Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Nasib Singh, Eternal University, India

REVIEWED BY

Yanan Wang, Henan Agricultural University, China Magdalena Zalewska, University of Warsaw, Poland Nazima Habibi, Kuwait Institute for Scientific Research, Kuwait

*CORRESPONDENCE Petra Borilova Linhartova © petra.linhartova@recetox.muni.cz

RECEIVED 21 August 2024 ACCEPTED 09 October 2024 PUBLISHED 03 December 2024

CITATION

Klvanova E, Videnska P, Barton V, Bohm J, Splichalova P, Koksova V, Urik M, Lanickova B, Prokes R, Budinska E, Klanova J and Borilova Linhartova P (2024) Resistome in the indoor dust samples from workplaces and households: a pilot study. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 14:1484100. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2024.1484100

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Klvanova, Videnska, Barton, Bohm, Splichalova, Koksova, Urik, Lanickova, Prokes, Budinska, Klanova and Borilova Linhartova. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Resistome in the indoor dust samples from workplaces and households: a pilot study

Eva Klvanova¹, Petra Videnska¹, Vojtech Barton¹, Jan Bohm¹, Petra Splichalova¹, Viktorie Koksova¹, Milan Urik^{2,3}, Barbara Lanickova^{4,5,6}, Roman Prokes^{1,7}, Eva Budinska¹, Jana Klanova¹ and Petra Borilova Linhartova^{1*}

¹RECETOX, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Brno, Czechia, ²Department of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology, University Hospital Brno, Brno, Czechia, ³Department of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology, Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, Brno, Czechia, ⁴Department of Neonatology, University Hospital Brno, Brno, Czechia, ⁵Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, University Hospital Brno, Brno, Czechia, ⁶Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, Brno, Czechia, ⁷Department of Atmospheric Matter Fluxes and Longrange Transport, Global Change Research Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Brno, Czechia

The antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) limit the susceptibility of bacteria to antimicrobials, representing a problem of high importance. Current research on the presence of ARGs in microorganisms focuses mainly on humans, livestock, hospitals, or wastewater. However, the spectrum of ARGs in the dust resistome in workplaces and households has gone relatively unexplored. This pilot study aimed to analyze resistome in indoor dust samples from participants' workplaces (a pediatric hospital, a maternity hospital, and a research center) and households and compare two different approaches to the ARGs analysis; highthroughput quantitative PCR (HT-qPCR) and whole metagenome shotgun sequencing (WMGS). In total, 143 ARGs were detected using HT-gPCR, with ARGs associated with the macrolides, lincosamides, and streptogramin B (MLSB) phenotype being the most abundant, followed by MDR (multi-drug resistance) genes, and genes conferring resistance to aminoglycosides. A higher overall relative quantity of ARGs was observed in indoor dust samples from workplaces than from households, with the pediatric hospital being associated with the highest relative quantity of ARGs. WMGS analysis revealed 36 ARGs, of which five were detected by both HT-qPCR and WMGS techniques. Accordingly, the efficacy of the WMGS approach to detect ARGs was lower than that of HTgPCR. In summary, our pilot data revealed that indoor dust in buildings where people spend most of their time (workplaces, households) can be a significant source of antimicrobial-resistant microorganisms, which may potentially pose a health risk to both humans and animals.

KEYWORDS

antibiotic resistance gene, indoor environment, microbiome, antimicrobial resistance, hospital

1 Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR), i.e., the ability of microorganisms to persist or grow in the presence of drugs designed to inhibit or kill them, poses one of the greatest current threats to global health (Kim and Cha, 2021). AMR occurs in microorganisms naturally, but overuse of antimicrobial agents (antibiotics, antifungals, antivirotics, and antiparasitics) in both humans and animals leads to a significant increase in the number of resistant strains and, in effect, to longer hospital stays, higher medical costs, and increased mortality (Murray et al., 2022).

Acquired antibiotic resistance (AR) arises either as a result of gene mutations in bacterial DNA, or by acquiring an antibiotic resistance gene (ARG) from another bacterium. Mobile genetic elements (MGEs), such as plasmids, transposons, or integrons, contribute to the spread of ARGs across different bacterial genera (Acman et al., 2022). Beside bacterial phyla, some fungal phyla were also considered as ARGs-carrying pathogens (Wang et al., 2023). ARGs can be classified into several categories based on the class of antibiotics they confer resistance to, i.e., aminoglycosides (e.g., aac, ant, aph), beta-lactams (e.g., blaTEM, blaOXA, blaAmpC), multidrug (e.g., mexA, oprJ, acrAB-tolC), macrolides (e.g., erm, mef, mph), tetracyclines (e.g. tetA, tetM, tetX), phenicol (e.g., cat, floR, cmlA), quinolones (e.g., qnr, gyrA, norA), sulfonamides (e.g., sul1, sul2, folP), trimethoprim (e.g., dfrA1, drfA5, dfrB), vancomycin (e.g., vanA, vanB, vanC), and others, including, e.g. colistin (e.g., mrc1, mrc2). In addition, ARGs encode resistance to macrolides, lincosamides, and streptogramin B (so-called MLSB phenotype), characterized by high-level cross-resistance to these antibiotics (e.g., ermA, ermB, ermC) (Martínez-Martínez et al., 1998; Potrykus and Wegrzyn, 2001; Frye and Jackson, 2013; Wüthrich et al., 2019; Abdelrahman et al., 2020; Schwendener et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2023; Puangseree et al., 2024; Smith et al., 2024).

The resistome, a collection of all ARGs and MGEs within a specific sample, has mostly been investigated in food-producing animals and human patients, especially in fecal samples (Forslund et al., 2013; Pal et al., 2016; Mencía-Ares et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020; Nielsen et al., 2021). The main factors contributing to the structure of fecal (and, inherently, human gut) resistome include the administration of antimicrobials, health status, and diet (Forslund et al., 2014; Palleja et al., 2018; Willmann et al., 2019; Oldenburg et al., 2020; Tavella et al., 2021; Stege et al., 2022). The resistome of human cavities such as the ears, nose, and oral cavity has been less studied compared to the gut resistome (Allemann et al., 2019; Carr et al., 2020; Hullegie et al., 2021; Sukumar et al., 2023). However, given that these cavities serve as primary gateways for pathogens and are prone to infections, understanding their resistomes is crucial for human health. Notably, these cavities are in direct contact with the surrounding environment (water, air, and dust particles), which can influence their microbial communities, including their resistomes (Bich et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2020a; Wang et al., 2021; Gwenzi and Siyawamwaya, 2022). Hospitals and agricultural farms represent high-risk environments due to the extensive use of antimicrobials. These environments contribute to the release of antimicrobials and associated AR bacteria into the surrounding ecosystems, e.g. through wastewater. While the presence of resistance determinants in environmental bacteria does not inherently threaten human health, their transfer to human-associated pathogens, via MGEs, could result in significant public health challenges underscoring the importance of studying the human exposure to environmental resistomes (Peterson and Kaur, 2018; Kunhikannan et al., 2021).

