

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Translational Oncology



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tranon

Original Research

Prognostic significance of perioperative circulating CD56^{bright} NK cell and recovery of NK cell activity in patients with colorectal cancer undergoing radical surgery

Jeng-Fu You^{a,b}, Cheng-Chi Lee^{b,c}, Yun-Shien Lee^d, Yih-Jong Chern^{a,b}, Chun-Kai Liao^{a,b}, Hung-Chih Hsu^{b,e,*}

^a Department of Colon and Rectal Surgery, Linkou Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Taoyuan, Taiwan

^b College of Medicine, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan, Taiwan

^c Department of Neurosurgery, Linkou Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Taoyuan, Taiwan

^d Department of Biotechnology, Ming Chuan University, Taoyuan, Taiwan

^e Division of Hematology-Oncology, Linkou Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Taoyuan, Taiwan

ARTICLE INFO

CD^{56bright} natural killer cell

Keywords:

Prognosis

surgery

Colorectal cancer

NK cell activity

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Natural killer (NK) cell activity (NKA) is downregulated in patients with colorectal cancer (CRC), and its dysfunction is possibly associated with increased risk of recurrence. However, its role in prognosis of CRC remains unclear. Prior research has shown that surgical stress can suppress NKA. This study explores the relationship between NK cell/NKA and clinicopathological factors during the perioperative period in patients with CRC.

Methods: We prospectively enrolled 45 patients with CRC. Venous blood samples were collected preoperatively and on postoperative day 3 (POD3) and 30 (POD30). NKA was assessed by measuring the plasma levels of NK cell-secreted IFN- γ .

Results: NKA was significantly reduced on POD3 compared with baseline levels before surgery but showed significant recovery by POD30. NKA on POD30 was considerably higher in patients with advanced disease stages or one or more high-risk preoperative factors. Additionally, a higher NKA recovery in patients with advanced stage exhibited improved recurrence-free survival (RFS) and progression-free survival (PFS) (hazards ratio (HR): 0.2442). Furthermore, an increased percentage of $CD56^{bright}$ NK cells and a higher $CD56^{bright}/CD56^{dim}$ NK cell ratio postoperatively on POD30 were associated with better RFS/PFS (HR: 0.2732, P = 0.0433 and HR: 0.2193, P = 0.024, respectively).

Conclusions: Our findings indicate that a notable postoperative increase in CD56^{bright} NK cells on POD30, both in percentage and ratio, correlates with a more favorable prognosis in CRC patients. Additionally, higher recovery rates of NKA in patients with advanced stages may offer potential applications in risk stratification and the development of treatment strategies for CRC.

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third-leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide [1]. According to the American Cancer Society's Cancer Facts & Figures 2021, the five-year relative survival rate for CRC is 65 %, though this rate varies depending on cancer stage. Surgical resection remains the primary treatment approach. Several factors are associated with poor CRC prognosis post-surgery, including poorly

differentiated histology, lymphovascular and perineural invasion, T4 stage, and elevated levels of carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and carbohydrate antigen 19–9 (CA19–9). These factors are closely linked to increased risks of recurrence, metastasis, and lower survival rates in patients with CRC [2–5]. Recent studies have also emphasized the profound influence of patients' inflammatory and nutritional statuses on cancer outcomes, with relevant biomarkers including the Royal Marsden Hospital (RMH) score, pan-immune-inflammation value (PIV), and

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranon.2024.102198

Received 5 July 2024; Received in revised form 13 October 2024; Accepted 10 November 2024

^{*} Corresponding author at: Division of Hematology-Oncology, Linkou Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, No. 5, Fu-Hsing Str., Guishan Dist., Taoyuan City 333, Taiwan.

E-mail address: dannyhsuyoyo@gmail.com (H.-C. Hsu).

^{1936-5233/© 2024} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) [6–8]. Moreover, emerging therapies in CRC have led to the identification of predictive and prognostic markers for therapy response and adverse effects, such as upfront chemotherapy in liver transplant patients with liver metastases of CRC and various side effects (e.g., peripheral neuropathy, headache, hypertransaminasemia, hearing loss) in patients undergoing immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy [9–12]. Additionally, immunosuppression has been associated with poor outcomes in cancer patients [13,14]. Notably, natural killer (NK) cell activity (NKA) is generally lower in CRC patients than in healthy individuals and tends to decrease further during the postoperative period [15]. However, the relationship between postoperative NKA downregulation, high-risk factors, and prognosis in CRC remains unclear.

NK cells are critical components of the innate immune system, characterized by their cytotoxic abilities. They play a dual role: directly eliminating infected or malignant cells and modulating adaptive immune responses through cytokine secretion [16,17]. The two major subsets of human NK cells, CD56^{dim}CD16⁺ and CD56^{bright}CD16⁻, are distinguished by the relative expression levels of CD56 and CD16 [18, 19]. CD56^{dim}CD16⁺ NK cells are the major circulating subset, accounting for approximately 90 % of all NK cells in the peripheral blood, whereas CD56^{bright}CD16⁻ NK cells predominate in tissues and secondary lymphoid organs [20]. Studies have demonstrated that CD56^{dim}CD16⁺ NK cells exert cytolytic activity via perforin and granzyme production, while CD56^{bright}CD16⁻ NK cells are responsible for secreting cytokines, such as interferon-γ (IFN-γ) and tumor necrosis factor-α [20–22].

NK cells produce IFN- γ to regulate immune responses [23], with studies showing that IFN- γ from NK cells can inhibit tumor growth and enhance cytolysis and apoptosis of tumor cells [24–27]. Additionally, IFN- γ has been implicated in suppressing metastasis [28,29]. Beyond their direct cytotoxic effects, NK cells also indirectly suppress cancer progression through IFN- γ -mediated regulation of other innate and adaptive immune cells, such as macrophages and T cells [30,31]. Consequently, measuring IFN- γ secretion offers valuable insights into the immune system's response during tumor progression.

In this study, we assessed the levels of IFN- γ secreted by NK cells (as NKA) using the NK VUE ELISA kit. We also analyzed NK cell subsets in patients with CRC during the preoperative and postoperative periods to evaluate the impacts of surgical intervention and preoperative risk factors on NKA and NK cell profiles following tumor resection.

Materials and methods

Patient selection

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and received approval from the Institutional Review Board of Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Linkou, Taiwan (Approval Number: 201900981B0). The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) histologically confirmed CRC; (2) undergoing bowel resection for primary colorectal adenocarcinoma; (3) diagnosed with stage I-IV CRC. Patients with autoimmune diseases, inflammatory conditions, severe hematological disorders, or major organ failure were excluded. We prospectively enrolled 45 patients from the referral center, all of whom underwent bowel resection for primary colorectal adenocarcinoma. Data collected included clinicopathological characteristics and measurement variables such as preoperative parameters (age, sex, CEA, and CA19-9, NLR [8]) and postoperative pathological features (histological grade, angiolymphatic invasion, perineural invasion, and final clinical staging). Additionally, healthy subjects were recruited to compare NKA between patients with CRC and healthy controls.

Whole blood processing

Blood was drawn from each patient at three distinct times: before

surgery (baseline, n = 45), 3 days after surgery (postoperative day (POD) 3, n = 43), and approximately 30 days post-surgery (POD30, n = 45).

