

Blood routine test-based biomarkers is related to bone mineral density in elderly patients with chronic heart failure A retrospective study

Lan Chen, MB^a, Fan Xu, MB^b, Qian Tong, MM^a, Guofu Wang, MD^{a,*}

Abstract

Background: Our previous studies suggested that bone mineral density (BMD) correlated with the severity of chronic heart failure (HF) as classified by the New York Heart Association (NYHA) and that blood routine test (BRT)-based biomarkers, including hemoglobin, red blood cells (RBCs), lymphocytes, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio, lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio, and systemic immune-inflammation index, were significantly related with BMD in general population.

Objective: This work aimed to evaluate the relationship between BRT-based biomarkers and BMD in elderly patients with chronic HF.

Methods: It was a retrospective study. BRT and BMD were measured on the same day. Chi-square analysis and 1-way analysis of variance or the Wilcoxon rank-sum test were used to compare categorical variables and continuous variables, respectively. Correlation analysis was performed by the Spearman correlation test.

Results: A total of 1049 participants were enrolled. Hemoglobin, RBCs, white blood cells, neutrophils, monocytes, eosinophils, lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio, and systemic immune-inflammation index were significantly different among different NYHA groups. The Spearman correlation test showed that lumbar vertebrae 2-4 (L2–L4) BMD was closely related to hemoglobin and RBC, and that femoral neck BMD was also significantly correlated with hemoglobin and RBC, white blood cells, neutrophils, monocytes, and platelets. Furthermore, stratified analysis in different NYHA classes demonstrated, only in NYHA class I and II groups, hemoglobin was significantly related to L2–L4 and femoral neck BMD.

Conclusion: BRT-based biomarkers were significantly different among different NYHA groups, which deserves further investigation and application in the future.

Abbreviations: BMD = bone mineral density, BMI = body mass index, BRT = blood routine test, FN = femoral neck, HF = heart failure, HIF = hypoxia-inducible factor, L2–L4 = lumbar vertebrae 2–4, LMR = lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio, NLR = neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, NYHA = New York Heart Association, OP = osteoporosis, PLR = platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio, RBC = red blood cells, SII = systemic immune-inflammation index, WBC = white blood cells.

Keywords: bone mineral density, hemoglobin, heart failure, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, red blood cells, systemic immuneinflammation index

1. Introduction

Blood routine test (BRT), one of the most common test items in clinical practice, contained a large amount of useful information. In addition to the conventional information about hemoglobin, red blood cells (RBC), white blood cells (WBC),

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

and platelets, it could also obtain a lot of immune and systemic inflammation biomarkers, including neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio (LMR) and systemic immune-inflammation index (SII).^[1] Increasing evidence suggested a

How to cite this article: Chen L, Xu F, Tong Q, Wang G. Blood routine test-based biomarkers is related to bone mineral density in elderly patients with chronic heart failure: A retrospective study. Medicine 2024;103:50(e40946).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000040946

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available, but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

The Medical Ethics Committee of Zhejiang Hospital approved this study (2023-45K). This was a retrospective study which used patients' medical records from October 2008 to September 2016 rather than directly contacting patients. The risk of this research was no more than the minimum risk. More importantly, the researchers have promised to protect the privacy of participants. Hence, according to the 39th provisions of Chinese law, the Ethical Review of Human Biomedical Research (2016), the Medical Ethics Committee of Zhejiang Hospital agreed to exempt the patients consent.

^a Zhejiang Hospital, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China, ^b Dongxiang Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Fuzhou, Jiangxi, China.

^{*} Correspondence: Guofu Wang, Department of Geriatrics, Zhejiang Hospital, 12 Lingyin Road, Xihu District, Hangzhou 310013, Zhejiang, China (e-mail: 1090983005@qq.com).

Copyright © 2024 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial License 4.0 (CCBY-NC), where it is permissible to download, share, remix, transform, and buildup the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be used commercially without permission from the journal.

