The Reaction of Penicillin with Proteins

By PATRICK H. CORRAN* and STEPHEN G. WALEY Sir William Dunn School of Pathology, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3RE, U.K.

(Received 2 December 1974)

The mode of reaction of benzylpenicillin with two proteins was studied, with particular reference to the allergenicity of penicillin. These reactions, with pig insulin, and with hen's-egg-white lysozyme, were carried out in neutral solution at 37°C. High concentrations of penicillin are needed to label the proteins, owing to concurrent hydrolysis of penicillin. Evidence has been obtained that the penicillin-reactive sites on the insulin molecule are the α -amino group at the N-terminus of the A chain and the ε -amino group of the lysine residue; whereas a site of reaction with lysozyme appears to be the ε -amino group of lysine-116.

Although penicillin is virtually non-toxic, a few people react severely, even to a small dose (Idsoe et al., 1968). The most dangerous reaction is anaphylactic shock. This (and nearly all other untoward reactions) is a manifestation of an allergic response, a consequence of an antigen-antibody reaction occurring in a sensitized individual. The administration of penicillin always causes the production of antibodies, but although penicillin is immunogenic to all it is allergenic to only a few. Penicillin is assumed to give rise to determinants by virtue of reacting with more than one site in one or more proteins (de Weck & Schneider, 1969). The major antigenic determinant is the penicilloyl group (I) that arises from cleavage of the β -lactam ring in penicillin (II).

described (Schneider & de Weck, 1968), the nature of the reaction with proteins in neutral solution is quite unknown. Proteins do react with penicillin in neutral solution (Batchelor et al., 1965), as judged by the penamaldyl assay for the penicilloyl group (Levine, 1962), but the sites of reaction were not ascertained. The most rapid reaction with low-molecular-weight compounds occurs when a thiol group is present, and penicillin reacts with cysteine approx. $10⁴$ times as fast as with alanine (Nakken et al., 1960). Whether there is a high-molecular-weight counterpart of this reaction is not known, and we were not able to test the reaction of penicillin with a protein having N -terminal cysteine (e.g. chicken liver glutamate dehydrogenase; Moon et al., 1973). If in fact penicillin has to react

The major determinant (I), once thought to be formed in vivo via penicillenates, is now regarded as being formed directly (de Weck et al., 1968). But, although the reaction of penicillin with low-molecular-weight compounds in neutral solution has been

* Present address: National Institute for Biological Standards and Control, Holly Hill, Hampstead, London NW3 6RB, U.K.

covalently with proteins to give rise to the antigenic determinants that are responsible for anaphylactic shock, this reaction must indeed be rapid; most anaphylactic reactions occur within a few minutes of injection of the penicillin (Idsoe et al., 1968).

The role of impurities in penicillin allergy is doubtful, as is the part that may be played by oligomers formed on storage (de Weck et al., 1968; Smith & Marshall, 1971; Stewart, 1973). The major determinant (I) is generally supposed to arise from the reactions of penicillin with amino groups. We set out to test this view by identifying the sites of reaction with two well-characterized proteins, insulin and lysozyme. In the crystalline state, lysozyme forms a complex with phenoxymethylpenicillin (Johnson, 1967). The reactions were fairly selective, and efficient labelling demanded a high concentration of penicillin. This is because the time-scale for reaction (at pH7.5, 37°C) is of the order of tens of hours, and the stability of penicillin on this time-scale is limited. Identification of the main sites of reaction in the two proteins has been achieved, and thus, to some extent, ideas on the formation of the penicilloyl determinant now rest on a firmer foundation. The main effort went into solving the difficult technical problems of isolating the penicilloyl peptides; it is notoriously difficult to assess yields in this kind of work.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Pig insulin $(10 \times$ crystallized) was from Novo Terapeutisk Laboratorium, Copenhagen, Denmark. It was freed from zinc by dissolving 73mg in ³ ml of 2% (v/v) acetic acid, treating with 7mg of EDTA (disodium salt) and then raising the pH to 5.4 (Sluyterman, 1955). The precipitated protein was collected, washed and dried. Hen's-egg-white lysozyme was from BDH Chemicals, Poole, Dorset, U.K. Potassium 6-phenyl[1-14C]acetamidopenicillanate was from The Radiochemical Centre, Amersham, Bucks., U.K.; benzylpenicillin and cephalosporin C were from Glaxo Research Laboratories, Greenford, Middx., U.K. Trypsin (twice recrystallized) was from Worthington Biochemical Corp., Freehold, N.J., U.S.A. 'Protease type VI' was from Sigma (London) Chemical Co., Kingston-upon-Thames, Surrey, U.K., and is referred to below as Pronase.