Whole blood was collected in heparinized vacuum blood collection tubes (10 mL per tube). An aliquot of 1 mL of whole blood was transferred to a vacutainer tube containing $Promoca^{TM}$ for NK cell stimulation. The remaining blood was used to isolate peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) via Ficoll density gradient centrifugation. The isolated PBMCs were then stored at -80 °C for further analysis.

NKA assay

The level of IFN- γ secretion by NK cells was quantified using the NK VUE ELISA kit (NKMAX, Korea) following the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 1 mL of whole blood was incubated in a vacutainer tube containing PromocaTM at 37 °C for 22 h After incubation, the samples were centrifuged, and the plasma was collected and stored at -80 °C. For the assay, cryopreserved plasma samples were thawed and centrifuged at 11,500 × g for 1 min at room temperature. The supernatants were then transferred to ELISA wells and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. The wells were washed, and 100 μ l of detection solution containing biotin conjugate and streptavidin horseradish peroxidase was added, followed by an incubation for 1.5 h at room temperature. Tetramethylbenzidine solution was then added and incubated for 30 min, followed by a final wash. A stop solution was added, and the absorbance was measured at 450 nm to determine the concentration of IFN- γ secreted by the NK cells.

Flow cytometry analysis

PBMCs were isolated from whole blood and analyzed using flow cytometry. Briefly, cryopreserved PBMCs were thawed in a 37 °C water bath and transferred to a 15 mL centrifuge tube containing 5 mL of PBS. Then, PBMCs were incubated at 37 °C for 5 min, followed by centrifugation at $300 \times g$ for 10 min. The supernatant was discarded, and the PBMCs were resuspended in 1 mL of PBS. After incubating for an additional hour at 37 °C, the cells were centrifuged again at 300 \times g for 10 min. PBMCs were then resuspended and stained with directly conjugated monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) including CD45, CD3, CD56, and CD16 (BD Biosciences) for 1 h according to the manufacturer's instructions. Stained PBMCs were analyzed on a BD Fortessa flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). NK cells were identified using the phenotype CD45⁺CD3⁻CD16^{+/} ⁻CD56⁺. Flow cytometry data were processed using BD FACSDiva and FlowJo[™] software. The gating strategy was consistent with our prior studies on NK cell phenotypes in post-surgical glioblastoma patients [32].

Clinical outcome assessment

The primary clinical endpoints were recurrence-free survival (RFS) for stage I–III patients and progression-free survival (PFS) for stage IV patients. RFS was defined as the time interval between the date of surgery and the occurrence of disease recurrence or death, whichever came first. PFS was defined as the period from surgery to disease progression or death, whichever occurred first.

Statistical analysis

Comparisons between two distinct groups were performed using the two-tailed Mann–Whitney U test. For paired samples, comparisons at specified time points were conducted using the two-tailed Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-rank test. Correlations between perioperative changes in NKA and NK cell subsets were assessed using Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient (r). Changes in the percentage of each NK cell subset during the perioperative period were measured for their association with RFS and PFS. Prognostic cutoff points for associating NK cell subset/NKA values with survival were established to optimize the significance of splits in Kaplan-Meier plots [33].

Kaplan–Meier analyses and log-rank tests were used to investigate and compare survival rates within patient subgroups. Hazard ratios (HRs) were calculated using Cox regression analysis and are presented with their 95 % confidence intervals (CIs). Univariate Cox regression analysis evaluated the relationship between baseline factors and survival rate. Variables with *P* values < 0.05 were included in the multivariable Cox regression model. All statistical tests were two-sided, and a *P* value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Notations for significance are as follows: * indicates P < 0.05; ** indicates P < 0.01; *** indicates P < 0.001.

Results

Demographic characteristics

The demographic variables of the patients—including sex, age, histologic grade, angiolymphatic invasion, perineural invasion, CEA and CA19–9 levels, and TNM stage—are summarized in Table 1. Patients with advanced stages showed higher pathologic N stages and increased

Table 1

Demographic and baseline clinicopathologic characteristics.

angiolymphatic invasion. We divided our patient population into two groups based on the presence (high risk) or absence (low risk) of specific clinicopathological risk factors, that included poorly differentiated histology, lymphovascular invasion, perineural invasion, T4 stage, and preoperative CEA levels >5 ng/mL and CA19–9 levels >37 U/mL.

NKA at the preoperative period, POD3, and POD30

As illustrated in Supplementary Figure 1, CRC patients exhibited significantly lower NKA than healthy subjects (21.8 pg/mL vs. 874.0 pg/mL, p = 0.0013), indicating a severe impairment of NKA in CRC patients. To assess the impact of surgery on NKA, we measured NKA levels before surgery (baseline) and on POD3 and POD30, as shown in Fig. 1A. NKA was significantly reduced on POD3 (median: 20.9 pg/mL, 95 % CI: 16.1–30.2) compared to the baseline (median: 125.8 pg/mL, 95 % CI: 75.23–163.4), with a median difference of -92.1 pg/mL (95 % CI: -163.4 to -40.24). Conversely, NKA showed a marked recovery on POD30 (median: 190.1 pg/mL, 95 % CI: 126.5–503.4), significantly higher than the baseline and POD3 levels. The median differences in NKA on POD30 compared to POD3 and the baseline were 160.7 pg/mL (95 % CI: 72.83–491.1) and 40.96 pg/mL (95 % CI: -1.4 to 238.4), respectively. The average follow-up duration was 27.5 months (standard deviation:

	Total N(%)	Stage I/II N(%)	Stage III/IV N(%)	p-value	Low risk group N(%)	High risk group N(%)	p-value
Total	45	23	22		15	30	
Age(median years, 64; 95 % CI, 61–67)				0.051			0.205
< 65 y/o	24(53)	9(39)	15(68)		6(40)	18(60)	
> = 65 y/o	21(47)	14(61)	7(32)		9(60)	12(40)	
Gender				0.1			0.673
Female	22(49)	14(61)	8(36)		8(53)	14(47)	
male	23(51)	9(39	14(64)		7(47)	16(53)	
Histology grade				0.274			0.138
low	41(91)	22(96)	19(86)		15(100)	26(87)	
High	4(9)	1(4)	3(14)		0(0)	4(13)	
pathologic T stage*				0.515			0.001
T1	4(9)	3(13)	1(5)		3(20)	1(3)	
T2	4(9)	3(13)	1(5)		4(27)	0(0)	
Т3	29(64)	13(57)	16(72)		8(53)	21(72)	
T4	8(18)	4(17)	4(18)		0(0)	8(27)	
pathologic N stage*				< 0.001			0.105
NO	23(51)	23(100)	0(0)		11(73)	12(40)	
N1	15(33)	0(0)	15(68)		3(20)	12(40)	
N2	7(16)	0(0)	7(32)		1(7)	6(20)	
M stage*				< 0.015			0.094
MO	40(89)	23(100)	17(77)		15(100)	25(83)	
M1	5(11)	0(0)	5(23)		0(0)	5(17)	
Angiolymphatic invasion				< 0.001			0.001
absent	31(69)	22(96)	9(41)		15(100)	16(53)	
present	14(31)	1(4)	13(59)		0(0)	14(47)	
Perineural invasion(PNI)				0.425			0.011
absent	35(78)	19(83)	16(73)		15(100)	20(67)	
present	10(22)	4(17)	6(27)		0(0)	10(33)	
Preop CEA				0.053			< 0.001
$\leq 5 \text{ ng/ml}$	25(56)	16(70)	9(41)		15(100)	10(33)	
> 5 ng/ml	20(44)	7(30)	13(59)		0(0)	20(67)	
Preop CA199				0.053			0.018
<=37U/ML	36(80)	21(91)	15(68)		15(100)	21(70)	
>37U/ML	9(20)	2(9)	8(32)		0(0)	9(30)	
Preop N/L ratio				0.666	12(80)	22(73)	0.624
<3	34(76)	18(78)	16(73)		3(20)	8(27)	
>=3	11(24)	5(22)	6(27)				
Blood drawing							
Baseline	45	23	22		15	30	
POD3	43	22	21		15	28	
POD30	45	23	22		15	30	

P values were derived by using Chi-square test.