Received: 6 June 2024 / Received in final form: 7 November 2024 / Accepted: 25 November 2024





close relationship between BRT-based biomarkers and inflammatory diseases, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, pulmonary hypertension, ulcerative colitis, antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated vasculitis, malignancy, kidney stone.^[2-8]

In addition, our previous work and other studies also demonstrated these BRT-based biomarkers were associated with chronic heart failure (HF) and osteoporosis (OP), both being part of the multiple comorbidities of the elderly.[9-18] For example, Durmus E et al found that NLR and PLR of HF patients were significantly higher compared to those of the controls (P < .01).^[9] Similarly, Bai B et al showed that high NLR, coupled with transcriptional activation of neutrophils, correlated with systemic inflammation and functional impairment in HF patients.^[10] Moreover, NLR was related with higher mortality risk in HF patients.^[11] On the other hand, a lot of studies demonstrated that NLR was inversely related with bone mineral density (BMD).^[12-14] Eroglu S et al found PLR was an important marker in the diagnosis of OP in postmenopausal women.^[15] In another study from Du YN et al, a significant inverse association was observed between SII and BMD in postmenopausal women.^[16] Additionally, Gao K et al reported MLR and PLR levels were remarkably higher in OP patients than in osteope-nia patients and that MLR had a higher diagnostic value for OP.^[17] More recently, our retrospective study, which included 1366 participants attending the health promotion center of Zhejiang hospital for evaluation of bone health, showed that gender, body mass index (BMI), hemoglobin, RBC, lymphocyte, NLR, PLR, LMR, and SII were significantly related with BMD, and that, after adjustment for gender, age and BMI, hemoglobin, RBC and lymphocyte were independent risk factors for OP.^[18] Interestingly, a few researches had indicated bone mass status was related to New York Heart Association (NYHA) classification, the modality most frequently used in clinical practice to express the severity of HF.^[19-23] Thus, it is reasonable to

speculate that BRT-based parameters was related with BMD in HF patients. However, there had been no relevant researches so far.

Here, using the data from 1049 subjects,^[19] we evaluated the relationship between BRT-based biomarkers and BMD in elderly patients with chronic HF.

2. Methods

2.1. Research subjects and materials

Participants inclusion and exclusion criteria, evaluation of HF severity and NYHA class and BMD measurement were presented in previous study.^[19] A detailed overview of the studied sample was provided in Figure 1. It was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Zhejiang Hospital (2023-45K).

2.2. Measurement of BRT-based biomarkers

At the same day of BMD analysis, BRT was conducted. In addition to conventional parameters, including hemoglobin, RBC count, platelet count, WBC count, and leukocyte subpopulation, NLR, PLR, LMR, and SII were calculated. Briefly, NLR was the division of the neutrophile count and the lymphocyte count; PLR was the division of the platelet count and the lymphocyte count; MLR was the division of the monocyte count and the lymphocyte count. In addition, SII was defined as platelet counts × neutrophil counts/lymphocyte counts.

2.3. Statistical analysis

First, a normality check was performed on the continuous variables using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Then, their difference among different groups were analyzed using the one-way

Table 1				
Compariso	on of BRT-based	biomarkers in	n different l	NYHA group.

