

Transcription factors and hormone receptors: Sex‑specific targets for cancer therapy (Review)

JUYEON KIM $^{\rm l}$, HYOBIN BANG $^{\rm l}$, CHEYUN SEONG $^{\rm l}$, EUN-SOOK KIM $^{\rm 2}$ and SUN YOUNG KIM $^{\rm l}$

¹Department of Chemistry, College of Science and Technology, Duksung Women's University, Seoul 01369, Republic of Korea; 2 College of Pharmacy, Duksung Women's University, Seoul 01369, Republic of Korea

Received August 20, 2024; Accepted November 15, 2024

DOI: 10.3892/ol.2024.14839

Abstract. Despite advancements in diagnostic and therapeutic technologies, cancer continues to pose a challenge to disease‑free longevity in humans. Numerous factors contribute to the onset and progression of cancer, among which sex differences, as an intrinsic biological condition, warrant further attention. The present review summarizes the roles of hormone receptors estrogen receptor α (ERα), estrogen receptor $β$ (ER $β$) and androgen receptor (AR) in seven types of cancer: Breast, prostate, ovarian, lung, gastric, colon and liver cancer. Key cancer‑related transcription factors known to be activated through interactions with these hormone receptors have also been discussed. To assess the impact of sex hormone receptors on different cancer types, hormone-related

Correspondence to: Dr Sun Young Kim, Department of Chemistry, College of Science and Technology, Duksung Women's University, 33 Samyang-ro 144-gil, Dobong-gu, Seoul 01369, Republic of Korea E‑mail: neomiriam@duksung.ac.kr

Abbreviations: ADT, androgen deprivation therapy; AF, activation functions; AKT, protein kinase B; AP-1, activator protein 1; AR, androgen receptor; ASCL1, Achaete‑Scute homolog 1; CTCF, CCCTC-binding factor; CRPC, castration-resistant prostate cancer; DBD, DNA-binding domain; DHT, dihydrotestosterone; EMT, epithelial-mesenchymal transition; EOC, epithelial ovarian cancer; ER, estrogen receptor; ERG, ETS-related gene; E1, estrone; E2, estradiol; EZH2, enhancer of zeste homolog 2; FOXA1, forkhead box A; GATA2, GATA binding protein 2; GR, glucocorticoid receptor; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HIF‑1α, hypoxia‑inducible factor 1‑α; LBD, ligand‑binding domain; NSCLC, non‑small cell lung cancer; NTD, N-terminal domain; PBX1, PBX homeobox 1; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase; PLK3, polo-like kinase 3; CDKN1A, cyclin‑dependent kinase inhibitor 1A; p27, cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 1B; PPARα, peroxisome proliferator-activated Receptor A; PR, progesterone receptor; PTEN, phosphatase and tensin homolog; RARA, retinoic acid receptor Α; ROS, reactive oxygen species; SP1, specificity protein 1; STAT3, signal transducer and activator of transcription 3; TNBC, triple‑negative breast cancer; TMPRSS2, transmembrane serine protease 2

Key words: estrogen receptor, androgen receptor, hormone, transcription factor, tumor progression, sex‑specificity

transcription factors were analyzed using the SignaLink 3.0 database. Further analysis focused on six key transcription factors: CCCTC-binding factor, forkhead box A1, retinoic acid receptor α, PBX homeobox 1, GATA binding protein 2 and CDK inhibitor 1A. The present review demonstrates that these transcription factors significantly influence hormone receptor activity across various types of cancer, and elucidates the complex interactions between these transcription factors and hormone receptors, offering new insights into their roles in cancer progression. The findings suggest that targeting these common transcription factors could improve the efficacy of hormone therapy and provide a unified approach to treating various types of cancer. Understanding the dual and context‑dependent roles of these transcription factors deepens the current understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying hormone‑driven tumor progression and could lead to more effective targeted therapeutic strategies.

Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Roles of ERs and ARs in tumor progression
- Role of key transcription factors in mediating hormone receptor‑driven tumor progression
- 4. Conclusions

1. Introduction

The Global Cancer Observatory reported that the top seven types of cancer according to incidence rates in 2022 were breast (23.8%), lung (9.4%), colorectal (8.9%) cervix uteri (6.9%), thyroid (6.4%), corpus uteri (4.3%) and stomach (3.5%) cancer for females. For males, the top seven by incidence were lung (15.2%), prostate (14.2%), colorectal (10.4%), stomach (6.1%), liver (5.8%), bladder (4.6%) and esophagus (3.5%) cancers. Similarly, the top seven types of cancer according to mortality rates in 2022 were breast (15.4%), lung (13.5%), colorectal (9.4%), cervix uteri (8.1%), liver (5.5%), stomach (5.4%) and pancreas (5.1%) cancers for females. For males the top seven by mortality rate were llung (22.7%), liver (9.6%), colorectal (9.2%), stomach (7.9%), prostate (7.3%), esophagus (5.9%) and pancreas (4.6%) cancer (1). These statistics demonstrate sex

differences in cancer incidence and mortality rates that can be attributed to varying expression levels of hormone receptors and associated genes involved in cancer occurrence and malignancy mechanisms (2,3).

Estrogen, commonly recognized as a female hormone, also significantly impacts males. Among the four types of estrogen, estrone (E1), estradiol (E2), estriol and estetrol, E2 is the most predominant in both males and premenopausal females. While E2 levels in males are lower compared with in premenopausal females, they are comparable to or exceed those in postmenopausal females (4,5). In males, E2 is primarily produced in extragonadal tissues, particularly increasing in individuals with a higher BMI (4-7). Estrogen inhibits bone resorption and provides cardiovascular protection, essential for maintaining health in premenopausal women. However, after menopause, ovarian estrogen production ceases, diminishing these protective effects and increasing the risk of developing diseases, such as cardiovascular disease and osteoporosis(8‑12). Additionally, in postmenopausal women, estrogen is mainly produced in peripheral tissues, heightening the risk of developing breast cancer (13,14).

Androgens, commonly known as male hormones, notably impact females as well. In males, androgens are secreted by the testes and adrenal glands; whereas in females, androgens are produced by the ovaries and adrenal glands (15). Androgens bind to androgen receptors (AR) in various tissues, including the prostate, seminal vesicles and skeletal muscle, to regulate physiological activities, sperm production and cancer growth in males (16,17). In females, precursor substances of androgen, such as androstenedione, are more likely to convert to estrogen compared with androgens and act on estrogen receptors (ER) (18). Excessive androgen secretion in females can worsen endocrine disorders such as polycystic ovary syndrome and congenital adrenal hyperplasia (19,20).

The expression and activation of sex hormone receptors serve a significant role in the progression and malignancy of breast and prostate cancer, influencing tumor growth, metastasis and response to treatment (21). While a direct linear correlation between cancer stage and hormone receptor expression is not consistently observed (22,23), sex hormone receptor status serves a key role in determining treatment options and prognosis at various stages of cancer (24). In the early stages of cancer (stage 1 or 2, according to the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual), cancer cell growth is mainly promoted in a sex hormone‑dependent manner, so that it may be treated with hormone therapy. However, in the advanced stages of cancer (stage 3 or 4), hormone receptor expression levels often diminish or become less predictive of treatment efficacy, requiring more aggressive therapies, such as chemotherapy or targeted therapy (25,26). ER‑low or ER‑negative (‑) patients with breast cancer have a higher recurrence rate and show distinct clinicopathological findings compared with ER‑high patients. The 5‑year recurrence rates are 5.1% for ER‑high, 7.4% for ER‑low and 9.7% for ER‑negative patients, with ER-negative cases showing significantly worse outcomes (P<0.001). ER‑high patients typically have lower tumor grades, lower Ki-67 proliferation indices, and are associated with the luminal A subtype, which responds well to hormone therapy. By contrast, ER‑negative patients present with higher tumor grades, significantly elevated Ki‑67 indices, and a higher prevalence of triple-negative breast cancer, often leading to a poorer prognosis (24). Furthermore, hormone receptor-positive types of cancer, such as luminal A and B, respond well to hormone therapies, while HER2-positive and triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) subtypes often require more aggressive treatments, such as targeted therapy or chemotherapy (27‑29). Among these subtypes, both luminal subtypes typically show positive ER and progesterone receptor (PR) expression, while luminal B breast cancer generally displays increased HER2 expression levels compared with luminal A. Luminal B breast cancer is also associated with higher proliferation rates (e.g., Ki‑67 index), increased HER2 expression and a poor prognosis, indicating more aggressive clinical characteristics (27‑30).

Prostate cancer is similarly categorized by its dependence on AR signaling, with advanced cases evolving into castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC), which requires more intensive treatments. Hormone‑sensitive prostate cancer, which typically describes most early-stage cases, is commonly treated with androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) (31). However, CRPC requires more aggressive treatments, such as second-line hormonal therapies, such as enzalutamide or chemotherapy (32). Thus, the expression levels of hormone receptors in the early stages of cancer are a major factor in treatment decisions. However, in advanced stages, their predic‑ tive value for treatment decreases due to reduced dependence on hormones.

Recent studies have reported that AR and ER signaling pathways are closely associated, particularly in breast cancer, where AR can either suppress or enhance estrogen receptor $α$ (ER $α$) activity depending on the context (33,34). In ER‑positive (+) breast cancer, ARs often act as a tumor suppressor by inhibiting $ER\alpha$ -driven tumorigenesis, with AR activation showing significant anti‑tumor effects, even in cases of resistance to ER and CDK4/6 inhibitors (35). AR redis‑ tributes ER and its co‑activators on chromatin, suppressing ER‑regulated genes and upregulating AR target genes, which correlates with improved survival in patients with ER(+) breast cancer (36). However, AR can also promote oncogenic functions in the context of androgen excess, where androgens act as precursors to estrogen (37). This leads to overstimulation of estrogen‑regulated gene expression, driving tumor proliferation and progression in ER‑positive breast cancer (34). In CRPC, estrogen receptor β (ERβ) activation reduces AR expression, inducing apoptosis and acting as a tumor suppressor. These findings highlight the key role of AR and ER interactions in cancer progression and present opportunities for targeted therapies (38).

While cancer research spans numerous fields of research, studies focusing on sex‑specific differences are currently limited. Addressing these differences may lead to significant breakthroughs in cancer prevention and treatment. Specifically, hormones can act on their receptors to influence the expression of hormone‑related transcription factors, which in turn can affect the expression of oncogenes or tumor suppressors (39). Understanding how sex hormone receptors interact with common transcription factors in different types of cancer may serve to identify novel therapeutic targets, which could aid in the development of personalized treatment strategies and thereby maximize the efficacy of cancer therapies.

2. Roles of ERs and ARs in tumor progression

ERα. ERα was first discovered in 1958 by Jensen *et al* (40). Subsequently, ERα was found to regulate gene transcription through interactions with estrogen, resulting in extensive research into its role in various diseases. ER α is encoded by the ESR1 gene on chromosome 6, and consists of several domains in the following structure: $NH₂$ –A/B–C–D–E–F–COOH. The N-terminal domain (NTD; A/B) contributes to transcriptional activation and provides receptor specificity. The DNA‑binding domain (DBD; C) enhances DNA binding, whereas the D domain stabilizes the C domain through binding to heat shock proteins. The ligand-binding domain (LBD; E/F) facilitates regulation via activation functions $(AF)-1$ and AF-2, thus aiding in transcriptional control. The AF1 domain, a ligand‑independent region, is typically regulated through phosphorylation by kinases such as mitogen‑activated protein kinase (MAPK), enhancing its capacity to recruit coactivators and stimulate transcription, even in the absence of hormone binding (41‑44). By contrast, the AF2 domain is ligand‑dependent, undergoing a conformational change upon estrogen binding to the LBD, particularly in helix 12 (45). This shift facilitates the recruitment of coactivators, such as nuclear receptor coactivator 1 and p300/CBP, via their LxxLL motifs(46). These coactivators, once bound, modify chromatin through histone acetylation, which enables transcription (47). These regulatory mechanisms are functionally conserved in ER β and ARs (48,49).

ER α is a pivotal molecule in the development and progres– sion of various types of cancer. In breast cancer, ERα is associated with tumor progression and is upregulated in \sim 75% of breast cancer tissues, in contrast to \sim 10% in healthy tissues (50). ER α is more prevalent in the luminal A type, compared with the basal type of breast cancer. ER α interacts with estrogen to promote tumor growth (51). Given these properties, anti-hormone therapies that target $ER\alpha$, such as aromatase inhibitors, tamoxifen and fulvestrant, have proven to be effective in breast cancer (52,53).

Aromatase inhibitors reduce estrogen levels by inhibiting the enzyme aromatase, which is responsible for converting the androgen hormone androstenedione and androstenediol into E1 and E2, respectively. As a result, these inhibitors are used in the treatment of ER(+) breast cancer to lower estrogen levels, thus suppressing cell proliferation and invasion (54). By contrast, tamoxifen is an anti‑estrogen drug that blocks the activity of estrogen in breast cancer. Tamoxifen directly binds to $ER\alpha$, which prevents estrogen from exerting its effects, thereby inhibiting cell proliferation and tumor growth (55). The clinical study by Arpino *et al* (56) on patients with breast cancer demonstrated that the $ER(+) / PR(-)$ breast cancer group is less sensitive to tamoxifen, which targets ER, compared with the $ER(+) / PR(.)$ breast cancer group. This is because tamoxifen inhibits the estrogen effect, which influences the expression of the PR gene (57). As a result, PR(‑) patients experience reduced efficacy from tamoxifen treatment. Moreover, these patients tend to exhibit increased expression levels of other receptors, such as HER‑1 and HER‑2, which contributes to more aggressive tumor characteristics, including therapy resistance, faster proliferation and a higher probability of metastasis. Specifically, HER‑2 positive tumors are known to exhibit resistance to tamoxifen therapy, while HER‑1 expression is predominantly observed in ER‑negative tumors, which are associated with poor prognosis (58). Therefore, tamoxifen and fulvestrant are specifically used in $ER(+)$ breast cancer, regard– less of PR status, but their efficacy may vary depending on the presence or absence of PR. Additionally, ERα is comprised of 595 amino acids with a molecular weight of 66 kDa, and alternative splicing results in several isoforms such as $ER\alpha 46$ and ER α 36, with the ER α 46 isoform acting as a competitive inhibitor when co-expressed with $ER\alpha$ 66 (59-61).

In prostate cancer, ERα promotes cell proliferation and inhibits apoptosis, thereby facilitating tumor growth (62). Notably, ERα expressed in stromal tissue has been shown to stimulate the growth of prostatic epithelium through growth factors (63). An *in vivo* study has demonstrated that knocking down ERα suppresses tumor growth (64) , and research indicates that patients with high ERα expression levels have poor prognoses (65). In the majority of prostate cancer subtypes, $ER\alpha$ activation is associated with tumorigenesis and cancer progression (66-68). ER α typically promotes cancer cell proliferation by activating pathways such as IL‑6 signaling, which supports cell survival and resistance to ADT. This is particularly relevant in CRPC, where androgen-independent mechanisms serve a pivotal role in sustaining tumor growth (66,67). In aggressive prostate cancer subtypes, including neuroendocrine prostate cancer and CRPC, elevated ERα levels contribute to increased malignancy and facilitate cancer cell survival and invasiveness, often through interactions with AR‑mediated pathways (68).