CI, confidence interval; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; CA19–9, carbohydrate antigen 19–9; AJCC, the American Joint Committee Cancer; POD, postoperative day; N/L ration, Neutrophil/Lymphocyte ration

AJCC (American Joint Committee Cancer) TNM stage, 7th edition





Stage I/II







(caption on next page)

Fig. 1. NKA expression in the perioperative period based on stage and risk factors. (A) NKA was downregulated after surgery on POD3 and recovered on POD30. The NK VUE ELISA assay was used to measure NKA levels in the peripheral blood of patients with CRC. (B) NKA was upregulated at the recovery stage (POD30) when compared to baseline in patients with stage III/IV CRC. (C) NKA was significantly upregulated on POD30 in patients with high-risk factors. (Data were statistically analyzed using the two-tailed Mann–Whitney U test and two-tailed Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-rank test and are presented as a scatter plot with the median. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001); NKA: natural killer cell activity; CRC: colorectal cancer.

6.5 months, range: 9.6-34.8 months).

Higher recovery of NKA in patients with advanced tumor stages and preoperative high-risk factors

Patients were classified into early (stage I/II) and advanced (stage III/IV) stages according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) staging system. At baseline, no significant differences in NKA were observed between the two groups (stage I/II, median: 118.9 pg/mL, 95 % CI: 41.1–167.2; stage III/IV, median: 141.5 pg/mL, 95 % CI: 50.4–234) as shown in Fig. 2A. However, NKA was significantly higher on POD30 (median: 356.5 pg/mL, 95 % CI: 126.5–764.1) than at baseline (median: 141.5 pg/mL, 95 % CI: 50.4–234) in patients with stage III/IV CRC (baseline, median: 118.9 pg/mL, 95 % CI: 41.1–167.2; POD30, median: 143.7 pg/mL, 95 % CI: 61.6–500.3) (Fig. 2B and C, Supplementary Table 1). NKA was dramatically decreased on POD3 in patients with either stage I/II (median: 18.3 pg/mL, 95 % CI: 3.9–33.1) or stage III/IV (median: 21.01 pg/mL, 95 % CI: 17–44.9) CRC (Fig. 1B, Supplementary Table 1).

Further investigation into whether clinicopathological risk factors were correlated with the postoperative recovery of NKA on POD30 revealed that although there was no statistical difference in NKA between low-risk and high-risk groups at baseline (low risk, median: 118.9 pg/mL, 95 % CI: 40.6–466.8; high risk, median: 127.8 pg/mL, 95 % CI: 65.4-213.5), NKA on POD30 was significantly higher (median: 317.7 pg/mL, 95 % CI: 92.2–537.2) compared to baseline in high-risk patients (Fig. 1C, Supplementary Table 1). In contrast, in low-risk patients, NKA showed no significant change from baseline to POD30 (median: 165 pg/ mL, 95 % CI: 41.4-838.4) (Fig. 1C, Supplementary Table 1). Regardless of risk status, NKA was markedly decreased on POD3 compared with baseline (low-risk, median: 22.46 pg/mL, 95 % CI: 2.3-36.4; high-risk, median: 20.3 pg/mL, 95 % CI: 16.1-35.6) (Fig. 1A and B, Supplementary Table 1). During the perioperative period, postoperative increments in circulating CD56^{dim} NK cells were significantly positively correlated with increments in CD56⁺ NK cells (r: 0.75, P = 0.00) but negatively with increments in CD56^{bright} NK cells (r: -0.304, P = 0.043). However, postoperative recovery of NKA was not associated with postoperative increments in circulating NK cell subsets.

Association between clinicopathologic factors and perioperative change of NKA and circulating NK cell subset

Patients with a higher postoperative increase in the percentage of CD56^{bright} NK cells were younger. Additionally, those with a greater postoperative increase in the percentage of CD56^{bright}/CD16⁻ NK cells had lower preoperative CA19–9 levels. Although patients with a higher histological grade exhibited a higher postoperative circulating CD56^{bright} NK cell/CD56^{dim} NK cell ratio, the sample size is too small to draw definitive conclusions; thus, further patient enrollment is necessary to confirm these findings (Table 2).

Association between RFS/PFS and perioperative change of NKA and circulating NK cell subset

In the entire group, postoperative NKA recovery was not significantly associated with RFS or PFS in patients with CRC (Fig. 2A). However, in patients with stage III/IV disease, those with higher postoperative NKA recovery on POD30 demonstrated improved RFS and PFS (Fig. 2B, HR: 0.2442). Functional NK cell subsets contribute to NKA, including

CD56^{bright}/CD16⁻ and CD56^{dim}/CD16⁺ cells. Therefore, we analyzed the association between perioperative changes in the percentage or postoperative ratio of circulating functional NK cell subsets and RFS/PFS. A higher postoperative increase in the percentage of CD56^{bright} NK cells on POD30 was associated with better RFS/PFS (Fig. 2C, HR: 0.2732, P = 0.0433). Conversely, a higher postoperative increase in the percentage of CD56 ^{dim}/CD16⁺ NK cells was linked to worse survival outcomes (Fig. 2D, HR: 7.752, P = 0.0209). Patients with a higher postoperative circulating CD56^{bright} NK cell/CD56^{dim} NK cell ratio on POD30 also experienced better RFS/PFS (Fig. 2E, HR: 0.2193, P = 0.024), similar to the CD56^{bright}_CD16⁻ NK cells / CD56^{dim}_CD16⁺ NK cells ratio group (Fig. 2F, HR: 0.233, P = 0.0338).

Compared with the entire group, higher postoperative increments in the percentage of $CD56^{dim}$ NK and $CD56^+$ NK cells on POD30 were significantly associated with poorer RFS/PFS in patients with advanced-stage CRC (Fig. 3A, HR: 8.945, P = 0.0129 and Fig. 3B, HR: 6.868, P = 0.0363) and in those with preoperative high-risk factors (Fig. 3C, HR: 5.897, P = 0.0093 and Fig. 3D, HR: 5.192, P = 0.0191). Further multivariable analysis of clinicopathological and NK cell subset parameters identified no independent prognostic markers for RFS/PFS (Supplementary Table 2).