Methods

Paper chromatography was carried out in solvent BAWP [butan-1-ol -acetic acid-water-pyridine (15: 3:12: 10, by vol.) (Waley & Watson, 1953)] and paper electrophoresis as described by Corran & Waley (1974). Peptides were detected and hydrolysed as described by Miller & Waley (1971). Amino acid analysis was carried out with a Bio-Cal 100 analyser equipped with a high-sensitivity colorimeter. Liquid-scintillation counting was carried out as described by Browne & Waley (1974).

When the standard procedures for the hydrolysis of peptides (6M-HCl at 108° C for 16h in evacuated tubes) were applied either to penicillin itself or to penicilloic acid or its amide the yield of ninhydrinpositive material (largely penicillamine) was less than

⁵ % (an average colour constant being used). Thus no peaks on the analyser from the penicilloyl moiety were detected on hydrolysis of small amounts of penicilloyl peptides.

Penicilloyl groups (in labelled proteins) were determined by the penamaldate assay (Schneider & de Weck, 1966a). Protein was measured either by the micro biuret method (Leggett-Bailey, 1967) or by a variant of the biuret method in which 1.5ml of ¹ M-NaOH followed by $50 \mu l$ of $20\frac{\gamma}{20}$ (w/v) CuSO₄ was added to 0.6ml of sample solution and the E_{560} of the supernatant determined. Standard curves were constructed with insulin or lysozyme.

Polyacrylamide gels were run in 50mM-sodium acetate-2mM-EDTA, pH6, 7M in urea; the buffer in the electrode compartments lacked urea. Electrophoresis was for 4h at 5mA per tube and the origin was at the anode. Gels were stained with 1% Naphthalene Black in $7\frac{9}{6}$ (v/v) acetic acid, and destained with $7\frac{9}{6}$ (v/v) acetic acid.

Reaction of benzylpenicillin with insulin. The potassium salt of benzylpenicillin (150mg, containing 10μ Ci of potassium 6-phenyl[l-14C]acetamidopenicillanate) and zinc-free pig insulin (20mg) were incubated in 2ml of 0.05M-sodium phosphate, pH7.4, in a pH-stat (kept at pH7.4) for 24h at 37°C; 0.73ml of 0.2M-NaOH was added during this time. The high-molecular-weight fraction was isolated by gel filtration on a column (23 cm high \times 1 cm diam.) of Sephadex G-25 in 1% NH₄HCO₃; it comprised fractions 7-9 and contained about 1% of the radioactivity in the reaction mixture (the fraction size was ¹ ml). The disulphide bonds were reduced, and the thiol groups aminoethylated by adding to the high-molecularweight fraction (3 ml) an equal volume of propan-1-ol, and then 20μ of tributylphosphine and 10μ of ethyleneimine, and keeping the reaction mixture for 16h.

Excess of ethyleneimine was removed by bubbling N_2 through the solution, which was then concentrated and the residue dissolved in 50% (v/v) acetic acid; the high-molecular-weight fraction (eluted at 13-17ml) was isolated by gel filtration in 50% acetic acid on a column (26cm high \times 1.5cm diam.) of Sephadex G-25. The solvent was removed by concentration and then freeze-drying, and the residue, suspended in 0.7ml of 1% NH₄HCO₃, was treated with 80μ g of trypsin for 1.5h, and then 30μ g more trypsin added. After 4h digestion (in all) at 37°C, the precipitate was removed and the solution submitted to paper electrophoresis (Fig. 1).

