

# Antimicrobial Resistance in *Streptococcus* spp.

MARISA HAENNI, AGNESE LUPO, and JEAN-YVES MADEC Université de Lyon—ANSES, Unité Antibiorésistance et Virulence Bactériennes, Lyon, France

**ABSTRACT** The genus Streptococcus includes Gram-positive organisms shaped in cocci and organized in chains. They are commensals, pathogens, and opportunistic pathogens for humans and animals. Most Streptococcus species of veterinary relevance have a specific ecological niche, such as S. uberis, which is almost exclusively an environmental pathogen causing bovine mastitis. In contrast, S. suis can be considered as a true zoonotic pathogen, causing specific diseases in humans after contact with infected animals or derived food products. Finally, Streptococcus species such as S. agalactiae can be sporadically zoonotic, even though they are pathogens of both humans and animals independently. For clarification, a short taxonomical overview will be given here to highlight the diversity of streptococci that infect animals. Several families of antibiotics are used to treat animals for streptococcal infections. First-line treatments are penicillins (alone or in combination with aminoglycosides), macrolides and lincosamides, fluoroquinolones, and tetracyclines. Because of the selecting role of antibiotics, resistance phenotypes have been reported in streptococci isolated from animals worldwide. Globally, the dynamic of resistance acquisition in streptococci is slower than what is experienced in Enterobacteriaceae, probably due to the much more limited horizontal spread of resistance genes. Nonetheless, transposons or integrative and conjugative elements can disseminate resistance determinants among streptococci. Besides providing key elements on the prevalence of resistance in streptococci from animals, this article will also largely consider the mechanisms and molecular epidemiology of the major types of resistance to antimicrobials encountered in the most important streptococcal species in veterinary medicine.

#### TAXONOMIC OVERVIEW OF STREPTOCOCCI

More than 60 *Streptococcus* species have been recognized so far. Some of these, such as *S. pyogenes*, *S. agalactiae*, *S. equi*, *S. canis*, and *S. iniae*, produce hemolytic factors and, when cultivated on solid media containing blood, can be classified as beta-hemolytic. However, nonhemolytic variants can also be observed (1). Isolates belonging to other species, such as S. dvsgalactiae subsp. dysgalactiae, S. pneumoniae, S. mutans, S. salivarius, S. sanguinis, S. gordonii, S. mitis, and S. oralis, produce hydrogen peroxide that partially lyses the erythrocytes, with the subsequent oxidation of the heme group resulting in a greenish pigment in the medium that is often interpreted as alpha-hemolysis. This oxidation process is influenced by several cultivation conditions and is variably evident. For this reason, it is preferable to consider those latter-mentioned species as nonhemolytic. The truly nonhemolytic species, mainly encompassing S. gallolyticus (formerly S. bovis), were also named gamma-hemolytic. A classification of Streptococcus species proposed by Rebecca Lancefield in the 1930s was based on the antigenic reaction of the cell wall-associated carbohydrates and remains classically used (2). On the basis of this approach, streptococci are distributed into groups ranging from A to W, depending on the antibodies recognizing the specific carbohydrates of a definite streptococcal species. Nevertheless, the whole picture is sometimes complicated

Citation: Haenni M, Lupo A, Madec J-Y. 2018. Antimicrobial resistance in *Streptococcus* spp. *Microbiol Spectrum* 6(2):ARBA-0008-2017. doi:10.1128/microbiolspec.ARBA-0008-2017. Correspondence: Marisa Haenni, marisa.haenni@anses.fr

© 2018 American Society for Microbiology. All rights reserved.

Received: 30 January 2017, Accepted: 4 January 2018, Published: 29 March 2018

**Editors:** Frank Møller Aarestrup, Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark; Stefan Schwarz, Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany; Jianzhong Shen, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China, and Lina Cavaco, Statens Serum Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark

by the fact that several antibodies can react with isolates belonging to the same species. For instance, depending on the isolates, *S. dysgalactiae* subsp. *equisimilis* may be classified as belonging to the C or G group, while it may also be classified, even though less commonly, as group A or L (3); isolates from *S. phocae* may belong to either the C or G group; isolates from *S. infantarius* are sporadically considered as group D; isolates from *S. anginosus* are indifferently classified as group A, C, G, F, or N; isolates from *S. constellatus* subsp. *constellatus* belong to either group F or N; sporadic isolates belonging to *S. constellatus* subsp. *pharyngis* can be considered as group C; isolates from the *S. intermedius* species can be considered as group N; and finally, isolates belonging to *S. porcinus* are classified in either group P, U, or V.

In the following sections, the most relevant *Strepto-coccus* species responsible for diseases in animals and/ or humans will be summarized. The relative resistances to selected antibiotics will be discussed in the sections on macrolides-lincosamides-streptogramins B tetracyclines, beta-lactam resistance, fluoroquinolone resistance, and integrative and conjugative elements (ICEs).

## Group A

Streptococci have diverse ecological origins, and certain species are exclusively adapted to a unique host as exemplified by the beta-hemolytic *S. pyogenes*, which is considered as the most pathogenic type of streptococcus for humans, together with *S. pneumoniae*, and is responsible for pharyngitis, erysipelas, and other invasive diseases such as soft tissue infection, rheumatic fever, glomerulonephritis, and streptococcal toxic shock syndrome (STSS) (4, 5). The finding of *S. pyogenes* in animals has been debated. According to Copperman (6), pets could have been the source of contagious pharyngitis (6), but no expansion of these findings has been reported, supporting the hypothesis that humans are the exclusive reservoir of *S. pyogenes*.

## Group B

The beta-hemolytic *S. agalactiae*, or group B streptococci, according to the Lancefield's classification, is a commensal of the human intestinal and urogenital tract and is infamous as a human pathogen causing severe diseases such as pneumonia, sepsis, and meningitis in newborns and pregnant women; recently, its pathogenic importance in elderly and immunocompromised patients has been re-evaluated ( $\underline{T}$ ). *S. agalactiae* is also an animal pathogen and has been reported from a variety of hosts such as fish with meningoencephalitis ( $\underline{8}$ ), camels with mastitis and joints infections ( $\underline{9}$ ), and horses with unspecified disease or death (10). Classically, *S. agalactiae* has been associated with mastitis in cows (11), and the zoonotic potential of *S. agalactiae* is debated. On one side, genomic comparative approaches highlight a specific host adaptation of *S. agalactiae* isolates causing infections (12); on the other side, infections of humans from consumption of fish infected by *S. agalactiae* has recently been documented (13). Also, experimental infections of fish with *S. agalactiae* isolates of human origin have resulted in fish death (14). Globally, the hygienic control measures implemented for controlling contagious bovine mastitis have contributed to a sharply decreased prevalence of *S. agalactiae* in the veterinary sector (15, 16).

## Group D

Group D streptococci were divided into two diverging groups in the early 1980s: *S. feacalis* and *S. faecium*, which were renamed *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Enterococcus faecium* (<u>17</u>). Since then, several new species have been added to the *Enterococcus* genus (<u>18</u>), which will not be discussed in this review.

Formerly, S. bovis was included in the viridans group of streptococci, and its taxonomy has been reviewed in several studies. Overall, several previously identified S. bovis isolates were classified as group D according to Lancefield's reaction, as shown in Table 1. Molecular evidence has provided the basis for the classification of the former S. bovis isolates into five species: S. gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus, S. gallolyticus subsp. pasteurianus, S. gallolyticus subsp. macedonicus, S. infantarius subsp. infantarius, and S. lutetiensis (19). Isolates belonging to S. gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus have been found as commensals of the gastrointestinal tract of humans and animals but also cause invasive diseases such as sepsis, endocarditis, arthritis, and meningitis in both humans and animals (Table 1). The transmission of S. gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus between animals and humans has been reported (20), highlighting the zoonotic potential of this species. S. gallolyticus subsp. pasteurianus is an emergent infective agent in human medicine that is responsible for sepsis, bone and joint infections, and meningitis (21-23). In birds, this bacterium is responsible for similar diseases (24, 25). S. lutetiensis has rarely been associated with infective endocarditis and sepsis (26).

## Group E

In Lancefield's group E, *S. porcinus* is typically associated with sepsis, endocarditis, pneumonia, and lymphadenitis in swine (27). Infections sustained by *S. porcinus* 

Lancefield group	Hemolysin	Species	Host	Associated disease	References
В	Beta	S. agalactiae	Human	Sepsis, meningitis, pneumonia, joint and urinary tract infections	<u>7, 11, 199, 200</u>
			Cows, camels, horses, dolphins, fish	Mastitis, joint infection, meningitis, death	
С	Alpha	S. dysgalactiae subsp. dysgalactiae	Humans	Endocarditis, joint infection, cellulitis	<u>48, 201, 202</u>
			Fish, cows	Tissue necrosis, mastitis	
	Beta	S. dysgalactiae subsp. equisimilis	Humans	STSS, sepsis, soft tissue infections, pneumonia, pharyngitis	<u>33</u> , <u>203</u> – <u>210</u>
			Swine, seals, horses	Arthritis, endocarditis, lymphadenitis, joint infection, strangles-like disease, respiratory tract infection	
	Beta	S. equi subsp. equi	Horses	Strangles disease	<u>32</u>
	Beta	S. equi subsp. zooepidemicus	Humans	Nephritis, STSS	<u>33</u>
			Sheep, horses	Mastitis, lymphadenitis, joint and respiratory infections, endometritis	<u>31</u> , <u>32</u> , <u>211</u>
	Beta	S. phocae	Fish, seals	Respiratory infections, abortions, sepsis	<u>212</u>
D		S. gallolyticus spp. gallolyticus	Humans	Sepsis, endocarditis, arthritis, meningitis	<u>213</u> – <u>216</u>
			Koalas, birds	Intestinal colonizer, endocarditis, sepsis	
		S. gallolyticus spp. pasteurianus	Humans	Sepsis, bone and joint infections, meningitis	<u>21</u> – <u>25</u>
			Birds	Sepsis, meningitis	
E	Beta	S. porcinus	Humans	Urinary tract, placenta, and wound infections, sepsis	<u>27, 28, 217, 218</u>
			Swine	Endocarditis, respiratory tract infection, sepsis	
G	Beta	S. canis	Humans	Skin, soft-tissue and respiratory infections, sepsis	<u>34, 35, 219, 220</u>
			Dogs, cats	Skin, soft-tissue, and urinary tract infections, otitis, arthritis, STSS	
R	Nonhemolytic/ beta	S. suis	Humans	Sepsis, meningitis, endocarditis, STSS	<u>37</u> , <u>221</u> – <u>225</u>
			Swine, boars, rabbits	Sepsis, meningitis, pneumonia, arthritis	
Undefined	Beta	S. iniae	Humans	Soft-tissue infection	<u>48</u> , <u>49</u>
			Dolphins, fish	Abscess, streptococcosis, sepsis	<u>226-231</u>
		S. uberis/S. parauberis	Cows, horses	Mastitis	<u>11, 16, 30, 43</u>

TABLE 1 Overview of streptococci causative of infections in humans and animals<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Isolates belonging to *S. dysgalactiae* subsp. *equisimilis* react with antigen G with comparable prevalence of antigen C reaction, whereas reaction with antigens A and L are less common; isolates belonging to *S. phocae* react also with antigen G; isolates belonging to *S. porcinus* react also with antigens P, U, and V. Nonhemolytic variant can be recovered among isolates of *S. agalactiae* and *S. dysgalactiae* subsp. *equisimilis*.

have also occurred in humans (28); however, *S. porcinus* isolates infecting humans seem to have a different origin when compared to *S. porcinus* isolates of nonhuman sources (29).

## Groups C and G

*S. dysgalactiae* subsp. *dysgalactiae* belongs to Lancefield's group C and G and plays a major role in mastitis (<u>30</u>). On rare occasions, it has also been found in necrotic tissues of fish and in humans, as responsible for various diseases (<u>Table 1</u>). In the same Lancefield's groups, *S. dysgalactiae* subsp. *equisimilis* is a beta-hemolytic bacterium found associated with strangles-like diseases in horses and with

arthritis and endocarditis in swine. Unfortunately, this species is also associated with invasive diseases in humans, such as STSS and sepsis (Table 1). S. equi subsp. zooepidemicus organisms react with group C and G Lancefield's antigens as well. This organism is commonly found in bovine mastitis (90, 94, 137; also see Table and Table 3) and has sporadically been found associated with mastitis in sheep (31) and is most prevalent in equine infective diseases as the causative agent of joint and respiratory tract infections (32). This species also causes severe infections in humans in association with the consumption of contaminated dairy products (33). Transmission of S. canis isolates belonging to Lancefield's

group C and G between pets and humans seems conceivable (34). This bacterium is responsible for arthritis in cats and humans (35) and endocarditis and skin, soft tissue, and urinary tract infections in dogs and humans. Skin lesions seem to represent the entry portal for establishing infections in humans (34, 36). In contrast, *S. equi* subsp. *equi*, belonging to Lancefield's groups C and G and responsible for strangles disease in horses, is exclusively animal adapted (32).

## Group R

S. suis is a major pathogen for swine and causes meningitis, endocarditis, sepsis, arthritis, and pneumonia. In humans, S. suis is mostly responsible for meningitis and STSS. It is a well-recognized zoonotic agent, and indeed, human exposure to swine and swine-derived food products is a risk factor for infection by S. suis (37, 38). The production of a capsule seems to have a major role in pathogenesis, and capsular types 2 and 14 are the most prevalent among S. suis isolates that cause disease in humans (39).

## Non-Lancefield Streptococci

All other streptococci lacking the Lancefield antigens are thus considered non-Lancefield (or nontypable) streptococci. Several are frequently encountered in animals and are detailed below.

## S. uberis

If hygienic measures have been effective to control the dissemination of *S. agalactiae*, the same cannot be said for *S. uberis*, which remains a major animal pathogen and a leading cause of mastitis in cattle (<u>15</u>, <u>16</u>). This nonserotypable organism has an environmental origin, possesses a flexible metabolism, and is almost exclusively adapted to cattle (<u>40</u>). Infections can occur by a variety of strains, which in some cases are able to persist and propagate among different cows within a herd (<u>41</u>, <u>42</u>). Rarely, it has been responsible for mastitis in heifers, and even more rarely it has been isolated from shrimps (<u>43–45</u>). The control of *S. uberis* propagation is more challenging than that of other *Streptococcus* spp. probably because of its ability, among others, to survive on bedding material (<u>46</u>, <u>47</u>).

## S. iniae

Another nonserotypable species is *S. iniae*, which was primarily isolated from diseased dolphins and was subsequently confirmed as a major fish pathogen and as responsible for soft tissue infections in humans with zoonotic features (Table 1) (48-52).

## S. pneumoniae

S. pneumoniae is a major streptococcal pathogen of humans and is responsible for serious infections such as pneumonia and meningitis; reports of infections in animals are extremely rare and concern horses with respiratory tract infections (53). A recent publication also reported S. pneumoniae, probably of human origin, in wild and captive chimpanzees (54). The spread of this pathogen due to the migration of infected animals to other communities or the reintroduction into wild populations of formerly captive animals might be a real danger.

## Viridans streptococci

These organisms were defined as viridans because of the hemolytic features described above that produce a greenish pigmentation on blood agar, their absence of Lancefield antigens, and their resistance to the chemical compound optochin. Generally, viridans streptococci are implicated in the establishment of dental caries, arthritis, and infective endocarditis in humans (50-52).

Certain Streptococcus species, including S. sobrinus and S. mutans, the first representative of the mutans group; S. salivarius, S. vestibularis, and S. infantarius from the salivarius group; the anginosus group, including S. anginosus, S. constellatus, and S. intermedius; the sanguinus group, including S. sanguinis, S. parasanguinis, and S. gordonii; and most species of the mitis group, including S. mitis, S. oralis, S. cristatus, S. infantis, and S. peroris, have exclusive human adaptation; others have been found only in animal hosts, such as S. macacae from monkeys, S. ferus from wild rodents, S. orisratti from rats, and S. hyointestinalis and S. hyovaginalis from swine, with no associated diseases (55-59). Three species of the viridans group, namely S. sobrinus, S. criceti, and S. ratti, have been reported from humans and experimental rats, whereas S. alactolyticus has been found in swine, dogs, and humans (56, 60, 61); S. downei was isolated first from a monkey and more recently from human dental plaque (62, 63). Another species of the viridans group, S. pluranimalium, has been reported rarely. It was first reported in 1999 from bovine mastitis, and few clinical human cases have been reported since then (64, 65).

This brief introduction does not pretend to be exhaustive. The reviews by Póntigo et al. and Facklam provide a comprehensive nomenclature and classification of streptococci based on molecular and phenotypic features, respectively (1, 66).

#### EVOLUTION OF ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE IN VETERINARY STREPTOCOCCI

#### **Monitoring Programs**

Prevalence data on antimicrobial resistance were principally obtained through dedicated studies performed at the scale of a region, a country, or a consortium of countries. However, only monitoring programs can give an evolutionary picture of antimicrobial resistance rates over time. Consequently, surveillance systems are highly valuable to follow trends and detect emergent resistant phenotypes.

