



Response Letter regarding the Article “Comparison between Fixation with Smooth Kirschner Wire and Cannulated Screws in Displaced Fractures of the Lateral Humeral Condyle in Children”

Carta resposta referente ao artigo “Comparison between Fixation with Smooth Kirschner Wire and Cannulated Screws in Displaced Fractures of the Lateral Humeral Condyle in Children”

Alberto Daniel Navarro Vergara¹  Alberto Navarro Fretes²

¹Hospital de Trauma “Manuel Giagni”, Hospital Central de IPS, Asunción, Paraguay

²Departamento de Ortopedia Infantil do HC-IPS, Asunción, Paraguay

Address for correspondence Alberto Daniel Navarro Vergara, M.D., Coronel Ángel López 1161, Asunción, Paraguay

(e-mail: djocote@hotmail.com).

Rev Bras Ortop 2024;59(6):e1010–e1011.

I sincerely appreciate the opportunity to respond to the constructive criticism that has been raised regarding our work entitled “Comparison between Fixation with Smooth Kirschner Wire and Cannulated Screws in Displaced Fractures of the Lateral Humeral Condyle in Children”, and would like to express my appreciation for the time and attention dedicated to reviewing our work. We have taken into consideration each of the points raised and, after a thorough analysis, we wish to provide a detailed response to each of the observations:

1. Exclusive use of the Weiss classification: We welcome the suggestion to consider integrating other classifications, such as Milch and Jacob, to provide a more complete perspective on lateral humeral condyle fractures. We agree that a combination of classifications could enrich our work and allow for a better understanding of the anatomy, displacement and outcomes of these fractures.^{1,2} In future

research, we will strive to incorporate multiple classifications for a more complete analysis.

2. Failure to use internal oblique radiographs (IOR): We appreciate your recommendation to use internal oblique radiographs to measure displacement of lateral condyle fractures. We understand that this view could provide additional information³ and a more accurate assessment of displacement,⁴ and we are committed to including it in future studies to improve the reliability of our results.
3. Choice of treatment for type II Weiss fractures: We recognize the relevance of your question about why we chose to perform open reduction in certain cases of type II Weiss fractures that, according to previous studies, could have been treated with closed reduction and percutaneous pinning (CRPP). Unfortunately, in these cases, there were specific factors that required a more invasive surgical approach, such as the time of evolution and failure to achieve reduction after attempting preoperative manipulation.⁵ However, we

received
July 25, 2023
accepted
August 10, 2023

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0044-1779323>.
ISSN 0102-3616.

© 2024. The Author(s).

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, permitting copying and reproduction so long as the original work is given appropriate credit (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Thieme Revinter Publicações Ltda., Rua do Matoso 170, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, CEP 20270-135, Brazil

understand the importance of always considering less invasive treatment options and will certainly justify our decisions in future publications.

4. Assessment of articular cartilage integrity: We appreciate the reference to studies that used arthrograms or ultrasound to assess the integrity of articular cartilage in lateral condyle fractures.^{1,6} We recognize the value of this information in clinical decision making and future studies, and will strive to include these assessments to determine the most appropriate treatment options based on the integrity of the articular cartilage.
5. Inconsistencies in the study: We appreciate you pointing out these inconsistencies in our work. We recognize that clarity and transparency are fundamental in any investigation and we apologize for discrepancies in the description of our methodology. In future publications, we will ensure that we provide a clear and consistent description of our study design and treatment-related decision making.
6. Assessment of functional outcome and complications: We took into consideration your suggestion to include more detailed data on observed range of motion and the time required for function to fully recover.^{7,8} We understand the importance of assessing long-term functional outcome timing and incidence of complications and we will strive to provide more complete information in future publications.

In summary, we sincerely welcome your criticisms and suggestions, as they allow us to improve the quality and relevance of our research. We took note of each point and are committed to addressing them in future research to strengthen the knowledge base in the field of lateral humeral condyle fractures. We thank you again for your time and consideration, and we hope that our future publications will

be of greater value to the scientific community and readers of *Revista Brasileira de Ortopedia*.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- 1 Weiss JM, Graves S, Yang S, Mendelsohn E, Kay RM, Skaggs DL. A new classification system predictive of complications in surgically treated pediatric humeral lateral condyle fractures. *J Pediatr Orthop* 2009;29(06):602–605
- 2 Launay F, Leet AI, Jacopin S, Jouve JL, Bollini G, Sponseller PD. Lateral humeral condyle fractures in children: a comparison of two approaches to treatment. *J Pediatr Orthop* 2004;24(04):385–391
- 3 Song KS, Kang CH, Min BW, Bae KC, Cho CH. Internal oblique radiographs for diagnosis of nondisplaced or minimally displaced lateral condylar fractures of the humerus in children. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2007;89(01):58–63
- 4 Imada H, Tanaka R, Itoh Y, Kishi K. Twenty-degree-tilt radiography for evaluation of lateral humeral condylar fracture in children. *Skeletal Radiol* 2010;39(03):267–272
- 5 Saraf SK, Khare GN. Late presentation of fractures of the lateral condyle of the humerus in children. *Indian J Orthop* 2011;45(01):39–44
- 6 Vocke-Hell AK, Schmid A. Sonographic differentiation of stable and unstable lateral condyle fractures of the humerus in children. *J Pediatr Orthop B* 2001;10(02):138–141
- 7 Song KS, Kang CH, Min BW, Bae KC, Cho CH, Lee JH. Closed reduction and internal fixation of displaced unstable lateral condylar fractures of the humerus in children. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2008;90(12):2673–2681
- 8 Pennock AT, Salgueiro L, Upasani VV, Bastrom TP, Newton PO, Yaszay B. closed reduction and percutaneous pinning versus open reduction and internal fixation for type II lateral condyle humerus fractures in children displaced >2. mm. *J Pediatr Orthop* 2016;36(08):780–786