Indoor dust, a mixture of particulate matter and biological and chemical pollutants, to which humans living in urban areas are exposed daily, represents a great reservoir of microorganisms and ARGs (Escudeiro et al., 2019; Feng et al., 2022). Since hospitals are an important breeding ground for the development and spread of AR bacteria, the resistome in hospital dust and possible routes of transmission of ARGs across hospital environments were investigated in many previous studies (Chng et al., 2020; Li et al., 2021; Bai et al., 2022; Wu et al., 2022). However, little is known of other important indoor environments where people spend the majority of their lifetime, such as workplaces and households (Gupta et al., 2019; Shan et al., 2019; Ding et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2022). Indoor environments with higher densities of people, such as malls, office buildings, and educational facilities, may be significant reservoirs for ARGs. The high density of people can facilitate greater microbial exchange and enhance the persistence and spread of resistant strains. Thus, while higher microbial diversity can sometimes act as a barrier to the spread of resistance, in densely populated indoor settings, ARGs can accumulate and disseminate through increased human contact and microbial interaction (Hewitt et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2021; Li et al., 2022; An et al., 2023; Klümper et al., 2024). Moreover, some antimicrobials present in cleaning products used in indoor environments, such as triclosan, are associated with a higher prevalence of specific ARGs in indoor dust (Hartmann et al., 2016). Nevertheless, data comparing the resistomes from workplaces and households, including high-risk hospital settings, is still limited.

In our pilot study, we aimed to analyze the resistomes in paired indoor dust samples collected from three workplaces (a pediatric hospital, a maternity hospital, and offices in a research center) and households of employees of these institutions using (i) highthroughput quantitative PCR (HT-qPCR) techniques and (ii) whole metagenome shotgun sequencing (WMGS). We intended to compare the results obtained by these techniques to investigate their suitability (based on the method sensitivity) for the analysis of ARGs in indoor dust.

2 Materials and methods

This brief research report is based on dust samples presented in our previous article containing a detailed description of sampling, DNA extraction, and 16S rRNA sequencing library preparation and analysis (Konecna et al., 2023). In brief, samples were collected using a vacuum cleaner and sampling head with filter paper. DNA from homogenized samples was isolated using DNeasy PowerLyzer PowerSoil kit (QIAGEN, GER). The DNA quality was checked using gel electrophoresis and concentration was measured fluorimetrically using the Quant-iT dsDNA High-Sensitivity Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).

Due to the required minimal DNA concentration (10 ng/µl) and volume (100 µl) for ARGs analysis by public service based on the HT-qPCR technique (Resistomap Oy, Helsinki, Finland), it was necessary to pool samples. Hence, we pooled extracted DNA from indoor dust samples separately for each of the three workplaces (ENT, pediatric hospital; NEO, maternity hospital; and RCX, research center) and for households of workers from these workplaces (in total, 22 + 22 samples pooled into 3 + 3 pooled samples), see the workflow in Supplementary Figure S1. Specifically, three pooled represented indoor dust samples from the three workplaces (ENT Work, NEO Work, and RCX Work), while the other three pooled samples originated from the homes of the employees working at these workplaces (NEO Home, ENT Home, and RCX Home). HTq-PCR and WMGS analyses were performed on these six paired pools. Each pooled sample consisted of at least six individual samples number of samples in each pool is shown in Supplementary Table S1.

2.1 HT-qPCR – Resistomap

For our purposes, we selected 247 ARGs (Supplementary Table S2 with used primers) for HT-qPCR analysis using a SmartChip Real-time PCR system (TaKaRa Bio, Japan) to be examined by Resistomap Oy (Stedtfeld et al., 2018). Currently, Resistomap has been widely used by many authors for ARGs analysis in multiple environments, including aquatic systems, agriculture, hospitals, or human gut helping track the spread of ARGs globally (Bagra et al., 2024; Daw Elbait et al., 2024; Klümper et al., 2024; Samarra et al., 2024). The service Resistomap provided us with raw data containing cycle threshold (Ct) and melting temperature (Tm) values for each gene. All subsequent data analysis and calculations were performed in-house. Only genes with $Ct \le 28$ in at least 2 of 3 replicates were used in analysis. The relative quantification was calculated using the formula: $2^{-\Delta Ct}$. The ΔCt was based on the abundance of the genes relative to the amount of gene for 16S rRNA in each sample ($\Delta Ct = Ct$ of analyzed genes – Ct of gene for 16S rRNA).

2.2 WMGS and ARGs identification

Sequencing libraries were prepared using the QIAseq FX DNA lib kit (QIAGEN, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. The input of DNA was 10 ng; for all samples, the initial time of fragmentation with an enhancer was 5 min. The quality of all libraries was determined using the TapeStation and its D5000 ScreenTape kit (Agilent Technologies, USA). The concentration was measured using the KAPA Library Quantification Complete Kit (Roche, USA) and the LightCycler 480 Instrument (Roche, USA). Based on these concentrations, the final library was pooled. The quality of the final library was checked using the same methods as employed for individual libraries. The indoor dust samples were sequenced using the NextSeq 550 system and 500/550 High Output Kit v2.5 (300 cycles) according to the manufacturer's instructions (Illumina, USA). The raw sequencing data were subjected to quality assessment using FastQC (version 0.11.9) (Andrews, 2010) and the reports were consolidated with MultiQC (version 1.19) (Ewels et al., 2016). Quality control was performed using fastp (version 0.23.3) (Chen et al., 2018) with a mean quality cut-off of 25 and a minimum read length of 50 bp, which was sufficient for ARGs detection. Adapters were automatically detected and removed by the same tool.

For the description of the microbiome in pooled samples, taxonomic profiling of the preprocessed data was carried out using Kraken2 (version 2.1.3) (Wood et al., 2019, p. 2) with the quick flag enabled, utilizing the complete nt database (version nt_20230502).

