#### **RESEARCH**



# **Intratumoural CD8+ CXCR5+ follicular cytotoxic T cells have prognostic value and are associated with CD19+ CD38+ B cells and tertiary lymphoid structures in colorectal cancer**

 $\mathsf{Fangze}\,\mathsf{Wei}^1\cdot\mathsf{Xiaotian}\,\mathsf{Xu}^1\cdot\mathsf{Jing}\,\mathsf{Wang}^1\cdot\mathsf{Shi}\,\mathsf{Wen}\,\mathsf{Mei}^2\cdot\mathsf{Fu}\,\mathsf{Qiang}\,\mathsf{Zhao}^2\cdot\mathsf{Fei}\,\mathsf{Huang}^2\cdot\mathsf{Ti}\,\mathsf{Xian}\,\mathsf{Xiao}^2\cdot\mathsf{H}$ **Guo Jing Wang<sup>1</sup> · Baojun Wei1 · Shengkai Huang1 · Wei Cui<sup>1</sup>**

Received: 4 October 2024 / Accepted: 5 November 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

#### **Abstract**

**Background** Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the most common digestive cancer in the world. Microsatellite stability (MSS) and microsatellite instability (MSI-high) are important molecular subtypes of CRC closely related to tumor occurrence and progression and immunotherapy efficacy. The presence of  $CD8^+$  CXCR5<sup>+</sup> follicular cytotoxic T (T<sub>FC</sub>) cells is strongly associated with autoimmune disease and  $CD8^+$  effector function. However, the roles of  $T_{FC}$  cells in MSI-high CRC and MSS CRC are unclear. Here, we aimed to explore the characteristics of  $T_{FC}$  cells in CRC and compare their biological functions between MSI-high and MSS CRC.

**Methods** We explored the expression of  $T_{FC}$  cell in tumor tissues and peripheral blood in our clinical cohort and public datasets. By combining single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) and bulk RNA sequencing, we explored the potential function of  $T_{FC}$  cells and developed a prediction model for CRC. We also compared the biological functions of these cells between MSS and MSI-high CRC and used fow cytometry and coculture experiments to explore their potential regulatory functions. **Results**  $T<sub>FC</sub>$  cell markers are downregulated in tumor tissues and patient peripheral blood vs. controls. The prediction model for CRC performed well in the training and validation cohorts (KM plot  $p < 0.001$ ). MSS CRC patients exhibit enrichment of genes related to the cell cycle (MKI67) and T cell activation (CD38 and HLA-DR) and decreased enrichment of immune checkpoint markers (PD1, TIM3, and LAG3). The expression of  $T_{FC}$  cell-related genes is positively correlated with that of  $CD8$ <sup>+</sup>IFN- $\gamma$ <sup>+</sup>-related genes and closely related to that of TLS-related genes in MSS CRC. The proportion of T<sub>FC</sub> cells is positively correlated with that of CD19+CD38+ B cells in MSS CRC.

**Conclusions** The prognostic prediction model has good predictive value. In MSS CRC, T<sub>FC</sub> cells function mostly in T cell activation and the cell cycle and have low expression of immune checkpoint molecules, which may infuence the efectiveness of ICB therapy.  $T_{FC}$  cells may regulate antitumor function by regulating CD19<sup>+</sup> CD38<sup>+</sup> B cells and TLSs.

**Keywords**  $T_{FC}$  · Colorectal cancer · scRNA-seq · Machine learning model





## **Introduction**

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a common digestive tract tumor worldwide and one of the main causes of cancer-related death [[1](#page-12-0), [2](#page-12-1)]. The antitumor function of the immune system plays a necessary role in regulating cancer progression [\[3](#page-12-2)]. Many studies have shown that immune cell infltration and costimulatory cytokines in colorectal tumors can have prognostic value [\[4](#page-12-3)].