Results

Sites of reaction of penicillin with insulin

Benzylpenicillin (II, $R = C_6H_5CH_2$) was used in all the experiments described. The reaction mixture contained 200mM-penicillin and 1.73 mM-insulin.

The products were fractionated by gel filtration, and the high-molecular-weight fraction was treated with tributylphosphine and ethyleneimine ^t disulphide bonds and aminoethylate the thiol groups;

Fig. 1. Electrophoresis of tryptic digest of insulin treated with benzylpenicillin

Insulin and radioactive benzylpenicillin were incubated together at pH7.4 for 24h at 37° C. The isolation of the high-molecular-weight fraction and its treatment with trypsin are described in the Materials and M Paper electrophoresis was carried out at $pH\,6.5$ in pyridineacetic acid-water (25:1:225, by vol.) on Whatman no. 3MM paper, for 1 h at 2kV. The unhatched spots are dye markers (Xylene Cyanol FF, Acid Fuchsi G, in order of increasing mobility). Hatching: . minhydrin-positive spots; s, radioactive spots. The 'neutral' spot, remaining at the origin, may contain adsorbed (possibly undigested) material.

this can conveniently be done in one operation (MacLaren & Sweetman, 1966; Rüegg & Rudinger, 1974) (see the Materials and Methods section for experimental details). The protein was digested with trypsin and the digest fractionated by electrophoresis at pH6.5 (Fig. 1). There was an acidic radioactive ninhydrin-negative spot; this spot was absent from digests of (reduced and aminoethylated) insulin that had not been incubated with penicillin. The acidic radioactive peptide IT_1 (Table 1) arises from the Nterminal region of the A chain by tryptic cleavage at S-aminoethylcysteine. The sequence in this region is: Gly-Ile-Val-Glu-Gln-Cys ... (Brown et al., 1955). Hence penicillin has reacted with the amino group of the N-terminal glycine residue. The neutral fraction, which was further fractionated by chromatography in solvent BAWP, contained some radioactivity, but no pure peptide was isolated.

Better yields of radioactive peptides were obtained from digests of labelled insulin with Pronase. There were two radioactive peptides (Table 1). One peptide had a high mobility (-0.81) , and was ninhydrinnegative (peptide IP_1), and is the counterpart of the tryptic peptides IT_1 and IT_2 ; enzymic cleavage has occurred at glutamine-5 here, as is shown by the high electrophoretic mobility. The presence of the peni-
cilloyl group (I, $R = C_6H_5CH_2$) at the N-terminus gives the acylated pentapeptide a charge of -3 ; with a molecular weight of about 800, the mobility of -0.81 is not unexpected (Offord, 1966).

The other radioactive peptide (peptide IP_2) was further purified by electrophoresis at pH4.5;

Table 1. Radioactive peptides obtained from reaction of $[{}^{14}C]$ penicillin with insulin

Samples IT_1 and IT_2 were isolated after tryptic cleavage of reduced and S-aminoethylated insulin; they are derived from separate experiments. S-Aminoethylcysteine was present (but not measured) in the hydrolysate of peptide IT, and was not looked for in the hydrolysate of peptide IT_2 . Peptides IP_1 and IP_2 were isolated after Pronase digestion of insulin. After gel filtration the high-molecular-weight fraction (3 ml) was treated with 0.2mg of Sigma proteinase type VI at 37°C for 18h. The freeze-dried residue was extracted with 0.2 ml of 10% (v/v) pyridine, and the supernatant solution, containing about half of the radioactivity of the high-molecular-weight fraction, was fractionated by electrophoresis at $pH6.5$. The electrophoretic mobilities (*m*) refer to pH 6.5 and are relative to aspartic acid ($m = -1.00$). The amino acid compositions are given as molar ratios. The ninhydrin colour refers to the initial colour with the cadmium reagent (Heilmann et al., 1957). The values in columns ⁴ and ⁶ are those calculated for residues 1-5 of the A chain and 27-29 of the B chain respectively.