Several national surveillance and monitoring programs in veterinary medicine exist in Europe (67), including the Monitoring of Antimicrobial Resistance and Antibiotic Usage in Animals in the Netherlands program, the Swedish Veterinary Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring program (SVARM), the Danish Integrated Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring and Research Program, the German Resistance Monitoring in Veterinary Medicine program, and the French surveillance network for antimicrobial resistance in pathogenic bacteria of animal origin (RESAPATH). Two similar programs cover the North American continent, the National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System for Enteric Bacteria in the United States and the Canadian Integrated Program for Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance, and one reports Japanese data (the Japanese Veterinary Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring program). An additional industry-based pan-European monitoring program commissioned by the Executive Animal Health Study Center investigates pathogens from farm (VetPath) and companion animals (ComPath). In addition to the recurrently criticized lack of harmonization  $(\underline{67}, \underline{68})$ , a major feature of most programs is their main focus on bacteria of animal origin but of relevance for human health, such as zoonotics and commensal indicators. Accordingly, streptococci of animal origin were poorly included, and data on their resistance to antimicrobials remain limited at a global scale. Indeed, only two monitoring programs reported data on a long-term basis: the Monitoring of Antimicrobial Resistance and Antibiotic Usage in Animals in the Netherlands program from 2002 to 2008 and RESAPATH from 2006 until today. Other programs such as the Swedish Veterinary Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring program (in 2002), the German Resistance Monitoring in Veterinary Medicine program, and ComPath/VetPath have also documented antimicrobial resistance in streptococci, but on a sporadic basis.

#### Different Methodologies to Determine Antibiotic Resistance

Standard surveillance programs rely on phenotypic methods that are used in routine diagnostic laboratories. The most frequently used methods are antibiograms performed by disc diffusion and MIC performed by broth microdilution. These techniques generate qualitative or quantitative results, respectively, that are then interpreted according to official guidelines (EUCAST, CLSI, Antibiogram Committee of the French Microbiology Society (CA-SFM), etc.) so that the studied isolates can be classified as susceptible, intermediate, or resistant to the tested antibiotics. In the surveillance systems, the genotypic techniques are only optionally implemented as a second-line characterization.

This traditional approach may be disrupted by the democratization of next-generation sequencing methodologies. Recently, several publications proved the usefulness of large-scale genomic analyses for the efficient detection of resistance mechanisms and capsular types (69), for the sequence-based prediction of beta-lactam resistance using the penicillin-binding protein (PBP) transpeptidase signatures (70), and for the prediction of the antimicrobial profile and its potential evolution toward resistance over time (71). This is, of course, not an exhaustive list of publications using next-generation sequencing, especially in a field that is progressing very rapidly. There are still a couple of drawbacks to the direct implementation of nextgeneration sequencing in diagnostic laboratories, including the time needed to generate results (which exceeds the 48 hours traditionally needed for phenotypic testing) and the price. However, this methodology is so powerful that it will undoubtedly be used in monitoring programs, at least for long-term surveillance purposes.

#### Evolution of Resistance in Streptococcus spp.

The main *Streptococcus* species studied through monitoring programs were *S. uberis* and *S. dysgalactiae* isolated from bovine mastitis. *S. agalactiae* is also still considered a major streptococcal pathogen associated with bovine subclinical and mild to moderate clinical mastitis, but its incidence has drastically fallen in the past 20 years due to hygiene measures and guidelines for good practices. Consequently, *S. agalactiae* is now only rarely isolated from cattle mastitis, and the numbers are too small to be reliably reported. The evolution of antimicrobial resistance thus focuses on *S. uberis* and *S. dysgalactiae*. Here, we review data on resistance to the main antibiotics used in the treatment of animal infections due to *S. uberis* and *S. dysgalactiae*, i.e., penicillin G, tetracyclines, erythromycin, lincomycin, enrofloxacin, and streptomycin.

## Evolution of Antimicrobial Resistance in the Netherlands

The Monitoring of Antimicrobial Resistance and Antibiotic Usage in Animals in the Netherlands program reported antimicrobial resistance data on streptococci isolates collected from milk in the context of intramammary infections, but the monitoring of these pathogens stopped in 2008. From 2002 to 2008, the overall prevalence of resistance was quite stable for most antibiotics, with a seemingly upward trend for a few (72). Resistance to beta-lactams (represented by penicillin G and cefalotin) was only detected in rare cases of S. uberis and was absent in S. dysgalactiae. The highest rates of resistance were for tetracyclines (around 40% for S. uberis and 70% for S. dysgalactiae) and lincomycin (also around 40% for S. uberis and 25% for S. dysgalactiae). The discrepancy between the prevalence of tetracycline resistance in S. dysgalactiae compared to S. uberis is in accordance with what has been reported in other monitoring programs (see below) and numerous studies (see "Prevalence of Resistance to Macrolides among Streptococci of Bovine Origin" below). Resistance to erythromycin (around 20% for S. uberis and 10% for S. dysgalactiae) was systematically lower than for lincomycin, suggesting a significant prevalence of non-ermmediated mechanisms of resistance.

## RESAPATH, the Ongoing French Monitoring Program

RESAPATH is the only ongoing and long-term monitoring program for, among other topics, resistance to antimicrobials in streptococci in Europe. For S. uberis, from 2006 to 2015, antimicrobial resistance was tested on 600 to 1,500 isolates, depending on the nature of the antibiotics, and the global trend was quite stable for all antibiotics (Fig. 1). The highest prevalence of resistance was observed for enrofloxacin, which may be explained by the intrinsic low-level resistance of streptococci to fluoroquinolones. Indeed, in the RESAPATH network, resistance is defined as the addition of both resistant and intermediate phenotypes, which may lead to the overestimation of the prevalence of resistance in the case of fluoroquinolones. For erythromycin and lincomycin, the resistance rates decreased from 24% to 17% between 2006 and 2007, were stable during next 6 years until 2013, and increased again in 2014 to 2015 up to around 22% of resistant isolates. Both curves matched perfectly, indicating a cross-resistance to macrolides-lincosamides involving erm genes (see "Resistance to Macrolides, Lincosamides, and Streptogramin B" below). Tetracycline resistance is following a very slow upward trend (from 14% in 2006 to 21% in 2015), to be confirmed in the coming years. Finally, streptomycin is the antibiotic presenting the lowest prevalence of resistance (from 11 to 16% over the 10-year period of 2006 to 2015), albeit the highest among resistance to aminoglycosides. Indeed, rates of resistance to kanamycin and gentamicin only reached 5% and 3%, respectively, in 2015. However, these resistances are of major importance since they may result in the loss of synergy between aminoglycosides and beta-lactams, which is a frequently used combination in veterinary practice. Taken together, these data show globally high basal levels of resistance of streptococci to antimicrobials in 2006 and a slight but increasing prevalence, which will have to be monitored in the near future.

The number of S. dysgalactiae isolates that can be considered for global trends in prevalence is around 10 times lower than for S. uberis (25 compared to 235 isolates), so that the prevalence rates are subject to wider variations. However, the rates observed are different though more stable than for S. uberis. The antibiotic with the highest prevalence of resistance by far was tetracyclines. Up to 85% of the S. dysgalactiae isolates were resistant to this drug, which is in accordance with what has been reported in other studies (see "Prevalence of Resistance to Macrolides among Streptococci of Bovine Origin" below). Enrofloxacin presents fluctuating resistance rates of around 50%. Finally, erythromycin, lincomycin, and streptomycin present a stable prevalence of around 22%, 12%, and 6%, respectively. The 10% discrepancy between erythromycin and lincomycin resistance rates deserves special attention since it may signal a divergence in the resistance mechanisms involved compared to S. uberis.

Though originating from two countries only, these evolution rates constitute a starting point to address the issue of resistance in *Streptococcus* spp. in veterinary medicine. In line with these trends, the next sections will detail the epidemiology and mechanisms of resistance of the antibiotics mentioned above.

#### RESISTANCE TO MACROLIDES, LINCOSAMIDES, AND STREPTOGRAMIN B

Erythromycin was the first macrolide discovered in 1952 by McGuire as a natural product originating from *Streptomyces erythreus*. The other macrolides were derived from erythromycin by semi-synthesis, and their core unit consists of a lactone ring that can be constituted by 14, 15, and 16 carbon atoms. These molecules are bacteriostatic in staphylococci and bactericidal in





streptococci, inhibiting the protein translocation by binding to the 23S or 50S ribosomal subunit at peculiar residues (i.e., the guanine 2505, the uridine 2609, and the adenines 2058, 2059, and 2062) (73). Macrolides have a broad spectrum of action, being effective against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria and intracellular pathogens, and are reputed as valuable agents for their good pharmacodynamics properties, their relatively few side effects, and their good penetration in tissues. Lincosamides, including lincomycin and clindamycin, together with streptogramin B, including pristinamycin and quinupristin, are structurally unrelated to macrolides, but they have a common mechanism of action and, as a consequence, resistance to all these classes of antibiotics is crossed. In addition to the treatment of infections caused by intracellular pathogens, the usage of macrolides and lincosamides in human clinics is principally dedicated to the treatment of uncomplicated infections in patients who are allergic to beta-lactams. In veterinary medicine, macrolides and lincosamides are available as in-feed and injectable formulations and are used for the treatment of a variety of diseases ranging from respiratory tract infections to infective mastitis in food-producing animals, especially swine and cattle (74, 75). Frequently, macrolides and lincosamides are used in combination with other drugs such as aminoglycosides, ampicillin, colistin, tetracyclines, sulfonamides, and trimethoprim  $(\underline{76})$ . Certain macrolides were also used as growth promoters (council regulation EC2821/98, 17 December 1998). Unfortunately, shortly after the introduction of macrolides in human therapy, resistant isolates were recovered; the emergence of resistant isolates has also occurred in animals. In the following subsections we will describe the most common mechanisms of resistance to macrolides, lincosamides, and streptogramins B found in streptococci of animal origin.

#### Macrolides, Lincosamides, and Streptogramins B Resistance Determinants

#### Target modification

Ribosomal mutation in the residues crucial to the binding of macrolides results in cross-resistance to all macrolides, lincosamides, and streptogramins B conferring the so-called MLSb phenotype. Human clinical isolates with such mutations have been sporadically observed, probably because this mechanism requires mutations in all the operon copies encoding the ribosomal subunits.

## Target protection

The methylation of the adenine at position 2058 is enough to confer an MLSb resistance phenotype. This reaction is mediated by the methylases encoded by the *erm* (erythromycin ribosome methylation) gene family, originated by the natural producers of macrolides. In the presence of an Erm methylase, resistance to lincosamides and streptogramin B can be either constitutive or induced by the presence of erythromycin (Z3). Overall, this mechanism is the most prevalent method conferring resistance to macrolides in human and veterinary clinical isolates. Currently, about 40 variants of the *erm* gene have been reported, with *erm*(B) gene being the most prevalent (ZZ). It is often located on mobile genetic elements (MGEs) and associated with genes conferring resistance to tetracyclines (see below). These two factors have consistently contributed to the dissemination of resistance to macrolides (Z8).

## Efflux

The second most common mechanism of resistance to macrolides in streptococci is represented by the efflux mediated by the Mef efflux pumps. The *mefA* gene was described for the first time in S. pyogenes, and other variants have been reported since then that are principally represented by *mefE* and *mefI* ( $\frac{79}$ ). These efflux systems confer resistance to 14- and 15-carbon atom macrolides only, determining an M phenotype. Transcription of *mef* genes is coupled with the expression of msr genes encoding for an ATP-dependent efflux system. The presence of *mef* genes seems to be necessary to confer macrolide resistance ( $\underline{80}$ ). Genes of the *mef* family are often located on genetic units that can transfer by transformation, such as the MEGA (macrolide efflux genetic assembly) element harboring the *mefE* gene and conjugative transposons such as the Tn1207.3 harboring the *mefA* variant and the 5216IQ composite element harboring the *mefI* gene  $(\underline{81}-\underline{83})$ . With a lower prevalence, the mreA efflux pump has been reported as well (84). Finally, genes of the *lsa* family have been described, conferring cross-resistance to lincosamides, streptogramin A and pleuromutilins. These genes, encoding ATP-binding proteins, most likely promote the efflux of the antibiotics (192).

## **Drug modification**

Lincosamides can be inactivated by adenylation in position 3 by a 3-lincosamide-O-nucleotidyltransferase encoded by the *linB* gene conferring an L phenotype (<u>85</u>). The *linB* gene was first found in a clinical isolate of *E. faecium*. Its origin remains unknown because no similar sequence has been found in natural lincosamide producers. The *linB* gene was reported sporadically; however, its transfer to *S. agalactiae* has occurred and has been reported from a human clinical isolate in Canada (<u>86</u>). After the first description, the *linB* gene was renamed *lnuB*. Later, Achard et al. unveiled the mechanism behind the lincomycin resistance in an *S. agalactiae* isolate that was surprisingly susceptible to clindamycin. It consisted of a novel nucleotidyltransferase encoded by the *lnuC* gene (<u>87</u>). The LnuDadenylating clindamycin was later discovered in *S. uberis* (<u>88</u>).

Inactivation of macrolides can also be caused by phosphotransferases encoded by the *mph* gene families with differential affinity for the different macrolide types and lincosamides according to the variant of the *mph* gene expressed (<u>89</u>).

## Prevalence of Resistance to Macrolides among Streptococci of Bovine Origin

Studies of the resistance to macrolides in streptococci of bovine origin have focused on isolates responsible for clinical and subclinical mastitis. During an investigation of different streptococcal species from bovine mastitis during 2002 to 2003 in Portugal, Rato et al. found a constitutive MLSb phenotype in 11/60 S. agalactiae isolates; among those, 10 isolates were positive for the presence of an *erm*(B) gene and one harbored an *erm*(A) gene (90). Among S. dysgalactiae subsp. dysgalactiae isolates, 4/18 demonstrated a constitutive MLSb phenotype, with the presence of erm(A) and erm(B) in 1 and 3 isolates, respectively, whereas all the MLSb-resistant S. uberis isolates (8/30) harbored an erm(B) gene. An L phenotype was demonstrated in 11 isolates, 3 belonging to S. dysgalactiae subsp. dysgalactiae and 8 to S. uberis species, all of them harboring an *lnuB* gene. In 2005, Duarte et al. found that 8.5% (9/38) of S. agalactiae isolates from Brazil were resistant to erythromycin with a constitutive MLSb phenotype. All of the isolates harbored an *erm*(B) and an *mreA* gene; six of these coharbored erm(A) and were co-resistant to tetracyclines (29). Contemporaneously, Dogan et al. reported a 3.6% prevalence of erythromycin-resistant isolates among 83 S. agalactiae from bovines in New York. The resistance was mediated by Erm(B) in all isolates, and coresistance to tetracyclines was observed as well (91). A study from China was published in 2012 on 55 S. agalactiae isolates recovered from bovine mastitis during an undetermined period and reported a 23.5% rate of resistance to erythromycin. All isolates harbored the erm(B) gene (92). Pinto et al. analyzed 29 S. agalactiae isolates collected between 1980 and 2006 in Brazil. They found that 27% of the isolates were resistant to erythromycin, with erm(B) as the most prevalent gene, followed by the erm(A) variant. In this study, the presence of a *mef* allele was reported as well (93).

More recently, our investigation of 76 S. agalactiae isolates demonstrated an MLSb phenotype in eight isolates, including four isolates with a constitutive phenotype and four with an inducible phenotype. Six isolates harbored an *erm*(B) gene, and the two remaining ones harbored the erm(A) variant. In the same study, 4/32 isolates of S. dysgalactiae demonstrated the presence of an erm(B) gene; in this case also, the constitutive or inducible phenotypes were equally distributed (94). The erm(B) gene has also been confirmed as the most common gene conferring resistance to macrolides among S. dysgalactiae isolates; for instance, it was present in 4/4 isolates detected in dairy herds in the southwestern United States (95). We conducted a study to characterize the erythromycin resistance of 125 isolates of S. uberis collected from bovine mastitis during 2007 to 2008 in France. Overall, 111/125 isolates demonstrated an MLSb phenotype, constitutive in 42.3% of the isolates and inducible in the remaining ones. An *erm*(B) gene was present in all isolates. In this collection, 14 isolates demonstrated an L phenotype and harbored an *lnuB* gene. In one isolate, the less common *lnuD* gene was found as well (96). Contemporaneously, another study, conducted on dairy cows in Mayenne, France, confirmed MLSb resistance in 12 (12/55, 22%) S. uberis isolates, which all were positive for the erm(B) gene. An lnuB gene was present in four isolates with the L phenotype (97). In S. uberis, emergence of the mphB gene was documented in 2008 (98), but propagation of this mechanism has not occurred in a large scale.

