 (0.5)	5 (2.7)	.100
Number of rejection episodes, mean \pm SD	0.25 ± 0.51	0.24 ± 0.51	0.29 ± 0.55	.699
TCMR, mean \pm SD	0.20 ± 0.40	0.19 ± 0.39	0.17 ± 0.38	.691
ABMR, mean \pm SD	$\textbf{0.03}\pm\textbf{0.16}$	$\textbf{0.03} \pm \textbf{0.16}$	$\textbf{0.03} \pm \textbf{0.16}$	
Mixed TCMR/ABMR, mean \pm SD	$\textbf{0.01} \pm \textbf{0.07}$	$\textbf{0.01}\pm\textbf{0.07}$	$\textbf{0.03} \pm \textbf{0.17}$.101
Rejection treatment				
Steroid pulse, n	49	47	55	.354
Thymoglobulin, n	2	2	4	.410
Bortezomib, n	1	1	4	.177
Plasmapheresis/IVIG, n	6	6	9	.491
Rituximab, n	0	0	1	.317
WBC count ($\times 10^9$ /l)				
Pretransplant, median (IQR)	8.00 (6.26–9.97)	7.97 (6.22–9.96)	9.46 (7.73–11.77)	<.001
Day 1–14, median (IQR)	8.55 (6.82–10.25)	8.41 (6.81–10.18)	9.61 (7.89–11.19)	<.001
Day 15–month 3, median (IQR)	7.78 (6.43–9.48)	7.74 (6.40–9.48)	8.57 (6.75–10.11)	.011
Month 4–12, median (IQR)	6.23 (5.09–7.65)	6.21 (5.09–7.56)	7.17 (5.82–8.80)	<.001

Abbreviations: ABMR, antibody mediated rejection; ADPKD, polycystic kidney disease; IQR, interquartile range; IVIG, intravenous immunoglobulins; SD, standard deviation; TCMR, T cell mediated rejection.

Chi-square test for categorical variables; Mann-Whitney U-test for continuously distributed variables.

Table 3a: UTI and urosepsis: matched-pair comparison between ADPKD patients and control group.

	ADPKD (n = 161)	Control ($n = 161$)	ORª	95%CI	P value
Patients with UTI or urosepsis during initial hospitalization, n (%)	14 (8.8)	12 (8.2)	1.09	0.49; 2.43	.841
Entire observation period					
Patients with UTI, n (%)	63 (39.1)	43 (26.7)	1.81	1.09; 3.02	.022
UTI episodes per patient, mean \pm SD	0.8 ± 1.4	0.5 ± 1.1	1.68	1.27; 2.24	<.001
Patients with urosepsis, n (%)	34 (21.1)	28 (17.4)	1.26	0.68; 2.33	.456
Urosepsis episodes per patient, mean \pm SD	0.3 ± 0.8	0.2 ± 0.5	1.49	0.96; 2.29	.074
Patients with UTI or urosepsis, n (%)	71 (44.1)	55 (34.2)	1.65	0.99; 2.74	.055
UTI and urosepsis episodes per patient, mean \pm SD	1.1 ± 1.9	0.7 ± 1.4	1.63	1.28; 2.01	<.001

Patients were censored from the time at which both native kidneys had been removed. In 22 ADPKD patients and in 9 control patients both native kidneys had been removed before Tx resulting in 161 matched pairs (in three pairs both native kidneys had been removed before Tx in both, the ADPKD patient and the control patient). ^aOdds ratio for ADPKD patients versus control patients estimated by a conditional logistic regression model adjusted for unilateral nephrectomy before Tx. Abbreviations: ADPKD, polycystic kidney disease; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; SD, standard deviation; Tx, transplantation; UTI, urinary tract infection.

was higher in the ADPKD group. The number of patients with urosepsis and the number of urosepsis episodes per patient were slightly higher in the ADPKD group, but differences were not statistically significant. Table 3b shows a comparison of the risk of UTI and urosepsis between ADPKD patients and subgroups of the control group. Compared to ADPKD patients the risk of UTI and urosepsis was lower in patients with primary glomerulopathy, but higher in patients with obstructive nephropathy/chronic pyelonephritis.

In eight ADPKD patients (two females/six males) altogether 19 liver cyst infections occurred, eight of which with a septic course. One patient experienced eight episodes of liver cyst