Vol. 149

÷.

Fig. 2. Reaction of benzylpenicillin with insulin

6-Phenyl[l-14C]acetamidopenicillanate (0.4M, containing 10μ Ci of radioactivity) and pig insulin (1.73mM) were incubated at pH7.6, in a pH-stat at 37°C. Portions (0.4ml), withdrawn at intervals (Fig. 1), were fractionated by gel filtration on a column (20cm high \times 1 cm diam.) of Sephadex G-25 in 1% NaHCO₃. Portions (50 μ l) of the 0.5ml fractions were taken for radioactivity counting and portions (0.4ml) for measurement of protein by the micro biuret method.

the composition and N-terminus (Tablel) show that penicillin had reacted with the ε -amino group of lysine; residues 27-29 in the B chain are: Thr-Pro-Lys.

Course of reaction of penicillin with insulin

The rate of reaction of penicillin with insulin was studied by carrying out gel filtration on samples withdrawn from the reaction mixture and determining the specific radioactivity of the protein. The results (Fig. 2) give some idea of the overall rate of reaction. The shape of the curve provides no evidence for preferential reaction at one of the two sites. Detailed analysis of the rates would demand separation of all the individual species at each timeinterval.

Site of reaction of penicillin with lysozyme

A preliminary experiment was carried out to see if the N-terminal amino group in lysozyme resembled that in insulin in reacting with penicillin. Lysozyme $(10mg)$ was incubated with penicillin $(20mg)$ in $0.5m1$ of 1% NH₄HCO₃ for 16h at 37°C, and the protein precipitated with 5ml of acetone. The N-terminal amino acid was identified by reaction with dansyl chloride in the presence of sodium dodecyl sulphate (Gray, 1972), and an untreated sample of lysozyme was similarly treated. The samples gave indistinguishable results (bis-Dns-lysine, together with O-Dnstyrosine and ε -Dns-lysine), so that there was no evidence for reaction of penicillin with the N-terminus of lysozyme.

Of the many other experiments aimed at characterizing the site of reaction two are now described.

Benzylpenicillin and lysozyme were incubated together in a pH-stat at 37°C for 48h. The pH was maintained at approx. 7.4 but a precipitate that formed tended to block the outlet of the burette. The precipitate (about 3mg) was removed and the supernatant fractionated by gel filtration (Fig. 3). The molar proportion of 14 C in tube 5 was 1.33; the amount of lysozyme was determined by biuret assay, and the basis for the values for the extent of labelling is 14mg of protein. The molar proportion of penicilloyl groups in tube 6 (measured by penamaldyl assay; Schneider & de Weck, 1966a) was 1.27. (The median value of the extent of radioactive labelling from five other experiments was 1.3.) Thus both methods indicated the same extent of labelling.

A portion of the labelled lysozyme was reduced and carboxymethylated (Canfield, 1963) in a volume of ¹ ml, and, after dialysis, the protein was digested with 0.1 mg of trypsin in 1% NH₄HCO₃ at 37°C for 4h.

Fig. 3. Gel filtration of reaction mixture of benzylpenicillin and lysozyme

Benzylpenicillin (potassium salt) (50 mg, containing 10μ Ci of potassium 6-phylen[1-14C]acetamidopenicillanate) and 50mg of lysozyme in ^I ml of 0.05M-sodium phosphate were incubated at pH7.4 for 48h at 37°C. The clarified reaction mixture was fractionated on a column $(30 \text{cm} \times 1 \text{cm})$ diam.) of Sephadex G-25 with 1% NH₄HCO₃. Fractions of volume 2.2ml were collected. Radioactivity (\circ) was determined, penamaldyl assays (\triangle) were carried out to estimate penicilloyl groups and measurements of E_{280} (\bullet) were also made.

