- Davis MY, Johnson CO, Leverenz JB, Weintraub D, Trojanowski JQ, Chen-Plotkin A, et al. Association of GBA mutations and the E326K polymorphism with motor and cognitive progression in parkinson disease. JAMA Neurol 2016;73(10): 1217–1224.
- Malek N, Weil RS, Bresner C, Lawton MA, Grosset KA, Tan M, et al. Features of GBA-associated Parkinson's disease at presentation in the UK tracking Parkinson's study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2018;89(7):702–709.
- 11. van der Lee SJ, van Steenoven I, van de Beek M, Tesi N, Jansen IE, van Schoor NM, et al. Genetics contributes to concomitant pathology and clinical presentation in dementia with Lewy bodies. J Alzheimers Dis 2021;83(1):269–279.
- Graff-Radford J, Lesnick TG, Boeve BF, Przybelski SA, Jones DT, Senjem ML, et al. Predicting survival in dementia with Lewy bodies with hippocampal volumetry. Mov Disord 2016;31(7):989–994.
- Ward A, Crean S, Mercaldi CJ, Collins JM, Boyd D, Cook MN, et al. Prevalence of apolipoprotein E4 genotype and homozygotes (APOE e4/4) among patients diagnosed with Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Neuroepidemiology 2012; 38(1):1–17.
- Rongve A, Witoelar A, Ruiz A, Athanasiu L, Abdelnour C, Clarimon J, et al. GBA and APOE ɛ4 associate with sporadic dementia with Lewy bodies in European genome wide association study. Sci Rep 2019;9(1):7013.
- Szwedo AA, Dalen I, Pedersen KF, Camacho M, Bäckström D, Forsgren L, et al. GBA and APOE impact cognitive decline in Parkinson's disease: a 10-year population-based study. Mov Disord 2022;37(5):1016–1027.
- Gan-Or Z, Giladi N, Rozovski U, Shifrin C, Rosner S, Gurevich T, et al. Genotype-phenotype correlations between GBA mutations and Parkinson disease risk and onset. Neurology 2008;70(24):2277–2283.
- Gan-Or Z, Amshalom I, Kilarski LL, Bar-Shira A, Gana-Weisz M, Mirelman A, et al. Differential effects of severe vs mild GBA mutations on Parkinson disease. Neurology 2015;84(9):880–887.
- Lerche S, Machetanz G, Wurster I, Roeben B, Zimmermann M, Pilotto A, et al. Dementia with Lewy bodies: GBA1 mutations are associated with cerebrospinal fluid alpha-synuclein profile. Mov Disord 2019;34(7):1069–1073.
- Dickson DW, Heckman MG, Murray ME, Soto AI, Walton RL, Diehl NN, et al. APOE ε4 is associated with severity of Lewy body pathology independent of Alzheimer pathology. Neurology 2018; 91(12):e1182–e1195.
- Jin Y, Li F, Sonoustoun B, Kondru NC, Martens YA, Qiao W, et al. APOE4 exacerbates α-synuclein seeding activity and contributes to neurotoxicity in Alzheimer's disease with Lewy body pathology. Acta Neuropathol 2022;143(6):641–662.
- Lemstra AW, de Beer MH, Teunissen CE, Schreuder C, Scheltens P, van der Flier WM, et al. Concomitant AD pathology affects clinical manifestation and survival in dementia with Lewy bodies. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2017;88(2):113–118.
- 22. Ryman SG, Yutsis M, Tian L, Henderson VW, Montine TJ, Salmon DP, et al. Cognition at each stage of Lewy body disease with co-occurring Alzheimer's disease pathology. J Alzheimers Dis 2021; 80(3):1243–1256.
- Howard E, Irwin DJ, Rascovsky K, Nevler N, Shellikeri S, Tropea TF, et al. Cognitive profile and markers of Alzheimer disease-type pathology in patients with Lewy body dementias. Neurology 2021;96(14):e1855–e1864.
- Neumann J, Bras J, Deas E, O'Sullivan SS, Parkkinen L, Lachmann RH, et al. Glucocerebrosidase mutations in clinical and pathologically proven Parkinson's disease. Brain 2009;132(7):1783–1794.
- Clark LN, Kartsaklis LA, Wolf Gilbert R, Dorado B, Ross BM, Kisselev S, et al. Association of glucocerebrosidase mutations with dementia with Lewy bodies. Arch Neurol 2009;66(5):578–583.
- Tsuang D, Leverenz JB, Lopez OL, Hamilton RL, Bennett DA, Schneider JA, et al. GBA mutations increase risk for Lewy body disease with and without Alzheimer disease pathology. Neurology 2012;79(19):1944–1950.
- Walker Z, Possin KL, Boeve BF, Aarsland D. Lewy body dementias. Lancet 2015;386(10004):1683–1697.

