Pig Liver Pyruvate Carboxylase

THE REACTION PATHWAY FOR THE DECARBOXYLATION OF OXALOACETATE

By GRAHAM B. WARREN* and KEITH F. TIPTON

Department of Biochemistry, University of Cambridge, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge CB2 1QW, U.K.

(Received 27 September 1973)

1. The reaction pathway for the decarboxylation of oxaloacetate, catalysed by pig liver pyruvate carboxylase, was studied in the presence of saturating concentrations of K^+ and acetyl-CoA. 2. Free Mg²⁺ binds to the enzyme in an equilibrium fashion and remains bound during all further catalytic cycles. MgADP⁻ and P₁ bind randomly, at equilibrium, followed by the binding of oxaloacetate. Pyruvate is released before the ordered steadystate release of HCO₃⁻ and MgATP²⁻. 3. These results are entirely consistent with studies on the carboxylation of pyruvate presented in the preceding paper (Warren & Tipton, 1974b) and together they allow a quantitative description of the reaction mechanism of pig liver pyruvate carboxylase. 4. In the absence of other substrates of the back reaction pig liver pyruvate carboxylase will decarboxylate oxaloacetate in a manner that is not inhibited by avidin. 5. Reciprocal plots involving oxaloacetate are non-linear curves, which suggest a negatively co-operative interaction between this substrate and the enzyme.

Pig liver pyruvate carboxylase has been purified to homogeneity and the reaction pathway for the carboxylation of pyruvate has been elucidated as described in the two preceding papers (Warren & Tipton, 1974*a,b*). The present paper seeks to delineate the pathway for the decarboxylation of oxaloacetate in an effort to quantify the complete reaction pathway of the pig liver enzyme. These studies were performed at saturating concentrations of K⁺ (110 mM, K_m for K⁺ = 20 mM) and acetyl-CoA (100 μ M, K_s for acetyl-CoA = 22 μ M).

Materials

Pyruvate carboxylase, coupling enzymes and other assay components were obtained in the manner described in the two preceding papers (Warren & Tipton, 1974a, b).

Methods

Assays for pyruvate carboxylase

The enzyme was assayed in the back reaction by using a coupled assay for ATP (the ATP-coupled assay). The assay system contained 100mm-triethanolamine hydrochloride-KOH buffer, pH8.0, 0.5 mm-ADP(0.36 mm-MgADP⁻), 10 mm-P₁, 3.36 mm-MgSO₄ (2.0 mm-Mgf²⁺_{1ee}), 1 mm-oxaloacetic acid, 0.1 mm-acetyl-CoA, 2.5 mm-glucose, 0.2 mm-NADP⁺, 25 units of hexokinase and 3 units of glucose 6phosphate dehydrogenase in a total volume of 1.0 ml

* Present address: National Institute for Medical Research, Mill Hill, London NW7 1AA, U.K.

at 30°C. The reaction was started by the addition of $50-120 \,\mu g$ of pyruvate carboxylase, and the couplingtime required before the rate of NADP⁺ reduction reached 99% of the steady-state rate of decarboxylation of oxaloacetate was approx. 35s (see McClure, 1969). The *I* of the medium was maintained at 200 $\pm 20 \,\text{mm}$ by the addition of 1 m-KCl, and variation within these limits had little effect on the rate of the enzymic reaction. The rate of reaction was monitored at 340 nm except when kinetic studies were carried out at subsaturating concentrations of oxaloacetate. Since the K_m of the pig liver enzyme for this substrate was very low, the reaction was monitored at 366 nm by using an Eppendorf fluorimeter.

When MgATP²⁻ was used as a product inhibitor, pyruvate carboxylase was assayed by using a coupled assay for pyruvate (the pyruvate-coupled assay). The assay medium, in a total volume of 1.0ml, contained 100 mm-triethanolamine hydrochloride-KOH buffer, pH8.0, 0.5 mm-ADP (0.36 mm-MgADP⁻), 10 mm-P_i, 3.36 mm-MgSO₄ (2.0 mm-Mg²_{ree}), 0.1 mm-oxaloacetic acid, 0.1 mm-acetyl-CoA, 0.1 mm-NADH and 11 units of lactate dehydrogenase. The reaction was started by the addition of 50–120 μ g of pyruvate carboxylase and the coupling time was 6s. Corrections were applied for the spontaneous decarboxylation of oxaloacetate (in the absence of pyruvate carboxylase) and the *I* was maintained at 200±20 mm by the addition of 1 m-KCl.

Studies on the decarboxylation of oxaloacetate alone, catalysed by pig liver pyruvate carboxylase, were carried out in a medium containing 100 mmtriethanolamine hydrochloride-KOH buffer, pH8.0, 90 mM-KCl, 0.1 mM-NADH, 11 units of lactate dehydrogenase and 0–0.1 mM-oxaloacetate in a total volume of 1.0 ml at 30°C. Reaction rates were monitored in the presence and absence of pyruvate carboxylase at 366 nm by using an Eppendorf fluorimeter.