	NYHA I (n = 109)	NYHA II (n = 662)	NYHA III (n = 243)	NYHA IV (n = 35)	F/Z value
Hemoglobin (g/L)	132.96 ± 16.29	126.32 ± 16.31	121.45 ± 17.26	120.57 ± 17.05	13.755*
RBC (1012/L)	4.41 ± 0.72	4.08 ± 0.62	3.98 ± 0.66	3.95 ± 0.5	11.819*
WBC (10 ⁹ /L)	4.99 ± 1.82	5.69 ± 1.48	7.06 ± 1.84	8.15 ± 1.74	77.44*
Neutrophil (10º/L)	2.86 ± 1.64	3.50 ± 1.15	4.81 ± 1.58	6.21 ± 1.83	112.545*
Monocyte (10 ⁹ /L)	0.41 ± 0.16	0.47 ± 0.19	0.55 ± 0.21	0.57 ± 0.22	16.896*
Lymphocyte (109/L)	1.54 ± 0.66	1.50 ± 0.61	1.51 ± 0.59	1.26 ± 0.48	2.041
Eosinophil (10 ⁹ /L)	0.13 ± 0.10	0.18 ± 0.21	0.16 ± 0.14	0.09 ± 0.09	5.105**
Basophil (109/L)	0.00 ± 0.01	0.00 ± 0.04	0.01 ± 003	0.01 ± 0.03	2.110
Platelets (10 ⁹ /L)	164.50 ± 56.02	170.34 ± 85.03	176.76 ± 56.36	178.14 ± 52.82	0.845
NLR	0.02 ± 0.01	0.03 ± 0.08	0.04 ± 0.20	0.04 ± 0.02	1.567
PLR	121.94 ± 70.91	131.99 ± 105.00	131.94 ± 59.34	160.08 ± 69.96	1.521
LMR	4.16 ± 1.92	3.55 ± 2.01	3.14 ± 1.78	2.44 ± 1.39	10.555*
SII	394.78 ± 613.07	483.44 ± 642.61	651.08 ± 399.47	1045.25 ± 638.68	15.492*

BRT = blood routine test, F = the one-way ANOVA, LMR = lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio, NLR = neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, NYHA = New York Heart Association, PLR = platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio, RBC = red blood cells, SII = systemic immune-inflammation index, WBC = white blood cells, Z = Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

P* < .01. *P* < .05.

Table 2

Correlation analysis between BRT-based biomarkers and BMD in chronic HF patients.

	BMD L2–L4		BMI	D FN
	r	P value	r	P value
Hemoglobin (g/L)	0.143	<.0001	0.174	<.0001
RBC (10 ¹² /L)	0.122	<.0001	0.105	.001
WBC (10 ⁹ /L)	-0.022	.476	-0.072	.020
Neutrophil (10º/L)	-0.040	.201	-0.077	.013
Monocyte (10 ⁹ /L)	0.044	.157	-0.063	.041
Lymphocyte (109/L)	-0.031	.320	0.005	.879
Eosinophil (10 ⁹ /L)	0.059	.055	0.041	.180
Basophil (10%/L)	-0.014	.641	0.015	.632
Platelets (10 ⁹ /L)	-0.057	.067	-0.069	.025
NLR	0.036	.244	0.057	.063
PLR	-0.031	.309	-0.056	.071
LMR	-0.056	.069	0.022	.477
SII	-0.013	.679	-0.050	.108

$$\begin{split} BMD &= \text{bone mineral density, BRT} = \text{blood routine test, FN} = \text{femoral neck, HF} = \text{heart failure,} \\ LMR &= \text{lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio, L2-L4} = \text{lumbar vertebrae 2 to 4, NLR} = \text{neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, PLR} = \text{platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio, RBC} = \text{red blood cells, SII} = \text{systemic immune-inflammation index, WBC} = \text{white blood cells.} \end{split}$$

ANOVA for variables with normal distribution and the Wilcoxon rank-sum test for the noncategorical variables with non-normal distribution, respectively. In addition, chi-square analysis was used to compare categorical variables. Finally, correlation analysis was performed using Spearman correlation test. All statistical analyses were completed using the SPSS 21.0 software package. P < .05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. BRT-based biomarkers in HF patients with different NYHA functional classes

From October 2008 to September 2016, a total of 1049 chronic HF patients aged over 60 years attending in Zhejiang Hospital for evaluation of heart failure were recruited. Hemoglobin, RBC, WBC, neutrophil, monocyte, eosinophil, LMR, and SII were significantly different among different NYHA groups. However, lymphocyte, basophil, platelets, NLR, and PLR showed no obvious difference. Results were presented in Table 1. And, other clinical parameters, BMD and lab data in different NYHA functional classes were reported before.^[19]

