

Antimicrobial Resistance in Stenotrophomonas spp.

YANG WANG,¹ TAO HE,² ZHANGQI SHEN,¹ and CONGMING WU¹

1 Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Food Nutrition and Human Health, College of Veterinary Medicine, China Agricultural University, Beijing, 100193, China; ² Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Food Quality and Safety—State Key Laboratory Cultivation Base of MOST, Institute of Food Safety, Jiangsu Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Nanjing 210014, China

ABSTRACT Bacteria of the genus Stenotrophomonas are found throughout the environment, in close association with soil, sewage, and plants. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, the first member of this genus, is the predominant species, observed in soil, water, plants, animals, and humans. It is also an opportunistic pathogen associated with the increased number of infections in both humans and animals in recent years. In this article, we summarize all Stenotrophomonas species (mainly S. maltophilia) isolated from animals and food products of animal origin and further distinguish all isolates based on antimicrobial susceptibility and resistance phenotypes. The various mechanisms of both intrinsic and acquired antimicrobial resistance, which were mainly identified in S. maltophilia isolates of nosocomial infections, have been classified as follows: multidrug efflux pumps; resistance to β-lactams, aminoglycosides, quinolones, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, and phenicols; and alteration of lipopolysaccharide and two-component regulatory systems. The dissemination, coselection, and persistence of resistance determinants among S. maltophilia isolates have also been elaborated.

INTRODUCTION

The genus Stenotrophomonas comprises 16 characterized species [\(Table 1](#page-1-0)), and 13 validated species are included in the List of Prokaryotic names with Standing in Nomenclature [\(1](#page-10-0)). The first Stenotrophomonas species —Stenotrophomonas maltophilia—was isolated in 1943 from human pleural fluid. It was classified as Bacterium bookeri and subsequently renamed Pseudomonas maltophilia/Xanthomonas maltophilia $(1, 2)$ $(1, 2)$ $(1, 2)$ $(1, 2)$ $(1, 2)$. Another 12 Stenotrophomonas species were first identified residing in soil, sewage, or plants. Of the remaining three species, Stenotrophomonas sp. D-1 and Stenotrophomonas koreensis were first isolated from deer fur and animal compost, respectively, and Stenotrophomonas africana was initially isolated from a sample of cerebrospinal fluid from a human immunodeficiency virus seropositive Rwandan refugee with primary meningoencephalitis (3) (3) . S. *maltophilia* is the most widely distributed bacterium of the Stenotrophomonas spp. in the environment and is isolated from soil, water, plants, animals, and humans. Moreover, the number of nosocomial infections caused by this opportunistic pathogen is increasing (4) . Therefore, various studies of Stenotrophomonas in both animals and humans focus on the emergence, infections, treatment, and antimicrobial resistance of *S. maltophilia* as an opportunistic pathogen [\(4](#page-10-0), [5\)](#page-10-0). The main purpose of this article is to describe the antimicrobial resistance of S. maltophilia isolated from animals.

The earliest study of *S. maltophilia* reported its isolation from sources associated with rabbits, raw milk, and frozen fish in 1961 (6). It is the predominant bacterial species in swine and chicken feces (7) (7) , as well as in composted swine manure [\(8\)](#page-10-0). S. maltophilia isolates have been found to coexist with influenza virus in the

Received: 24 February 2017, Accepted: 6 November 2017, Published: 18 January 2018

Editors: Frank Møller Aarestrup, Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark; Stefan Schwarz, Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany; Jianzhong Shen, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China, and Lina Cavaco, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark

Citation: Wang Y, He T, Shen Z, Wu C. 2017. Antimicrobial resistance in Stenotrophomonas spp. Microbiol Spectrum 6(1):ARBA-0005- 2017. [doi:10.1128/microbiolspec.ARBA-0005-2017](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.ARBA-0005-2017).

Correspondence: Yang Wang, wangyang@cau.edu.cn © 2017 American Society for Microbiology. All rights reserved.

TABLE 1 Characterization of Stenotrophomonas species

oral, nasal, and tracheal tissues of pigs and horses $(9, 9)$ $(9, 9)$ [10\)](#page-10-0). S. maltophilia is a predominant bacterial species in raw milk, milk processing plants, and milk products such as cheese [\(11](#page-10-0)–[13\)](#page-10-0) and is likely a constituent of the normal microflora of the mouth and cloacae of squirrels and captive healthy snakes $(14, 15)$ $(14, 15)$ $(14, 15)$ $(14, 15)$. In aquaculture, Stenotrophomonas spp. are predominant members of bacterial communities found in the internal organs of cultured snow crabs (*Chionoecetes*) (16) and are commonly isolated from cultured yellowtail (17) (17) (17) , shrimp [\(18\)](#page-10-0), and samples taken from salmon farms ([19](#page-10-0), [20\)](#page-10-0).

Although *Stenotrophomonas* spp. are less frequently considered as primary pathogens, S. maltophilia is the major cause of the bacteriospermia in porcine or bovine semen in the United States and United Kingdom [\(21](#page-10-0)–[23\)](#page-10-0), as well as the infection of Xenopus laevis oocytes [\(24\)](#page-10-0). It was also found to be associated with an outbreak of lymphadenitis in Omani goats ([25](#page-10-0)) and causes fleece rot in sheep [\(26\)](#page-10-0). Closely related S. *maltophilia* strains were isolated from an outbreak of bovine mastitis (27) (27) (27) , which may be explained by the higher adhesion of these isolates to bovine mammary gland epithelial cells [\(28\)](#page-10-0). S. *maltophilia* was identified as a cause of pyogranulomatous hepatitis in a female buffalo (Bubalus bubalis) in a herd in Serres, Greece (29) , as well as the cause of necrosis and friability of the nictitating membrane of the giant panda (Ailuropoda melanoleuca) (30) (30) (30) . It is also associated with chronic respiratory disease among horses, dogs, and cats $(31, 32)$ $(31, 32)$ $(31, 32)$ $(31, 32)$ $(31, 32)$, as well as septicemia in pigs and crocodiles [\(33,](#page-11-0) [34\)](#page-11-0). Moreover, the DNA of S. maltophilia is identified most frequently in the knee joints of dogs with inflammatory arthritis (35) .

ANTIMICROBIAL SUSCEPTIBILITY

The susceptibility testing methods for S. *maltophilia* include disk diffusion, agar/broth dilution, commercially available microdilution strips, and microtiter panels [\(Table 2](#page-3-0)). Although the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) has not defined breakpoints for S. maltophilia isolated from animals, the breakpoints for human isolates of S. maltophilia for sulfamethoxazole/ trimethoprim (SXT), minocycline, levofloxacin, ticarcillinclavulanic acid, ceftazidime, and chloramphenicol have been commonly adopted (36) (36) (36) . The breakpoints for Enterobacteriaceae and Pseudomonas spp. are also frequently employed to interpret the susceptibility data for S. maltophilia ([29](#page-10-0), [32](#page-11-0)). Other breakpoints, such as those specified by the National Reference Laboratory for Antibiotics (National Institute of Public Health, Prague, Czech Republic) and the Antibiogram Committee of the French Microbiology Society, have also been used $(13, 15)$ $(13, 15)$ $(13, 15)$.

Available data are limited for the antimicrobial susceptibility of S. maltophilia, because it is not considered as a major pathogen in animals. However, S. maltophilia isolates from animals are resistant to numerous antimicrobials that are commonly used in human and veterinary medicine, including β-lactams (penicillins and cephalosporins), aminoglycosides, macrolides, and tetracyclines (except minocyline) ([Table 2\)](#page-3-0). In contrast, they are often susceptible to fluoroquinolones, polymyxins (mainly including polymyxin B and polymyxin E [colistin]), and SXT. The antibiotic resistance of S. maltophilia varies among different animal species. For example, one isolate from swine in China showed high resistance to most antimicrobials, including fluoroquinolones, polymyxins, and SXT (33) (33) , whereas isolates from Omani goats were susceptible to all tested antimicrobials except β-lactams (25) . Despite its intrinsic resistance to β-lactams, the resistance rates of S. maltophilia isolates from captive snakes to these antimicrobials range from 36.2 to 95.7% ([15](#page-10-0), 37). Moreover, antimicrobial resistance varies with the incubation temperature and time. For instance, the MICs at 37°C and 30°C (after 24 h or 48 h) of 24 antibiotics were determined (microdilution method) for S. *maltophilia* isolates from captive snakes, but resistance rates increased when the strains were incubated at 30°C or for 48 h [\(37\)](#page-11-0). However, SXT and levofloxacin were the most effective drugs at both temperatures. In addition, the S. maltophilia isolates from animal products also exhibit a multidrug-resistant (MDR) phenotype. For example, S. *maltophilia* was the most frequently isolated species among a large collection of Gram-negative bacteria isolated from milk and cheese in France. These S. maltophilia isolates showed high resistance rates to β-lactams, chloramphenicol, and tetracycline [\(13\)](#page-10-0), representing a potential risk to food safety and public health.