The specific activity of pyruvate carboxylase quoted in the Figure legends was derived by using the ATP-coupled assay and correcting for the subsaturating concentration of P_i . Kinetic results were plotted by the method of Lineweaver & Burk (1934) and the kinetic constants were evaluated by the method of Florini & Vestling (1957). K_i values were determined by the method of Dixon (1953).

Stability constants for the magnesium complexes of assay components

These were presented in the preceding paper (Warren & Tipton, 1974b) and were found to be little affected by the higher I value (200 mm instead of 150 mm) used in studies of the back reaction.

All other methods used in the present paper are described in the two preceding papers (Warren & Tipton, 1974a, b).

Results

Decarboxylation of oxaloacetate catalysed by pyruvate carboxylase

Pig liver pyruvate carboxylase significantly stimulated the decarboxylation of oxaloacetate in the absence of all other components of the back reaction. Low concentrations of kinetically contaminating enzymes (present in pure preparations of pyruvate carboxylase) such as malate dehydrogenase were not responsible for this effect and inactivation of pyruvate carboxylase with acid or alkali inhibited the reaction. Other enzymes capable of binding oxaloacetate, such as aspartate aminotransferase and citrate synthase, were also found to decarboxylate oxaloacetate. Since oxalate inhibited the decarboxylation catalysed by pyruvate carboxylase, the reaction appeared to be taking place at the α -keto acid-binding site. The K_m for oxaloacetate was $20\mu M$ and the V for decarboxylation was 55% of the V for the back reaction. However, in the presence of all of the other reaction components of the back reaction, the decarboxylation of oxaloacetate was completely coupled to the synthesis of MgATP²⁻ from MgADP⁻ and P_i. This was demonstrated during kinetic studies by using the pyruvate-coupled assay, since the reaction rate in the absence of pyruvate carboxylase was subtractable from rates obtained in the presence of the enzyme to yield linear reciprocal plots (Figs. 9 and 10).

Inactivation of pig liver pyruvate carboxylase with avidin did not inhibit the decarboxylation of oxaloacetate, although the K_m value was increased to $40\mu M$. These results are qualitatively similar to those obtained by Scrutton & Mildvan (1968) for the chicken liver enzyme, although the rates of decarboxylation in the presence and absence of avidin were considerably lower in the latter case (approx. 0.3% of the V of the back reaction). Decarboxylation reactions have also been noted for other biotin carboxylases (Moss & Lane, 1972).

Kinetic studies using oxaloacetate

Reciprocal plots involving oxaloacetate yielded downwardly curving lines (Fig. 1) and the value of the Hill coefficient was not grossly affected by high concentrations of acetyl-CoA or 'infinite' concentrations of free Mg^{2+} , $MgADP^-$ or P_1 (Table 1). The



Fig. 1. Reciprocal plot for the decarboxylation of oxaloacetate by pyruvate carboxylase

Pyruvate carboxylase (90 μ g; 0.16 units/mg) was added to the ATP-coupled assay. The high and low K_m values determined by extrapolation of the linear portions of the plot were 22 μ M and 4.3 μ M respectively.

Table 1. Hill coefficients for oxaloacetate

Conditions refer to the concentrations of the back reaction components in the ATP-coupled assay unless as stated below. The value for the Hill coefficient at an 'infinite' concentration of a back reaction component was evaluated from the intercept replot of a primary plot involving the back reaction component at several fixed concentrations of oxaloacetate.

Condition	Hill coefficient		
	0.67		
0.5mм-Acetyl-CoA	0.65		
'Infinite' free Mg ²⁺	0.60		
'Infinite' MgADP	0.42		
'Infinite' P _i	0.50		



Scheme 1. Reaction pathway for the decarboxylation of oxaloacetate by pig liver pyruvate carboxylase

The section enclosed within the broken line is in rapid equilibrium, and E_{CO_2} represents the carboxybiotin form of the enzyme.

inability of acetyl-CoA to affect the value of the Hill coefficient was in marked contrast with its effect on the downwardly curving reciprocal plots obtained with pyruvate in the forward reaction as discussed in the preceding paper (Warren & Tipton, 1974b). The reciprocal plot presented in Fig. 1 was either a smooth curve or polyphasic, since careful studies at very Scheme 1. The enzyme does not obey a classical double-displacement (Ping Pong) mechanism, since all interactions between the substrates and effectors (free Mg^{2+} , $MgADP^-$, P_i and oxaloacetate) yielded intersecting reciprocal plots. In the absence of products and at saturating concentrations of oxaloacetate, the rate equation will be

$$v = \frac{V}{1 + \frac{K_m^{\text{MgADP}}}{[MgADP^-]} + \frac{K_m^{\text{P}_1}}{[P_1]} + \frac{K_s^{\text{P}_1} \cdot K_m^{\text{MgADP}}}{[P_1] \cdot [MgADP^-]} \left(1 + \frac{K_s^{\text{Mg}}}{[Mg_{free}]}\right)}$$
(1)

low concentrations of oxaloacetate yielded K_m values for oxaloacetate of less than $0.7 \,\mu$ M. Such reciprocal plots suggest negatively co-operative interactions (Levitzki & Koshland, 1969) between oxaloacetate and pig liver pyruvate carboxylase, but more extensive studies will be needed to confirm such a postulate. It is worth noting, however, that the kinetic explanations that have been proposed to explain such reciprocal plots involving pyruvate (McClure *et al.*, 1971; Scrutton, 1971) are incapable of explaining downwardly curving reciprocal plots involving oxaloacetate.