Ovarian cancer exhibits ER α expression in ~80% of patients (69). ERα significantly promotes cell migration and the epithelial‑mesenchymal transition (EMT) process by upregulating EMT‑associated transcription factors such as Snail and Slug, and by downregulating the epithelial marker E‑cadherin (70). Furthermore, a study by Chan *et al* (71) demonstrated that cell growth induced by E2 treatment was reduced when ERα was knocked down using siRNA, confirming its role in cell proliferation. The aforementioned anti‑estrogen treatments, such as aromatase inhibitors, tamoxifen and fulvestrant, are generally less effective due to the modest response rate in patients with ovarian cancer compared with patients with breast cancer, and are thus not commonly used (52,72).

Non‑small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), the most common type of lung cancer, is characterized by $ER\alpha$ promoting tumor progression by enhancing macrophage infiltration, which alters the tumor microenvironment to favor cancer growth and increases cell invasion (73). Clinical data also demonstrates that within the same elderly cancer patient group, women have a higher survival rate compared with men. Lung adenocarcinoma, a common subtype of NSCLC, commonly occurs in non-smokers, with a higher incidence rate in women (19.6%) compared with men (11.8%) (74). Premenopausal women with lung adenocarcinoma had a median survival of 643 days compared with 735 days for postmenopausal women $(P=0.01)$. Additionally, premenopausal women presented with more advanced stages of the disease, with 66% in stage IV compared with 53% in postmenopausal women. This highlights the significant impact of menopausal status on disease progression and survival outcomes. A study by Hsu *et al* (75) indicated that

E2 stimulates cancer cell migration, while ER antagonists such as tamoxifen, targeting the estrogen signaling pathway, inhibit lung cancer cell growth. Another study reported that women >60 years have a survival advantage compared with younger women, though this age effect is not observed in men (76).

Meanwhile, research on gastric cancer suggests that ERα may have a dual role. A study showed that transfection-induced overexpression of ERα decreases β‑catenin expression, thus inhibiting cell proliferation and invasion (77). Additionally, ER α and ER β mRNA levels in tumors, compared with normal tissues, have been associated with increased metastatic potential in gastric cancer (78). By contrast, it has also been suggested that knockdown of $ER\alpha$ inhibits the proliferation, migration and invasion of gastric cancer cells by regulating the expression of factors such as p53 and CDK inhibitor 1A (CDKN1A), associated with poor prognosis in patients (79).

Colon cancer is significantly influenced by estrogen and exhibits varying effects depending on which estrogen receptor it interacts with. ERα promotes the development and proliferation of colon cancer cells (80,81). The type of ERs interacting with estrogen varies with colon cancer stage, with ERα predominantly driving tumor progression in late stages. Conversely, the isoform ERα36 shows lower expression in tumor tissue compared with healthy colorectal tissue and decreases with advancing Dukes' stage (A+B>C+D, P=0.017) and lymph node metastasis stage (N0>N1/N2, P=0.049), suggesting a function opposite to full-length $ER\alpha 66 (82)$.

In hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), the most common type of liver cancer, ERα is expressed at lower levels compared with adjacent normal tissue, and its promoter is hypermethylated (83). Hou *et al* (84) reported that ERα acts as a tumor suppressor by upregulating the expression of tyrosine phosphatase receptor type O, which promotes apoptosis and inhibits cell proliferation. However, in HCV‑related HCC, ERα mRNA and protein expression levels are elevated, and increased ERα expression is associated with increased levels of inflammatory and oncogenic genes, such as NF‑κB and cyclin D1, suggesting a role in promoting liver cancer progression (85).

ERβ. ERβ, discovered in 1996 (86), interacts with various molecules across different types of cancer and serves a significant role in tumor progression. Recognized as a crucial hormone receptor, ERβ functions as a tumor suppressor (87). ER β is composed of AF-1, AF-2, DBD and LBD domains (88). The transcriptional activation domains, AF-1 and AF-2, are situated in the NTD and C-terminal domain (CTD), respectively. ERβ has several isoforms due to alternative splicing, with the primary ones being ERβ1, ERβ2, ERβ3, ERβ4 and ERβ5 (89). Among them, ERβ1, the full-length protein, is commonly referred to as ERβ (90).

In breast cancer, ERβ generally exhibits lower expression levels and has a weak negative correlation with $ER\alpha$ (Spearman R= -0.18 , P= $2.2x10^{-16}$) (91). ER β is more abundantly expressed in basal-like or normal-like breast cancer subtypes (91). In ER α (+) breast cancer, ER β suppresses ER α and thus inhibits tumor growth. Conversely, ERβ can act as a carcinogen in ER α (-) breast cancer (89). Moreover, ER β interacts with various signaling pathway molecules including AR, p53, E-cadherin, cell cycle arrest molecules, phosphatase and PTEN, PI3K and AKT, all of which contribute to either inhibiting or promoting cancer growth (92). Notably, stable expression of ERβ in the $ER\alpha$ (+) cell line MCF7 results in decreased cell proliferation. Of the 921 differentially expressed genes after E2 treatment in $ER\beta(+)$ compared with $ER\beta(-)$ breast cancer cells, 424 had ERβ binding sites within 10 kb. These target genes are crucial in regulating cell proliferation, death, differentiation, motility, adhesion, signal transduction and transcription (93).

In the prostate gland, ERβ isoforms range from ERβ1 to ERβ5 (94), each having distinct functions that can act either as tumor suppressors or oncogenes. Typically, ERβ acts as a tumor suppressor contrary to $ER\alpha$ in prostate cancer (95), upregulating E‑cadherin to inhibit EMT (96). Furthermore, ERβ enhances prolyl hydroxylase domain 2 expression, which hydroxylates hypoxia-inducible factor $1-\alpha$ (HIF-1 α), marking it for degradation by the Von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor (97,98). ERβ also upregulates forkhead box O3, subsequently increasing the expression of the apoptotic gene p53 upregulated modulator of apoptosis (PUMA) and the cell cycle arrest genes p21 and p27, thereby inhibiting tumor cell proliferation (68). When activated by ligands such estradiol or the selective ERβ agonist LY3201, ERβ functions as a tumor suppressor by inhibiting AR activation and the response of AR target genes (99). $ER\beta$ activation induces cellular differentiation and inhibits proliferation, particularly in early‑stage or low‑grade prostate cancer. ERβ exerts these effects by promoting the degradation of pro-tumorigenic factors such as HIF-1 α , which helps maintain a differentiated, non-invasive state in the prostate (68). However, in advanced or high-grade prostate cancer, including CRPC, ERβ expression is frequently downregulated, leading to the loss of its protective effects and contributing to tumor progression (68,100). However, when ERβ1 forms heterodimers with ERβ2 or ERβ5, it correlates with poorer patient outcomes, promoting increased cell migration and invasion (101).

In the context of ovarian cancer, it has been hypothesized that ERβ serves as a tumor suppressor. Indeed, studies have demonstrated that in epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC), comprising 90% of ovarian cancer, the expression of ERβ is diminished in tumor tissues compared with normal tissues (102). Overexpression of ERβ has been observed to suppress the expression and activity of ERα, and to decrease levels of pAKT, cyclin D1 and cyclin A2. An *in vivo* study employing orthotopic xenograft mouse models showed that overexpression of ERβ curbs tumor growth (103). In EOC, ERβ interacts with an indole derivative $(3-\{12\text{-chloro-1}-\}$ (4‑chlorobenzyl)‑5‑methoxy‑6‑methyl‑1H‑indol‑3‑yl]methylene}‑ 5-hydroxy‑6‑methyl‑1,3‑dihydro‑2H‑indol‑2‑one) to inhibit ovarian cancer cell proliferation (104).

Lung cancer studies, particularly in NSCLC, suggest that ERβ facilitates tumor progression and adversely affects patient prognosis (95,105). ERβ expression positively correlates with tumor size, lymph node metastasis, clinical stage and differentiation. Silencing ERβ *in vitro* reduces cell invasion and colony formation (105). Overexpression of ERβ in *in vivo* mouse models has been shown to accelerate tumor progression via the ERβ/circ-TMX4/miR-622/CXCR4 signaling pathway (106).

In gastric cancer, ERβ operates as a tumor suppressor and manifests at reduced levels in gastric cancer tissues compared with normal gastric mucosa (107,108). Knockdown of ERβ in gastric cancer cell lines AGS and MKN45 activates growth

arrest and DNA damage inducible α , leading to increased apoptosis and autophagy through inhibition of the MAPK pathway. Furthermore, ERβ knockdown results in fewer colonies formed (109). Clinical studies have reported a negative correlation between ERβ expression levels, tumor grade and Lauren type in gastric cancer (110).

In colon cancer, ERβ is recognized as a tumor suppressor (111-115). When expressed in the colon cancer cell line SW480, ERβ reduces cell proliferation, induces cell cycle arrest in the G_1 phase, increases tp21 and p53 expression levels and decreases the expression levels of c‑Myc (112). Treatment with the ERβ-selective agonist ERB-041 has shown anticancer effects in colorectal cancer, including reduced metastasis and tumorigenesis in zebrafish xenograft and mouse models, as well as decreased migration and survival in colorectal cancer cell lines (113). During the transition from healthy tissue to cancer, the expression of ERβ decreases (114), and negatively correlates with survival rates in patients (115).

In liver cancer, ERβ also acts as a tumor suppressor, notably in HCC, where ERβ interacts with E2 to exert anti-proliferative and anti-inflammatory effects (116). It has been reported that ERβ induces the expression of suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 and inhibits the JAK1‑STAT6 pathway, preventing the polarization of tumor-associated macrophages to the M2 phenotype, thereby inhibiting HCC growth (117). Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma treatment with the ERβ antagonist KB9520 has shown to increase apoptosis and reduce cell proliferation *in vitro* using HuH‑28 cells and *in vivo* in a thioacetamide‑induced experimental model of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (118). These findings collectively affirm that ERβ functions as a tumor suppressor in liver cancer.

ARs. AR was discovered in the 1960s, and since then, its structural functions and mechanisms have been extensively studied (119‑122). AR consists of several domains: NTD, DBD, hinge region, LBD and CTD. Similar to $ER\beta$, AF-1 is located in the NTD and AF-2 is in the LBD. The hinge region, positioned between the DBD and LBD, contains the nuclear localization signal, facilitating the translocation of AR from the cytoplasm to the nucleus (120‑122). Although the functions of AR are well documented in prostate cancer, its roles in other cancer types are less clearly understood.

In prostate cancer, AR promotes tumor progression. A previous study reported that IL‑6 activates the NTD of AR in LNCaP cells, enhancing cell proliferation via MAPK and signal transducer and activator of STAT3 signaling pathways (123). Consequently, primary cancer therapy for prostate cancer frequently utilizes ADT, which aims to inhibit androgens or block their binding to AR, thus suppressing tumor growth (124). However, as the cancer advances, it may evolve into CRPC, which resists both ADT and drugs such as abiraterone and enzalutamide (125‑127). Due to this resistance, extensive research on ADT therapy continues to explore mechanisms of resistance and develop novel therapeutic strategies (128,129). Shiota *et al* (130) investigated organelles generating reactive oxygen species (ROS) following AR inhibition and reported that ROS are primarily induced in peroxisomes through peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPAR α) activation. Additionally, inhibiting PPARα reduced cell proliferation and restored sensitivity to enzalutamide. Inhibition of enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (EZH2) or achaete-scute homolog 1 (ASCL1) have been shown to re-sensitize prostate cancer cells to enzalutamide. EZH2, a component of the polycomb repressive complex 2, functions as a histone methyltransferase. In CRPC, EZH2 can promote AR signaling independent of its histone modification role, even in the absence of androgens (100,131). ASCL1, a transcription factor involved in neuroendocrine differentia‑ tion, is linked to resistance against AR‑targeted therapies, including enzalutamide, due to its role in promoting neuroendocrine-like characteristics in prostate cancer. Inhibiting either EZH2 or ASCL1 shifts the cancer cells back to a phenotype more reliant on AR signaling, thereby restoring sensitivity to enzalutamide (66).

In breast cancer, multiple studies have investigated the antitumor activity of AR, highlighting its potential to suppress estrogen‑regulated tumorigenesis and improve clinical outcomes, particularly in $ER(+)$ breast cancer (36,132-135). AR activation displaces ER and key co-activators such as $p300$ and SRC‑3 from chromatin, leading to the downregulation of ER‑regulated genes and the upregulation of tumor suppressor genes and AR target genes. This antitumor activity remains effective even in ER(+) breast cancer resistant to CDK4/6 inhibitors (36,132). Conversely, in ER(-) breast cancer, AR promotes tumor progression. Treatment with the AR agonist dihydrotestosterone (DHT) has been shown to increase cell proliferation, migration, invasion and metastasis, as confirmed using *in vivo* mouse models (133). Additionally, AR is activated by various signaling pathways, including the PI3K, MAPK and mTOR pathways, further contributing to tumor progression (134,135).

In ovarian cancer, ARs are known to promote tumor progression (136). Research has demonstrated that ARs are upregulated in >50% of EOC cases, leading to extensive investigations into its role (137,138). Treating EOC with DHT has been shown to decrease the expression levels of TGF‑β1 receptors (TGFBR1‑TGFBR2) and CDKN1A (139). Moreover, androgen treatment in OVCAR-3 and SKOV-3 cell lines, using androgen‑supplemented medium, increased cell proliferation and invasion, a process mediated by the androgen receptor coactivator p44 (140). Martins *et al* (141) reported that in high‑grade serous ovarian cancer, AR overexpression suppresses the tumor suppressor PTEN, thereby facilitating tumor progression.

Whether ARs act as a tumor suppressor or an oncogene in lung cancer remains unresolved. Liu *et al* (142) reported that ARs impede cell invasion in NSCLC and diminishes the expression of the oncogene tumor protein D52 via the circular‑SLCO1B7/microRNA (miR)‑139‑5p axis, thereby impeding tumor progression. Additionally, miR‑224‑5p, which hampers apoptosis and accelerates tumor growth, directly targets ARs and patients with NSCLC with high AR expression levels have a significantly longer overall survival rate [hazard ratio (HR)=0.5, log rank P=8.9x10⁻¹⁶] (143). By contrast, Li *et al* (144) demonstrated that treating the NSCLC cell line A549 with luteolin suppressed AR expression and subsequently reduced cell proliferation. Additionally, Recchia *et al* (145) reported that the interaction between AR and the EGFR‑enhanced A549 cell proliferation via the

| Hormone receptor | Type of cancer | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|
| | B reast | Prostate | Ovarian | Lung | Gastric | Colon | Liver | (Refs.) | |
| $ER\alpha$ | Oncogene | Oncogene | Oncogene | Oncogene | Dual function | Oncogene | Oncogene | $(50-85)$ | |
| $ER\beta$ | Dual function | Dual function | Tumor suppressor | Oncogene | Tumor suppressor | Tumor suppressor | Tumor suppressor | $(68,91-118)$ | |
| AR | Dual function | Oncogene | Oncogene | NC | NC | NC. | NC | $(36, 123 - 153)$ | |

Table I. Function of hormone receptors in different types of cancer.

mTOR/CD1 pathway. Thus, further research into the detailed mechanism of AR is essential.

In regards to gastric cancer, similar to lung cancer, the precise role of AR is not well defined, but the majority of studies suggest that AR promotes tumor progression. Liu *et al* (146) reported that AR upregulates the oncogenic miR‑125b in gastric cancer, which inhibits apoptosis and promotes proliferation. Conversely, treatment with the AR antagonist bicalutamide induces apoptosis and inhibits proliferation. Furthermore, Xia *et al* (147) found that the AR splice variant AR-v12 is more highly expressed in tumor tissues compared with normal tissues and upregulates myosin light chain kinase, enhancing cell migration and invasion. Soleymani Fard *et al* (148) reported that >50% of the 60 patients with gastric cancer exhibited upregulated ARs, which were significantly associated with the upregulation of EMT‑related genes, including Snail, β-catenin, Twist1 and STAT3. AR upregulation is associated with poor survival outcomes (HR=3.478, P=0.001), and treatment with enzalutamide has been found to inhibit tumor progression.