As a large family of small-molecular weight cytokines, chemokines can be divided into four subgroups (CC, CXC, XC, and CX3C). Cytokines can act as important biological regulatory factors in cell proliferation, respiration bursts and antimicrobial efects [[5](#page-12-4)]. Cytokines play a necessary role in homing and positioning lymphocytes, including mature T cells, B cells, and plasma cells [[6,](#page-12-5) [7](#page-12-6)]. CXCR5 is the receptor of CXCL13 and is signifcantly expressed on B cells, follicular helper T cells and antigen-carrying dendritic cells [\[8](#page-12-7)]. CXCR5 mainly transmits signals through the CXCL13– CXCR5 axis, which mediates the immune response of B and T lymphocytes [[9\]](#page-12-8). The CXCL13-CXCR5 axis plays a dual role in the occurrence and development of tumors. The CXCL13-CXCR5 axis can induce tumor cell growth and invasion by activating phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) in the Raf/MEK/ERK pathway and promoting the recruit-ment of MDSCs and Treg cells [[10](#page-12-9), [11\]](#page-12-10). The CXCL13-CXCR5 axis can also promote the formation of tumor tertiary lymphoid organs (TLOs) by recruiting B cells and follicular helper T (TFH) cells [\[12](#page-12-11)].

CXCR5 expression on  $CD4<sup>+</sup>$  T cells is the marker of the TFH cell subset and is crucial in the B cell-mediated immune response [\[13\]](#page-12-12). CXCR5-producing CD8+ T cells were previously named follicular cytotoxic  $T(T_{FC})$  cells [\[14\]](#page-12-13). Compared with CXCR5-CD4 + T cells,  $T_{FC}$  cells can express granzyme B (GZMB), interferon-γ (IFN-γ) and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), which can enhance the antitumor immune response in diferent kinds of tumors [\[14,](#page-12-13) [15\]](#page-12-14). High expression of CXCR5 and low expression of CCR7 subgroups can regulate the expression of the molecules CD62L and CXCR3, which influence cell trafficking and migration [[16](#page-12-15)]. Tpex cells constitute a self-renewing cell population that highly expresses TCF1 and CXCR5 [\[17\]](#page-12-16). They can migrate to tumors and undergo terminal differentiation, thereby replenishing the depleted T cell pool within the tumor. Tpex cells are enriched in the tertiary lymphoid structures (TLSs) or T cell APC regions of some human solid tumors, and the establishment of this immune niche is associated with improved clinical prognosis [[18](#page-12-17)]. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is caused by mismatch repair (MMR) gene defects and is closely associated with the occurrence of CRC. CRC can be divided into two main subtypes: microsatellite-stable (MSS) CRC and microsatellite instability (MSI)-high CRC. CRC can be divided into two main subtypes: microsatellite-stable (MSS) CRC and microsatellite instability (MSI)-high CRC. Compared with MSS CRC, MSI-high CRC is more responsive to immune checkpoint blockade (ICB)-based treatments [\[19\]](#page-12-18). Previous studies have shown that  $T_{FC}$  cells play important roles in the ICB response, but relatively few in-depth studies have investigated this relationship in CRC. The diference between the proportions of  $T_{FC}$  cells between MSS and MSI-high CRC is also unclear.

Therefore, in our studies, we explored  $T_{\text{FC}}$  expression in tumor tissue and peripheral blood and developed a model to predict the OS of patients with CRC. We also explored the diferent interactions and functions between MSI-high CRC and MSS CRC. Our results revealed that a high proportion of  $T_{FC}$  cells is associated with a better prognosis and is related to the expression of TLS-related genes and positively correlated with the proportion of  $CD8^+$ IFN- $\gamma^+$ cells. B cells can regulate  $T_{FC}$  cell function, and  $T_{FC}$  cells are closely related to CD19+CD38+ B cells. These results indicate that intratumoral  $T_{FC}$  cells play roles in the cell cycle and T cell activation.  $T_{FC}$  cells have potential prognostic value and may be associated with the response to ICB. The diferent biological functions between MSS and MSI-high CRC indicate that  $T_{FC}$  cells can regulate the antitumor response by regulating CD19+CD38+ B cells.