Evaluation of Cerebrospinal Fluid α-Synuclein Seed Amplification Assay in Progressive Supranuclear Palsy and Corticobasal Syndrome

David P. Vaughan, MRCPI,^{1,2} D Riona Fumi, MSc,^{1,2} Marte Theilmann Jensen, MSc,^{1,2} Megan Hodgson, MSc,^{1,2} Tatiana Georgiades, MSc,^{1,2} Lesley Wu, MSc, Danielle Lux, MRCP,^{1,2} Ruth Obrocki, MRCP.^{1,2} Jennifer Lamoureux, PhD,³ Olaf Ansorge, FRCPath, PhD,⁴ Kieren S.J. Allinson, FRCPath, PhD,⁵ Thomas T. Warner, FRCP, PhD,^{6,7} Zane Jaunmuktane, FRCPath.^{6,7} Anjum Misbahuddin, FRCP, PhD,⁸ P. Nigel Leigh, FMedSci, PhD,⁹ Boyd C.P. Ghosh, FRCP, PhD.¹⁰ Kailash P. Bhatia, FRCP, ^{1,2} Alistair Church, FRCP,¹¹ Christopher Kobylecki, FRCP, PhD, 12 🕩 Michele T.M. Hu, FRCP, PhD, James B. Rowe, FRCP, PhD,⁵ 10 Cornelis Blauwendraat, PhD, 13, 14 D Huw R. Morris, FRCP, PhD.^{1,2*} () and Edwin Jabbari, MRCP, PhD^{1,2*}

¹Department of Clinical and Movement Neurosciences, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, United Kingdom²Movement Disorders Centre, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology. London, United Kingdom ³Amprion Inc., San Francisco, California, USA ⁴Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom ⁵Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Trust and MRC Cognition and Brain Sciences Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom ⁶Reta Lila Weston Institute, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, United Kingdom ⁷Queen Square Brain Bank for Neurological Disorders, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, United Kingdom ⁸Department of Neurology, Queen's Hospital, Romford, United Kingdom⁹Department of Neuroscience, Brighton and Sussex Medical School, Brighton, United Kingdom¹⁰Wessex Neurological Centre, University Hospitals Southampton NHS

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Correspondence to: Prof. Huw R. Morris and Dr. Edwin Jabbari, Department of Clinical and Movement Neurosciences, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, Queen Square, London WC1N 3BG, UK; E-mail: h.morris@ucl.ac.uk and e.jabbari@ucl.ac.uk

Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: J.L. is an employee of Amprion Inc.

Funding agencies: This work was supported by the PSP Association, CBD Solutions, Medical Research Council UK, and National Institutes of Health Center for Alzheimer's and Related Dementias.

Received: 28 February 2024; Revised: 27 August 2024; Accepted: 4 September 2024

Published online 20 September 2024 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com). DOI: 10.1002/mds.30019

Foundation Trust, Southampton, United Kingdom ¹¹Department of Neurology, Royal Gwent Hospital, Newport, United Kingdom ¹²Department of Neurology, Northern Care Alliance NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom ¹³Laboratory of Neurogenetics, National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA ¹⁴Center for Alzheimer's and Related Dementias, National Institute on Aging and National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA

ABSTRACT: Background: Seed amplification assay (SAA) testing has been developed as a biomarker for the diagnosis of α -synuclein-related neuro-degenerative disorders.

Objective: The objective of this study was to assess the rate of α -synuclein SAA positivity in progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP) and corticobasal syndrome (CBS) and to analyze clinical and pathological features of SAA-positive and -negative cases.

Methods: A total of 96 cerebrospinal fluid samples from clinically diagnosed PSP (n = 59) and CBS (n = 37) cases were analyzed using α -synuclein SAA.

Results: Six of 59 (10.2%) PSP cases were α -synuclein SAA positive, including one case who was MSA-type positive. An exploratory analysis showed that PSP cases who were Parkinson's disease-type positive were older and had a shorter disease duration compared with SAA-negative cases. In contrast, 11 of 37 (29.7%) CBS cases were α -synuclein SAA positive, including two cases who were MSA-type positive.

Conclusions: Our results suggest that α -synuclein seeds can be detected in PSP and CBS using a cerebrospinal fluid α -synuclein SAA, and in PSP this may impact on clinical course. © 2024 The Author(s). *Movement Disorders* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of International Parkinson and Movement Disorder Society.