	ADPKD ^a (n = 161)	Diabetic nephropathy (n = 16)	Vascular nephropathy (n = 18)	Primary glomerulopathy (n = 59)	Systemic disease ^b (n = 8)	Hereditary kidney disease ^b (n = 7)	Obstructive nephropa- thy/chronic pyelonephritis (n = 20)	Miscellaneous ^b (n = 12)	Undetermined (n = 21)
Patients with UTI, n (%), P UTI episodes per patient, mean \pm SD, P	$63 (39.1) \\ 0.8 \pm 1.4$	$6 \; (37.5), .938 \\ 0.9 \pm 1.7, \\ 0.636$	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \ (22.2), .234 \\ 0.5 \pm 1.1, \\ 0.253 \end{array}$	9 (15.3), .002 0.3 \pm 0.7, 0.001	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \; (12.5) \\ 0.1 \pm 0.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \ (14.3) \\ 0.1 \pm 0.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10 \ (50.0), \ 0.318 \\ 1.1 \pm 1.6, \ 0.076 \end{array}$	$4 (33.3) \\ 0.3 \pm 0.5$	$6~(28.6), 0.382\\0.6 \pm 1.1, 0.041$
Patients with urosepsis, n (%), P Urosepsis episodes per patient, mean ± SD, P	$\begin{array}{c} 34 (21.1) \\ 0.3 \pm 0.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \ (25.0), \ 0.748 \\ 0.5 \pm 1.0, \\ 0.366 \end{array}$	$5 (27.8), 0.358 \\ 0.4 \pm 0.6, \\ 0.687 \\ 0.687 \\$	7 (11.9), 0.166 0.1 \pm 0.3, 0.028	$2 (25.0) \\ 0.3 \pm 0.5$	2 (28.6) 0.3 ± 0.5	4 (20.0), 0.872 0.3 ± 0.7 , 0.596	$1 (8.3) 0.1 \pm 0.3$	$\begin{array}{c} 3 \ (14.3), \ 0.434 \\ 0.2 \pm 0.5, \ 0.097 \end{array}$
Patients with UTI or urosepsis, n (%), P UTI and urosepsis episodes per patient, mean \pm SD, P	71 (44.1) 1.1 ± 1.9	8 (50.0), 0.654 1.5 ± 2.0, 0.349	7 (38.9), 0.830 $0.8 \pm 1.3,$ 0.548	$13 (22.0), 0.006 \\ 0.4 \pm 1.0, 0.001$	$3 (37.5) 0.5 \pm 0.8$	2 (28.6) 0.4 ± 0.8	$10 (50.0), 0.543 \\1.4 \pm 2.1, 0.032$	4 (33.3) 0.4 ± 0.7	$6~(28.6),0.178\\0.8\pm1.3,0.002$
Patients were censored from the time at which	both native kidneys	had been removed. Ir	n 22 ADPKD patients	and in 9 control patien	ts both native ki	dneys had been	. removed before Tx res	sulting in 161 matched	pairs (in three pairs

Table 3b: UTI and urosepsis during the entire observation period: comparison between ADPKD patients and control subgroups

the ADPKD patient and the control patient). Tx in both, betore . had been removed ooth native kidneys ¹Reference group.

^bInfection rates and number of infections were not compared to ADPKD patients because of limited group size. Dubling for ADPKD indicate tweeting control submissions estimated by a conditional Donetic correction model adjusted

model adjusted for unilateral nephrectomy before Tx. urinary tract infection regression UTT. transplantation; logistic conditional Ř standard deviation: estimated by a subgroups SD, disease; contro] kidney versus (polycystic ADPKD patients Abbreviations: ADPKD, P value for

infection necessitating inpatient treatment, six of which with a septic course. The patient finally died with a functioning graft because of a septic liver cyst infection. Another patient also died because of severe liver cyst infection, but was not included in the statistics, because she died a few weeks after her kidney failed.

Patient survival, graft survival and graft function

Figure 1a shows that the risk of death/death with functioning graft in ADPKD patients was comparable to matched controls. Altogether, 24/189 patients of the ADPKD cohort died, 7/24 because of infectious events, 7/24 because of malignancy, and 8/24 because of cardiovascular events. In 2/24 patients, the cause of death remained unknown. In the control cohort, 25/189 patients died, 4/25 because of infectious events, 5/25 because of malignancy, and 8/25 because of cardiovascular events. In 8/25 cases the cause of death was unknown.

The overall risk of graft failure was lower in ADPKD patients as compared to their matched controls (Fig. 1b). This difference was mainly caused by a reduced risk of death-censored graft failure (Fig. 1c). As expected, the frequency of graft loss due to recurrence of the underlying disease was lower in the ADPKD group (0 vs. 5) and cardiorenal syndrome (1 vs. 6) was also less frequent. Graft loss due to rejection (9 vs. 12) and calcineurininhibitor nephrotoxicity (2 vs. 1) were comparable.

Concerning the eGFR course during follow-up estimated by eGFR_{cr} according to the CKD-EPI formula [9] with imputation for graft loss, we observed no significant differences between both groups (Fig. 1d).

Risk factor analysis

Nearly one half of all ADPKD patients (82/193, 42.5%) experienced at least one major change of maintenance immunosuppression during the observation period, for example from a calcineurin-inhibitor containing to a calcineurininhibitor-free regimen. In 27/193 (14.0%) patients, maintenance immunosuppression was changed more than once. Therefore, maintenance immunosuppression was excluded from the analysis of risk factors for patient survival, graft survival, and graft function. Concerning UTIs and urosepsis, however, immunosuppression at diagnosis was included.

Risk factors for UTI and urosepsis during the initial hospitalization for Tx are shown in Table 4. Immunosuppression refers to the initial treatment after Tx. Steroids were not included because at this early stage all patients received steroids. Univariable analysis indicates that tacrolimus and rejection increased the risk of UTI/urosepsis, while cyclosporine decreased it. Multivariable analysis confirmed the effect of tacrolimus and rejection.

Table 5 shows the risk factors for UTI and urosepsis during the entire observation period. Maintenance immunosuppression at the time of diagnosis was included by comparing the number of episodes of UTI/urosepsis on a specific substance with the total exposure time of all ADPKD patients on this substance. According to the univariable analysis recipient age, comorbidity, donor age, donor eGFR_{cr}, and rejection episodes as well as the use of steroids and tacrolimus influenced the risk of UTI/urosepsis. Multivariable analysis shows that only increasing recipient age and steroid medication increased the risk.