The role of AR in colon cancer remains unclear. Studies suggest that the activation of membrane‑associated AR inhibits the PI3K/AKT pathway, induces apoptosis and subsequently suppresses tumor growth in colorectal cancer (149,150). Conversely, Rodríguez‑Santiago *et al* (151) reported that ARs promote tumor progression, noting that their upregulation in tumor tissues is associated with increased tumor size, differentiation and metastasis. AR activation not only diminishes antitumor immune activity but also increases the secretion of tumor‑promoting factors from the nervous system, thereby facilitating tumor growth.

In liver cancer, similarly to colon cancer, the role of AR is not well‑defined. Acosta‑Lopez *et al* (152) observed increased expression levels of AR in tumor tissues compared with normal tissues in HCC, associating increased AR activity with poorer prognosis in advanced HCC. Furthermore, Ren *et al* (153) suggested that mTORC1 phosphorylates AR at serine residue 96, which promotes tumor progression. Meanwhile, Ren *et al* (154) reported that treatment with DHT escalates cell proliferation, invasion and migration in the HepG2 cell line, while Ouyang *et al* (155) determined that AR inhibits cell migration and invasion in HCC cell lines HA22T and SK-HEP-1 via the miR-325/ACP5 signaling pathway.

The present review investigated the effects of three hormone receptors across seven major types of cancer. The impact of sex hormone receptors on each type of cancer is summarized in Table I. Studies on the influence of these receptors on tumor progression have advanced considerably in hormone‑responsive organs, although their effects in non‑responsive organs remain less understood.

3. Role of key transcription factors in mediating hormone receptor‑driven tumor progression

Key cancer‑related transcription factors activated through interactions with hormone receptors. The sex hormones examined in the present study activate mechanisms of cancer malignancy or suppression through their respective receptors. In this process, various transcription factors are known to regulate the expression of key molecules involved in these mechanisms via sex hormone signaling. Prominent transcription factors include specificity protein 1 (SP1), ETS‑related gene (ERG), β‑catenin, activator protein 1 (AP‑1), c‑Myc, NF‑κB and STAT3. Table II provides a summary of how these key transcription factors influence cancer progression through their interactions with sex hormone receptors.

SP1 is a transcription factor that binds to specific promoter regions containing GC‑rich sequences and serves a key role in activating the expression of various genes. SP1 is known to function as an oncogene through the three sex hormone receptors examined in this study. In breast cancer, the ER/SP1 complex binds to DNA, promoting the expression of estrogen‑induced genes such as c‑Myc, creatine kinase B-type (CKB), cathepsin D, retinoic acid receptor $α$ (RAR $α$) and heat shock protein 27 (Hsp27), thereby facilitating tumor progression (156). In ovarian cancer, estrogen stimulates the expression of genes related to angiogenesis in the endometrium and endothelial cells through the SP1/ERβ complex, with this abnormal angiogenesis promoting tumor growth and invasion (157). Furthermore, in prostate cancer, the AR/SP1 complex binds to the VEGF core promoter in chromatin, and androgen increases VEGF expression via the SP1 binding site, driving angiogenesis and tumor progression (158).

ERG is a key transcription factor belonging to the ETS family that serves a key role in various biological processes such as angiogenesis, cell differentiation, migration and

| Transcription factor | $ER\alpha$ | $ER\beta$ | AR | (Refs.) |
|----------------------|---|------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| SP ₁ | Oncogene | Oncogene | Oncogene | $(156-158)$ |
| ERG | Oncogene | Tumor suppressor | Oncogene | (159,160) |
| β -catenin | Tumor suppressor | Oncogene | Oncogene | (77, 161, 162) |
| $AP-1$ | Oncogene | Tumor suppressor | Tumor suppressor | $(87, 163 - 165)$ |
| c-Myc | Oncogene | Tumor suppressor | Oncogene | $(112, 166 - 168)$ |
| $NF - \kappa B$ | Oncogene | Tumor suppressor | Oncogene | $(169-171)$ |
| STAT3 | Oncogene | Oncogene | Oncogene | $(172-174)$ |
| | SP1, specificity protein 1; ERG, ETS-related gene; AP-1, activator protein 1. | | | |

Table II. Key cancer-related transcription factors activated by hormone receptor interactions.

metastasis (159,160). In prostate cancer, ERG is notably upregulated due to gene fusion with transmembrane serine protease 2 (TMPRSS2), and this upregulation has been associated with aggressive prostate cancer (159). Setlur *et al* (159) demonstrated that TMPRSS2‑ERG expression increased following ERα agonist treatment, which also led to increased prostate cancer cell viability. Conversely, ERβ agonist treatment resulted in a decrease in both TMPRSS2‑ERG expression and cancer cell viability, indicating that the impact on cancer progression varies depending on whether ERα or ERβ is activated by estrogen stimulation. Moreover, an *in vitro* study by Kohvakka *et al* (160) demonstrated that the abnormal expression of prostate cancer-specific long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) further promotes tumor development and progression.

β‑catenin, a key molecule in the Wnt signaling pathway, exerts varying effects on cancer malignancy depending on the type of sex hormone receptor involved. Experimental overexpression of $ER\alpha$ via vector-based transfection inhibits β‑catenin, thereby suppressing the growth, proliferation and invasion of gastric cancer cells, halting their entry into the G_1/G_0 phase and promoting apoptosis (77). Meanwhile, in prostate cancer, androgen interacts with AR to promote tumor progression, whereas estrogen stimulates cell proliferation specifically in androgen-responsive prostate cancer (63,126). Increased expression levels of β‑catenin via ERβ increases the incorporation of [methyl‑3H]thymidine and upregulates cyclin D2 expression, promoting cell cycle progression (161). Furthermore, β‑catenin stimulates AR transcriptional activity through transcriptional intermediary factor 2 and glucocorticoid receptor‑interacting protein 1, thereby activating AR signaling. This activation increases androgen affinity, reduces the efficacy of anti‑androgen therapies and accelerates tumor progression in prostate cancer (162).

The AP-1 family of transcription factors, including c‑Fos and c‑Jun, increases cell proliferation in breast cancer via E2‑ERα signaling. However, through ERβ signaling, AP-1-mediated transcription is suppressed by the recruitment of the transcriptional repressor C‑terminal binding protein, which counteracts the proliferation driven by $ER\alpha$ (87,163). In prostate cancer, AR not only mediates androgen‑induced cancer progression but also interacts with AP‑1 to form a complex, wherein they mutually inhibit each other's binding affinity to DNA‑binding sites (164,165).

Estrogen stimulation enhances the interaction between c-Myc and $ER\alpha$, with both binding closely to the VEGF promoter. A study by Dadiani *et al* (166) demonstrated that estrogen activates c-Myc expression via $ER\alpha$ in $ER\alpha$ (+) breast cancer cells, promoting cell growth and proliferation while inhibiting differentiation. Additionally, estrogen transiently induces the transcription of VEGF, a key factor in angiogenesis, thereby facilitating cell migration (166). By contrast, ER β signaling suppresses c-Myc transcription, modulating the expression levels of proliferation-related genes. For instance, ERβ increases the production of antiproliferative genes such as p21 and p27, leading to G_1 or G_2 cell cycle arrest and inhibiting the proliferation of breast and colorectal cancer cells (112,167). Moreover, c‑Myc is a major target gene of AR signaling, with AR enhancing the transcription and expression levels of c‑Myc, thereby promoting prostate cancer cell growth and progression. Consequently, c‑Myc upregulation is associated with the development and progression of prostate cancer (168).

NF-κB is known to mutually inhibit $ERα$, yet when co-activated, NF-κB modifies $ERα$ function, leading to endocrine resistance and promoting breast cancer metastasis and recurrence, making ER(+) tumors more aggressive (169). In prostate cancer, the effects of NF‑κB vary depending on the receptor involved. Estrogen‑activated ERβ mediates the proteasomal degradation of HIF-1 α , which suppresses NF- κ B activation, thereby reducing inflammation and potentially inhibiting the development of malignant tumors (170). Conversely, Zhang *et al* (171) reported that NF‑κB expression activates AR promoter transcription, increasing AR expression levels and cell proliferation while inhibiting apoptosis. This ultimately promotes metastasis and angiogenesis, thereby accelerating tumor progression.

STAT3 functions as a key transcription factor involved in various cancer progression pathways, including cellular transformation, proliferation, survival and angiogenesis, often through its interaction with sex hormone receptors (172‑174). In breast cancer cells, leptin signaling increases $ER\alpha$ expression, which in turn enhances STAT3 activity, improving $ER\alpha$ -dependent cell viability and promoting tumor progression (172‑174). In lung cancer cells, STAT3 activation upregulates ERβ signaling, leading to increased cell prolif‑ eration (173). In prostate cancer, AR directly interacts with STAT3, enhancing its activity. Yamamoto *et al* (174), reported

that AR activation neutralizes the inhibitory effects of the STAT3 protein inhibitor PIAS3, thus protecting STAT3 from inhibition. Since STAT3 is an oncogene that mediates cellular transformation and promotes prostate cancer, its interaction with AR further accelerates tumor progression.

Cancer‑related transcription factors linked to hormone receptor interactions: Insights from database analysis. To identify sex‑specific key transcription factors involved in hormone receptor-driven cancer progression across seven major types of cancer (breast, prostate, ovarian, colon, lung, liver and gastric cancer), a comprehensive data analysis approach using the SignaLink 3.0 database (http://signalink. org) was employed. The SignaLink database integrates experimentally validated and curator-inferred protein-protein interactions (PPIs) and regulatory mechanisms from multiple sources, focusing on *homo sapiens* to ensure human‑specific relevance. The dataset includes curated data from OmniPath (https://www.omnipathdb.org), BioGRID (https://thebi‑ ogrid.org), Reactome (https://reactome.org) and ComPPI (https://comppi.linkgroup.hu/) (175).

The initial analysis of SignaLink 3.0 identified 6,738 transcription factors associated with ERα, ERβ and AR. This set was filtered to focus on transcription factors that either regulate or are regulated by all three hormone receptors (ERα, ERβ and AR). Through this process, 31 transcription factors were identified that are regulated by all three hormone receptors and 10 transcription factors that regulate these receptors (Fig. 1; Table III) (176‑219). Notably, there was no overlap between the two groups.

To further refine the selection, a text mining approach was conducted by combining data from GeneCards (https://www. genecards.org/) and PubMed (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/). GeneCards is a comprehensive database that provides detailed information on human genes, including their functions, interactions and involvement in diseases (220). GeneCards was used to explore the biological roles of each transcription factor and utilized PubMed to analyze how they interact with hormone receptors. The selection criteria focused on transcription factors that, as evidenced in the literature, both regulate and are regulated by at least two of the three hormone receptors and have demonstrated significant roles in cancer progression. Through this process, studies were identified that demonstrated the critical roles of these transcription factors in modulating hormonal signaling pathways, which are implicated in sex‑specific tumor biology. These interactions were further analyzed to assess their impact on tumor progression, with a focus on understanding the mechanisms by which these transcription factors regulate hormone receptor activity across various types of cancer.

These transcription factors are primarily known to influence hormone-related cancer progression through various pathways, including the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway and the Hippo‑YAP/TAZ pathway. PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling is a common pathway modulated by multiple transcription factors such as PPARG and AR, leading to enhanced tumor growth and survival, especially in prostate cancer (191,207,208). SMARCA4 and the Hippo‑YAP/TAZ signaling pathway are implicated in lung cancer, where they promote tumorigenicity by regulating gene transcription involved in cell proliferation and metastasis (213). Consequently, six key transcription factors were identified: CCCTC-binding factor (CTCF), forkhead box A1 (FOXA1), retinoic acid receptor α (RARA), PBX homeobox 1 (PBX1), GATA binding protein 2 (GATA2) and CDKN1A, as candidate hormone‑related transcription factors. It was then investigated how interactions between these transcription factors and hormone receptors affect tumor progression, summarizing the pathways influenced by these interactions (Fig. 2).

The CTCF has been extensively studied in breast cancer. Montes‑de‑Oca‑Fuentes *et al* (221) revealed that in the ER(+) breast cancer cell line MCF7, CTCF binds with ERα and regulates its gene expression. By contrast, in the ER(‑) cell line MDA‑MB‑231, this binding site is methylated, which prevents binding. Additionally, Rossi *et al* (222) reported that CTCF influences the transcriptional activity of both ERα and ERβ. In a mouse model overexpressing HER2/neu, CTCF binds to both ERα and ERβ, supporting their transcriptional activity and indirectly contributing to tumor progression. Moreover, in prostate cancer, CTCF is known to interact with AR and tends to suppress the expression of AR target genes (219).

FOXA1 has been extensively researched in breast cancer and is known to interact with $ER\alpha$, regulating estrogen responses and transcription activity and activating oncogene expression (223‑226). Additionally, FOXA1 has a positive correlation with AR (r=0.8975, P<0.001) (227,228). Tsirigoti *et al* (229) reported that FOXA1 regulates AR expression levels in TNBC and is inversely correlated with Snail Family Transcriptional Repressor 1 (SNAI1) (Spearman's R= -0.377 , P< $2.2x10^{-16}$), and suggested that in SNAI1-knockout TNBC, FOXA1 induces AR expression, fostering basal-luminal plasticity. In prostate cancer, FOXA1 is positively correlated with ERβ (epithelial, $ρ=0.41$, P<0.001; stromal, $ρ=0.354$, P<0.001), and FOXA1 knockdown via siRNA inhibits cell proliferation and migration in LNCaP and PC‑3 cell lines (230). FOXA1 is also implicated in promoting tumor progression in prostate cancer and HCC through AR‑mediated signaling (231,232).

RARA has gained substantial attention in breast cancer. It binds ERα to mediate transcription of ERα target genes (233). Salvatori *et al* (234) reported that, once activated by retinoic acid, RARA suppresses EGFR expression, while $ER\alpha$, activated by E2, enhances EGFR expression. Nevertheless, in the absence of ligands, $ER\alpha$ interacts with RARA to augment its ability to suppress EGFR expression, functioning as a tumor suppressor. RARA also participates in the AR-related transcription network in prostate cancer, inversely regulating the expression of target genes such as polo-like kinase 3 (PLK3). Specifically, RARA increases PLK3 expression, while AR reduces it, contributing to tumor progression (235). Notably, in the HepG2 HCC cell line, ERβ does not interact with the RARA promoter in the presence of estrogen, but upon 4‑hydroxytamoxifen (4‑OHT) treatment, ERβ activates tran‑ scription of RARA (236).

PBX1 is predominantly studied in breast cancer, specifically for its interaction with $ER\alpha$ compared with other hormone receptors. PBX1 serves as both a transcription and pioneer factor in the estrogen signaling pathway, binding to chromatin before $ER\alpha$ to enhance its accessibility and elevate the expression of estrogen-responsive genes linked to aggressive tumor behavior. This mechanism supports PBX1 as a poor prognostic

Figure 1. Transcription factors related to hormone receptors. (A) Workflow of identification of sex-specific key transcription factors. (B) Transcription factors regulated by hormone receptors. (C) Transcription factors that regulate hormone receptors. (D) Schematic of hormone regulation of 31 transcription factors and their related pathways in cancer. Transcription factors in the red box promote cancer progression. Transcription factors in the blue box suppress cancer progression. Transcription factors in the green box can function as both. ER, estrogen receptor; AR, androgen receptor; HRE, hormone response element; CTCF, CCCTC‑binding factor; FOXA1, forkhead box A1; RARA, retinoic acid receptor α; PB1, PBX homeobox 1; GATA2, GATA binding protein 2; CDKN1A, CDK inhibitor 1A.

biomarker for breast cancer (237,238). Although direct interactions between PBX1 and AR are not documented, evidence suggests an indirect regulatory pathway. Kikugawa *et al* (239) demonstrated that promyelocytic leukemia zinc finger (PLZF), an AR‑regulated tumor suppressor gene, inhibits PBX1 expression. Thus, when androgen interacts with AR, PLZF

Table III. Key cancer-related transcription factors associated with hormone receptor interactions from database analysis.