Key Words: synucleinopathies; tauopathies; biomarker; diagnosis; neuropathology

The neuropathology of progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP) involves accumulation of neuronal and glial hyperphosphorylated 4-repeat tau (4RT) pathology with associated neurodegeneration,¹ and a clinical diagnosis of PSP is strongly predictive of underlying PSP pathology at postmortem.² In contrast, the underlying neuropathology of corticobasal syndrome (CBS) is diverse, including corticobasal degeneration (CBD; also a 4-repeat tauopathy), PSP, and Alzheimer's disease (AD).^{3,4}

Despite recent updates to clinical diagnostic criteria for PSP and CBS, which acknowledge the clinical heterogeneity of these conditions,^{5,6} the diagnosis of PSP and CBS in the early stages of symptomatic disease is challenging in the absence of objective disease-specific diagnostic biomarkers. This is due to clinical overlap with other parkinsonian disorders, including Parkinson's disease (PD) and multiple system atrophy (MSA), which are characterized by the accumulation of α -synuclein neuropathology.

There is increasing evidence that α -synuclein seed amplification assays (SAAs) can reliably differentiate people with PD from healthy control subjects with high sensitivity and specificity, and may even be able to differentiate PD from other synucleinopathies, including MSA.⁷ In addition, the assay results show good interlaboratory concordance,⁸ can be applied to a range of biofluids (including cerebrospinal fluid [CSF], blood, skin, and saliva) with variable sensitivities and specificities, and show a high rate of positivity in the prodromal phase of synucleinopathies.⁷ Consequently, there is a drive to move away from a clinical diagnosis of PD toward a biological definition of α-synuclein disease based on SAA positivity to enable clinical trials at early disease stages.^{9,10} Furthermore, there are ongoing efforts to develop effective 4RT SAAs.¹¹

In this study, we evaluate the α -synuclein SAA in a cohort of PSP and CBS CSF samples with matched clinical and postmortem data.

Subjects and Methods

Participant Recruitment and Diagnosis

Written informed consent was obtained from people with PSP and CBS who were recruited to the PROSPECT-UK study between September 1, 2015, and November 1, 2023 (Supporting Information).

Clinical, Biomarker, and Neuropathological Data Collection

We obtained clinical data and completed core clinical assessments at baseline, and where possible these were repeated after 6, 12, 24, and 36 months of follow-up (Supporting Information).

Lumbar puncture was an optional study assessment to obtain baseline CSF for biomarker analyses. CBS cases were stratified into CBS-Alzheimer's (CBS-AD) and CBS-non-Alzheimer's (CBS-non-AD) groups based on the presence of CSF or positron emission tomography imaging biomarkers of AD pathology (Supporting Information). In those undergoing postmortem, the primary neuropathological diagnosis was noted along with the presence or absence of Lewy body copathology and associated Braak stage.

Baseline CSF samples from participants were applied to the Amprion α -synuclein SAA (Supporting Information). After a total incubation time of 20 hours, the

maximum fluorescence for each well is determined and an algorithm applied to the triplicate determinations for each sample for result classification (positive, negative, or indeterminate). Kinetic parameters of the aggregation curve were used by Amprion to assign positive results as "PD-type" or "MSA-type" as described previously.¹²

Statistical Analysis

Group (PSP vs. CBS) and subgroup (SAA⁺ vs. SAA⁻) comparisons were performed with Fisher's exact test for categorical variables and binary logistic regression, adjusting for sex, age, and disease duration at assessment, for comparisons of continuous variables. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed in GraphPad Prism 9.1.1 (San Diego, CA, USA).

Results

A total of 96 participants from the PROSPECT-UK study who had undergone a baseline lumbar puncture and clinical assessment were included in this study consisting of 59 clinically diagnosed PSP participants and 37 clinically diagnosed CBS participants (CBS-AD, n = 13; CBS-non-AD, n = 18; CBS-unknown, n = 6). The baseline clinical characteristics of the PSP and CBS groups were largely comparable and are summarized in Table 1. In those undergoing postmortem analysis (n = 12), an antemortem clinical diagnosis of PSP was always predictive of underlying 4RT neuropathology (five PSP, one CBD), in contrast with CBS (two PSP, two CBD, one MSA, one AD).

Six of 59 (10.2%) PSP participants were α -synuclein SAA positive, including one MSA-type positive participant who had probable PSP-Richardson's syndrome and an absence of hyposmia, tremor, postural lightheadedness or visual hallucinations. One participant with probable PSP-Richardson's syndrome had an indeterminate result and was excluded from subsequent analyses. In contrast, 11 of 37 (29.7%) CBS participants were α -synuclein SAA positive, including two participants with probable CBS who were MSA-type positive. One of these participants had an absence of synucleinopathy clinical features, whereas the other participant reported tremor and postural lightheadedness and went on to have postmortem confirmation of MSA as the primary pathological diagnosis.