Additionally, we compared the risk for UTI/urosepsis between ADPKD patients after removal of the first native kidney (n = 74) and ADPKD patients in whom both native kidneys were



Figure 1: Matched-pair comparison between ADPKD patients and matched control group. (a) Risk of death/death with functioning graft (HR 0.92; 95%CI 0.52; 1.61; P = .791). (b) Overall risk of graft failure (HR 0.67; 95%CI 0.45; 0.99; P = .047). (c) Risk of death-censored graft failure (HR 0.47; 95%CI 0.24; 0.87; P = .014). (d) Graft function shown as eGFR_{cr} (mean; 95%CI) (beta 0.26; 95%CI -2.82; 3.34; P = .868); number of ADPKD patients and control patients, at baseline: 189/189, 6 months: 182/184, 12 months: 174/179, 24 months: 164/163, 36 months: 147/148, 60 months: 113/109 and 120 months: 53/63. Abbreviations: ADPKD, polycystic kidney disease; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; Tx, transplantation.

in situ (n = 110). The incidence rate of combined UTI/urosepsis episodes (related to time under risk) was reduced after removal of the first native kidney, although not significantly (RR 0.60; 95%CI 0.33; 1.08; P = .088). In comparison, the incidence rate of combined UTI/urosepsis episodes was significantly lower after nephrectomy of the second native kidney (n = 37) compared to patients in whom at least one native kidney was in situ (n = 171) (RR 0.45; 95%CI 0.23; 0.88; P = .020).

Risk factors for death/death with functioning graft are shown in Table 6. Univariable analysis indicates that recipient age, waiting time, comorbidity, donor age, and donor eGFR_{cr} had a significant influence on both outcomes. Multivariable analysis shows that only waiting time and comorbidity significantly influenced the risk of death/death with functioning graft.

Table 7 shows risk factors for death-censored graft failure. Univariable analysis indicates that recipient age, comorbidity, donor age, rejection, and UTI/urosepsis had a significant influence on the risk of death-censored graft failure. Multivariable analysis shows that only donor age and rejection significantly influenced the risk. UTI/urosepsis is not included in the multivariable model, because its association with death-censored graft failure is explained by rejection as shown in the combined model of both variables [hazard ratio (HR) 9.10; P < .001 for rejection and HR 2.78; P = .185 for UTI/urosepsis].

In Table 8, the risk factors for overall graft failure are summarized. Univariable analysis indicates that recipient age, waiting time, comorbidity, donor age, donor $eGFR_{cr}$, donor type, rejection, and UTI/urosepsis all had a significant influence on the overall risk of graft failure. Supporting the results of our previous analyses on death with functioning graft (Table 6) and death-censored graft survival (Table 7), multivariable analysis confirmed that waiting time, comorbidity, donor age, and rejection all significantly contribute to the overall risk of graft failure. The effect of UTI/urosepsis on overall graft failure is explained by rejection as the joint analysis of rejection and HR 2.34; P = .116 for UTI/urosepsis).

Factors influencing the $eGFR_{cr}$ course over time are shown in Table 9. Univariable analysis indicates that recipient age, comorbidity, donor age, donor $eGFR_{cr}$, donor type, preemptive Tx, and rejection had a significant influence on the $eGFR_{cr}$ course. Multivariable analysis shows that increasing donor age and rejection had a negative impact on the $eGFR_{cr}$ course while living donor status had a positive impact.

Table 4: Ri	sk factors	for UTI and	l urosepsis	during the	initial Tx hos	spitalization in	ADPKD patients.
				· · · · · ·			

				Univariable			Multivariable ^b	
UTI or urosepsis during initial Tx hospitalization	Yes $n = 14$	No n = 157	RR ^a	95%CI	P value	RRª	95%CI	P value
Recipient age at Tx, mean \pm SD (per 5 years)	58.2 ± 8.8	54.9 ± 10.7	1.18	0.92; 1.51	.198	1.10	0.71; 1.69	.679
Waiting time, mean \pm SD (per 12 months)	47.7 ± 37.3	43.4 ± 38.2	1.09	0.96; 1.23	.180			
Female recipient, n (%) (yes vs no)	6 (42.9)	69 (44.0)	0.87	0.32; 2.35	.781			
Recipient diabetes mellitus, n (%) (yes vs no)	3 (21.4)	20 (12.9)	1.77	0.54; 5.84	.346			
Recipient BMI, mean \pm SD (per 1 kg/m ²)	$\textbf{27.4} \pm \textbf{3.3}$	25.9 ± 4.0	1.04	0.92; 1.17	.501			
Recipient CCI score, mean \pm SD (per 1 point)	3.9 ± 1.0	3.5 ± 1.3	1.35	0.94; 1.93	.104	1.13	0.59; 2.19	.707
Unilateral nephrectomy before Tx, n (%) (yes vs no)	4 (28.6)	57 (36.3)	0.70	0.21; 2.34	.564			
Donor age, mean \pm SD (per 5 years)	59.0 ± 11.2	54.8 ± 13.7	1.00	0.84; 1.20	.966			
Donor eGFR _{cr} , mean \pm SD (per 5 ml/min/1.73 m ²)	80.0 ± 27.8	83.9 ± 24.0	1.01	0.92; 1.12	.781			
Living donor, n (%) (yes vs no)	3 (21.4)	60 (38.2)	с					
Preemptive Tx, n (%) (yes vs no)	2 (14.3)	21 (13.4)	0.92	0.20; 4.27	.912			
AB0 compatible Tx, n (%) (yes vs no)	12 (85.7)	143 (91.1)	0.70	0.14; 3.34	.650			
Basiliximab, n (%) (yes vs no)	13 (92.9)	148 (94.3)	0.92	0.11: 7.72	.940			
Cyclosporine A, n (%) (yes vs no)	3 (21.4)	69 (44.0)	0.23	0.06; 0.81	.023			
Tacrolimus, n (%) (yes vs no)	11 (78.6)	84 (53.5)	4.83	1.35; 17.28	.015	6.44	1.66; 25.10	.007
Mycophenolate, n (%) (yes vs no)	12 (85.7)	147 (93.6)	0.34	0.08; 1.34	.121			
Rejection episode, n (%) (yes vs no)	8 (57.1)	32 (20.4)	3.20	1.18; 8.71	.023	5.24	1.68; 16.30	.004

Immunosuppression refers to the initial treatment after transplantation. Patients were censored from the time at which both native kidneys had been removed. In 22 patients both native kidneys had been removed before transplantation. Steroids were not included because at this early stage after Tx all patients received steroids. Immunosuppression applied in <10 cases was excluded from the analysis to assure reliable risk estimates: belatacept, bortezomib, everolimus, fingolimod, plasmapheresis/intravenous immunoglobulins, rituximab, sotrastaurin, thymoglobulin.