A, Regulated by hormone receptors (n=31)

B, Regulators of hormone receptors (n=10)

ADORA1, adenosine A1 receptor; ANGPTL4, angiopoietin like 4; AVP, arginine vasopressin; BCL9, B‑cell CLL/lymphoma 9; CAPN2, calpain 2; CRH, corticotropin‑releasing hormone; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; GRIN2D, Glutamate Ionotropic Receptor NMDA Type Subunit 2D; JUN, Jun proto‑oncogene; MKNK2, MAP kinase interacting serine/threonine kinase 2; NCOA3, nuclear receptor coactivator 3; NR5A2, nuclear receptor subfamily 5 group A member 2; PBX1, PBX homeobox 1; PPARG, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma; PRUNE1, prune homolog 1; SERPINE1, serpin family E member 1; SMARCA1, SWI/SNF-related matrix-associated actin-dependent regulator of chromatin subfamily A member 1; TGFA, transforming growth factor alpha; TGM2, transglutaminase 2; TNC, tenascin C; VEGFA, vascular endothelial growth factor A; SMARCA2, SWI/SNF‑related matrix‑associated actin‑dependent regulator of chromatin subfamily A member 2; CDKN1A, cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1A; PNRC1, proline-rich nuclear receptor coactivator 1; POU1F1, POU class 1 homeobox 1; RARA, retinoic acid receptor alpha; NOTCH2, Notch receptor 2; CHAT, choline O-acetyltransferase; CRYZ, crystallin zeta; NBPF15, neuroblastoma breakpoint family member 15; NOTCH2NLA, Notch 2 N‑terminal like A; AR, androgen receptor; EGR1, early growth response 1; ESR1, estrogen receptor 1; FOXA1, forkhead box A1; GATA2, GATA binding protein 2; SMARCA4, SWI/SNF‑related matrix-associated actin-dependent regulator of chromatin subfamily A member 4; STAT3, signal transducer and activator of transcription 3; YBX1, Y-box binding protein 1; SPI1, Spi-1 proto-oncogene; CTCF, CCCTC-binding factor; ER, estrogen receptor; CRPC, castration-resistant prostate cancer; TNBC, triple negative breast cancer; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; SWI/SNF, switch/sucrose non-fermenting.

expression increases, which subsequently suppresses PBX1 expression and inhibits tumor progression.

GATA2 has been extensively studied in relation to AR, particularly in prostate cancer, where it significantly affects AR. GATA2 activates AR and the AR signaling pathway, which promotes tumor progression. GATA2 also enhances the expression of TGFβ1, further driving tumor progression through interaction with the AR signaling pathway (240‑242). In breast cancer, GATA2 inhibits AR translocation from the cytoplasm to the nucleus, thereby suppressing the expression of the tumor suppressor PTEN (243). Treeck *et al* (244) showed that in the ovarian cancer cell line HEC-1A, a three– fold increase in GATA2 expression occurred following ERβ knockdown. Additionally, GATA2 closely interacts with TP53.

CDKN1A, also known as p21, is a key transcription factor in various types of cancer. CDKN1A acts as a tumor suppressor in breast cancer. The presence of estrogen leads to $ER\alpha$ inhibiting p53 transcriptional activity, which reduces CDKN1A expres‑ sion and promotes tumor progression (245,246). Conversely, treatment with tamoxifen or ERα inhibitors elevates CDKN1A expression and decreases cell proliferation (245-247). $ER\beta$ also regulates CDKN1A indirectly; in breast cancer, ERβ suppresses CDKN1A expression in the presence of wild-type p53, but increases CDKN1A expression levels in cases with mutant p53, as demonstrated *in vitro* (248). The effects of ERβ have been explored in ovarian cancer; He *et al* (249) concluded that LY500307, an ERβ agonist, increases CDKN1A levels and apoptosis in ovarian cancer stem cells. Kim *et al* (201) showed that the interplay between AR and CDKN1A in prostate

 $\overline{}$: Inhibition arrow

Figure 2. Graphical representation of how different hormone receptors, ERα, ERβ and AR, influence the activity or expression of the key transcription factors: CTCF, FOXA1, RARA, PB1, GATA2 and CDKN1A. ER, estrogen receptor; AR, androgen receptor; E2, estradiol; CTCF, CCCTC-binding factor; FOXA1, forkhead box A1; RARA, retinoic acid receptor α; PB1, PBX homeobox 1; GATA2, GATA binding protein 2; CDKN1A, CDK inhibitor 1A; PLZF, promyelocytic leukemia zinc finger; ESR1, estrogen receptor 1; PLK3 polo like kinase 3.

cancer demonstrates AR inhibiting cyclin D1/2 and CDK4/6 transcription while increasing CDKN1A transcription, leading to cell cycle arrest and reduced cell proliferation.

Studies on the interaction between hormone receptors and their transcription factors in cancer are limited and mainly focused on breast cancer. This focus is due to the high hormone dependency of breast cancer, with the majority of cases expressing hormone receptors, especially estrogen receptors, crucial for cancer cell growth and progression (250). Prostate cancer, also sensitive to hormones, shows significant influence from androgen receptors in its development and progression (251,252). By contrast, cancers such as gastric and lung cancer are less dependent on hormone signaling, resulting in fewer studies and less evidence on the impact of hormone receptor interactions. The established role of hormone therapy in treating breast and prostate cancer further stimulates research in these areas, while the absence of similar therapeutic approaches in other types of cancer restricts research on hormone receptor interactions.

4. Conclusions

In hormone‑dependent types of cancer such as breast and prostate cancer, the interplay between AR, ER and other hormone receptors serves a key role in tumor progression and therapy resistance. In $ER(+)$ breast cancer, when ER signaling is inhibited, AR can compensate by becoming more active, potentially driving tumor progression or resistance to treatment (253). Similarly, ER may assume a more prominent role when AR activity is diminished. This

compensatory relationship also extends to other types of cancer such as prostate cancer, where AR is the main driver of tumor growth, but ER can contribute to cancer progression under certain conditions (254). The compensatory dynamics between AR and ER underscore the need for therapies that target both receptors simultaneously to prevent one from compensating for the inhibition of the other (255). The interactions between these hormone receptors are important in understanding cancer malignancy and developing more effective, comprehensive therapeutic strategies.

The present study underscores the roles of sex-specific hormone receptors ERα, ERβ and AR across seven types of pan-cancer, highlighting their interactions with key transcription factors such as CTCF, FOXA1, RARA, PBX1, GATA2 and CDKN1A, and their impact on tumor progression. In conclusion, sex hormone receptors can either function as oncogenes or tumor suppressors depending on the type of cancer, and may exhibit both roles within a single tumor. Moreover, key transcription factors that interact with these hormone receptors serve crucial roles in regulating cancer prognosis and tumor progression. In certain types of cancer closely associated with sex hormones, such as breast and prostate cancer, hormone receptors significantly influence cancer prognosis and progression. Utilizing these sex‑specific characteristics in cancer treatments enables precision medicine tailored to the unique characteristics of each patient, and transcription factors that interact with sex hormone receptors in pan-cancer may serve as novel anticancer therapeutic targets. To advance therapeutic strategies, further in‑depth studies are essential in several areas: The molecular mechanisms that underlie the dual roles of sex hormone receptors as oncogenes and tumor suppressors, the specific interactions between hormone receptors and transcription factors in various types of cancer, and the development of targeted therapies that exploit these interactions. Additionally, further research is required to explore the sex-specific differences in cancer biology and their implications for treatment, as well as the potential for personalized medicine approaches based on hormone receptor status and transcription factor profiles.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding

The present study was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (grant nos. 2022R1F1A1076029 and 2022R1A2C1093335).

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

Conceptualization was conducted by JK and SYK. Formal analysis and data interpretation were conducted by JK, HB, CS, EK and SYK. Literature analysis was conducted by JK, HB and CS. Writing of the original draft was conducted by JK, HB, CS and SYK. Reviewing and editing of the manuscript was conducted by JK, HB, CS, EK and SYK. Visualization was conducted by JK and SYK. Supervision was conducted by JK, EK and SYK. Project administration was conducted by EK and SYK. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript. Data authentication is not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Patient consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- 1. Bray F, Laversanne M, Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Soerjomataram I and Jemal A: Global cancer statistics 2022: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 74: 229-263, 2024.
- 2. Shen X, Jain A, Aladelokun O, Yan H, Gilbride A, Ferrucci LM, Lu L, Khan SA and Johnson CH: Asparagine, colorectal cancer, and the role of sex, genes, microbes, and diet: A narrative review. Front Mol Biosci 9: 958666, 2022.
- 3. Fuentes N, Silva Rodriguez M and Silveyra P: Role of sex hormones in lung cancer. Exp Biol Med (Maywood) 246: 2098‑2110, 2021.
- 4. Coelingh Bennink HJT, Prowse A, Egberts JFM, Debruyne FMJ, Huhtaniemi IT and Tombal B: The loss of estradiol by androgen deprivation in prostate cancer patients shows the importance of estrogens in males. J Endocr Soc 8: bvae107, 2024.
- 5. Frederiksen H, Johannsen TH, Andersen SE, Albrethsen J, Landersoe SK, Petersen JH, Andersen AN, Vestergaard ET, Schorring ME, Linneberg A, *et al*: Sex-specific estrogen levels and reference intervals from infancy to late adulthood determined by LC-MS/MS. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 105: 754–768, 2020.
- 6. Gates MA, Mekary RA, Chiu GR, Ding EL, Wittert GA and Araujo AB: Sex steroid hormone levels and body composition in men. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 98: 2442‑2450, 2013.