Of the total cohort of postmortem cases, only one case (AD pathological diagnosis) was PD-type SAA positive, and this case had Braak stage 1 Lewy body copathology. In the remaining postmortem cases, one pathologically diagnosed PSP case had Braak stage 1 **TABLE 1** Clinical and biomarker characteristics of PSP and CBS groups

Characteristics	PSP (n = 59)	CBS (n = 37)
Sex, % male	58%	30%*
CSF α -synuclein SAA status, n		
Negative	52	26
PD-type	5	9
MSA-type	1	2
Indeterminate	1	
CSF AD biomarker status, n		
CBS-AD		13
CBS-non-AD		18
CBS-unknown		6
Clinical subtype at baseline assessment, n		
PSP-RS	33	
PSP-P	11	
PSP-PGF	6	
PSP/CBS	5	
PSP-SL	2	
PSP-F	2	
Primary pathological diagnosis in participants undergoing postmortem, n		
PSP	5	2
CBD	1	2
MSA		1
AD		1
Mean age at symptom onset, y (SD) ^a	65.0 (6.9)	63.5 (7.5)
Mean age at baseline assessment, y (SD) ^a	69.0 (6.8)	68.2 (7.5)
Mean disease duration at baseline assessment, y (SD) ^a	4.0 (2.0)	4.7 (2.1)
Mean PSPRS score at baseline assessment (SD) ^b	33.7 (15.0)	31.0 (12.0)
Mean MDS-UPDRS III score at baseline assessment (SD) ^b	36.3 (14.5)	43.9 (14.0) **
Mean MoCA score at baseline assessment (SD) ^b	22.2 (4.8)	19.6 (5.8)
Median SEADL score at baseline assessment (range) ^b	60 (10–90)	50 (20-90)

(Continues)

TABLE 1 Continued

Characteristics	PSP (n = 59)	CBS (n = 37)
Participants undergoing assessment at two or more time points (n)	34	17
Mean latency between baseline and final assessments, y (SD)	1.6 (0.9)	1.7 (0.7)
Participants deceased at censoring (n)	28	19
Mean disease duration from symptom onset to death, y (SD) ^a	7.0 (3.0)	8.2 (3.2)

 $\star P < 0.05$ vs. PSP group using Fisher's exact test.

**P < 0.05 vs. PSP group using binary logistic regression that adjusted for sex, age, and disease duration at assessment. All other PSP vs. CBS group comparisons of continuous variables did not reach statistical significance (P > 0.05) using binary logistic regression that was both unadjusted^a and adjusted^b for covariates. Abbreviations: PSP, progressive supranuclear palsy; CBS, corticobasal syndrome; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; SAA, seed amplification assay; PD, Parkinson's disease; MSA, multiple system atrophy; AD, Alzheimer's disease; CBS-AD, corticobasal syndrome-Alzheimer's; CBS-non-AD, corticobasal syndrome-non-Alzheimer's; PSP-RS, PSP-Richardson's syndrome; PSP-P, PSP-parkinsonism; PSP-PGF, PSP-progressive gait freezing; PSP/CBS, PSP/CBS overlap; PSP-SL, PSP-speech and language disorder; PSP-F, PSP-frontal; CBD, corticobasal degeneration; SD, standard deviation; PSPRS, PSP rating scale; MDS-UPDRS III, Movement Disorder Society–Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale Part III; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; SEADL, Schwab and England activities of daily living scale.

Lewy body copathology but was PD-type SAA negative. Therefore, in our pathologically confirmed cases, the sensitivity of the assay to detect primary α -synuclein pathology or Lewy body copathology was 67% (2/3 positive cases), whereas the specificity was 100% (9/9 negative cases).

We then divided the PSP and CBS groups into PDtype SAA-positive and -negative groups. Although underpowered to detect statistical significance, this exploratory analysis showed a trend toward SAA positive PSP participants being older, more impaired in motor, cognitive, and functional scales, and having a more aggressive rate of progression compared with SAA-negative PSP participants, as evidenced by a shorter average disease duration in deceased cases (5.8 vs. 7.2 years) (Table 2). In those undergoing serial clinical assessment, change in diagnosis in the PSP group was rare (2/33, 6.1%), and the rates of synucleinopathy clinical features were similar in SAA-positive and -negative groups with the exception of hyposmia, which was more common in the SAA-positive group. In contrast, SAA-positive versus -negative clinical differences in the CBS group were less marked, although this was in the context of a higher overall rate of change in diagnosis (2/16, 12.5%) and long disease duration in SAApositive cases who were still alive at the point of censoring. Notably, a higher proportion of CBS SAA-positive

