Parasites & Vectors

RESEARCH Open Access

Immune modulation of buffalo peripheral blood mononuclear cells by two asparaginyl endopeptidases from *Fasciola gigantica*

Dong-Qi Wu¹, Yan-Feng Guo¹, Yu Zou¹, Xiao-Ting Tang¹, Wei-Yu Zhang¹ and Wen-Da Di^{1*}

Abstract

Background Fascioliasis is a zoonotic parasitic disease caused by *Fasciola hepatica* and *Fasciola gigantica*, which poses a serious threat to global public health and livestock farming. *Fasciola gigantica* secretes and excretes various components to manipulate the immune response, thereby enhancing its invasion, migration, and survival in vivo. However, the roles of specifc components in immune modulation, such as asparagine endopeptidase, remain unknown.

Methods The transcriptional abundance of members of the asparagine endopeptidase family (also known as the legumain family) from *F. gigantica* was analyzed. Two highly transcribed asparagine endopeptidases in metacercariae, juveniles and adults were cloned, and their recombinant proteins—recombinant *F. gigantica* legumain (r*Fg*LGMN-1) and (r*Fg*LGMN-2)—were expressed in prokaryotic expression system. Their regulatory efects on bufalo peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), including proliferation, migration, total nitric oxide (NO) production, cytokine secretion, and phagocytosis were explored in vitro.

Results Ten members of the legumain family were detected in *F. gigantica*, among of which *Fg*LGMN-1 and *Fg*L‑ GMN-2 exhibited high transcription levels in juveniles and adults. The isolation of sequences indicated that *Fg*LGMN-1 encodes 409 amino acids, while *Fg*LGMN-2 encodes 403 amino acids. Both recombinant *Fg*LGMN-1 (r*Fg*LGMN-1) and r*FgLGMN-2* were recognized by serum from buffaloes infected with *F. gigantica*. Both r*FgLGMN-1* and r*FgL-*GMN-2 inhibited the proliferation of PBMCs, and r*Fg*LGMN-1 also inhibited the migration of PBMCs. While r*Fg*LGMN-1 increased the production of total NO, r*Fg*LGMN-2 decreased NO production. Both r*Fg*LGMN-1 and r*Fg*LGMN-2 increased the transcription of the cytokines interleukin-10 and transforming growth factor β. The efect of r*Fg*LGMN-1 and r*Fg*LGMN-2 on the phagocytosis of PBMCs varied depending on their concentrations.

Conclusions r*Fg*LGMN-1 and r*Fg*LGMN-2 modulate several cellular and immunological functions of PBMCs, and exhibited distinct regulatory effects on these in vitro, which indicated that they may play roles in immune modulation and facilitate fuke development. However, due to uncertainties associated with in vitro experiments, further studies are necessary to elucidate the precise functions of these legumains.

Keywords *Fasciola gigantica*, Immunoregulation, Legumain, Peripheral blood mononuclear cell

*Correspondence:

Wen‑Da Di

diwenda@gxu.edu.cn

¹ College of Animal Science and Technology, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, People's Republic of China

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver ([http://creativeco](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) [mmons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/)) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Background

Fascioliasis, a neglected tropical disease caused by *Fasciola hepatica* and *Fasciola gigantica*, results in signifcant economic losses in livestock production and poses substantial health risks to humans globally. Annual economic losses due to *Fasciola* infections are estimated at US \$ 3 billion [\[1\]](#page-11-0), with approximately 2.4 million people currently infected and 180 million at risk of infection [[2\]](#page-11-1). Anthelmintic resistance in certain strains of *Fasciola* has emerged following prolonged use of triclabendazole and other drugs [\[3,](#page-11-2) [4\]](#page-11-3). Moreover, potential hybridization between *F. hepatica* and *F. gigantica* may lead to the production of more virulent ofspring, thereby complicating disease management [[5\]](#page-11-4). Concerns have also arisen regarding chemical residues in animal products resulting from treatment, which necessitates the exploration of novel immunotherapeutic strategies. During the migration and development of *F. gigantica* within a host, the host initiates immune responses that are aimed at resisting the fuke's invasion [\[6,](#page-11-5) [7](#page-11-6)]. However, *F. gigantica* adeptly manipulates these responses to its advantage by suppressing infammation and modulating macrophage polarization, thereby enhancing its survival $[8]$ $[8]$. These mechanisms primarily involve *F. gigantica* excretorysecretory products (*Fg*ESPs) [\[9](#page-11-8)], and highlight the intricate host-parasite interactions in *Fasciola* infections.

*Fg*ESPs encompass various components that have been systematically characterized, as members of the 14-3-3 protein family (specifcally 14-3-3e), thioredoxin peroxiredoxin (TPX) and calcium-binding EF-hand protein 4 are recognized for their pivotal roles in modulating host immune responses to *F. gigantica* infection [[10](#page-11-9)[–12](#page-12-0)]. r*Fg*14-3-3e plays a critical role in the recognition of *F. gigantica* by innate immune cells, and modulates the cytokine profle of goat peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs). It promotes the secretion of interleukin-10 (IL-10) and transforming growth factor $β$ (TGFβ), while suppressing the secretion of interleukin-4 (IL-4) and interferon-γ (IFN-γ), leading to an overall suppression of the immune response and a reduction in host inflammatory pathology [\[11](#page-11-10)]. Tian et al. [\[13](#page-12-1)] demonstrated that *Fg*TPX enhances the secretion of IL-2, IL-4, TGF-β, and IFN-γ, while inhibiting PBMCs proliferation and phagocytosis in vitro, thereby facilitating fuke infection. *Fg*Rab10 promotes PBMCs apoptosis and migration, enhances monocyte phagocytosis, and plays a multifaceted role in maintaining host immune homeostasis [\[14](#page-12-2)]. Asparaginyl endopeptidase, also known as legumain, exhibits specifcity in hydrolyzing peptides and proteins at asparagine residues [\[15](#page-12-3), [16\]](#page-12-4). Legumain has been identifed in a wide range of vertebrates (including humans, cattle, pigs, *Haemaphysalis longicornis* and mice) and invertebrates (such as *F. gigantica*, *Schistosoma mansoni*, *Angiostrongylus cantonensis*, and *Haemonchus contortus*) $[17–21]$ $[17–21]$ $[17–21]$. The role of legumain in regulating the activation of cathepsin L and cathepsin B has been highlighted [\[22](#page-12-7)], as recombinant *S. mansoni* asparaginyl endopeptidase could transactivate the *S. mansoni* cathepsin B1 (CB1) pro-enzyme into its mature catalytic form in vitro. Zhang et al. [\[23](#page-12-8)] found that the transcription abundance of cathepsin L and cathepsin B were high during parasitism by *F. gigantica* and that asparagine endopeptidase showed a similar transcription pattern, suggesting the potential for legumains (LGMNs) to act as the rapeutic targets for diseases such as fascioliasis [[24](#page-12-9)].

The role of legumains in *Fasciola* has been preliminarily explored, with Adisakwattana et al. [[17\]](#page-12-5) demonstrating that *Fg*LGMN-1 and *Fg*LGMN-2 are localized in the intestinal epithelium of the fuke and are transcribed during the developmental stages from newly excysted juvenile to adult stage. Robinson et al. [[25\]](#page-12-10) identifed asparaginyl endopeptidases in the ESPs of newly excysted juveniles and 21-day-old juveniles of *F. hepatica*. However, the immunomodulatory efects of *Fg*LGMNs on the host remain unclear.

In the present study, screening the whole genome sequences of *F. gigantica* revealed the presence of 10 legumain members. Among these, *FgLGMN-1* and *FgL-GMN-2* exhibited high transcription levels in metacercariae, juveniles, and adults, suggesting their potential as candidates for drug and vaccine development. Subsequently, the immune regulatory functions of recombinant *Fg*LGMN-1 and *Fg*LGMN-2 on bufalo PBMCs were investigated in vitro. This study aims to deepen our understanding of the role of *Fg*LGMNs in immune regulation during *F. gigantica* infection and lays a foundation for the prevention of fascioliasis.