- 7. Marriott RJ, Murray K, Adams RJ, Antonio L, Ballantyne CM, Bauer DC, Bhasin S, Biggs ML, Cawthon PM, Couper DJ, et al: Factors associated with circulating sex hormones in men: Individual participant data meta‑analyses. Ann Intern Med 176: 1221‑1234, 2023.
- 8. Simpson ER, Misso M, Hewitt KN, Hill RA, Boon WC, Jones ME, Kovacic A, Zhou J and Clyne CD: Estrogen-the good, the bad, and the unexpected. Endocr Rev 26: 322‑330, 2005.
- 9. Gandhi N, Omer S and Harrison RE: In vitro cell culture model for osteoclast activation during estrogen withdrawal. Int J Mol Sci 25: 6134, 2024.
- 10. Hughes DE, Dai A, Tiffee JC, Li HH, Mundy GR and Boyce BF: Estrogen promotes apoptosis of murine osteoclasts mediated by TGF‑beta. Nat Med 2: 1132‑1136, 1996.
- 11. Srivastava S, Toraldo G, Weitzmann MN, Cenci S, Ross FP and Pacifici R: Estrogen decreases osteoclast formation by down‑regulating receptor activator of NF‑kappa B ligand (RANKL)‑induced JNK activation. J Biol Chem 276: 8836‑8840, 2001.
- 12. Gavali S, Gupta MK, Daswani B, Wani MR, Sirdeshmukh R dependent suppression of osteoclast differentiation, survival, and function. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis 1865: 547‑557, 2019.
- 13. Kharb R, Haider K, Neha K and Yar MS: Aromatase inhibitors: Role in postmenopausal breast cancer. Arch Pharm (Weinheim) 353: e2000081, 2020.
- 14. Arumugam A, Lissner EA and Lakshmanaswamy R: The role of hormones and aromatase inhibitors on breast tumor growth and general health in a postmenopausal mouse model. Reprod Biol Endocrinol 12: 66, 2014.
- 15. Parish SJ, Simon JA, Davis SR, Giraldi A, Goldstein I, Goldstein SW, Kim NN, Kingsberg SA, Morgentaler A, Nappi RE, *et al*: International society for the study of women's sexual health clinical practice guideline for the use of systemic testosterone for hypoactive sexual desire disorder in women. J Sex Med 18: 849‑867, 2021.
- 16. Van‑Duyne G, Blair IA, Sprenger C, Moiseenkova‑Bell V, Plymate S and Penning TM: The androgen receptor. Vitam Horm 123: 439-481, 2023.
- 17. Tsai CC, Yang YSH, Chen YF, Huang LY, Yang YN, Lee SY, Wang WL, Lee HL, Whang‑Peng J, Lin HY, *et al*: Integrins and actions of androgen in breast cancer. Cells 12: 2126, 2023.
- 18. Naamneh Elzenaty R, du Toit T and Flück CE: Basics of androgen synthesis and action. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab 36: 101665, 2022.
- 19. Bienenfeld A, Azarchi S, Lo Sicco K, Marchbein S, Shapiro J and Nagler AR: Androgens in women: Androgen‑mediated skin disease and patient evaluation. J Am Acad Dermatol 80: 1497‑1506, 2019.
- 20. Zhang R, Hu K, Bai H, Liu H, Pu Y, Yang C, Liu Q and Fan P: Increased oxidative stress is associated with hyperandrogenemia in polycystic ovary syndrome evidenced by oxidized lipoproteins stimulating rat ovarian androgen synthesis in vitro. Endocrine 84: 1238‑1249, 2024.
- 21. Paakinaho V and Palvimo JJ: Genome‑wide crosstalk between steroid receptors in breast and prostate cancers. Endocr Relat Cancer 28: R231‑R250, 2021.
- 22. Amirghofran Z, Monabati A and Gholijani N: Androgen receptor expression in relation to apoptosis and the expression of cell cycle related proteins in prostate cancer. Pathol Oncol Res 10: 37‑41, 2004.
- 23. Bodner K, Laubichler P, Kimberger O, Czerwenka K, Zeillinger R and Bodner‑Adler B: Oestrogen and progesterone receptor expression in patients with adenocarcinoma of the uterine cervix and correlation with various clinicopathological parameters. Anticancer Res 30: 1341‑1345, 2010.
- 24. Yoon K, Park Y, Kang E, Kim E, Kim JH, Kim SH, Suh KJ, Kim SM, Jang M, Yun BR, *et al*: Effect of estrogen receptor expression level and hormonal therapy on prognosis of early breast cancer. Cancer Res Treat 54: 1081‑1090, 2022.
- 25. Amin MB, Greene FL, Edge SB, Compton CC, Gershenwald JE, BrooklandRK, MeyerL, Gress DM, Byrd DR and Winchester DP: The eighth edition AJCC cancer staging manual: Continuing to build a bridge from a population‑based to a more 'personalized' approach to cancer staging. CA Cancer J Clin 67: 93‑99, 2017.
- 26. Giuliano AE, Edge SB and Hortobagyi GN: Eighth edition of the AJCC cancer staging manual: Breast cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 25: 1783‑1785, 2018.
- 27. Sørlie T, Perou CM, Tibshirani R, Aas T, Geisler S, Johnsen H, Hastie T, Eisen MB, van de Rijn M, Jeffrey SS, *et al*: Gene expression patterns of breast carcinomas distinguish tumor subclasses with clinical implications. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98: 10869‑10874, 2001.
- 28. Cheang MCU, Chia SK, Voduc D, Gao D, Leung S, Snider J, Watson M, Davies S, Bernard PS, Parker JS, *et al*: Ki67 index, HER2 status, and prognosis of patients with luminal B breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 101: 736‑750, 2009.
- 29. Slamon DJ, Leyland-Jones B, Shak S, Fuchs H, Paton V, Bajamonde A, Fleming T, Eiermann W, Wolter J, Pegram M, et al: Use of chemotherapy plus a monoclonal antibody against HER2 for metastatic breast cancer that overexpresses HER2. N Engl J Med 344: 783‑792, 2001.
- 30. Lehmann BD, BauerJA, Chen X, Sanders ME, Chakravarthy AB, Shyr Y and Pietenpol JA: Identification of human triple‑negative breast cancer subtypes and preclinical models for selection of targeted therapies. J Clin Invest 121: 2750‑2767, 2011.
- 31. Huggins C and Hodges CV: Studies on prostatic cancer. I. The effect of castration, of estrogen and of androgen injection on serum phosphatases in metastatic carcinoma of the prostate. 1941. J Urol 167: 948-952, 2002.
32. Scher HI and Sawyers CL: Biology of progressive, castration-
- resistant prostate cancer: Directed therapies targeting the androgen‑receptor signaling axis. J Clin Oncol 23: 8253‑8261, 2005.
- 33. Gu G, Tian L, Herzog SK, Rechoum Y, Gelsomino L, Gao M, Du L, Kim JA, Dustin D, Lo HC, *et al*: Hormonal modulation of ESR1 mutant metastasis. Oncogene 40: 997‑1011, 2021.
- 34. Kolyvas EA, Caldas C, Kelly K and Ahmad SS: Androgen receptor function and targeted therapeutics across breast cancer subtypes. Breast Cancer Res 24: 79, 2022.
- 35. Peters AA, Buchanan G, Ricciardelli C, Bianco‑Miotto T, Centenera MM, Harris JM, Jindal S, Segara D, Jia L, Moore NL, *et al*: Androgen receptor inhibits estrogen receptor-alpha activity and is prognostic in breast cancer. Cancer Res 69: 6131‑6140, 2009.
- 36. Hickey TE, Selth LA, Chia KM, Laven-Law G, Milioli HH, Roden D, Jindal S, Hui M, Finlay-Schultz J, Ebrahimie E, et al: The androgen receptor is a tumor suppressor in estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. Nat Med 27: 310-320, 2021.
- 37. Secreto G, Girombelli A and Krogh V: Androgen excess in breast cancer development: Implications for prevention and treatment. Endocr Relat Cancer 26: R81‑R94, 2019.
- 38. Gehrig J, Kaulfuß S, Jarry H, Bremmer F, Stettner M, Burfeind P and Thelen P: Prospects of estrogen receptor β activation in the treatment of castration‑resistant prostate cancer. Oncotarget 8: 34971‑34979, 2017.
- 39. Lung DK, Reese RM and Alarid ET: Intrinsic and extrinsic factors governing the transcriptional regulation of ESR1. Horm Cancer 11: 129‑147, 2020.
- 40. Jensen EV, Desombre ER, Kawashima T, Suzuki T, Kyser K and Jungblut PW: Estrogen-binding substances of target tissues. Science 158: 529-530, 1967.
- 41. Kato S, Endoh H, Masuhiro Y, Kitamoto T, Uchiyama S, Sasaki H, Masushige S, Gotoh Y, Nishida E, Kawashima H, et al: Activation of the estrogen receptor through phosphorylation by mitogen-activated protein kinase. Science 270: 1491-1494, 1995.
- 42. Bunone G, Briand PA, Miksicek RJ and Picard D: Activation of the unliganded estrogen receptor by EGF involves the MAP kinase pathway and direct phosphorylation. EMBO J 15: 2174‑2183, 1996.
- 43. Tremblay A, Tremblay GB, Labrie F and Giguère V: Ligand‑independent recruitment of SRC‑1 to estrogen receptor beta through phosphorylation of activation function AF‑1. Mol Cell 3: 513‑519, 1999.
- 44. Yi P, Wang Z, Feng Q, Pintilie GD, Foulds CE, Lanz RB, Ludtke SJ, Schmid MF, Chiu W and O'Malley BW: Structure of a biologically active estrogen receptor‑coactivator complex on DNA. Mol Cell 57: 1047‑1058, 2015.
- 45. Brzozowski AM, Pike AC, Dauter Z, Hubbard RE, Bonn T, Engström O, Ohman L, Greene GL, Gustafsson JA and Carlquist M: Molecular basis of agonism and antagonism in the oestrogen receptor. Nature 389: 753‑758, 1997.
- 46. Heery DM, Kalkhoven E, Hoare S and Parker MG: A signature motif in transcriptional co‑activators mediates binding to nuclear receptors. Nature 387: 733‑736, 1997.
- 47. Torchia J, Rose DW, Inostroza J, Kamei Y, Westin S, Glass CK and Rosenfeld MG: The transcriptional co-activator p/CIP binds CBP and mediates nuclear-receptor function. Nature 387: 677‑684, 1997.
- 48. Kumar R, Betney R, Li J, Thompson EB and McEwan IJ: Induced alpha‑helix structure in AF1 of the androgen receptor upon binding transcription factor TFIIF. Biochemistry 43: 3008‑3013, 2004.
- 49. Bevan CL, Hoare S, Claessens F, Heery DM and Parker MG: The AF1 and AF2 domains of the androgen receptor interact with distinct regions of SRC1. Mol Cell Biol 19: 8383‑8392, 1999.
- 50. Huang B, Omoto Y, Iwase H, Yamashita H, Toyama T, Coombes RC, Filipovic A, Warner M and Gustafsson JÅ: Differential expression of estrogen receptor alpha, beta1, and beta2 in lobular and ductal breast cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 111: 1933‑1938, 2014.
- 51. Couse JF and Korach KS: Estrogen receptor null mice: What have we learned and where will they lead us? Endocr Rev 20: 358‑417, 1999.
- 52. Jia M, Dahlman‑Wright K and Gustafsson JÅ: Estrogen receptor alpha and beta in health and disease. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab 29: 557‑568, 2015.
- 53. Martin EC, Conger AK, Yan TJ, Hoang VT, Miller DF, Buechlein A, Rusch DB, Nephew KP, Collins‑Burow BM and Burow ME: MicroRNA‑335‑5p and ‑3p synergize to inhibit estrogen receptor alpha expression and promote tamoxifen resistance. FEBS Lett 591: 382-392, 2017.
- 54. Santner SJ, Feil PD and Santen RJ: In situ estrogen production via the estrone sulfatase pathway in breast tumors: Relative importance versus the aromatase pathway. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 59: 29‑33, 1984.
- 55. Jordan VC: Antiestrogenic action of raloxifene and tamoxifen: Today and tomorrow. J Natl Cancer Inst 90: 967‑971, 1998.