Subgroup analysis of RFS/PFS in the entire group and groups stratified by preoperative risk and stage

A higher postoperative circulating CD56^{bright} NK cell/CD56^{dim} NK cell ratio on POD30 was associated with improved RFS and PFS across the entire group (Fig. 4), as well as in patients with stage III/IV disease and those classified as preoperative high-risk (Fig. 5A and B). This trend was similarly observed in the CD56^{bright}_CD16⁻ NK cell/CD56^{dim}_CD16⁺ cell group. Additionally, higher postoperative NKA recovery was linked to better RFS/PFS in the stage III/IV group (Fig. 5A). Other clinical factors such as T stage, N stage, preoperative levels of CEA and CA19–9, angiolymphatic invasion, perineural invasion, and preoperative NLR also showed significant associations with RFS/PFS in the whole group (Fig. 4).

Discussion

This study assessed changes in NKA before and after tumor resection and examined the association between preoperative risk factors and NKA in patients with CRC. We measured the levels of IFN- γ secreted by NK cells in patients with CRC preoperatively and postoperatively. We found that NKA was significantly reduced on POD3 and recovered to at least baseline levels by POD30. Notably, on POD30, NKA was significantly higher compared to preoperative levels, particularly in patients diagnosed with advanced-stage disease or those with one or more preoperative high-risk factors. Additionally, a greater recovery of NKA in these patients was associated with improved RFS and PFS. A higher CD56^{bright} NK cell/CD56^{dim} NK cell ratio on POD30 was also significantly correlated with better RFS/PFS in patients with CRC. These findings suggest that the degree of NKA upregulation following surgeryinduced suppression is linked to tumor stage and preoperative high-risk characteristics, highlighting the importance of postoperative CD56^{bright} NK cells and NKA in the clinical outcomes of patients with CRC after curative surgery and providing a comprehensive overview of the immune response.

Numerous preclinical and clinical studies have reported that surgical manipulation can enhance metastatic disease and shorten overall



Fig. 2. Relationship between perioperative change of circulating lymphocyte subsets with varying immunophenotypes and recurrence-free survival (RFS)/progression-free survival (PFS) in the entire group. (A) RFS/PFS for NKA recovery on POD30 in the entire group. (B) RFS/PFS for NKA recovery on POD30 in the stage III/IV group. (C) RFS/PFS for postoperative increment of percentage of CD56^{bright} NK cell on POD30 in the entire group. (D) RFS/PFS for postoperative increment of percentage of CD56^{dim}_CD16⁺ NK cell on POD30 in the entire group. (E) RFS/PFS for postoperative circulating CD56^{bright} NK cell/CD56^{dim}_NK cell ratio in the entire group. (F) RFS/PFS for postoperative circulating CD56^{bright}_CD16⁻ NK cell/CD56^{dim}_CD16⁺ cell ratio in the entire group.

survival [34–38]. In contrast, NK cell-secreted IFN- γ has been shown to play a crucial role in anti-metastasis [39–41], promoting the accumulation, activation, and cytotoxic ability of NK cells to eradicate metastatic cancer cells. Additionally, depletion of IFN- γ significantly enhances metastasis in a mouse model [39,41]. NK cells are also essential for eliminating circulating tumor cells [42]. Furthermore, evidence suggests that surgery-induced NK cell dysfunction is strongly linked to postoperative metastasis and recurrence [43,44]. Perioperative measures to prevent surgery-suppressed NKA can reduce postoperative metastatic disease and recurrence [43,45]. These findings underscore the indispensable roles of IFN- γ and NK cells in preventing postoperative metastasis. In our study, we confirmed that NKA was markedly suppressed in patients with CRC three days after surgical resection, likely due to surgical stress. However, NKA recovered by POD30 and even increased significantly compared to baseline levels. The NK VUE ELISA, an alternative assay, proved to be a powerful and convenient tool for measuring NKA in peripheral blood [46]. Unlike traditional methods such as tumor killing and degranulation assays, its high-throughput, time-efficient, and reproducible characteristics make it well-suited for clinical practice.

Table 2

Association between clinicopathologic factors and Perioperative change of NKA and Circulating NK Cell subset.

Image: Problem Prob Pro Prob Prob	2037 value 200 0.648 57) 330 0.1 180 12) 0.766
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	(%) 20) 0.648 57) 33) 0.1 78) (2) 0.766
Mark Mark <th< th=""><th>20) 0.648 57) 33) 0.1 78) 12) 0.766</th></th<>	20) 0.648 57) 33) 0.1 78) 12) 0.766
Matrix	0.648 67) 0.1 78) 12) 0.766
Image: state	67) 33) 0.1 78) 12) 0.766
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.1 78) 22) 0.766
Answer Answer<	0.1 78) 22) 0.766
Observation	22) 0.766
$ \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \end{array} \end{array} \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \circ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \circ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \circ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \circ$	78) 22) 0.766
1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	0.766
Processes Operation Operation <t< td=""><td>0.766</td></t<>	0.766
UNDEPENDENT CONFERENCE NO. 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 10	
10 ^{-0.3} 24 ⁻⁰⁷ 14 ⁻⁰⁶ 14 ⁻⁰⁶ 14 ⁻⁰⁵ 14 ⁻⁰⁶ 14 ⁻⁰⁵ 14 ⁻⁰⁶ 14 ⁻⁰⁵ 0 ⁰ 0 ⁰ 14 ⁻⁰⁵ 14 ⁰⁵ 14	
	563
- 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10	
0000 from bacine(%) 0.012 0.661 0.661 0.526 0.176 0.661 0.689 0.332 0.632 0.431 0.433	0.37
ex-0.6 21/477 7/39 14(67) 11/99 10/431 11/501 6/40 15/50 19/46 2/50 16/52 5/361 17/491 4/400 13/50 4/36 13/521 14/401 13/50	33)
b6 24(3) 17/71 7(3) 11(50) 13(57) 13(57) 15(50) 6(75) 14(40) 13(57) 15(50) 15(40) 15(40) 16(51) 6(60) 17(10) 7(40) 13(50) 13(50)	57)
CD96 ⁴⁰⁴⁶ / CD36 ⁴⁰ / N cell rate F0030 0.457 0.92 0.42 0.661 0.92 0.067 0.905 0.39 0.752 0.428	0.147
	111
Fold Charge P0000 from baseline(%) 0.905 0.051 0.873 1 0.835 0.873 0.363 0.322 0.622 0.547 0.316	0.017
<16 24(51) 15(64) 15(54) 15(64) 9(99) 12(52) 12(52) 12(53) 16(53) 4(50) 21(51) 3(75) 15(44) 9(64) 18(51) 6(60) 19(56) 5(45) 15(66) 9(45) 16(67) 19(56) 5(45) 16(67) 19(56) 5(45) 16(67) 19(56) 5(45) 16(67) 19(56) 5(45) 16(67) 19(56) 5(45) 16(67) 19(56) 5(45) 16(67) 19(56) 5(45) 16(67) 19(56) 5(45) 16(67) 19(56) 5(45) 16(67) 19(56) 5(45) 16(67) 19(56) 5(45) 16(67) 19(56) 16(67) 19(56) 5(45) 16(67) 19(56) 16(67) 19(56) 16(67) 19(56) 16(67) 19(56) 16(67) 19(56) 16(67) 19(56) 16(67) 19(56) 16(67) 19(56) 16(67) 19(56) 16(67) 19(56) 16(67) 19(56) 16(67) 19(56) 16(67) 19(56) 16(67) 19(56) 16(67)<	89)
>16 21(47) 11(46) 10(48) 7(32) 14(61) 11(48) 10(45) 7(47) 14(47) 4(50) 17(46) 11(48) 10(45) 20(49) 1(25) 16(52) 5(23) 17(49) 4 15(44) 6(55) 10(40) 11(55) 20(56)	11)
Percentage of C056 ⁶⁶ _C016 ⁶ MK cell 0129 0.445 0.928 1 0.118 0.928 0.927 0.936 0.28 0.129 0.651	0.128
Told Change POCIDE from baseline(%)	
ac25 3373 1563 1888 1568 1878 1774 1873 1173 2273 788 2870 1074 1673 3075 2477 964 2777 660 2368 1091 1976 1470 2878	360
	10
Class 0.138 0.279 0.815 0.866 0.789 0.815 0.033 0.458 0.93 0.892 0.666	0.188
«4.022 12(1) 14(1) 14(1) 14(1) 14(1) 14(1) 14(1) 14(1) 14(1) 14(1) 14(1) 11(1)	39)
L=0,022 13029 6025 7330 8360 51221 7336 6027 4027 91301 71301 61271 10024 3075 10032 31231 10029 31201 10029 30277 100401 31151 121331	