^aRelative risk estimated by a generalized linear model by Poisson regression with robust error variance.

^bMultivariable model was identified by LASSO regression.

^cParameter was not analyzed because of small population of cells in cross table.

Abbreviations: ADPKD, polycystic kidney disease; BMI, body mass index; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; CI, confidence interval; eGFRcr, creatinine-based estimated glomerular filtration rate; SD, standard deviation; Tx, transplantation.

DISCUSSION

In this single-center case-control study we compared the occurrence, frequency, and severity of UTIs and liver cyst infections in hospitalized ADPKD patients transplanted between 2000 and 2017 with a matched control group. In addition, we analyzed patient survival, graft survival, and graft function as well as risk factors for the corresponding endpoints.

More ADPKD patients experienced more episodes of UTI necessitating hospitalization during the entire observation period compared to controls. Increasing recipient age and the use of steroids increased the risk of UTI/urosepsis. During the initial hospitalization at transplantation no such difference was evident probably reflecting the routine use of antibiotic prophylaxis [16]. Notably, DJ stents were routinely removed at 3-6 weeks after Tx and nephrolithiasis did not play an important role, as it was detected in only two patients of each group. We deliberately decided to assess episodes of UTI and urosepsis requiring hospitalization because in our experience despite intense follow-up a considerable part of uncomplicated UTI episodes is treated by local nephrologists or general practitioners and may therefore escape our notice. In accordance with our results, Stiasny et al. [17] and Jänigen et al. [18] also observed an increased incidence of UTI in ADPKD patients, while Hadimeri et al. [19] and Jacquet et al. [20] did not. Detailed analyses on the necessity of inpatient

treatment, the severity and frequency of infections as well as the underlying risk factors are not reported.

Sulikowski et al. described that nephrectomy before Tx may decrease the number of posttransplant UTIS [21]. Therefore, we included unilateral nephrectomy as independent variable in the risk factor analysis and censored patients from the time at which both native kidneys had been removed, i.e. the suggested "risk factor" was no longer present. We found that unilateral and bilateral nephrectomy of the native kidneys reduce the risk of UTI/urosepsis. To our knowledge, this is the first report confirming the generalized assumption that nephrectomy of the native kidneys may in fact reduce the risk of infection.

There is a considerable amount of literature concerning the timing and technique of native nephrectomy in ADPKD patients [22–26]. In our view, the timing and technique of native nephrectomy in these patients remains an individual decision in which the specific indication for nephrectomy and the availability of a potential donor play a central role. At our center, we do not perform simultaneous native nephrectomy and kidney transplantation.

		Univariable			Multivariable ^b	
UTI and/or urosepsis during the entire observation period	RR ^a	95%CI	P value	RR ^a	95%CI	P value
Recipient age at Tx (per 5 years)	1.06	1.03; 1.10	.001	1.05	1.02; 1.08	.003
Waiting time (per 12 months)	1.00	0.99; 1.01	.396			
Female recipient (yes vs no)	1.42	0.68; 2.97	.356			
Recipient diabetes mellitus (yes vs no)	0.58	0.20; 1.70	.322			
Recipient BMI (per 1 kg/m ²)	1.00	0.91; 1.10	.923			
Recipient CCI score (per 1 point)	1.52	1.15; 2.02	.003			
Unilateral nephrectomy before Tx (yes vs no)	0.57	0.26; 1.24	.157	0.64	0.32; 1.29	.209
Donor age (per 5 years)	1.03	1.01; 1.06	.011			
Donor eGFR _{cr} (per 5 ml/min/1.73 m ²)	0.98	0.97; 1.00	.040			
Living donor (yes vs no)	0.48	0.22; 1.06	.071			
Preemptive Tx (yes vs no)	0.80	0.26; 2.45	.703			
AB0compatible Tx (yes vs no)	1.47	0.34; 6.41	.605			
Steroids (yes vs no)	3.06	2.12; 4.43	<.001	3.04	2.11; 4.38	<.001
Cyclosporine A (yes vs no)	1.87	0.70; 4.94	.221			
Tacrolimus (yes vs no)	0.57	0.32; 0.98	.039			
Everolimus (yes vs no)	0.79	0.39; 1.60	.513			
Belatacept (yes vs no)	0.47	0.17; 1.27	.135	0.61	0.24; 1.56	.306
Mycophenolate (yes vs no)	1.67	0.76; 3.67	.204			
Rejection episode (yes vs no)	2.58	1.11; 5.95	.027	2.01	0.98; 4.12	.056

Table 5: Risk factors for episodes of UTI and urosepsis during the entire observation period in ADPKD patients.

Patients were censored from the time at which both native kidneys had been removed. In 22 patients both native kidneys had been removed before Tx. In 15 patients the second kidney was removed after Tx. Maintenance immunosuppression changed during the observation period. Immunosuppression at diagnosis is shown. Immunosuppression with <10 years of total exposure time (entire observation period of all patients) was excluded to assure reliable estimates: basiliximab, fingolimod, sotrastaurin.