Methods

Ethics statement and bufalo maintenance

All experimental procedures involving the use of animals were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Guangxi University. The buffaloes were stall-fed a balanced diet at the dairy facility of the Bufalo Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences. *Fasciola gigantica*-infected bufalo sera and *F. gigantica*negative bufalo sera were collected and kept in the lab. Briefy, whole blood samples were collected, and they were incubated at 37 °C for 1 h, and then the liquid was centrifuged at 3000 r.p.m. for 20 min at $4 °C$ for supernatant collection. Subsequently, the serum layer was collected and stored at−80 °C until use.

Confrmation of the absence of *Fasciola gigantica* **infection in bufaloes**

The absence of *F. gigantica* infection was confirmed by carrying out indirect ELISA on *Fg*ESP twice, with an interval of 4 weeks between the assays. *Fg*ESP was prepared as previously described [[23](#page-12-8)], and indirect ELISA was performed as follows, with three replicates per sample. *Fg*ESP was diluted with 0.05 M carbonate bufer to 2.5 μ g/mL, and 100 μ L was added to the microplate wells, followed by incubation at $4 °C$ overnight. Then the microplate was washed three times with phosphate-bufered saline (PBS) with Tween 20 (PBST) (1 L of 0.01 M PBS solution added together with 500 μ L Tween-20), and 1% gelatin (1 g gelatin was added to 100 mL of 0.01 M phosphate bufer) was added and the microplate incubated at 37 °C for 2 h. Subsequently, 100 µL buffaloes-serum that was diluted with phosphate at a dilution of 1:400 was added and the microplate incubated at 37 °C for 2 h. After washing the microplate with PBST, the diluted enzyme-labeled secondary antibody (1:20,000) (horseradish peroxidase-labeled goat secondary antibody IgG; (BIO-RAD, California) was added and the microplate incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. It was then washed with PBST, 100 µL TMB chromogenic solution (Solarbio, Beijing, China) was added, and incubated at 37 \degree C for 15 min, before 50 µL termination solution (2 M H_2SO_4 solution) was added. The optical density (OD) at a wavelength of 450 nm (OD_{450nm}) value was measured and the P/N ratio calculated and compared with the critical values. The bufaloes that were negative for primary indirect ELISA were subjected to PBMCs collection. The buffaloes that were negative for both of the indirect ELISA were confrmed to be free of *F. gigantica* infection.

Transcription abundance of *FgLGMNs* **and predicted secretory** *Fg***LGMNs**

Transcriptomic data for diferent developmental stages of *F. gigantica* had been previously generated by RNAseq. The transcription of the legumain genes was calculated by the fragments per kilobase per million reads method [[26](#page-12-11)], which was used to compare the diferences in gene transcription levels among diferent development stages. False Discovery Rate (FDR) control is a statistical method that was used to correct for p-value. Genes with an adjusted p-value<0.05 found by DESeq were assigned as differentially transcriped. The transcription data were analyzed, clustered, and visualized using the online program Cluster heatmap ([https://cloud.oebiotech.com/](https://cloud.oebiotech.com/task/detail/heatmap/) [task/detail/heatmap/](https://cloud.oebiotech.com/task/detail/heatmap/)). The 10 screened *LGMN* transcript sequences were also subjected to alignment with 15 previously characterized *LGMN* sequences in Worm-Base (accession numbers FGIG_05822, FGIG_03223, FGIG_03222, FGIG_01656/FGIG_01657, FGIG_02692,

FGIG_02693, FGIG_02694, FGIG_02692, FGIG_05552, FGIG_01660, FGIG_12115, FGIG_10511, FGIG_05551, FGIG _ 01940, FGIG _10510/FGIG_10509).

For *Fg*LGMNs, the classic secretory protein was predicted by the programs SignalP 5.0, TargetP, and TMHMM [[27–](#page-12-12)[29\]](#page-12-13), while the non-classic secretory pro-tein was predicted by the Secretome P—2.0 program [\[30](#page-12-14)].

*Fg***LGMN‑1 and** *Fg***LGMN‑2 coding sequence cloning and molecular modeling**

Adult fukes of *F. gigantica* were collected from the gall bladders of naturally infected bufaloes slaughtered at local abattoirs in the Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, People's Republic of China. After washing in PBS (pH 7.4), the fukes were immediately used for RNA isolation. Species identifcation was performed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR), with only confrmed *F. gigantica* specimens subjected to subsequent RNA isolation. For species identifcation, the second internal transcribed spacer (ITS-2) region of ribosomal DNA $(rDNA)$ was amplified and sequenced $[31]$ $[31]$. The reaction reagents were prepared in a fnal volume of 25 μL containing 12.5 μL PCR Master Mix polymerase (Vazyme, Jiangsu, China), 2 μL template genomic DNA, 0.5 μL forward primer and 0.5 μL reverse primer, and 10 μL deionized water. The PCR protocol was set as follows: $95 °C$ for 5 min, 32 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 59 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s, and final extension at 72 \degree C for 7 min. An aliquot (5 μL) of each sample was electrophoresed and then sent for sequencing (Sangon Biotech, Shanghai, China). The ITS-2 primer sequences are listed (Additional file [1](#page-11-11): Table S1).

RNA isolation was performed using Trizol (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, China), and RNA purity was assessed with a Nanodrop 2000C spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientifc, Waltham, MA). Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized using a cDNA synthesis kit (Novi Zan Biotechnology, Beijing, China), and the cDNA was used for *FgLGMN-1* and *FgLGMN*−2 sequence amplifcation. Two pairs of primers, designed based on the coding sequences of *FgLGMN-1* (GenBank accession number EF206821.1) and *FgLGMN-2* (EF206822.1), were used for PCR amplification. The primers used are listed (Additional fle [1](#page-11-11): Table S1).

The full-length sequences of *FgLGMN-1* and *FgL-*GMN-2 were submitted to the Swiss-Model website ([https://swissmodel.expasy.org/\)](https://swissmodel.expasy.org/) for tertiary structure prediction. The modeling output with the highest similarity value and a global model quality assessment value closest to 1 was selected. The Protein Data Bank (PDB) fles of the query sequences were visualized using PyMOL software.

Expression and Detection of recombinant *Fg***LGMN‑1 and recombinant** *Fg***LGMN‑2**

The cloned coding sequences of *FgLGMN-1* and *FgL-GMN*−2 were ligated into the pET-28a (+) vector using a kit (TransGen Biotech), and the resultant constructs named pET-28a-*Fg*LGMN-1 and pET-28a-*Fg*LGMN-2. The ligated products were then transformed into *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3) competent cells and verifed by sequencing (Sangon Biotech, Shanghai, China). The transformants were cultured and induced with 0.8 mol/L isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (Solarbio) to produce recombinant proteins r*Fg*LGMN-1 and r*FgLGMN-2*. These recombinant proteins were purified using HisPur™ Ni–NTA Spin Columns (CWBIO, Jiangsu, China) and subjected to sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE).

Endotoxins from r*Fg*LGMN-1 and r*Fg*LGMN-2 were removed using the ToxinEraserTM endotoxin removal kit (L00338) (Genscript, Jiangsu, China). Briefy, the pre-packed column was set up, followed by the addition of 5 mL of regeneration bufer and 6 mL of equilibrium buffer to equilibrate the column. The r*FgLGMN-1* and r*Fg*LGMN-2 proteins were then introduced separately into the column, followed by the addition of an equilibrium buffer. The eluents, containing endotoxin-free rFgL-GMN-1 and r*FgLGMN-2*, were collected. The endotoxin level was assessed using the ToxinSensor Limulus test kit $(L00350C)$ (Genscript, Jiangsu, China). The endotoxinfree protein solutions were fltered through a 0.22-μm flter and stored at −80 °C for future use.