P values were derived by using Chi-square test; NK cell, Nature Killer cell; NKA, Nk cell activity; POD, postoperaive day



Fig. 3. Relationship between perioperative change of circulating lymphocyte subsets with varying immunophenotypes and recurrence-free survival (RFS)/progression-free survival (PFS) in the stage II/IV group and high-risk group. (A) RFS/PFS for postoperative increments of percentage of CD56^{dim} NK cell on POD30 in stage III/IV group. (B) RFS/PFS for postoperative increments of percentage of CD56⁺ NK cell on POD30 in stage III/IV group. (C) RFS/PFS for postoperative increments of percentage of CD56^{dim} NK cell on POD30 in preoperative high-risk group (D) RFS/PFS for postoperative increments of percentage of CD56⁺ NK cell on POD30 in preoperative high-risk group.

Some clinicopathological risk factors are associated with poor prognosis, recurrence, metastasis, and decreased survival in cancer patients. Clinicians often consider these risk factors when making treatment decisions. In this study, we evaluated commonly used risk factors, such as poorly differentiated histology, lymphovascular invasion, perineural invasion, T4 stage, and elevated tumor markers (CEA and CA19–9), to explore their relationship with NKA during the perioperative period of tumor resection. Our results indicated that preoperative

Entire group

)
N 2N

Worse survival Better survival

Fig. 4. Forest plot of hazard ratios (HRs) with 95 % confidence intervals (CI) for recurrence-free survival (RFS)/ progression-free survival (PFS) in the entire group.

high-risk factors correlated with NKA recovery on POD30, with NKA increasing on POD30 compared to baseline in patients with high-risk factors. Similarly, tumor stage—whether early or advanced—was associated with NKA recovery on POD30, with a significant increase in NKA on POD30 compared to baseline observed in patients with advanced tumor stages. Consequently, NKA may serve as an adjunct marker for determining postoperative therapy in clinical practice, though this requires further evaluation.

Our findings demonstrated that NKA was suppressed on POD3 and returned to at least baseline levels by POD30, regardless of tumor stage or risk factors. Patients with advanced tumor stages showed notable NKA recovery on POD30 compared to the preoperative baseline levels. Additionally, patients who exhibited higher NKA recovery on POD30 had better RFS and PFS. This suggests that NKA is crucial for managing relatively advanced CRC tumors. The P-value was not significant, possibly due to inadequate follow-up time and a limited number of patients. Another factor is that IFN- γ , while a key contributor to NKA, is not the sole mediator; other cytokines such as TNF- α , IL-2, IL-12, IL-15, and IL-18 also play roles in inducing NK 'cell cytotoxicity [47]. Therefore, it is necessary to utilize a convenient method such as the whole blood NK cytotoxicity assay for clinical laboratory research, such as the flow cytometry-based overnight whole blood NK cytotoxicity assay [48].

CD56^{bright} NK cells are now recognized as more than just a minor subpopulation among total NK cells. Due to their ability to produce

A. Stage II/IV group

Hazard Ratio

Variable	HR 95% CI P value
Age>65	0.3 (0.1 to 1.7) 0.292
Male	1.2 (0.3 to 6.0) 0.7932
Т 3/4	3 (0.1 to 70.5) 0.4966
N 2	3.6 (0.7 to 19.3) 0.0655
High histologic grade	0.3 (0.0 to 2.5) 0.2648
Angioymphatic invasion present	4.5 (1.0 to 20.2) 0.121
Perineural invasion present	10.2 (1.6 to 65.9) 0.0005
NKA value Fold Change POD30 from Baseline >1.35	0.2 (0.1 to 1.1) 0.0575
Preop N/L ratio baseline >3	3.1 (0.5 to 20.1) 0.1109
Preop CEA >5	5.9 (1.2 to 26.4) 0.0266
Preop CA199 > 37	6544 (1.2 to 34.3) 0.0087
Percentage of CD56 ^{bright} NK cell Fold Change POD30 from Baseline(%)> -3	0.3 (0.1 to 1.2) 0.0947
Percentage of CD56 ^{dim} NK cell Fold Change POD30 from Baseline(%) > -0.5	8.9 (2.0 to 40.5) 0.0128
Percentage of CD56 ⁺ NK cell Fold Change POD30 from Baseline(%) > -0.6	6.9 (1.6 to 30.3) 0.0363
CD56 ^{bright} / CD56 ^{dim} NK cell ratio POD30 >0.023	0.2 (0.0 to 1.0) 0.0456
Percentage of CD56 ^{bright} _CD16 ⁻ NK cell Fold Change POD30 from baseline(%) >16	0.2 (0.0 to 0.8) 0.0686
Percentage of CD56 ^{dim} _CD16 ⁺ NK cell Fold Change POD30 from baseline(%) > -2.5	4.8 (1.1 to 21.4) 0.1028
CD56 ^{briight} _CD16 ^{-/} CD56 ^{dim} CD16 ⁺ NK cell ratio POD30>0.022	0.2 (0.0 to 1.2) 0.0864
	-10 0 10 20