## **Methods**

#### **Data download and preprocessing**

We downloaded single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) and sequencing datasets for 1021 CRC patient tumor tissues samples, 12 adjacent tissue samples and 5 normal controls from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) ([https://](https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/) [portal.gdc.cancer.gov/](https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/)) and the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) (<https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo>). The 10X scRNA sequencing datasets (GSE188711 [\[20\]](#page-12-19), GSE221575 [\[21](#page-12-20)] and GSE132465 [[22](#page-12-21)]) included information on 31 CRC patients. The TCGA-CRC datasets and clinical information were combined for the TCGA-COAD and TCGA-READ cohorts. The GEO dataset GSE39582 [[23](#page-12-22)], which includes information on 562 CRC patients, was downloaded and used to construct the prognostic model.

#### **scRNA‑seq data and RNA‑seq analysis**

The scRNA-seq data were 10x-seq data. We first used the Seurat and dplyr R packages to read the raw data and applied the following exclusion criteria: the number of cells was lower than 0, and the min feature was lower than 200. We selected the cells with at least 200 genes and no more than 7000 genes and cells for which the percentage of mitochondrial genes was less than 20%. We then used the R package DoubletFinder to identify platelets. After processing single-cell data for each patient individually, we used the Harmony R package to eliminate interbatch differences and normalize the scRNA-seq data. We also used FindNeighbors cluster data and used TSNE and UMAP for nonlinear dimensional reduction for our data. The TCGA-CRC database and clinical information were combined for TCGA-COAD and TCGA-READ. The raw data were converted to transcripts per kilobase million (TPM) format, and the lncRNAs and mRNAs were separated. The GEO data used in the prognostic model (GSE17537 [[24\]](#page-12-23), GSE38582) were annotated via the Affymetrix GPL570 platform for symbol genes. For the scRNA-seq analysis, we utilized the "Seurat" R package. First, we used "harmony" R packages to normalize the data and used "FindClusters" to divide cells into clusters and then used biomarkers annotate cells as different subpopulations: T cells (CD3D, CD3E), B cells (CD19, MS4A1), plasma cells (SDC1, MZB1), epithelial cells (EPCAM, CDH1), endothelial cells (PECAM1, CDH5), mast cells (TPSAB1, KIT), fibroblasts (FAP, COL3A1), myeloid cells (CD14, FCGR3A) and glial cells (S100B) [[25](#page-12-24)].

#### **TFC cell marker expression in the peripheral blood**

We utilized TIMER ([http://timer.comp-genomics.org/](http://timer.comp-genomics.org/timer/) [timer/](http://timer.comp-genomics.org/timer/)) and public scRNA-seq data to explore  $T_{FC}$  cell marker expression in tissues. We collected tumor tissue from patients who were diagnosed with CRC in our hospital. The tissue was stored in tissue preservation solution (MACS Tissue Storage Solution, 130-100-008), and the tissue was transferred to single-cell solution (MACS, Tumor Dissociation Kit, human, 130-095-929) following the instructions in the kit manual. We collected peripheral blood from CRC patients and healthy people in EDTA anticoagulant tubes. Antibodies, including those against CXCR5, PD1, CD39, CD4, CD3, Foxp3, CD45, and CD8, were applied using a Foxp3/transcription factor staining buffer kit following the manufacturer's instructions; this approach was used for analysis of both tissue samples and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs).

## **Prognostic genes identifed via the machine learning prognostic model**

We utilized the "FindMarkers" function to explore the unique genes of  $CXCR5 + CDS + T$  cells. We utilized the NMF clustering method to divide the data into 2 parts and identify prognostic genes. The enriched biological functions were explored via "clusterProfler" and "org.Hs.eg.db".

Next, we explored the prognostic hub genes via least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression and multivariate Cox regression. To develop a highaccuracy and stable prognostic prediction model, we used 8 kinds of deep machine learning models, including LASSO regression. We used Kaplan–Meier (KM) curves for the training cohort and validation cohorts to evaluate the models' performance.