Table 2. Radioactive peptides obtained from reaction of $[{}^{14}C]$ penicillin with lysozyme

Peptide LT₁ was isolated after tryptic cleavage of reduced and carboxymethylated lysozyme. Peptides LP_1 –LP₃ are samples isolated after digestion with Pronase. The isolations are described in the text. The electrophoretic mobilities refer to pH 1.8 and are relative to serine ($m = 1.00$). The amino acid compositions are given as molar ratios, and the ¹⁴C as g-atoms/mol of peptide. Abbreviation: CmCys, S-carboxymethylcysteine. The values in column (2) are thosecalculated forresidues 115-125, and in column (6) those for residues 116-119.

The digest was fractionated by electrophoresis at pH3.5 followed by chromatography in solvent BAWP. Only one radioactive spot (LT_1) was detected; its position was close to that of free leucine, which is the C-terminal tryptic product (Canfield, 1963), and indeed the peptide LT_1 (Table 2) was probably contaminated with leucine. The amount of peptide $LT₁$ was small (a few nmol) but the analysis (Table 2) suggested that this peptide comprised residues 115- 125 of lysozyme:

Cys-Lys-Gly-Thr-Asp-Val-Gln-Ala-Trp-Ile-Arg

This result points to reaction at lysine-116, but it leaves other possibilities open.

Several other attempts to purify the radioactive tryptic peptides or peptic peptides were unsuccessful. A more extensive digestion to ^a smaller peptide seemed more promising. In fact the first experiment along these lines gave mainly a substituted amino acid, namely penicilloyl-lysine. The labelled protein was digested with 1mg of Pronase/ml of 1% $NH₄HCO₃$ for 16h at 37°C, and the digest fractionated on a column $(150 \text{cm} \times 1 \text{cm} \cdot \text{diam.})$ of Sephadex G-25 in 0.5% NH₄HCO₃ [in 10% (v/v) propan-2-ol]. The material in the first radioactive peak was fractionated further by electrophoresis at pH 1.8; the main radioactive band $(m = 0.23)$ gave (after treatment with dansyl chloride and hydrolysis) a derivative that was either α - or ε -Dns-lysine; it separated from ε -Dns-lysine on electrophoresis at pH4.5 and was, as expected for a-Dns-lysine, more 'basic'.

Limited digestion with Pronase was thus required. Timed digestions of a portion of labelled lysozyme with 0.17mg of Pronase/ml suggested that incubation for about ¹ h would give the best yield of radioactive material other than large peptides and undigested protein on the one hand, or penicilloyl-lysine (the sole product after 24h) on the other hand. The digest (1.5h) was fractionated on a column ($115 \text{cm} \times 1 \text{cm}$ diam.) of Sephadex G-25 (in 0.5% NH₄HCO₃ in 10% propan-2-ol); the first radioactive peak contained relatively high-molecular-weight material, and was redigested (for 4h) and refractionated by gel filtration. The low-molecular-weight peptides were then further fractionated by paper electrophoresis at $pH1.8$ to give the peptides LP_1 -LP₃ (Table 2). These appear to be samples of the same tetrapeptide: Lys-Gly-Thr-Asp (residues 116-119). Although none is pure, the impurities in the samples differ. The second two samples $(LP_2$ and $LP_3)$ were obtained from the redigested material, and it is possible that the variant with slightly different mobility (LP_3) might have undergone epimerization at C-5, as can happen with penicilloylamides (Levine, 1960; Levine & Ovary, 1961; Schneider & de Weck, 1967).

Hence radioactivity has been found (a) in a peptide

 $\overline{}$

ⁱ IOrigin $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ stiko S termi **Passar** $\mathcal{L} = \{1,2,3,4,6\}$:.:.,2... , Tube ^I $\overline{2}$ 3

 $\ddot{}$

4

Fig. 4. Gel electrophoresis of reaction mixture of benzylpenicillin and lysozyme

A mixture of 5mg of lysozyme and 12.5mg of benzylpenicillin in 0.25ml of 0.2M-sodium phosphate, pH7.6, was incubated overnight (tube 2) or for 3 days (tube 3) at 37°C. The solution was made 7_M in urea, adjusted to pH6 with acetic acid, diluted with an equal volume of gel buffer (see the Materials and Methods section) and $20\mu l$ taken for electrophoresis. Tube ¹ contained unchanged lysozyme.

comprising residues $115-125$, (b) in one comprising residues 116-119 and (c) in a derivative giving only lysine after hydrolysis. Thus the residue attacked by penicillin is lysine-1 16. This is the only site identified, but whether it is the only site attacked is much harder to say.