Reaction pathway for the decarboxylation of oxaloacetate

All the results presented in this paper were entirely consistent with the reaction pathway presented in By studying the interaction between MgADP⁻ and P_i (Figs. 2 and 3) it was possible to derive the true values for $K_m^{P_1}$ and K_m^{MgADP} . However, the K_s values for P_i and MgADP⁻ were only apparent and:

Apparent
$$K_s^{\mathbf{P}_1} = K_s^{\mathbf{P}_1} \left(1 + \frac{K_s^{\mathbf{Mg}}}{[\mathbf{Mg}_{free}^{2+}]} \right)$$
 (2)

Apparent
$$K_s^{MgADP} = K_s^{MgADP} \left(1 + \frac{K_s^{Mg}}{[Mg_{free}^{2+}]} \right)$$
 (3)

The interaction between MgADP⁻ and P_i (Figs. 2 and 3) was therefore studied at several concentrations of free Mg²⁺ so that a plot of apparent $K_s^{P_1}$ or K_s^{MgADP} versus 1/[Mg²⁺_{free}] yielded the true K_s values for P_i, MgADP⁻ and Mg²⁺_{ce}. These were: $K_s^{Mg} = 1.4$ mM, $K_s^{MgADP} = 0.15$ mM, $K_s^{P_1} = 12.5$ mM, $K_m^{MgADP} = 0.12$ mM, $K_m^{P_1} = 12.0$ mM.

Fig. 2. Reciprocal plot of the decarboxylation of oxaloacetate as a function of the concentration of MgADPat several fixed concentrations of P_{i}

Pyruvate carboxylase (127 μ g; 0.18 unit/mg) was added to the ATP-coupled assay. The P₁ concentrations used were: 12.5 mм (○), 8.3 mм (△), 6.2 mм (□), 5.0 mм (●), 4.2 mм (\blacktriangle), and 3.6 mM (\blacksquare). Inset: the variation of the slopes (\bigcirc) and intercepts (\triangle) as a function of P_i concentration. The values of K_m^{Pl} and the apparent K_s^{Pl} calculated from these plots were 12.0 mm and 21.5 mm respectively.

The value for K_s^{Mg} of 1.4 mm is reasonably similar to that derived for the binding of free Mg²⁺ to the enzyme in the forward reaction (0.48 mm) (Warren & Tipton, 1974b). Similarly, K_i values in the forward reaction for MgADP⁻ (0.3 mM) and P_i (22 mM) with respect to MgATP²⁻ were reasonably similar to the K_s values for these two compounds presented above. Lastly the K_s and K_m values presented above strongly suggest that the binding of P_i does not affect the binding of MgADP⁻ to the enzyme, and vice versa.

Substrate studies

Free Mg^{2+} . Eqn. 1 shows that free Mg^{2+} should exhibit rapid-equilibrium ordered kinetics with respect to both MgADP- and P_i. As shown in Fig. 4, the slope replot (obtained at high concentrations of free Mg²⁺) passed through the origin and the family of lines on the converse primary plot (Fig. 5) intersected on the 1/v axis. This confirmed the rapidequilibrium ordered addition of free Mg²⁺ followed by P_i, but the reciprocal plots presented in Fig. 4



The enzyme concentration and assay used were as described in Fig. 2. The MgADP⁻ concentrations used were: 0.333 mM (\odot), 0.167 mM (\triangle), 0.111 mM (\Box), 0.083 mM (\bullet), $0.067 \,\mathrm{mM}$ (\blacktriangle), and $0.056 \,\mathrm{mM}$ (\blacksquare). Inset: the variation of the slopes (\bigcirc) and intercepts (\triangle) as a function of MgADP-concentration. The values of K_m^{MgADP} and the apparent K.^{MgADP} calculated from these plots were 0.12mm and

were clearly non-linear at low concentrations of free Mg²⁺ and P_i. Since the converse primary plot (Fig. 5) showed no signs of non-linearity, free Mg²⁺ must either be activating the enzyme in a co-operative manner or else the concentration of an inhibitory compound was affected by the concentration of free Mg^{2+} . The latter explanation is by far the simplest because the low value of the MgADP⁻ stability constant (1300 m⁻¹) necessitated a high concentration of ADP³⁻ at low concentrations of free Mg²⁺. Rapid-equilibrium ordered kinetics with respect to free Mg²⁺ and MgADP⁻ were not observed because of inhibition by ADP³⁻. The family of lines presented in Fig. 6 did not intersect on the l/v axis because at any fixed concentration of free Mg²⁺, the ratio MgADP⁻/ADP³⁻ was constant, even at an infinite concentration of ADP. The intercepts on the 1/vaxis therefore reflected the degree to which the enzyme was bound to ADP³⁻. If ADP³⁻ combined with EMg (see Scheme 1) as the only dead-end complex then eqn. (1) becomes