Among others, the German Resistance Monitoring in Veterinary Medicine program has provided extensive data from Germany from 2007 to 2010 (Table 2). Several other studies from different parts of the world and based on the phenotypic characterization of mastitis isolates provided a comprehensive picture of the problematic link to the rise of resistance to macrolides in streptococci causing mastitis. An overview is provided in Table 2, and for exhaustive reports on temporal and geographical evolution of macrolide and lincosamide resistance in streptococci, we suggest the reports from Hendriksen et al. in 2008 and Thomas et al. in 2015 (99, 100). Overall, the lowest prevalence of macrolide and lincosamide resistance was observed in Sweden (101), and large differences in prevalence were observed among countries. However, no major variation was observed from one year to another in a single country.

 TABLE 2 Erythromycin and lincosamide resistance in streptococci in animal hosts<sup>a</sup>

Animal			Pactorial	No. of	Genetic determinants								Percentage of resistance (%) to			
host	Country	Year	species	isolates	mefA	mefE	msr	ermA	ermB	lnuB	lnuD	mph	mreA	М	L	Reference
Cattle	USA	ND	S. dysgalactiae	152										10	25.6	<u>136</u>
			S. uberis	133										9	42.9	
Cattle	France		S. uberis	55	0				12	4				22		<u>97</u>
Cattle	USA	ND	S. dysgalactiae	4	0	0		0	4					100		<u>95</u>
			S. uberis	20	0	0		0	12					60		
Cattle	China	ND	S. agalactiae	55	0			0	13					23.5		<u>92</u>
Cattle	Brazil	1980-2006	S. agalactiae	29	5			4	7	0				31	20.7	<u>93</u>
Cattle	France	1984-2008	S. agalactiae	76	0			2	6					10.1		<u>94</u>
			S. dysgalactiae	32	0			0	4					ND		
			S. uberis	101	0			0	75	5	1			ND		
Cattle	Brazil	1995-2000	S. agalactiae	38	0			6	9	0			9	8.5	8.5	<u>29</u>
Cattle	France	1995-2000	S. agalactiae	8										0	0	<u>134</u>
			S. dysgalactiae	41										16.7	11.9	
			S. uberis	50										28	36	
Cattle	Argentina	1999–2003	S. agalactiae	36										16.7	19.4	232
			S. dysgalactiae	8										12.5	12.5	
Cattle	USA	2000-2002	S. agalactiae	83	0			0	3					3.6		<u>91</u>
Cattle	Portugal	2002-2003	S. agalactiae	60	0			1	10	0				18.3	18.3	<u>90</u>
			S. dysgalactiae	18	0			1	3	3				22.2	38.9	
			S. uberis	30	0			0	8	8				26.7	26.7	
Cattle	Sweden	2002-2003	S. agalactiae	6										16.7	16.7	<u>133</u>
			S. dysgalactiae	152										0	0.7	
			S. uberis	113										0	0	

Cattle	Korea	2004-2008	S. agalactiae	5							0	60	<u>233</u>
			S. bovis group	24							12.5	33.3	
			S. uberis	99							34.3	41.4	
			S. oralis	30							36.7	36.7	
			S. salivarius	13							0	69.2	
			S. intermedius	7							42.8	71.4	
Cattle	Turkey	ND	S. agalactiae	5							0	40	<u>169</u>
			S. uberis	18							11	17	
Cattle	France	2007–2008	S. uberis	125	0		0	111	3	0	ND	ND	<u>96</u>
Cattle	Estonia	2007–2009	S. agalactiae								1.3	6.2	<u>168</u>
			S. dysgalactiae								6.7	7.8	
			S. uberis								8.2	6.6	
Cattle	Germany	2007-2010	S. agalactiae	101	2	13	0	15			16.8	ND	<u>234</u>
			S. dysgalactiae	100	2	1	0	10			11	ND	
			S. uberis	102	2	2	0	5			17.6	ND	
Cattle	Switzerland	2010-2012	S. dysgalactiae	46							2.2	2.2	<u>238</u>
			S. uberis	208							10.6	10.6	
Cattle	Switzerland	2011-2013	S. dysgalactiae	213							ND	37.4	<u>167</u>
			S. uberis	1,228							ND	49.7	
Swine	EU	1987–1997	S. suis	404							55.3		<u>139</u>
Swine	Denmark	1989-2002	S. suis	103				39			40.8		<u>237</u>
Swine	France	1996-2000	S. suis	110							78.2	78.2	<u>235</u>
Swine	Belgium	1999-2000	S. suis	87	0			62			71	71	<u>236</u>
Swine	Spain	1999-2001	S. suis	151							90.7	87.4	<u>143</u>
Swine	Italy	2003-2007	S. suis	57	0			44			81	81	<u>140</u>
Swine	China	2005-2007	S. suis	421							67.2	68.4	<u>142</u>
Swine	China	2005-2012	S. suis	96	18		0	35	0		38.5	38.5	<u>170</u>
Swine	China	2008-2010	S. suis	106	51	51		70			67.9	67.9	<u>146</u>
Swine	Brazil	2009-2010	S. suis	260							46.5	84.6	<u>144</u>
Swine	Korea	2010-2013	S. suis	227	39			218			94	95.6	<u>104</u>

<sup>a</sup>ND, not determined; M, macrolides; L, lincosamides.

# Prevalence of Resistance to Macrolides among Streptococci of Porcine Origin

Macrolides, lincosamides, and streptogramins B are widely used for the treatment of infections in swine. Unfortunately, it appears that the usage of these drugs has influenced the emergence of resistance in S. suis (102). High rates of resistance to these drugs were observed over time, ranging from 52% (11/21 isolates) in the first observation in Norway in 1986 (103) to 94% (216/226 isolates) in Korea during 2010 to 2013 (104). The most prevalent genetic determinant is the erm(B) gene, whereas mefA/E were sporadically detected in human isolates (105). Often, such resistances occur together with tetracycline resistance (see below). S. suis may also act as a reservoir of lincosamide resistance genes, as exemplified by the emergence of the *lnuE* gene, previously identified in S. suis, in staphylococcal isolates (106). The report from Hendriksen et al. shows a certain variability of erythromycin resistance in S. suis among European countries during 2002 to 2004 (107). In addition, other streptococcal species were rarely found to cause diseases in swine. Within the framework of the BfT-GermVET program, Lüthje and Schwarz reported the presence of S. dysgalactiae subsp. equisimilis in diseased swine, with 21 isolates demonstrating resistance to macrolides. Among those, 13 harbored an erm(B) gene, one an erm(B) gene together with *mefA* and *msrD*, and one a *lnuB* gene (108).

In all, such an alarming prevalence of macrolide resistance in a relevant zoonotic pathogen such as *S. suis* highlights the need to prevent infections through appropriate hygienic measures.

#### Prevalence of Resistance to Macrolides among Streptococci from Non-Food-Producing Animals

In Brazil, six isolates of *S. dysgalactiae* subsp. *equisimilis* from horses were included in a study of antimicrobial resistance in humans, and prevalence data on resistance to macrolides were similar in isolates from the two sectors (109). In Germany, an *S. equi* subsp. *zooepidemicus* isolate with an M phenotype was recovered from a horse and harbored a *mefA* and an *msrD* gene. *S. canis* resistant to macrolides, mostly with an MLSb phenotype, has been reported from diseased dogs in Denmark and Germany (110). From dogs and cats, six *S. dysgalactiae* subsp. *equisimilis* macrolide-resistant isolates were found in Germany with five isolates harboring an *erm*(B) gene and one harboring a *mefA* and a *msrD* gene (108).

## TETRACYCLINES

Tetracyclines, which were discovered in the late 1940s, are bacteriostatic antibiotics that block bacterial protein synthesis by preventing the attachment of aminoacyltRNA to the ribosomal acceptor A site (111). Because of their broad-spectrum activity against both Grampositive and Gram-negative bacteria, they rapidly became one of the most widely used antibiotics (112), and consequently, the first resistant isolate was reported in 1953 in Shigella dysenteriae (113). Tetracycline resistance rapidly and broadly disseminated in bacteria of human, animal, and environmental origin and is now considered one of the most frequently seen resistances to antimicrobials (114). In humans, tetracyclines have largely been supplanted by beta-lactams, while they remain one of the main classes of antibiotics used in veterinary medicine  $(\underline{115}-\underline{117})$ . In animals, tetracyclines are also considered as growth-promoting factors when mixed with food at subtherapeutic levels, in order for food-producing animals to gain weight more quickly (111). This practice was banned in Europe at the latest on 1 January 2006 since it can promote resistance selection, as exemplified by the increase of vancomycinresistant enterococci in animals through the use of the glycopeptide avoparcin (118). However, growth promoters are still authorized in many countries worldwide, such as in the United States, and tetracycline is again the most frequently used antibiotic class (117). The past and present excessive use of tetracyclines first of all hampers the efficacy of these molecules, but may also have unexpected side effects such as the selection of hypervirulent S. agalactiae clones worldwide and increasing numbers of neonate infections (119).

#### **Tetracycline Resistance Determinants**

A total of 46 tet or otr genes have been identified as tetracycline resistance determinants in 126 genera (120, 121). They are commonly divided into two main groups characterized by their mode of action: the genes coding for efflux proteins and those coding for ribosomal protection enzymes (120). In Streptococcus spp., tet(K), tet(L), tet(M), tet(O), tet(Q), and tet(T) are the most frequently reported genes (111, 113, 121). Tet(K) and Tet(L) code for membrane-associated efflux systems that share nearly 60% amino acid identity (111) and confer resistance to tetracycline but not to minocycline. The *tet*(K) gene was discovered on a pT181 plasmid in Staphylococcus aureus (122), whereas tet(L) was found associated with small non-conjugative plasmids in streptococci (123). In contrast, Tet(M), Tet(O), Tet(S), Tet(Q), Tet(T), and the more recently identified Tet(W)

are enzymes that protect the ribosome from the action of tetracycline, a mechanism conferring resistance to all available antibiotics of the tetracycline family. The tet(M) gene was concomitantly identified with tet(L)on streptococcal plasmids (123). It has now been extensively detected and studied in both Gram-negative and Gram-positive species and is often found on ICEs of the Tn916-Tn1545 family (<u>124–127</u>). Since the Tn916-Tn1545 elements also encode, among others, resistance to erythromycin and kanamycin, these mobile determinants promote the emergence of multiresistant isolates, as exemplified by their frequent association with the tet(M) and erm(B) resistance genes in streptococci isolates (120). The dissemination of the remaining protecting enzymes—Tet(O) (which was first discovered in Campylobacter coli [128]), Tet(S) (discovered in Listeria monocytogenes [129]), Tet(Q) (first described in Bacteroides species [130]), and the closely related Tet(T) (first detected in S. pyogenes [131])—was less efficient than the diffusion of Tet(M), probably because of the localization of the corresponding genes, which have never been reported on conjugative transposons such as Tn916 and Tn1545, mentioned above. Of note, Tet(M), Tet(O), Tet(S), and Tet(Q) are closely related since they share around 78% sequence identity (113), even though they can easily be differentiated using specific primers. Tet(W) is the latest protection enzyme detected in streptococci and was first identified in Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens (132).

#### Prevalence of Tetracycline Resistance among Streptococci of Bovine or Ovine Origin

Most studies reporting tetracycline resistance in isolates of bovine or ovine origin were performed on S. uberis, S. dysgalactiae, and S. agalactiae in the context of clinical or subclinical mastitis. Tetracycline is often the antibiotic presenting the highest prevalence of resistance. When considering the CLSI breakpoints which categorize as tetracycline resistant all isolates presenting an MIC of >4 mg/liter, resistance rates in S. uberis ranged from 1.8 to 4% in Sweden between 2002 and 2009 up to 60% in Portugal in 2003 (<u>90</u>, <u>101</u>, <u>133</u>), with intermediate prevalence of 12.9 to 22% in France, 15% in England, 27.1% in the United States (1997 to 1999), and 44% in Italy (99, 134-136). In S. dysgalactiae prevalence is overall higher, ranging from 6% in Sweden to 76.6% in the Netherlands and 100% in Portugal and France (90, 94). Prevalence rate figures are less frequently available for S. agalactiae but also suggest a very high frequency of tetracycline resistance, with 33.4% in Sweden in 2002 and 37.5% in France in 2000 (133, <u>134</u>).

Only a few studies have reported the molecular characterization of *tet* resistance genes (Table 3) in streptococci of bovine origin. When reported, the *tet* genes did not have a bacterial specificity and were often described in combination, such as tet(M)/tet(O), tet(M)/tet(K), or tet(O)/tet(K) (90, 94, 137, 138).

Most studies detailed here were performed in Europe in the 2000s. Having a better and updated view of the evolution of resistance in veterinary streptococci would require monitoring of tetracycline resistance in bacteria from animal origins at a larger scale.

#### Prevalence of Tetracycline Resistance among Streptococci of Porcine Origin

In line with S. suis being the major Streptococcus spp. in swine, numerous publications have reported antimicrobial resistance in this pathogen, mostly in diseased animals. Tetracycline, as already noted for streptococci of bovine or ovine origin, is often the antibiotic presenting the highest prevalence of resistance. The lowest rates were reported in the oldest European isolates studied (no resistance in Danish isolates collected in 1967 to 1981 and 7.7% in Swedish isolates collected in 1992 to 1997) and in the context of the ARBAO-II study performed in 2002 to 2004 (48% resistance in the Netherlands and 52.2% in Denmark in 2003) (102, 107). Higher rates were then reported in the United States (66.7% in 1986), Spain (68.0% in 2004), Poland (64.0% in 2004), Japan (86.9%), Italy (89.5%), and a pan-European study (75.1%) (<u>107</u>, <u>139</u>– 141). Several studies showed resistance rates greater than 90%, with 91.7%, 95.4% and 97.9% in China, Spain, and Brazil, respectively (142-144).

A few molecular studies detailed the *tet* genes responsible for the phenotypic resistance detected. Tet(O) is by far the most commonly detected enzyme in *S. suis*, while Tet(M) has also been reported (Table 3), either alone or in association with other Tet determinants, in particluar Tet(O). The distribution of these *tet* genes may also vary depending on the serotype, but further work on larger cohorts is needed to have statistically relevant data. The *tet*(W) gene was repeatedly reported in *S. suis*, initially in a human patient in Italy, but then also in pig isolates (140, 145, 146). Of note, the only occurrence of *tet*(B) in streptococci was reported in 17 *S. suis* isolates (17/111, 15%) in the United States (147).

#### Tetracycline Resistance among Other Streptococci

Tetracycline resistance in *S. canis* was reported from diseased cats and dogs, with a prevalence of 32.1% in France, 23.5% in Japan, and 27% in Portugal (including

						Tetr	acycline	genes				
			Bacterial	No of	Ef	Ribosomal Efflux protection		- - No of TetR	Percentage of			
Animal host	Country	Year	species	isolates	tet(K)	tet(L)	tet(M)	tet(O)	tet(S)	isolates <sup>b</sup>	resistance (%)	Reference
Cattle	USA	1990	S. agalactiae	39	0	0	NT <sup>a</sup>	7	NT	10	25.6	<u>137</u>
			S. dysgalactiae	21	1	1	NT	1	NT	9	42.9	
			S. uberis	11	1		NT	1	NT	2	18.2	
Cattle	France	1984-2008	S. agalactiae	76	NT	NT	16	13	1	30	39.5	<u>94</u>
			S. dysgalactiae	32	NT	NT	5	4	4	32	100.0	
			S. uberis	101	NT	NT	23	36	3	62	61.4	
Cattle	Portugal	2002-2003	S. agalactiae	60	34	NT	13	20	0	34	56.7	<u>90</u>
			S. dysgalactiae	18	0	NT	6	6	0	18	100.0	
			S. uberis	30	0	NT	2	9	8	18	60.0	
Ovine	Italy	2004-2014	S. uberis	51	9	NT	12	12	NT	18	35.3	<u>138</u>
Pig	USA	1986	S. suis	21	0	0	5	NT	NT	14	66.7	<u>103</u>
Pig	Denmark	1989-2002	S. suis	103	NT	0	11	6	0	25	24.3	<u>237</u>
Pig	Italy	2003-2007	S. suis	57	0	0	2	38	0	51	89.5	<u>140</u>
Pig	China	2005-2012	S. suis	62	NT	NT	53	42	NT	57	91.9	<u>170</u>
				34	NT	NT	24	9	NT	28	82.4	
Pig	China	2008-2010	S. suis	106	NT	2	16	86	1	105	99.1	<u>146</u>
Dog/cat	France	2010	S. canis	112	NT	1	31	16	5	36	32.1	<u>148</u>
Dog/cat	Japan	2015	S. canis	68	0	0	13	10	NT	16	23.5	<u>149</u>
Dog/cat/horse/ human <sup>c</sup>	Portugal	2000-2010	S. canis	85	NT	1	11	8	1	23	27.0	<u>34</u>

#### TABLE 3 Distribution of the tetracycline resistance genes in streptococci in animal hosts

<sup>a</sup>NT, not tested.