MOLECULAR MECHANISMS OF ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE

S. maltophilia employs an array of mechanisms that singularly or collectively, intrinsic or acquired contribute to antimicrobial resistance $(Table 3)$ $(Table 3)$. The following subsections provide detailed descriptions of the major mechanisms.

Multidrug Efflux Pumps

The genome of S. *maltophilia* encodes multidrug efflux pumps, which contribute to intrinsic or acquired

TABLE 2 Antimicrobial resistance of S. *maltophilia* isolated from animals and animal products

^aFor more than one strain, the resistance rate was calculated, and the susceptibility results were interpreted as resistant/intermediate/susceptible (R/I/S) for single strains. CLSI breakpoints were only available for S. maltophilia from humans for SXT, MIN, LEV, TIM, CAZ, SXT, and CHL determined using disk diffusion or dilution method For other antimicrobials, the breakpoints for *Enterobacteriaceae* or Pseudomonas spp. were used to interpret the susceptibility results for S. maltophilia.

^bPEN, penicillin G; AMP, ampicillin; AMX, amoxicillin; PIP, piperacillin; TIC, ticarcillin; TIM, ticarcillin/clavulanic acid; AMC, amoxycillin/clavulanic acid; SAM, ampicillin/sulbactam; TZP, piperacillin/tazobactam; CAZ, ceftazidime; CTX, cefotaxime; CEF, ceftiofur; CEP, cephalothin; CFZ, cefazolin; CFP, cefoperazone; CN, cephalexin; CRO, ceftriaxone; CPS, cefoperazone/sulbactam; CF, cephalothin; CXM, cefuroxime; FEP, cefepime; FOX, cefoxitin; CPD, cefpodoxime; CZ, cefazolin; MOX, moxalactam; IPM, imipenem; MEM, meropenem; ERY, erythromycin; TIL, tilmicosin; AZI, azithromycin; CHL, chloramphenicol; FFC, florfenicol; GEN, gentamicin; KAN, kanamycin; AMK, amikacin; SPT, spectinomycin; STR, streptomycin; NEO, neomycin; TOB, tobramycin; TET, tetracycline; DOX, doxycycline; OTC, oxytetracycline; MIN, minocycline; CIP, ciprofloxacin; LVX, levofloxacin; OFX, ofloxacin; ENO, enrofloxacin; MAR, marbofloxacin; DIF, difloxacin; OFX, ofloxacin; OBX, orbifloxacin; CL, colistin; CLI, clindamycin; VAN, vancomycin; S3, sulfonamides; SMX, sulfamethoxazole; TMP, trimethoprim; SXT, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole.

c Resistance rates varied with incubation temperature (30°C or 37°C) and time (24 h or 48 h). Susceptibility data presented here were determined when isolates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h.

Antimicrobial Resistance in Stenotrophomonas spp.

TABLE 3 Molecular mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance of *S. maltophilia^a*

^aNK, not known.

antibiotic resistance, as follows: ATP-binding cassette (ABC)-type (SmrA, FuaABC, and MacABCsm), major facilitator superfamily (MFS)-type (EmrCABsm, MsfA), and eight predicted resistance nodulation cell division (RND)-type efflux systems with SmeABC, SmeDEF, SmeVWX, SmeIJK, SmeYZ, and SmeOP-TolCSm characterized ([38](#page-11-0)–[47](#page-11-0)) and SmeMN and SmeGH uncharacterized (45) (45) (45) . Most of the efflux pumps are superficially quiescent or expressed at low levels $(39, 42, 44)$ $(39, 42, 44)$ $(39, 42, 44)$ $(39, 42, 44)$ $(39, 42, 44)$ $(39, 42, 44)$, and their overexpression is associated with reduced antibiotic susceptibility. Acquired resistance may be due to mutations in regulatory genes of these efflux systems $(43, 46, 48)$ $(43, 46, 48)$ $(43, 46, 48)$ $(43, 46, 48)$ $(43, 46, 48)$ $(43, 46, 48)$.

SmrA, the first ABC-type efflux pump identified in S. maltophilia, confers acquired resistance to fluoroquinolones, tetracycline, doxorubicin, and multiple dyes [\(38\)](#page-11-0). FuaABC, a fusaric acid (5-butylpicolinic acid, a mycotoxin) efflux pump, which is classified as a member of a subfamily of the ABC-type family, is induced by fusaric acid and contributes to fusaric acid resistance when overexpressed (41) (41) . The MacABCsm efflux pump confers intrinsic resistance to aminoglycosides, macrolides, and polymyxins and contributes to oxidative and envelope stress tolerance as well as biofilm formation ([39](#page-11-0)). The MFS-type pump EmrCABsm is involved in the extrusion of hydrophobic compounds, including the antibiotics nalidixic acid and erythromycin, as well as the uncoupling agents carbonyl cyanide 3-chlorophenylhydrazone, and tetrachlorosalicylanilide (40) . A novel MFS efflux pump (MfsA) with 14 transmembrane domains plays an important role in mediating resistance to paraquat (49) , as well as to antibiotics such as aminoglycosides (kanamycin, streptomycin, and neomycin), cephalosporins (cefazolin and cefalexin), fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin, norfloxacin, levofloxacin, and ofloxacin), the macrolide erythromycin, rifampicin, tetracycline, and chloramphenicol [\(50\)](#page-11-0).

SmeABC is involved in acquired, but not intrinsic, resistance to β-lactams, aminoglycosides, and quinolones. The deletion of smeC (encoding a porin) affects susceptibility to certain antibiotics, suggesting the relationship of porin to other unidentified efflux pumps (42) . SmeDEF is involved in intrinsic and acquired (in the condition of overexpression) resistance to quinolones, tetracyclines, macrolides, chloramphenicol, novobiocin, and SXT, as well as acquired resistance to triclosan $(51-53)$ $(51-53)$ $(51-53)$. SmeVWX mediates acquired resistance to chloramphenicol, quinolones, and tetracyclines and when overexpressed, increases susceptibility to aminoglycosides (44) . SmeYZ mediates intrinsic resistance to aminoglycosides and SXT $(45, 54)$ $(45, 54)$ $(45, 54)$ $(45, 54)$, while SmeIJK is involved in intrinsic reduced susceptibility to gentamicin, amikacin, tetracycline, minocycline, ciprofloxacin, and leucomycin $(45, 55)$ $(45, 55)$ $(45, 55)$ $(45, 55)$. SmeIJK also mediated acquired resistance to levofloxacin, when overexpressed alone or in coordinate hyperproduction with SmeYZ (46) . The activity of the SmeOP-TolCSm efflux pump is associated with the decreases in susceptibility to nalidixic acid, doxycycline, aminoglycosides (amikacin and gentamicin), and macrolides (erythromycin and leucomycin), as well as several nonantibiotic compounds including carbonyl cyanide 3-chlorophenylhydrazone, crystal violet, sodium dodecyl sulfate, and tetrachloro-salicylanilide [\(47\)](#page-11-0).