1974

$$v = \frac{V}{1 + \frac{K_{m}^{MgADP}}{[MgADP^{-}]} + \frac{K_{m}^{P_{1}}}{[P_{1}]} + \frac{K_{s}^{P_{1}} \cdot K_{m}^{MgADP}}{[P_{1}] \cdot [MgADP^{-}]} \left(1 + \frac{K_{s}^{Mg}}{[Mg_{tree}^{2+}]}\right) \left(1 + \frac{[ADP^{3-}]}{K_{i}^{ADP}}\right)}$$
(4)

20 25 20 ntercept 15 원 10 양 - 100 $1/v [(\mu mol/min per mg)^{-1}]$ 80 6 10 14 18 0 2 I/[MgADP-] (mm 60 40 20 0.3 0.1 0.2 $1/[P_i] (mM^{-1})$







Fig. 4. Reciprocal plot of the decarboxylation of oxaloacetate as a function of the concentration of free Mg^{2+} ions at several fixed concentrations of P_i

Pyruvate carboxylase (81 μ g; 0.18 unit/mg) was added to the ATP-coupled assay and the concentration of MgADPwas maintained at 0.4 mm. The concentrations of P₁ used were: 20.0 mm (\odot), 7.14 mm (\triangle), 4.35 mm (\square), 3.12 mm (\bigcirc) and 2.44 mm (\blacktriangle). Inset: the variation of the slopes (\bigcirc) and intercepts (\triangle) as a function of P₁ concentration.



Fig. 5. Reciprocal plot of the decarboxylation of oxaloacetate as a function of the concentration of P_i at several concentrations of free Mg^{2+} ions

The enzyme concentration and assay were as described in Fig. 4. The free Mg^{2+} ion concentrations used were: 2.0 mM (\odot), 1.0 mM (\triangle), 0.67 mM (\Box), 0.50 mM (\bullet), 0.40 mM (\blacktriangle) and 0.33 mM (\blacksquare). Inset: the variation of the slope as a function of free Mg^{2+} ion concentration.

At infinite concentrations of $MgADP^-$ and ADP^{3-} the equation reduces to:

17

$$v = \frac{v}{1 + \frac{K_{m}^{P_{i}}}{[P_{i}]} + \frac{K_{s}^{P_{i}}}{[P_{i}]} \cdot \frac{K_{m}^{MgADP}}{K_{i}^{ADP}} \cdot \frac{[ADP^{3-}]}{[MgADP^{-}]} \left(1 + \frac{K_{s}^{Mg}}{[Mg_{free}^{2+}]}\right)}$$
(5)



Fig. 6. Reciprocal plot of the decarboxylation of oxaloacetate as a function of the concentration of $MgADP^-$ at several fixed concentrations of free Mg^{2+} ions

Pyruvate carboxylase $(123 \,\mu\text{g}; 0.18 \text{ unit/mg})$ was added to the ATP-coupled assay and the concentration of P₁ was 20 mm. The concentrations of free Mg²⁺ ions used were: 2.0 mm (\odot), 1.0 mm (\triangle), 0.67 mm (\Box), 0.50 mm (\bullet), 0.40 mm (\blacktriangle) and 0.33 mm (\blacksquare).

Substituting the K_m and K_s values given above and a P_i concentration of 20 mM:

$$v = \frac{V}{1.6 + \frac{0.075}{K_i^{\text{ADP}}} \cdot \frac{[\text{ADP}^{3-}]}{[\text{MgADP}^{-}]} \left(1 + \frac{1.4}{[\text{Mg}_{\text{free}}^{2+}]}\right)}$$
(6)

Hence a plot of 1/v derived from the intercepts of Fig. 6 against

$$\frac{[ADP^{3-}]}{[MgADP^{-}]} \left(1 + \frac{1.4}{[Mg_{free}^{2+}]}\right)$$

should yield a straight line which intersects on the abscissa at $-21.3 \times K_i^{\text{ADP}}$, and as shown in Fig. 7 the value of K_i^{ADP} was 0.20 mM.