Better survival Worse survival

B. High risk group

Variable	HR	95% CI	P value	Hazard Ratio
Age>65	0.6	0.2 to 2.0	0.4271	
Male	1.1	0.3 to 3.7	0.9231	₩
stage	1.6	0.4 to 5.6	0.5018	HH
Т 3/4	2.9	0.1 to 85.4	0.5441	
N 1/2	1.6	0.4 to 5.6	0.5018	H+1
High histologic grade	0.3	0.1 to 1.8	0.1933	
perimeural invasion present	2.3	0.6 to 8.6	0.1841	⊢ •−
angiolymphatic invasion present	3.2	0.9 to 11.4	0.0688	┝╼┥
Preop CEA>5	5.1	1.4 to 18.5	0/0134	⊢−┩─┥
Preop CA199>37	4.3	1.0 to 17.7	0.0131	┝━╋╄━┥
NKA value Fold Change POD30 from Baseline >1.35	0.7	0.2 to 2.5	0.6168	
Preop N/L ratio baseline >3	4	0.9 to 18.9	0.0157	┝━━╇┝━━┥
Percentage of CD56 ^{bright} NK cell Fold Change POD30 from Baseline(%)>-3	0.3	0.1 to 1.2	0.109	
Percentage of CD56 ^{dim} NK cell Fold Change POD30 from Baseline(%) > -0.5	5.9	1.7 to 21.3	0.0093	
Percentage of CD56 ⁺ NK cell Fold Change POD30 from Baseline(%) > -0.6	5.2	1.5 to 18.2	0.0191	┝━╇┥┥
CD56 ^{briight} / CD56 ^{dim} NK cell ratio POD30 >0.023	0.2	0.1 to 0.8	0.0176	₩
Percentage of CD56 ^{brig1} _CD16 NK cell Fold Change POD30 from baseline(%) >16	0.3	0.1 to 0.9	0.0573	
Percentage of CD56 ^{dim} _CD16 ⁺ NK cell Fold Change POD30 from baseline(%) > -2.5	10	2.9 to 34.7	0.0064	┝━━┼╺╋━━━━
CD56 ^{briight} _CD16 ^{-/} CD56 ^{dim} CD16 ⁺ NK cell ratio POD30>0.022	0.2	0.1 to 0.8	0.0199	

Better survival Worse survival

Fig. 5. Forest plot of hazard ratios (HRs) with 95 % confidence intervals (CI) for recurrence-free survival (RFS)/ progression-free survival (PFS) in the stage III/IV group and high-risk group, (A) Stage III/IV groups. (B) high-risk group.

various cytokines, they play a critical role in early immune responses and in shaping the adaptive immune response through IFN- γ and act as regulatory NK cells through interleukin-10 (IL-10) [49]. In comparison with CD56^{dim} NK cells, human CD56^{bright} NK cells uniquely contribute to the innate immune response as the primary producers of immunoregulatory cytokines such as IFN- γ , thereby regulating NKA [50]. Our findings indicate that a higher CD56^{bright} NK cell/CD56^{dim} NK cell ratio and increased percentages of circulating CD56^{dim} NK cells on POD30 were significantly associated with improved RFS and PFS in patients with CRC. Conversely, higher postoperative increments of circulating CD56^{dim} NK and CD56⁺ NK cells on POD30 were significantly associated with poorer RFS/PFS. These results underscore the prognostic importance of CD56^{bright} NK cells and their role in regulating NKA. This study has several limitations. First, the small number of cases limited further exploration of potential confounders associated with NKA recovery after CRC surgery. Second, despite the clinical significance of NKA recovery on POD30 in patients with advanced tumor stages and high-risk factors, the underlying mechanisms remain poorly understood. Therefore, prospective studies with larger sample sizes and the inclusion of healthy controls are warranted to elucidate these findings further.

Conclusion

Our results reveal that a higher postoperative increase in the percentage/ratio of CD56^{bright} NK cells on POD30 in CRC patients correlates with better clinical outcomes and enhanced recovery of NKA in those with advanced-stage disease. These findings not only offer potential implications for the use of postoperative NKA and circulating NK cell subset analyses in patient risk stratification and the development of treatment strategies but also provide a foundation for CRC research. This includes molecular classification to guide precision therapy by interpreting NKA data and analysis of NK cell subsets. Further research is necessary to confirm the role and function of recovered NK cells following the surgical removal of CRC tumors and to investigate potential confounding factors that may affect NKA recovery.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Jeng-Fu You: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Software, Resources, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. Cheng-Chi Lee: Resources, Methodology, Investigation, Conceptualization. Yun-Shien Lee: Resources, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation. Yih-Jong Chern: Resources, Investigation, Data curation. Chun-Kai Liao: Software, Resources, Formal analysis, Data curation. Hung-Chih Hsu: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Supervision, Software, Resources, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the Chang Gung Memorial Hospital and University for their support in this research. This work was supported by grants from Chang Gung Memorial Hospital (CMRPG3J1531 to Jeng-Fu You).

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.tranon.2024.102198.

References

- [1] F Bray, M Laversanne, H Sung, J Ferlay, RL Siegel, I Soerjomataram, A. Jemal, Global cancer statistics 2022: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries, CA Cancer J. Clin. 74 (3) (2024) 229–263, https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21834. May-Jun.
- [2.] H. Ueno, H. Mochizuki, Y. Hashiguchi, M. Ishiguro, Y. Kajiwara, T. Sato, H. Shimazaki, K. Hase, I.C. Talbot, Histological grading of colorectal cancer: a simple and objective method, Ann. Surg. 247 (2008) 811–818, https://doi.org/ 10.1097/SLA.0b013e318167580f.
- [3.] Y. Akagi, Y. Adachi, T. Ohchi, T. Kinugasa, K. Shirouzu, Prognostic impact of lymphatic invasion of colorectal cancer: a single-center analysis of 1,616 patients over 24 years, Anticancer Res. 33 (2013) 2965–2970.
- [4.] J.A. Cienfuegos, P. Martinez, J. Baixauli, C. Beorlegui, S. Rosenstone, J.J. Sola, J. Rodriguez, J.L. Hernandez-Lizoain, Perineural Invasion is a Major Prognostic and Predictive Factor of Response to Adjuvant Chemotherapy in Stage I-II Colon Cancer, Ann. Surg. Oncol. 24 (2017) 1077–1084, https://doi.org/10.1245/ s10434-016-5561-0.
- [5.] G. Li Destri, A.S. Rubino, R. Latino, F. Giannone, R. Lanteri, B. Scilletta, A. Di Cataldo, Preoperative carcinoembryonic antigen and prognosis of colorectal cancer. An independent prognostic factor still reliable, Int. Surg. 100 (2015) 617–625, https://doi.org/10.9738/INTSURG-D-14-00100.1.
- [6.] TK Sahin, A Rizzo, S Aksoy, DC. Guven, Prognostic Significance of the Royal Marsden Hospital (RMH) Score in Patients with Cancer: A Systematic Review and

Meta-Analysis, Cancers. (Basel) 16 (10) (2024) 1835, https://doi.org/10.3390/ cancers16101835. May 11.