^aRelative risk estimated by a multilevel mixed-effects Poisson regression model.

^bMultivariable model was identified by LASSO regression.

Abbreviations: ADPKD, polycystic kidney disease; BMI, body mass index; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; CI, confidence interval; eGFRcr, creatinine-based estimated glomerular filtration rate; Tx, transplantation.

				Univariable			Multivariable ^t	
Death/death with functioning graft	Yes n = 25	No n = 168	HR ^a	95%CI	P value	HR ^a	95%CI	P value
Recipient age at Tx, mean \pm SD (per 5 years)	$\textbf{60.6} \pm \textbf{12.1}$	54.3 ± 9.7	1.50	1.20; 1.88	<.001			
Waiting time, mean \pm SD (per 12 months)	69.8 ± 44.9	44.7 ± 40.9	1.14	1.05; 1.24	.003	1.12	1.02; 1.23	.017
Female recipient, n (%) (yes vs no)	11 (44.0)	70 (41.7)	1.05	0.47; 2.32	.907			
Recipient diabetes mellitus, n (%) (ves vs no)	6 (25.0)	22 (13.2)	1.40	0.55; 3.56	.481			
Recipient BMI, mean \pm SD (per 1 kg/m ²)	25.1 ± 3.9	26.1 ± 3.9	0.96	0.86; 1.08	.504			
Recipient CCI score, mean ± SD (per 1 point)	4.5 ± 1.5	3.5 ± 1.2	1.81	1.36; 2.41	<.001	1.74	1.31; 2.31	<.001
Unilateral nephrectomy before Tx, n (%) (ves vs no)	9 (36.0)	52 (31.0)	1.07	0.47; 2.44	.865			
Bilateral nephrectomy before Tx, n (%) (yes vs no)	4 (16.0)	18 (10.7)	1.36	0.47; 3.99	.570			
Donor age, mean \pm SD (per 5 years)	58.2 ± 13.9	53.5 ± 13.9	1.16	1.01; 1.35	.042			
Donor eGFR _{cr} , mean \pm SD (per 5 ml/min/1.73 m ²)	$\textbf{73.4} \pm \textbf{29.0}$	84.9 ± 23.6	0.93	0.87; 0.99	.040			
Living donor, n (%) (yes vs no)	4 (16.0)	64 (38.1)	0.35	0.12; 1.02	.054			
Preemptive Tx, n (%) (yes vs no)	1 (4.0)	22 (13.1)	с					
AB0 compatible Tx, n (%) (yes vs no)	25 (100.0)	152 (90.5)	с					
Rejection episode, n (%) (yes vs no)	5 (20.0)	38 (22.6)	0.98	0.36; 2.64	.970			
Patients with UTI or urosepsis, n (%) (yes vs no)	15 (60.0)	71 (42.3)	2.01	0.90; 4.52	.090			

Table 6: Risk factors for death/death with functioning graft in ADPKD patients.

^aHazard ratio estimated by Cox-proportional hazard model.

^bMultivariable model was identified by LASSO regression.

^cParameter was not analyzed because of small population of cells in cross table.

Abbreviations: ADPKD, polycystic kidney disease; BMI, body mass index; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; CI, confidence interval; eGFRcr, creatinine-based estimated glomerular filtration rate; HR, hazard ratio; SD, standard deviation; Tx, transplantation; UTI, urinary tract infection.

Table 7: Risk factors for death-censo	ored graft failure in ADPKD p	atients
---------------------------------------	-------------------------------	---------

	Yes	No		Univariable			Multivariable ^b	
Death-censored graft failure	n = 17	n = 176	HR ^a	95%CI	P value	HR ^a	95%CI	P value
Recipient age at Tx, mean \pm SD (per 5 years)	61.6 ± 9.5	54.5 ± 10.1	1.66	1.22; 2.26	.001			
Waiting time, mean \pm SD (per 12 months)	46.5 ± 32.7	48.1 ± 43.0	1.00	0.86; 1.17	.975			
Female recipient, n (%) (yes vs no) Recipient diabetes mellitus, n (%) (yes vs no)	9 (52.9) 1 (5.9)	72 (40.9) 27 (15.5)	1.39 c	0.50; 3.85	.522			
Recipient BMI, mean \pm SD (per 1 kg/m ²)	26.5 ± 3.5	25.9 ± 3.9	1.08	0.95; 1.23	.242			
CCI score, mean \pm SD (per 1 point)	4.2 ± 1.3	3.5 ± 1.3	1.67	1.14; 2.43	.008			
Unilateral nephrectomy before Tx, n (%) (yes vs no)	4 (23.5)	57 (32.4)	с					
Bilateral nephrectomy before Tx, n (%) (yes vs no)	1 (5.9)	21 (11.9)	с					
Donor age, mean \pm SD (per 5 years)	65.2 ± 10.3	53.0 ± 13.8	1.44	1.17; 1.76	<.001	1.34	1.12; 1.61	.002
Donor eGFR _{cr} , mean \pm SD (per 5 ml/min/1.73 m ²)	73.1 ± 23.4	84.4 ± 24.5	0.93	0.85; 1.01	.073			
Living donor, n (%) (yes vs no)	3 (17.7)	65 (36.9)	с					
Preemptive Tx, n (%) (yes vs no)	0 (0.0)	23 (13.1)	с					
AB0 compatible Tx, n (%) (yes vs no)	15 (88.2)	162 (92.1)	0.22	0.05; 1.06	.059			
Rejection episode, n (%) (yes vs no)	12 (70.6)	31 (17.6)	10.34	3.25; 32.90	<.001	8.47	2.63; 27.31	<.001
Patients with UTI or urosepsis, n (%) (yes vs no)	11 (64.7)	75 (42.6)	3.60	1.14; 11.40	.029			

^aHazard ratio estimated by Cox-proportional hazard model.