Resistance to β-Lactam Antibiotics

The S. maltophilia genome encodes the inducible βlactamases L1 and L2. L1 is a class B Zn^{2+} -dependent metallo-β-lactamase with substrate preference for penicillins, cephalosporins, and carbapenems, except for monobactams; and L2 is a class A clavulanic acidsensitive cephalosporinase that hydrolyzes penicillins, cephalosporins, and monobactams $(56, 57)$ $(56, 57)$ $(56, 57)$. The expression of L1 and L2 is simultaneously regulated by AmpR, a transcriptional regulator encoded by ampR, located upstream of $bla_{1,2}$, which acts as a weak repressor or activator of the bla_{L2} in the presence or absence of β-lactam antibiotics, respectively (58) . The induction of β-lactamases is inhibited by the deletion of the $ampN$ ampG operon, which encodes a permease transporter [\(59\)](#page-11-0). The hyperproduction of L1/L2 β-lactamases occurs when the transcription of *mrcA* or $ampD_I$ (encoding penicillin-binding protein 1a [PBP1a] and a cytoplasmic N -acetyl-muramyl-L-alanine amidase [Amp D_I], respectively) is inhibited ($60, 61$ $60, 61$ $60, 61$). In addition, the β-lactamases TEM-2, TEM-116, TEM-127, CTX-M-1, SHV-1, and CTX-M-15 and the globally disseminated metallo-βlactamase NDM-1 are present in human clinical and environmental isolates of *S. maltophilia* ($62-66$), suggesting that this pathogen may serve as a reservoir for mobile genes that encode β-lactamases.

Resistance to Aminoglycosides

The mechanisms employed by S. maltophilia that mediate resistance to aminoglycosides primarily involve aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes and multidrug efflux pumps. These enzymes include the aminoglycoside acetyltransferase AAC(6′)-Iz (67) and the aminoglycoside phosphotransferase APH $(3')$ -IIc (68) (68) (68) , both of which confer low-level resistance to aminoglycosides, with the exception of gentamicin. The novel aminoglycoside acetyltransferase AAC(6′)-Iak, which exhibits 86.3%

amino acid identity to $AAC(6')$ -Iz, is expressed by an MDR S. maltophilia strain isolated from Nepal and acetylates amikacin, arbekacin, dibekacin, isepamicin, kanamycin, neomycin, netilmicin, sisomicin, and tobramycin, but not apramycin, gentamicin, or lividomycin [\(69\)](#page-12-0). Moreover, AAC(6′)-Iam [84.3% amino acid sequence identity to AAC(6′)-Iak], was detected in a clinical isolate of S. *maltophilia* (45) . However, the resistance phenotype conferred by this enzyme is unknown. In addition, the efflux pumps SmeABC, SmeYZ, SmeOP-TolCsm, and MacABCsm are associated with aminoglycoside resistance ([Table 3\)](#page-5-0).

Resistance to Quinolones

Mutations in the quinolone-resistance-determining region of genes encoding topoisomerases (gyrA, gyrB, $parC$, and $parE$) are associated with the major mechanism of quinolone resistance employed by bacteria [\(70\)](#page-12-0). So far, mutations have not been detected in the quinolone-resistance-determining region of gyrA of S. *maltophilia* [\(71,](#page-12-0) [72\)](#page-12-0). Amino acid residue substitutions are present in the quinolone-resistance-determining region-encoding regions of gyrB, parC, and parE of clinical isolates of S. maltophilia that cause bacteremia; however, these alterations have not been directly associated with quinolone resistance (73) (73) (73) . The specific mechanisms associated with the quinolone resistance of S. maltophilia are mediated by both the efflux pumps and the chromosomal *qnr* gene (Smqnr) that protects gyrase and topoisomerase IV from quinolones (74) . Smqnr and its functional 12 variants belong to the *gnr* family (75) and contribute to low-level intrinsic quinolone resistance $(76-78)$ $(76-78)$ $(76-78)$ $(76-78)$ $(76-78)$. Genes that encode efflux pumps that mediate quinolone resistance are as follows: smeDEF, smeIJK, smeABC, and smeVWX ([Table 3\)](#page-5-0). The most prevalent cause of quinolone resistance in S. maltophilia is the overproduction of multidrug efflux pumps, among which the SmeDEF plays the most important role ([79](#page-12-0)). Furthermore, overexpression of sme VWX in clinical isolates of S. maltophilia is associated with high-level resistance to quinolones (80) (80) (80) .

Resistance to Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole

The resistance of Gram-negative bacteria to sulfonamides is mainly conferred by the acquisition of either sul1 or sul2, encoding dihydropteroate synthases [\(81\)](#page-12-0). The *sul1* gene carried by class 1 integrons and *sul2*, which is linked to insertion sequence common region (ISCR) elements, was identified in SXT-resistant S. mal*tophilia* isolates $(82-84)$ $(82-84)$ $(82-84)$ $(82-84)$ $(82-84)$. The resistance of S. *maltophilia* to trimethoprim is mainly conferred by the dihydrofolate reductase *dfr* genes. For instance, the *dfrA* variant genes $(dfrA1, dfrA5, dfrA12, dfrA17,$ and $dfrA27$), which are located within class 1 integrons as part of various resistance gene cassettes, are associated with high-level trimethoprim resistance in S. maltophilia isolates. Both types of sul and dfr genes can occur together in high-level SXT-resistant isolates $(85, 86)$ $(85, 86)$ $(85, 86)$. Moreover, the efflux pumps SmeDEF, TolCsm, and SmeYZ are associated with SXT resistance $(54, 87, 88)$ $(54, 87, 88)$ $(54, 87, 88)$ $(54, 87, 88)$ $(54, 87, 88)$.

Resistance to Phenicols

The main phenicol resistance determinant in S. maltophilia is floR, which encodes an exporter protein of the MFS family that mediates resistance to chloramphenicol and florfenicol [\(83\)](#page-12-0). Florfenicol is extensively used in livestock to prevent or cure bacterial infections [\(89\)](#page-12-0). In addition, the MFS exporter gene cmlA1 and chloramphenicol acetyltransferase genes catB2 and catB8, which separately reside in a gene cassette of class 1 integrons, confer resistance to chloramphenicol in *S. maltophilia* ($82, 85, 90$ $82, 85, 90$ $82, 85, 90$ $82, 85, 90$ $82, 85, 90$). Reports of the prevalence of floR in S. *maltophilia* are rare. One report that investigated an international collection of 55 clinical isolates of S. maltophilia found that four strains harbored floR (83) . The novel variant floRv was detected in one porcine *S. maltophilia* isolate in China. The $floRv$ gene encodes an exporter protein of 404 amino acids, which is 84.1 to 91.8% identical to FloR sequences deposited in GenBank. This FloR variant mediates resistance to chloramphenicol and florfenicol ([33\)](#page-11-0).

Alteration of Lipopolysaccharide and Two-Component Regulatory Systems

As in other Gram-negative bacteria, lipopolysaccharide (LPS) is an important structural component of the outer membrane of *S. maltophilia* and forms an effective barrier to exogenous compounds (91) (91) (91) . The spgM gene encodes a phosphoglucomutase that is associated with LPS biosynthesis in S. maltophilia [\(92\)](#page-13-0). Mutants lacking spgM, which produce less LPS compared with the SpgM+ strain, synthesize shorter O-polysaccharide chains and exhibit modest increases in susceptibility to polymyxin B, colistin, nalidixic acid, and gentamicin but increased resistance to vancomycin (92) (92) (92) . The mobile colistin resistance gene $mcr-1$, which encodes a phosphoethanolamine transferase, couples phosphoethanolamine to the lipid A domain of the LPS component of the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria, and negates the efficacy of polymixins ([93](#page-13-0)), has not been detected in Stenotrophomonas spp. The two-component regulatory

Antimicrobial Resistance in Stenotrophomonas spp.

FIGURE 1 Linear representation of the complete GI and its flanking regions in S. maltophilia GZP-Sm1. The regions in gray represent the flanking regions of the GI when inserted into the bacterial chromosome. The arrows indicate the directions of gene transcription, and truncated genes are indicated by rectangles without arrowheads. Genes are depicted in different colors, and the regions of particular relevance (≥95% nucleotide sequence identity) are indicated by the dotted lines (33) (33) .

system PhoPQ is involved in the resistance of numerous Gram-negative bacteria, including S. maltophilia, to cationic antimicrobial polypeptides, i.e., polymyxin B $(94-96)$ $(94-96)$ $(94-96)$. Mutation of S. maltophilia PhoP increases susceptibility to polymyxin B, chloramphenicol, ampicillin, gentamicin, kanamycin, streptomycin, and spectinomycin ([96](#page-13-0)). Moreover, downregulation of the SmeZ efflux transporter expressed by a PhoP mutant contributes to increased drug susceptibility, particularly to aminoglycosides ([96](#page-13-0)).