#### **Cell communication and pseudotime analysis**

To explore the patterns of crosstalk among  $T_{FC}$  cells and other cells, we utilized the "CellChatDB.human" database of the "CellChat" R package in diferent patient groups (CRC patients and healthy controls). We utilized identifyOver-ExpressedGenes and identifyOverExpressed Interactions to identify overexpressed genes and filtered cell—cell communication data via "computeCommunProb" and "computeCommunProbPathway". On the basis of the T clusters we identifed, we continued to explore the diferentially expressed genes with *p*<1E−02. On the basis of the identifed genes (that is, those specifc to this cell type), we utilized the "mono" R package and the "setOrderingFilter" and "orderCells" functions to explore the developmental trajectory of the  $T_{FC}$  cells.

#### **Identifcation of TFC cell function**

We compare the proportions of  $T_{FC}$  cells in different tissues according to the expression of marker genes. The genes related to the cell cycle, immunoregulation, naïve memory, cytotoxicity, resident memory T cells, endoplasmic reticulum stress, angiogenesis, TLSs and the stem-like programrelated genes were previously studied [[26–](#page-12-25)[28](#page-12-26)]. A heatmap was generated via the R package "ComplexHeatmap". The total UMI counts were calculated for each cell, and the expression levels are shown in the heatmap.

## **Analysis of CRC tissue and PBMC single‑cell suspensions and coculture experiments**

We collected the tumor tissues and peripheral blood from the same patients. We separated PBMCs using lymphocyte isolation solution (human lymphocyte separation medium, 7111012, DAKEWE) according to the reagent instructions.

At baseline, we assessed the expression of the following T cell subtype markers: CXCR5 (FITC anti-human CD185 antibody, 356,914, Biolegend), PD1 (PE anti-human CD279 antibody, 329,906, Biolegend), CD39 (PE/Dazzle™ 594 anti-human CD39 antibody, Biolegend), CD4 (PE/CY7, Raisecare), CD3 (Percp, Raisecare), Foxp3 (Monoclonal Antibody, PCH101, Thermo Fisher), CD45 (redFluor™ 710 anti-human, HI30, CYTEK), and CD8 (APC/CY7, Raisecare) using targeted antibodies in a Foxp3/transcription factor staining bufer kit (TNB-0607-KIT) following the manufacturer's instructions.

We treated PBMCs with LPS and anti-IgM for 6 days to explore the immunoregulatory relationship between  $T_{FC}$ cells and  $CD8$ <sup>+</sup>IFN- $\gamma$ <sup>+</sup> T cells. We also cocultured PBMCs and tumor cells with LPS and anti-IgM after treatment with a cell stimulation cocktail (000-4975-93, Thermo Fisher) for 6 days. After being stimulated for 5 h, the cells were harvested and stained with CD3, CD8, IFN-γ (APC, anti-human IFN-γ, 1997143), and CD45. All the cells were cultured in 10% FBS, 1% antibiotics (penicillin streptomycin, 2585616), or 1640 medium (BioLegend).

#### **Statistical analysis**

FlowJo v10.8.1 was used to analyze the flow cytometry fcs fles. Statistical analysis of the data was performed with R software (4.0.3). Nonnegative matrix factorization (NMF) clustering analysis was performed via the "survival", "caret", "glmnet", and "surviminer" R packages. Multivariate Cox regression and LASSO regression analyses were performed via the R packages "glmnet" and "survival". The machine learning models were applied with the following packages: "ggplot2", "ggsci", "survival", "randomForestSRC",

"glmnet", "plsRcox", "superpc", "gbm", "CoxBoost", "survivalsvm", "dplyr", "tibble", "BART" and "ggbreak".  $p < 0.05$  was considered to indicate statistical significance.

## **Result**

## **Identifcation of major CRC cell types**

The workflow is shown in Fig. [1,](#page-3-0) we explored the  $T_{FC}$  differential expression in tumor tissue and peripheral blood, based on the genes of  $T_{FC}$  we developed a model to predict the OS of patients with CRC. We included 5 normal tissues, 9 MSS adjacent tumor tissues, 27 MSS tumor tissues, 3 MSI-H adjacent tumor tissues and 4 MSI-H tumor tissues. As shown in Fig. [2](#page-4-0)A, we used the normalized data to divide cells into 9 types: T cells, B cells, plasma cells, epithelial cells, endothelial cells, mast cells, fbroblasts, myeloid cells and glial cells (Fig. [2\)](#page-4-0). We then analyzed the T cells and divided them into 32 clusters. CD8<sup>+</sup> T-30 cells expressed relatively high levels of CD8 and CXCR5, and we renamed these cells as  $T_{FC}$  cells (Supplementary Fig. 1).