^bMultivariable model was identified by LASSO regression.

^cParameter was not analyzed because of small population of cells in cross table.

Abbreviations: ADPKD, polycystic kidney disease; BMI, body mass index; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; CI, confidence interval; eGFRcr, creatinine-based estimated glomerular filtration rate; HR, hazard ratio; SD, standard deviation; Tx, transplantation; UTI, urinary tract infection.

Notably, 8/193 patients experienced liver cyst infection, and two of these patients even died of severe liver cyst infection, one patient with functioning graft and another patient soon after graft failure. Altogether, seven patients in the ADPKD cohort died with functioning graft because of infectious events compared to four patients of the control group. These data underline that ADPKD is a systemic disease and that infections of both kidney and liver cysts constitute serious complications after Tx.

Schellekens *et al.* recently described lower peripheral WBC counts in ADPKD patients as compared to non-ADPKD patients before and after transplantation [27]. Our results agree with these data. Whether or not lower WBC counts represent an additional risk factor for infection, which is independent of the underlying kidney disease and pathogenetically relevant is an interesting question that should be addressed in future prospective studies.

Patient survival in ADPKD patients was similar compared to non-ADPKD patients. In this regard, our results are consistent with most of the existing literature [17–20, 28–30]. Graft survival in ADPKD patients was superior compared to controls. Some previous studies also found improved graft survival in ADPKD patients [20, 27, 28] while others described comparable graft survival [17–19, 29, 30]. We therefore analyzed graft survival in depth and found that especially death-censored graft survival was superior in ADPKD patients. The missing risk of recurrence of the underlying kidney disease and a reduced risk of cardiorenal syndrome may partially explain this phenomenon. Major risk factors for death with functioning graft were waiting time and comorbidity; major risk factors for death-censored graft failure were donor age and rejection. UTI/urosepsis seemed to be a significant risk factor for death-censored graft failure in the univariable analysis, but not in the multivariable analysis. This can be explained by the fact that rejection episodes were usually treated with steroids, and steroid treatment increased the risk of UTI/urosepsis.

Most of the existing studies date back more than one [20, 28] or even two [17, 19, 29, 30] decades. Since that time the (peri)transplant procedure including immunosuppression has markedly changed. We deliberately included patients transplanted from 2000 onwards to assure that most patients received current standard immunosuppression including anti-IL-2R-induction together with steroids, calcineurin inhibitors, and mycophenolate. In a more recent study, Bhutani *et al.* compared PKD patients with non-PKD patients transplanted between 1994 and 2014 [31]. They also found that the risk of death-censored graft failure was lower in PKD patients.

Some of the previously mentioned studies used a matchedpair design [17, 19, 29] using three matching variables while others compared ADPKD patients with non-ADPKD patients without matching [20, 28, 30, 31]. Several studies excluded diabetics [17, 19, 28–30]. We decided not to exclude diabetics to generate a complete real-world picture.

Our study has several limitations, chief among them the fact that it represents a retrospective single-center study. Although we adjusted for a number of variables, residual confounding due to parameters, which may not have been completely accounted for in our statistical analysis cannot be excluded. We tried to outweigh these limitations by detailed and thorough data recording, by applying a matched-pair design, and by using complex statistical analyses.

Table 8: Risk factors for overall graft failure in ADPKD patients.

				Univariable			Multivariable ^k)
Overall graft failure	Yes n = 42	No n = 151	HR ^a	95%CI	P value	HR ^a	95%CI	P value
Recipient age at Tx, mean \pm SD (per 5 years)	61.0 ± 11.0	53.5 ± 9.4	1.56	1.30; 1.87	<.001			
Waiting time, mean \pm SD (per 12 months)	60.4 ± 41.6	44.5 ± 41.8	1.10	1.02; 1.18	.017	1.14	1.02; 1.27	.025
Female recipient, n (%) (yes vs no)	20 (47.6)	61 (40.4)	1.17	0.63; 2.18	.625			
Recipient diabetes mellitus, n (%) (yes vs no)	7 (17.1)	21 (14.0)	0.90	0.40; 2.07	.812			
Recipient BMI, mean \pm SD (per 1 kg/m ²)	25.6 ± 3.8	26.0 ± 4.0	1.01	0.93; 1.10	.857			
Recipient CCI score, mean \pm SD (per 1 point)	4.4 ± 1.4	3.4 ± 1.2	1.76	1.40; 2.21	<.001	1.41	1.08; 1.85	.013
Unilateral nephrectomy before Tx, n (%) (yes vs no)	13 (31.0)	48 (31.8)	0.94	0.48; 1.83	.858			
Bilateral nephrectomy before Tx, n (%) (yes vs no)	5 (11.9)	17 (11.3)	1.00	0.39; 2.56	.999			
Donor age, mean \pm SD (per 5 years)	61.1 ± 12.9	52.2 ± 13.6	1.26	1.12; 1.42	<.001	1.18	1.03; 1.35	.014
Donor eGFR _{cr} , mean \pm SD (per 5 ml/min/1.73 m ²)	73.3 ± 26.6	86.3 ± 23.3	0.93	0.88; 0.98	.006	0.99	0.92; 1.05	.658
Living donor, n (%) (yes vs no)	7 (16.7)	61 (40.4)	0.34	0.14; 0.80	.014	0.98	0.33; 2.94	.976
Preemptive Tx, n (%) (yes vs no)	1 (2.4)	22 (14.6)	с	,			,	
AB0 compatible Tx, n (%) (yes vs no)	40 (95.2)	137 (90.7)	0.70	0.16; 2.96	0626			
Rejection episode, n (%) (yes vs no)	17 (40.5)	26 (17.2)	2.59	1.36; 4.92	.004	2.20	1.10; 4.40	.026
Patients with UTI or urosepsis, n (%) (yes vs no)	26 (61.9)	60 (39.7)	2.47	1.28; 4.75	.007		·	

^aHazard ratio estimated by Cox-proportional hazard model.