DISSEMINATION, COSELECTION, AND PERSISTANCE OF RESISTANCE DETERMINANTS

As described above, the reduced susceptibility of S. maltophilia to most antibiotics can be attributed to intrinsic and acquired resistance. The proteins mediating intrinsic resistance of *S. maltophilia* include chromosomally encoded multidrug efflux pumps, antibiotic-inactivating enzymes (L1/L2 β-lactamases and aminoglycosideinactivating enzymes), and the chromosomally encoded

Qnr pentapeptide repeat proteins (74) (74) (74) , which are present in most, if not all, strains of S. maltophilia, suggesting they did not arise during the recent evolution of resistance caused by antibiotic therapy. In addition, S. maltophilia can acquire mechanisms to increase its resistance through horizontal gene transfer via integrons, transposons, plasmids, and genomic islands (GIs). The *sul1* gene is always associated with the class 1 integron in S. maltophilia, indicating the role of the latter in the acquisition and dissemination of sul1 within this species ($82-86$ $82-86$ $82-86$, 90). The *qacE* Δ 1 gene, which encodes resistance to quaternary amines, coexists with sul1 at the 3'-termini of class 1 integrons $(83, 85, 90)$ $(83, 85, 90)$ $(83, 85, 90)$ $(83, 85, 90)$ $(83, 85, 90)$ $(83, 85, 90)$. The gene cassettes, which comprise the variable regions of integrons, integrate different combinations of drug-resistance genes donated by other Gram-negative bacteria, including those encoding resistance to aminoglycosides [aacA4, aacA7, aadA1, aadA2, aadA4, aadA5, aadB, aac(6′)-II, $aac(6')$ -Ib, $aac(3')$ -Ia, and $ant(3'')$ -Ia], trimethoprim (dfrA1, dfrA5, dfrA12, dfrA17 and dfrA27), β-lactams (bla_{CARB-8}) , rifampicin (*arr-3*), and chloramphenicol $(catB2, catB8, cnlA1)$ $(82, 85, 90)$ $(82, 85, 90)$ $(82, 85, 90)$ $(82, 85, 90)$ $(82, 85, 90)$.

ISCR elements are frequently associated with antimicrobial resistance genes and are always linked to sul2 in S. *maltophilia*. For example, seven sul2-positive S. maltophilia isolates harbor ISCR elements (five ISCR2 and two ISCR3 elements) on a plasmid (83) (83) (83) . Moreover, sul2 and floR are linked to ISCR2 in all sul2-positive S. maltophilia isolates. Constitutively expressed bla_{TEM-2} resides within a novel Tn1/Tn3-type transposon in the genome of S. *maltophilia* isolate J675Ia (65) (65) (65) . The transposon could mobilize $bla_{\text{TEM-2}}$ onto the broad hostrange conjugative plasmid R388, which is then transferred to E. coli.

The genes encoding β-lactamases L1 and L2 are invariably chromosomal and reside on an approximately 200-kb plasmid present in 10 clinical isolates of S. mal*tophilia* ($\frac{97}{2}$). However, the sequences of the L1 and L2 genes diverge from that of the published strain IID 1275, indicating that the presence of β-lactamase genes on a plasmid may lead to their relatively quick evolution (97) .

A literature search identified only a single report of an MDR GI in the S. maltophilia isolate GZP-Sm1 in China [\(33\)](#page-11-0). GZP-Sm1 was isolated from swine with septicemia, and susceptibility testing revealed that the isolate was resistant to most antimicrobials employed in human and veterinary clinical practice (33) . Whole-genome sequencing identified a GI of 40,226 bp, which contains an MDR region (19,364 bp) and is flanked by IS26 in opposite orientations $(Fig, 1)$. Furthermore, six resistance genes exist in this region, including $f \circ R \nu$ (phenicol resistance), tet(A)-tetR (tetracycline resistance), strA/ strB (streptomycin resistance), sul1 (sulfonamide resistance), and aadA2 (streptomycin/spectinomycin resistance). The MDR region comprises several segments with sequence similarity to plasmids or chromosomal sequences of other Gram-negative bacteria. For example, the aadA2 cassette and the 3′-CS region (qacEΔ1 $sull$ - Δ orf5), which form part of an integron structure identified in this GI, occur in diverse bacterial species such as *Salmonella* spp., *Pseudomonas* spp., and *E. coli.* The 4,766-bp segment of $\Delta \mathcal{S}ul$ -floRv-lysR-traG is 86.3% identical to the corresponding region of plasmid pAB (accession no. HQ917128) detected in a clinical isolate of Acinetobacter baumannii from Chile. The composite transposon comprising IS26-tet(A)-tetR-IS26 flanked by a direct repeat of GC is 95.1% identical to the corresponding region of the plasmid pB12 from uncultivable bacteria (accession no. JX469826). Inverse PCR showed that the GI could be excised from the chromosome by recombination between the direct repeats to generate a circular extrachromosomal form $(Fig, 1)$. The emerging resistance of S. *maltophilia* to numerous antimicrobials raises the concern that the presence of resistance genes in the novel MDR GI drastically limit therapeutic options and may enhance their coselection when antimicrobials are administered.

S. maltophilia could acquire antibiotic resistance from Gram-positive bacteria. For example, a gene cluster involved in resistance to antibiotics and heavy metals was detected in a clinical isolate of S. *maltophilia* (98) (98) (98) . These genes encode a macrolide phosphotransferase (mphBM) and a cadmium efflux determinant $(cadA)$, as well as its transcriptional regulator $(cadC)$, encoding its cognate transcriptional regulator. The cadC-cadA region is flanked by a truncated IS257 sequence and a region coding for a *bin3* invertase. The sequences of these genetic elements are highly similar to those of *Staphylo*coccus aureus, indicating their Gram-positive origin.

CONCLUSION

S. *maltophilia* is the most widely distributed environmental species among Stenotrophomonas, and it is also an opportunistic pathogen associated with the increased number of infections in both humans and animals. S. maltophilia isolates from animals are resistant to most antimicrobials used in both human and veterinary medicine, which compromise the design of optimal therapeutic strategies in clinical chemotherapy. The antimicrobial resistances in S. maltophilia are conferred not only by intrinsic mechanisms, but also by

multiple acquired resistance mechanisms, which are commonly associated with mobile genetic elements such as integrons, transposons, and plasmids. Moreover, for the first time, the transmission mechanism conferred by MDRGI was identified in a porcine S. maltophilia isolate. Therefore, continued surveillance of MDR S. *maltophilia* from animals is warranted for not only optimizing treatment of infections caused by this bacterium, but also tackling the transmission of antimicrobial resistance from animals to humans by either food-chain or environmental routes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 31422055) and the National Key Basic Research Program of China (grant no. 2013CB127200).

REFERENCES

1. [http://www.bacterio.net/stenotrophomonas.html.](http://www.bacterio.net/stenotrophomonas.html)

2. Swings J, De Vos P, Van den Mooter M, De Ley J. 1983. Transfer of Pseudomonas maltophilia Hugh 1981 to the genus Xanthomonas as Xanthomonas maltophilia. Int J Syst Bacteriol 33:409–413 [http://dx.doi](https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-33-2-409) [.org/10.1099/00207713-33-2-409.](https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-33-2-409)

3. Drancourt M, Bollet C, Raoult D. 1997. Stenotrophomonas africana sp. nov., an opportunistic human pathogen in Africa. Int J Syst Bacteriol 47:160–163 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/00207713-47-1-160](https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-47-1-160).