#### **Expression of TFC in CRC tissue and peripheral blood**

We assessed the expression of the identified  $T_{FC}$  cell in normal, adjacent tumor and tumor tissues. As shown in Supplementary Fig. 2, in public datasets, CXCR5 expression in CRC tumor tissue was lower than that in normal tissues. The same results are shown in Fig. [3A](#page-4-1): the proportion of  $T_{FC}/CD8^+$  T cells in normal tissue was greater than that in tumor tissue, and the same trend was also observed for the  $T_{\text{FC}}$ /CD45<sup>+</sup> cells (Fig. [3B](#page-4-1)). To further explore the



<span id="page-3-0"></span>Fig. 1 Workflow of this study



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Single-cell atlas samples of normal, adjacent tumor and tumor tissues. A: TSNE map of normal, adjacent tumor and tumor tissues. B: Dot plots showing the classical markers in the cell clusters. C: Bar







<span id="page-4-1"></span>Fig. 3 Expression of  $T_{FC}$  cell markers in tissues and peripheral blood. A: Proportion of  $T_{FC}$  cells among CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells from different tissue types in public datasets. B: Proportion of  $T_{FC}$  cells among CD45+cells from diferent tissue types in public datasets. The black bar represents normal tissues, the orange bar represents MSI-

high CRC tissues, and the green bar represents MSS CRC tissues. c: Proportion of  $T_{FC}$  cells among CD8+T cells between CRC patients and healthy people. D: Proportion of  $T_{FC}$  cells among CD45.<sup>+</sup> cells between CRC patients and healthy people. Green bar (healthy people), orange bar (CRC patients)

predictive value of the proportion of  $T_{FC}$  cells in peripheral blood, we also assessed the expression of  $T_{FC}$  in a clinical cohort, including 51 CRC patients and 14 healthy controls. As shown in Fig. [3](#page-4-1)C, [D,](#page-4-1)  $T_{FC}$  expression was lower than that in the healthy cohorts.

# **Development and validation of a prognostic model based on TFC cells in CRC**

To explore the prognostic value of  $T_{FC}$  cells, we identified  $T_{FC}$  markers with the following screening criteria:  $p < 0.05$ 

and  $\log Fc \geq 1$ . We first used univariate Cox regression analysis to identify prognosis-related genes, and 521 genes were found to have potential prognostic value. We subsequently identifed a cluster of 323 genes via NMF analysis, and we then performed LASSO regression to identify hub genes related to prognosis. Volcano plots and KM survival curves for patients grouped according to some of the prognostic genes are shown in Supplementary Fig. 3. Twenty-four prognostic hub genes were included in the prognostic model (Fig. [4](#page-5-0)). On the basis of the hub genes, we developed a prognostic model via deep learning machine models to calculate the prognostic risk score. The following formula was used: Risk score=IDS\*(0.092)+FBLN7\*(−0.026)+MRPL4\*(− 0.073)+PTRHD1\*(−0.0005)+AMOTL1\*(0.096)+TMX 2\*(−0.046)+GMNN(−0.134)+RANBP1(−0.138)+FDF T1\*(−0.028)+NOA1\*(−0.019)+NIN\*(0.573)+NUDCD 2\*(−0.005)+THG1L\*(−0.004)+TNFSF13B\*(−0.031)+ PRCD\*(0.043)+LARS2\*(−0.001)+HNRNPM\*(0.128)+ DNAH11\*(0.138)+TNFRSF1B(−0.161)+HTR3A\*(0.00 1)+ HTR3A\*(0.0009)+SNRNP25\*(−0.044)+ NPR2\*(0.  $016$ ) + KIF15\*( $-0.067$ ) + CR1\*(0.213). The hub prognostic genes were enriched in antitumor functions and the cell cycle. As shown in Fig. [4e](#page-5-0), f, patients with low risk scores had better prognoses than those with high risk scores did. The KM plot for patients grouped according to the risk score revealed that the model had good prognostic value (training group:  $p < 0.001$ ; validation group:  $p < 0.001$ ).