The prepared rFgLGMN-1 and rFgLGMN-2 were loaded onto a 12% SDS-PAGE gel, transferred to Hybond-C extra nitrocellulose membranes (Amersham Biosciences, MI), and blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin in PBST at 37 °C for 1 h. Bufalo antisera (1:400 dilution with PBST), both positive and negative for *F. gigantica*, were used as primary antibodies. Horseradish peroxidase)-conjugated rabbit anti-bovine immunoglobulin G (Abmart, Shanghai, China) (1:5000 dilution with PBST) was used as the secondary antibody. The Western blot was developed using 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (Sigma, USA) as a chromogenic substrate.

Sources of PBMCs

Jugular venous blood from three *F. gigantica*-negative female bufaloes aged 2–3 years were aseptically collected into EDTA-K2 vacuum collection tubes, mixed, and centrifuged at 2000 r.p.m. for 20 min. The white membrane layer was collected and centrifuged at 2000 r.p.m. for an additional 25 min after adding an equal volume of lymphocyte separation solution (HaoYang, Tianjin, China). The resulting white layer was collected and mixed with an equal volume of diluent and centrifuged at 1800 r.p.m. for 8 min. After discarding the supernatant, the cell precipitate was resuspended in cell diluent. The cells were then resuspended in 3 mL of RPMI-1640 complete medium, and the cell density was adjusted to 1×10^6 cells/mL. Additionally, cell viability was assessed using the trypan blue exclusion test, and only cell populations with a viability>95% were used for subsequent experiments.

Efects of r*Fg***LGMN‑1 and r***Fg***LGMN‑2 on proliferation and migration of PBMCs**

RPMI 1640 medium containing 1×10^6 cells/mL was dispensed into each well of a 96-well tissue culture plate (100 µL/well). r*Fg*LGMN-1 and r*Fg*LGMN-2 at concentrations of 5, 10, 20, 40, and 60 μ g/mL were added to the respective wells. Concanavalin A (ConA) (100 ng/ mL) served as the positive control, while wells containing only cell culture medium served as the negative control. The plates were incubated in a 5% $CO₂$ atmosphere at 37 °C for 48 h. Subsequently, 10 µL of CCK-8 reagent (Beyotime Biotechnology, Jiangsu, China) was added to each well, followed by a 4-h incubation. Absorbance was measured at $OD₄₅₀$ using a microplate reader (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The cell proliferation index was calculated as the ratio of treated cells $OD_{450}/\text{control cells } OD_{450}$.

For cell migration assays, cells were adjusted to a density of 1×10^6 cells/mL and 1 mL of cell suspension was added to each well of a 24-well cell culture plate. Diferent concentrations (5, 10, 20, 40, and 60 μg/mL) of r*Fg*L-GMN-1 and r*Fg*LGMN-2 were added to the respective wells. Plates were then incubated in a 5% $CO₂$ incubator at 37 °C for 48 h. After incubation, cells were harvested and adjusted to a concentration of 1×10^5 cells/ mL. A total of 600 μL of complete medium was added to the lower chamber of Transwell migration chambers and 100 μL of the cell suspension was added to the upper chamber. The chambers were then incubated in a 5% CO₂ incubator at 37 °C for 4 h. Cells that migrated to the lower chamber were collected and counted to determine the migration rate. All experiments were performed independently and repeated three times.

Determination of total nitric oxide production and phagocytic activity

The production of total nitric oxide (NO) in the PBMC supernatant was determined using the Griess assay. PBMCs at a density of 1×10^6 cells/mL were stimulated with various concentrations $(5, 10, 20, 40, \text{ and } 60 \mu\text{g/mL})$ of r*Fg*LGMN-1 and r*Fg*LGMN-2 in RPMI-1640 medium and cultured in a 5% $CO₂$ incubator at 37 °C for 24 h. Then culture supernatants were collected and subjected to the Griess reaction using the Griess detection kit (Beyotime Biotechnology, Beijing, China). The absorbance at OD540 was measured using a microplate reader (Bio-Rad) and converted to micromolar concentrations.

In a separate experiment, PBMCs were adjusted to a density of 1×10^6 cells/mL and plated in 6-well plates. Cells were treated with diferent concentrations of r*Fg*L-GMN-1 and r*Fg*LGMN-2 (5, 10, 20, 40, and 60 μg/mL) and cultured under 5% $CO₂$ at 37 °C for 24 h. Following treatment, cells were washed with PBS, digested with trypsin (Solarbio, Shanghai, China) for 2 min, and re-suspended in RPMI-1640 complete medium (Gibco, Grand Island, NY). Phagocytosis assays were conducted using the FITC–Dextran phagocytosis kit (Becton Dickinson, NY) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefy, cells were incubated with 100 μL of fuorescein isothiocyanate-dextran for 1 h, washed twice with PBS, and collected for the analysis of monocyte phagocytic activity by flow cytometry. All experiments were performed independently and repeated three times.

Efects of r*Fg***LGMN‑1 and r***Fg***LGMN‑2 on cytokine transcription**

The density of PBMCs was adjusted to 1×10^6 cells/mL and the cells cultured in 6-well plates. The cells were treated with r*Fg*LGMN-1 and r*Fg*LGMN-2 (5, 10, 20, 40 and 60 μg/mL) for 24 h, while PBS was set as the control. The cells were washed with PBS and digested with trypsin solution (Solarbio). A RNA extraction kit (Solarbio) was then used for RNA extraction; 1 μg RNA was reverse transcribed according to the instructions for the PrimeScript RT reagent kit (Solarbio). The transcription abundances of IL-4, IL-10, IFN-γ, and TGF-β were determined by real-time qPCR; glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase was used as the housekeeping gene. Realtime qPCR was performed according to the Fast Start Universal SYBR Green Master (Rox) (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) instructions. The reaction procedure was as follows: 95 °C for 30 s, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s, 56 °C for 15 s, and 72 °C for 30 s. Finally, the following conditions–95 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 1 min and 95 °C for 15 s–were employed to generate the dissociation curve. The raw cycle thresholds (Cts) were obtained from the LightCycler application and then relative messenger RNA transcription abundance was calculated using the comparative Ct method with the formula $2-\Delta C$ ^{Δ Ct} [\[32](#page-12-16)]. The primers used in the real-time qPCR are listed in Additional fle [1](#page-11-11): Table S1.

Data analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism software. One-way and two-way ANOVA were employed to assess statistical diferences across various experimental conditions, with Tukey's test used for post hoc multiple comparisons. *P*<0.05 was considered

statistically significant. Data are presented as mean \pm SD from three independent experiments (*n*=3).

Results

Legumain transcript abundance and prediction of secretory *Fg***LGMNs**

The alignment of 10 *LGMN* transcripts with 15 previously characterized LGMN sequences in WormBase indicated that 15 *LGMN* sequences constitutes 10 legumain sequences with complete sequences. Among the 10 LGMN transcripts, group A (*FgLGMN-3*, *FgL-GMN*-*10*) exhibited high transcription levels in 42-dayold juveniles. Group B (*FgLGMN-1*, *FgLGMN-2*) showed high transcription in metacercariae, 42-dayold juveniles, 70-day-old juveniles, and adults. Group C (*FgLGMN-5*) was highly transcribed in rediae, while Group D (*FgLGMN-7*) was highly transcribed in cercariae. Group E (*FgLGMN-4*, *FgLGMN-6*, *FgLGMN-8*, *FgLGMN-9*) displayed had higher levels of transcription during the cercariae and metacercariae stages, compared to other stages of fuke development (low transcription levels across various stages of fuke devel-opment). (Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0) (Additional file [2:](#page-11-11) Table S2). The 10 transcripts of *FgLGMNs* showed signifcant diferential transcription across eight developmental stages (Additional fle [3:](#page-11-12) Table S3).