The contig assembly was executed using the nf-core/mag (version 2.5.1) (Krakau et al., 2022) publicly available and curated pipeline with MEGAHIT (version 1.2.9) as the assembler. The assembled contigs were subsequently analyzed for ARGs using the nf-core/funcscan (version 1.1.4) publicly available and curated pipeline (Yates et al., 2023), incorporating the ABRicate (version 1.0.1) and AMRFinderPlus (version 3.10.42, database version 2022-10-11.2) tools. The results were consolidated using hAMRonization (version 1.1.1). Bioinformatics analysis parameters are shown in Supplementary Figure S2.

2.3 Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed in R version 4.1.2 (2021-11-01) (R Core Team, 2021). The analyses were solely descriptive, without any inferential tests.

For the HT-qPCR – Resistomap analysis, a radar chart of relative quantifications of ARGs grouped by categories based on the main classes of antibiotics and other genes (e. g., encoding multidrug efflux pumps, resistance to antiseptics, or mercury) to which they confer resistance was created using the fmsb package v0.7.6 (Nakazawa, 2024). A heatmap of the relative quantifications of ARGs from HT-qPCR – Resistomap was created using the ComplexHeatmap package v2.10.0 (Gu et al., 2016). The data were scaled by the minimal non-zero value and transformed using total sum scaling (TSS). For heatmap only 25 genes with highest total relative quantification across all samples and/or those found in both the HT-qPCR – Resistomap and WMGS data were included in the final heatmap. In the WMGS analysis, reads were generated using the ggplot2 package v3.5.1 (Wickham, 2016).

3 Results

Most participants (73%) lived in flats with a floor area of more than 70 m². On average, there were three occupants per household. Three participants, one from each workplace, owned a dog. Average air humidity during sampling was higher in households (58.1%) than in workplaces (45.6%), while the average temperature was lower in households (22.3°C) than in workplaces (26.5°C). Air conditioning was present in 4 out of 22 households. More characteristics of the sampling locations are provided in Supplementary Table S1 and were previously published by our team (Konecna et al., 2023).

Supplementary Table S1 also presents the numbers of reads from the WMGS analysis (range 2,206,042-10,517,515 reads per pooled sample). The WMGS analysis revealed that the six pooled samples predominantly contained eukaryotic (predominantly human) DNA, with a mean relative abundance of 84.1%. A higher relative abundance of human DNA was observed in workplace indoor dust samples compared to household samples. In addition to human DNA, dust samples from households contained higher amounts of DNA from dogs, pines, and spruces compared to samples from workplaces. In the kingdom Fungi, the genera Alternaria, Cladosporium, and Aspergillus were the most abundant across all pooled samples. Additionally, other fungi considered to be potential human pathogens, such as the genera Malassezia, Trichoderma, Penicillium, and Candida, were also represented. The relative abundance of bacterial DNA in the pooled dust samples ranged from 10.6% to 22.5%. Corynebacterium, Cutibacterium, and Staphylococcus were the most abundant genera in household dust samples, with relative abundances within the Bacteria

domain of \geq 5% (comprising 25.9% of bacterial reads in these samples). Workplace samples, however, exhibited greater diversity, particularly in the environment of NEO, which showed high relative abundances of the genera Acinetobacter and Pseudomonas. In addition, DNA from the Archea and Viruses domains was found in these indoor dust samples (albeit in very low relative abundances, approximately 0.1% and 0.3% of all reads, respectively). Of Archaea, all genera with relative abundances higher than 3% across all samples belonged to the Halobacteria class (namely Halorubrum, Halobaculum, Natrinema, Halobacterium, Halorussus, Halovivax, and Haloterrigena). The Halobacteria class was responsible for 27.6% of archaeal reads. The most abundant viruses with relative abundances of at least 5% across all samples were members of the genera Lentivirus, Betacoronavirus, Salasvirus, Coguvirus, and Ranavirus, covering together 42% of the reads in the domain Viruses. The domain composition and relative abundances of the top 15 bacterial genera across all pooled samples are presented in Figure 1.



The numbers of ARGs detected by both HT-qPCR – Resistomap analysis (range 47–119 ARGs per pooled sample) and WMGS (range 8–23 ARGs per pooled sample) are shown in Supplementary Table S1. Out of the 247 ARGs evaluated in total using HT-qPCR, 143 ARGs were detected in the indoor dust samples and could be assigned into eleven categories based on the class of antibiotics they grant resistance to (see Figure 2; Supplementary Table S2). In comparison, the WMGS analysis revealed only 36 ARGs from nine AR classes (see Supplementary Table S3). No ARGs granting resistance to quinolone and sulfonamide antibiotics were detected by WMGS.

Overall, the relative abundance of ARGs detected by HT-qPCR was 1.65 times higher in workplaces compared to households. ARGs associated with the MLSB phenotype were the most prevalent, followed by ARGs for MDR and aminoglycosides. The highest relative abundance of ARGs was detected in the indoor dust samples from the ENT workplace, primarily comprising ARGs of the MLSB phenotype, aminoglycosides, and MDR. Detailed information about the ARG profiles in studied locations, categorized based on the class of antibiotics they confer resistance to, is presented in Figure 2.

The highest relative quantity across all pooled samples was recorded for the *ermC_2* gene, which encodes resistance to the macrolide erythromycin (MLSB). It was followed by *ermX_1* (MLSB), *qac* (MDR), *qacA/qacB* (MDR), and *msrA_1* (MLSB).

Five ARGs were detected by both HT-qPCR and WMGS: *blaTEM*, *blaZ*, *dfrC*, *mecA*, and *qacG*. 25 ARGs with highest relative quantification across all pooled samples, as well as those

found in both HT-qPCR and WMGS, are shown in Figure 3. Comprehensive results of HT-qPCR and WMGS are provided in Supplementary Figure S3, where, among others, higher relative quantity of *blaNDM* (beta-lactams) can be observed in indoor dust samples from workplaces than in households.

4 Discussion

The bacterial diversity and composition of individual indoor dust samples included in this study have been previously analyzed using 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing (Konecna et al., 2023). In this study, we performed two additional types of analysis, a WMGS analysis and an HT-qPCR – Resistomap analysis.