TABLE 2 PD-type SAA status in PSP and CBS groups

PD-Type SAA Status	PSP SAA Positive (n = 5)	PSP SAA Negative (n = 52)
PSP subtype (n)		
PSP-RS	2	29
PSP-SL	2	
PSP-P	1	10
PSP-PGF		6
PSP/CBS		5
PSP-F		2
Diagnostic criteria certainty		
Probable criteria	3/5 cases	44/52 cases
Possible criteria	2/5 cases	8/52 cases
Change in clinical diagnosis	$1/4 \text{ cases} \rightarrow \text{IDT}$	$1/29 \text{ cases} \rightarrow \text{PD}$
Age at symptom onset (range)	71.7 (67–77) y	64.4 (48–77) y
Average age at baseline visit (range)	75.1 (70–80) y	68.4 (48–80) y
Disease severity		
PSPRS, average (SD)	38.6 (14.8)	33.7 (15.1)
MDS-UPDRS III, average (SD)	42.0 (16.8)	36.1 (14.3)
SEADL ^a	40/100 (20-90)	60/100 (10-90)
MoCA, average (SD)	17.8 (4.1)	22.6 (4.7)
Disease duration in deceased cases, average (range)	5.8 (3.0–8.7) y	7.2 (3.3–16.8) y
Disease duration in alive cases, average (range)	6.3 (4.2–10.0) y	5.6 (2.4–12.4) y
Primary pathological diagnosis	N/A	$\begin{array}{l} \text{PSP} (n=5); \text{ CBD} \\ (n=1) \end{array}$
LB copathology, Braak stage	N/A	0/6 cases
Postural light-headedness	3/4 cases (75%)	22/43 cases (51.2%)
Visual hallucinations	0/5 cases (0%)	5/49 cases (10.2%)
Tremor	2/4 cases (50%)	11/43 cases (25.6%)
Hyposmia	3/3 cases (100%)	4/12 cases (33.3%)
	CBS SAA Positive (n = 9)	CBS SAA Negative (n = 26)
Diagnostic criteria certainty		
Probable criteria	6/9 cases	18/26 cases
Possible criteria	3/9 cases	8/26 cases

(Continues)

	CBS SAA Positive (n = 9)	CBS SAA Negative (n = 26)
Change in clinical diagnosis	$1/4 \text{ cases} \rightarrow PD$	$1/12 \text{ cases} \rightarrow \text{FND}$
Age at symptom onset, average (range)	63.6 (50–77) y	63.2 (45–75) y
Age at baseline visit, average (range)	68.8 (53–80) y	68.0 (48–79) y
Disease severity		
PSPRS, average (SD)	26.4 (11.4)	32.3 (12.5)
MDS-UPDRS III, average (SD)	44.0 (19.8)	43.8 (13.7)
SEADL ^a	40/100 (30-90)	50/100 (20-90)
MoCA, average (SD)	16.3 (6.7)	20.2 (5.3)
Disease duration in deceased cases, average (range)	8.4 (3.0–15.2) y	8.7 (4.9–13.3) y
Disease duration in alive cases, average (range)	9.7 (9.0–10.4) y	7.3 (2.1–13.2) y
AD biomarker status		
CBS-AD	6	7
CBS-non-AD	3	13
CBS-unknown		6
Primary pathological diagnosis	AD $(n = 1)$	$\begin{array}{l} \text{PSP } (n=2); \text{ CBD} \\ (n=2) \end{array}$
LB copathology, Braak stage	1/1 case, Braak stage 1	1/4 cases, Braak stage 1
Postural light-headedness	3/5 cases (60%)	8/18 cases (44.4%)
Visual hallucinations	0/5 cases (0%)	1/24 cases (4.2%)
Tremor	3/5 cases (60%)	10/18 cases (55.6%)
Hyposmia	1/2 cases (50%)	4/8 cases (50%)

^aSEADL values are presented as median (range). All SAA-positive vs. SAAnegative subgroup comparisons of continuous variables within the PSP and CBS groups did not reach statistical significance (P > 0.05) using binary logistic regression that adjusted for sex, age, and disease duration at assessment.

Abbreviations: PD, Parkinson's disease; SAA, seed amplification assay; PSP, progressive supranuclear palsy; CBS, corticobasal syndrome; PD, Parkinson's disease; PSP-RS, PSP-Richardson's syndrome; PSP-SL, PSP-speech and language disorder; PSP-P, PSP-parkinsonism; PSP-PGF, PSP-progressive gait freezing; PSP/CBS, PSP/CBS overlap; PSP-F, PSP-frontal; IDT, indeterminate; PSPRS, PSP rating scale; SD, standard deviation; MDS-UPDRS III, Movement Disorder Society–Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale Part III; SEADL, Schwab and England activities of daily living scale; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; CBD, corticobasal degeneration; LB, Lewy body; FND, functional neurological disorder; AD, Alzheimer's disease; CBS-AD, corticobasal syndrome-Alzheimer's.

cases were classified as being AD biomarker positive (6/9 cases) compared with CBS SAA-negative cases (7/26 cases); however, the CBS SAA-negative group also included six cases with unknown AD biomarker status.