4. Brooke JS. 2012. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia: an emerging global opportunistic pathogen. Clin Microbiol Rev 25:2–41 [http://dx.doi.org](https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00019-11) [/10.1128/CMR.00019-11.](https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00019-11)

5. Ryan RP, Monchy S, Cardinale M, Taghavi S, Crossman L, Avison MB, Berg G, van der Lelie D, Dow JM. 2009. The versatility and adaptation of bacteria from the genus Stenotrophomonas. Nat Rev Microbiol 7:514–525 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2163](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2163).

6. Hugh R, Ryschenkow E. 1961. Pseudomonas maltophilia, an alcaligenes-like species. J Gen Microbiol 26:123–132 [http://dx.doi.org](https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-26-1-123) [/10.1099/00221287-26-1-123](https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-26-1-123).

7. Chien YC, Chen CJ, Lin TH, Chen SH, Chien YC. 2011. Characteristics of microbial aerosols released from chicken and swine feces. J Air Waste Manag Assoc 61:882–889 [http://dx.doi.org/10.3155/1047-3289](https://doi.org/10.3155/1047-3289.61.8.882) [.61.8.882.](https://doi.org/10.3155/1047-3289.61.8.882)

8. Guo Y, Zhu N, Zhu S, Deng C. 2007. Molecular phylogenetic diversity of bacteria and its spatial distribution in composts. J Appl Microbiol 103:1344-1354 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2007](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2007.03367.x) [.03367.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2007.03367.x)

9. Mancini DA, Mendonça RM, Dias AL, Mendonça RZ, Pinto JR. 2005. Co-infection between influenza virus and flagellated bacteria. Rev Inst Med Trop São Paulo 47:275–280 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0036](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0036-46652005000500007) [-46652005000500007](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0036-46652005000500007).

10. Hou D, Bi Y, Sun H, Yang J, Fu G, Sun Y, Liu J, Pu J. 2012. Identification of swine influenza A virus and Stenotrophomonas maltophilia co-infection in Chinese pigs. Virol J 9:169 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186](https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-422X-9-169) [/1743-422X-9-169](https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-422X-9-169).

11. Munsch-Alatossava P, Alatossava T. 2006. Phenotypic characterization of raw milk-associated psychrotrophic bacteria. Microbiol Res 161:334–346 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2005.12.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2005.12.004).

12. Cleto S, Matos S, Kluskens L, Vieira MJ. 2012. Characterization of contaminants from a sanitized milk processing plant. PLoS One 7:e40189 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0040189](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0040189).

13. Coton M, Delbés-Paus C, Irlinger F, Desmasures N, Le Fleche A, Stahl V, Montel MC, Coton E. 2012. Diversity and assessment of potential risk factors of Gram-negative isolates associated with French cheeses. Food Microbiol 29:88–98 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2011.08.020.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2011.08.020)

14. Cloud-Hansen KA, Villiard KM, Handelsman J, Carey HV. 2007. Thirteen-lined ground squirrels (Spermophilus tridecemlineatus) harbor multiantibiotic-resistant bacteria. J Am Assoc Lab Anim Sci 46:21–23.

15. Hejnar P, Bardon J, Sauer P, Kolár M. 2007. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia as a part of normal oral bacterial flora in captive snakes and its susceptibility to antibiotics. Vet Microbiol 121:357-362 [http://dx.doi.org](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2006.12.026) [/10.1016/j.vetmic.2006.12.026.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2006.12.026)

16. Kim M, Kwon TH, Jung SM, Cho SH, Jin SY, Park NH, Kim CG, Kim JS. 2013. Antibiotic resistance of bacteria isolated from the internal organs of edible snow crabs. PLoS One 8:e70887 [http://dx.doi.org](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0070887) [/10.1371/journal.pone.0070887](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0070887).

17. Furushita M, Okamoto A, Maeda T, Ohta M, Shiba T. 2005. Isolation of multidrug-resistant Stenotrophomonas maltophilia from cultured yellowtail (Seriola quinqueradiata) from a marine fish farm. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:5598–5600 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.9](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.9.5598-5600.2005) [.5598-5600.2005.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.9.5598-5600.2005)

18. Matyar F, Kaya A, Dinçer S. 2008. Antibacterial agents and heavy metal resistance in Gram-negative bacteria isolated from seawater, shrimp and sediment in Iskenderun Bay, Turkey. Sci Total Environ 407:279–285 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.08.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.08.014).

19. Miranda CD, Kehrenberg C, Ulep C, Schwarz S, Roberts MC. 2003. Diversity of tetracycline resistance genes in bacteria from Chilean salmon farms. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 47:883–888 [http://dx.doi.org](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.47.3.883-888.2003) [/10.1128/AAC.47.3.883-888.2003.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.47.3.883-888.2003)

20. Miranda CD, Zemelman R. 2002. Antimicrobial multiresistance in bacteria isolated from freshwater Chilean salmon farms. Sci Total Environ 293:207–218 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697\(02\)00022-0.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(02)00022-0)

21. Althouse GC, Kuster CE, Clark SG, Weisiger RM. 2000. Field investigations of bacterial contaminants and their effects on extended porcine semen. Theriogenology 53:1167-1176 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0093](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0093-691X(00)00261-2) [-691X\(00\)00261-2.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0093-691X(00)00261-2)

22. Althouse GC, Lu KG. 2005. Bacteriospermia in extended porcine semen. Theriogenology 63:573–584 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2004.09.031) [.2004.09.031.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2004.09.031)

23. Kilburn C, Rooks DJ, McCarthy AJ, Murray RD. 2013. Antimicrobial resistance in some Gram-negative bacteria isolated from the bovine ejaculate. Reprod Domest Anim 48:525-528 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111](https://doi.org/10.1111/rda.12127) [/rda.12127.](https://doi.org/10.1111/rda.12127)

24. O'Connell D, Mruk K, Rocheleau JM, Kobertz WR. 2011. Xenopus laevis oocytes infected with multi-drug-resistant bacteria: implications for electrical recordings. J Gen Physiol 138:271–277 [http://dx.doi.org](https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.201110661) [/10.1085/jgp.201110661](https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.201110661).

25. Johnson EH, Al-Busaidy R, Hameed MS. 2003. An outbreak of lymphadenitis associated with Stenotrophomonas (Xanthomonas) maltophilia in Omani goats. J Vet Med B Infect Dis Vet Public Health 50:102–104 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0450.2003.00643.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0450.2003.00643.x).

26. Macdiarmid JA, Burrell DH. 1986. Characterization of Pseudomonas maltophilia isolates from fleece rot. Appl Environ Microbiol 51:346–348.

27. Ohnishi M, Sawada T, Marumo K, Harada K, Hirose K, Shimizu A, Hayashimoto M, Sato R, Uchida N, Kato H. 2012. Antimicrobial susceptibility and genetic relatedness of bovine Stenotrophomonas maltophilia isolates from a mastitis outbreak. Lett Appl Microbiol 54:572–576 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2012.03246.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2012.03246.x).

28. Hagi T, Sasaki K, Aso H, Nomura M. 2013. Adhesive properties of predominant bacteria in raw cow's milk to bovine mammary gland epithelial cells. Folia Microbiol (Praha) 58:515–522 [http://dx.doi.org](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12223-013-0240-z) [/10.1007/s12223-013-0240-z.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12223-013-0240-z)

29. Petridou E, Filioussis G, Karavanis E, Kritas SK. 2010. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia as a causal agent of pyogranulomatous hepatitis in a buffalo (Bubalus bubalis). J Vet Diagn Invest 22:772–774 [http://dx](https://doi.org/10.1177/104063871002200522) [.doi.org/10.1177/104063871002200522.](https://doi.org/10.1177/104063871002200522)

30. Boedeker NC, Walsh T, Murray S, Bromberg N. 2010. Medical and surgical management of severe inflammation of the nictitating membrane in a giant panda (Ailuropoda melanoleuca). Vet Ophthalmol 13 (Suppl):109–115 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-5224.2010.00802.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-5224.2010.00802.x)

31. Albini S, Abril C, Franchini M, Hüssy D, Filioussis G. 2009. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia isolated from the airways of animals with chronic respiratory disease. Schweiz Arch Tierheilkd 151:323–328 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1024/0036-7281.151.7.323.](https://doi.org/10.1024/0036-7281.151.7.323)

32. Winther L, Andersen RM, Baptiste KE, Aalbæk B, Guardabassi L. 2010. Association of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia infection with lower airway disease in the horse: a retrospective case series. Vet J 186:358–363 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2009.08.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2009.08.026).