As WMGS was performed in pooled samples due to the HT-qPCR – Resistomap service requirements, it is difficult to compare the bacteriomes found in the pooled samples with the previously published bacteriomes of individual samples detected using 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing. Nevertheless, the bacterial composition identified in the dust samples using both techniques was similar, with high relative abundances of skin-associated bacterial genera, in particular *Corynebacterium, Staphylococcus*, and *Cutibacterium/ Propionibacterium* (the latter has been recently subsumed under the *Cutibacterium* genus) (Corvec et al., 2019). Higher relative abundances of the genera *Pseudomonas* and *Acinetobacter* and *Pseudomonas* are known to be opportunistic pathogens, frequently associated with AR, especially in healthcare settings. *Acinetobacter* species, particularly *Acinetobacter*



Radar chart containing 143 antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) detected by HT-qPCR – Resistomap for the dust samples from three workplaces (Work) and households of workers from these workplaces (Home). ARGs were categorized based on the class of antibiotics they confer resistance to. ENT, pediatric hospital; NEO, maternity hospital; and RCX, research center; N, number of ARGs with relative quantity > 0 in any of the six pooled indoor dust samples; MDR, multidrug resistance; MLSB, genes associated with macrolides, lincosamides, and streptogramin B phenotype; HT-qPCR, high-throughput guantitative PCR.



Heatmap of antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) with > 1% relative quantification in all pooled samples or found in both HT-qPCR and WMGS. Data scaled by the minimal non-zero value across all analyzed samples. The upper left part of each cell corresponds to the workplace (Work) samples, and the lower right part to the household (Home) samples, facilitating easy paired comparison. Circles inside cells indicate orders of magnitude for better readability. Cross marks (**X**) denote ARGs that were found also by WMGS. ENT, pediatric hospital; NEO, maternity hospital; and RCX, research center; MDR, multidrug resistance; MLSB, genes associated with the phenotype resistant to macrolides, lincosamides, and streptogramin B; HT-qPCR, high-throughput quantitative PCR; WMGS, whole metagenome shotgun sequencing.

baumannii, are recognized for their multidrug resistance, often harboring various ARGs, including those for carbapenems, aminoglycosides, and beta-lactams (Sunenshine et al., 2007; Ayobami et al., 2019; Cain and Hamidian, 2023). *Pseudomonas* species, especially *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, are also well-documented for their resistance mechanisms, including the production of beta-lactamases, efflux pumps, and the ability to form biofilms (Abdelrahman et al., 2020; Fernández-Billón et al., 2023; Silva et al., 2023; Saeli et al., 2024). These traits contribute to their resistance to multiple classes of antimicrobials, such as beta-lactams, fluoroquinolones, and aminoglycosides.

Eukaryotic DNA, especially human DNA, was significantly prevalent in all dust samples. DNA from pets (dogs) and trees (Pinus, Picea) was also relatively abundant in household dust, supporting the hypothesis that households are in greater contact with the surrounding environment due to window ventilation, plants around the house/apartment, and pets. Workplaces are more likely to use HVAC (Heating, Cooling, and Air Conditioning) systems than window ventilation, and the contact of workplaces on higher floors with the external environment is much lower than that of (e.g.) family houses (Barberán et al., 2015; Weikl et al., 2016). The Archea and Viruses domains were significantly less represented than the Eukaryota and Bacteria domains in our study, which is in line with WMGS findings in other studies (Boissy et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2020b; Fu et al., 2021). Among the fungi, the genera Alternaria, Cladosporium, and Aspergillus were the most abundant in the dust samples, which is consistent with findings from other studies investigating the indoor dust mycobiome (Izawa et al., 2020; Khalaf et al., 2022; Chauhan et al., 2023; Nastasi et al., 2024).

In this pilot study, 143 different ARGs were detected by HTqPCR - Resistomap in indoor dust from three workplaces and 22 households. Similar quantities of ARGs were detected in a study by Zhou et al. (2021), which analyzed the dust resistome in hospital environments (Zhou et al., 2021). The relative quantity of ARGs was higher in the workplaces compared to households. This difference may be caused by a higher level of human occupancy and more frequent use of antimicrobials in the workplaces than in households. ARGs to MLSB were the most prevalent, followed by ARGs to MDR, and to aminoglycosides. The ermC_2 gene encoding the erythromycin resistance transferase (MLSB group) was the most abundant ARG in the study. It can be found in many Gram-positive bacteria such as Staphylococcus, which was highly abundant in all indoor dust samples, as observed in WMGS data as well as in the 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing data published previously by our team (Konecna et al., 2023).

The highest relative abundances of ARGs were detected in the pediatric hospital, suggesting that the hospital environment is a major source of ARGs due to the frequent use of antimicrobials and disinfectants causing selective pressure as reported in many studies (Bonadonna et al., 2017; Christoff et al., 2019; La Fauci et al., 2019). Interestingly, the abundance of ARGs in the samples from the maternity hospital was lower than in the samples from the pediatric hospital. This can be explained by the fact that in the maternity hospital, there is a lower density of sick people needing antimicrobials and an overall lower number of occupants in the building than in the ENT department of the pediatric hospital. Other significant factors may have influenced ARGs abundance, such as the building structure, the maternity hospital, and the distinct disinfecting procedures compared to the pediatric hospital. In the

indoor dust samples, especially those collected from workplaces, we detected beta-lactam resistance gene *blaNDM* to the drugs of last resort – carbapenems; these ARGs pose a great risk to healthcare (Codjoe and Donkor, 2017; Brink, 2019; Hammoudi Halat and Ayoub Moubareck, 2020). A recent study by Habibi et al. reported a high prevalence of the ARG *blaIMP*, which is associated with carbapenems, in hospital aerosols. This finding suggests that hospital environments may serve as significant reservoirs for carbapenem-resistant ARGs, with aerosolized dust potentially acting as a vehicle for their dissemination (Habibi et al., 2023).

In households, ARGs associated with MLSB phenotype were the most abundant. A similar trend has been reported by Ding et al (Ding et al., 2020). In addition, they, however, detected a high abundance of ARGs to vancomycin in household dust, which was not replicated in our study. Moreover, the abundances of ARGs in the dust from households of hospital employees were higher than in the samples of dust from households of workers from research center. This can be explained by the transmission of resistant bacteria from hospitals to homes by hospital workers.

The WMGS technique was not able to capture as many ARGs as the HT-qPCR technique and only five ARGs were detected in the same pooled sample by both techniques. The advantage of the WMGS technique is that, in addition to the detection and quantification of ARGs, it also allows the analysis of the metagenome and metabolic pathways by mapping the sequence reads to sequences in reference databases. On the other hand, HTqPCR certainly provides better detection limits than the WMGS approach due to the targeted analysis of selected genes. The advantage of SmartChip HT-qPCR technique lies in the simultaneous qualitative as well as quantitative analysis of a high number of ARGs (up to 384) within a short time; however, the limitation lies in the fact that only previously described ARGs can be detected, and information on the host microorganism carrying ARGs cannot be obtained (van Schaik, 2015; Kim and Cha, 2021; Daw Elbait et al., 2024).