3.2. Correlation analysis between BRT-based biomarkers and BMD in HF patients

First, Spearman correlation test was used to determine the correlation between BRT-based biomarkers and BMD in HF patients. In the present study, BMD at the level of lumbar vertebrae 2–4 (L2–L4) and femoral neck (FN) were measured using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry for all participants. As shown in Table 2, L2–L4 BMD was closely related to hemoglobin and RBC. In contrast, besides hemoglobin and RBC, FN BMD was also significantly correlated with WBC, neutrophil, monocyte, and platelets.

3.3. Correlative analysis between BRT-based biomarkers and BMD in different HF groups

Then, to further explored the association of BRT-based biomarkers with BMD, we conducted a stratified analysis in different NYHA classes. In NYHA class I group, only hemoglobin was significantly related with L2–L4 and FN BMD. As for NYHA class II, the parameters related to L2–L4 and FN BMD included hemoglobin, RBC and neutrophil. However, no BRTbased biomarkers showed relationship with BMD, except for that WBC and neutrophil with L2–L4 BMD and that neutrophil with FN BMD. The results of stratified analysis were summarized in Table 3.

4. Discussion

Our retrospective research showed many BRT-based biomarkers, such as hemoglobin, RBC, WBC, neutrophil, monocyte, eosinophil, LMR, and SII, were significantly related to the HF severity expressed by NYHA classes. In agreement with our results, through a 6-month follow-up on 390 patients with HF, Silva N et al found that patients who died of HF had significantly lower values of LMR and that WBC and monocyte counts revealed a multivariate-adjusted risk for both endpoints of HF and all-cause mortality.^[24] However, contrary to the findings from Durmus E and Bai B et al which displayed a negative correlation between NLR, PLR and HF,^[9,10] our study failed to confirm the relationship between NLR, PLR and HF. The reasons for these inconsistencies might be the differences in sample size, research subjects, and methods. However, the exact reason was not very clear and required further research in the future.

This study contained a relatively large sample size, allowing us to conduct stratified analysis of the chronic HF patients in

 Table 3

 Correlation analysis between biomarkers originated from BRT and BMD in different NYHA class.