- [7.] DC Guven, TK Sahin, E Erul, S Kilickap, T Gambichler, S. Aksoy, The association between the pan-immune-inflammation value and cancer prognosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis, Cancers. (Basel) 14 (11) (2022) 2675, https://doi.org/ 10.3390/cancers14112675. May 27.
- [8.] A Misiewicz, Dymicka-Piekarska V. Fashionable, but What is their real clinical usefulness? nlr, lmr, and plr as a promising indicator in colorectal cancer prognosis: a systematic review, J. Inflamm. Res. 16 (2023) 69–81, https://doi.org/ 10.2147/JIR.S391932. Jan 7.
- [9.] G Brandi, AD Ricci, A Rizzo, C Zanfi, S Tavolari, A Palloni, S De Lorenzo, M Ravaioli, M. Cescon, Is post-transplant chemotherapy feasible in liver transplantation for colorectal cancer liver metastases? Cancer Commun. (Lond) 40 (9) (2020) 461–464, https://doi.org/10.1002/cac2.12072. Sep.
- [10.] A Rizzo, M Santoni, V Mollica, F Logullo, M Rosellini, A Marchetti, L Faloppi, N Battelli, F. Massari, Peripheral neuropathy and headache in cancer patients treated with immunotherapy and immuno-oncology combinations: the MOUSEION-02 study, Expert. Opin. Drug Metab. Toxicol. 17 (12) (2021) 1455–1466, https://doi.org/10.1080/17425255.2021.2029405. Dec.
- [11.] A Rizzo, V Mollica, V Tateo, E Tassinari, A Marchetti, M Rosellini, R De Luca, M Santoni, F. Massari, Hypertransaminasemia in cancer patients receiving immunotherapy and immune-based combinations: the MOUSEION-05 study, Cancer Immunol. Immunher. 72 (6) (2023) 1381–1394, https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00262-023-03366-x. Jun.
- [12.] DC Guven, E Erul, Y Kaygusuz, B Akagunduz, S Kilickap, R De Luca, A. Rizzo, Immune checkpoint inhibitor-related hearing loss: a systematic review and analysis of individual patient data, Support. Care Cancer 31 (12) (2023) 624, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-023-08083-w. Oct 11.
- [13.] D.S. Vinay, E.P. Ryan, G. Pawelec, W.H. Talib, J. Stagg, E. Elkord, T. Lichtor, W. K. Decker, R.L. Whelan, H. Kumara, E. Signori, K. Honoki, A.G. Georgakilas, A. Amin, W.G. Helferich, C.S. Boosani, G. Guha, M.R. Ciriolo, S. Chen, S. I. Mohammed, A.S. Azmi, W.N. Keith, A. Bilsland, D. Bhakta, D. Halicka, H. Fujii, K. Aquilano, S.S. Ashraf, S. Nowsheen, X. Yang, B.K. Choi, B.S. Kwon, Immune evasion in cancer: mechanistic basis and therapeutic strategies, Semin. Cancer Biol. 35 (2015) S185–S198, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2015.03.004. Suppl.
- [14.] N.E. Papaioannou, O.V. Beniata, P. Vitsos, O. Tsitsilonis, P. Samara, Harnessing the immune system to improve cancer therapy, Ann. Transl. Med. 4 (2016) 261, https://doi.org/10.21037/atm.2016.04.01.
- [15.] L. Angka, A.B. Martel, M. Kilgour, A. Jeong, M. Sadiq, C.T. de Souza, L. Baker, M. A. Kennedy, N. Kekre, R.C. Auer, Natural killer cell ifngamma secretion is profoundly suppressed following colorectal cancer surgery, Ann. Surg. Oncol. 25 (2018) 3747–3754, https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-018-6691-3.
- [16.] I. Prager, C. Watzl, Mechanisms of natural killer cell-mediated cellular cytotoxicity, J. Leukoc. Biol. 105 (2019) 1319–1329, https://doi.org/10.1002/ JLB.MR0718-269R.
- [17.] E. Vivier, D.H. Raulet, A. Moretta, M.A. Caligiuri, L. Zitvogel, L.L. Lanier, W. M. Yokoyama, S. Ugolini, Innate or adaptive immunity? The example of natural killer cells, Science (1979) 331 (2011) 44–49, https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1198687.
- [18.] M.A. Cooper, T.A. Fehniger, S.C. Turner, K.S. Chen, B.A. Ghaheri, T. Ghayur, W. E. Carson, M.A. Caligiuri, Human natural killer cells: a unique innate immunoregulatory role for the CD56(bright) subset, Blood 97 (2001) 3146–3151, https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.y97.10.3146.
- [19.] M.A. Cooper, T.A. Fehniger, M.A. Caligiuri, The biology of human natural killercell subsets, Trends. Immunol. 22 (2001) 633–640, https://doi.org/10.1016/ s1471-4906(01)02060-9.
- [20.] M.A. Caligiuri, Human natural killer cells, Blood 112 (2008) 461–469, https://doi. org/10.1182/blood-2007-09-077438.
- [21.] A. Poli, T. Michel, M. Theresine, E. Andres, F. Hentges, J. Zimmer, CD56bright natural killer (NK) cells: an important NK cell subset, Immunology 126 (2009) 458–465, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2567.2008.03027.x.
- [22.] N. Dalbeth, R. Gundle, R.J. Davies, Y.C. Lee, A.J. McMichael, M.F. Callan, CD56bright NK cells are enriched at inflammatory sites and can engage with monocytes in a reciprocal program of activation, J. Immunol. 173 (2004) 6418–6426, https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.173.10.6418.
 [23.] J.D. Burke, H.A. Young, IFN-gamma: a cytokine at the right time, is in the right
- [23.] J.D. Burke, H.A. Young, IFN-gamma: a cytokine at the right time, is in the right place, Semin. Immunol. 43 (2019) 101280, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. smim.2019.05.002.
- [24.] R. Wang, J.J. Jaw, N.C. Stutzman, Z. Zou, P.D. Sun, Natural killer cell-produced IFN-gamma and TNF-alpha induce target cell cytolysis through up-regulation of ICAM-1, J. Leukoc. Biol. 91 (2012) 299–309, https://doi.org/10.1189/ jlb.0611308.
- [25.] F. Cui, D. Qu, R. Sun, M. Zhang, K. Nan, NK cell-produced IFN-gamma regulates cell growth and apoptosis of colorectal cancer by regulating IL-15, Exp. Ther. Med. 19 (2020) 1400–1406, https://doi.org/10.3892/etm.2019.8343.
- [26] M. Kundu, A. Roy, K. Pahan, Selective neutralization of IL-12 p40 monomer induces death in prostate cancer cells via IL-12-IFN-gamma, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. u S. a 114 (2017) 11482–11487, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1705536114.
- [27.] Q. Hao, H. Tang, Interferon-gamma and Smac mimetics synergize to induce apoptosis of lung cancer cells in a TNFalpha-independent manner, Cancer Cell Int. 18 (2018) 84, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12935-018-0579-y.
- [28.] D. Mittal, D. Vijayan, E.M. Putz, A.R. Aguilera, K.A. Markey, J. Straube, S. Kazakoff, S.L. Nutt, K. Takeda, G.R. Hill, N. Waddell, M.J. Smyth, Interleukin-12 from CD103(+) Batf3-dependent dendritic cells required for nk-cell suppression of

J.-F. You et al.

metastasis, Cancer Immunol. Res. 5 (2017) 1098–1108, https://doi.org/10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-17-0341.