To elucidate completely the role of ADP^{3-} in the inhibition of pig liver pyruvate carboxylase the results presented in Fig. 4 were simulated on a computer. A FORTRAN program was written to simulate eqn. 4 at a fixed value of K_i^{ADP} (starting value = 0.15 mM, incremented by 0.01 mM after each run). By plotting the experimental results presented in Fig. 4 on the computer console together with the theoretical points at a fixed value of K_i^{ADP} , the latter was incremented until the best visual fit to the experimental data was obtained. This occurred when the value of K_i^{ADP} was 0.19 mM, which was in excellent agreement with the value of 0.20 mM obtained above. These results not only suggest the locus of inhibition by ADP^{3-} , but also support the reaction pathway



Fig. 7. Inhibition of pyruvate carboxylase by ADP^{3-} The value of K_A^{ADP} was determined as described in the text.

depicted in Scheme 1. Moreover E_{ADP}^{Mg} is the predominant dead-end complex, and the absence of significant concentrations of the complex E_{ADP/P_i}^{Mg} suggests that P_i will not bind to E_{ADP}^{Mg} unless the charged P_i groups of ADP^{3-} are neutralized by nucleotide-bound Mg^{2+} .

 $MgADP^-$ and P_i . The linearity of the reciprocal plots involving $MgADP^-$ and P_i (Figs. 2 and 3) suggests random-order binding in an equilibrium and not a steady-state fashion.

Oxaloacetate. Because of the non-linear nature of reciprocal plots involving this substrate it was only possible to analyse systems in which oxaloacetate was the changing fixed substrate. Reciprocal plots involving oxaloacetate and either free Mg^{2+} (Fig. 8) or MgADP⁻ or P₁ were intersecting and gave no information about the locus of binding of oxaloacetate in the reaction pathway. This information was obtained by product-inhibition studies.

Product- and dead-end-inhibition studies

These results are summarized in Table 2.

 $MgATP^{2-}$. MgATP²⁻ was a linear competitive product inhibitor with respect to MgADP⁻ (Fig. 9) and P₁ (Fig. 10). This confirms the random-order addition of MgADP⁻ and P₁ to EMg (see Scheme 1). At saturating concentrations of oxaloacetate the rate equation for inhibition by MgATP²⁻ is



Fig. 8. Reciprocal plot of the decarboxylation of oxaloacetate as a function of the concentration of free Mg^{2+} ions at several fixed concentrations of oxaloacetate

Pyruvate carboxylase $(52 \,\mu g; 0.17 \text{ unit/mg})$ was added to the ATP-coupled assay and the concentrations of P₁ and MgADP⁻ were 7.1 mm and 0.4 mm respectively. The oxaloacetate concentrations used were: $1000 \,\mu M$ (\odot), $50 \,\mu M$ (\bigtriangleup), $14 \,\mu M$ (\square) and $4 \,\mu M$ (\bigcirc). Inset: the variation of the slopes (\bullet) and intercepts (\blacktriangle) as a function of oxaloacetate concentration.

$$\frac{K_{i}^{MgATP} (\text{fixed } P_{i})}{K_{i}^{MgATP} (\text{fixed } MgADP^{-})} = \frac{\left\{K_{s}^{MgATP} (\text{fixed } MgADP^{-})\right\}}{\left\{K_{s}^{MgADP} \cdot K_{m}^{P_{i}} \left(1 + \frac{K_{s}^{Mg}}{[Mg_{free}^{2+}]}\right) + K_{m}^{MgADP} \cdot P_{i}^{MgADP}\right\}}$$
(8)

Since the concentration of Mg_{ree}^{2+} was 2.0 mM in both experiments, and by using the values for the kinetic constants given above and the concentrations of the substrates given in Figs. 9 and 10, substitution in eqn. 8 gives,

$$\frac{K_i^{\text{MgATP}} \text{ (fixed P_i)}}{K_i^{\text{MgATP}} \text{ (fixed MgADP})} = 0.47$$
(9)

The experimentally observed ratio of these K_i values was 0.46 (Table 2), which is in excellent agreement with that obtained above and provides further support for the random-order addition of MgADP⁻ and P_i. Naturally, inhibition by MgATP²⁻ demanded the use of the pyruvate-coupled assay; the validity of this assay has been discussed, above, and further support was obtained by demonstrating

$$v = \frac{V}{1 + \frac{K_{m}^{MgADP}}{[MgADP^{-}]} + \frac{K_{m}^{P_{1}}}{[P_{1}]} + \frac{K_{s}^{P_{1}} \cdot K_{m}^{MgADP}}{[P_{1}] \cdot [MgADP^{-}]} \left(1 + \frac{K_{s}^{Mg}}{[Mg_{ree}]}\right) \left(1 + \frac{[MgATP^{2-}]}{K_{t}^{MgATP}}\right)}$$
(7)

The ratio of the apparent K_i^{MgADP} values determined at various concentrations of MgADP⁻ (fixed concentration of $P_i = P_i^{MgADP}$; Fig. 9) or P_i (fixed concentration of MgADP⁻ = MgADP^{P₁}; Fig. 10) is

that the inhibition of pyruvate carboxylase by adenosine, measured by the ATP-coupled assay, was competitive with respect to MgADP- (Table 2). Further, the K_i value (50 μ M) was reasonably similar

K_i values were determined by the method of Dixon (1953).					
Product or dead-end inhibitor	Varied substrate	Observed pattern	Apparent K_i (mM)	Conditions*	
MgATP ²⁻	MgADP-	Competitive	0.25		
I	Pi	Competitive	0.55	0.5 mм-MgADP-	
Pyruvate	MgADP-	Mixed	6.0	-	
	Pi	Mixed	2.7	0.5 mм-MgADP-	
HCO ₃ - Mg. P _i	MgADP-	Mixed	25	•	
	Pi	Non-competitive	22		
Adenosine	MgADP-	Competitive	0.050		

Table 2. Apparent product and dead-end inhibition constants for pig liver pyruvate carboxylase

* Other conditions as specified in the text for the assay medium.