		BMD L2–L4		BMD FN	
		r	P value	r	P value
NYHA I	Hemoglobin (g/L)	0.239	.012	0.247	.01
	RBC (10 ¹² /L)	0.155	.106	0.153	.113
	WBC (10 ⁹ /L)	0.012	.902	0.014	.881
	Neutrophil (10 ⁹ /L)	0.074	.445	0.089	.355
	Monocyte (10 ⁹ /L)	-0.042	.664	0.085	.380
	Lymphocyte (10 ⁹ /L)	-0.188	.050	-0.137	.156
	Eosinophil (10 ⁹ /L)	-0.039	.685	-0.166	.084
	Basophil (10 ⁹ /L)	0.297	.002	-0.034	.725
	Platelets (10 ⁹ /L)	-0.043	.659	-0.013	.897
	NLR	0.121	.209	0.115	.235
	PLR	0.088	.364	0.078	.419
	LMR	-0.147	.127	-0.187	.051
	SII	0.084	.386	0.036	.707
NYHA II	Hemoglobin (g/L)	0.108	.005	0.160	<.0001
	RBC (10 ¹² /L)	0.146	<.0001	0.113	.004
	WBC (10 ⁹ /L)	-0.064	.099	-0.066	.092
	Neutrophil (10 ⁹ /L)	-0.109	.005	-0.082	.034
	Monocyte (10 ⁹ /L)	0.077	.049	-0.001	.975
	Lymphocyte (10 ⁹ /L)	-0.028	.475	-0.014	.711
	Eosinophil (10 ⁹ /L)	0.031	.423	0.077	.049
	Basophil (10 ⁹ /L)	-0.041	.288	-0.003	.938
	Platelets (10%/L)	-0.0/1	.069	-0.055	.161
	NLK	0.046	.235	0.083	.033
	PLR	-0.041	.295	-0.067	.087
	LMK	-0.058	.133	-0.021	.596
	SII	-0.020	.614	-0.028	.466
NYHA III	Hemoglobin (g/L)	0.138	.032	0.092	.152
	KBC (10 ¹² /L)	0.029	.657	0.004	.946
	WDU (TU ² /L)	0.203	.001	0.090	.139
	Mencerte (10%L)	0.210	.001	0.104	.107
	$V_{\rm M}$	0.000	.170	-0.007	.290
	Egginophil (10%)	-0.012	.000	0.000	.407
	EUSITIUPTIII (TU ⁻ /L) Recorbil (109/L)	0.141	.020	-0.003	.904
	Dasophin (107L)	0.019	.707	0.003	.199
	NI D	0.000	.927	0.100	.093
	PLR	-0.044	780	-0.000	.234 //00
	IMR	-0.017	107	0.000	528
	SIL	0.104	143	-0.009	890
ΝΥΗΔ ΙΛ	Hemoalobin (a/L)	0.378	025	0.000	253
	BBC (10 ¹² /L)	0.274	111	0.094	.200
	WBC (10 ⁹ /L)	0.138	429	0.483	003
	Neutrophil (10%)	0.193	.267	0.537	.001
	Monocyte (10 ⁹ /L)	-0.202	.244	-0.166	.340
	$1 \text{ ymphocyte} (10^{9}/\text{L})$	-0.090	.607	-0.140	.422
	Eosinophil (10 ⁹ /L)	-0.126	.472	-0.326	.056
	Basophil (10 ⁹ /L)	0.020	.910	0.263	.127
	Platelets (10%/L)	-0.088	.616	-0.060	.732
	NLR	0.143	.413	0.292	.088
	PLR	0.080	.649	0.066	.705
	LMR	0.094	.597	0.171	.334
	SII	0.162	.354	0.266	.123

BMD = bone mineral density, BRT = blood routine test, FN = femoral neck, LMR = lymphocyte-tomonocyte ratio, L2–L4 = lumbar vertebrae 2 to 4, NLR = neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, NYHA = New York Heart Association, PLR = platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio, RBC = red blood cells, SII = systemic immune-inflammation index, WBC = white blood cells.

different NYHA classes. The results of the subgroup analysis indicated that, in NYHA class I and II, hemoglobin was closed related to BMD both at L2–L4 and FN. Interestingly, our previous work demonstrated that, after adjustment for gender, age and BMI, hemoglobin was an independent risk factor for OP in general population.^[18] Consistently, using a conditional logistic regression model among 69,760 OP patients, Kim et al estimated the association of hemoglobin level with OP and found that the hemoglobin level was associated with 0.98-fold lower odds for OP (95% CI 0.97–0.99, P < .001).^[25] Correspondingly, hemoglobin level was related with a 0.97-fold lower risk of OP in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.^[26] Taken together, the above results suggested that there was a significant correlation between hemoglobin and BMD not only in ordinary population but also in patients with HF or diabetes.

The possible mechanism of how hemoglobin influenced BMD might be hypoxemia, which was reported to mediate the risk of OP. It is well known that adaptations to hypoxia were mediated by hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) transcription factors, of which there were 2 transcriptionally active isoforms (HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α). Via osteocyte-specific loss-of-function and gain-of-function HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α mutations in C57BL/6 female mice, Mendoza SV et al found that degradation-resistant HIF-2 α , but not HIF-1 α , generated dramatic increases in bone mass, enhanced osteoclast activity.^[27]

Additionally, both inflammation and cytokines might also underlying the link between hemoglobin and BMD.^[28,29] For example, Zha L et al found that postmenopausal women with \overrightarrow{OP} had increased levels of tumor necrosis factor- α , compared with those without OP, and that tumor necrosis factor- α synergistically promoted receptor activator of nuclear factor-kB ligand-induced osteoclast formation by activation of nuclear factor kappa-B and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/protein kinase B signaling.^[28] Finally, erythropoietin might contribute to bone formation both directly by communication pathways and indirectly by increasing vascular endothelial growth factor expression.^[30] However, the exact mechanism underlying the link between hemoglobin and BMD remained unclear. In addition, the reason why hemoglobin only rose in the early stages of HF was not yet clear.