Our pilot study aimed to describe the abundance of ARGs in indoor environments where people spend most of their time, such as workplaces and households, providing preliminary insights into the resistome structure in these settings. Unlike the study by Wang et al (Wang et al., 2020), which evaluated the resistome in human and animal gut microbiomes using metatranscriptomic profiling to capture active ARG expression, we did not focus on gene expression which is a critical factor in assessing the risk and impact of ARGs. Nevertheless, our findings offer valuable information on the genetic potential of the resistome in households and workplaces—indoor environments that have not been as extensively studied as human and animal gut resistomes.

The main limitation of this pilot study lies in the low amount of DNA in individual analyzed samples, which led to the necessity of their pooling to support HT-qPCR analysis (and, in effect, to the low number of analyzed samples). Collecting a higher amount of dust from each location would support the extraction of a higher amount of bacterial DNA from individual samples and, in turn, enable analysis of a higher number of samples pairing individual workers and their household/home environments instead of a general pooled sample; this is something we recommend for future studies. On the

other hand, based on the available literature, this is the first comparative study describing the indoor dust resistomes from workplaces and homes of the employees from these workplaces.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary Material.

Ethics statement

The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the (C)ELSPAC Ethics Committee of Masaryk University (protocol code CELSPAC/EK/2/18, approved on 12th March 2018). Informed consent was obtained from all participants, who also provided access to their households.

Author contributions

EK: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. PV: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. VB: Data curation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. JB: Data curation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – original draft. PS: Methodology, Writing – original draft. VK: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. MU: Writing – review & editing. BL: Writing – review & editing. RP: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. EB: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. JK: Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing. PBL: Conceptualization, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – original draft.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. The authors acknowledge funding from the Czech Science Foundation (GACR, grant no. GA22-32743S). Project was supported by Ministry of Health of the Czech Republic - conceptual development of research organization (FNBr, 65269705). The work was also supported from project Cetocoen Plus (CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/15_003/0000469). Authors thank to Research Infrastructure RECETOX RI (No LM2023069) financed by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports for supportive background. This work was supported from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No 857560 (CETOCOEN Excellence). This publication reflects only the author's view, and the European Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains. EK was also supported by project Maternity hospital dust microbiome and resistome (MUNI/ IGA/1060/2020).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr Jaroslav Janosek for his valuable comments. We acknowledge the CF Genomics and the CF Bioinformatics supported by the NCMG research infrastructure (LM2023067 funded by MEYS CR) for their support in obtaining scientific data presented in this paper.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1484100/ full#supplementary-material

References

Abdelrahman, D. N., Taha, A. A., Dafaallah, M. M., Mohammed, A. A., El Hussein, A. R. M., Hashim, A. I., et al. (2020). [amp]]beta;-lactamases (bla TEM, bla SHV, bla CTXM-1, bla VEB, bla OXA-1) and class C β -lactamases gene frequency in Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolated from various clinical specimens in Khartoum State, Sudan: a cross sectional study. *F1000Research* 9, 774. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.24818.3

Acman, M., Wang, R., van Dorp, L., Shaw, L. P., Wang, Q., Luhmann, N., et al. (2022). Role of mobile genetic elements in the global dissemination of the carbapenem resistance gene blaNDM. *Nat. Commun.* 13, 1131. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-28819-2

Allemann, A., Kraemer, J. G., Korten, I., Ramsey, K., Casaulta, C., Wüthrich, D., et al. (2019). Nasal resistome development in infants with cystic fibrosis in the first year of life. *Front. Microbiol.* 10. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.00212

An, X.-L., Xu, J.-X., Xu, M.-R., Zhao, C.-X., Li, H., Zhu, Y.-G., et al. (2023). Dynamics of microbial community and potential microbial pollutants in shopping malls. *mSystems* 8, e00576–e00522. doi: 10.1128/msystems.00576-22

Andrews, S. (2010). FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. Available online at: http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc (Accessed June 29, 2024).

Ayobami, O., Willrich, N., Harder, T., Okeke, I. N., Eckmanns, T., and Markwart, R. (2019). The incidence and prevalence of hospital-acquired (carbapenem-resistant) Acinetobacter baumannii in Europe, Eastern Mediterranean and Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* 8, 1747–1759. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2019.1698273

Bagra, K., Kneis, D., Padfield, D., Szekeres, E., Teban-Man, A., Coman, C., et al. (2024). Contrary effects of increasing temperatures on the spread of antimicrobial resistance in river biofilms. *mSphere* 9, e00573–e00523. doi: 10.1128/msphere.00573-23

Bai, H., He, L.-Y., Wu, D.-L., Gao, F.-Z., Zhang, M., Zou, H.-Y., et al. (2022). Spread of airborne antibiotic resistance from animal farms to the environment: Dispersal pattern and exposure risk. *Environ. Int.* 158, 106927. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2021.106927

Barberán, A., Ladau, J., Leff, J. W., Pollard, K. S., Menninger, H. L., Dunn, R. R., et al. (2015). Continental-scale distributions of dust-associated bacteria and fungi. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* 112, 5756–5761. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1420815112

Bich, V. T. N., Thanh, L. V., Thai, P. D., Van Phuong, T. T., Oomen, M., Driessen, C., et al. (2019). An exploration of the gut and environmental resistome in a community in northern Vietnam in relation to antibiotic use. *Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control* 8, 1–10. doi: 10.1186/s13756-019-0645-9

Boissy, R. J., Romberger, D. J., Roughead, W. A., Weissenburger-Moser, L., Poole, J. A., and LeVan, T. D. (2014). Shotgun pyrosequencing metagenomic analyses of dusts from swine confinement and grain facilities. *PloS One* 9, e95578. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0095578

Bonadonna, L., Briancesco, R., and Coccia, A. M. (2017). Analysis of microorganisms in hospital environments and potential risks. *Indoor Air Qual. Healthc. Facil*, 53–62. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-49160-8_5

Brink, A. J. (2019). Epidemiology of carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative infections globally. *Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis.* 32, 609–616. doi: 10.1097/QCO.0000000000000608

Cain, A. K., and Hamidian, M. (2023). Portrait of a killer: Uncovering resistance mechanisms and global spread of Acinetobacter baumannii. *PloS Pathog.* 19, e1011520. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1011520

Carr, V. R., Witherden, E. A., Lee, S., Shoaie, S., Mullany, P., Proctor, G. B., et al. (2020). Abundance and diversity of resistomes differ between healthy human oral cavities and gut. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 693. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-14422-w