Fig. 2 Putative tertiary structures of **a** *Fasciola gigantica* (*Fg*) LGMN-1 (*Fg*LGMN-1) and **b** *Fg*LGMN-2. Elements are color-coded, with peptidase C13 domain in *green*, LGMN-C domain in *pink*, the glycosylation sites in *yellow*, and the predicted epitope in *red*

All *Fg*LGMNs were predicted to be secretory proteins. *Fg*LGMN-1, *Fg*LGMN-2, *Fg*LGMN-5, *Fg*LGMN-8, *Fg*LGMN-9 and *Fg*LGMN-10 were predicted to be classic secretory proteins, whereas *Fg*LGMN-3, *Fg*LGMN-4, *Fg*LGMN-6 and *Fg*LGMN-7 were predicted to be nonclassic secretory proteins.

Molecular characterization of *Fg***LGMN‑1 and** *Fg***LGMN‑2**

The coding sequences of *FgLGMN-1* and *FgLGMN-2* were amplifed and sequenced. *FgLGMN-1* showed 100% identity with the database sequence with GenBank accession number EF206821.1, while *FgLGMN-2* showed 98.96% identity with the database sequence with GenBank accession number EF206822.1. The coding sequence of *FgL*-GMN-1 comprises 1230 base pairs (bp), and encodes 409 amino acids, while the coding sequence of *Fg*LGMN-2 comprises 1212 bp, and encodes 403 amino acids.

The three-dimensional structure of human asparaginyl endopeptidase protein (PDB code Q99538) was used as a template to construct homology models of *Fg*LGMN-1 and *Fg*LGMN-2. As shown in Fig. [2,](#page-5-0) glycosylation sites were predicted at positions 261, 264, 279, and 341 of *Fg*L-GMN-1, and at positions 277, 281, 341, and 325 of *Fg*L-GMN-2. Antigenic epitopes were identifed at positions 175–194 of *Fg*LGMN-1 and 348–367 of *Fg*LGMN-2. Te C13 domain and LGMN-C domain are also marked.

Expression and detection of r*Fg***LGMN‑1 and r***Fg***LGMN‑2**

The *FgLGMN-1* and *FgLGMN-2* fragments (1227 bp for *FgLGMN-1* and 1209 bp for *FgLGMN-*2) were cloned into the pET-28a vector. The predicted molecular masses of r*Fg*LGMN-1 and r*Fg*LGMN-2 were 46 kDa and 43 kDa,

respectively. Both r*Fg*LGMN-1 and r*Fg*LGMN-2 were expressed as His-tagged fusion proteins and detected by 12% SDS-PAGE after purifcation; they had molecular weights of approximately 49 kDa and approximately 46 kDa, respectively (Additional fle [4:](#page-11-13) Figure S1).

Western blot analysis revealed that r*Fg*LGMN-1 migrated at approximately 49 kDa and was recognized by anti-*F. gigantica* serum from infected bufalo, but did not react with serum from uninfected bufalo. r*Fg*LGMN-2 migrated at approximately 46 kDa and was recognized by anti-*F. gigantica* serum from infected bufalo, but did not react with serum from uninfected bufalo (Additional fle [4](#page-11-13): Fig. S1).

r*Fg***LGMN‑1 and r***Fg***LGMN‑2 inhibited the proliferation and migration of PBMCs**

For r*Fg*LGMN-1, as a positive control, ConA promoted cell proliferation [ANOVA, $F_{(6, 14)} = 243.7$, $P < 0.001$]. r*Fg*LGMN-1 at concentrations of 40 and 60 μg/mL significantly inhibited the proliferation of PBMCs (*P*<0.0001). For r*Fg*LGMN-2, ConA promoted cell proliferation [ANOVA, $F_{(6, 14)} = 134.3$, $P = 0.0178$]. All tested concentrations of r*Fg*LGMN-2 signifcantly inhibited the proliferation of PBMCs (5 μg/mL vs control, *P*=0.0032; 10, 20, 40 and 60 μg/mL vs control, *P*<0.0001) (Fig. [3\)](#page-6-0).

As shown in Fig. [4](#page-6-1), r*Fg*LGMN-1 at concentrations of 10, 20, 40, and 60 μg/mL signifcantly inhibited PBMC migration [ANOVA, $F_{(5, 12)} = 174.0$, 10 μg/mL vs control, *P*=0.0002; 20 μg/mL vs control, *P*=0.0005; 40 μg/mL vs control, *P*=0.0004; 60 μg/mL vs control, *P*=0.0002]. r*Fg*LGMN-2 exhibited varying efects depending on its concentration; 20 μg/mL promoted cell

Fig. 3 Efect of **a** r*Fg*LGMN-1 and **b** r*Fg*LGMN-2 on the proliferation of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs). PBMCs treated with concanavalin A (ConA) and phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) were used as a control, and were also treated with different concentrations of r*Fg*LGMN-1 and r*Fg*LGMN-2 for 48 h. r*Fg*LGMN-1 and r*Fg*LGMN-2 inhibited the proliferation of PBMCs. Graphs represent means±SDs of results from three independent biological replicates. Asterisks indicate statistically signifcant diferences between treated cells and control cells: **P*<0.05, ***P*<0.01, *****P*<0.0001, *ns* non-signifcant. For other abbreviations, see Figs. [1](#page-4-0) and [2](#page-5-0)

Fig. 4 Efect of **a** r*Fg*LGMN-1 and **b** r*Fg*LGMN-2 on PBMC migration. PBMCs treated with PBS was performed as a control, and they were also treated with diferent concentrations of r*Fg*LGMN-1 and r*Fg*LGMN-2 for 24 h, respectively. Graphs represent means±SDs of results from three independent biological replicates. Asterisks indicate statistically signifcant diferences between treated cells and control cells: **P*<0.05, ***P*<0.01, ****P*<0.001. For other abbreviations, see Figs. [1,](#page-4-0) [2,](#page-5-0) and [3](#page-6-0)

migration [ANOVA, $F_{(5, 12)} = 24.21$, 20 μ g/mL vs control, $P=0.0152$], while 40 and 60 μ g/mL inhibited cell migration (40 μg/mL vs control, *P*=0.0152; 60 μg/mL vs control, $P = 0.0005$).

Efects of r*Fg***LGMN‑1 and r***Fg***LGMN‑2 on NO production**

For r*Fg*LGMN-1, 40 and 60 μg/mL promoted the production of NO [ANOVA, $F_{(5, 12)} = 77.94$, 40 and 60 µg/mL vs control, *P*<0.0001]. For r*Fg*LGMN-2, all concentrations significantly inhibited NO production [ANOVA, $F_{(5)}$ 1_{12} =29.84, 5 µg/mL vs control, *P*=0.0037; 10, 20, 40 and 60 μg/mL vs control, *P*<0.0001] (Fig. [5](#page-7-0)).

Impacts of r*Fg***LGMN‑1 and r***Fg***LGMN‑2 on cytokine transcription**

The potential modulatory effects of r*FgLGMN-1* and r*Fg*LGMN-2 on PBMCs were investigated. As shown in Fig. [6](#page-7-1), r*Fg*LGMN-1 promoted the transcription of IFNγ, IL-10, and TGF-β. The transcription of IFN-γ was signifcantly upregulated with r*Fg*LGMN-1 treatment at 5, 10, 20, 40, and 60 μ g/mL [ANOVA, $F_{(5, 12)} = 356.2$, *P*<0.0001]. IL-10 transcription was signifcantly upregulated at 40 and 60 μg/mL of r*Fg*LGMN-1 [ANOVA, $F_{(5, 12)} = 282.8$, 40 and 60 μ g/mL vs control, *P*<0.0001]. TGF-β transcription was signifcantly upregulated at 10, 20, 40, and 60 μg/mL of r*FgLGMN-1* [ANOVA, *F*_{(5,} $_{12}$ = 588.6, 10, 20, 40 and 60 μ g/mL vs control, *P* < 0.0001].