As mentioned above, the present study had relatively large sample size. Moreover, it had BMD data at different sites. However, there were some limitations. First, it was a retrospective, observational association study, which might leave uncertainty. Second, the current data lacked clinical outcome, such as survival time and fracture. Third, although the overall sample size was relatively large, the sample size of certain subgroups was relatively small. For example, there were only 35 patients in NYHA class IV. Finally, the participants were heterogeneous, with diversities of medication and different morbidity, which might confound the results.

5. Conclusion

Our study showed that there was a significant correlation between BRT-based biomarkers and HF severity and that hemoglobin was closely related to L2–L4 and FN BMD in NYHA class I and II HF patients, implying that we should pay more attention to the hemoglobin value in patients with HF, in clinical practice. Furthermore, considering that BRT was a convenient and inexpensive detection method in clinic, application of biomarkers originated from BRT in HF were worth further research.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Lan Chen. Data curation: Lan Chen. Investigation: Fan Xu, Qian Tong. Methodology: Guofu Wang, Qian Tong. Software: Fan Xu. Validation: Qian Tong. Writing – review & editing: Guofu Wang. Writing – original draft: Fan Xu.

References

- Hong X, Cui B, Wang M, Yang Z, Wang L, Xu Q. Systemic immuneinflammation index, based on platelet counts and neutrophil–lymphocyte ratio, is useful for predicting prognosis in small cell lung cancer. Tohoku J Exp Med. 2015;236:297–304.
- [2] Lan CC, Su WL, Yang MC, Chen SY, Wu YK. Predictive role of neutrophil-percentage-to-albumin, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte and eosinophilto-lymphocyte ratios for mortality in patients with COPD: evidence from NHANES 2011-2018. Respirology. 2023;28:1136–46.
- [3] Yao CY, Liu XL, Tang Z. Prognostic role of neutrophil–lymphocyte ratio and platelet-lymphocyte ratio for hospital mortality in patients with AECOPD. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis. 2017;12:2285–90.
- [4] Zuo H, Xie X, Peng J, Wang L, Zhu R. Predictive value of novel inflammation-based biomarkers for pulmonary hypertension in the acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Anal Cell Pathol (Amst). 2019;2019:5189165.
- [5] Yang R, Chang Q, Meng X, Gao N, Wang W. Prognostic value of systemic immune-inflammation index in cancer: a meta-analysis. J Cancer. 2018;9:3295–302.
- [6] Akpinar MY, Ozin YO, Kaplan M, et al. Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio and neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio predict mucosal disease severity in ulcerative colitis. J Med Biochem. 2018;37:155–62.
- [7] Kim Y, Choi H, Jung SM, Song JJ, Park YB, Lee SW. Systemic immuneinflammation index could estimate the cross-sectional high activity and the poor outcomes in immunosuppressive drug-naïve patients with antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated vasculitis. Nephrology (Carlton). 2018;24:711–7.
- [8] Mao W, Wu J, Zhang Z, Xu Z, Xu B, Chen M. Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio acts as a novel diagnostic biomarker for kidney stone prevalence and number of stones passed. Transl Androl Urol. 2021;10:77–86.
- [9] Durmus E, Kivrak T, Gerin F, Sunbul M, Sari I, Erdogan O. Neutrophilto-lymphocyte ratio and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio are predictors of heart failure. Arq Bras Cardiol. 2015;105:606–13.
- [10] Bai B, Cheng M, Jiang L, Xu J, Chen H, Xu Y. High neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio and its gene signatures correlate with diastolic dysfunction in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2021;8:614757.
- [11] Vakhshoori M, Nemati S, Sabouhi S, et al. Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) prognostic effects on heart failure; a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Cardiovasc Disord. 2023;23:555.
- [12] Huang C, Li S. Association of blood neutrophil lymphocyte ratio in the patients with postmenopausal osteoporosis. Pak J Med Sci. 2016;32:762–5.
- [13] Salimi M, Khanzadeh M, Nabipoorashrafi SA, et al. Association of neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio with bone mineral density in postmenopausal women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Women Health. 2024;24:169.
- [14] Yu XY, Li XS, Li Y, Liu T, Wang R. Neutrophil–lymphocyte ratio is associated with arterial stiffness in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2015;61:76–80.