^bMultivariable model was identified by LASSO regression.

^cParameter was not analyzed because of small population of cells in cross table.

Abbreviations: ADPKD, polycystic kidney disease; BMI, body mass index; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; CI, confidence interval; eGFRcr, creatinine-based estimated glomerular filtration rate; HR, hazard ratio; SD, standard deviation; Tx, transplantation; UTI, urinary tract infection.

Table 9: Factors influencing the eGFR_{cr} course in ADPKD patients.

	Univariable			Multivariable ^b		
eGFR _{cr} course	betaª	95%CI	P value	beta ^a	95%CI	P value
Recipient age at Tx (per 5 years)	-3.58	-4.77; -2.39	<.001	0.13	-1.59; 1.85	.879
Waiting time (per 1 year)	-0.39	-1.14; 0.37	.313			
Female recipient (yes vs no)	-1.93	-7.29; 3.42	.479			
Recipient diabetes mellitus (yes vs no)	0.80	-6.72; 8.32	.835			
Recipient BMI (per 1 point)	-0.26	-0.94; 0.41	.446			
Recipient CCI score (per 1 point)	-4.92	-6.86; -2.98	<.001	-1.47	-3.94; 1.00	0.245
Unilateral nephrectomy before Tx (yes vs no)	3.99	-1.66; 9.64	.166			
Bilateral nephrectomy before Tx (yes vs no)	4.40	-3.86; 12.66	.296			
Donor age (per 5 years)	-3.36	-4.18; -2.54	<.001	-2.69	-3.54; -1.84	<.001
Donor eGFR _{cr} (per 5 ml/min/1.73 m ²)	1.25	0.74; 1.76	<.001	0.44	-0.02; 0.90	.063
Living donor (yes vs no)	11.81	6.52; 17.10	<.001	7.38	1.98; 12.78	.007
Preemptive Tx (yes vs no)	9.51	1.38; 17.65	.022	0.35	-6.96; 7.65	.926
AB0 compatible Tx (yes vs no)	-0.50	-10.21; 9.20	.919			
Rejection episode (yes vs no)	-13.42	-19.46; -7.37	<.001	-13.07	-17.98; -8.16	<.001
Patients with UTI or urosepsis (yes vs no)	-0.72	-2.57; 1.13	.444			

^aeGFR_{cr} in follow-up was analyzed by a multilevel mixed-effects linear regression model.

^bMultivariable model was identified by LASSO regression.

Abbreviations: ADPKD, polycystic kidney disease; BMI, body mass index; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; CI, confidence interval; eGFRcr, creatinine-based estimated glomerular filtration rate; Tx, transplantation; UTI, urinary tract infection.

In conclusion, our results indicate that ADPKD patients are at increased risk for UTI and liver cyst infection including sepsis requiring inpatient treatment after kidney transplantation compared to non-ADPKD patients. Nevertheless, patient survival seems to be similar and graft survival, especially death-censored graft survival even superior. Recipient age and steroid treatment were associated with an increased risk for UTI/urosepsis, while nephrectomy of native kidneys seems to reduce it. Individually tailored, steroid-free immunosuppression and nephrectomy of one or both native kidneys may help to reduce the risk of recurrent and severe infections in these patients.

FUNDING

The authors received no funding for this study.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

J.W., J.K., L.d'A., and F.B. were responsible for conception and design of the work. J.W., P.G., D.S., M.N., N.L., L.d'A., and F.B. were responsible for data acquisition. J.K. and P.G. were responsible for data analysis. J.W., J.K., P.G., L.L., K.B., J.H., N.L., L.d'A., and F.B. were responsible for interpretation of data. J.W., J.K., P.G., L.L., K.B., J.H., F.H., B.Z., R.P., F.F., N.L., K.-U.E., L.d'A., and F.B. were involved in drafting and critically revising the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript. J.W. and J.K. are co-first authors. L.d'A. and F.B. are co-senior authors.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

None declared.

REFERENCES

- Bergmann C, Guay-Woodford LM, Harris PC et al. Polycystic kidney disease. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2018;4:50. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41572-018-0047-y
- Cornec-Le Gall E, Alam A, Perrone RD. Autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. Lancet 2019;393:919–35. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32782-X
- Chapman AB, Devuyst O, Eckardt K-U et al. for conference participants. Autosomal-dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD): executive summary from a Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) controversies conference. Kidney Int 2015;88:17–27. https://doi.org/10.1038/ ki.2015.59
- 4. Spithoven EM, Kramer A, Meijer E et al. on behalf of the ERA EDTA Registry. ERA-EDTA is the acronym for the European Renal Association—European Dialysis and Transplantation Association. ERA-EDTA Registry collaborators Abad JM, de los Ańgeles García BM, Caskey F. Renal replacement therapy for ADPKD in Europe: prevalence and survival. An analysis of data from the ERA-EDTA Registry. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2014;29 Suppl 4:iv15–v25. https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfu017
- Kanaan N, Devuyst O, Pirson Y. Renal transplantation in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. Nat Rev Nephrol 2014;10:455–65. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneph.2014.104
- Deutsche Stiftung Organtransplantation. Jahresbericht Organspende und Transplantation in Deutschland 2021. April, 2022;87.
- Schmidt D, Osmanodja B, Pfefferkorn M et al. TBase—an integrated electronic health record and research database for kidney transplant recipients. J Vis Exp 2021; e61971. https: //doi.org/10.3791/61971