Chauhan, B. V., Higgins Jones, D., Banerjee, G., Agrawal, S., Sulaiman, I. M., Jia, C., et al. (2023). Indoor bacterial and fungal burden in "Moldy" versus "Non-moldy" Homes: A case study employing advanced sequencing techniques in a US metropolitan area. *Pathogens* 12, 1006. doi: 10.3390/pathogens12081006

Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., and Gu, J. (2018). fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. *Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl.* 34, i884–i890. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560

Chng, K. R., Li, C., Bertrand, D., Ng, A. H. Q., Kwah, J. S., Low, H. M., et al. (2020). Cartography of opportunistic pathogens and antibiotic resistance genes in a tertiary hospital environment. *Nat. Med.* 26, 941–951. doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-0894-4

Christoff, A. P., Sereia, A. F., Hernandes, C., and de Oliveira, L. F. (2019). Uncovering the hidden microbiota in hospital and built environments: New approaches and solutions. *Exp. Biol. Med.* 244, 534–542. doi: 10.1177/1535370218821857

Codjoe, F. S., and Donkor, E. S. (2017). Carbapenem resistance: A review. *Med. Sci.* 6, 1. doi: 10.3390/medsci6010001

Corvec, S., Dagnelie, M.-A., Khammari, A., and Dréno, B. (2019). Taxonomy and phylogeny of Cutibacterium (formerly Propionibacterium) acnes in inflammatory skin diseases. *Ann. Dermatol. Venereol* 146, 26–30. doi: 10.1016/j.annder.2018.11.002

Daw Elbait, G., Daou, M., Abuoudah, M., Elmekawy, A., Hasan, S. W., Everett, D. B., et al. (2024). Comparison of qPCR and metagenomic sequencing methods for quantifying antibiotic resistance genes in wastewater. *PloS One* 19, e0298325. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0298325

Ding, L.-J., Zhou, X.-Y., and Zhu, Y.-G. (2020). Microbiome and antibiotic resistome in household dust from Beijing, China. *Environ. Int.* 139, 105702. doi: 10.1016/ j.envint.2020.105702

Escudeiro, P., Pothier, J., Dionisio, F., and Nogueira, T. (2019). Antibiotic resistance gene diversity and virulence gene diversity are correlated in human gut and environmental microbiomes. *mSphere* 4, e00135–e00119. doi: 10.1128/mSphere.00135-19

Ewels, P., Magnusson, M., Lundin, S., and Käller, M. (2016). MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. *Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl.* 32, 3047–3048. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btw354

Feng, T., Han, Q., Su, W., Yu, Q., Yang, J., and Li, H. (2022). Microbiota and mobile genetic elements influence antibiotic resistance genes in dust from dense urban public places. *Environ. pollut.* 311, 119991. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119991

Fernández-Billón, M., Llambías-Cabot, A. E., Jordana-Lluch, E., Oliver, A., and Macià, M. D. (2023). Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms. *Biofilm* 5, 100129. doi: 10.1016/j.bioflm.2023.100129

Forslund, K., Sunagawa, S., Coelho, L. P., and Bork, P. (2014). Metagenomic insights into the human gut resistome and the forces that shape it. *BioEssays* 36, 316–329. doi: 10.1002/bies.201300143

Forslund, K., Sunagawa, S., Kultima, J. R., Mende, D. R., Arumugam, M., Typas, A., et al. (2013). Country-specific antibiotic use practices impact the human gut resistome. *Genome Res.* 23, 1163–1169. doi: 10.1101/gr.155465.113

Frye, J. G., and Jackson, C. R. (2013). Genetic mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance identified in Salmonella enterica, Escherichia coli, and Enteroccocus spp. isolated from U.S. food animals. *Front. Microbiol.* 4. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2013.00135

Fu, X., Ou, Z., Zhang, M., Meng, Y., Li, Y., Wen, J., et al. (2021). Indoor bacterial, fungal and viral species and functional genes in urban and rural schools in Shanxi Province, China–association with asthma, rhinitis and rhinoconjunctivitis in high school students. *Microbiome* 9, 1–16. doi: 10.1186/s40168-021-01091-0

Gu, Z., Eils, R., and Schlesner, M. (2016). Complex heatmaps reveal patterns and correlations in multidimensional genomic data. *Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl.* 32, 2847–2849. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btw313

Gupta, M., Lee, S., Bisesi, M., and Lee, J. (2019). Indoor microbiome and antibiotic resistance on floor surfaces: an exploratory study in three different building types. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public. Health* 16, 4160. doi: 10.3390/ijerph16214160

Gwenzi, W., and Siyawamwaya, M. (2022). "Chapter 17 - The environmental resistome: Human exposure, health risks, and research needs," in *Emerging Contaminants in the Terrestrial-Aquatic-Atmosphere Continuum*. Ed. W. Gwenzi (Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier), 307–322. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-323-90051-5.00001-8

Habibi, N., Uddin, S., Behbehani, M., Kishk, M., Abdul Razzack, N., Zakir, F., et al. (2023). Antibiotic resistance genes in aerosols: baseline from Kuwait. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 24, 6756. doi: 10.3390/ijms24076756

Hammoudi Halat, D., and Ayoub Moubareck, C. (2020). The current burden of carbapenemases: review of significant properties and dissemination among gramnegative bacteria. *Antibiot. Basel Switz* 9, 186. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics9040186

Hartmann, E. M., Hickey, R., Hsu, T., Betancourt Román, C. M., Chen, J., Schwager, R., et al. (2016). Antimicrobial chemicals are associated with elevated antibiotic resistance genes in the indoor dust microbiome. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 50, 9807–9815. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.6b00262

Hewitt, K. M., Gerba, C. P., Maxwell, S. L., and Kelley, S. T. (2012). Office space bacterial abundance and diversity in three metropolitan areas. *PloS One* 7 (5), e37849. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0037849

Hullegie, S., Venekamp, R. P., van Dongen, T. M. A., Hay, A. D., Moore, M. V., Little, P., et al. (2021). Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of bacteria in children with acute otitis media and ear discharge: A systematic review. *Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J.* 40, 756. doi: 10.1097/INF.00000000003134

Izawa, K., Kubosaki, A., Kobayashi, N., Akiyama, Y., Yamazaki, A., Hashimoto, K., et al. (2020). Comprehensive fungal community analysis of house dust using nextgeneration sequencing. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public. Health* 17, 5842. doi: 10.3390/ ijerph17165842