<sup>b</sup>Discrepancies between the number of tetracycline-resistant isolates and the genes identified may be due to either unidentified genes or to isolates presenting an association of two or three *tet* genes. <sup>c</sup>Human isolates could not be individualized. a few isolates from horses and humans) (34, 148, 149). In these studies, tetracycline resistance was due principally to the presence of the tet(M) and tet(O) genes, alone or in combination. A study performed in Belgium on healthy individually owned cats and groups of cats noted a higher prevalence of tetracycline resistance in a cattery (52%) compared to individual animals (22.2%), most likely due to the clonal transmission of resistant strains in the cattery (150).

#### **BETA-LACTAM RESISTANCE**

Beta-lactams are the largest family of antibiotics available in both human and veterinary medicine. All members of this family act on the bacterial cell wall by covalently blocking the PBPs and thus impairing the continuous building of this protecting structure. Currently, bacterial resistance to the last generations of beta-lactams is one of the most challenging issues in both human and animal medicine. The key threats are the worldwide emergence and dissemination of inactivating enzymes such as extended-spectrum beta-lactamases, cephalosporinases (AmpCs), and carbapenemases in Gram-negative bacteria. All these resistance determinants are carried by plasmids and thus display a high capacity to efficiently disseminate in an intra- or interspecies manner. In Gram-positive bacteria, methicillinresistant S. aureus-which possesses an additional PBP2A presenting a decreased affinity to beta-lactams-remains an issue in human medicine, despite the fact that its prevalence in hospitals has been considerably reduced in the past decades with improvements of hygiene measures. In veterinary medicine, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus *pseudintermedius* is known to cause serious treatment challenges because of its associated multiresistance. However, the success of both methicillin-resistant S. aureus and methicillin-resistant S. pseudintermedius is more due to epidemic bursts of successful clones than to the mobilization of the mecA-carrying cassette.

Streptococci are unique among the major pathogens in the sense that they are incapable of acquiring any exogenous beta-lactam resistance genes. However, they can progressively mutate their own PBPs. Indeed, no isolate carrying a beta-lactamase (such as Gram-negative bacteria) or a new PBP (such as staphylococci) has been described yet, and a few species, including *S. pyogenes*, are even unable to develop decreased susceptibility to beta-lactams *in vitro* (151).

#### Beta-Lactam Resistance in Streptococci

To achieve beta-lactam resistance, streptococci sequentially modify their PBPs, specifically the class B PBP2B and PBP2X (and the class A PBP1A in the more resistant isolates). This was particularly exemplified in S. pneumoniae, the only Streptococcus spp. for which penicillin-resistance was successfully achieved and widely disseminated, where both mutated and mosaic PBPs were reported. Other less-documented genes were sporadically reported as PBP-independent penicillin-resistance mechanisms. These include the MurMN operon encoding enzymes that are responsible for the biosynthesis of branched muropeptide components (152), the ciaRH operon, a two-component signal-transducing system (153), the *adr* gene coding for a peptidoglycan Oacetyltransferase (154), the *stkP* gene encoding a serine/ threonine kinase (155), the *pstS* gene encoding a subunit of a phosphate ABC transporter (156), and the *spr1178* gene encoding for a putative iron permease (157). Penicillin-resistant S. pneumoniae has widely disseminated through the success of a limited number of serotypes, selected mainly by the excessive use of antibiotics (158), but the prevalence of this resistance has considerably decreased since the early 2000s by both the reduced consumption of antibiotics and the marketing of efficient vaccines (159, 160). The presence and characterization of mutated PBPs in isolates presenting decreased susceptibilities to beta-lactam were also reported in S. agalactiae of human origin (69, 161, 162). Recently, a classification of S. agalactiae was proposed which takes into account the different mutations in the PBPs  $(\underline{163})$ .

In veterinary medicine, Streptococcus isolates presenting full penicillin resistance have only rarely been reported, and only a few molecular studies were conducted either on laboratory strains or on field isolates presenting reduced susceptibility to beta-lactams. The presence of three groups of PBPs (PBP 1, PBP 2, and PBP 3) was demonstrated in S. suis, and PBP modifications were strongly suggested to be responsible for penicillin Gresistant phenotypes in both *in vitro* mutants and field isolates (164). In S. uberis, Haenni et al. (165) showed that both a quality control strain and field strains were capable of developing a 60-fold MIC increase after 30 cycles of exposure to penicillin G. This increase was due to the accumulation of mutations in the class B (PBP 2B and PBP 2X) and A (PBP 1A) enzymes, including the systematic presence of the two specific  $E_{381}$ K and  $Q_{554}$ E mutations in the PBP 2X. Interestingly, PBP analysis of seven field strains collected in Switzerland, France, and Holland and presenting MICs of 0.25 to 0.5 mg/liter also revealed the systematic presence of these two key mutations (165). However, none of the tested strains (selected either in vitro or by treatment on farms) could achieve full resistance, since their MICs only reached

 $0.25\ {\rm to}\ 2\ {\rm mg/liter},$  which is still considered intermediately resistant.

#### Phenotypic Reports on Beta-Lactam Activity in Bovine Mastitis

In veterinary medicine, beta-lactam resistance has mostly been documented in bovine streptococci, namely *S. uberis*, *S. dysgalactiae*, and *S. agalactiae*. Data were gathered in cases of clinical and subclinical mastitis, a pathology for which the first-line treatment is betalactams. Comparison between studies can be difficult because of the heterogeneity of the methods (disc diffusion, agar diffusion, broth microdilution) and the guidelines used. If no interpretation was inferred, an isolate was considered resistant when the MIC was  $\geq$ 4 mg/liter according to the CLSI breakpoints.

Most studies based on the determination of MICs report the absence of penicillin G resistance in S. uberis and S. dysgalactiae, even though isolates presenting decreased susceptibilities (0.25 to 0.5 mg/liter) were regularly reported. In France, such nonsusceptible isolates were reported in 2002, with 14.0% of the S. uberis showing an MIC of 0.25 mg/liter (134), and a shift toward decreased susceptibilities was suggested in 2010 based on the comparison of disk diffusion and MIC results (166). In Sweden, two studies performed successively in 2003 (133) and 2008 to 2009 (101) showed a slight shift over the years toward decreased susceptibility, with 6.0% of the S. uberis isolates displaying an MIC of 0.25 mg/liter and 10.0% of the S. dysgalactiae isolates displaying an MIC of 0.12 mg/liter in 2009, whereas only 0.9% of the S. uberis isolates had an MIC of 0.25 mg/liter, and all the S. dysgalactiae isolates were fully susceptible (MIC, <0.06 mg/liter) in 2003. In the United States, one true resistant S. dysgalactiae isolate (MIC, 4 mg/liter) was detected over 152 strains tested, whereas 6.8% of the S. uberis isolates presented MICs of 0.5 mg/liter to penicillin, and one strain displayed an MIC of 1 mg/liter (136). The VetPath data (multicenter European data) collected between 2002 and 2006 showed no true resistance, but 29.8% of isolates presented decreased susceptibility (MICs ranging from 0.25 mg/liter to 1 mg/liter (100). Though without any MIC values, other studies also reported data on betalactam resistance in veterinary streptococci, often with a very low prevalence of resistance. One pan-European study performed in 2002 to 2004 showed very low levels (0 to 3.9%) of penicillin resistance (99). A Swiss study detected susceptibilities to ampicillin of 92.3% for S. uberis and 94.8% for S. dysgalactiae from cows sampled in 2011 to 2013 (167). Kalmus et al. showed 0 to 0.4% resistance to penicillin G, ampicillin, and cefalotin in Estonia between 2007 and 2009 (<u>168</u>). In France, 12.9% of the *S. uberis* and 1.4% of the *S. dys-galactiae* presented resistance patterns to oxacillin, but these were not confirmed by MIC determination (<u>135</u>). In Turkey, 94% of the *S. uberis* isolates showed susceptibility to penicillin G (<u>169</u>).

These different data confirm beta-lactams as efficient antibiotics against streptococci isolated from bovine mastitis. However, this slow but clear shift of strains from full toward decreased susceptibility will have to be surveyed in the future. Beta-lactam resistance development in streptococci surely does not present the same dynamic as in Gram-negative bacteria, where plasmids play a major role. However, this should not hide rampant and silent acquisition of beta-lactam resistance in streptococci of animal origin, which may one day limit the therapeutic arsenal available for veterinarians.

# Beta-Lactam Resistance Outside the Context of Cattle Mastitis

Antibiotic resistance was also monitored in S. suis isolated from pigs, and several studies reported the absence of resistance to any beta-lactams. Nevertheless, isolates presenting particularly high MICs to penicillin G were also recurrently reported, with unfortunately, no concomitant molecular work on the underlying mechanisms of resistance. Indeed, 4% of the Spanish isolates displayed MICs ranging from 4 to 16 mg/liter, whereas two Chinese studies reported 2.1% and 9.5% of isolates with an MIC of  $\geq 4$  mg/liter (<u>142</u>, <u>143</u>, <u>170</u>). In the Netherlands, 0.5% and 0.3% of over 1,163 isolates of S. suis tested were considered resistant to penicillin G and ampicillin between 2013 and 2015 (171). In Japan, Poland, and Portugal, resistance was reported in 0.9%, 8.1%, and 13.0% of the isolates, respectively (107, 141). However, despite these cases, beta-lactams can still be recommended as first-line antibiotics for the treatment of S. suis.

Aside from *S. suis* and streptococci isolated from bovine mastitis, reports of veterinary streptococci are quite rare. Beta-lactam resistance was described once in *S. dysgalactiae* subspecies *equisimilis* isolated from swine in Brazil and in four studies of *S. canis* isolated from pets and horses in France, Japan, Belgium, and Portugal (<u>34</u>, <u>148–150</u>, <u>172</u>), and these five studies found full susceptibility of all isolates to penicillin G.

## FLUOROQUINOLONE RESISTANCE

Quinolones are not active against streptococci, because of their intrinsic resistance. However, fluoroquinolones may be an alternative to beta-lactam antibiotics to treat streptococcal infections. The main agents used in veterinary medicine are enrofloxacin, marbofloxacin, danofloxacin, and the more recent pradofloxacin.

Resistance to fluoroquinolones is generally mediated by point mutations in the quinolone resistancedeterminants regions of the gyrA and parC genes (173). Furthermore, plasmidic qnr genes participate in the dissemination of low-level resistance, but they have never been reported in streptococci. Efflux pumps also play a role in fluoroquinolone resistance, as has been proved for the SatAB, an ABC transporter, in S. suis (174).

#### Fluoroquinolone-Resistance Phenotypes

Resistance to fluoroquinolones has rarely been reported in veterinary streptococci. Moreover, in the fluoroquinolone family, there is a wide variability of the agents tested (enrofloxacin and ciprofloxacin are the most frequently used), thus making comparisons among studies difficult.

A 1.5% prevalence of resistance to enrofloxacin in S. uberis and 5.5% in S. dysgalactiae was reported in France in 2010 (135). In the same bacterial species, MICs ranging from 0.5 to 2 mg/liter were observed in Sweden (101). These apparently elevated MICs are constitutive of streptococci, which have a basal MIC higher than that of Enterobacteriaceae or staphylococci. In a study performed between 1994 and 2001, the same range of MICs was reported, and no increase in the resistance rate was observed over the years (175). Except for three resistant strains (MIC, 4 mg/liter), all S. uberis and S. dysgalactiae isolates collected in a multicenter European study presented MICs to marbofloxacin ranging from 0.25 to 2 mg/liter (176). In S. suis, the resistance rates to enrofloxacin determined in a pan-European study performed in 2009 to 2012 and to ciprofloxacin determined in Japan in 1987 to 1996 were very similar: 0.7% and 0.3%, respectively (177). Enrofloxacin resistance was also observed in S. canis in France, where MICs ranged from 0.25 to 2 mg/liter (148). Streptococcus spp. isolated from cats and dogs were studied through the ComPath European network: no resistance was reported in dermatological samples, whereas 1.8% of the cats and 4.0% of the dogs presenting with a respiratory tract infection carried enrofloxacin-resistant streptococci (178, 179).

## ROLE OF THE ICES IN THE EVOLUTION OF RESISTANCE

MGEs play a major role in the dissemination of antibiotic resistance genes. MGEs mostly comprise conjugative plasmids, transposons, phages, and ICEs (initially named conjugative transposons). ICEs are chromosomal, self-transmissible MGEs that are capable of promoting their excision, conjugation, and site-specific integration in a recipient cell (<u>180</u>, <u>181</u>). One of the most emblematic members of the ICEs is the Tn916-Tn1545 family, which carries tet(M) and other antibiotic resistance genes (<u>126</u>, <u>127</u>, <u>182</u>, <u>183</u>).

ICEs have been widely reported in streptococci, and they were recently detected in all Streptococcus spp. for which at least one complete genome was available, with S. suis being the most "colonized" species (184, 185). In human clinical streptococcal isolates, erm and tet resistance genes were recurrently reported on ICEs, such as *erm*(B) on ICES*p*1116 and *erm*(TR)-*tet*(O) on ICESp2905 in S. pyogenes (186, 187), erm(TR) on ICESagTR7 in S. agalactiae (188), and erm(B) and tet(O) on ICESsD9 in S. suis (189). Interestingly, resistance genes can also be mobilized by coresident ICEs, as demonstrated by the mobilization of an *erm*(T)-carrying plasmid in S. dysgalactiae subsp. equisimilis (190). Other antibiotic resistance determinants can also be found on ICEs, as exemplified by the presence of tet(M) and a chloramphenicol acetyl-transferase on ICESp23FST81 from S. agalactiae (191), lincosamide resistance (lsa genes) on different ICEs in S. agalactiae (192), and a multidrug resistance cluster on ICESsuNC28 carried by S. suis (193). Moreover, ICEs originating from different streptococcal species may form hybrids that can further transfer in vitro to a third streptococcal species (194). This illustrates the wide distribution and the plasticity of these MGEs and thus their role in the dissemination of resistance genes. Finally, resistance determinants may be adjacent to-and not inside-an ICE. This is exemplified by the first vancomycin-resistance determinants in streptococci, the vanG operons, which were identified in one S. agalactiae and two S. anginosus isolates (195). These vanG operons were immediately followed by a large chromosomal element named ICE-r (ICE-like sequences). A plausible hypothesis is that the integration of ICE-r in the streptococcal chromosome may have favored the subsequent integration of the vanG element.

Except for elements from the Tn916-Tn1545 family which were broadly reported in different streptococcal species originating from diverse animal hosts (9, 94, 170, 196), ICEs carrying resistance genes have rarely been reported in streptococci from animal origin. Indeed, only the mosaic *tet*(O/W/32/O) carried on ICESsu32457 in S. suis, which could then be transferred to S. pneumoniae, S. pyogenes, and S. agalactiae (197), as well as the lincomycin-resistance gene *lsa* (192) were described on such MGEs. This will likely change in the near future, since new research perspectives are emerging with the democratized access to high-throughput sequencing technologies and the subsequent databases (<u>185</u>, <u>198</u>).

#### CONCLUSION

In veterinary medicine streptococci are frequent pathogens not only in food-producing but also in companion animals. However, as far as public health is concerned, there are few situations in which streptococci of animal origin may cause risk for humans, and vice-versa. Among those, S. suis and, to a lesser extent, S. agalactiae are likely the most relevant examples, and are both considered zoonotic pathogens. Studies of resistance to antimicrobials in streptococci of animal origin have largely focused on tetracyclines and macrolides/lincosamides, which are widely used in the animal sector globally. Accordingly, high resistance rates to these molecules have frequently been observed, which is also in line with specific niches covering major animal diseases, such as cattle mastitis of streptococcal origin. Molecular investigations highlighted the diversity of the resistance genes of the tet and erm families, together with the pivotal role of the ICEs. Nonetheless, most data originate from Europe, and there is a need for larger prevalence and molecular studies on a global scale. Of note, despite the wide use of penicillins to treat streptococcal infections, resistance to beta-lactams does not appear to be a crucial issue in veterinary streptococci, in contrast to what has been observed with the human-specific S. pneumoniae. In all, as in humans, antimicrobial resistance in Streptococcus of animal origin may largely differ depending on the Streptococcus sp. and therefore should not be considered as a whole.

#### REFERENCES

1. Póntigo F, Moraga M, Flores SV. 2015. Molecular phylogeny and a taxonomic proposal for the genus *Streptococcus*. *Genet Mol Res* 14:10905–10918 http://dx.doi.org/10.4238/2015.September.21.1.

2. Lancefield RC, Freimer EH. 1966. Type-specific polysaccharide antigens of group B streptococci. J Hyg (Lond) 64:191–203 <u>http://dx.doi.org</u> /10.1017/S0022172400040456.