33. He T, Shen J, Schwarz S, Wu C, Wang Y. 2015. Characterization of a genomic island in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia that carries a novel floR gene variant. J Antimicrob Chemother 70:1031–1036.

34. Harris NB, Rogers DG. 2001. Septicemia associated with Stenotrophomonas maltophilia in a West African dwarf crocodile (Osteolaemus tetraspis subsp. tetraspis). J Vet Diagn Invest 13:255–258 [http://dx.doi](https://doi.org/10.1177/104063870101300313) [.org/10.1177/104063870101300313.](https://doi.org/10.1177/104063870101300313)

35. Muir P, Oldenhoff WE, Hudson AP, Manley PA, Schaefer SL, Markel MD, Hao Z. 2007. Detection of DNA from a range of bacterial species in the knee joints of dogs with inflammatory knee arthritis and associated degenerative anterior cruciate ligament rupture. Microb Pathog 42:47–55 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2006.10.002.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2006.10.002)

36. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2015. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. Twenty-Fifth Informational Supplement M-S, CLSI, Wayne, PA.

37. Hejnar P, Kolár M, Sauer P. 2010. Antibiotic resistance of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia strains isolated from captive snakes. Folia Microbiol (Praha) 55:83–87 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12223-010-0014-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12223-010-0014-9).

38. Al-Hamad A, Upton M, Burnie J. 2009. Molecular cloning and characterization of SmrA, a novel ABC multidrug efflux pump from Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. J Antimicrob Chemother 64:731–734 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkp271](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkp271).

39. Lin YT, Huang YW, Liou RS, Chang YC, Yang TC. 2014. MacABCsm, an ABC-type tripartite efflux pump of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia involved in drug resistance, oxidative and envelope stress tolerances and biofilm formation. J Antimicrob Chemother 69:3221–3226 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dku317](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dku317).

40. Huang YW, Hu RM, Chu FY, Lin HR, Yang TC. 2013. Characterization of a major facilitator superfamily (MFS) tripartite efflux pump EmrCABsm from Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. J Antimicrob Chemother 68:2498–2505 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt250](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt250).

41. Hu RM, Liao ST, Huang CC, Huang YW, Yang TC. 2012. An inducible fusaric acid tripartite efflux pump contributes to the fusaric acid resistance in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. PLoS One 7:e51053 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0051053](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0051053).

42. Li XZ, Zhang L, Poole K. 2002. SmeC, an outer membrane multidrug efflux protein of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 46:333–343 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.46.2.333-343.2002.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.46.2.333-343.2002)

43. Alonso A, Martínez JL. 2000. Cloning and characterization of SmeDEF, a novel multidrug efflux pump from Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 44:3079–3086 [http://dx.doi](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.44.11.3079-3086.2000) [.org/10.1128/AAC.44.11.3079-3086.2000](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.44.11.3079-3086.2000).

44. Chen CH, Huang CC, Chung TC, Hu RM, Huang YW, Yang TC. 2011. Contribution of resistance-nodulation-division efflux pump operon smeU1-V-W-U2-X to multidrug resistance of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55:5826–5833 [http://dx.doi.org](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00317-11) [/10.1128/AAC.00317-11.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00317-11)

45. Crossman LC, Gould VC, Dow JM, Vernikos GS, Okazaki A, Sebaihia M, Saunders D, Arrowsmith C, Carver T, Peters N, Adlem E, Kerhornou A, Lord A, Murphy L, Seeger K, Squares R, Rutter S, Quail MA, Rajandream MA, Harris D, Churcher C, Bentley SD, Parkhill J, Thomson NR, Avison MB. 2008. The complete genome, comparative and functional analysis of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia reveals an organism heavily shielded by drug resistance determinants. Genome Biol 9:R74 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/gb-2008-9-4-r74.](https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2008-9-4-r74)

46. Gould VC, Okazaki A, Avison MB. 2013. Coordinate hyperproduction of SmeZ and SmeJK efflux pumps extends drug resistance in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:655– 657 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01020-12](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01020-12).

47. Lin CW, Huang YW, Hu RM, Yang TC. 2014. SmeOP-TolCSm efflux pump contributes to the multidrug resistance of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:2405–2408 [http://dx.doi](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01974-13) [.org/10.1128/AAC.01974-13](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01974-13).

48. Cho HH, Sung JY, Kwon KC, Koo SH. 2012. Expression of Sme efflux pumps and multilocus sequence typing in clinical isolates of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Ann Lab Med 32:38–43 [http://dx.doi](https://doi.org/10.3343/alm.2012.32.1.38) [.org/10.3343/alm.2012.32.1.38](https://doi.org/10.3343/alm.2012.32.1.38).

49. Srijaruskul K, Charoenlap N, Namchaiw P, Chattrakarn S, Giengkam S, Mongkolsuk S, Vattanaviboon P. 2015. Regulation by SoxR of mfsA, which encodes a major facilitator protein involved in paraquat resistance in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. PLoS One 10:e0123699 [http://dx.doi](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0123699) [.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0123699.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0123699)

50. Dulyayangkul P, Charoenlap N, Srijaruskul K, Mongkolsuk S, Vattanaviboon P. 2016. Major facilitator superfamily MfsA contributes to multidrug resistance in emerging nosocomial pathogen Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. J Antimicrob Chemother 71:2990–2991 [http://dx.doi](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkw233) [.org/10.1093/jac/dkw233](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkw233).

51. Zhang L, Li XZ, Poole K. 2001. SmeDEF multidrug efflux pump contributes to intrinsic multidrug resistance in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 45:3497-3503 [http://dx.doi.org](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.45.12.3497-3503.2001) [/10.1128/AAC.45.12.3497-3503.2001.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.45.12.3497-3503.2001)

52. Hernández A, Ruiz FM, Romero A, Martínez JL. 2011. The binding of triclosan to SmeT, the repressor of the multidrug efflux pump SmeDEF, induces antibiotic resistance in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. PLoS Pathog 7:e1002103 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002103.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002103)

53. Sánchez MB, Martínez JL. 2015. The efflux pump SmeDEF contributes to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole resistance in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59:4347-4348 [http://](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00714-15) [dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00714-15](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00714-15).

54. Lin YT, Huang YW, Chen SJ, Chang CW, Yang TC. 2015. The SmeYZ efflux pump of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia contributes to drug resistance, virulence-related characteristics, and virulence in mice. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59:4067–4073 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00372-15](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00372-15).

55. Huang YW, Liou RS, Lin YT, Huang HH, Yang TC. 2014. A linkage between SmeIJK efflux pump, cell envelope integrity, and $\sigma^{\rm E}\!$ -mediated envelope stress response in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. PLoS One 9:e111784 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0111784](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0111784).

56. Crowder MW, Walsh TR, Banovic L, Pettit M, Spencer J. 1998. Overexpression, purification, and characterization of the cloned metalloβ-lactamase L1 from Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 42:921–926.

57. Walsh TR, MacGowan AP, Bennett PM. 1997. Sequence analysis and enzyme kinetics of the L2 serine β-lactamase from Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 41:1460–1464.

58. Okazaki A, Avison MB. 2008. Induction of L1 and L2 β-lactamase production in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia is dependent on an AmpRtype regulator. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 52:1525–1528 [http://dx](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01485-07) [.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01485-07](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01485-07).

59. Huang YW, Lin CW, Hu RM, Lin YT, Chung TC, Yang TC. 2010. AmpN-AmpG operon is essential for expression of L1 and L2 β-lactamases in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:2583–2589 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01283-09.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01283-09)

60. Yang TC, Huang YW, Hu RM, Huang SC, Lin YT. 2009. Amp D_I is involved in expression of the chromosomal L1 and L2 β-lactamases of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:2902– 2907 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01513-08.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01513-08)

61. Lin CW, Lin HC, Huang YW, Chung TC, Yang TC. 2011. Inactivation of mrcA gene derepresses the basal-level expression of L1 and L2 β-lactamases in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. J Antimicrob Chemother 66:2033–2037 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkr276](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkr276).