Khalaf, N. F., Al-Obaidi, M. J., Mohammed, S. W., Al-Malkey, M. K., Nayyef, H. J., Al-Hur, F. J. A., et al. (2022). Indoor house dust-borne fungi and risk of allergic respiratory diseases in Baghdad city. *Rev. Fr. Allergol* 62, 401–406. doi: 10.1016/ j.reval.2021.05.002

Kim, D.-W., and Cha, C.-J. (2021). Antibiotic resistome from the One-Health perspective: understanding and controlling antimicrobial resistance transmission. *Exp. Mol. Med.* 53, 301–309. doi: 10.1038/s12276-021-00569-z

Klümper, U., Gionchetta, G., Catão, E., Bellanger, X., Dielacher, I., Elena, A. X., et al. (2024). Environmental microbiome diversity and stability is a barrier to antimicrobial resistance gene accumulation. *Commun. Biol.* 7, 1–13. doi: 10.1038/s42003-024-06338-8

Konecna, E., Videnska, P., Buresova, L., Urik, M., Smetanova, S., Smatana, S., et al. (2023). Enrichment of human nasopharyngeal bacteriome with bacteria from dust after short-term exposure to indoor environment: a pilot study. *BMC Microbiol.* 23, 1–16. doi: 10.1186/s12866-023-02951-5

Krakau, S., Straub, D., Gourlé, H., Gabernet, G., and Nahnsen, S. (2022). nf-core/ mag: a best-practice pipeline for metagenome hybrid assembly and binning. *NAR Genomics Bioinforma* 4, lqac007. doi: 10.1093/nargab/lqac007

Kunhikannan, S., Thomas, C. J., Franks, A. E., Mahadevaiah, S., Kumar, S., and Petrovski, S. (2021). Environmental hotspots for antibiotic resistance genes. *MicrobiologyOpen* 10, e1197. doi: 10.1002/mbo3.1197

La Fauci, V., Costa, G. B., Genovese, C., Palamara, M. A. R., Alessi, V., and Squeri, R. (2019). Drug-resistant bacteria on hands of healthcare workers and in the patient area: an environmental survey in Southern Italy's hospital. *Rev. Espanola Quimioter* 32, 303–310.

Li, H., Zhou, S.-Y.-D., Neilson, R., An, X.-L., and Su, J.-Q. (2022). Skin microbiota interact with microbes on office surfaces. *Environ. Int.* 168, 107493. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2022.107493

Li, X., Wu, Z., Dang, C., Zhang, M., Zhao, B., Cheng, Z., et al. (2021). A metagenomic-based method to study hospital air dust resistome. *Chem. Eng. J.* 406, 126854. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2020.126854

Martínez-Martínez, L., Pascual, A., and Jacoby, G. A. (1998). Quinolone resistance from a transferable plasmid. *Lancet Lond. Engl.* 351, 797–799. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736 (97)07322-4

Mencía-Ares, O., Cabrera-Rubio, R., Cobo-Díaz, J. F., Álvarez-Ordóñez, A., Gómez-García, M., Puente, H., et al. (2020). Antimicrobial use and production system shape the fecal, environmental, and slurry resistomes of pig farms. *Microbiome* 8, 1–17. doi: 10.1186/s40168-020-00941-7

Murray, C. J. L., Ikuta, K. S., Sharara, F., Swetschinski, L., Aguilar, G. R., Gray, A., et al. (2022). Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. *Lancet* 399, 629–655. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02724-0

Nakazawa, M. (2024). *fmsb: Functions for Medical Statistics Book with some Demographic Data.* Available online at: https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/fmsb/ index.html (Accessed June 29, 2024).

Nastasi, N., Haines, S. R., Bope, A., Meyer, M. E., Horack, J. M., and Dannemiller, K. C. (2024). Fungal diversity differences in the indoor dust microbiome from built

environments on earth and in space. Sci. Rep. 14, 11858. doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-62191-z

Nielsen, K. L., Olsen, M. H., Pallejá, A., Ebdrup, S. R., Sørensen, N., Lukjancenko, O., et al. (2021). Microbiome compositions and resistome levels after antibiotic treatment of critically ill patients: an observational cohort study. *Microorganisms* 9, 2542. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms9122542

Oldenburg, C. E., Hinterwirth, A., Sié, A., Coulibaly, B., Ouermi, L., Dah, C., et al. (2020). Gut resistome after oral antibiotics in preschool children in Burkina Faso: A randomized, controlled trial. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 70, 525–527. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciz455

Pal, C., Bengtsson-Palme, J., Kristiansson, E., and Larsson, D. G. J. (2016). The structure and diversity of human, animal and environmental resistomes. *Microbiome* 4, 1–15. doi: 10.1186/s40168-016-0199-5

Palleja, A., Mikkelsen, K. H., Forslund, S. K., Kashani, A., Allin, K. H., Nielsen, T., et al. (2018). Recovery of gut microbiota of healthy adults following antibiotic exposure. *Nat. Microbiol.* 3, 1255–1265. doi: 10.1038/s41564-018-0257-9

Peterson, E., and Kaur, P. (2018). Antibiotic resistance mechanisms in bacteria: relationships between resistance determinants of antibiotic producers, environmental bacteria, and clinical pathogens. *Front. Microbiol.* 9. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.02928

Potrykus, J., and Wegrzyn, G. (2001). Chloramphenicol-sensitive Escherichia coli strain expressing the chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (cat) gene. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. 45, 3610–3612. doi: 10.1128/AAC.45.12.3610-3612.2001

Puangseree, J., Prathan, R., Srisanga, S., and Chuanchuen, R. (2024). Molecular basis of the persistence of chloramphenicol resistance among Escherichia coli and Salmonella spp. from pigs, pork and humans in Thailand. *PloS One* 19, e0304250. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0304250

R Core Team (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing (Vienna, Austria: Foundation for Statistical Computing). Available at: https://www.R-project.org/.