Discussion

In this study, we show α -synuclein SAA positivity in people with a clinical diagnosis of PSP and CBS, and consider the clinicopathological implications of this.

In PSP, as seen in previous studies,² we confirm diagnostic consistency over time and high rates of primary 4RT pathology at postmortem, such that PD-type SAA positivity is highly unlikely to represent cases with primary PD pathology. Our PSP group rate of 5 of 59 (8.5%) PD-type SAA positivity is comparable with the rates of Lewy body copathology seen in postmortem studies of PSP^{13,14} and the MD-GAP postmortem study, which found Lewy body copathology in 43 of 740 (5.8%) PSP cases (unpublished data). Conversely, a recent study found PD-type SAA positivity in 4 of 16 (25%) PSP CSF samples,¹⁵ similar to the Lewy body copathology rate (22%) seen in a smaller postmortem PSP cohort.¹⁶

Our data also suggest that PD copathology may be more common in older PSP patients, suggesting that age-related impairment in proteostasis leads to the accumulation of multiple copathologies.¹⁶ In addition, in contrast with previous clinicopathological studies,^{13,14} our data provide preliminary evidence that PD copathology may increase disease severity and the rate of disease progression.

PD-type SAA positivity was relatively high in our CBS group (9/37, 24.3%). Cortical Lewy body disease rarely presents with CBS, so it is unlikely that a quarter of our CBS cases had primary Lewy body pathology.^{17,18} Our SAA positivity rate was higher than the rate of Lewy body copathology observed in CBD in previous neuropathology studies (10%),¹⁶ and in the MD-GAP database it was 13 of 142 (9.2%) (unpublished data). It is possible that our high rate of SAA positivity may partly be explained by poor specificity of the assay in CBS-CBD because of CBD-type tau cross-reacting with the α -synuclein SAA to give false positive results, although further work is required to explore this hypothesis. This also raises the possibility that PD-type SAA positivity in our clinically diagnosed PSP group may be because of individuals with underlying CBD pathology. Conversely, our Lewy body copathology rates may be underestimated because the sensitivity of α -synuclein SAAs are limited in low Braak stages of Lewy body pathology,¹⁹ or it may be possible that the detection of α -synuclein pathology via SAA is inhibited by the presence of tau pathology, noting that one of our pathologically diagnosed PSP participants with Braak stage 1 Lewy body copathology was PD-type SAA negative.

Despite important conclusions, our study has several limitations. Power was low to detect small group and subgroup differences, such that the findings from our exploratory SAA-positive versus SAA-negative analyses are considered preliminary. There is also the potential for interrater variability impacting on our clinical measures, although significant differences across sites in this multicenter study have not been detected when analyzed previously.²⁰

Although our study did not include healthy control subjects, we note that the Amprion α -synuclein assay is a Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments-certified/College of American Pathologists-accredited labovalidated assay for which performance ratory characteristics for healthy control specimens have been established. Using an older version of the α -synuclein SAA, which had a 150-hour reaction time, assay positivity was detected in 478 of 545 (88%) clinically diagnosed PD cases and only 6 of 163 (4%) age-matched healthy control subjects.⁷ Similarly, in two recent studies using the same version of the assay that we used in our study, the positivity rate in cognitively unimpaired control subjects was 5% and 16%, respectively.^{21,22}

We are encouraged by the fact that one of the three MSA-type SAA-positive cases had postmortem confirmation of primary MSA pathology; however, the method used by Amprion to differentiate between PDand MSA-type positivity is not validated.

Replication of our findings in larger cohorts with matched postmortem data will be important, together with combination testing of α -synuclein and 4RT SAAs across parkinsonian disorders to assess positive and negative predictive values of both assays in clinical and research settings.

Acknowledgments: We thank the patients and their families for study participation. The PROSPECT-UK study is supported by the PSP Association, CBD Solutions, and the Medical Research Council UK. This work was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health, including the Center for Alzheimer's and Related Dementias, within the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute on Aging and the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke. This work was also supported in part by an NIHR/Medical Research Council grant: Early Assessment, Diagnosis and Treatment of Parkinson's Plus Related Syndromes (ExPRESS) (MR/Y008219/1). D.P.V. is supported by CBD Solutions. C.K. is supported by the Multiple System Atrophy Trust and Parkinson's UK. M.T.M.H. is supported by Parkinson's UK, NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, and European Platform for Neurodegenerative Diseases. J.B.R. is supported by the Medical Research Council (MC_UU_00030/14; MR/T033371/1), Cambridge Centre for Parkinson-plus, and the NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre (NIHR203312): the views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. HR.M. is supported by Parkinson's UK, Cure Parkinson's Trust, PSP Association, Medical Research Council, and The Michael J. Fox Founda-tion. E.J. is supported by the PSP Association (PSPA2023/ PROJECTGRANT001), CurePSP (681-2022/06), and the Medical Research Council (548211).