Course of reaction of penicillin with lysozyme

The course of this reaction was studied by gel electrophoresis of the reaction mixture. This method had the advantage that some idea of the complexity of the reaction mixture was gained, and the disadvantage that quantitative information was not obtained. The results (Fig. 4) showed that after reaction for ¹ day there were bands in the position of unchanged lysozyme and behind this position; the reaction of penicillin with lysozyme will decrease the net positive charge (at pH6) of the protein. At ³ days, the intensity of the slower band had increased and that of the lysozyme had decreased.

Reaction of cephalosporin C with insulin

Cephalosporin C (75mg/ml) and insulin (1Omg/ml) were incubated together in 0.05 M-sodium phosphate, pH7.4, in the pH-stat at 37°C for 20h. The gel filtration in 1% NH₄HCO₃ on Sephadex G-25 had to be carried out twice to achieve separation of the highmolecular-weight fraction. A portion $(880 \mu g)$ of the high-molecular-weight fraction was hydrolysed, and the spot of α -aminoadipic acid seen on electrophoresis at pH4.5 of the hydrolysate was comparable in intensity with the spot from $96 \mu g$ of cephalosporin C treated similarly. Since the molecular weight of insulin is (to a first approximation) ten times that of cephalosporin C, this result suggests that there was about one residue of a moiety derived from cephalosporin C/molecule of insulin. Control experiments showed that hydrolysis of insulin alone did not give a spot in the position of α -aminoadipic acid on electrophoresis at pH4.5.

The labelled insulin was digested with thermolysin, and compared with a digest of unchanged insulin, but the only identified ninhydrin-positive material present solely in the digest of the labelled insulin was a-aminoadipic acid. In another experiment the labelled insulin was digested with Pronase, and the digest examined by electrophoresis at pH6.5. There appeared to be several acidic bands that had partially decomposed and were very streaky; the fastest strong band was refractionated at pH3.5, but again there appeared to be much decomposition. Apparently identification of the site of reaction will be difficult unless the products can be stabilized.

Discussion

Extent and selectivity of reaction

There are two aspects to the subject of the reaction of penicillin with proteins, namely whether reaction occurs at all, and, if reaction does occur, whether some sites are selectively attacked. These aspects are related, and a 'super-reactive' group (Cohen, 1970) will react readily and selectively. However, in the proteins we examined there were no groups that reacted with penicillin at exceptional rates.

Extent of reaction

The experiments described were carried out with relatively high concentrations (0.4M) of penicillin, whereas the concentrations of insulin or lysozyme were only a few mm. It is not that a large 'excess' of reagent is necessary but that its concentration must be high for there to be appreciable labelling. This is a consequence of hydrolysis of the reagent. Thus for the simple scheme:

$$
R + S \xrightarrow{k_1} P
$$

$$
R \xrightarrow{k_2} X
$$

1975

where R and S stand for reagent (penicillin) and substrate (a site in insulin or lysozyme), and P and X stand for penicilloylated site and penicilloate respectively, then

$$
d[R]/d[S] = (k_1[S]+k_2)/k_1[S]
$$

and so the fraction of protein site labelled, F , when all the reagent has been consumed, is given by

$$
F=1-\exp(-r_0k_1/k_2)
$$

where r_0 is the initial concentration of reagent (penicillin). The same considerations apply to the labelling of amino groups with dansyl chloride (Gray, 1972). Thus for 50% labelling of a site, if k_1 = 3×10^{-2} M⁻¹ \cdot h⁻¹ (Schneider & de Weck, 1968), and $k_2 = 1.4 \times 10^{-2}$ h⁻¹ (the half-life of benzylpenicillin was found to be about 50h), the concentration of penicillin must be about 0.3 M. In fact the extent of labelling of insulin increased from about 0.7 to about 2 groups/molecule as the concentration of penicillin was increased from 0.1 to 0.4M. Although these considerations are over-simplified and approximate, they explain the high concentrations of penicillin used by Schneider & de Weck (1968) and suggested that we also would have to use high concentrations of penicillin for reasonably efficient labelling of protein sites. The results of Batchelor et al. (1965) are also consistent with these calculations on the reasonable assumption that their extent of labelling was of the order of a few per cent.