3. Tanaka D, Isobe J, Watahiki M, Nagai Y, Katsukawa C, Kawahara R, Endoh M, Okuno R, Kumagai N, Matsumoto M, Morikawa Y, Ikebe T, Watanabe H, Working Group for Group A Streptococci in Japan. 2008. Genetic features of clinical isolates of *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* subsp. *equisimilis* possessing Lancefield's group A antigen. J Clin Microbiol 46: 1526–1529.

4. Brouwer S, Barnett TC, Rivera-Hernandez T, Rohde M, Walker MJ. 2016. *Streptococcus pyogenes* adhesion and colonization. *FEBS Lett* 590: 3739–3757 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1873-3468.12254</u>.

5. Carapetis JR, Steer AC, Mulholland EK, Weber M. 2005. The global burden of group A streptococcal diseases. *Lancet Infect Dis* 5:685–694 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(05)70267-X.

**6.** Copperman SM. 1982. Cherchez le chien: household pets as reservoirs of persistent or recurrent streptococcal sore throats in children. *N Y State J Med* **82**:1685–1687.

7. Farley MM. 2001. Group B streptococcal disease in nonpregnant adults. *Clin Infect Dis* 33:556–561 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/322696</u>.

8. Olivares-Fuster O, Klesius PH, Evans J, Arias CR. 2008. Molecular typing of *Streptococcus agalactiae* isolates from fish. *J Fish Dis* 31:277–283 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2761.2007.00900.x</u>.

9. Fischer A, Liljander A, Kaspar H, Muriuki C, Fuxelius HH, Bongcam-Rudloff E, de Villiers EP, Huber CA, Frey J, Daubenberger C, Bishop R, Younan M, Jores J. 2013. Camel *Streptococcus agalactiae* populations are associated with specific disease complexes and acquired the tetracycline resistance gene *tetM* via a Tn916-like element. *Vet Res (Faisalabad)* 44:86 http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1297-9716-44-86.

10. Yildirim AO, Lämmler C, Weiss R. 2002. Identification and characterization of *Streptococcus agalactiae* isolated from horses. *Vet Microbiol* 85:31–35 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1135(01)00481-3.

11. McDonald TJ, McDonald JS. 1976. Streptococci isolated from bovine intramammary infections. *Am J Vet Res* 37:377–381.

12. Brochet M, Couvé E, Zouine M, Vallaeys T, Rusniok C, Lamy MC, Buchrieser C, Trieu-Cuot P, Kunst F, Poyart C, Glaser P. 2006. Genomic diversity and evolution within the species *Streptococcus agalactiae*. *Microbes Infect* 8:1227–1243 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micinf.2005.11.010</u>.

13. Rajendram P, Mar Kyaw W, Leo YS, Ho H, Chen WK, Lin R, Pratim P, Badaruddin H, Ang B, Barkham T, Chow A. 2016. Group B streptococcus sequence type 283 disease linked to consumption of raw fish, Singapore. *Emerg Infect Dis* 22:1974–1977 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3201</u> /eid2211.160252.

14. Evans JJ, Klesius PH, Pasnik DJ, Bohnsack JF. 2009. Human Streptococcus agalactiae isolate in Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus). Emerg Infect Dis 15:774–776 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1505.080222</u>.

**15. Bradley A.** 2002. Bovine mastitis: an evolving disease. *Vet J* **164:116–** 128 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/tvjl.2002.0724</u>.

16. Leigh JA. 1999. *Streptococcus uberis*: a permanent barrier to the control of bovine mastitis? *Vet J* 157:225–238 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1053</u>/tvjl.1998.0298.

**17. Schleifer KH, Kilpper-Bälz R.** 1984. Transfer of *Streptococcus faecalis* and *Streptococcus faecium* to the genus *Enterococcus* nom. rev. as *Enterococcus faecalis* comb. nov. and *Enterococcus faecium* comb. nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **34:**31–34.

18. Köhler W. 2007. The present state of species within the genera *Streptococcus* and *Enterococcus*. Int J Med Microbiol 297:133-150 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmm.2006.11.008.

**19. Ben-Chetrit E, Wiener-Well Y, Kashat L, Yinnon AM, Assous MV.** 2016. *Streptococcus bovis* new taxonomy: does subspecies distinction matter? *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* **36**(2):387.

20. Dumke J, Hinse D, Vollmer T, Schulz J, Knabbe C, Dreier J. 2015. Potential transmission pathways of *Streptococcus gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus*. *PLoS One* 10:e0126507 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal</u>.pone.0126507.

21. Gherardi G, Palmieri C, Marini E, Pompilio A, Crocetta V, Di Bonaventura G, Creti R, Facinelli B. 2016. Identification, antimicrobial resistance and molecular characterization of the human emerging pathogen *Streptococcus gallolyticus* subsp. *pasteurianus*. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 86:329–335 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2016.09.019.

**22.** Sheng WH, Chuang YC, Teng LJ, Hsueh PR. 2014. Bacteraemia due to *Streptococcus gallolyticus* subspecies *pasteurianus* is associated with digestive tract malignancies and resistance to macrolides and clindamycin. *J Infect* **69**:145–153 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2014.03.010</u>.

23. Sturt AS, Yang L, Sandhu K, Pei Z, Cassai N, Blaser MJ. 2010. *Streptococcus gallolyticus* subspecies *pasteurianus* (biotype II/2), a newly reported cause of adult meningitis. *J Clin Microbiol* 48:2247–2249 http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00081-10.

24. Barnett J, Ainsworth H, Boon JD, Twomey DF. 2008. *Streptococcus gallolyticus* subsp. *pasteurianus* septicaemia in goslings. *Vet J* 176:251–253 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2007.02.011</u>.

25. Li M, Gu C, Zhang W, Li S, Liu J, Qin C, Su J, Cheng G, Hu X. 2013. Isolation and characterization of *Streptococcus gallolyticus* subsp. *pasteurianus* causing meningitis in ducklings. *Vet Microbiol* **162**:930–936 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2012.11.038.

26. Marmolin ES, Hartmeyer GN, Christensen JJ, Nielsen XC, Dargis R, Skov MN, Knudsen E, Kemp M, Justesen US. 2016. Bacteremia with the bovis group streptococci: species identification and association with infective endocarditis and with gastrointestinal disease. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 85:239–242 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2016.02.019</u>.

27. Wessman GE. 1986. Biology of the group E streptococci: a review. *Vet Microbiol* 12:297–328 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-1135(86)</u> 90081-7.

28. Facklam R, Elliott J, Pigott N, Franklin AR. 1995. Identification of *Streptococcus porcinus* from human sources. *J Clin Microbiol* 33:385–388.

29. Duarte RS, Barros RR, Facklam RR, Teixeira LM. 2005. Phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of *Streptococcus porcinus* isolated from human sources. *J Clin Microbiol* 43:4592–4601 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1128</u> /JCM.43.9.4592-4601.2005.

30. Jonsson P, Olsson SO, Olofson AS, Fälth C, Holmberg O, Funke H. 1991. Bacteriological investigations of clinical mastitis in heifers in Sweden. *J Dairy Res* 58:179–185 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022029900029721</u>.

**31. Cuccuru C, Meloni M, Sala E, Scaccabarozzi L, Locatelli C, Moroni P, Bronzo V.** 2011. Effects of intramammary infections on somatic cell score and milk yield in Sarda sheep. *N Z Vet J* **59**:128–131 <u>http://dx.doi</u>.org/10.1080/00480169.2011.562862.

**32.** Timoney JF. 2004. The pathogenic equine streptococci. *Vet Res* **35:**397–409 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/vetres:2004025</u>.

**33.** Hashikawa S, Iinuma Y, Furushita M, Ohkura T, Nada T, Torii K, Hasegawa T, Ohta M. 2004. Characterization of group C and G streptococcal strains that cause streptococcal toxic shock syndrome. *J Clin Microbiol* **42**:186–192 http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.42.1.186-192.2004.

34. Pinho MD, Matos SC, Pomba C, Lübke-Becker A, Wieler LH, Preziuso S, Melo-Cristino J, Ramirez M. 2013. Multilocus sequence analysis of *Streptococcus canis* confirms the zoonotic origin of human infections and reveals genetic exchange with *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* subsp. *equisimilis. J Clin Microbiol* 51:1099–1109 <u>http://dx.doi.org</u> /10.1128/JCM.02912-12.

**35.** Iglauer F, Kunstýr I, Mörstedt R, Farouq H, Wullenweber M, Damsch S. 1991. *Streptococcus canis* arthritis in a cat breeding colony. *J Exp Anim Sci* 34:59–65.

36. Lacave G, Coutard A, Troché G, Augusto S, Pons S, Zuber B, Laurent V, Amara M, Couzon B, Bédos JP, Pangon B, Grimaldi D. 2016. Endocarditis caused by *Streptococcus canis*: an emerging zoonosis? *Infection* 44:111–114 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s15010-015-0809-3.

**37. Goyette-Desjardins G, Auger JP, Xu J, Segura M, Gottschalk M.** 2014. *Streptococcus suis*, an important pig pathogen and emerging zoonotic agent: an update on the worldwide distribution based on serotyping and sequence typing. *Emerg Microbes Infect* **3:**e45 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038</u> /emi.2014.45.

**38. Segura M, Calzas C, Grenier D, Gottschalk M.** 2016. Initial steps of the pathogenesis of the infection caused by *Streptococcus suis*: fighting against nonspecific defenses. *FEBS Lett* **590**:3772–3799 <u>http://dx.doi.org</u> /10.1002/1873-3468.12364.

**39.** Taniyama D, Sakurai M, Sakai T, Kikuchi T, Takahashi T. 2016. Human case of bacteremia due to *Streptococcus suis* serotype 5 in Japan: the first report and literature review. *IDCases* **6:**36–38 <u>http://dx.doi.org</u> /10.1016/j.idcr.2016.09.011.

40. Ward PN, Holden MT, Leigh JA, Lennard N, Bignell A, Barron A, Clark L, Quail MA, Woodward J, Barrell BG, Egan SA, Field TR, Maskell D, Kehoe M, Dowson CG, Chanter N, Whatmore AM, Bentley

SD, Parkhill J. 2009. Evidence for niche adaptation in the genome of the bovine pathogen *Streptococcus uberis*. *BMC Genomics* 10:54–71 http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-10-54.

41. Phuektes P, Mansell PD, Dyson RS, Hooper ND, Dick JS, Browning GF. 2001. Molecular epidemiology of *Streptococcus uberis* isolates from dairy cows with mastitis. *J Clin Microbiol* **39:**1460–1466 <u>http://dx.doi</u>.org/10.1128/JCM.39.4.1460-1466.2001.

**42.** Wilesmith JW, Francis PG, Wilson CD. 1986. Incidence of clinical mastitis in a cohort of British dairy herds. *Vet Rec* **118:**199–204 <u>http://dx</u>..doi.org/10.1136/vr.118.8.199.

**43.** Compton CW, Heuer C, Parker K, McDougall S. 2007. Epidemiology of mastitis in pasture-grazed peripartum dairy heifers and its effects on productivity. *J Dairy Sci* **90:**4157–4170 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds</u>.2006-880.

44. Hasson KW, Wyld EM, Fan Y, Lingsweiller SW, Weaver SJ, Cheng J, Varner PW. 2009. Streptococcosis in farmed *Litopenaeus vannamei*: a new emerging bacterial disease of penaeid shrimp. *Dis Aquat Organ* 86:93–106 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/dao02132</u>.

**45.** Parker KI, Compton C, Anniss FM, Weir A, Heuer C, McDougall S. 2007. Subclinical and clinical mastitis in heifers following the use of a teat sealant precalving. *J Dairy Sci* **90:**207–218 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds</u>. <u>S0022-0302(07)72622-X</u>.

**46.** Dodd FH. 1983. Mastitis: progress on control. J Dairy Sci 66:1773–1780 http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(83)82005-0.

47. Zehner MM, Farnsworth RJ, Appleman RD, Larntz K, Springer JA. 1986. Growth of environmental mastitis pathogens in various bedding materials. *J Dairy Sci* 69:1932–1941 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022</u>-0302(86)80620-8.

**48.** Koh TH, Sng LH, Yuen SM, Thomas CK, Tan PL, Tan SH, Wong NS. 2009. Streptococcal cellulitis following preparation of fresh raw seafood. *Zoonoses Public Health* **56:**206–208 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1863</u>-2378.2008.01213.x.

49. Weinstein MR, Litt M, Kertesz DA, Wyper P, Rose D, Coulter M, McGeer A, Facklam R, Ostach C, Willey BM, Borczyk A, Low DE. 1997. Invasive infections due to a fish pathogen, *Streptococcus iniae*. *S. iniae* Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 337:589–594 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1056</u> /NEJM199708283370902.

50. Nilson B, Olaison L, Rasmussen M. 2016. Clinical presentation of infective endocarditis caused by different groups of non-beta haemolytic streptococci. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 35:215–218 <u>http://dx.doi</u>.org/10.1007/s10096-015-2532-5.

51. Simón-Soro A, Mira A. 2015. Solving the etiology of dental caries. *Trends Microbiol* 23:76–82 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2014</u>.10.010.

52. Yombi J, Belkhir L, Jonckheere S, Wilmes D, Cornu O, Vandercam B, Rodriguez-Villalobos H. 2012. *Streptococcus gordonii* septic arthritis: two cases and review of literature. *BMC Infect Dis* 12:215–220 <u>http://dx</u>.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-12-215.

**53. Burrell MH, Mackintosh ME, Taylor CE.** 1986. Isolation of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* from the respiratory tract of horses. *Equine Vet J* **18:**183–186 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.2042-3306.1986.tb03591.x</u>.

54. Köndgen S, Calvignac-Spencer S, Grützmacher K, Keil V, Mätz-Rensing K, Nowak K, Metzger S, Kiyang J, Becker AL, Deschner T, Wittig RM, Lankester F, Leendertz FH. 2017. Evidence for human *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in wild and captive chimpanzees: a potential threat to wild populations. *Sci Rep* 7:14581 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038</u> /s41598-017-14769-z.

**55. Beighton D, Hayday H.** 1982. The streptococcal flora of the tongue of the monkey *Macaca fascicularis*. *Arch Oral Biol* **27:**331–335 <u>http://dx</u>..doi.org/10.1016/0003-9969(82)90163-7.

**56.** Devriese LA, Hommez J, Pot B, Haesebrouck F. 1994. Identification and composition of the streptococcal and enterococcal flora of tonsils, intestines and faeces of pigs. *J Appl Bacteriol* **77:31–36** <u>http://dx.doi.org</u> /10.1111/j.1365-2672.1994.tb03040.x.

57. Devriese LA, Pot B, Vandamme P, Kersters K, Collins MD, Alvarez N, Haesebrouck F, Hommez J. 1997. *Streptococcus hyovaginalis* sp. nov. and *Streptococcus thoraltensis* sp. nov., from the genital tract of sows. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 47:1073–1077 http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/00207713-47-4-1073.

58. Freedman ML, Coykendall AL, O'Neill EM. 1982. Physiology of "mutans-like" *Streptococcus ferus* from wild rats. *Infect Immun* 35:476–482.

**59.** Zhu H, Willcox MD, Knox KW. 2000. A new species of oral *Streptococcus* isolated from Sprague-Dawley rats, *Streptococcus orisratti* sp. nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **50**:55–61 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1099</u>/00207713-50-1-55.

60. Rinkinen ML, Koort JM, Ouwehand AC, Westermarck E, Björkroth KJ. 2004. *Streptococcus alactolyticus* is the dominating culturable lactic acid bacterium species in canine jejunum and feces of four fistulated dogs. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 230:35–39 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1097</u> (03)00851-6.

61. Toepfner N, Shetty S, Kunze M, Orlowska-Volk M, Krüger M, Berner R, Hentschel R. 2014. Fulminant neonatal sepsis due to *Streptococcus alactolyticus*: a case report and review. *APMIS* 122:654–656 <u>http://dx</u>.doi.org/10.1111/apm.12219.

62. Beighton D, Russell RR, Hayday H. 1981. The isolation of characterization of *Streptococcus mutans* serotype h from dental plaque of monkeys (*Macaca fascicularis*). J Gen Microbiol 124:271–279.

63. Yoo SY, Kim KJ, Lim SH, Kim KW, Hwang HK, Min BM, Choe SJ, Kook JK. 2005. First isolation of *Streptococcus downei* from human dental plaques. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 249:323–326 <u>http://dx.doi.org</u> /10.1016/j.femsle.2005.06.020.

64. Aryasinghe L, Sabbar S, Kazim Y, Awan LM, Khan HK. 2014. *Streptococcus pluranimalium:* a novel human pathogen? *Int J Surg Case Rep* 5:1242–1246 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijscr.2014.11.029</u>.

65. Devriese LA, Vandamme P, Collins MD, Alvarez N, Pot B, Hommez J, Butaye P, Haesebrouck F. 1999. *Streptococcus pluranimalium* sp. nov., from cattle and other animals. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* **49**:1221–1226 http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/00207713-49-3-1221.