Data Availability Statement

All data used in this study will be released via GP2 (gp2.org) and can be accessed by request to the corresponding authors.

References

- 1. Kovacs GG, Lukic MJ, Irwin DJ, et al. Distribution patterns of tau pathology in progressive supranuclear palsy. Acta Neuropathol 2020;140:99–119.
- Respondek G, Kurz K, Arzberger T, et al. Which ante mortem clinical features predict progressive supranuclear palsy pathology. Mov Disord 2017;32(7):995–1005.
- Ling H, O'Sullivan SS, Holton JL, et al. Does corticobasal degeneration exist? A clinicopathological re-evaluation. Brain 2010;133:2045–2057.
- 4. Alexander SK, Rittman T, Xuereb JH, et al. Validation of the new consensus criteria for the diagnosis of corticobasal degeneration. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2014;85:925–929.
- Höglinger GU, Respondek G, Stamelou M, et al. Clinical diagnosis of progressive supranuclear palsy: the movement disorder society criteria. Mov Disord 2017;32(6):853–864.
- Armstrong MJ, Litvan I, Lang AE, et al. Criteria for the diagnosis of corticobasal degeneration. Neurology 2013;80:496–503.
- Siderowf A, Concha-Marambio L, Lafontant DE, et al. Assessment of heterogeneity among participants in the Parkinson's progression markers initiative cohort using α-synuclein seed amplification: a cross-sectional study. Lancet Neurol 2023;22:407–417.
- Russo MJ, Orru CD, Concha-Marambio L, et al. High diagnostic performance of independent alpha-synuclein seed amplification assays for detection of early Parkinson's disease. Acta Neuropathol Commun 2021;9:179.
- Simuni T, Chahine LM, Poston K. A biological definition of neuronal α-synuclein disease: towards an integrated staging system for research. Lancet Neurol 2024;23:178–190.
- Hoglinger GU, Adler CH, Berg D, et al. A biological classification of Parkinson's disease: the SynNeurGe research diagnostic criteria. Lancet Neurol 2024;23:191–204.
- Saijo E, Metrick MA II, Koga S, et al. 4-Repeat tau seeds and templating subtypes as brain and CSF biomarkers of frontotemporal lobar degeneration. Acta Neuropathol 2020;139:63–79.
- Concha-Marambio L, Pritzkow S, Shahnawaz M, et al. Seed amplification assay for the detection of pathologic alpha-synuclein aggregates in cerebrospinal fluid. Nat Protoc 2023;18:1179–1196.
- 13. Lukic MJ, Kurz C, Respondek G, et al. Copathology in progressive supranuclear palsy: does it matter? Mov Disord 2020;35(6):984–993.
- Robinson JL, Yan N, Caswell C, et al. Primary tau pathology, not copathology, correlates with clinical symptoms in PSP and CBD. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 2020;79:296–304.
- Gomes BF, Farris CM, Ma Y, et al. α-Synuclein seed amplification assay as a diagnostic tool for parkinsonian disorders. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 2023;117:105807.
- Robinson JL, Lee EB, Xie SX, et al. Neurodegenerative disease concomitant proteinopathies are prevalent, age-related and APOE4-associated. Brain 2018;133:2045–2057.
- 17. Koga S, Sekiya H, Kondru N, et al. Neuropathology and molecular diagnosis of synucleinopathies. Mol Neurodegener 2021;16:83.
- Ichinose K, Watanabe M, Mizutani S, et al. An autopsy case of corticobasal syndrome with pure diffuse Lewy body disease. Neurocase 2021;27:231–237.
- 19. Bentivenga GM, Mammana A, Baiardi S, et al. Performance of a seed amplification assay for misfolded alpha-synuclein in cerebrospinal fluid and brain tissue in relation to Lewy body disease stage and pathology burden. Acta Neuropathol 2024;147:18.
- Jabbari E, Holland N, Chelban V, et al. Diagnosis across the spectrum of progressive supranuclear palsy and corticobasal syndrome. JAMA Neurol 2020;77:377–387.
- 21. Bellomo G, Toja A, Paoletti FP, et al. Investigating alpha-synuclein co-pathology in Alzheimer's disease by means of cerebrospinal fluid alpha-synuclein seed amplification assay. Alzheimers Dement 2024; 20:2444–2452.
- 22. A cross-sectional study of α -synuclein seed amplification assay in Alzheimer's disease neuroimaging initiative: prevalence and associations with Alzheimer's disease biomarkers and cognitive function. Alzheimers Dement 2024;20:5114-5131.