62. al Naiemi N, Duim B, Bart A. 2006. A CTX-M extended-spectrum β-lactamase in Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. J Med Microbiol 55:1607–1608 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1099](https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.46704-0) [/jmm.0.46704-0](https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.46704-0).

63. Lavigne JP, Gaillard JB, Bourg G, Tichit C, Lecaillon E, Sotto A. 2008. Extended-spectrum β-lactamases-producing Stenotrophomonas maltophilia strains: CTX-M enzymes detection and virulence study. Pathol Biol (Paris) 56:447–453 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.patbio.2008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patbio.2008.07.013) [.07.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patbio.2008.07.013). (In French.)

64. Maravić A, Skočibušić M, Fredotović Z, Cvjetan S, Samanić I, Puizina J. 2014. Characterization of environmental CTX-M-15-producing Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:6333– 6334 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.03601-14.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.03601-14)

65. Avison MB, von Heldreich CJ, Higgins CS, Bennett PM, Walsh TR. 2000. A TEM-2 β-lactamase encoded on an active Tn1-like transposon in the genome of a clinical isolate of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. J Antimicrob Chemother 46:879–884 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/46.6.879.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/46.6.879)

66. Liu W, Zou D, Wang X, Li X, Zhu L, Yin Z, Yang Z, Wei X, Han L, Wang Y, Shao C, Wang S, He X, Liu D, Liu F, Wang J, Huang L, Yuan J. 2012. Proteomic analysis of clinical isolate of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia with bla_{NDM-1}, bla_{L1} and bla_{L2} β-lactamase genes under imipenem treatment. J Proteome Res 11:4024–4033 [http://dx.doi.org](https://doi.org/10.1021/pr300062v) [/10.1021/pr300062v.](https://doi.org/10.1021/pr300062v)

67. Li XZ, Zhang L, McKay GA, Poole K. 2003. Role of the acetyltransferase AAC(6′)-Iz modifying enzyme in aminoglycoside resistance in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. J Antimicrob Chemother 51: 803–811 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkg148](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkg148).

68. Okazaki A, Avison MB. 2007. Aph(3′)-IIc, an aminoglycoside resistance determinant from Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 51:359–360 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00795-06.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00795-06)

69. Tada T, Miyoshi-Akiyama T, Dahal RK, Mishra SK, Shimada K, Ohara H, Kirikae T, Pokhrel BM. 2014. Identification of a novel 6′-Naminoglycoside acetyltransferase, AAC(6′)-Iak, from a multidrug-resistant clinical isolate of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:6324–6327 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.03354-14.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.03354-14)

70. Sánchez MB. 2015. Antibiotic resistance in the opportunistic pathogen Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Front Microbiol 6:658 [http://dx.doi.org](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00658) [/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00658](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00658).

71. Ribera A, Doménech-Sanchez A, Ruiz J, Benedi VJ, Jimenez de Anta MT, Vila J. 2002. Mutations in gyrA and parC ORDRs are not relevant for quinolone resistance in epidemiological unrelated Stenotrophomonas maltophilia clinical isolates. Microb Drug Resist 8:245-251 [http://dx.doi](https://doi.org/10.1089/10766290260469499) [.org/10.1089/10766290260469499](https://doi.org/10.1089/10766290260469499).

72. Valdezate S, Vindel A, Echeita A, Baquero F, Cantó R. 2002. Topoisomerase II and IV quinolone resistance-determining regions in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia clinical isolates with different levels of quinolone susceptibility. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 46:665–671 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.46.3.665-671.2002.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.46.3.665-671.2002)

73. Cha MK, Kang CI, Kim SH, Cho SY, Ha YE, Chung DR, Peck KR, Song JH. 2016. Emergence of fluoroquinolone-resistant Stenotrophomonas maltophilia in blood isolates causing bacteremia: molecular epidemiology and microbiologic characteristics. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 85:210–212 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2016.02.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2016.02.020).

74. Sanchez MB, Hernandez A, Martinez JL. 2009. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia drug resistance. Future Microbiol 4:655–660 [http://dx.doi](https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb.09.45) [.org/10.2217/fmb.09.45.](https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb.09.45)

75. Gordon NC, Wareham DW. 2010. Novel variants of the Smqnr family of quinolone resistance genes in clinical isolates of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. J Antimicrob Chemother 65:483–489 [http://dx.doi.org](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkp476) [/10.1093/jac/dkp476](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkp476).

76. Sánchez MB, Hernández A, Rodríguez-Martínez JM, Martínez-Martínez L, Martínez JL. 2008. Predictive analysis of transmissible quinolone resistance indicates Stenotrophomonas maltophilia as a potential source of a novel family of Qnr determinants. BMC Microbiol 8:148 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-8-148](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-8-148).

77. Sánchez MB, Martínez JL. 2010. SmQnr contributes to intrinsic resistance to quinolones in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:580–581 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00496-09.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00496-09)

78. Shimizu K, Kikuchi K, Sasaki T, Takahashi N, Ohtsuka M, Ono Y, Hiramatsu K. 2008. Smqnr, a new chromosome-carried quinolone resistance gene in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 52:3823–3825 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00026-08.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00026-08)

79. Garcia-Leon G, Salgado F, Oliveros JC, Sanchez MB, Martinez JL. 2014. Interplay between intrinsic and acquired resistance to quinolones in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Environ Microbiol 16:1282– 1296.

80. García-León G, Ruiz de Alegría Puig C, García de la Fuente C, Martínez-Martínez L, Martínez JL, Sánchez MB. 2015. High-level quinolone resistance is associated with the overexpression of smeVWX in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia clinical isolates. Clin Microbiol Infect 21:464–467 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2015.01.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2015.01.007).

81. Rådström P, Swedberg G. 1988. RSF1010 and a conjugative plasmid contain sulII, one of two known genes for plasmid-borne sulfonamide resistance dihydropteroate synthase. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 32: 1684–1692 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.32.11.1684](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.32.11.1684).

82. Barbolla R, Catalano M, Orman BE, Famiglietti A, Vay C, Smayevsky J, Centrón D, Piñeiro SA. 2004. Class 1 integrons increase trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole MICs against epidemiologically unrelated Stenotrophomonas maltophilia isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 48:666–669 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.48.2.666-669.2004.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.48.2.666-669.2004)

83. Toleman MA, Bennett PM, Bennett DM, Jones RN, Walsh TR. 2007. Global emergence of trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole resistance in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia mediated by acquisition of sul genes. Emerg Infect Dis 13:559–565 [http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1304.061378.](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1304.061378)

84. Chung HS, Kim K, Hong SS, Hong SG, Lee K, Chong Y. 2015. The sul1 gene in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia with high-level resistance to trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole. Ann Lab Med 35:246–249 [http://dx.doi](https://doi.org/10.3343/alm.2015.35.2.246) [.org/10.3343/alm.2015.35.2.246.](https://doi.org/10.3343/alm.2015.35.2.246)

85. Hu LF, Chang X, Ye Y, Wang ZX, Shao YB, Shi W, Li X, Li JB. 2011. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia resistance to trimethoprim/ sulfamethoxazole mediated by acquisition of sul and dfrA genes in a plasmid-mediated class 1 integron. Int J Antimicrob Agents 37:230–234 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2010.10.025.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2010.10.025)

86. Hu LF, Chen GS, Kong QX, Gao LP, Chen X, Ye Y, Li JB. 2016. Increase in the prevalence of resistance determinants to trimethoprim/ sulfamethoxazole in clinical Stenotrophomonas maltophilia isolates in China. PLoS One 11:e0157693 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0157693) [.0157693.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0157693)

87. Huang YW, Hu RM, Yang TC. 2013. Role of the pcm-tolCsm operon in the multidrug resistance of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. J Antimicrob Chemother 68:1987–1993 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt148.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt148)

88. Sánchez MB, Martínez JL. 2015. The efflux pump SmeDEF contributes to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole resistance in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59:4347–4348 [http://](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00714-15) [dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00714-15](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00714-15).