- 56. Arpino G, Weiss H, Lee AV, Schiff R, De Placido S, Osborne CK and Elledge RM: Estrogen receptor-positive, progesterone receptor-negative breast cancer: Association with growth factor receptor expression and tamoxifen resistance. J Natl Cancer Inst 97: 1254‑1261, 2005.
- 57. Horwitz KB, Koseki Y and McGuire WL: Estrogen control of progesterone receptor in human breast cancer: Role of estradiol and antiestrogen. Endocrinology 103: 1742‑1751, 1978.
- 58. Dowsett M, Houghton J, Iden C, Salter J, Farndon J, A'Hern R and Baum M: Estrogen receptor status, progesterone receptor status, and HER2 status as biomarkers for predicting response to endocrine therapy. J Clin Oncol 26: 1814‑1820, 2008.
- 59. Kumar R, Zakharov MN, Khan SH, Miki R, Jang H, Toraldo G, Singh R, Bhasin S and Jasuja R: The dynamic structure of the estrogen receptor. J Amino Acids 2011: 812540, 2011.
- 60. Weihua Z, Andersson S, Cheng G, Simpson ER, Warner M and Gustafsson JA: Update on estrogen signaling. FEBS Lett 546: 17‑24, 2003.
- 61. Flouriot G, Brand H, Denger S, Metivier R, Kos M, Reid G, Sonntag-Buck V and Gannon F: Identification of a new isoform of the human estrogen receptor‑alpha (hER‑alpha) that is encoded by distinct transcripts and that is able to repress hER‑alpha activation function 1. EMBO J 19: 4688‑4700, 2000.
- 62. Langley RE, Godsland IF, Kynaston H, Clarke NW, Rosen SD, Morgan RC, Pollock P, Kockelbergh R, Lalani EN, Dearnaley D, *et al*: Early hormonal data from a multicentre phase II trial using transdermal oestrogen patches as first-line hormonal therapy in patients with locally advanced or metastatic prostate cancer. BJU Int 102: 442‑445, 2008.
- 63. Lau KM and To KF: Importance of estrogenic signaling and its mediated receptors in prostate cancer. Int J Mol Sci 17: 1434, 2016.
- 64. Ricke WA, McPherson SJ, Bianco JJ, Cunha GR, Wang Y and Risbridger GP: Prostatic hormonal carcinogenesis is mediated by in situ estrogen production and estrogen receptor alpha signaling. FASEB J 22: 1512-1520, 2008.
- 65. Olczak M, Orzechowska MJ, Bednarek AK and Lipiński M: The transcriptomic profiles of ESR1 and MMP3 stratify the risk of biochemical recurrence in primary prostate cancer beyond clinical features. Int J Mol Sci 24: 8399, 2023.
- 66. Lin Q, Cao J, Du X, Yang K, Yang X, Liang Z, Shi J and Zhang J: CYP1B1‑catalyzed 4‑OHE2 promotes the castration resistance of prostate cancer stem cells by estrogen receptor α‑mediated IL6 activation. Cell Commun Signal 20: 31, 2022.
- 67. Reis LO, Zani EL and García‑Perdomo HA: Estrogen therapy in patients with prostate cancer: A contemporary systematic review. Int Urol Nephrol 50: 993‑1003, 2018.
- 68. Christoforou P, Christopoulos PF and Koutsilieris M: The role of estrogen receptor β in prostate cancer. Mol Med 20: 427‑434, 2014.
- 69. Sieh W, Köbel M, Longacre TA, Bowtell DD, deFazio A, Goodman MT, Høgdall E, Deen S, Wentzensen N, Moysich KB, *et al*: Hormone‑receptor expression and ovarian cancer survival: An ovarian tumor tissue analysis consortium study. Lancet Oncol 14: 853‑862, 2013.
- 70. Park SH, Cheung LWT, Wong AST and Leung PCK: Estrogen regulates Snail and Slug in the down‑regulation of E‑cadherin and induces metastatic potential of ovarian cancer cells through estrogen receptor alpha. Mol Endocrinol 22: 2085‑2098, 2008.
- 71. Chan KK, Leung TH, Chan DW, Wei N, Lau GT, Liu SS, Siu MK and Ngan HY: Targeting estrogen receptor subtypes (ER α and ER β) with selective ER modulators in ovarian cancer. J Endocrinol 221: 325‑336, 2014.
- 72. Langdon SP, Herrington CS, Hollis RL and Gourley C: Estrogen signaling and its potential as a target for therapy in ovarian cancer. Cancers (Basel) 12: 1647, 2020.
- 73. He M, Yu W, Chang C, Miyamoto H, Liu X, Jiang K and Yeh S: Estrogen receptor α promotes lung cancer cell invasion via increase of and cross-talk with infiltrated macrophages through the CCL2/CCR2/MMP9 and CXCL12/CXCR4 signaling pathways. Mol Oncol 14: 1779‑1799, 2020.
- 74. Siegel DA, Fedewa SA, Henley SJ, Pollack LA and Jemal A: Proportion of never smokers among men and women with lung cancer in 7 US States. JAMA Oncol 7: 302‑304, 2021.
- 75. Hsu LH, Liu KJ, Tsai MF, Wu CR, Feng AC, Chu NM and Kao SH: Estrogen adversely affects the prognosis of patients with lung adenocarcinoma. Cancer Sci 106: 51‑59, 2015.
- 76. Chlebowski RT, Schwartz AG, Wakelee H, Anderson GL, Stefanick ML, Manson JE, Rodabough RJ, Chien JW, Wactawski‑Wende J, Gass M, *et al*: Oestrogen plus progestin and lung cancer in postmenopausal women (Women's Health Initiative trial): A post‑hoc analysis of a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 374: 1243‑1251, 2009.
- 77. Zhou J, Teng R, Xu C, Wang Q, Guo J, Xu C, Li Z, Xie S, Shen J and Wang L: Overexpression of ERα inhibits proliferation and invasion of MKN28 gastric cancer cells by suppressing β‑catenin. Oncol Rep 30: 1622‑1630, 2013.
- 78. Takano N, Iizuka N, Hazama S, Yoshino S, Tangoku A and Oka M: Expression of estrogen receptor‑alpha and ‑beta mRNAs in human gastric cancer. Cancer Lett 176: 129‑135, 2002.
- 79. Tang W, Liu R, Yan Y, Pan X, Wang M, Han X, Ren H and Zhang Z: Expression of estrogen receptors and androgen receptor and their clinical significance in gastric cancer. Oncotarget 8: 40765‑40777, 2017.
- 80. Chen P, Li B and Ou‑Yang L: Role of estrogen receptors in health and disease. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 13: 839005, 2022.
- 81. Chen J and Iverson D: Estrogen in obesity-associated colon cancer: Friend or foe? Protecting postmenopausal women but promoting late‑stage colon cancer. Cancer Causes Control 23: 1767‑1773, 2012.
- 82. Jiang H, Teng R, Wang Q, Zhang X, Wang H, Wang Z, Cao J and Teng L: Transcriptional analysis of estrogen receptor alpha variant mRNAs in colorectal cancers and their matched normal colorectal tissues. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 112: 20‑24, 2008.
- 83. Dai B, Geng L, Yu Y, Sui C, Xie F, Shen W, Zheng T and Yang J: Methylation patterns of estrogen receptor α promoter correlate with estrogen receptor α expression and clinicopathological factors in hepatocellular carcinoma. Exp Biol Med (Maywood) 239: 883‑890, 2014.
- 84. Hou J, Xu J, Jiang R, Wang Y, Chen C, Deng L, Huang X, Wang X and Sun B: Estrogen-sensitive PTPRO expression represses hepatocellular carcinoma progression by control of STAT3. Hepatology 57: 678‑688, 2013.
- 85. Iyer JK, Kalra M, Kaul A, Payton ME and Kaul R: Estrogen receptor expression in chronic hepatitis C and hepatocellular carcinoma pathogenesis. World J Gastroenterol 23: 6802‑6816, 2017.
- 86. Kuiper GG, Enmark E, Pelto‑Huikko M, Nilsson S and Gustafsson JA: Cloning of a novel receptor expressed in rat prostate and ovary. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93: 5925-5930, 1996.
- 87. Mal R, Magner A, David J, Datta J, Vallabhaneni M, Kassem M, Manouchehri J, Willingham N, Stover D, Vandeusen J, *et al*: Estrogen receptor beta (ERβ): A ligand activated tumor suppressor. Front Oncol 10: 587386, 2020.
- 88. Lewandowski S, Kalita K and Kaczmarek L: Estrogen receptor beta. Potential functional significance of a variety of mRNA isoforms. FEBS Lett 524: 1‑5, 2002.
- 89. Hua H, Zhang H, Kong Q and Jiang Y: Mechanisms for estrogen receptor expression in human cancer. Exp Hematol Oncol 7: 24, 2018.
- 90. Haldosén LA, Zhao C and Dahlman‑Wright K: Estrogen receptor beta in breast cancer. Mol Cell Endocrinol 382: 665‑672, 2014.
- 91. Dalal H, Dahlgren M, Gladchuk S, Brueffer C, Gruvberger-Saal SK and Saal LH: Clinical associations of ESR2 (estrogen receptor beta) expression across thousands of primary breast tumors. Sci Rep 12: 4696, 2022.
- 92. Wang J, Zhang C, Chen K, Tang H, Tang J, Song C and Xie X: ERβ1 inversely correlates with PTEN/PI3K/AKT pathway and predicts a favorable prognosis in triple‑negative breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 152: 255‑269, 2015.
- 93. Grober OM, Mutarelli M, Giurato G, Ravo M, Cicatiello L, De Filippo MR, Ferraro L, Nassa G, Papa MF, Paris O, *et al*: Global analysis of estrogen receptor beta binding to breast cancer cell genome reveals an extensive interplay with estrogen receptor alpha for target gene regulation. BMC Genomics 12: 36, 2011.
- 94. Hurtado A, Pinós T, Barbosa‑Desongles A, López‑Avilés S, Barquinero J, Petriz J, Santamaria‑Martínez A, Morote J, de Torres I, Bellmunt J, *et al*: Estrogen receptor beta displays cell cycle‑dependent expression and regulates the G1 phase through a non‑genomic mechanism in prostate carcinoma cells. Cell Oncol 30: 349‑365, 2008.
- 95. Hwang NM and Stabile LP: Estrogen receptor ß in cancer: To β (e) or not to β (e)? Endocrinology 162: bqab162, 2021.
- 96. Mak P, Leav I, Pursell B, Bae D, Yang X, Taglienti CA, Gouvin LM, Sharma VM and Mercurio AM: ERbeta impedes prostate cancer EMT by destabilizing HIF‑1alpha and inhibiting VEGF‑mediated snail nuclear localization: Implications for Gleason grading. Cancer Cell 17: 319‑332, 2010.
- 97. Mak P, Chang C, Pursell B and Mercurio AM: Estrogen receptor β sustains epithelial differentiation by regulating prolyl hydroxy‑ lase 2 transcription. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 110: 4708‑4713, 2013.
- 98. Lim W, Cho J, Kwon HY, Park Y, Rhyu MR and Lee Y: Hypoxia‑inducible factor 1 alpha activates and is inhibited by unoccupied estrogen receptor beta. FEBS Lett 583: 1314‑1318, 2009.
- 99. Chaurasiya S, Widmann S, Botero C, Lin CY, Gustafsson JÅ and Strom AM: Estrogen receptor β exerts tumor suppresand Strom American receptor in prostate cancer through repression of androgen receptor activity. PLoS One 15: e0226057, 2020.
- 100.Jefferi NES, Shamhari AA', Azhar NKZN, Shin JGY, Kharir NAM, Azhar MA, Hamid ZA, Budin SB and Taib IS: The role of $ER\alpha$ and $ER\beta$ in castration-resistant prostate cancer and current therapeutic approaches. Biomedicines 11: 826, 2023.
- 101. Leung YK, Lam HM, Wu S, Song D, Levin L, Cheng L, Wu CL and Ho SM: Estrogen receptor beta2 and beta5 are associated with poor prognosis in prostate cancer, and promote cancer cell migration and invasion. Endocr Relat Cancer 17: 675‑689, 2010.
- 102. Lazennec G: Estrogen receptor beta, a possible tumor suppressor involved in ovarian carcinogenesis. Cancer Lett 231: 151-157, 2006.
- 103. Bossard C, Busson M, Vindrieux D, Gaudin F, Machelon V, Brigitte M, Jacquard C, Pillon A, Balaguer P, Balabanian K and Lazennec G: Potential role of estrogen receptor beta as a tumor suppressor of epithelial ovarian cancer. PLoS One 7: e44787, 2012.
- 104. Verardi L, Fiori J, Andrisano V, Locatelli A, Morigi R, Naldi M, Bertucci C, Strocchi E, Boga C, Micheletti G and Calonghi N: Indole derivative interacts with estrogen receptor beta and inhibits human ovarian cancer cell growth. Molecules 25: 4438, 2020.
- 105. Chen W, Xin B, Pang H, Han L, Shen W, Zhao Z, Duan L, Cao P, Liu L and Zhang H: Downregulation of estrogen receptor β inhibits lung adenocarcinoma cell growth. Oncol Rep 41: 2967‑2974, 2019.
- 106. Liu S, Hu C, Li M, An J, Zhou W, Guo J and Xiao Y: Estrogen receptor beta promotes lung cancer invasion via increasing CXCR4 expression. Cell Death Dis 13: 70, 2022.
- 107. Peri S and Niv Y: Estrogen receptor beta (ERß) in gastric cancer‑A systematic review and meta‑analysis. Microb Health Dis 6: e984, 2024.
- 108. Zhou F, Xu Y, Shi J, Lan X, Zou X, Wang L and Huang Q: Expression profile of E-cadherin, estrogen receptors, and P53 in early‑onset gastric cancers. Cancer Med 5: 3403‑3411, 2016.
- 109. Zhou F, Jin J, Zhou L, Wu L, Cao Y, Yan H, Huang Q, Wang L and Zou X: Suppression of estrogen receptor-beta promotes gastric cancer cell apoptosis with induction of autophagy. Am J Transl Res 12: 4397‑4409, 2020.
- 110. Gan L, He J, Zhang X, Zhang YJ, Yu GZ, Chen Y, Pan J, Wang JJ and Wang X: Expression profile and prognostic role of sex hormone receptors in gastric cancer. BMC Cancer 12: 566, 2012.
- 111. Caiazza F, Ryan EJ, Doherty G, Winter DC and Sheahan K: Estrogen receptors and their implications in colorectal carcino‑ genesis. Front Oncol 5: 19, 2015.
- 112. Hartman J, Edvardsson K, Lindberg K, Zhao C, Williams C, Ström A and Gustafsson JA: Tumor repressive functions of estrogen receptor beta in SW480 colon cancer cells. Cancer Res 69: 6100‑6106, 2009.
- 113. Topi G, Satapathy SR, Dash P, Fred Mehrabi S, Ehrnström R, Olsson R, Lydrup ML and Sjölander A: Tumour‑suppressive effect of oestrogen receptor β in colorectal cancer patients, colon cancer cells, and a zebrafish model. J Pathol 251: 297‑309, 2020.
- 114. Konstantinopoulos PA, Kominea A, Vandoros G, Sykiotis GP, Andricopoulos P, Varakis I, Sotiropoulou‑Bonikou G and Papavassiliou AG: Oestrogen receptor beta (ERbeta) is abun-
dantly expressed in normal colonic mucosa, but declines in colon adenocarcinoma paralleling the tumour's dedifferentiation. Eur J Cancer 39: 1251‐1258, 2003.
- 115. Rudolph A, Toth C, Hoffmeister M, Roth W, Herpel E, Jansen L, Marx A, Brenner H and Chang-Claude J: Expression of oestrogen receptor β and prognosis of colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer 107: 831‑839, 2012.
- 116. Iavarone M, Lampertico P, Seletti C, Donato MF, Ronchi G, Del Ninno E and Colombo M: The clinical and pathogenetic significance of estrogen receptor‑beta expression in chronic liver diseases and liver carcinoma. Cancer 98: 529‑534, 2003.
- 117. Yang W, Lu Y, Xu Y, Xu L, Zheng W, Wu Y, Li L and Shen P: Estrogen represses hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) growth via inhibiting alternative activation of tumor-associated macro-
phages (TAMs). J Biol Chem 287: 40140-40149, 2012.
- 118. Marzioni M, Torrice A, Saccomanno S, Rychlicki C, Agostinelli L, PierantonelliI, Rhönnstad P, Trozzi L, Apelqvist T, Gentile R, *et al*: An oestrogen receptor β‑selective agonist exerts anti‑neoplastic effects in experimental intrahepatic cholangio‑ carcinoma. Dig Liver Dis 44: 134‑142, 2012.
- 119. Handelsman DJ: History of androgens and androgen action. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab 36: 101629, 202
- 120. Brinkmann AO, Faber PW, van Rooij HC, Kuiper GG, Ris C, Klaassen P, van der Korput JA, Voorhorst MM, van Laar JH, Mulder E, *et al*: The human androgen receptor: Domain structure, genomic organization and regulation of expression. J Steroid Biochem 34: 307‑310, 1989.
- 121. Davey RA and Grossmann M: Androgen receptor structure, function and biology: From bench to bedside. Clin Biochem Rev 37: 3‑15, 2016.
- 122. Moilanen A, Rouleau N, Ikonen T, Palvimo JJ and Jänne OA: The presence of a transcription activation function in the hormone-binding domain of androgen receptor is revealed by studies in yeast cells. FEBS Lett 412: 355‑358, 1997.
- 123. Ueda T, Bruchovsky N and Sadar MD: Activation of the androgen receptor N‑terminal domain by interleukin‑6 via MAPK and STAT3 signal transduction pathways. J Biol Chem 277: 7076-7085, 2002.
- 124. Asai S, Goto Y, Tanigawa K, Tomioka Y, Kato M, Mizuno K, Sakamoto S and Seki N: MiR-15b-5p inhibits castration-
resistant growth of prostate cancer cells by targeting the muscarinic cholinergic receptor CHRM3. FEBS Lett 597: 1164‑1175, 2023.
- 125. Martin‑Caraballo M: Regulation of molecular biomarkers associated with the progression of prostate cancer. Int J Mol Sci 25: 4171, 2024.
- 126. Muralidhar A, Gamat‑Huber M, Vakkalanka S and McNeel DG: Sequence of androgen receptor-targeted vaccination with androgen deprivation therapy affects anti‑prostate tumor efficacy. J Immunother Cancer 12: e008848, 2024.
- 127. Zhong M, Xu W, Tian P, Zhang Q, Wang Z, Liang L, Zhang Q, Yang Y, Lu Y and Wei GH: An inherited allele confers prostate cancer progression and drug resistance via RFX6/HOXA10‑orchestrated TGFβ signaling. Adv Sci (Weinh) 11: e2401492, 2024.
- 128. Liu C, Chen B, Xu P, Yang J, Nip C, Wang L, Shen Y, Ning S, Shang Y, Corey E, *et al*: Plexin D1 emerges as a novel target in the development of neural lineage plasticity in treatment-resistant prostate cancer. Res Sq [Preprint]: rs.3.rs‑4095949, 2024.
- 129. Matsumoto K, Kosaka T, Takeda T, Fukumoto K, Yasumizu Y, Tanaka N, Morita S, Mizuno R, Asanuma H and Oya M: Appropriate definition of non‑metastatic castration‑resistant prostate cancer (nmCRPC) and optimal timing of androgen receptor signaling inhibitor (ARSI). Int J Clin Oncol 29: 1198‑1203, 2024.
- 130. Shiota M, Ushijima M, Tsukahara S, Nagakawa S, Okada T, Tanegashima T, Kobayashi S, Matsumoto T and Eto M: Oxidative stress in peroxisomes induced by androgen receptor inhibition through peroxisome proliferator‑activated receptor promotes enzalutamide resistance in prostate cancer. Free Radic Biol Med 221: 81‑88, 2024.
- 131. Ramírez-de-Arellano A, Pereira-Suárez AL, Rico-Fuentes C López‑Pulido EI, Villegas‑Pineda JC and Sierra‑Diaz E: Distribution and effects of estrogen receptors in prostate cancer: Associated molecular mechanisms. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 12: 811578, 2022.
- 132. Palmieri C, Linden H, Birrell SN, Wheelwright S, Lim E, Schwartzberg LS, Dwyer AR, Hickey TE, Rugo HS, Cobb P, et al: Activity and safety of enobosarm, a novel, oral, selective androgen receptor modulator, in androgen receptor‑positive, oestrogen receptor‑positive, and HER2‑negative advanced breast cancer (Study G200802): A randomised, open‑label, multicentre, multinational, parallel design, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol 25: 317‑325, 2024.
- 133. Xu F, Xu K, Fan L, Li X, Liu Y, Yang F, Zhu C and Guan X: Estrogen receptor beta suppresses the androgen receptor oncogenic effects in triple‑negative breast cancer. Chin Med J (Engl) 137: 338‑349, 2024.
- 134. Cuenca‑López MD, Montero JC, Morales JC, Prat A, Pandiella A and Ocana A: Phospho-kinase profile of triple negative breast cancer and androgen receptor signaling. BMC Cancer 14: 302, 2014.
- 135. Garay JP, Karakas B, Abukhdeir AM, Cosgrove DP, Gustin JP, Higgins MJ, Konishi H, Konishi Y, Lauring J, Mohseni M, et al: The growth response to androgen receptor signaling in ERα‑negative human breast cells is dependent on p21 and medi‑ ated by MAPK activation. Breast Cancer Res 14: R27, 2012.