Supporting Data

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web-site.

Sacsin levels in PBMCs: A diagnostic assay for SACS variants in peripheral blood cells – A PROSPAX study

Ceren Tunca, PhD,¹ ^(D) Eylül Ece İşlek Camadan, PhD,¹ Natalia Smolina, PhD,¹ Robin J. Palvadeau, MSc,¹ ^(D) Özgür Öztop Çakmak, MD,² ^(D) Atay Vural, MD, PhD,² Andreas Traschütz, MD, PhD,^{3,4} ^(D) Filippo M. Santorelli, MD,⁵ Bernard Brais, MD, PhD,⁶ Rebecca Schüle, MD,⁷ ^(D) Matthis Synofzik, MD,^{3,4} ^(D) and A. Nazlı Başak, PhD^{1*} ^(D)

¹Suna and İnan Kıraç Foundation, Neurodegeneration Research Laboratory, KUTTAM, School of Medicine, Koç University, İstanbul, Turkey ²Department of Neurology, School of Medicine, Koç University, İstanbul, Turkey ³Hertie-Institute for Clinical Brain Research & Center of Neurology, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany ⁴German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Tübingen, Germany ⁵Molecular Medicine, IRCCS Fondazione Stella Maris, Pisa, Italy ⁶Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery, Montreal Neurological Hospital and Institute, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada ⁷Division of Neurodegenerative Diseases, Department of Neurology, Heidelberg University Hospital and Faculty of Medicine, Heidelberg, Germany

ABSTRACT: Background: Autosomal recessive spastic ataxia of Charlevoix-Saguenay (ARSACS) is a common recessive ataxia that is still underdiagnosed worldwide. An easily accessible diagnostic biomarker

*Correspondence to: Prof. A. Nazlı Başak, Suna and İnan Kıraç Foundation, Neurodegeneration Research Laboratory, KUTTAM, Koç University School of Medicine, Davutpaşa Cad. No:4, 34010 Topkapı, İstanbul, Turkey; E-mail: nbasak@ku.edu.tr

Matthis Synofzik and A. Nazlı Başak contributed equally to this work.

Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosure: Financial support related to this work is included in the Acknowledgments. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Funding agency: Funds of Suna and İnan Kıraç Foundation and Koç University.

Received: 17 May 2024; Revised: 5 July 2024; Accepted: 27 August 2024

Published online 24 September 2024 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com). DOI: 10.1002/mds.30012

might help to diagnostically confirm patients presenting *SACS* variants of unknown significance (VUS) or atypical phenotypes.

Objectives: To detect sacsin in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) and to validate its diagnostic biomarker quality to discriminate biallelic SACS patients (including patients with VUS and/or atypical phenotypes) against healthy controls, non-ARSACS spastic ataxia patients, and heterozygous SACS carriers.

Methods: Sacsin protein levels in PBMCs were assessed in patients versus controls and validated in skin-derived fibroblasts.

Results: Patients with biallelic SACS variants – including patients with VUS and/or atypical phenotypes – showed loss of sacsin in PBMCs, with discriminative performance against healthy, heterozygous, and non-ARSACS controls. This included all investigated SACS missense variants. Also, C-terminal variants escaping nonsense-mediated decay, while not differing from controls in expression level, showed lower molecular weight in this assay.

Conclusions: Assessing sacsin levels using PBMCs offers an easy, peripherally accessible diagnostic biomarker for ARSACS, with PBMCs being much less invasive and easier to handle than fibroblasts. Additionally, this might be a potential target-engagement blood biomarker for sacsin-increasing therapies. © 2024 International Parkinson and Movement Disorder Society.

Key Words: ARSACS; PBMC; fibroblasts; diagnostic assay

Introduction

Autosomal recessive spastic ataxia of Charlevoix-Saguenay (ARSACS), initially identified as an early-onset ataxia largely restricted to the region of Quebec/Canada, is now recognized as one of the most common recessive ataxias worldwide.^{1,2} ARSACS classically presents with the characteristic triad of cerebellar ataxia, lower limb spasticity, and sensorimotor peripheral neuropathy. However, an increasing number of ARSACS patients with atypical phenotypes has been identified who do not check all three boxes.^{1,3,4} Identification and diagnosis of ARSACS is additionally complicated by the mutationprone nature of the very large SACS gene (4579 amino acids), with >200 distinct pathogenic variants described to date.⁵ This number – and in particular the number of variants of unknown significance (VUS), partly resulting from the increasing identification of patients with non-matching/atypical phenotypes - is expected to rise as SACS is now routinely screened in various populations.^{4,6,7} Taken together, this leads to diagnostic uncertainty, and under-/misdiagnosis of ARSACS patients