66. Facklam R. 2002. What happened to the streptococci: overview of taxonomic and nomenclature changes. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 15:613–630 http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CMR.15.4.613-630.2002.

67. Silley P, Simjee S, Schwarz S. 2012. Surveillance and monitoring of antimicrobial resistance and antibiotic consumption in humans and animals. *Rev Sci Tech* 31:105–120 http://dx.doi.org/10.20506/rst.31.1.2100.

68. de Jong A, Thomas V, Klein U, Marion H, Moyaert H, Simjee S, Vallé M. 2013. Pan-European resistance monitoring programmes encompassing food-borne bacteria and target pathogens of food-producing and companion animals. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* **41**:403–409 <u>http://dx.doi.org /10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2012.11.004</u>.

69. Metcalf BJ, Chochua S, Gertz RE Jr, Hawkins PA, Ricaldi J, Li Z, Walker H, Tran T, Rivers J, Mathis S, Jackson D, Glennen A, Lynfield R, McGee L, Beall B, Active Bacterial Core surveillance team. 2017. Short-read whole genome sequencing for determination of antimicrobial resistance mechanisms and capsular serotypes of current invasive *Streptococcus agalactiae* recovered in the USA. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 23: 574e577–574e514.

70. Li Y, Metcalf BJ, Chochua S, Li Z, Gertz RE Jr, Walker H, Hawkins PA, Tran T, Whitney CG, McGee L, Beall BW. 2016. Penicillin-binding protein transpeptidase signatures for tracking and predicting beta-lactam resistance levels in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *MBio* 7:pii e00756-16 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00756-16</u>.

71. Mobegi FM, Cremers AJ, de Jonge MI, Bentley SD, van Hijum SA, Zomer A. 2017. Deciphering the distance to antibiotic resistance for the pneumococcus using genome sequencing data. *Sci Rep* 7:42808 <u>http://dx</u>..doi.org/10.1038/srep42808.

72. MARAN. 2009. Monitoring of Antimicrobial Resistance and Antibiotic Usage in Animals in The Netherlands in 2008. CIDC-Lelystad, Lelystad, The Netherlands. **73.** Leclercq R. 2002. Mechanisms of resistance to macrolides and lincosamides: nature of the resistance elements and their clinical implications. *Clin Infect Dis* **34:**482–492 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/324626</u>.

74. Collignon P, Powers JH, Chiller TM, Aidara-Kane A, Aarestrup FM. 2009. World Health Organization ranking of antimicrobials according to their importance in human medicine: a critical step for developing risk management strategies for the use of antimicrobials in food production animals. *Clin Infect Dis* 49:132–141 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/599374</u>.

**75. Edmondson PW.** 1989. An economic justification of "blitz" therapy to eradicate *Streptococcus agalactiae* from a dairy herd. *Vet Rec* **125:**591–593.

76. Pyörälä S, Baptiste KE, Catry B, van Duijkeren E, Greko C, Moreno MA, Pomba MC, Rantala M, Ružauskas M, Sanders P, Threlfall EJ, Torren-Edo J, Törneke K. 2014. Macrolides and lincosamides in cattle and pigs: use and development of antimicrobial resistance. *Vet J* 200:230–239 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2014.02.028.

77. De Mouy D, Cavallo JD, Leclercq R, Fabre R, AFICORPI-BIO Network. Association de Formation Continue en Pathologie Infectieuse des Biologistes. 2001. Antibiotic susceptibility and mechanisms of erythromycin resistance in clinical isolates of *Streptococcus agalactiae*: French multicenter study. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 45:2400–2402 http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.45.8.2400-2402.2001.

78. Puopolo KM, Klinzing DC, Lin MP, Yesucevitz DL, Cieslewicz MJ. 2007. A composite transposon associated with erythromycin and clindamycin resistance in group B *Streptococcus. J Med Microbiol* 56:947–955 http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.47131-0.

**79.** Cai Y, Kong F, Gilbert GL. 2007. Three new macrolide efflux (*mef*) gene variants in *Streptococcus agalactiae*. J Clin Microbiol **45:**2754–2755 http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00579-07.

80. Ambrose KD, Nisbet R, Stephens DS. 2005. Macrolide efflux in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* is mediated by a dual efflux pump (*mel* and *mef*) and is erythromycin inducible. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 49: 4203–4209 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.49.10.4203-4209.2005</u>.

**81.** Gay K, Stephens DS. 2001. Structure and dissemination of a chromosomal insertion element encoding macrolide efflux in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. J Infect Dis 184:56–65 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/321001</u>.

82. Mingoia M, Vecchi M, Cochetti I, Tili E, Vitali LA, Manzin A, Varaldo PE, Montanari MP. 2007. Composite structure of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* containing the erythromycin efflux resistance gene *mef1* and the chloramphenicol resistance gene *catQ. Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 51:3983–3987 http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00790-07.

83. Santagati M, Iannelli F, Cascone C, Campanile F, Oggioni MR, Stefani S, Pozzi G. 2003. The novel conjugative transposon *tn*1207.3 carries the macrolide efflux gene *mef*(A) in *Streptococcus pyogenes*. *Microb Drug Resist* 9:243–247 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/107662903322286445</u>.

84. Clancy J, Dib-Hajj F, Petitpas JW, Yuan W. 1997. Cloning and characterization of a novel macrolide efflux gene, *mreA*, from *Streptococcus agalactiae*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **41**:2719–2723.

85. Bozdogan B, Berrezouga L, Kuo MS, Yurek DA, Farley KA, Stockman BJ, Leclercq R. 1999. A new resistance gene, *linB*, conferring resistance to lincosamides by nucleotidylation in *Enterococcus faecium* HM1025. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 43:925–929.

86. de Azavedo JC, McGavin M, Duncan C, Low DE, McGeer A. 2001. Prevalence and mechanisms of macrolide resistance in invasive and noninvasive group B streptococcus isolates from Ontario, Canada. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 45:3504–3508 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1128</u> /AAC.45.12.3504-3508.2001.

87. Achard A, Villers C, Pichereau V, Leclercq R. 2005. New *lnu*(C) gene conferring resistance to lincomycin by nucleotidylation in *Strepto-coccus agalactiae* UCN36. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **49:**2716–2719 http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.49.7.2716-2719.2005.

88. Petinaki E, Guérin-Faublée V, Pichereau V, Villers C, Achard A, Malbruny B, Leclercq R. 2008. Lincomycin resistance gene *lnu*(D) in *Streptococcus uberis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 52:626–630 <u>http://</u>dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01126-07.

**89.** Chesneau O, Tsvetkova K, Courvalin P. 2007. Resistance phenotypes conferred by macrolide phosphotransferases. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **269:**317–322 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2007.00643.x</u>.

90. Rato MG, Bexiga R, Florindo C, Cavaco LM, Vilela CL, Santos-Sanches I. 2013. Antimicrobial resistance and molecular epidemiology of streptococci from bovine mastitis. *Vet Microbiol* 161:286–294 <u>http://dx</u> .doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2012.07.043.

**91. Dogan B, Schukken YH, Santisteban C, Boor KJ.** 2005. Distribution of serotypes and antimicrobial resistance genes among *Streptococcus agalactiae* isolates from bovine and human hosts. *J Clin Microbiol* **43**: 5899–5906 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.43.12.5899-5906.2005</u>.

92. Gao J, Yu FQ, Luo LP, He JZ, Hou RG, Zhang HQ, Li SM, Su JL, Han B. 2012. Antibiotic resistance of *Streptococcus agalactiae* from cows with mastitis. *Vet J* 194:423–424 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2012.04</u>.020.

93. Pinto TC, Costa NS, Vianna Souza AR, Silva LG, Corrêa AB, Fernandes FG, Oliveira IC, Mattos MC, Rosado AS, Benchetrit LC. 2013. Distribution of serotypes and evaluation of antimicrobial susceptibility among human and bovine *Streptococcus agalactiae* strains isolated in Brazil between 1980 and 2006. *Braz J Infect Dis* 17:131–136 <u>http://dx</u>.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2012.09.006.

94. Haenni M, Saras E, Bertin S, Leblond P, Madec JY, Payot S. 2010. Diversity and mobility of integrative and conjugative elements in bovine isolates of *Streptococcus agalactiae*, *S. dysgalactiae* subsp. *dysgalactiae*, and *S. uberis. Appl Environ Microbiol* 76:7957–7965 <u>http://dx.doi.org</u> /10.1128/AEM.00805-10.

**95.** Loch IM, Glenn K, Zadoks RN. 2005. Macrolide and lincosamide resistance genes of environmental streptococci from bovine milk. *Vet Microbiol* **111**:133–138 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2005.09.001</u>.

96. Haenni M, Saras E, Chaussière S, Treilles M, Madec JY. 2011. ermBmediated erythromycin resistance in *Streptococcus uberis* from bovine mastitis. Vet J 189:356–358 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2010.06.021</u>.

97. Schmitt-Van de Leemput E, Zadoks RN. 2007. Genotypic and phenotypic detection of macrolide and lincosamide resistance in *Streptococcus uberis*. J Dairy Sci 90:5089–5096 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.2007</u> -0101.

**98.** Achard A, Guérin-Faublée V, Pichereau V, Villers C, Leclercq R. 2008. Emergence of macrolide resistance gene *mpb*(B) in *Streptococcus uberis* and cooperative effects with *rdmC*-like gene. *Antimicrob Agents* Chemother **52**:2767–2770 http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00481-08.

99. Hendriksen RS, Mevius DJ, Schroeter A, Teale C, Meunier D, Butaye P, Franco A, Utinane A, Amado A, Moreno M, Greko C, Stärk K, Berghold C, Myllyniemi AL, Wasyl D, Sunde M, Aarestrup FM. 2008. Prevalence of antimicrobial resistance among bacterial pathogens isolated from cattle in different European countries: 2002–2004. *Acta Vet Scand* 50:28–38 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1751-0147-50-28</u>.

100. Thomas V, de Jong A, Moyaert H, Simjee S, El Garch F, Morrissey I, Marion H, Vallé M. 2015. Antimicrobial susceptibility monitoring of mastitis pathogens isolated from acute cases of clinical mastitis in dairy cows across Europe: VetPath results. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 46:13–20 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2015.03.013.

101. Persson Y, Nyman AK, Grönlund-Andersson U. 2011. Etiology and antimicrobial susceptibility of udder pathogens from cases of subclinical mastitis in dairy cows in Sweden. *Acta Vet Scand* 53:36 <u>http://dx.doi.org</u>/10.1186/1751-0147-53-36.

102. Aarestrup FM, Rasmussen SR, Artursson K, Jensen NE. 1998. Trends in the resistance to antimicrobial agents of *Streptococcus suis* isolates from Denmark and Sweden. *Vet Microbiol* 63:71–80 <u>http://dx</u>..doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1135(98)00228-4.

103. Wasteson Y, Høie S, Roberts MC. 1994. Characterization of antibiotic resistance in *Streptococcus suis*. Vet Microbiol 41:41–49 <u>http://dx</u>. .doi.org/10.1016/0378-1135(94)90134-1.

104. Gurung M, Tamang MD, Moon DC, Kim SR, Jeong JH, Jang GC, Jung SC, Park YH, Lim SK. 2015. Molecular basis of resistance to selected

antimicrobial agents in the emerging zoonotic pathogen *Streptococcus suis*. *J Clin Microbiol* 53:2332–2336 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00123-15</u>.

105. Palmieri C, Varaldo PE, Facinelli B. 2011. *Streptococcus suis*, an emerging drug-resistant animal and human pathogen. *Front Microbiol* 2:235–241 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2011.00235</u>.

106. Zhao Q, Wendlandt S, Li H, Li J, Wu C, Shen J, Schwarz S, Wang Y. 2014. Identification of the novel lincosamide resistance gene *lnu*(E) truncated by ISEnfa5-cfr-ISEnfa5 insertion in Streptococcus suis: de novo synthesis and confirmation of functional activity in Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:1785–1788 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02007-13</u>.

107. Hendriksen RS, Mevius DJ, Schroeter A, Teale C, Jouy E, Butaye P, Franco A, Utinane A, Amado A, Moreno M, Greko C, Stärk KD, Berghold C, Myllyniemi AL, Hoszowski A, Sunde M, Aarestrup FM. 2008. Occurrence of antimicrobial resistance among bacterial pathogens and indicator bacteria in pigs in different European countries from year 2002–2004: the ARBAO-II study. *Acta Vet Scand* 50:19–29 <u>http://dx.doi</u>.org/10.1186/1751-0147-50-19.

**108.** Lüthje P, Schwarz S. 2007. Molecular basis of resistance to macrolides and lincosamides among staphylococci and streptococci from various animal sources collected in the resistance monitoring program BfT-GermVet. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* **29:**528–535 <u>http://dx.doi.org</u> /10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2006.12.016.

109. Silva LG, Genteluci GL, Corrêa de Mattos M, Glatthardt T, Sá Figueiredo AM, Ferreira-Carvalho BT. 2015. Group C *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* subsp. *equisimilis* in south-east Brazil: genetic diversity, resistance profile and the first report of human and equine isolates belonging to the same multilocus sequence typing lineage. *J Med Microbiol* 64:551–558 http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.000052.

110. Pedersen K, Pedersen K, Jensen H, Finster K, Jensen VF, Heuer OE. 2007. Occurrence of antimicrobial resistance in bacteria from diagnostic samples from dogs. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 60:775–781 <u>http://dx.doi</u>.org/10.1093/jac/dkm269.

111. Chopra I, Roberts M. 2001. Tetracycline antibiotics: mode of action, applications, molecular biology, and epidemiology of bacterial resistance. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 65:232–260 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/MMBR</u>.65.2.232-260.2001.

**112.** Speer BS, Shoemaker NB, Salyers AA. 1992. Bacterial resistance to tetracycline: mechanisms, transfer, and clinical significance. *Clin Microbiol Rev* **5**:387–399 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CMR.5.4.387</u>.

**113. Roberts MC.** 1996. Tetracycline resistance determinants: mechanisms of action, regulation of expression, genetic mobility, and distribution. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **19:**1–24 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976</u>.1996.tb00251.x.

114. Kazimierczak KA, Rincon MT, Patterson AJ, Martin JC, Young P, Flint HJ, Scott KP. 2008. A new tetracycline efflux gene, *tet*(40), is located in tandem with *tet*(O/32/O) in a human gut firmicute bacterium and in metagenomic library clones. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 52:4001–4009 http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00308-08.

115. Moulin G, Cavalié P, Pellanne I, Chevance A, Laval A, Millemann Y, Colin P, Chauvin C, Antimicrobial Resistance ad hoc Group of the French Food Safety Agency. 2008. A comparison of antimicrobial usage in human and veterinary medicine in France from 1999 to 2005. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 62:617–625 http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkn213.

**116. Report UOH.** 2015. Joint report on human and animal antibiotic use, sales and resistance, 2013. <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/collections</u> /antimicrobial-resistance-amr-information-and-resources.

117. FDA. 2014. 2012 Summary report on antimicrobials sold or distributed for use in food-producing animals. FDA, Washington, DC. <u>http://</u> www.fda.gov/downloads/ForIndustry/UserFees/AnimalDrugUserFeeAct <u>ADUFA/UCM416983.pdf</u>.

**118.** van den Bogaard AE, Bruinsma N, Stobberingh EE. 2000. The effect of banning avoparcin on VRE carriage in The Netherlands. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **46**:146–148 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/46.1.146</u>.

119. Da Cunha V, Davies MR, Douarre PE, Rosinski-Chupin I, Margarit I, Spinali S, Perkins T, Lechat P, Dmytruk N, Sauvage E, Ma L, Romi B, Tichit M, Lopez-Sanchez MJ, Descorps-Declere S, Souche E, Buchrieser C, Trieu-Cuot P, Moszer I, Clermont D, Maione D, Bouchier C, McMillan DJ, Parkhill J, Telford JL, Dougan G, Walker MJ, Holden MTG, Poyart C, Glaser P, Glaser P, DEVANI Consortium. 2014. *Streptococcus agalactiae* clones infecting humans were selected and fixed through the extensive use of tetracycline. *Nat Commun* 5:4544 <u>http://dx.doi.org</u> /10.1038/ncomms5544.

**120. Roberts MC.** 2005. Update on acquired tetracycline resistance genes. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **245:**195–203 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.femsle.2005</u>.02.034.

**121.** Roberts MC, Schwarz S. 2016. Tetracycline and phenicol resistance genes and mechanisms: importance for agriculture, the environment, and humans. *J Environ Qual* **45:**576–592 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2134/jeq2015.04</u>.0207.

**122.** Khan SA, Novick RP. 1983. Complete nucleotide sequence of pT181, a tetracycline-resistance plasmid from *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Plasmid* **10**:251–259 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0147-619X(83)90039-2</u>.