- Pei Y, Obaji J, Dupuis A et al. Unified criteria for ultrasonographic diagnosis of ADPKD. J Am Soc Nephrol 2009;20:205–12. https://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2008050507
- Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH et al.; CKD-EPI (Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration). A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. Ann Intern Med 2009;150:604–12. https://doi.org/10.7326/ 0003-4819-150-9-200905050-00006
- Dreger NM, Degener S, Ahmad-Nejad P et al. Urosepsis etiology, diagnosis, and treatment. Dtsch Ärzteblatt Int 2015;112:837–47. https://doi.org/10.3238/arztebl.2015.0837
- Jouret F, Lhommel R, Beguin C et al. Positron-emission computed tomography in cyst infection diagnosis in patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2011;6:1644–50. https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN. 06900810
- Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL et al. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. J Chronic Dis 1987;40:373–83. https: //doi.org/10.1016/0021-9681(87)90171-8
- Hemmelgarn BR, Manns BJ, Quan H et al. Adapting the Charlson Comorbidity Index for use in patients with ESRD. Am J Kidney Dis 2003;42:125–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/ s0272-6386(03)00415-3
- Grosso G, Corona D, Mistretta A et al. Predictive value of the Charlson Comorbidity Index in kidney transplantation. Transplant Proc 2012;44:1859–63. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.transproceed.2012.06.042
- Jassal SV, Schaubel DE, Fenton SS. Baseline comorbidity in kidney transplant recipients: a comparison of comorbidity indices. Am J Kidney Dis 2005;46:136–42. https://doi.org/10. 1053/j.ajkd.2005.03.006
- Bachmann F, Adam T, Friedersdorff F et al. Perioperative antibiotic prophylaxis in renal transplantation: a singlecenter comparison between two regimens and a brief survey among the Eurotransplant renal transplantation centers. World J Urol 2019;37:957–67. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00345-018-2440-2
- Stiasny B, Ziebell D, Graf S et al. Clinical aspects of renal transplantation in polycystic kidney disease. Clin Nephrol 2002;58:16–24. https://doi.org/10.5414/cnp58016
- Jänigen BM, Hempel J, Holzner P et al. Simultaneous ipsilateral nephrectomy during kidney transplantation in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease: a matched pair analysis of 193 consecutive cases. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2020;405:833–42. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00423-020-01939-3
- Hadimeri H, Nordén G, Friman S et al. Autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease in a kidney transplant population. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1997;12:1431–6. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/ndt/12.7.1431
- Jacquet A, Pallet N, Kessler M et al. Outcomes of renal transplantation in patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease: a nationwide longitudinal study. Transpl Int 2011;24:582–7. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-2277.2011.01237.x
- Sulikowski T, Tejchman K, Zietek Z et al. Experience with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease in patients before and after renal transplantation: a 7-year observation. Transplant Proc 2009;41:177–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.transproceed.2008.10.034
- 22. Jean RA, Alexandre M, Yoo P. Kidney transplantation with and without native nephrectomy for polycystic kidney disease: results of the National Inpatient Sample and the

rationale for a 2-staged procedure. J Am Coll Surg 2018;**226**:1079–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamcollsurg. 2017.11.021

- Patel P, Horsfield C, Compton F et al. Native nephrectomy in transplant patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 2011;93:391–5. https:// doi.org/10.1308/003588411×582690
- 24. Rasmussen A, Levine MA, Mandurah MM et al. Staged vs. simultaneous bilateral nephrectomy and kidney transplantation in patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease: outcomes and costs. Can Urol Assoc J 2022;16:424–9. https://doi.org/10.5489/cuaj.7816
- 25. Casteleijn NF, Geertsema P, Koorevaar IW et al. The need for routine native nephrectomy in the workup for kidney transplantation in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease patients. Urol Int 2023;107:148–56. https://doi.org/ 10.1159/000525575
- 26. Thomas MN, Datta RR, Wahba R et al. Introduction of laparoscopic nephrectomy for autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease as the standard procedure. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2023;408:8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00423-022-02737-9

- 27. Schellekens P, van Loon E, Coemans M et al. Leukopenia in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease: a single-center cohort of kidney transplant candidates with post-transplantation follow-up. Clin Kidney J 2023;16:2578– 86. https://doi.org/10.1093/ckj/sfad165
- Johnston O, O'Kelly P, Donohue J et al. Favorable graft survival in renal transplant recipients with polycystic kidney disease. Ren Fail 2005;27:309–14. https://doi.org/10.1081/ JDI-56606
- 29. Fitzpatrick PM, Torres VE, Charboneau JW et al. Long-term outcome of renal transplantation in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. Am J Kidney Dis 1990;15:535–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0272-6386(12)80523-3
- 30. Florijn KW, Chang PC, van der Woude FJ et al. Long-term cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease patients after renal transplantation. Transplantation 1994;57:73–81. https://doi.org/10. 1097/00007890-199401000-00014
- Bhutani G, Astor BC, Mandelbrot DA et al. Long-term outcomes and prognostic factors in kidney transplant recipients with polycystic kidney disease. Kidney360 2020;2:312– 24. https://doi.org/10.34067/KID.0001182019

Received: 3.6.2024; Editorial decision: 27.8.2024

[©] The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the ERA. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com