Fig. 9. Inhibition of pyruvate carboxylase by MgATP²⁻ as a function of the concentration of MgADP

Pyruvate carboxylase (54 μ g; 0.18 unit/mg) was added to the pyruvate-coupled assay. The concentrations of MgATP²⁻ used were 0 (\bigcirc), 0.8 mM (\triangle), 1.6 mM (\square) and 2.4mm (•). Inset: a Dixon (1953) plot of these data. The concentrations of MgADP⁻ were 0.20mm (•), 0.33mm (\Box), 0.50 mM (\triangle) and 1.0 mM (\bigcirc).



Fig. 10. Inhibition of pyruvate carboxylase by MgATP²⁻ as a function of the concentration of P_{i}

Pyruvate carboxylase (100 μ g; 0.16 unit/mg) was added to the pyruvate-coupled assay and the concentration of MgADP- was maintained at 0.5 mm. The concentrations of MgATP²⁻ used were: 0 (\bigcirc), 0.5 mM (\triangle), 1.25 mM (\Box), and 2.25 mm (). Inset: a Dixon (1953) plot of these data. The concentrations of P_1 were: 2.9 mM (\blacktriangle), 4.0 mM (\bigcirc), 5.0 mm (□), 6.7 mm (△) and 10 mm (○).

150 (v [μ mol/min per mg)⁻¹] l/v [(µmol/mir 150 per mg)⁻¹] 100 100 20 [HCO₃⁻] (mм) 50 12 16 1/[MgADP-] (mм⁻¹)

Fig. 11. Inhibition of pyruvate carboxylase by HCO_3^- as a function of the concentration of MgADP-

Pyruvate carboxylase $(92 \mu g; 0.18 \text{ unit/mg})$ was added to the ATP-coupled assay. The concentrations of HCO3used were: $2 \text{ mM}(\bigcirc)$, $17 \text{ mM}(\triangle)$, $32 \text{ mM}(\square)$ and $47 \text{ mM}(\bigcirc)$. Inset: a Dixon (1953) plot of these data. The concentrations of MgADP- were: 0.067 mm (A), 0.083 mm (O), $0.11 \text{ mm} (\Box), 0.17 \text{ mm} (\Delta) \text{ and } 0.33 \text{ mm} (O).$

to that obtained in studies of the forward reaction (90 µм; Warren & Tipton, 1974b).

 HCO_3^- . HCO_3^- was a mixed inhibitor with respect to MgADP⁻ (Fig. 11) and a non-competitive inhibitor with respect to P_i (Table 2). The K_i values were in the range 20-25 mm, and this was considerably higher than K_m values derived in the forward reaction (<2.5 mm). This would suggest the formation of dead-end Mg

complexes other than EMgATP and the most likely HCO₃

candidate would be EMgADP-/Pi. However, since HCO₃

the inhibition patterns are linear (see Fig. 11) the two loci of inhibition by HCO3⁻ must be separated by effectively irreversible steps. Thus the binding of oxaloacetate and the release of pyruvate probably

Vol. 139



Scheme 2. Quantitative model for the carboxylation of pyruvate by pig liver pyruvate carboxylase

The model is described in the text. The section of the reaction mechanism enclosed by broken lines is in rapid equilibrium and may be analysed by the method of Cha (1968) so that the rate equation becomes:

••

$$v = \frac{v}{1 + \frac{K_{m}^{MgATP}}{[MgATP^{2}-]} \left(1 + \frac{K_{s}^{Mg}}{[Mg_{tree}^{2}]}\right) + \frac{K_{m}^{HCO_{3}-}}{[HCO_{3}-]} + \frac{K_{m}^{pyr}}{[pyruvate]} + \frac{K_{s}^{MgATP} \cdot K_{m}^{HCO_{3}-}}{[MgATP^{2}-] \cdot [HCO_{3}-]} \left(1 + \frac{K_{s}^{Mg}}{[Mg_{tree}^{2}]}\right) + \frac{K_{s}^{HCO_{3}-} \cdot K_{pyr}}{[HCO_{3}-] \cdot [pyruvate]} + \frac{K_{s}^{MgATP} \cdot K_{m}^{HCO_{3}-}}{[MgATP^{2}-] \cdot [HCO_{3}-] \cdot [pyruvate]} + \frac{[MgADP-] \cdot K_{s}^{MgATP} \cdot K_{s}^{HCO_{3}-} \cdot K_{pyr}}{[MgATP^{2}-] \cdot [HCO_{3}-] \cdot [pyruvate]} + \frac{[MgADP-] \cdot K_{m}^{MgATP}}{K_{i}^{MgADP} \cdot [MgATP^{2}-]} + \frac{[MgADP-] \cdot K_{s}^{MgATP} \cdot K_{m}^{HCO_{3}-}}{K_{i}^{MgADP} \cdot [MgATP^{2}-] \cdot [HCO_{3}-]} + \frac{[MgADP-] \cdot K_{s}^{MgATP} \cdot K_{s}^{HCO_{3}-} \cdot K_{pyr}}{K_{i}^{Pi} \cdot [MgATP^{2}-] \cdot [HCO_{3}-] \cdot [pyruvate]} + \frac{[MgADP-] \cdot [P_{i}] \cdot K_{m}^{MgATP}}{K_{i}^{Pi} \cdot [MgATP^{2}-] \cdot [HCO_{3}-]} + \frac{[P_{i}] \cdot K_{s}^{MgATP} \cdot K_{s}^{HCO_{3}-} \cdot K_{pyr}}{K_{i}^{Pi} \cdot [MgATP^{2}-] \cdot [HCO_{3}-] \cdot [pyruvate]} + \frac{[MgADP-] \cdot [P_{i}] \cdot K_{m}^{MgATP}}{K_{i}^{MgADP} \cdot K_{i}^{Pi} \cdot [MgATP^{2}-] \cdot [HCO_{3}-]} + \frac{[MgADP-] \cdot [P_{i}] \cdot K_{s}^{MgATP} \cdot K_{m}^{HCO_{3}-}}{K_{i}^{MgATP} \cdot K_{i}^{HCO_{3}-} \cdot K_{pyr}} + \frac{[MgADP-] \cdot [P_{i}] \cdot K_{m}^{MgATP} \cdot K_{i}^{HCO_{3}-} \cdot K_{m}}{K_{i}^{MgADP} \cdot K_{i}^{Pi} \cdot [MgATP^{2}-] \cdot [HCO_{3}-] \cdot [pyruvate]} + \frac{[MgADP-] \cdot [P_{i}] \cdot K_{m}^{MgATP} \cdot K_{i}^{HCO_{3}-} \cdot K_{m}}{K_{i}^{MgADP} \cdot K_{i}^{Pi} \cdot [MgATP^{2}-] \cdot [HCO_{3}-] \cdot [pyruvate]} + \frac{[MgADP-] \cdot [P_{i}] \cdot K_{m}^{MgATP} \cdot K_{i}^{HCO_{3}-} \cdot K_{m}}{K_{i}^{MgADP} \cdot K_{i}^{Pi} \cdot [MgATP^{2}-] \cdot [HCO_{3}-] \cdot [pyruvate]} + \frac{[MgADP-] \cdot [P_{i}] \cdot K_{i}^{MgADP} \cdot K_{i}^{Pi} \cdot [MgATP^{2}-] \cdot [HCO_{3}-] \cdot [pyruvate]} + \frac{[MgADP-] \cdot [P_{i}] \cdot K_{i}^{MgATP} \cdot K_{i}^{Pi} \cdot [MgATP^{2}-] \cdot [HCO_{3}-] \cdot [pyruvate]} + \frac{[MgADP-] \cdot [P_{i}] \cdot K_{i}^{MgATP} \cdot K_{i}^{Pi} \cdot [MgATP^{2}-] \cdot [HCO_{3}-] \cdot [pyruvate]} + \frac{[MgADP-] \cdot [P_{i}] \cdot K_{i}^{MgATP} \cdot K_{i}^{Pi} \cdot [MgATP^{2}-] \cdot [HCO_{3}-] \cdot [pyruvate]} + \frac{[MgADP-] \cdot [P_{i}] \cdot K_{i}^{MgATP} \cdot K_{i}^{Pi} \cdot [MgATP^{2}-] \cdot [HCO_{3}-] \cdot [pyruvate]} + \frac{[MgADP-] \cdot [P_{i}] \cdot K_{$$

where:

$$K_{m}^{Mg} = \frac{k_{-1}}{k_{+1}} = 0.96 \text{ mM};$$

$$K_{m}^{MgATP} = \frac{k_{+4}}{k_{+2}} = 0.18 \text{ mM}; \quad K_{s}^{MgATP} = \frac{k_{-2}}{k_{+2}} = 0.34 \text{ mM};$$

$$K_{m}^{HCO3^{-}} = \frac{(k_{+4} + k_{-3})}{k_{+3}} = 1.0 \text{ mM}; \quad K_{s}^{HCO3^{-}} = \frac{k_{-3}}{k_{+3}} = 0.8 \text{ mM};$$

$$K_{m}^{Pyr} = \frac{(k_{+4} + k_{-4})}{k_{+5}} = 0.22 \text{ mM}; \quad K_{Pyr} = \frac{k_{-4}}{k_{+5}} = 0.33 \text{ mM};$$

$$K_{i}^{MgADP} = \frac{k_{+8}}{k_{-8}} = \frac{k_{+6}}{k_{-6}} = 0.14 \text{ mM};$$

$$K_{i}^{P1} = \frac{k_{+9}}{k_{-9}} = \frac{k_{+7}}{k_{-7}} = 12.0 \text{ mM}; \text{ and}$$

$$V = k_{+4} \cdot \text{E}_{total}$$

1974

take place after the binding of free Mg^{2+} , $MgADP^{-}$ and P_i .