**123.** Burdett V, Inamine J, Rajagopalan S. 1982. Heterogeneity of tetracycline resistance determinants in *Streptococcus*. J Bacteriol **149**:995– 1004.

**124.** Hartley DL, Jones KR, Tobian JA, LeBlanc DJ, Macrina FL. 1984. Disseminated tetracycline resistance in oral streptococci: implication of a conjugative transposon. *Infect Immun* **45:**13–17.

**125. Courvalin P, Carlier C.** 1987. Tn1545: a conjugative shuttle transposon. *Mol Gen Genet* **206:**259–264 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00</u> 333582.

**126.** Clewell DB, Flannagan SE, Jaworski DD, Clewell DB. 1995. Unconstrained bacterial promiscuity: the Tn916-Tn1545 family of conjugative transposons. *Trends Microbiol* 3:229–236 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016</u> /S0966-842X(00)88930-1.

**127.** Rice LB. 1998. Tn916 family conjugative transposons and dissemination of antimicrobial resistance determinants. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **42**:1871–1877.

**128.** Sougakoff W, Papadopoulou B, Nordmann P, Courvalin P. 1987. Nucleotide sequence and distribution of gene *tetO* encoding tetracycline resistance in *Campylobacter coli*. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **44**:153–159 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.1987.tb02260.x.

**129.** Charpentier E, Gerbaud G, Courvalin P. 1993. Characterization of a new class of tetracycline-resistance gene *tet*(S) in *Listeria monocytogenes* BM4210. *Gene* **131:**27–34 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(93)</u> <u>90665-P</u>.

**130. Fletcher HM, Macrina FL.** 1991. Molecular survey of clindamycin and tetracycline resistance determinants in *Bacteroides* species. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **35**:2415–2418 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC</u>.35.11.2415.

**131. Clermont D, Chesneau O, De Cespédès G, Horaud T.** 1997. New tetracycline resistance determinants coding for ribosomal protection in streptococci and nucleotide sequence of *tet*(T) isolated from *Streptococcus pyogenes* A498. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **41**:112–116.

**132.** Barbosa TM, Scott KP, Flint HJ. 1999. Evidence for recent intergeneric transfer of a new tetracycline resistance gene, *tet*(W), isolated from *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens*, and the occurrence of *tet*(O) in ruminal bacteria. *Environ Microbiol* **1**:53–64 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-2920.1999</u>.00004.x.

133. Bengtsson B, Unnerstad HE, Ekman T, Artursson K, Nilsson-Ost M, Waller KP. 2009. Antimicrobial susceptibility of udder pathogens from cases of acute clinical mastitis in dairy cows. *Vet Microbiol* 136:142–149 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2008.10.024.

**134.** Guérin-Faublée V, Tardy F, Bouveron C, Carret G. 2002. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Streptococcus* species isolated from clinical mastitis in dairy cows. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* **19:**219–226 <u>http://dx.doi</u> .org/10.1016/S0924-8579(01)00485-X. **135.** Botrel MA, Haenni M, Morignat E, Sulpice P, Madec JY, Calavas D. 2010. Distribution and antimicrobial resistance of clinical and subclinical mastitis pathogens in dairy cows in Rhône-Alpes, France. *Foodborne Pathog Dis* 7:479–487 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2009.0425</u>.

**136.** Rossitto PV, Ruiz L, Kikuchi Y, Glenn K, Luiz K, Watts JL, Cullor JS. 2002. Antibiotic susceptibility patterns for environmental streptococci isolated from bovine mastitis in central California dairies. *J Dairy Sci* **85:**132–138 http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(02)74061-7.

**137. Brown MB, Roberts MC.** 1991. Tetracycline resistance determinants in streptococcal species isolated from the bovine mammary gland. *Vet Microbiol* **29:1**73–180 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-1135(91)</u> 90124-X.

**138.** Lollai SA, Ziccheddu M, Duprè I, Piras D. 2016. Characterization of resistance to tetracyclines and aminoglycosides of sheep mastitis pathogens: study of the effect of gene content on resistance. *J Appl Microbiol* **121:**941–951 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jam.13229</u>.

**139.** Wisselink HJ, Veldman KT, Van den Eede C, Salmon SA, Mevius DJ. 2006. Quantitative susceptibility of *Streptococcus suis* strains isolated from diseased pigs in seven European countries to antimicrobial agents licensed in veterinary medicine. *Vet Microbiol* **113**:73–82 <u>http://dx.doi</u>.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2005.10.035.

140. Princivalli MS, Palmieri C, Magi G, Vignaroli C, Manzin A, Camporese A, Barocci S, Magistrali C, Facinelli B. 2009. Genetic diversity of *Streptococcus suis* clinical isolates from pigs and humans in Italy (2003–2007). *Euro Surveill* 14:pii=19310. <u>http://www.eurosurveillance.org/content/10.2807/ese.14.33.19310-en.http://dx.doi.org/10.2807/ese.14.33.19310-en.http://dx.doi.org/10.2807/ese.14</u>.

141. Kataoka Y, Yoshida T, Sawada T. 2000. A 10-year survey of antimicrobial susceptibility of *Streptococcus suis* isolates from swine in Japan. J Vet Med Sci 62:1053–1057 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1292/jvms.62</u>. .1053.

142. Zhang C, Ning Y, Zhang Z, Song L, Qiu H, Gao H. 2008. *In vitro* antimicrobial susceptibility of *Streptococcus suis* strains isolated from clinically healthy sows in China. *Vet Microbiol* 131:386–392 <u>http://dx</u>.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2008.04.005.

143. Vela AI, Moreno MA, Cebolla JA, González S, Latre MV, Domínguez L, Fernández-Garayzábal JF. 2005. Antimicrobial susceptibility of clinical strains of *Streptococcus suis* isolated from pigs in Spain. *Vet Microbiol* 105:143–147 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2004.10.009.

144. Soares TC, Paes AC, Megid J, Ribolla PE, Paduan KS, Gottschalk M. 2014. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Streptococcus suis* isolated from clinically healthy swine in Brazil. *Can J Vet Res* 78:145–149.

145. Manzin A, Palmieri C, Serra C, Saddi B, Princivalli MS, Loi G, Angioni G, Tiddia F, Varaldo PE, Facinelli B. 2008. *Streptococcus suis* meningitis without history of animal contact, Italy. *Emerg Infect Dis* 14:1946–1948 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1412.080679</u>.

146. Chen L, Song Y, Wei Z, He H, Zhang A, Jin M. 2013. Antimicrobial susceptibility, tetracycline and erythromycin resistance genes, and multilocus sequence typing of *Streptococcus suis* isolates from diseased pigs in China. J Vet Med Sci 75:583–587 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1292/jvms.12</u>-0279.

147. Chander Y, Oliveira SR, Goyal SM. 2011. Identification of the *tet*(B) resistance gene in *Streptococcus suis*. *Vet J* 189:359–360 <u>http://dx.doi.org</u>/10.1016/j.tvjl.2010.07.004.

148. Haenni M, Hourquet C, Saras E, Madec JY. 2015. Genetic determinants of antimicrobial resistance in *Streptococcus canis* in France. J Glob Antimicrob Resist 3:142–143 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2015</u>.02.001.

149. Tsuyuki Y, Kurita G, Murata Y, Goto M, Takahashi T. 2017. Identification of group G streptococci isolates from companion animals in Japan and their antimicrobial resistance. *Jpn J Infect Dis* 70:394–398 http://dx.doi.org/10.7883/yoken.JJID.2016.375.

**150.** Moyaert H, De Graef EM, Haesebrouck F, Decostere A. 2006. Acquired antimicrobial resistance in the intestinal microbiota of diverse cat populations. Res Vet Sci 81:1-7 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2005.10</u>.004.

151. Pérez-Trallero E, Fernández-Mazarrasa C, García-Rey C, Bouza E, Aguilar L, García-de-Lomas J, Baquero F, Spanish Surveillance Group for Respiratory Pathogens. 2001. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of 1,684 *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and 2,039 *Streptococcus pyogenes* isolates and their ecological relationships: results of a 1-year (1998–1999) multicenter surveillance study in Spain. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 45:3334–3340 http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.45.12.3334-3340.2001.

**152.** Filipe SR, Tomasz A. 2000. Inhibition of the expression of penicillin resistance in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* by inactivation of cell wall muropeptide branching genes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 97:4891–4896 http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.080067697.

153. Guenzi E, Gasc AM, Sicard MA, Hakenbeck R. 1994. A twocomponent signal-transducing system is involved in competence and penicillin susceptibility in laboratory mutants of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Mol Microbiol 12:505–515 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958</u> .1994.tb01038.x.

154. Crisóstomo MI, Vollmer W, Kharat AS, Inhülsen S, Gehre F, Buckenmaier S, Tomasz A. 2006. Attenuation of penicillin resistance in a peptidoglycan O-acetyl transferase mutant of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Mol Microbiol* 61:1497–1509 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006</u>.05340.x.

155. Dias R, Félix D, Caniça M, Trombe MC. 2009. The highly conserved serine threonine kinase StkP of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* contributes to penicillin susceptibility independently from genes encoding penicillinbinding proteins. *BMC Microbiol* 9:121 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471</u> -2180-9-121.

156. Soualhine H, Brochu V, Ménard F, Papadopoulou B, Weiss K, Bergeron MG, Légaré D, Drummelsmith J, Ouellette M. 2005. A proteomic analysis of penicillin resistance in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* reveals a novel role for PstS, a subunit of the phosphate ABC transporter. *Mol Microbiol* 58:1430–1440 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04914.x.

157. Fani F, Leprohon P, Légaré D, Ouellette M. 2011. Whole genome sequencing of penicillin-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae* reveals mutations in penicillin-binding proteins and in a putative iron permease. *Genome Biol* 12:R115 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/gb-2011-12-11-r115</u>.

**158.** Goldstein FW. 1999. Penicillin-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae*: selection by both beta-lactam and non-beta-lactam antibiotics. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **44**:141–144 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/44.2.141</u>.

**159. Anonymous.** 2008. Recent trends in antimicrobial resistance among *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates: the French experience. *Euro Surveill* **13:**pii=19035. <u>http://eurosurveillance.org/content</u> /10.2807/ese.13.46.19035-en.

160. Dagan R, Klugman KP. 2008. Impact of conjugate pneumococcal vaccines on antibiotic resistance. *Lancet Infect Dis* 8:785–795 <u>http://dx</u>..doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(08)70281-0.

161. Kimura K, Suzuki S, Wachino J, Kurokawa H, Yamane K, Shibata N, Nagano N, Kato H, Shibayama K, Arakawa Y. 2008. First molecular characterization of group B streptococci with reduced penicillin susceptibility. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 52:2890–2897 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00185-08</u>.

162. Nagano N, Nagano Y, Kimura K, Tamai K, Yanagisawa H, Arakawa Y. 2008. Genetic heterogeneity in *pbp* genes among clinically isolated group B streptococci with reduced penicillin susceptibility. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 52:4258–4267 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00596-08</u>.

163. Kimura K, Nagano N, Arakawa Y. 2015. Classification of group B streptococci with reduced  $\beta$ -lactam susceptibility (GBS-RBS) based on the amino acid substitutions in PBPs. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 70:1601–1603.

164. Cain D, Malouin F, Dargis M, Harel J, Gottschalk M. 1995. Alterations in penicillin-binding proteins in strains of *Streptococcus suis* possessing moderate and high levels of resistance to penicillin. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 130:121–127 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.1995.tb07708.x. 165. Haenni M, Galofaro L, Ythier M, Giddey M, Majcherczyk P, Moreillon P, Madec JY. 2010. Penicillin-binding protein gene alterations in *Streptococcus uberis* isolates presenting decreased susceptibility to penicillin. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 54:1140–1145 <u>http://dx.doi.org</u> /10.1128/AAC.00915-09.

**166.** Haenni M, Saras E, Madec JY. 2010. Demonstration of a shift towards penicillin resistance in the *Streptococcus uberis* population. *J Med Microbiol* **59**:993–995 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.018978-0</u>.

167. Rüegsegger F, Ruf J, Tschuor A, Sigrist Y, Rosskopf M, Hässig M. 2014. Antimicrobial susceptibility of mastitis pathogens of dairy cows in Switzerland. *Schweiz Arch Tierheilkd* 156:483–488 <u>http://dx.doi.org</u> /10.1024/0036-7281/a000635.

168. Kalmus P, Aasmäe B, Kärssin A, Orro T, Kask K. 2011. Udder pathogens and their resistance to antimicrobial agents in dairy cows in Estonia. *Acta Vet Scand* 53:4 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1751-0147</u>-53-4.

**169.** Bal EB, Bayar S, Bal MA. 2010. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of coagulase-negative staphylococci (CNS) and streptococci from bovine subclinical mastitis cases. *J Microbiol* 48:267–274 <u>http://dx.doi.org</u>/10.1007/s12275-010-9373-9.

170. Zhang C, Zhang Z, Song L, Fan X, Wen F, Xu S, Ning Y. 2015. Antimicrobial resistance profile and genotypic characteristics of *Streptococcus suis* capsular type 2 isolated from clinical carrier sows and diseased pigs in China. *BioMed Res Int* 2015:284303.

171. van Hout J, Heuvelink A, Gonggrijp M. 2016. Monitoring of antimicrobial susceptibility of *Streptococcus suis* in the Netherlands, 2013–2015. *Vet Microbiol* 194:5–10 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic</u>.2016.03.014.

172. Moreno LZ, da Costa BL, Matajira CE, Gomes VT, Mesquita RE, Silva AP, Moreno AM. 2016. Molecular and antimicrobial susceptibility profiling of *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* isolated from swine. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 86:178–180 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio</u>.2016.07.020.

173. Drlica K, Zhao X. 1997. DNA gyrase, topoisomerase IV, and the 4-quinolones. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 61:377–392.

174. Escudero JA, San Millan A, Gutierrez B, Hidalgo L, La Ragione RM, AbuOun M, Galimand M, Ferrándiz MJ, Domínguez L, de la Campa AG, Gonzalez-Zorn B. 2011. Fluoroquinolone efflux in *Streptococcus suis* is mediated by SatAB and not by SmrA. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 55:5850–5860 http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00498-11.

175. Meunier D, Acar JF, Martel JL, Kroemer S, Vallé M. 2004. Seven years survey of susceptibility to marbofloxacin of bovine pathogenic strains from eight European countries. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 24:268–278 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2003.12.011.

176. Kroemer S, Galland D, Guérin-Faublée V, Giboin H, Woehrlé-Fontaine F. 2012. Survey of marbofloxacin susceptibility of bacteria isolated from cattle with respiratory disease and mastitis in Europe. *Vet Rec* 170:53 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/vr.100246</u>.

177. El Garch F, de Jong A, Simjee S, Moyaert H, Klein U, Ludwig C, Marion H, Haag-Diergarten S, Richard-Mazet A, Thomas V, Siegwart E. 2016. Monitoring of antimicrobial susceptibility of respiratory tract pathogens isolated from diseased cattle and pigs across Europe, 2009–2012: VetPath results. *Vet Microbiol* 194:11–22 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j</u>.vetmic.2016.04.009.

178. Morrissey I, Moyaert H, de Jong A, El Garch F, Klein U, Ludwig C, Thiry J, Youala M. 2016. Antimicrobial susceptibility monitoring of bacterial pathogens isolated from respiratory tract infections in dogs and cats across Europe: ComPath results. *Vet Microbiol* 191:44–51 <u>http://dx</u>.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2016.05.020.

179. Ludwig C, de Jong A, Moyaert H, El Garch F, Janes R, Klein U, Morrissey I, Thiry J, Youala M. 2016. Antimicrobial susceptibility monitoring of dermatological bacterial pathogens isolated from diseased dogs and cats across Europe (ComPath results). *J Appl Microbiol* 121: 1254–1267 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jam.13287</u>.

**180. Burrus V, Pavlovic G, Decaris B, Guédon G.** 2002. Conjugative transposons: the tip of the iceberg. *Mol Microbiol* **46**:601–610 <u>http://dx</u>.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2002.03191.x.

**181.** Wozniak RA, Waldor MK. 2010. Integrative and conjugative elements: mosaic mobile genetic elements enabling dynamic lateral gene flow. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 8:552–563 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro 2382</u>.

**182.** Santoro F, Vianna ME, Roberts AP. 2014. Variation on a theme; an overview of the Tn916/Tn1545 family of mobile genetic elements in the oral and nasopharyngeal streptococci. *Front Microbiol* 5:535–545 http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00535.

**183. Roberts AP, Mullany P.** 2011. Tn916-like genetic elements: a diverse group of modular mobile elements conferring antibiotic resistance. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **35:**856–871 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2011</u>.00283.x.

184. Ambroset C, Coluzzi C, Guédon G, Devignes MD, Loux V, Lacroix T, Payot S, Leblond-Bourget N. 2016. New insights into the classification and integration specificity of *Streptococcus* integrative conjugative elements through extensive genome exploration. *Front Microbiol* 6:1483–1504 http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01483.