- 136. Chang C, Lee SO, Yeh S and Chang TM: Androgen receptor (AR) differential roles in hormone‑related tumors including prostate, bladder, kidney, lung, breast and liver. Oncogene 33: 3225‑3234, 2014.
- 137. Chadha S, Rao BR, Slotman BJ, van Vroonhoven CC and van der Kwast TH: An immunohistochemical evaluation of androgen and progesterone receptors in ovarian tumors. Hum Pathol 24: 90‑95, 1993.
- 138. Cardillo MR, Petrangeli E, Aliotta N, Salvatori L, Ravenna L, Chang C and Castagna G: Androgen receptors in ovarian tumors: Correlation with oestrogen and progesterone receptors in an immunohistochemical and semiquantitative image analysis study. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 17: 231‑237, 1998.
- 139. Kohan‑Ivani K, Gabler F, Selman A, Vega M and Romero C: Role of dihydrotestosterone (DHT) on TGF‑β1 signaling pathway in epithelial ovarian cancer cells. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 142: 47‑58, 2016.
- 140. Ligr M, Patwa RR, Daniels G, Pan L, Wu X, Li Y, Tian L, Wang Z, Xu R, Wu J, *et al*: Expression and function of androgen receptor coactivator p44/Mep50/WDR77 in ovarian cancer. PLoS One 6: e26250, 2011.
- 141. Martins FC, Couturier DL, Paterson A, Karnezis AN, Chow C, Nazeran TM, Odunsi A, Gentry‑Maharaj A, Vrvilo A, Hein A, *et al*: Clinical and pathological associations of PTEN expression in ovarian cancer: A multicentre study from the ovarian tumour tissue analysis consortium. Br J Cancer 123: 793‑802, 2020.
- 142. Liu S, Hu C, Li M, Zhou W, Wang R and Xiao Y: Androgen receptor suppresses lung cancer invasion and increases cisplatin response via decreasing TPD52 expression. Int J Biol Sci 19: 3709‑3725, 2023.
- 143. Zhou J, Wang H, Sun Q, Liu X, Wu Z, Wang X, Fang W and Ma Z: miR‑224‑5p‑enriched exosomes promote tumorigenesis by directly targeting androgen receptor in non-small cell lung cancer. Mol Ther Nucleic Acids 23: 1217‑1228, 2021.
- 144. Li X, Tang Y, Liang P, Sun M, Li T, Shen Z and Sha S: Luteolin inhibits A549 cells proliferation and migration by down‑regulating androgen receptors. Eur J Med Res 28: 353, 2023.
- 145. Recchia AG, Musti AM, Lanzino M, Panno ML, Turano E, Zumpano R, Belfiore A, Andò S and Maggiolini M: A cross-talk between the androgen receptor and the epidermal growth factor receptor leads to p38MAPK‑dependent activation of mTOR and cyclinD1 expression in prostate and lung cancer cells. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 41: 603‑614, 2009.
- 146. Liu B, Zhou M, Li X, Zhang X, Wang Q, Liu L, Yang M, Yang D, Guo Y, Zhang Q, *et al*: Interrogation of gender disparity uncovers androgen receptor as the transcriptional activator for oncogenic miR‑125b in gastric cancer. Cell Death Dis 12: 441, 2021.
- 147. Xia N, Cui J, Zhu M, Xing R and Lu Y: Androgen receptor variant 12 promotes migration and invasion by regulating MYLK in gastric cancer. J Pathol 248: 304‑315, 2019.
- 148. Soleymani Fard S, Yazdanbod M, Sotoudeh M, Bashash D, Mahmoodzadeh H, Saliminejad K, Mousavi SA, Ghaffari SH and Alimoghaddam K: Prognostic and therapeutic significance of androgen receptor in patients with gastric cancer. Onco Targets Ther 13: 9821‑9837, 2020.
- 149. Gu S, Honisch S, Kounenidakis M, Alkahtani S, Alarifi S, Alevizopoulos K, StournarasC and Lang F: Membrane androgen scription and triggers GSK-3beta-phosphorylation in colon tumor cells. Cell Physiol Biochem 34: 1402‑1412, 2014.
- 150. Alkahtani S: Testosterone induced apoptosis in colon cancer cells is regulated by PI3K/Rac1 signaling. Asian J Androl 15: 831‑834, 2013.
- 151. Rodríguez‑Santiago Y, Garay‑Canales CA, Nava‑Castro KE and Morales‑Montor J: Sexual dimorphism in colorectal cancer: Molecular mechanisms and treatment strategies. Biol Sex Differ 15: 48, 2024.
- 152. Acosta‑Lopez S, Diaz‑Bethencourt D, Concepción‑Massip T, Martin-Fernandez de Basoa MC, Plata-Bello A. Gonzalez‑Rodriguez A, Perez‑Hernandez F and Plata‑Bello J: The androgen receptor expression and its activity have different relationships with prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma. Sci Rep 10: 22046, 2020.
- 153. Ren QN, Zhang H, Sun CY, Zhou YF, Yang XF, Long JW, Li XX, Mai SJ, Zhang MY, Zhang HZ, *et al*: Phosphorylation of androgen receptor by mTORC1 promotes liver steatosis and tumorigenesis. Hepatology 75: 1123‑1138, 2022.
- 154. Ren H, Ren B, Zhang J, Zhang X, Li L, Meng L, Li Z, Li J, Gao Y and Ma X: Androgen enhances the activity of ETS-1 and promotes the proliferation of HCC cells. Oncotarget 8: 109271‑109288, 2017.
- 155. Ouyang X, Feng L, Liu G, Yao L, Wang Z, Liu S, Xiao Y and Zhang G: Androgen receptor (AR) decreases HCC cells migration and invasion via miR‑325/ACP5 signaling. J Cancer 12: 1915‑1925, 2021.
- 156. Porter W, Saville B, Hoivik D and Safe S: Functional synergy between the transcription factor Sp1 and the estrogen receptor. Mol Endocrinol 11: 1569‑1580, 1997.
- 157. Greaves E, Collins F, Critchley HOD and Saunders PTK: ERβ‑dependent effects on uterine endothelial cells are cell specific and mediated via Sp1. Hum Reprod 28: 2490-2501, 2013.
- 158. Eisermann K, Broderick CJ, Bazarov A, Moazam MM and Fraizer GC: Androgen up‑regulates vascular endothelial growth factor expression in prostate cancer cells via an Sp1 binding site. Mol Cancer 12: 7, 2013.
- 159. Setlur SR, Mertz KD, Hoshida Y, Demichelis F, Lupien M, Perner S, Sboner A, Pawitan Y, Andrén O, Johnson LA, *et al*: Estrogen‑dependent signaling in a molecularly distinct subclass of aggressive prostate cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 100: 815‑825, 2008.
- 160. Kohvakka A, Sattari M, Shcherban A, Annala M, Urbanucci A, Kesseli J, Tammela TLJ, Kivinummi K, Latonen L, Nykter M and Visakorpi T: AR and ERG drive the expression of prostate cancer specific long noncoding RNAs. Oncogene 39: 5241‑5251, 2020.
- 161. Lombardi AP, Pisolato R, Vicente CM, Lazari MF, Lucas TF and Porto CS: Estrogen receptor beta (ERβ) mediates expression of β‑catenin and proliferation in prostate cancer cell line PC‑3. Mol Cell Endocrinol 430: 12‑24, 2016.
- 162. Song LN and Gelmann EP: Interaction of beta-catenin and TIF2/GRIP1 in transcriptional activation by the androgen receptor. J Biol Chem 280: 37853‑37867, 2005.
- 163. Dahlman‑Wright K, Qiao Y, Jonsson P, Gustafsson JÅ, Williams C and Zhao C: Interplay between AP-1 and estrogen receptor α in regulating gene expression and proliferation networks in breast cancer cells. Carcinogenesis 33: 1684‑1691, 2015.
- 164. Frønsdal K, Engedal N, Slagsvold T and Saatcioglu F: CREB binding protein is a coactivator for the androgen receptor and mediates cross-talk with AP-1. J Biol Chem 273: 31853-31859, 1998.
- 165. Sato N, Sadar MD, Bruchovsky N, Saatcioglu F, Rennie PS, Sato S, Lange PH and Gleave ME: Androgenic induction of prostate‑specific antigen gene is repressed by protein‑protein interaction between the androgen receptor and AP‑1/c‑Jun in the human prostate cancer cell line LNCaP. J Biol Chem 272: 17485‑17494, 1997.
- 166. Dadiani M, Seger D, Kreizman T, Badikhi D, Margalit R, Eilam R and Degani H: Estrogen regulation of vascular endothelial growth factor in breast cancer in vitro and in vivo: The role of estrogen receptor alpha and c‑Myc. Endocr Relat Cancer 16: 819‑834, 2009.
- 167. Paruthiyil S, Parmar H, Kerekatte V, Cunha GR, Firestone GL and Leitman DC: Estrogen receptor beta inhibits human breast cancer cell proliferation and tumor formation by causing a G2 cell cycle arrest. Cancer Res 64: 423‑428, 2004.
- 168. Gao L, Schwartzman J, Gibbs A, Lisac R, Kleinschmidt R, Wilmot B, Bottomly D, Coleman I, Nelson P, McWeeney S and Alumkal J: Androgen receptor promotes ligand‑independent prostate cancer progression through c‑Myc upregulation. PLoS One 8: e63563, 2013.
- 169. Smart E, Semina SE and Frasor J: Update on the role of NFκB in promoting aggressive phenotypes of estrogen receptor‑positive breast cancer. Endocrinology 161: bqaa152, 2020.
- 170. Mak P, Li J, Samanta S and Mercurio AM: ERβ regulation of NF‑kB activation in prostate cancer is mediated by HIF‑1. Oncotarget 6: 40247‑40254, 2015.
- 171. Zhang L, Altuwaijri S, Deng F, Chen L, Lal P, Bhanot UK, Korets R, Wenske S, Lilja HG, Chang C, *et al*: NF‑kappaB regu‑ lates androgen receptor expression and prostate cancer growth. Am J Pathol 175: 489‑499, 2009.
- 172. Binai NA, Damert A, Carra G, Steckelbroeck S, Löwer J, Löwer R and Wessler S: Expression of estrogen receptor alpha increases leptin‑induced STAT3 activity in breast cancer cells. Int J Cancer 127: 55‑66, 2010.
- 173. Wang HC, Yeh HH, Huang WL, Lin CC, Su WP, Chen HHW, Lai WW and Su WC: Activation of the signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 pathway up‑regulates estrogen receptor‑beta expression in lung adenocarcinoma cells. Mol Endocrinol 25: 1145‑1158, 2011.
- 174. Yamamoto T, Sato N, Sekine Y, Yumioka T, Imoto S, Junicho A, Fuse H and Matsuda T: Molecular interactions between STAT3 and protein inhibitor of activated STAT3, and androgen receptor. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 306: 610‑615, 2003.
- 175. Csabai L, Fazekas D, Kadlecsik T, Szalay‑Bekő M, Bohár B, Madgwick M, Módos D, Ölbei M, Gul L, Sudhakar P, *et al*: SignaLink3: A multi‑layered resource to uncover tissue‑specific signaling networks. Nucleic Acids Res 50 (D1): D701‑D709, 2022.
- 176. Lin Z, Yin P, Reierstad S, O'Halloran M, Coon VJS, Pearson EK, Mutlu GM and Bulun SE: Adenosine A1 receptor, a target and regulator of estrogen receptoralpha action, mediates the proliferative effects of estradiol in breast cancer. Oncogene 29: 1114‑1122, 2010.
- 177. Xu J, Wu F, Zhu Y, Wu T, Cao T, Gao W, Liu M, Qian W, Feng G, Xi X and Hou S: ANGPTL4 regulates ovarian cancer progression by activating the ERK1/2 pathway. Cancer Cell Int 24: 54, 2024.
- 178. Zhao N, Peacock SO, Lo CH, Heidman LM, Rice MA, Fahrenholtz CD, Greene AM, Magani F, Copello VA, Martinez MJ, et al. Arginine vasopressin receptor 1a is a therapeutic target for castration-resistant prostate cancer. Sci Transl Med 11: eaaw4636, 2019.
- 179. Guan B, Ma J, Yang Z, Yu F and Yao J: LncRNA NCK1‑AS1 exerts oncogenic property in gastric cancer by targeting the miR-22-3p/BCL9 axis to activate the Wnt/β-catenin signaling. Environ Toxicol 36: 1640‑1653, 2021.
- 180. Wang X, Feng M, Xiao T, Guo B, Liu D, Liu C, Pei J, Liu Q, Xiao Y, Rosin‑Arbesfeld R, *et al*: BCL9/BCL9L promotes tumorigenicity through immune‑dependent and independent mechanisms in triple negative breast cancer. Oncogene 40: 2982‑2997, 2021.
- 181. Li P, Miao C, Liang C, Shao P, Wang Z and Li J: Silencing CAPN2 expression inhibited castration‑resistant prostate cancer cells proliferation and invasion via AKT/mTOR signal pathway. Biomed Res Int 2017: 2593674, 2017.
- 182. Fang X, Hong Y, Dai L, Qian Y, Zhu C, Wu B and Li S: CRH promotes human colon cancer cell proliferation via IL‑6/JAK2/STAT3 signaling pathway and VEGF‑induced tumor angiogenesis. Mol Carcinog 56: 2434‑2445, 2017.
- 183. Jin C, Han‑Hua D, Qiu‑Meng L, Deng N, Peng‑Chen D, Jie M, Lei X, Xue‑Wu Z, Hui‑Fang L, Yan C, *et al*: MTDH‑stabilized DDX17 promotes tumor initiation and progression through interacting with YB1 to induce EGFR transcription in hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncogene 42: 169‑183, 2023
- 184. Chen Z, Song Y, Li P and Gao W: GRIN2D knockdown suppresses the progression of lung adenocarcinoma by regulating the E2F signalling pathway. Cell Signal 107: 110685 , 2023.
- 185. Yan T, Huang L, Yan Y, Zhong Y, Xie H and Wang X: MAPK/AP-1 signaling pathway is involved in the protection mechanism of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells-derived exosomes against ultraviolet‑induced photoaging in human dermal fibroblasts. Skin Pharmacol Physiol 36: 98‑106, 2023.
- 186. Guo Z, Peng G, Li E, Xi S, Zhang Y, Li Y, Lin X, Li G, Wu Q and He J: MAP kinase-interacting serine/threonine kinase 2 promotes proliferation, metastasis, and predicts poor prognosis in non‑small cell lung cancer. Sci Rep 7: 10612, 2017.
- 187. Gupta A, Hossain MM, Miller N, Kerin M, Callagy G and Gupta S: NCOA3 coactivator is a transcriptional target of XBP1 and regulates $PERK$ -eIF2 α -ATF4 signalling in breast cancer. Oncogene 35: 5860‑5871, 2016.
- 188. Baquié M, St‑Onge L, Kerr‑Conte J, Cobo‑Vuilleumier N, Lorenzo PI, Jimenez Moreno CM, Cederroth CR, Nef S, Borot S, Bosco D, *et al*: The liver receptor homolog-1 (LRH-1) is expressed in human islets and protects {beta}-cells against stress‑induced apoptosis. Hum Mol Genet 20: 2823‑2833, 2011.
- 189. Zhu J, Zou Z, Nie P, Kou X, Wu B, Wang S, Song Z and He J: Downregulation of microRNA‑27b‑3p enhances tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer by increasing NR5A2 and CREB1 expression. Cell Death Dis 7: e2454, 2016.
- 190. Kao TW, Chen HH, Lin J, Wang TL and Shen YA: PBX1 as a novel master regulator in cancer: Its regulation, molecular biology, and therapeutic applications. Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer 1879: 189085, 2024.
- 191. Elix C, Pal SK and Jones JO: The role of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma in prostate cancer. Asian J Androl 20: 238‑243, 2018.
- 192. Carotenuto M, De Antonellis P, Liguori L, Benvenuto G, Magliulo D, Alonzi A, Turino C, Attanasio C, Damiani V, Bello AM, *et al*: H‑Prune through GSK‑3β interaction sustains canonical WNT/β‑catenin signaling enhancing cancer progres‑ sion in NSCLC. Oncotarget 5: 5736‑5749, 2014.
- 193. Teng F, Zhang JX, Chen Y, Shen XD, Su C, Guo YJ, Wang PH, Shi CC, Lei M, Cao YO and Liu SQ: LncRNA NKX2-1-AS1 promotes tumor progression and angiogenesis via upregula‑ tion of SERPINE1 expression and activation of the VEGFR‑2 signaling pathway in gastric cancer. Mol Oncol 15: 1234-1255, 2021.
- 194. Sun G, Wei Y, Zhou B, Wang M, Luan R, Bai Y, Li H, Wang S, Zheng D, Wang C, *et al*: BAP18 facilitates CTCF‑mediated chromatin accessible to regulate enhancer activity in breast cancer. Cell Death Differ 30: 1260‑1278, 2023.
- 195. Pospiech K, Orzechowska M, Nowakowska M, Anusewicz D, Płuciennik E, Kośla K and Bednarek AK: TGFα‑EGFR pathway in breast carcinogenesis, association with WWOX expression and estrogen activation. J Appl Genet 63: 339‑359, 2022.
- 196. Maeda A, Nishino T, Matsunaga R, Yokoyama A, Suga H, Yagi T and Konishi H: Transglutaminase‑mediated cross‑linking of WDR54 regulates EGF receptor‑signaling. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res 1866: 285‑295, 2019.
- 197. Cao L, Petrusca DN, Satpathy M, Nakshatri H, Petrache I and Matei D: Tissue transglutaminase protects epithelial ovarian cancer cells from cisplatin‑induced apoptosis by promoting cell survival signaling. Carcinogenesis 29: 1893‑1900, 2008.
- 198. Qian Y, Liu X, Feng Y, Li X and Xuan Y: Tenascin C regulates cancer cell glycolysis and tumor progression in prostate cancer. Int J Urol 29: 578-585, 2022.
- 199. Wei L, Sun C, Zhang Y, Han N and Sun S: miR‑503‑5p inhibits colon cancer tumorigenesis, angiogenesis, and lymphangiogenesis by directly downregulating VEGF‑A. Gene Ther 29: 28‑40, 2022.
- 200.Xiao L, Parolia A, Qiao Y, Bawa P, Eyunni S, Mannan R, Carson SE, Chang Y, Wang X, Zhang Y, *et al*: Targeting SWI/SNF ATPases in enhancer‑addicted prostate cancer. Nature 601: 434‑439, 2022.
- 201. Kim YC, Chen C and Bolton EC: Androgen receptor-mediated growth suppression of HPr‑1AR and PC3‑Lenti‑AR prostate epithelial cells. PLoS One 10: e0138286, 2015.
- 202.Zhou D, Chen B, Ye JJ and Chen S: A novel crosstalk mechanism between nuclear receptor‑mediated and growth factor/Ras‑mediated pathways through PNRC‑Grb2 interaction. Oncogene 23: 5394‑5404, 2004.
- 203. Huang YL, Chou WC, Hsiung CN, Hu LY, Chu HW and Shen CY: FGFR2 regulates Mre11 expression and double-strand break repair via the MEK‑ERK‑POU1F1 pathway in breast tumorigenesis. Hum Mol Genet 24: 3506‑3517, 2015.
- 204.Hua S, Kittler R and White KP: Genomic antagonism between retinoic acid and estrogen signaling in breast cancer. Cell 137: 1259‑1271, 2009.
- 205. O'Neill CF, Urs S, Cinelli C, Lincoln A, Nadeau RJ, León R, Toher J, Mouta‑Bellum C, Friesel RE and Liaw L: Notch2 signaling induces apoptosis and inhibits human MDA-MB-231 xenograft growth. Am J Pathol 171: 1023‑1036, 2007.
- 206.Xiu MX and Liu YM: The role of oncogenic Notch2 signaling in cancer: A novel therapeutic target. Am J Cancer Res 9: 837‑854, 2019.
- 207. Shen T, Wang W, Zhou W, Coleman I, Cai Q, Dong B, Ittmann MM, Creighton CJ, Bian Y, Meng Y, *et al*: MAPK4 promotes prostate cancer by concerted activation of androgen receptor and AKT. J Clin Invest 131: e135465, 2021.
- 208.Ross‑Innes CS, Brown GD and Carroll JS: A co‑ordinated interaction between CTCF and ER in breast cancer cells. BMC Genomics 12: 593, 2011.
- 209. Yang SZ and Abdulkadir SA: Early growth response gene 1 modulates androgen receptor signaling in prostate carcinoma cells. J Biol Chem 278: 39906‑39911, 2003.
- 210. Grinshpun A, Chen V, Sandusky ZM, Fanning SW and Jeselsohn R: ESR1 activating mutations: From structure to clinical application. Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer 1878: 188830, 2023.
- 211. Barker R, Biernacka K, Kingshott G, Sewell A, Gwiti P, Martin RM, Lane JA, McGeagh L, Koupparis A, Rowe E, et al: Associations of CTCF and FOXA1 with androgen and IGF pathways in men with localized prostate cancer. Growth Horm IGF Res 69‑70: 101533, 2023.