#### **Pseudotime analysis and potential interactions**

To investigate the effect of  $T<sub>FC</sub>$  cells as depleted precursor cells on the differentiation of other  $CD8<sup>+</sup>$  T cells, we conducted a pseudotime analysis of  $CD8<sup>+</sup>$  T cells. As shown in Fig. [5](#page-6-0), for MSS CRC,  $T_{FC}$  cells are produced at the beginning of the T cell trajectory and at the end of the T cell trajectory and are the primary regulated T cell population.  $T_{FC}$  cells appear in the early and late stages of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell diferentiation in both MSS and MSI CRC. After the appearance of the  $T_{FC}$  cells, cytotoxic cells appeared (GZMA, GZMB, and GZMK highly expressed), indicating that these cells may induce the production of these cells and afect the antitumor immune response of patients with CRC. Among the T cell subsets,  $T_{FC}$  cells also highly expressed the stemlike progenitor markers TCF1 and CXCR5, which may be characteristics of exhausted progenitor T (Tpex) cells; notably, the induced T cells may have stronger cytotoxic efects after immunotherapy.



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Construction of a prognostic model for CRC. A: Clustering of genes related to  $T_{FC}$  cells and prognosis. B: KM plots of NMF model clusters. C: Determining the optimal value for genes related to  $T_{FC}$ cells parameter  $\lambda$  in the Lasso regression model using the cross-vali-

dation method. D: LASSO regression trajectory plot of genes related to  $T_{FC}$  cells and prognosis. e: KM plot of the prognostic model training cohort. F: KM plot of the prognostic model of the validation cohort





<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 5** Pseudotime analysis of T cells in MSI-high and MSS CRC. a: Pseudotime trajectory of sub-T clusters in MSS CRC. B: Pseudotime of sub-T cells in MSS CRC. C: CXCR5 expression over time in MSS

CRC. d: Pseudotime trajectory of sub-T clusters in MSI-high CRC. e: Pseudotime of sub-T cells in MSI-high CRC. f: CXCR5 expression over time in MSI-high CRC

## **Diferent biological functions between MSS and MSI‑high CRC**

Owing to the different responses of MSS and MSI CRC to immunotherapy, our results indicate that the difference in immune infiltration levels between the two is not significant, but MSS CRC is significantly less sensitive to immunotherapy than MSI. Therefore, it is speculated that there are differences in the functions of  $T_{FC}$  cells between MSS and MSI-high CRC and that further analysis of functional changes in MSS CRC is important (Fig. [6](#page-7-0)). As shown in Fig. [6a](#page-7-0), MSS CRC tumors expressed more cell cycle-related genes than MSI tumors did, indicating that  $T_{FC}$  cells proliferate in MSS tissue. In terms of cytokine expression, MSI tumors highly express MHC-I molecules and inflammation-related genes, such as HLA-C, HLA-B,



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Heatmap of biological function genes between diferent kinds of tissues. A: Expression of cell type-related genes in diferent tissues. B: Expression of cytotoxicity-related genes in diferent tissues. C: Expression of immunoregulatory genes in diferent tissues.

D: Expression of stem-like genes in diferent tissues. E: Expression of endoplasmic reticulum stress-related genes in diferent tissues. F: Expression of T cell exhaustion-related genes in diferent tissues. G: Expression of angiogenesis-related genes in diferent tissues

HLA-A, IL-1B, and IL-2RA; MSS tumors highly express MHC-II molecules, genes related to antitumor function and inflammation-related genes, such as IL-1A, IL-17A, IL-1B, IL-17RA, TNF, and HLA-DR.