Saeli, N., Jafari-Ramedani, S., Ramazanzadeh, R., Nazari, M., Sahebkar, A., and Khademi, F. (2024). Prevalence and mechanisms of aminoglycoside resistance among drug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa clinical isolates in Iran. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 24, 1– 9. doi: 10.1186/s12879-024-09585-6

Samarra, A., Cabrera-Rubio, R., Martínez-Costa, C., and Collado, M. C. (2024). Unravelling the evolutionary dynamics of antibiotic resistance genes in the infant gut microbiota during the first four months of life. *Ann. Clin. Microbiol. Antimicrob.* 23, 72. doi: 10.1186/s12941-024-00725-z

Schwendener, S., Donà, V., and Perreten, V. (2020). The Novel Macrolide Resistance Genes mef(D), msr(F), and msr(H) Are Present on Resistance Islands in Macrococcus canis, Macrococcus caseolyticus, and Staphylococcus aureus. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 64, e00160–e00120. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00160-20

Shan, Y., Wu, W., Fan, W., Haahtela, T., and Zhang, G. (2019). House dust microbiome and human health risks. *Int. Microbiol.* 22, 297–304. doi: 10.1007/s10123-019-00057-5

Silva, A., Silva, V., López, M., Rojo-Bezares, B., Carvalho, J. A., Castro, A. P., et al. (2023). Antimicrobial resistance, genetic lineages, and biofilm formation in pseudomonas aeruginosa isolated from human infections: an emerging one health concern. *Antibiotics* 12, 1248. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics12081248

Smith, B. L., Fernando, S., and King, M. D. (2024). Escherichia coli resistance mechanism AcrAB-TolC efflux pump interactions with commonly used antibiotics: a molecular dynamics study. *Sci. Rep.* 14, 2742. doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-52536-z

Stedtfeld, R. D., Guo, X., Stedtfeld, T. M., Sheng, H., Williams, M. R., Hauschild, K., et al. (2018). Primer set 2.0 for highly parallel qPCR array targeting antibiotic resistance genes and mobile genetic elements. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 94, fiy130. doi: 10.1093/femsec/fiy130

Stege, P. B., Hordijk, J., Shetty, S. A., Visser, M., Viveen, M. C., Rogers, M. R. C., et al. (2022). Impact of long-term dietary habits on the human gut resistome in the Dutch population. *Sci. Rep.* 12, 1892. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-05817-4

Sukumar, S., Wang, F., Simpson, C. A., Willet, C. E., Chew, T., Hughes, T. E., et al. (2023). Development of the oral resistome during the first decade of life. *Nat. Commun.* 14, 1291. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-36781-w

Sun, J., Liao, X.-P., D'Souza, A. W., Boolchandani, M., Li, S.-H., Cheng, K., et al. (2020a). Environmental remodeling of human gut microbiota and antibiotic resistome in livestock farms. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 1427. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-15222-y

Sun, Y., Fu, X., Li, Y., Yuan, Q., Ou, Z., Lindgren, T., et al. (2020b). Shotgun metagenomics of dust microbiome from flight deck and cabin in civil aviation aircraft. *Indoor Air* 30, 1199–1212. doi: 10.1111/ina.12707

Sunenshine, R. H., Wright, M.-O., Maragakis, L. L., Harris, A. D., Song, X., Hebden, J., et al. (2007). Multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter infection mortality rate and length of hospitalization. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 13, 97–103. doi: 10.3201/eid1301.060716

Tavella, T., Turroni, S., Brigidi, P., Candela, M., and Rampelli, S. (2021). The human gut resistome up to extreme longevity. *mSphere* 6, e0069121. doi: 10.1128/mSphere.00691-21

van Schaik, W. (2015). The human gut resistome. Philos. Trans. R. Soc B Biol. Sci. 370, 20140087. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2014.0087

Wang, B., Xu, J., Wang, Y., Stirling, E., Zhao, K., Lu, C., et al. (2023). Tackling soil ARG-carrying pathogens with global-scale metagenomics. *Adv. Sci. Weinh* 10, e2301980. doi: 10.1002/advs.202301980

Wang, Y., Hu, Y., Liu, F., Cao, J., Lv, N., Zhu, B., et al. (2020). Integrated metagenomic and metatranscriptomic profiling reveals differentially expressed

resistomes in human, chicken, and pig gut microbiomes. *Environ. Int.* 138, 105649. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2020.105649

Wang, Y., Lyu, N., Liu, F., Liu, W. J., Bi, Y., Zhang, Z., et al. (2021). More diversified antibiotic resistance genes in chickens and workers of the live poultry markets. *Environ. Int.* 153, 106534. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2021.106534

Weikl, F., Tischer, C., Probst, A. J., Heinrich, J., Markevych, I., Jochner, S., et al. (2016). Fungal and bacterial communities in indoor dust follow different environmental determinants. *PloS One* 11, e0154131. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0154131

Wickham, H. (2016). ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis (New York: Springer-Verlag). doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-24277-4

Willmann, M., Vehreschild, M. J. G. T., Biehl, L. M., Vogel, W., Dörfel, D., Hamprecht, A., et al. (2019). Distinct impact of antibiotics on the gut microbiome and resistome: a longitudinal multicenter cohort study. *BMC Biol. Lond.* 17 (1), 76. doi: 10.1186/s12915-019-0692-y

Wood, D. E., Lu, J., and Langmead, B. (2019). Improved metagenomic analysis with Kraken 2. *Genome Biol.* 20, 1–13. doi: 10.1186/s13059-019-1891-0

Wu, D., Jin, L., Xie, J., Liu, H., Zhao, J., Ye, D., et al. (2022). Inhalable antibiotic resistomes emitted from hospitals: metagenomic insights into bacterial hosts, clinical

relevance, and environmental risks. *Microbiome* 10, 1–16. doi: 10.1186/s40168-021-01197-5

Wüthrich, D., Brilhante, M., Hausherr, A., Becker, J., Meylan, M., and Perreten, V. (2019). A Novel Trimethoprim Resistance Gene, dfrA36, Characterized from Escherichia coli from Calves. *mSphere* 4, e00255-e00219. doi: 10.1128/mSphere.00255-19

Yates, J. A. F., Frangenberg, J., Ibrahim, A., Perelo, L., bot nf-core, Beber, M.E., et al. (2023). *nf-core/funcscan:* 1.1.4 - British Beans on Toast (Patch) - 2023-11-07. doi: 10.5281/zenodo.10078888

Zhang, Y., Zhang, N., Wang, M., Luo, M., Peng, Y., Li, Z., et al. (2023). The prevalence and distribution of aminoglycoside resistance genes. *Biosaf. Health* 5, 14–20. doi: 10.1016/j.bsheal.2023.01.001

Zhao, Y., Wang, Q., Chen, Z., Mao, D., and Luo, Y. (2021). Significant higher airborne antibiotic resistance genes and the associated inhalation risk in the indoor than the outdoor. *Environ. pollut.* 268, 115620. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115620

Zhou, Z.-C., Liu, Y., Lin, Z.-J., Shuai, X.-Y., Zhu, L., Xu, L., et al. (2021). Spread of antibiotic resistance genes and microbiota in airborne particulate matter, dust, and human airways in the urban hospital. *Environ. Int.* 153, 106501. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2021.106501