- [29.] A. Glasner, A. Levi, J. Enk, B. Isaacson, S. Viukov, S. Orlanski, A. Scope, T. Neuman, C.D. Enk, J.H. Hanna, V. Sexl, S. Jonjic, B. Seliger, L. Zitvogel, O. Mandelboim, NKp46 receptor-mediated interferon-gamma production by natural killer cells increases fibronectin 1 to alter tumor architecture and control metastasis, Immunity 48 (2018) 107–119, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. immuni.2017.12.007, e104.
- [30.] P. Bhat, G. Leggatt, N. Waterhouse, I.H. Frazer, Interferon-gamma derived from cytotoxic lymphocytes directly enhances their motility and cytotoxicity, Cell Death. Dis. 8 (2017) e2836, https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2017.67.
- [31.] C. Baer, M.L. Squadrito, D. Laoui, D. Thompson, S.K. Hansen, A. Kiialainen, S. Hoves, C.H. Ries, C.H. Ooi, M. De Palma, Suppression of microRNA activity amplifies IFN-gamma-induced macrophage activation and promotes anti-tumour immunity, Nat. Cell Biol. 18 (2016) 790–802, https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb3371.
- [32.] CC Lee, JF You, YC Wang, SW Lan, KC Wei, KT Chen, YC Huang, TE Wu, AP. Huang, Gross total resection promotes subsequent recovery and further enhancement of impaired natural killer cell activity in glioblastoma patients, Brain Sci. 12 (9) (2022) 1144, https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci12091144. Aug 27.
- [33] J Budczies, F Klauschen, BV Sinn, B Györffy, WD Schmitt, S Darb-Esfahani, C Denkert, Cutoff Finder: a comprehensive and straightforward Web application enabling rapid biomarker cutoff optimization, PLoS One 7 (12) (2012) e51862, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0051862. Epub 2012 Dec 14.
- [34.] K. Yamaguchi, Y. Takagi, S. Aoki, M. Futamura, S. Saji, Significant detection of circulating cancer cells in the blood by reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction during colorectal cancer resection, Ann. Surg. 232 (2000) 58–65, https:// doi.org/10.1097/00000658-200007000-00009.
- [35.] Y. Tsuchiya, S. Sawada, I. Yoshioka, Y. Ohashi, M. Matsuo, Y. Harimaya, K. Tsukada, I. Saiki, Increased surgical stress promotes tumor metastasis, Surgery. 133 (2003) 547–555, https://doi.org/10.1067/msy.2003.141.
- [36.] A. Glasner, R. Avraham, E. Rosenne, M. Benish, O. Zmora, S. Shemer, H. Meiboom, S. Ben-Eliyahu, Improving survival rates in two models of spontaneous postoperative metastasis in mice by combined administration of a beta-adrenergic antagonist and a cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor, J. Immunol. 184 (2010) 2449–2457, https://doi.org/10.4049/jiinmunol.0903301.
- [37] T. Lerut, J. Moons, W. Coosemans, D. Van Raemdonck, P. De Leyn, H. Decaluwe, G. Decker, P. Nafteux, Postoperative complications after transthoracic esophagectomy for cancer of the esophagus and gastroesophageal junction are correlated with early cancer recurrence: role of systematic grading of complications using the modified Clavien classification, Ann. Surg. 250 (2009) 798–807, https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181bdd5a8.
- [38.] J.M. Eberhardt, R.P. Kiran, I.C. Lavery, The impact of anastomotic leak and intraabdominal abscess on cancer-related outcomes after resection for colorectal cancer: a case control study, Dis. Colon Rectum 52 (2009) 380–386, https://doi. org/10.1007/DCR.0b013e31819ad488.
- [39.] Q. Lin, L. Rong, X. Jia, R. Li, B. Yu, J. Hu, X. Luo, S.R. Badea, C. Xu, G. Fu, K. Lai, M.C. Lee, B. Zhang, H. Gong, N. Zhou, X.L. Chen, S.H. Lin, G. Fu, J.D. Huang, IFNgamma-dependent NK cell activation is essential to metastasis suppression by

engineered Salmonella, Nat. Commun. 12 (2021) 2537, https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41467-021-22755-3.

- [40.] K. Takeda, M. Nakayama, M. Sakaki, Y. Hayakawa, M. Imawari, K. Ogasawara, K. Okumura, M.J. Smyth, IFN-gamma production by lung NK cells is critical for the natural resistance to pulmonary metastasis of B16 melanoma in mice, J. Leukoc. Biol. 90 (2011) 777–785, https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0411208.
- [41.] L. Dyck, L. Lynch, New Job for NK Cells: Architects of the Tumor Microenvironment, Immunity 48 (2018) 9–11, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. immuni.2018.01.001.
- [42.] T. Brodbeck, N. Nehmann, A. Bethge, G. Wedemann, U. Schumacher, Perforindependent direct cytotoxicity in natural killer cells induces considerable knockdown of spontaneous lung metastases and computer modelling-proven tumor cell dormancy in a HT29 human colon cancer xenograft mouse model, Mol. Cancer 13 (2014) 244, https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-4598-13-244.
- [43.] L.H. Tai, C.T. de Souza, S. Belanger, L. Ly, A.A. Alkayyal, J. Zhang, J.L. Rintoul, A. A. Ananth, T. Lam, C.J. Breitbach, T.J. Falls, D.H. Kirn, J.C. Bell, A. P. Makrigiannis, R.A. Auer, Preventing postoperative metastatic disease by inhibiting surgery-induced dysfunction in natural killer cells, Cancer Res. 73 (2013) 97–107, https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-1993.
- [44.] M. Market, G. Tennakoon, R.C. Auer, Postoperative Natural killer cell dysfunction: the prime suspect in the case of metastasis following curative cancer surgery, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 22 (2021), https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms222111378.
- [45.] L.H. Tai, J. Zhang, R.C. Auer, Preventing surgery-induced NK cell dysfunction and cancer metastases with influenza vaccination, Oncoimmunology 2 (2013) e26618, https://doi.org/10.4161/onci.26618.
- [46.] S.B. Lee, J. Cha, I.K. Kim, J.C. Yoon, H.J. Lee, S.W. Park, S. Cho, D.Y. Youn, H. Lee, C.H. Lee, J.M. Lee, K.Y. Lee, J. Kim, A high-throughput assay of NK cell activity in whole blood and its clinical application, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 445 (2014) 584–590, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2014.02.0400262-013-1446-2 (2013).
- [47.] Z Ghazvinian, S Abdolahi, S Tokhanbigli, S Tarzemani, A Piccin, M Reza Zali, J Verdi, K Baghaei, Contribution of natural killer cells in innate immunity against colorectal cancer, Front. Oncol. 12 (2023 Jan 4) 1077053, https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fonc.2022.1077053. PMID: 36686835; PMCID: PMC9846259.
- [48.] J Kim, MT Phan, S Kweon, H Yu, J Park, KH Kim, I Hwang, S Han, MJ Kwon, D. Cho, A Flow cytometry-based whole blood natural killer cell cytotoxicity assay using overnight cytokine activation, Front. Immunol. 11 (2020) 1851, https://doi. org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.01851. Aug 14PMID: 32922399; PMCID: PMC7457041.
- [49.] A Poli, T Michel, M Thérésine, E Andrès, F Hentges, J. Zimmer, CD56bright natural killer (NK) cells: an important NK cell subset, Immunology 126 (4) (2009) 458–465, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2567.2008.03027.x. AprPMID: 19278419; PMCID: PMC2673358.
- [50.] MA Cooper, TA Fehniger, SC Turner, KS Chen, BA Ghaheri, T Ghayur, WE Carson, MA. Caligiuri, Human natural killer cells: a unique innate immunoregulatory role for the CD56(bright) subset, Blood 97 (10) (2001) 3146–3151, https://doi.org/ 10.1182/blood.v97.10.3146. May 15PMID: 11342442.