Pyruvate. This was a mixed inhibitor with respect to both MgADP⁻ and P₁ (Table 2). Unfortunately, the non-linear nature of reciprocal plots involving oxaloacetate precluded an investigation into the interaction of pyruvate with this substrate. The Theorell–Chance mechanism depicted in Scheme 1 is only supported by analogy with the forward reaction discussed in the preceding paper (Warren & Tipton, 1974b) and the results obtained with the chicken liver enzyme (Barden *et al.*, 1972).

Discussion

The results presented above and in the preceding paper (Warren & Tipton, 1974b) are entirely consistent with each other. However, pyruvate carboxylase is a multisubstrate, multieffector enzyme and too few kinetic experiments have been performed to permit precise quantitative values for the individual kinetic constants. The values may be derived, however, to a first approximation, for the model depicted in Scheme 2, which has been constructed with the physiological role of pyruvate carboxylase in mind. The model is valid at pH 8.0, 30°C, an ionic strength of 150-200 mm and in the presence of saturating concentrations of K⁺ ions and acetyl-CoA. Although the concentration of K^+ is likely to be saturating in vivo this is unlikely to be true for acetyl-CoA. The model depicts the forward reaction of pyruvate carboxylase, and irreversibility has been depicted by the effective absence of oxaloacetate, since this compound is present in the mitochondrial matrix in very low concentrations (see Gumaa et al., 1971). This also eliminates the need to consider the nonlinear reciprocal plots obtained with this compound.

The model depicted in Scheme 2 could form the basis of an attempt to understand the regulatory properties of pyruvate carboxylase *in vivo*. In this context the most important step would be to quantify the role of acetyl-CoA in the reaction mechanism. Re-evaluation of the kinetic constants to conform with the conditions which probably exist in the liver cell [pH 7.2 (Walker *et al.*, 1969), an ionic strength of 250 mM (Hohorst, 1960, as quoted by Williamson *et al.*, 1967) and a temperature of 37° C] should not

prove too difficult, although the possibility of mechanistic changes in the reaction mechanism (McClure & Lardy, 1971) must be taken into consideration. Moreover, the information about the locus of action of nucleotides capable of inhibiting pyruvate carboxylase should prove useful at physiological pH values, where the concentrations of these compounds will be much higher. Subject to the possible complications of applying results obtained *in vitro* to the conditions that exist *in vivo*. (Sols & Marco, 1970), such a model could help to verify and possibly extend control systems that have been postulated for this enzyme (see McClure & Lardy, 1971, for references).

We thank the Medical Research Council for a studentship (to G. B. W.).

References

- Barden, R. E., Fung, C. H., Utter, M. F. & Scrutton, M. C. (1972) J. Biol. Chem. 247, 1323–1333
- Cha, S. (1968) J. Biol. Chem. 243, 820-825
- Dixon, M. (1953) Biochem. J. 55, 170-171
- Florini, J. R. & Vestling, C. S. (1957) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 25, 575-578
- Gumaa, K. A., McLean, P. & Greenbaum, A. L. (1971) Essays in Biochemistry 7, 39-86
- Levitzki, A. & Koshland, D. E. (1969) Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S. 62, 1121-1128
- Lineweaver, H. & Burk, D. (1934) J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 56, 658–666
- McClure, W. R. (1969) Biochemistry 8, 2782-2786
- McClure, W. R. & Lardy, H. A. (1971) J. Biol. Chem. 246, 3591-3596
- McClure, W. R., Lardy, H. A., Wagner, M. & Cleland, W. W. (1971) J. Biol. Chem. 246, 3579–3583
- Moss, J. & Lane, M. D. (1972) J. Biol. Chem. 247, 4952-4959
- Scrutton, M. C. (1971) Metabolism 20, 168-186
- Scrutton, M. C. & Mildvan, A. S. (1968) Biochemistry 7, 1490-1505
- Sols, A. & Marco, R. (1970) Curr. Top. Cell Regul. 2, 227-273
- Walker, W. D., Goodwin, F. J. & Cohen, R. D. (1969) Clin. Sci. 36, 409-417
- Warren, G. B. & Tipton, K. F. (1974a) Biochem. J. 139, 297-310
- Warren, G. B. & Tipton, K. F. (1974b) Biochem. J. 139, 311-320
- Williamson, D. H., Lund, P. & Krebs, H. A. (1967) Biochem. J. 103, 514–527