Fig. 5 Efect of **a** r*Fg*LGMN-1 and **b** r*Fg*LGMN-2 on nitric oxide (NO) production. PBMCs treated with PBS was used as the control, and were also treated with diferent concentrations of r*Fg*LGMN-1 and r*Fg*LGMN-2 for 24 h, after which the cell supernatant was collected and NO was detected. Graphs represent means±SDs of results from three independent biological replicates. Asterisks indicate statistically signifcant diferences between treated cells and control cells: ****P*<0.001, *****P*<0.0001. For other abbreviations, see Figs. [1](#page-4-0), [2](#page-5-0), [3](#page-6-0), and [4](#page-6-1)

Fig. 6 Efect of r*Fg*LGMN-1 on cytokine transcription. The messenger RNA abundance of cytokines, including **a** interferon-γ (IFN-γ), **b** interleukin-4 (IL-4), **c** IL-10 and **d** transforming growth factor β (TGF-β) in PBMCs stimulated by r*Fg*LGMN-1 were analyzed by real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR); PBS-treated PBMCs served as the control. Graphs represent means±SDs of results from three independent biological replicates. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between treated cells and control cells: ***P*<0.0[1,](#page-4-0) ****P*<0.0001. For other abbreviations, see Figs. 1, [2,](#page-5-0) [3,](#page-6-0) [4,](#page-6-1) and [5](#page-7-0)

Fig. 7 Efect of r*Fg*LGMN-2 on cytokine transcription. The messenger RNA abundance of cytokines, including **a** IFN-γ, **b** IL-4, **c** IL-10 and **d** TGF-β in PBMCs stimulated by rFgLGMN-2, were analyzed by real-time PCR; PBS-treated PBMCs served as the control. Graphs represent means ± SDs of results from three independent biological replicates. Asterisks indicate statistically signifcant diferences between treated cells and control cells: **P*<0.05, ***P*<0.01, ****P*<0.001, *****P*<0.0001. For abbreviations, see Figs. [1,](#page-4-0) [2](#page-5-0), [3](#page-6-0), [4](#page-6-1), and [5](#page-7-0)

However, r*FgLGMN-1* displayed the opposite effect on IL-4 transcription depending on its concentration. A concentration of 20 μg/mL promoted the transcription of IL-4, and concentrations of 5, 40 and 60 μg/mL inhibited its transcription [ANOVA, $F_{(5, 12)} = 189.0$, 5, 40 and 60 μg/mL vs control, *P*<0.0001; 40 μg/mL vs control, *P*=0.0004] (Fig. [6\)](#page-7-1).

r*Fg*LGMN-2 promoted the transcription of IL-10 and TGF-β, and inhibited the transcription of IFN-γ and IL-4. The transcription of IL-10 and TGF- β was significantly upregulated by all concentrations of r*Fg*LGMN-2 [for IL-10, ANOVA, *F*(5, 12)=716.0, *P*<0.0001; for TGF-β, *F*(5, $_{12}$ = 188.8, 10, 20, 40 and 60 µg/mL vs control, *P* < 0.0001]. All concentrations of r*FgLGMN-2* significantly inhibited the transcription of IFN- γ [$F_{(5, 12)}$ =70.45, 5 μ g/mL vs control, *P*=0.0114; 10 μg/mL vs control, *P*=0.0037; 20, 40 and 60 μg/mL vs control, *P*<0.0001]. Additionally, r*Fg*LGMN-2 at concentrations of 10, 20, 40, and 60 μg/ mL significantly inhibited the transcription of IL-4 $[F₆]$ ₁₂₎=53.89, 10 μg/mL vs control, *P*=0.0089; 20 μg/mL vs control, *P*=0.0196; 40 μg/mL vs control, *P*=0.0002; 60 μg/mL vs control, *P*<0.0001] (Fig. [7](#page-8-0)).

Efect of r*Fg***LGMN‑1 and r***Fg***LGMN‑2 on phagocytosis**

Both r*Fg*LGMN-1 and r*Fg*LGMN-2 displayed opposite efects on phagocytosis depending on their concentrations. For r*Fg*LGMN-1, 5, 10, 20 and 40 μg/mL promoted phagocytosis, while 60 μg/mL inhibited phagocytosis $[F_{(5)}]$ 1_{12} =664.7, 5 μ g/mL vs control, *P*=0.0004; 10, 20, 40 and 60 μg/mL vs control, *P*<0.0001]. For r*Fg*LGMN-2, 5 μg/ mL promoted phagocytosis, whereas higher concentrations (10, 40 and 60 μ g/mL) inhibited phagocytosis [$F_{(5)}$] 1_{2} =3693, 5 μ g/mL vs control, *P*=0.0037; 10, 40 and 60 μg/mL vs control, *P*<0.0001] (Fig. [8](#page-9-0)).

Discussion

Legumains are widely found in various types of parasites and are implicated in numerous biological and pathogenic processes $[33-35]$ $[33-35]$ $[33-35]$. They facilitate immune evasion through several mechanisms such as cleaving host immunoglobulins, inhibiting antigen presentation, and modulating the secretion of host cytokines and chemokines [[36–](#page-12-19)[38\]](#page-12-20). In the present study, screening of 10 *Fg*LGMNs from *F. gigantica* showed that they had diverse transcription profles and all of them were predicted to be

Fig. 8 Efect of **a** r*Fg*LGMN-1 and **b** r*Fg*LGMN-2 on phagocytosis. PBMCs treated with PBS was used as the control, and were also treated with diferent concentrations of r*Fg*LGMN-1 and r*Fg*LGMN-2 for 24 h, after which the cell supernatant was collected and phagocytosis was determined. Graphs represent means ± SDs of results from three independent biological replicates. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between treated cells and control cells: ****P* < 0.00[1,](#page-4-0) *****P* < 0.0001. For abbreviations, see Figs. 1, [2,](#page-5-0) [3,](#page-6-0) [4](#page-6-1), and [5](#page-7-0)

secretory proteins. Among these legumains, the transcription levels of *Fg*LGMN-1 and *Fg*LGMN-2 coding genes were notably high during the parasitic stage. Western blot analysis further confrmed that serum from *F. gigantica*-infected bufalo recognized both r*Fg*LGMN-1 and r*Fg*LGMN-2, which suggested their involvement in *F. gigantica-buffalo interactions. Thus, the functions of Fg*LGMN-1 and *Fg*LGMN-2 in the regulation of bufalo PBMCs including proliferation, migration, NO production, cytokine transcription, and phagocytic activity were explored.

Transcription and sequence characterization of *Fg***LGMN‑1 and** *Fg***LGMN‑2**

Adisakwattana et al. [[17\]](#page-12-5) elucidated that *Fg*LGMN-1 and *Fg*LGMN-2 are synthesized in *F. gigantica* during its development from the newly excysted juvenile to the adult stage. In the present study, we found that both *FgL-GMN*−1 and *FgLGMN* −2 showed a high level of transcription in the metacercariae and 42-day-old larvae, which is consistent with previous studies.

*Fg*LGMN-1 and *Fg*LGMN-2 displayed conserved LGMN-C and C13 domains, which are features of asparagine endopeptidase. In addition, the evaluation of the antigenic epitopes and glycosylation sites of the peptide structure indicated that *Fg*LGMN-1 and *Fg*LGMN-2 may undergo N-glycosylation modifcation. As the amino acids histidine, glycine, and cysteine were shown by Dall and Brandstetter [[39\]](#page-12-21) to be essential for enzyme catalysis, their presence in *Fg*LGMN-1 and *Fg*LGMN-2 may indicate that they also function in enzyme catalysis in these *Fasciola*. Specifcally, cysteine and histidine are crucial for protease activity $[40]$, and the glycine residue plays roles in the ligase activity of LGMN, indirectly facilitating substrate protonation by catalyzing histidine. Thus, cysteine 189 and histidine 148 may be crucial for *Fg*L-GMN-1 and *Fg*LGMN-2 protease activity, and glycine 142 may play a role in ligase activity [[41\]](#page-12-23).