The rate of the reaction has not been much studied, but the time-course for the reaction of penicillin with insulin (Fig. 2) is qualitatively consistent with the kinetic scheme given.

Selectivity in reaction of penicillin with proteins

Of two proteins that have been studied the reaction with lysozyme is apparently more selective than that with insulin. The reaction with insulin at two out of the three amino groups, one α -amino (A1) and one ε amino (B29), is a not uncommon pattern of acylation (Lindsay & Shall, 1971; Blundell et al., 1972; Brandenburg et al., 1972). With lysozyme, only one site ofreaction has been identified, but there mayhave been reaction at another site as well. The grounds for thinking this are that, although the average extent of labelling was often approximately one group/ molecule, the gel electrophoresis (Fig. 4) suggested that about half the protein had unchanged electrophoretic mobility and so was presumably unchanged lysozyme. This would demand a co-operative process, in which unsubstituted and disubstituted products predominate, and monosubstituted product is scarce. All the lysine residues of lysozyme may be acetylated (Parsons et al., 1969), and it may be significant that all seven amino groups are trinitrophenylated in a process that shows a maximum rate (Freedman & Radda, 1968). Spectrophotometric

estimation of tyrosine (from changes of E_{292} in alkali) did not suggest any modification of tyrosine by penicillin; phenolic hydroxyl groups in low-molecularweight compounds reacted with penicillin at about one-tenth the rate of amino groups (Schneider & de Weck, 1968), but acetylimidazole (a reagent that resembles penicillin in being an 'activated amide') reacts readily with tyrosine residues (and lysine residues) in lysozyme (Parsons *et al.*, 1969); it is not known which are the amino groups of lysozyme that react with acetylimidazole.

The amino group of lysozyme that reacted with penicillin was lysine-1 16. The amino group appears 'accessible' (Phillips, 1966; Shrake & Rupley, 1973), and it may be significant that there is another nearby polar group, namely arginine-1 12. It seems probable that interaction between these groups could aid the preferential reaction of lysine-1 16 with penicillin; penicillin reacts about 100 times more rapidly with ethylenediamine than it does with s-aminohexanoic acid (Schneider & de Weck, 1966b). Such bifunctional catalysis may often underline enhanced reactivity of a particular group in a protein (Cohen, 1970).

Chemical basis for penicillin allergenicity

The work described goes some way towards providing a firm basis for the nature of the 'major antigenic determinant', the penicilloyl group (I), where

$$
R' = -NH[CH2]4CH2NH- CO- Reaction with either
$$

an α -amino group at the end of a polypeptide chain, or an e-amino group of lysine, or both, has been demonstrated. This is indeed what had commonly been supposed, but it had never been shown. The next stage in the analysis of allergenicity demands knowledge of which proteins react with penicillin in vivo, and this knowledge is lacking.

Attempts to follow up previous work (Hamilton-Miller & Abraham, 1971) on the determinants derived from cephalosporins have not been successful; all that can be said with certainty is that after incubation of insulin with cephalosporin C the high-molecular-weight fraction releases α -aminoadipic acid on hydrolysis.

The support of the Medical Research Council is gratefully acknowledged, as is the technical assistance of Mrs. C. Moss and Miss R. Sammons. We thank Glaxo Research Laboratories and Novo Terapeutisk Laboratorium for gifts, and Professor E. P. Abraham, C.B.E., F.R.S., and Dr. L. N. Johnson for helpful discussions.