- 212. Pu J, Zhang D, Wang B, Zhu P, Yang W, Wang K, Yang Z and Song Q: FOXA1/UBE2T inhibits CD8+ T cell activity by inducing mediates glycolysis in lung adenocarcinoma. Front Biosci (Landmark Ed) 29: 134, 2024.
- 213. Vaclavicek A, Bermejo JL, Schmutzler RK, Sutter C, Wappenschmidt B, Meindl A, Kiechle M, Arnold N, Weber BHF, Niederacher D, *et al*: Polymorphisms in the Janus kinase 2 (JAK)/signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) genes: Putative association of the STAT gene region with familial breast cancer. Endocr Relat Cancer 14: 267‑277, 2007.
- 214. Xu WF, Ma YC, Ma HS, Shi L, Mu H, Ou WB, Peng J, Li TT, Qin T, Zhou HM, *et al*: Co‑targeting CK2α and YBX1 suppresses tumor progression by coordinated inhibition of the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway. Cell Cycle 18: 3472‑3490, 2019.
- 215. Liu X, Xu M, Jia W, Duan Y, Ma J and Tai W: PU.1 negatively regulates tumorigenesis in non‑small‑cell lung cancer. Med Oncol 40: 79, 2023.
- 216. Tortorella E, Giantulli S, Sciarra A and Silvestri I: AR and PI3K/AKT in prostate cancer: A tale of two interconnected pathways. Int J Mol Sci 24: 2046, 2023.
- 217. Hua S, Kallen CB, Dhar R, Baquero MT, Mason CE, Russell BA, Shah PK, Liu J, Khramtsov A, Tretiakova MS, *et al*: Genomic analysis of estrogen cascade reveals histone variant H2A.Z associated with breast cancer progression. Mol Syst Biol 4: 188, 2008.
- 218. Taslim C, Chen Z, Huang K, Huang TH, Wang Q and Lin S: Integrated analysis identifies a class of androgen‑responsive genes regulated by short combinatorial long‑range mechanism facilitated by CTCF. Nucleic Acids Res 40: 4754‑4764, 2012.
- 219. Zhang H, Li S, Zhou R, Dong T, Zhang X, Yu M, Lin J, Shi M, Geng E, Li J, *et al*: SRCAP complex promotes lung cancer progression by reprograming the oncogenic transcription of Hippo‑YAP/TAZ signaling pathway. Cancer Lett 585: 216667, 2024.
- 220. Stelzer G, Rosen R, Plaschkes I, Zimmerman S, Twik M, Fishilevich S, Stein TI, Nudel R, Lieder I, Mazor Y, *et al*: The GeneCards suite: From gene data mining to disease genome sequence analyses. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics 54: 1.30.1‑1.30.33, 2016.
- 221. Montes‑de‑Oca‑Fuentes EV, Jácome‑López K, Zarco-Mendoza A, Guerrero G, Ventura-Gallegos JL, Juárez-Méndez S, Cabrera‑Quintero AJ, Recillas‑Targa F and Zentella‑Dehesa A: Differential DNA methylation and CTCF binding between the ESR1 promoter a of MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells. Mol Biol Rep 51: 148, 2024.
- 222.Rossi EL, Dunlap SM, Bowers LW, Khatib SA, Doerstling SS, Smith LA, Ford NA, Holley D, Brown PH, Estecio MR, *et al*: Energy balance modulation impacts epigenetic reprogramming, ERα and ERβ expression, and mammary tumor development in MMTV‑neu transgenic mice. Cancer Res 77: 2500‑2511, 2017.
- 223. Chaudhary S, Krishna BM and Mishra SK: A novel FOXA1/ESR1 interacting pathway: A study of Oncomine™ breast cancer microarrays. Oncol Lett 14: 1247‑1264, 2017.
- 224.Zhang Y, Huang YX, Wang DL, Yang B, Yan HY, Lin LH, Li Y, Chen J, Xie LM, Huang YS, *et al*: LncRNA DSCAM‑AS1 interacts with YBX1 to promote cancer progression by forming a positive feedback loop that activates FOXA1 transcription network. Theranostics 10: 10823‑10837, 2020.
- 225. Wu Y, Li Z, Wedn AM, Casey AN, Brown D, Rao SV, Omarjee S, Hooda J, Carroll JS, Gertz J, *et al*: FOXA1 reprogramming dictates retinoid X receptor response in ESR1‑mutant breast cancer. Mol Cancer Res 21: 591‑604, 2023.
- 226. Lupien M, Eeckhoute J, Meyer CA, Wang Q, Zhang Y, Li W, Carroll JS, Liu XS and Brown M: FoxA1 translates epigenetic signatures into enhancer‑driven lineage‑specific transcription. Cell 132: 958‑970, 2008.
- 227. Rangel N, Fortunati N, Osella‑Abate S, Annaratone L, Isella C, Catalano MG, Rinella L, Metovic J, Boldorini R, Balmativola D, *et al*: FOXA1 and AR in invasive breast cancer: New findings on their co‑expression and impact on prognosis in ER-positive patients. BMC Cancer 18: 703, 2018.
- 228. Seachrist DD, Anstine LJ and Keri RA: FOXA1: A pioneer of nuclear receptor action in breast cancer. Cancers (Basel) 13: 5205, 2021.
- 229. Tsirigoti C, Ali MM, Maturi V, Heldin CH and Moustakas A: Loss of SNAI1 induces cellular plasticity in invasive triple-negative breast cancer cells. Cell Death Dis 13: 832, 2022.
- 230. Gerhardt J, Montani M, Wild P, Beer M, Huber F, Hermanns T, Müntener M and Kristiansen G: FOXA1 promotes tumor progression in prostate cancer and represents a novel hallmark of castration‑resistant prostate cancer. Am J Pathol 180: 848‑861, 2012.
- 231. Jin HJ, Zhao JC, Ogden I, Bergan RC and Yu J: Androgen receptor‑independent function of FoxA1 in prostate cancer metastasis. Cancer Res 73: 3725‑3736, 2013.
- 232. Li Z, Tuteja G, Schug J and Kaestner KH: Foxa1 and Foxa2 are essential for sexual dimorphism in liver cancer. Cell 148: 72‑83, 2012.
- 233. Ross‑Innes CS, Stark R, Holmes KA, Schmidt D, Spyrou C, Russell R, Massie CE, Vowler SL, Eldridge M and Carroll JS: Cooperative interaction between retinoic acid receptor‑alpha and estrogen receptor in breast cancer. Genes Dev 24: 171‑182, 2010.
- 234. Salvatori L, Ravenna L, Caporuscio F, Principessa L, Coroniti G, Frati L, Russo MA and Petrangeli E: Action of retinoic acid receptor on EGFR gene transactivation and breast cancer cell proliferation: Interplay with the estrogen receptor. Biomed Pharmacother 65: 307-312, 2011.
- 235. Gorodetska I, Offermann A, Püschel J, Lukiyanchuk V, Gaete D, Kurzyukova A, Freytag V, Haider MT, Fjeldbo CS, Di Gaetano S, *et al*: ALDH1A1 drives prostate cancer metastases and radioresistance by interplay with AR‑ and RAR‑dependent transcription. Theranostics 14: 714‑737, 2024.
- 236. Zou A, Marschke KB, Arnold KE, Berger EM, Fitzgerald P, Mais DE and Allegretto EA: Estrogen receptor beta activates the human retinoic acid receptor alpha‑1 promoter in response to tamoxifen and other estrogen receptor antagonists, but not in response to estrogen. Mol Endocrinol 13: 418‑430, 1999.
- 237. Magnani L, Patten DK, Nguyen VT, Hong SP, Steel JH, Patel N, Lombardo Y, Faronato M, Gomes AR, Woodley L, *et al*: The pioneer factor PBX1 is a novel driver of metastatic progression in ERα‑positive breast cancer. Oncotarget 6: 21878‑21891, 2015.
- 238. Magnani L, Ballantyne EB, Zhang \bar{X} and Lupien M: PBX1 genomic pioneer function drives ERα signaling underlying progression in breast cancer. PLoS Genet 7: e1002368, 2011.
- 239. Kikugawa T, Kinugasa Y, Shiraishi K, Nanba D, Nakashiro KI, Tanji N, Yokoyama M and Higashiyama S: PLZF regulates Pbx1 transcription and Pbx1‑HoxC8 complex leads to androgenindependent prostate cancer proliferation. Prostate 66: 1092‑1099, 2006.
- 240.Shen T, Dong B, Meng Y, Moore DD and Yang F: A COP1-GATA2 axis suppresses AR signaling and prostate cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 119: e2205350119, 202
- 241. Yang X, Zhang Q, Li S, Devarajan R, Luo B, Tan Z, Wang Z, Giannareas N, Wenta T, Ma W, *et al*: GATA2 co‑opts TGFβ1/SMAD4 oncogenic signaling and inherited variants at 6q22 to modulate prostate cancer progression. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 42: 198, 2023.
- 242.Chaytor L, Simcock M, Nakjang S, Heath R, Walker L, Robson C, Jones D and Gaughan L: The pioneering role of GATA2 in androgen receptor variant regulation is controlled by bromodomain and extraterminal proteins in castrate‑resistant prostate cancer. Mol Cancer Res 17: 1264‑1278, 2019.
- 243. Wang Y, He X, Ngeow J and Eng C: GATA2 negatively regulates PTEN by preventing nuclear translocation of androgen receptor and by androgen-independent suppression of PTEN transcription in breast cancer. Hum Mol Genet 21: 569‑576, 2012.
- 244.Treeck O, Diepolder E, Skrzypczak M, Schüler‑Toprak S and Ortmann O: Knockdown of estrogen receptor β increases proliferation and affects the transcriptome of endometrial adenocarcinoma cells. BMC Cancer 19: 745, 2019.
- 245. Konduri SD, Medisetty R, Liu W, Kaipparettu BA, Srivastava P, Brauch H, Fritz P, Swetzig WM, Gardner AE, Khan SA and Das GM: Mechanisms of estrogen receptor antagonism toward p53 and its implications in breast cancer therapeutic response and stem cell regulation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107: 15081‑15086, 2010.
- 246.Fritah A, Saucier C, Mester J, Redeuilh G and Sabbah M: p21WAF1/CIP1 selectively controls the transcriptional activity of estrogen receptor alpha. Mol Cell Biol 25: 2419‑2430, 2005.
- 247. Nishimura FG, Sampaio BB, Komoto TT, da Silva WJ, da Costa MMG, Haddad GI, Peronni KC, Evangelista AF, Hossain M, Dimmock JR, et al: Exploring CDKN1A upregulation mechanisms: Insights into cell cycle arrest induced by NC2603 curcumin analog in MCF‑7 breast cancer cells. Int J Mol Sci 25: 4989, 2024.
- 248.Mukhopadhyay UK, Oturkar CC, Adams C, Wickramasekera N, Bansal S, Medisetty R, Miller A, Swetzig WM, Silwal-Pandit L, Børresen-Dale AL, *et al*: TP53 status as a determinant of pro-vs anti-tumorigenic effects of estrogen receptor-beta in breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 111: 1202‑1215, 2019.
- 249. He Y, Alejo S, Venkata PP, Johnson JD, Loeffel I, Pratap UP, Zou Y, Lai Z, Tekmal RR, Kost ER and Sareddy GR: Therapeutic targeting of ovarian cancer stem cells using estrogen receptor beta agonist. Int J Mol Sci 23: 7159, 2022.
- 250. Lee CI, Goodwin A and Wilcken N: Fulvestrant for hormonesensitive metastatic breast cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev: CD011093, 2017.
- 251. Augusto TV, Amaral C, Varela CL, Bernardo F, da Silva ET, Roleira FFM, Costa S, Teixeira N and Correia‑da‑Silva G: Effects of new C6‑substituted steroidal aromatase inhibitors in hormone‑sensitive breast cancer cells: Cell death mechanisms and modulation of estrogen and androgen receptors. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 195: 105486, 2019.
- 252.Jacobson EM, Hugo ER, Tuttle TR, Papoian R and Ben‑Jonathan N: Unexploited therapies in breast and prostate cancer: Blockade of the prolactin receptor. Trends Endocrinol Metab 21: 691‑698, 2010.
- 253. Basile D, Cinausero M, Iacono D, Pelizzari G, Bonotto M, Vitale MG, Gerratana L and Puglisi F: Androgen receptor in estrogen receptor positive breast cancer: Beyond expression. Cancer Treat Rev 61: 15‑22, 2017.
- 254.Bonkhoff H, Fixemer T, Hunsicker I and Remberger K: Estrogen receptor expression in prostate cancer and premalignant prostatic lesions. Am J Pathol 155: 641‑647, 1999.
- 255. Robinson JLL, Macarthur S, Ross‑Innes CS, Tilley WD, Neal DE, Mills IG and Carroll JS: Androgen receptor driven transcription in molecular apocrine breast cancer is mediated by FoxA1. EMBO J 30: 3019‑3027, 2011.

COOSE Copyright © 2024 Kim et al. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License.