*Fg***LGMN‑1 and** *Fg***LGMN‑2 interfere with proliferation and migration of PBMCs**

Fang Ni et al. [[42\]](#page-12-24) demonstrated that recombinant asparaginyl endopeptidase of *A. cantonensis* could be recognized by immunoglobulin G in the serum of mice and humans infected with *A. cantonensis*. Similarly, Robinson et al. [[25\]](#page-12-10) identifed asparaginyl endopeptidases in the secretory proteins of immature migrating larvae (21 days old) in the liver. In the present study, bioinformatics analysis revealed that *Fg*LGMN-1 and *Fg*LGMN-2 are secretory proteins. This is consistent with their recognition by serum from *F. gigantica*-infected bufaloes, which further suggests that they potentially play roles in immune modulation. Although *Fg*LGMN-1 and *Fg*LGMN-2 exhibit similar functions in the promotion of proliferation and the transcription of regulatory T cell (Treg) cytokines (IL-10 and TGF-β), they show divergent efects on NO production and the transcription of the type 1 T helper (Th1) cytokine (IFN- γ).

Cell proliferation and migration are essential processes of the host's immune response [\[43](#page-12-25)]. Upon pathogen invasion, efector cell proliferation is stimulated in the host, facilitating the migration of these cells to the site of infection. ESPs from *F. hepatica* have been demonstrated to inhibit lymphoid cell proliferation in sheep and suppress the proliferation of spleen mononuclear cells in rats. In the present study, r*Fg*LGMN-1 and r*Fg*LGMN-2

similarly inhibited both the proliferation (40 and 60 μg/ mL for r*Fg*LGMN-1, all concentrations for r*Fg*LGMN-2) and migration (10, 20, 40, 60 μg/mL for r*Fg*LGMN-1, 40 and 60 μg/mL for r*Fg*LGMN-2) of PBMCs. Comparable inhibitory efects have also been observed in other proteins, including *Fg*14-3-3e, *Fg*TPx, and *Fg*EF-hand protein 4 [\[11](#page-11-10)[–13\]](#page-12-1). The reduced proliferation and migration of PBMCs in response to r*Fg*LGMN-1 and r*Fg*LGMN-2 may constitute an immunomodulatory strategy employed by *F. gigantica* to evade host immune defenses.

*Fg***LGMN‑1 and** *Fg***LGMN‑2 interfere with NO production and phagocytic activity of PBMCs**

During fuke infection, the host activates various defense mechanisms, including the activation and polarization of macrophages [[44](#page-12-26)], which exert killing efects through the inducible nitric oxide synthase-NO system [\[45](#page-12-27)]. NO is primarily secreted by IFN-γ-activated monocytes, which exert a toxic effect on parasites $[46]$ $[46]$. In addition, IFN-γactivated mononuclear cells can enhance phagocytosis for resistance against pathogen invasion. r*Fg*LGMN-1 significantly promoted NO production $(40 \text{ and } 60 \text{ µg})$ mL) and upregulated IFN-γ transcription (all concentrations), and also promoted monocyte phagocytosis (5, 10, 20, 40 μg/mL), which is consistent with the functions of r*Fg*Rab10. Our data indicated that r*Fg*LGMN-1 may have promoted the activation of monocytes, and induced the killing efect of the host against *F. gigantica* invasion. As r*Fg*LGMN-2 inhibited NO production (all concentrations), down-regulated IFN-γ transcription (all concentrations), and inhibited monocyte phagocytosis (10, 40 and 60 μ g/mL), it can be speculated that it may inhibit NO secretion and phagocytosis in PBMCs by suppressing IFN- γ transcription, and thereby facilitate the fluke's survival.

*Fg***LGMN‑1 and** *Fg***LGMN‑2 interfere with cytokine transcription of PBMCs**

Cytokines play critical roles in maintaining host homeostasis during pathogen invasion [[47](#page-12-29), [48](#page-12-30)]. In the early stages of *Fasciola* infection, bufaloes exhibit a mixed Th1/Th2 immune response, which may be attributable to the infuence of *Fg*ESP [[49,](#page-12-31) [50](#page-12-32)]. In the present study, r*Fg*LGMN-1 upregulated the transcription of IFN-γ (all concentrations), IL-10 (40 and 60 μ g/mL), and TGF-β (10, 20, 40 and 60 μ g/mL), while down-regulating IL-4 transcription (5, 40 and 60 μg/mL), which are similar to the efects observed with *Fg*LGMN-1 in Ehsan et al. [\[51](#page-12-33)]. These findings suggest that r*FgLGMN-1* plays a role in polarizing the immune cytokine profle. IL-4 is essential for mediating humoral immunity and promoting antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity [\[52,](#page-12-34) [53](#page-12-35)]; the down-regulation of IL-4 may indicate a reduction in antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity efficacy. Furthermore, r*Fg*LGMN-1 likely induces the polarization of PBMCs toward a Th1/Treg immune response. Consequently, r*FgLGMN-1* may contribute to the Th1dominant immune response observed during the early stages of *F. gigantica* infection. Th1 immune responses are known to confer protection against liver fuke infections in livestock $[54]$ $[54]$, and components that drive Th1 polarization may serve as promising vaccine targets [\[55](#page-12-37)]. Given the ability of rFgLGMN-1 to induce a Th1 immune response, further exploration of r*Fg*LGMN-1 as a potential vaccine target is warranted.

During the chronic infection stage, *F. gigantica* promotes the secretion of IL-10 and TGF-β through the release of *Fg*ESP, which induces a low-responsive immune state that limits tissue damage and facilitates ongoing infection by fukes [[56](#page-12-38), [57\]](#page-12-39). Identifying the components of *Fg*ESP is crucial for the discovery of potentially immunotherapeutic molecules [[58\]](#page-13-0). In the present study, r*Fg*L-GMN-2 was shown to inhibit the transcription of IL-4 (10, 20, 40 and 60 μ g/mL) and IFN- γ (all concentrations) while promoting the transcription of IL-10 (all concentrations) and TGF-β (10, 20, 40 and 60 μg/mL), similar to the action of *Fg*14-3-3e. These findings suggest that *FgL*-GMN-2 may polarize PBMCs toward a Treg-dominated immune response while inhibiting Th1/Th2 responses. If this is true, r*Fg*LGMN-2 may be one of the components contributing to the low-responsive immune state observed during chronic infection. Given its ability to induce a Treg response, exploring the potential of r*Fg*L-GMN-2 as an immunotherapeutic target is a promising avenue for further research.

In this study, we found that r*Fg*LGMN-1 inhibited the proliferation and migration of PBMCs, promoted NO production, and induced Th1 cytokine transcription. r*Fg*LGMN-2 inhibited the proliferation of PBMCs, inhibited NO production, and induced Treg cytokine transcription. These findings suggest diverse roles for r*Fg*LGMN-1 and r*Fg*LGMN-2 in regulating PBMC immune responses. However, given that PBMCs are composed of various cell types, and considering the uncertainties about the dose and timing of natural *Fg*LGMN-1 and *Fg*LGMN-2 secretion in vivo, as well as the diferences between recombinant and native *Fg*LGMNs, these results can be challenging to interpret. Therefore, it is necessary to further explore the functions of *Fg*LGMN-1 and *Fg*LGMN-2. Additionally, since 10 *Fg*LGMNs were predicted to be secretory proteins, all members of this protein family need to be investigated to better understand their immunomodulatory roles.

Conclusions

In the present study, both r*Fg*LGMN-1 and r*Fg*LGMN-2 inhibited the proliferation of PBMCs. While r*Fg*LGMN-1 increased NO production r*Fg*LGMN-2 decreased it. Both r*Fg*LGMN-1 and r*Fg*LGMN-2 increased the transcription of the cytokines IL-10 and TGF-β. However, as there were inherent limitations to the in vitro experiments carried out here such as uncertainties about the dose and timing of natural *Fg*LGMN-1 and *Fg*LGMN-2 secretion in vivo and diferences between recombinant and native *Fg*LGMNs. Aadditional research is needed to elucidate the precise functions of *Fg*LGMN-1 and *Fg*LGMN-2.