References

- Batchelor, F. R., Dewdney, J. M. & Gazzard, D. (1965) Nature (London) 206, 362-364
- Blundell, T., Dodson, G., Hodgkin, D. & Mercola, D. (1972) Adv. Protein Chem. 26, 279-402
- Brandenburg, D., Gattner, H. G. & Wollmer, A. (1972) Hoppe-Seyler's Z. Physiol. Chem. 353, 599-617
- Brown, H., Sanger, F. & Kitai, R. (1955) Biochem. J. 60, 556-565
- Browne, C. A. & Waley, S. G. (1974) Biochem. J. 141, 753-760
- Canfield, R. E. (1963) J. Biol. Chem. 238, 2691-2697
- Cohen, L. A. (1970) Enzymes, 3rd edn., 1, 147-211
- Corran, P. H. & Waley, S. G. (1974) Biochem. J. 139, 1-10
- de Weck, A. L. & Schneider, C. H. (1969) Curr. Probl. Immunol. Bayer-Symp. 1st pp. 32-46
- de Weck, A. L., Schneider, C. H. & Gutersohn, J. (1968) Int. Arch. Allergy Appl. Immunol. 33, 535-567
- Freedman, R. B. & Radda, G. K. (1968) Biochem. J. 108, 383-391
- Gray, W. R. (1972) Methods Enzymol. 25, 121-138
- Hamilton-Miller, J. M. T. & Abraham, E. P. (1971) Biochem. J. 123, 183-190
- Heilmann, J., Barollier, J. & Watzke, E. (1957) Hoppe-Seyler's Z. Physiol. Chem. 309, 219-220
- Idsoe, O., Guthe, T., Willcox, R. R. & de Weck, A. L. (1968) Bull. WHO 38, 159-188
- Johnson, L. N. (1967) Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. B 167, 439-440
- Leggett-Bailey, J. (1967) Techniques in Protein Chemistry, p. 341, Elsevier Publishing Co., London
- Levine, B. B. (1960) Nature (London) 187, 939-940
- Levine, B. B. (1962) J. Med. Pharm. Chem. 5, 1025-1034
- Levine, B. B. & Ovary, Z. (1961)J. Exp. Med. 114,875-904
- Lindsay, D. G. & Shall, S. (1971) Biochem. J. 121,737-745
- MacLaren, J. A. & Sweetman, B. J. (1966) Aust. J. Chem. 19, 2355-2360
- Miller, J. C. & Waley, S. G. (1971) Biochem. J. 122, 209- 218
- Moon, K., Piszkiewicz, D. & Smith, E. L. (1973) J. Biol. Chem. 248, 3093-3103
- Nakken, K. F., Eldjarn, L. & Pihl, A. (1960) Biochem. Pharmacol. 3, 89-100
- Offord, R. E. (1966) Nature (London) 211, 591-593
- Parsons, S. M., Jao, L., Dahlquist, F. W., Borders, C. L., Groff, T., Racs, J. & Raftery, M. A. (1969) Biochemistry 8, 700-712
- Phillips, D. C. (1966) Sci. Am. 215, 78-90
- Rüegg, U. Th. & Rudinger, J. (1974) Isr. J. Chem. 12, 391-401
- Schneider, C. H. & de Weck, A. L. (1966a) Helv. Chim. Acta 49, 1689-1694
- Schneider, C. H. & de Weck, A. L. (1966b) Helv. Chim. Acta 49, 1695-1707
- Schneider, C. H. & de Weck, A. L. (1967) Helv. Chim. Acta 50, 2011-2018
- Schneider, C. H. & de Weck, A. L. (1968) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 168, 27-35
- Shrake, A. & Rupley, J. A. (1973) J. Mol. Biol. 79, 351-371 Sluyterman, L. A. A. (1955) Biochim. Biophys. Acta
- 17, 169-176
- Smith, H. & Marshall, A. C. (1971) Nature (London) 232, 45-46
- Stewart, G. T. (1973) Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. 13, 309-324
- Waley, S. G. & Watson, J. (1953) Biochem. J. 55, 328-337