- [15] Eroglu S, Karatas G. Platelet/lymphocyte ratio is an independent predictor for osteoporosis. Saudi Med J. 2019;40:360–6.
- [16] Du YN, Chen YJ, Zhang HY, Wang X, Zhang ZF. Inverse association between systemic immune-inflammation index and bone mineral density in postmenopausal women. Gynecol Endocrinol. 2021;37:650–4.
- [17] Gao K, Zhu W, Liu W, et al. The predictive role of monocyte-tolymphocyte ratio in osteoporosis patient. Medicine (Baltimore). 2019;98:e16793.
- [18] Chen L, Wu Q, Lv X, Tong Q, Xu F, Wang G. The predictive role of blood routine test-based biomarkers in patients with osteoporosis. Ann Clin Case Rep. 2023;8:2445.
- [19] Fang Y, Wang L, Xing W, et al. Bone mineral density in older patients with chronic heart failure is related to NYHA classification: a retrospective study. Eur Geriatr Med. 2018;9:183–9.
- [20] Loncar G, Cvetinovic N, Lainscak M, Isaković A, von Haehling S. Bone in heart failure. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle. 2020;11:381–93.
- [21] Nedeljkovic BB, Loncar G, Vizin T, Radojicic Z, Brkic VP, Kos J. Relationship of high circulating cystatin C to biochemical markers of bone turnover and bone mineral density in elderly males with a chronic heart failure. J Med Biochem. 2019;38:53–62.
- [22] Xing W, Lv X, Gao W, et al. Bone mineral density in patients with chronic heart failure: a meta-analysis. Clin Interv Aging. 2018;13:343–53.
- [23] Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, et al. 2016 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: the Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. Eur Heart J. 2016;37:2129–200.
- [24] Silva N, Bettencourt P, Guimarães JT. The lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio: an added value for death prediction in heart failure. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2015;25:1033–40.
- [25] Kim SY, Yoo DM, Min C, Choi HG. Association between osteoporosis and low hemoglobin levels: a nested case-control study using a national health screening cohort. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18:8598.
- [26] Xiu S, Mu Z, Sun L, Zhao L, Fu J. Hemoglobin level and osteoporosis in Chinese elders with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Nutr Diabetes. 2022;12:19.
- [27] Mendoza SV, Murugesh DK, Christiansen BA, et al. Degradationresistant hypoxia inducible factor-2alpha in murine osteocytes promotes a high bone mass phenotype. JBMR Plus. 2023;7:e10724.
- [28] Zha L, He L, Liang Y, et al. TNF-α contributes to postmenopausal osteoporosis by synergistically promoting RANKL-induced osteoclast formation. Biomed Pharmacother. 2018;102:369–74.
- [29] Shahen VA, Gerbaix M, Koeppenkastrop S, et al. Multifactorial effects of hyperglycaemia, hyperinsulinemia and inflammation on bone remodelling in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 2020;55:109–18.
- [30] Shiozawa Y, Taichman RS. Bone: elucidating which cell erythropoietin targets in bone. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2015;11:263–4.