89. He T, Shen Y, Schwarz S, Cai J, Lv Y, Li J, Feßler AT, Zhang R, Wu C, Shen J, Wang Y. 2016. Genetic environment of the transferable oxazolidinone/phenicol resistance gene optrA in Enterococcus faecalis isolates of human and animal origin. J Antimicrob Chemother 71:1466– 1473 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkw016.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkw016)

90. Chang LL, Lin HH, Chang CY, Lu PL. 2007. Increased incidence of class 1 integrons in trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole-resistant clinical isolates of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. J Antimicrob Chemother 59:1038–1039 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkm034.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkm034)

91. Rahmati-Bahram A, Magee JT, Jackson SK. 1995. Growth temperature-dependent variation of cell envelope lipids and antibiotic susceptibility in Stenotrophomonas (Xanthomonas) maltophilia. J Antimicrob Chemother 36:317–326 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/36.2.317.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/36.2.317)

92. McKay GA, Woods DE, MacDonald KL, Poole K. 2003. Role of phosphoglucomutase of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia in lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis, virulence, and antibiotic resistance. Infect Immun 71:3068–3075 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.71.6.3068-3075.2003.](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.71.6.3068-3075.2003)

93. Liu YY, Wang Y, Walsh TR, Yi LX, Zhang R, Spencer J, Doi Y, Tian G, Dong B, Huang X, Yu LF, Gu D, Ren H, Chen X, Lv L, He D, Zhou H, Liang Z, Liu JH, Shen J. 2016. Emergence of plasmid-mediated colistin resistance mechanism MCR-1 in animals and human beings in China: a microbiological and molecular biological study. Lancet Infect Dis 16:161–168 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(15\)00424-7.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(15)00424-7)

94. Gooderham WJ, Hancock RE. 2009. Regulation of virulence and antibiotic resistance by two-component regulatory systems in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. FEMS Microbiol Rev 33:279–294 [http://dx.doi.org](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2008.00135.x) [/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2008.00135.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2008.00135.x)

95. Bader MW, Sanowar S, Daley ME, Schneider AR, Cho U, Xu W, Klevit RE, Le Moual H, Miller SI. 2005. Recognition of antimicrobial peptides by a bacterial sensor kinase. Cell 122:461–472 [http://dx.doi.org](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2005.05.030) [/10.1016/j.cell.2005.05.030.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2005.05.030)

96. Liu MC, Tsai YL, Huang YW, Chen HY, Hsueh PR, Lai SY, Chen LC, Chou YH, Lin WY, Liaw SJ. 2016. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia PhoP, a two-component response regulator, involved in antimicrobial susceptibilities. PLoS One 11:e0153753 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0153753) [.pone.0153753.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0153753)

97. Avison MB, Higgins CS, von Heldreich CJ, Bennett PM, Walsh TR. 2001. Plasmid location and molecular heterogeneity of the L1 and L2 β-lactamase genes of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 45:413–419 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.45.2.413-419.2001.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.45.2.413-419.2001)

98. Alonso A, Sanchez P, Martínez JL. 2000. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia D457R contains a cluster of genes from Gram-positive bacteria involved in antibiotic and heavy metal resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 44:1778–1782 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.44.7.1778](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.44.7.1778-1782.2000) [-1782.2000.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.44.7.1778-1782.2000)

99. Palleroni NJ, Bradbury JF. 1993. Stenotrophomonas, a new bacterial genus for Xanthomonas maltophilia (Hugh 1980) Swings et al. 1983. Int J Syst Bacteriol 43:606–609 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/00207713-43-3-606.](https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-43-3-606)

100. Finkmann W, Altendorf K, Stackebrandt E, Lipski A. 2000. Characterization of N_2O -producing *Xanthomonas*-like isolates from biofilters as Stenotrophomonas nitritireducens sp. nov., Luteimonas mephitis gen. nov., sp. nov. and Pseudoxanthomonas broegbernensis gen. nov., sp. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 50:273–282 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1099](https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-50-1-273) [/00207713-50-1-273.](https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-50-1-273)

101. Yamamura S, Morita Y, Hasan Q, Rao SR, Murakami Y, Yokoyama K, Tamiya E. 2002. Characterization of a new keratin-degrading bacterium isolated from deer fur. J Biosci Bioeng 93:595-600 [http://dx.doi.org](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1389-1723(02)80243-2) [/10.1016/S1389-1723\(02\)80243-2.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1389-1723(02)80243-2)

102. Assih EA, Ouattara AS, Thierry S, Cayol JL, Labat M, Macarie H. 2002. Stenotrophomonas acidaminiphila sp. nov., a strictly aerobic bacterium isolated from an upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactor. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 52:559–568 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1099](https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-52-2-559) [/00207713-52-2-559.](https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-52-2-559)

103. Wolf A, Fritze A, Hagemann M, Berg G. 2002. Stenotrophomonas rhizophila sp. nov., a novel plant-associated bacterium with antifungal properties. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 52:1937–1944.

104. Yoon JH, Kang SJ, Oh HW, Oh TK. 2006. Stenotrophomonas dokdonensis sp. nov., isolated from soil. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 56:1363–1367 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.64091-0.](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.64091-0)

105. Yang HC, Im WT, Kang MS, Shin DY, Lee ST. 2006. Stenotrophomonas koreensis sp. nov., isolated from compost in South Korea. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 56:81–84 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.63826-0](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.63826-0).

106. Heylen K, Vanparys B, Peirsegaele F, Lebbe L, De Vos P. 2007. Stenotrophomonas terrae sp. nov. and Stenotrophomonas humi sp. nov., two nitrate-reducing bacteria isolated from soil. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 57:2056–2061 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.65044-0.](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.65044-0)

107. Kaparullina E, Doronina N, Chistyakova T, Trotsenko Y. 2009. Stenotrophomonas chelatiphaga sp. nov., a new aerobic EDTA-degrading bacterium. Syst Appl Microbiol 32:157–162 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2008.12.003) [/j.syapm.2008.12.003.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2008.12.003)

108. Kim HB, Srinivasan S, Sathiyaraj G, Quan LH, Kim SH, Bui TP, Liang ZQ, Kim YJ, Yang DC. 2010. Stenotrophomonas ginsengisoli sp. nov., isolated from a ginseng field. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 60:1522– 1526 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.014662-0](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.014662-0).

109. Lee M, Woo SG, Chae M, Shin MC, Jung HM, Ten LN. 2011. Stenotrophomonas daejeonensis sp. nov., isolated from sewage. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 61:598–604 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.017780-0) [.017780-0.](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.017780-0)

110. Ramos PL, Van Trappen S, Thompson FL, Rocha RC, Barbosa HR, De Vos P, Moreira-Filho CA. 2011. Screening for endophytic nitrogenfixing bacteria in Brazilian sugar cane varieties used in organic farming and description of Stenotrophomonas pavanii sp. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 61:926–931 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.019372-0](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.019372-0).

111. Handa Y, Tazato N, Nagatsuka Y, Koide T, Kigawa R, Sano C, Sugiyama J. 2016. Stenotrophomonas tumulicola sp. nov., a major contaminant of the stone chamber interior in the Takamatsuzuka Tumulus. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 66:1119–1124 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijsem](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.000843) [.0.000843](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.000843).

112. Pan X, Lin D, Zheng Y, Zhang Q, Yin Y, Cai L, Fang H, Yu Y. 2016. Biodegradation of DDT by Stenotrophomonas sp. DDT-1: characterization and genome functional analysis. Sci Rep 6:21332 [http://dx.doi.org](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep21332) [/10.1038/srep21332.](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep21332)

113. Hindler JF, Tamashiro L. 2004. Broth microdilution MIC test, p 5.2.1–5.2.17. In Clarke L, Della-Latta P, Denys GA, Douglas SD, Garcia LS, Hazen KC, Hindler JF, Jenkins SG, Mangels JI, Miller JM, Nachamkin I, Pfaller MA, Snyder JW, Weissfeld AS, York MK (ed), Clinical Microbiology Procedures Handbook, 2nd ed. ASM Press, Washington, DC.