In terms of cytotoxic marker expression, MSI-high tumors highly express the function-related genes GZMA, GZMB, GZMK and IFNG, but MSS tumors highly express antitumor and T cell activation-related genes, such as TNF, IFNGR2, HLA-DRA1, CD38, IL17A and IL22 (Fig. [6b](#page-7-0)). In terms of immunoregulation-related markers, MSI tumors highly express B cell-activating factors and immune tolerance factors, such as TNFRSF18, TNFRSF4, TNFRSF9, TNFRSF1B, IL2RA and FOXP3; MSS tumors highly express TBC1D4, which is related to glucose metabolism (Fig. [6c](#page-7-0)). MSI-high CRC tumors highly express the stem-like-related genes sCD44 and SOX4; MSS tumors highly express PSCA, PITX1, PROM1, SOX2, SOX9 and LGR5 (markers of  $T_{FC}$  cells), and these tumors have a higher degree of lineage plasticity (Fig. [6d](#page-7-0)). In the context of endoplasmic reticulum stress, MSI-high tumors express high levels of TIMP1, whereas MSS tumors highly express TERT, ATP2A1, NOL3 and BDNF (Fig. [6](#page-7-0)e). In terms of exhaustion-related markers, MSI-high tumors highly express the immune checkpoints PDCD1, TIM3, and LAG3, but MSS tumors highly express CTLA4, which indicates that  $T_{FC}$  cells may be related to the poor response to PD-1 ICB therapy (Fig. [6f](#page-7-0)). Both MSS and MSI-high tumors highly express angiogenesis-related genes; MSI-high tumors highly express MDK, and MSS-high tumors highly express VEGFA and TNFRSF11B (Fig. [6](#page-7-0)g). In terms of naïverelated markers, MSI-high tumors highly express SELL, LEF1, SOX4, and TOB1 (Supplementary Fig. 4a). Among the resident memory-related genes, sSYTL3, GPR171 and PDE4D are highly expressed in MSI tumors, whereas IL18R1 is highly expressed in MSS tumors (Supplementary Fig. 4b). These results indicate that  $T_{FC}$  cells may be associated with cell cycle regulation and T cell activation.

Because biological function-related genes were differentially expressed between MSS and MSI-high CRC, we next analyzed the  $T_{FC}$ -specific genes that were differently expressed between MSS and MSI-high CRC. The genes highly expressed in MSS tumor tissues were involved in inflammation-related functions. As shown in Supplementary Fig. 5, genes highly expressed in MSS CRC were enriched in the TNF signaling pathway, cell adhesion molecules, oxidative phosphorylation, antigen processing and presentation and the B cell receptor signaling pathway; genes highly expressed in MSI-high CRC were enriched in transcriptional regulation in cancer, the phosphatidylinositol signaling system and Fc-γ R-mediated phagocytosis.

## **Potential interaction between TFC cells and immune cells**

The expression of CXCR5 is associated with immune infltration in CRC (Supplementary Fig. 6). To further explore the interaction of  $T_{FC}$  cells, we continued to explore the cell–cell interaction signals via CellChat. As shown in Fig. [7](#page-9-0), both MSS and MSI tumor  $T_{\text{FC}}$  cells were related to the HLA-CD8 signal. MSS tumor  $T_{FC}$  cells interact with T cells through the MIF (CD74-CXCR4) and MIF (CD74-CD44) signaling pathways, indicating that  $T_{FC}$  cells can regulate  $CD8 + T$  cells through infammation and cell proliferation signal transduction.

In MSI-high tumors,  $T_{FC}$  cells interact with T cells through CD99–CD99 interactions, indicating that  $T_{FC}$  cells can regulate T cells through cell adhesion. For B cells and plasma cells, MSS tumor  $T_{FC}$  cells can communicate with naïve B cells, memory B cells and germinal center (GC) like B cells (GCB); MSI tumor  $T_{FC}$  cells interact with GCB cells, suggesting that  $T_{FC}$  cells may affect humoral immune responses by infuencing the diferentiation of B cells through GCB cells. The other immune cells are shown in Supplementary Figs. 7 and 8.