Abbreviations

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-024-06570-5) [org/10.1186/s13071-024-06570-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-024-06570-5).

Additional fle 1: Table S1. The primers used in the study

Additional fle 2: Table S2. The fragments per kilobase per million reads of 10 *LGMN* transcripts

Additional fle 3: Table S3. The diferentially transcribed *FgLGMN* transcripts

Additional fle 4: Figure S1. Purifcation and western blot of r*Fg*LGMN-1 and r*Fg*LGMN-2. **a** Purifcation of r*Fg*LGMN-1. *Lane M* Protein molecular weight standard, *lane 1* fowthrough, *lanes 2–7* imidazole at 8, 10, 20, 100, 200, 500 mM for protein elution. **b** Purifcation of r*Fg*LGMN-2, *lane 1* fow‑ through, *lanes 2–9* imidazole at 8, 10, 20, 40, 60, 80,100, 200, 500 mM for protein elution. **c** Western blot of r*Fg*LGMN-1 electrophoresed under non– reducing conditions and visualized using a chemiluminescent horseradish peroxidase substrate. *Lane M* Protein molecular weight marker. *Lanes 1* and *2* loaded with r*Fg*LGMN-1, *lane 1* incubation with *Fasciola gigantica*–infected bufalo serum, *lane 2* incubation with *F. gigantica*–negative bufalo serum. **d** Western blot of r*Fg*LGMN-2, *lanes 1* and *2* loaded with r*Fg*LGMN-2, *lane 1* incubation with *F. gigantica*–infected bufalo serum, *lane 2* incubation with *F. gigantica*–negative bufalo serum

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to extend their gratitude to Chongli Wen for maintaining the buffaloes.

Author contributions

DW: validation, investigation, writing–original draft, writing-review and editing. YG, YZ, XT: investigation. WZ: resources, visualization. WD: conceptualization, writing-review and editing, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition. All the authors read and approved the fnal version of the manuscript.

Funding

The authors thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 31960706 to WZ) and the Guangxi Natural Science Foundation (grant no. 2022GXNSFBA035583 to WD).

Availability of data and materials

No datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The protocols and procedures involving animals were approved by the Ethics Committee of the School of Animal Science and Technology, Guangxi Uni‑ versity (GXU_2019-031). The animals were handled according to good animal practice as required by the Animal Ethics Procedures and Guidelines of the People's Republic of China.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 1 July 2024 Accepted: 4 November 2024