185. Huang J, Ma J, Shang K, Hu X, Liang Y, Li D, Wu Z, Dai L, Chen L, Wang L. 2016. Evolution and diversity of the antimicrobial resistance associated mobilome in *Streptococcus suis:* a probable mobile genetic elements reservoir for other streptococci. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 6:118 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2016.00118</u>.

**186. Brenciani A, Tiberi E, Morici E, Oryasin E, Giovanetti E, Varaldo PE.** 2012. ICESp1116, the genetic element responsible for *erm*(B)-mediated, inducible resistance to erythromycin in *Streptococcus pyogenes*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **56:**6425–6429 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01494-12</u>.

**187. Giovanetti E, Brenciani A, Tiberi E, Bacciaglia A, Varaldo PE.** 2012. ICESp2905, the *erm*(TR)-*tet*(O) element of *Streptococcus pyogenes*, is formed by two independent integrative and conjugative elements. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **56:**591–594 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC</u>.05352-11.

188. Mingoia M, Morici E, Marini E, Brenciani A, Giovanetti E, Varaldo PE. 2016. Macrolide resistance gene *erm*(TR) and *erm*(TR)-carrying genetic elements in *Streptococcus agalactiae*: characterization of ICESagTR7, a new composite element containing IMESp2907. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 71:593–600 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkv 408</u>.

**189. Huang K, Song Y, Zhang Q, Zhang A, Jin M.** 2016. Characterisation of a novel integrative and conjugative element ICESsD9 carrying *erm*(B) and *tet*(O) resistance determinants in *Streptococcus suis*, and the distribution of ICESsD9-like elements in clinical isolates. *J Glob Antimicrob Resist* 7:13–18 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2016.05.008.

**190.** Palmieri C, Magi G, Creti R, Baldassarri L, Imperi M, Gherardi G, Facinelli B. 2013. Interspecies mobilization of an *erm*T-carrying plasmid of *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* subsp. *equisimilis* by a coresident ICE of the ICESa2603 family. J Antimicrob Chemother **68:**23–26 <u>http://dx.doi.org</u> /10.1093/jac/dks352.

191. Croucher NJ, Walker D, Romero P, Lennard N, Paterson GK, Bason NC, Mitchell AM, Quail MA, Andrew PW, Parkhill J, Bentley SD, Mitchell TJ. 2009. Role of conjugative elements in the evolution of the multidrug-resistant pandemic clone *Streptococcus pneumoniae*<sup>Spain23F</sup> ST81. *J Bacteriol* 191:1480–1489 http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.01343-08.

**192.** Douarre PE, Sauvage E, Poyart C, Glaser P. 2015. Host specificity in the diversity and transfer of *lsa* resistance genes in group B *Strepto-coccus. J Antimicrob Chemother* **70**:3205–3213.

**193.** Huang K, Zhang Q, Song Y, Zhang Z, Zhang A, Xiao J, Jin M. 2016. Characterization of spectinomycin resistance in *Streptococcus suis* leads to two novel insights into drug resistance formation and dissemination mechanism. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **60**:6390–6392 <u>http://dx.doi</u>.org/10.1128/AAC.01157-16. **194.** Marini E, Palmieri C, Magi G, Facinelli B. 2015. Recombination between *Streptococcus suis* ICESsu32457 and *Streptococcus agalactiae* ICESa2603 yields a hybrid ICE transferable to *Streptococcus pyogenes*. *Vet Microbiol* **178:**99–104 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2015.04.013.

195. Srinivasan V, Metcalf BJ, Knipe KM, Ouattara M, McGee L, Shewmaker PL, Glennen A, Nichols M, Harris C, Brimmage M, Ostrowsky B, Park CJ, Schrag SJ, Frace MA, Sammons SA, Beall B. 2014. *vanG* element insertions within a conserved chromosomal site conferring vancomycin resistance to *Streptococcus agalactiae* and *Streptococcus anginosus*. *MBio* 5:e01386-14 http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01386-14.

**196.** Meng F, Kanai K, Yoshikoshi K. 2009. Structural characterization of Tn916-like element in *Streptococcus parauberis* serotype II strains isolated from diseased Japanese flounder. *Lett Appl Microbiol* **48**:770–776.

**197.** Palmieri C, Magi G, Mingoia M, Bagnarelli P, Ripa S, Varaldo PE, Facinelli B. 2012. Characterization of a *Streptococcus suis tet*(O/W/32/O)-carrying element transferable to major streptococcal pathogens. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **56**:4697–4702 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC</u>.00629-12.

198. Richards VP, Zadoks RN, Pavinski Bitar PD, Lefébure T, Lang P, Werner B, Tikofsky L, Moroni P, Stanhope MJ. 2012. Genome characterization and population genetic structure of the zoonotic pathogen, *Streptococcus canis. BMC Microbiol* 12:293–309 <u>http://dx.doi.org</u>/10.1186/1471-2180-12-293.

**199.** Bekele T, Molla B. 2001. Mastitis in lactating camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) in Afar Region, north-eastern Ethiopia. *Berl Munch Tierarztl Wochenschr* **114**:169–172.

200. Evans JJ, Pasnik DJ, Klesius PH, Al-Ablani S. 2006. First report of *Streptococcus agalactiae* and *Lactococcus garvieae* from a wild bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*). J Wildl Dis 42:561–569 <u>http://dx.doi.org</u> /10.7589/0090-3558-42.3.561.

201. Jordal S, Glambek M, Oppegaard O, Kittang BR. 2015. New tricks from an old cow: infective endocarditis caused by *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* subsp. *dysgalactiae*. J Clin Microbiol 53:731–734 <u>http://dx.doi</u>.org/10.1128/JCM.02437-14.

202. Nomoto R, Munasinghe LI, Jin DH, Shimahara Y, Yasuda H, Nakamura A, Misawa N, Itami T, Yoshida T. 2004. Lancefield group C *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* infection responsible for fish mortalities in Japan. *J Fish Dis* 27:679–686 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2761.2004</u>.00591.x.

**203.** Hilmarsdóttir I, Valsdóttir F. 2007. Molecular typing of betahemolytic streptococci from two patients with lower-limb cellulitis: identical isolates from toe web and blood specimens. *J Clin Microbiol* **45**: 3131–3132 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00532-07</u>.

204. Kawata K, Minakami T, Mori Y, Katsumi M, Kataoka Y, Ezawa A, Kikuchi N, Takahashi T. 2003. rDNA sequence analyses of *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* subsp. *equisimilis* isolates from pigs. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 53:1941–1946 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.02666-0</u>.

205. Lopardo HA, Vidal P, Sparo M, Jeric P, Centron D, Facklam RR, Paganini H, Pagniez NG, Lovgren M, Beall B. 2005. Six-month multicenter study on invasive infections due to *Streptococcus pyogenes* and *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* subsp. *equisimilis* in Argentina. J Clin Microbiol 43:802–807 http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.43.2.802-807.2005.

206. Nei T, Akutsu K, Shima A, Tsuboi I, Suzuki H, Yamamoto T, Tanaka K, Shinoyama A, Kojima Y, Washio Y, Okawa S, Sonobe K, Norose Y, Saito R. 2012. A case of streptococcal toxic shock syndrome due to group G streptococci identified as *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* subsp. *equisimilis. J Infect Chemother* 18:919–924 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10156-012-0375-x</u>.

207. Savini V, Catavitello C, Talia M, Manna A, Pompetti F, Di Bonaventura G, Di Giuseppe N, Febbo F, Balbinot A, Di Zacomo S, Esattore F, D'Antonio D. 2008. Beta-lactam failure in treatment of two group G *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* subsp. *equisimilis* pharyngitis patients. *J Clin Microbiol* 46:814–816 http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/[CM.00985-07.

208. Siljander T, Karppelin M, Vähäkuopus S, Syrjänen J, Toropainen M, Kere J, Vuento R, Jussila T, Vuopio-Varkila J. 2008. Acute bacterial,

nonnecrotizing cellulitis in Finland: microbiological findings. *Clin Infect Dis* 46:855–861 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/527388</u>.

209. Wajima T, Morozumi M, Hanada S, Sunaoshi K, Chiba N, Iwata S, Ubukata K. 2016. Molecular characterization of invasive *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* subsp. *equisimilis*, Japan. *Emerg Infect Dis* 22:247–254 http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2202.141732.

**210.** Zoric M, Nilsson E, Lundeheim N, Wallgren P. 2009. Incidence of lameness and abrasions in piglets in identical farrowing pens with four different types of floor. *Acta Vet Scand* 51:23–32 <u>http://dx.doi.org</u> /10.1186/1751-0147-51-23.

211. Casagrande Proietti P, Bietta A, Coppola G, Felicetti M, Cook RF, Coletti M, Marenzoni ML, Passamonti F. 2011. Isolation and characterization of  $\beta$ -haemolytic-streptococci from endometritis in mares. *Vet Microbiol* 152:126–130 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2011.04</u>.009.

**212.** Imai D, Jang S, Miller M, Conrad PA. 2009. Characterization of beta-hemolytic streptococci isolated from southern sea otters (*Enhydra lutris nereis*) stranded along the California coast. *Vet Microbiol* **136**:378–381 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2008.11.009</u>.

213. García-País MJ, Rabuñal R, Armesto V, López-Reboiro M, García-Garrote F, Coira A, Pita J, Rodríguez-Macías AI, López-Álvarez MJ, Alonso MP, Corredoira J. 2016. *Streptococcus bovis* septic arthritis and osteomyelitis: a report of 21 cases and a literature review. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 45:738–746 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.semarthrit.2016</u>.02.001.

**214.** Osawa R, Sly LI. 1991. Phenotypic characterization of CO2requiring strains of *Streptococcus bovis* from koalas. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 57:3037–3039.

215. Sekizaki T, Nishiya H, Nakajima S, Nishizono M, Kawano M, Okura M, Takamatsu D, Nishino H, Ishiji T, Osawa R. 2008. Endocarditis in chickens caused by subclinical infection of *Streptococcus gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus*. *Avian Dis* 52:183–186 <u>http://dx.doi.org</u>/10.1637/8048-070307-Case.

216. van Samkar A, Brouwer MC, Pannekoek Y, van der Ende A, van de Beek D. 2015. *Streptococcus gallolyticus* meningitis in adults: report of five cases and review of the literature. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 21:1077–1083 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2015.08.003.

217. Katsumi M, Kataoka Y, Takahashi T, Kikuchi N, Hiramune T. 1997. Bacterial isolation from slaughtered pigs associated with endocarditis, especially the isolation of *Streptococcus suis*. J Vet Med Sci 59:75–78 http://dx.doi.org/10.1292/jvms.59.75.

218. O'Sullivan T, Friendship R, Blackwell T, Pearl D, McEwen B, Carman S, Slavić D, Dewey C. 2011. Microbiological identification and analysis of swine tonsils collected from carcasses at slaughter. *Can J Vet Res* 75:106–111.

219. Miller CW, Prescott JF, Mathews KA, Betschel SD, Yager JA, Guru V, DeWinter L, Low DE. 1996. Streptococcal toxic shock syndrome in dogs. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 209:1421–1426.

220. Reissmann S, Friedrichs C, Rajkumari R, Itzek A, Fulde M, Rodloff AC, Brahmadathan KN, Chhatwal GS, Nitsche-Schmitz DP. 2010. Contribution of *Streptococcus anginosus* to infections caused by groups C and G streptococci, southern India. *Emerg Infect Dis* 16:656–663 <u>http://dx</u>.doi.org/10.3201/eid1604.090448.

221. Hatrongjit R, Kerdsin A, Gottschalk M, Takeuchi D, Hamada S, Oishi K, Akeda Y. 2015. First human case report of sepsis due to infection with *Streptococcus suis* serotype 31 in Thailand. *BMC Infect Dis* 15:392–399 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12879-015-1136-0</u>.

222. Mancini F, Adamo F, Creti R, Monaco M, Alfarone G, Pantosti A, Ciervo A. 2016. A fatal case of streptococcal toxic shock syndrome caused by *Streptococcus suis* carrying *tet* (40) and *tet* (O/W/32/O), Italy. J Infect Chemother 22:774–776 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jiac.2016</u>.05.011.

223. Sánchez del Rey V, Fernández-Garayzábal JF, Briones V, Iriso A, Domínguez L, Gottschalk M, Vela AI. 2013. Genetic analysis of

Streptococcus suis isolates from wild rabbits. Vet Microbiol 165:483–486 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2013.04.025.

224. Sánchez del Rey V, Fernández-Garayzábal JF, Domínguez L, Gottschalk M, Vela AI. 2016. Screening of virulence-associated genes as a molecular typing method for characterization of *Streptococcus suis* isolates recovered from wild boars and pigs. *Vet J* 209:108–112 <u>http://dx</u>.doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2015.11.007.

225. Staats JJ, Feder I, Okwumabua O, Chengappa MM. 1997. *Streptococcus suis*: past and present. *Vet Res Commun* 21:381–407 <u>http://dx.doi</u>.org/10.1023/A:1005870317757.

226. Anshary H, Kurniawan RA, Sriwulan S, Ramli R, Baxa DV. 2014. Isolation and molecular identification of the etiological agents of streptococcosis in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) cultured in net cages in Lake Sentani, Papua, Indonesia. *Springerplus* 3:627–638 <u>http://dx.doi</u> .org/10.1186/2193-1801-3-627.

227. Bonar CJ, Wagner RA. 2003. A third report of "golf ball disease" in an Amazon River dolphin (*Inia geoffrensis*) associated with *Streptococcus iniae*. J Zoo Wildl Med 34:296–301 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1638/1042-7260</u> (2003)034[0296:ATROGB]2.0.CO;2.

228. Chou L, Griffin MJ, Fraites T, Ware C, Ferguson H, Keirstead N, Brake J, Wiles J, Hawke JP, Kearney MT, Getchell RG, Gaunt P, Soto E. 2014. Phenotypic and genotypic heterogeneity among *Streptococcus iniae* isolates recovered from cultured and wild fish in North America, Central America and the Caribbean islands. *J Aquat Anim Health* 26:263–271 http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08997659.2014.945048.

229. El Aamri F, Padilla D, Acosta F, Caballero M, Roo J, Bravo J, Vivas J, Real F. 2010. First report of *Streptococcus iniae* in red porgy (*Pagrus pagrus*, L.). J Fish Dis 33:901–905 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-</u>2761.2010.01191.x.

230. Figueiredo HC, Netto LN, Leal CA, Pereira UP, Mian GF. 2012. *Streptococcus iniae* outbreaks in Brazilian Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L:) farms. *Braz J Microbiol* 43:576–580 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1590</u>/S1517-83822012000200019.

231. Keirstead ND, Brake JW, Griffin MJ, Halliday-Simmonds I, Thrall MA, Soto E. 2014. Fatal septicemia caused by the zoonotic bacterium *Streptococcus iniae* during an outbreak in Caribbean reef fish. *Vet Pathol* 51:1035–1041 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0300985813505876</u>.

**232.** Denamiel G, Llorente P, Carabella M, Rebuelto M, Gentilini E. 2005. Anti-microbial susceptibility of *Streptococcus* spp. isolated from bovine mastitis in Argentina. *J Vet Med B Infect Dis Vet Public Health* **52:**125–128 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0450.2005.00830.x</u>.

233. Nam HM, Lim SK, Kang HM, Kim JM, Moon JS, Jang KC, Joo YS, Kang MI, Jung SC. 2009. Antimicrobial resistance of streptococci isolated from mastitic bovine milk samples in Korea. *J Vet Diagn Invest* 21:698–701 http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/104063870902100517.

234. Entorf M, Feßler AT, Kaspar H, Kadlec K, Peters T, Schwarz S. 2016. Comparative erythromycin and tylosin susceptibility testing of streptococci from bovine mastitis. *Vet Microbiol* 194:36–42 <u>http://dx.doi</u>.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2015.12.003.

235. Marie J, Morvan H, Berthelot-Hérault F, Sanders P, Kempf I, Gautier-Bouchardon AV, Jouy E, Kobisch M. 2002. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Streptococcus suis* isolated from swine in France and from humans in different countries between 1996 and 2000. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 50:201–209 http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkf099.

236. Martel A, Baele M, Devriese LA, Goossens H, Wisselink HJ, Decostere A, Haesebrouck F. 2001. Prevalence and mechanism of resistance against macrolides and lincosamides in *Streptococcus suis* isolates. *Vet Microbiol* 83:287–297 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1135(01)00426-6</u>.

237. Tian Y, Aarestrup FM, Lu CP. 2004. Characterization of *Streptococcus suis* serotype 7 isolates from diseased pigs in Denmark. *Vet Microbiol* 103:55–62 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2004.07.009</u>.

238. Overesch G, Stephan R, Perreten V. 2013. Antimicrobial susceptibility of gram-positive udder pathogens from bovine mastitis milk in Switzerland. *Schweiz Arch Tierheilkd* 155:339–350.