References

- Meemon K, Sobhon P. Juvenile-specific cathepsin proteases in *Fasciola* spp.: their characteristics and vaccine efficacies. Parasitol Res. 2015;114:2807–13.
- 2. Piedrafta D, Spithill TW, Smith RE, Raadsma HW. Improving animal and human health through understanding liver fuke immunology. Parasite Immunol. 2010;32:572–81.
- 3. Fairweather I. Reducing the future threat from (liver) fluke: realistic prospect or quixotic fantasy? Vet Parasitol. 2011;180:133–43.
- 4. Hodgkinson J, Cwiklinski K, Beesley N, Paterson S, Williams D. Identification of putative markers of triclabendazole resistance by a genome-wide analysis of genetically recombinant *Fasciola hepatica*. Parasitology. 2013;140:1523–2153.
- 5. Itagaki T, Ichinomiya M, Fukuda K, Fusyuku S, Carmona C. Hybridization experiments indicate incomplete reproductive isolating mechanism between *Fasciola hepatica* and *Fasciola gigantica*. Parasitology. 2011;138:1278–84.
- 6. Cwiklinski K, Jewhurst H, McVeigh P, Barbour T, Maule AG, Tort J, et al. Infection by the helminth parasite *Fasciola hepatica* requires rapid regulation of metabolic, virulence, and invasive factors to adjust to its mammalian host. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2018;7:792–809.
- 7. Robinson MW, Dalton JP. Zoonotic helminth infections with particular emphasis on fasciolosis and other trematodiases. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2009;364:2763–76.
- 8. Carmona C, Tort JF. *Fasciolosis* in South America: epidemiology and control challenges. J Helminthol. 2017;91:99–109.
- 9. Jefferies JR, Campbell AM, van Rossum AJ, Barrett J, Brophy PM. Proteomic analysis of *Fasciola hepatica* excretory-secretory products. Proteomics. 2001;1:1128–32.
- 10. Liu Q, Huang SY, Yue DM, Wang JL, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Proteomic analysis of *Fasciola hepatica* excretory and secretory products (*Fh*ESPs) involved in interacting with host PBMCs and cytokines by shotgun LC-MS/MS. Parasitol Res. 2017;116:627–35.
- 11. Tian AL, Lu M, Calderón-Mantilla G, Petsalaki E, Dottorini T, Tian X, et al. A recombinant *Fasciola gigantica* 14-3-3 epsilon protein (r*Fg*14-3-3e) modulates various functions of goat peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Parasit Vectors. 2018;11:152.
- 12. Ehsan M, Hu RS, Hou JL, Elsheikha HM, Li XD, Liang PH, et al. *Fasciola gigantica* tegumental calcium-binding EF-hand protein 4 exerts immunomodulatory efects on goat monocytes. Parasit Vectors. 2021;14:276.
- 13. Tian AL, Tian X, Chen D, Lu M, Calderón-Mantilla G, Yuan XD, et al. Modulation of the functions of goat peripheral blood mononuclear cells by *Fasciola gigantica* thioredoxin peroxidase in vitro. Pathogens. 2020;9:758.
- 14. Tian AL, Lu M, Zhang FK, Calderón-Mantilla G, Petsalaki E, Tian X, et al. The pervasive efects of recombinant *Fasciola gigantica* Ras-related protein Rab10 on the functions of goat peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Parasit Vectors. 2018;11:579.
- 15. Yamane T, Takeuchi K, Yamamoto Y, Li YH, Fujiwara M, Nishi K, et al. Legumain from bovine kidney: its purification, molecular cloning, immunohistochemical localization and degradation of annexin II and vitamin D-binding protein. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2002;1596:108–20.
- 16. Dando PM, Fortunato M, Smith L, Knight CG, Mckendrick JE, Barrett AJ. Pig kidney legumain: an asparaginyl endopeptidase with restricted specifcity. Biochem J. 1999;339:743–9.
- 17. Adisakwattana P, Viyanant V, Chaicumpa W, Vichasri-Grams S, Hofmann A, Korge G, et al. Comparative molecular analysis of two asparaginyl endopeptidases and encoding genes from *Fasciola gigantica*. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2007;156:102–16.
- 18. Alim MA, Tsuji N, Miyoshi T, Islam MK, Huang X, Motobu M, et al. Characterization of asparaginyl endopeptidase, legumain induced by bloodfeeding in the ixodid tick *Haemaphysalis longicornis*. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2007;37:911–22.
- 19. Oliver E, Skuce P, McNair C, Knox D. Identifcation and characterization of an asparaginyl proteinase (legumain) from the parasitic nematode *Haemonchus contortus*. Parasitology. 2006;133:237.
- 20. Ju JW, Joo HN, Lee MR, Cho SH, Cheun HI, Kim JY, et al. Identifcation of a serodiagnostic antigen, legumain, by immunoproteomic analysis of excretory-secretory products of *Clonorchis sinensis* adult worms. Proteom‑ ics. 2009;9:3066–78.
- 21. Dalton J, Hola-Jamriska L, Brindley P. Asparaginyl endopeptidase activity in adult *Schistosoma mansoni*. Parasitology. 1995;111:575–80.
- 22. Sajid M, McKerrow JH, Hansell E, Mathieu MA, Lucas KD, Hsieh I, et al. Functional expression and characterization of *Schistosoma mansoni* cathepsin B and its trans-activation by an endogenous asparaginyl endopeptidase. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2003;131:65–75.
- 23. Zhang XX, Cong W, Elsheikha HM, Liu GH, Ma JG, Huang WY, et al. De novo transcriptome sequencing and analysis of the juvenile and adult stages of *Fasciola gigantica*. Infect Genet Evol. 2017;51:33–40.
- 24. Dalton JP, Brindley PJ, Donnelly S, Robinson MW. The enigmatic asparaginyl endopeptidase of helminth parasites. Trends Biochem Sci. 2009;25:59–61.
- 25. Robinson MW, Menon R, Donnelly SM, Dalton JP, Ranganathan S. An integrated transcriptomics and proteomics analysis of the secretome of the helminth pathogen *Fasciola hepatica*: proteins associated with invasion and infection of the mammalian host. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2009;8:1891–907.
- 26. Trapnell C, Hendrickson DG, Sauvageau M, Goff L, Rinn JL, Pachter L. Differential analysis of gene regulation at transcript resolution with RNA-seq. Nat Biotechnol. 2013;31:46–53.
- 27. Petersen TN, Brunak S, von Heijne G, Nielsen H. SignalP 4.0: discriminating signal peptides from transmembrane regions. Nat Methods. 2011;8:785–6.
- 28. Emanuelsson O, Nielsen H, Brunak S, von Heijne G. Predicting subcellular localization of proteins based on their N-terminal amino acid sequence. J Mol Biol. 2000;300:1005–16.
- 29. Krogh A, Larsson B, von Heijne G, Sonnhammer EL. Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. J Mol Biol. 2001;305:567–80.
- 30. Bendtsen JD, Jensen LJ, Blom N, Von Heijne G, Brunak S. Feature-based prediction of non-classical and leaderless protein secretion. Protein Eng Des Sel. 2004;17:349–56.
- 31. Wu Z, Wang J, Meng Z, Jin W, He K, Zhang W, et al. Identifcation of *Fasciola* spp. based on ITS-2 reveals the *Fasciola gigantica* infection in bufaloes in Nanning city, South China. Vet Parasitol. 2021;300:109585.
- 32. Pfaffl MW. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in realtime RT-PCR. Nucleic Acids Res. 2001;29:e45.
- 33. Alderete JF, Provenzano D, Lehker MW. Iron mediates *Trichomonas vaginalisresistance* to complement lysis. Microb Pathog. 1995;19:93–103.
- 34. Provenzano D, Alderete JF. Analysis of human immunoglobulindegrading cysteine proteinases of *Trichomonas vaginalis*. Infect Immun. 1995;63:3388–95.
- 35. Chappell CL, Dresden MH. *Schistosoma mansoni*: proteinase activity of hemoglobinase from the digestive tract of adult worms. Exp Parasitol. 1986;61:160–7.
- 36. Prowse RK, Chaplin P, Robinson HC, Spithill TW. *Fasciola hepatica* cathepsin L suppresses sheep lymphocyte proliferation in vitro and modulates surface CD4 expression on human and ovine T cells. Parasite Immunol. 2002;24:57–66.
- 37. Sajid M, McKerrow JH. Cysteine proteases of parasitic organisms. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2002;120:1–21.
- 38. Donnelly S, O'Neill SM, Stack CM, Robinson MW, Turnbull L, Whitchurch C, et al. Helminth cysteine proteases inhibit TRIF-dependent activation of macrophages via degradation of TLR3. J Biol Chem. 2010;285:3383–92.
- 39. Dall E, Brandstetter H. Structure and function of legumain in health and disease. Biochimie. 2016;122:126–50.
- 40. Mikula KM, Krumwiede L, Plückthun A, Iwaï H. Segmental isotopic labeling by asparaginyl endopeptidase-mediated protein ligation. J Biomol NMR. 2018;71:225–35.
- 41. Schwarz G, Brandenburg J, Reich M, Burster T, Driessen C, Kalbacher H. Characterization of legumain. Biol Chem. 2002;383:1813–6.
- 42. Ni F, Wang Y, Zhang J, Yu L, Fang W, Luo D. Cathepsin B-like and hemoglobin-type cysteine proteases: stage-specifc gene expression in *Angiostrongy cantonensis*. Exp Parasitol. 2012;131:433–41.
- 43. Ortolani EL, Leal ML, Minervino AH, Aires AR, Coop RL, Jackson F, et al. Effects of parasitism on cellular immune response in sheep experimentally infected with *Haemonchus contortus*. Vet Parasitol. 2013;196:230–4.
- 44. Cortés A, Muñoz-Antoli C, Esteban JG, Toledo R. Th2 and Th1 responses: clear and hidden sides of immunity against intestinal helminths. Trends Parasitol. 2017;33:678–93.
- 45. Pacheco-García U, Legorreta-HerrEra M, Hernández-Rodríguez C, Sánchez-García F. Multiple *Mycobacterium microti*-derived lipids stimulate iNOS gene expression in the J774 murine macrophage cell line. Scand. 2002;56:52–8.
- 46. Gazzinelli RT, Oswald IP, James SL, Sher A. IL-10 inhibits parasite killing and nitrogen oxide production by IFN-gamma-activated macrophages. J Immunol. 1992;148:1792–6.
- 47. Stenlen JA, Poschenrieder AJ. Bioanalytical chemistry of cytokines—a review. Anal Chim Acta. 2015;853:95–115.
- 48. Sun B. T helper cell diferentiation and their function. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2014;841:1–209.
- 49. Pérez-Caballero R, Martínez-Moreno FJ, Corripio-Miyar Y, McNeilly TN, Cwiklinski K, Dalton JP, et al. Antigen-specifc response of CD4+ T cells and hepatic lymph node cells to *Fasciola hepatica*-derived molecules at the early and late stage of the infection in sheep. Vet Res. 2021;52:99.
- 50. Robinson MW, Donnelly S, Dalton JP. Helminth defence molecules-immunomodulators designed by parasites! Front Microbiol. 2013;4:296.
- 51. Ehsan M, Hu RS, Wang M, Hou JL, Rashid M, Malik MI. Immune modulation of goat monocytes by *Fasciola gigantica* legumain-1 protein (*Fg*-LGMN-1). Exp Parasitol. 2024;256:108671.
- 52. Alba-Hurtado F, Muñoz-Guzmán MA. Immune responses associated with resistance to haemonchosis in sheep. Biomed Res Int. 2013;2013:162158.
- 53. Moreau E, Chauvin A. Immunity against helminths: interactions with the host and the intercurrent infections. J Biomed Biotechnol. 2010;2010:428593.
- 54. Raadsma HW, Kingsford NM, Suharyanta, Spithill TW, Piedrafta D. Host responses during experimental infection with *Fasciola gigantica* and *Fasciola hepatica* in Merino sheep. II. Development of a predictive index for *Fasciola gigantica* worm burden. Vet Parasitol. 2008;154:250–61.
- 55. Toet H, Piedrafta DM, Spithill TW. Liver fuke vaccines in ruminants: strate‑ gies, progress and future opportunities. Int J Parasitol. 2014;44:915–27.
- 56. Vukman KV, Adams PN, Metz M, Maurer M, O'Neill SM. *Fasciola hepatica* tegumental coat impairs mast cells' ability to drive Th1 immune responses. J Immunol. 2013;190:2873–9.
- 57. Dowling DJ, Hamilton CM, Donnelly S, La Course J, Brophy PM, Dalton J, et al. Major secretory antigens of the helminth *Fasciola hepatica* activate a suppressive dendritic cell phenotype that attenuates Th17 cells but fails to activate Th2 immune responses. Infect Immun. 2010;78:793–801.

58. Khan MAH, Ullah R, Rehman A, Rehman L, Abidi SMA. Immunolocalization and immunodetection of the excretory/secretory (ES) antigens of *Fasciola gigantica*. PLoS ONE. 2017;12:e0185870.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.