## **Relationship between TFC cells and CD19+CD38+ B cells**

Because of the diferent interactions between B cells, we further explored the relationships between  $T_{\text{FC}}$  cells and B cells in patients. In the baseline tumor tissue, the proportion of  $T_{FC}$  cells was positively correlated with the proportion of CD19+CD38+ B cells and negatively correlated with those of CD19<sup>+</sup>CD38<sup>+</sup>IgG<sup>+</sup> B cells, CD19<sup>+</sup>CD138<sup>+</sup> B cells, TPH cells, TFH cells and Tregs. We continued to explore the interaction between  $T_{FC}$  cells and the cytotoxic function of CD8. After PBMCs and tumor cells were cocultured, the expression of  $T_{FC}$  cell was positively correlated with that of IFN-γ-producing  $CD8 + T$  cells (Fig. [8](#page-10-0)a).

Because the presence of TLSs may be a prognostic and predictive factor, to further confrm their antitumor function, we assessed TLS-related gene expression in  $T_{FC}$  cells [[25\]](#page-12-24). As shown in Fig. [8b](#page-10-0),  $T_{FC}$  cells were enriched in TLSrelated genes and highly enriched in genes related to B cell regulation and cytotoxic function. With respect to B cells, the proportion of  $T_{FC}$  cells was positively correlated with the proportion of  $CD19^+CD38^+$  B cells (Fig. [8c](#page-10-0)) but weakly related to the proportions of other T cell types (Fig. [8d](#page-10-0)).

## **Conclusion**

 $T_{FC}$  cells in CRC have potential prognostic value, and our prognostic model exhibited good predictive value in both the training and validation cohorts. In MSS CRC,  $T_{FC}$  cells



<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Fig. 7** CellChat analysis of MSS and MSI-high CRC. A: Dot plot of CellChat interactions between  $T_{FC}$  cells and T cells from MSS CRC patients. b: Dot plot of CellChat interactions between  $T_{FC}$  cells and T cells from MSI-high CRC patients. c: Dot plot of CellChat interac-

function more strongly in terms of T cell activation and the cell cycle and has lower expression of immune checkpoint molecules, which may infuence the efectiveness of ICB therapy.  $T_{FC}$  cells may regulate antitumor function by regulating CD19<sup>+</sup>CD38<sup>+</sup> B cells and TLSs.

## **Discussion**

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common digestive tract tumors in the world and the second leading cause of cancer-related death [[29\]](#page-12-27). Under tumor conditions, the immune cells, fbroblasts, and microbiota in the intestine

tions between  $T_{FC}$  cells and B cells from MSS CRC patients. A: Dot plot of CellChat interactions between  $T_{FC}$  cells and B cells of MSIhigh CRC

undergo changes, leading to a decrease in their ability to kill tumors, and these changes can even promote tumor development [[30](#page-12-28)]. Follicular T cells are a special subset of T cells that express the chemokine receptor CXCR5 and play important roles in antibody-mediated immune responses [[30,](#page-12-28) [31](#page-13-0)]. They primarily support germinal center (GC) responses, generating high-affinity and long-term humoral immunity in GC responses. At present, research on follicular T cells has focused mostly on CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocytes, but relatively few studies have focused on CD8+CXCR5+ follicular cells (follicular cytotoxic T cells  $(T_{FC}$  cells) in CRC, which are associated with multiple types of diseases [\[32](#page-13-1), [33\]](#page-13-2). Singlecell transcriptomics, an important approach, can be used to



<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Fig.8** Antitumor function and relationship between B cells. A: Relationship between T<sub>FC</sub> and CD8<sup>+</sup>IFN-γ. b: Relationships between T<sub>FC</sub> celland TLS-related genes. C: Heatmap of the relationships between  $T_{FC}$  cell- and TLS-related genes. D: Relationships between  $T_{FC}$  cells and T cells

divide CRC cells into diferent cell types and subgroups and identify the unique gene expression patterns of cell clusters [\[25\]](#page-12-24). Deep learning based on computational data might be useful for systematically predicting patient prognosis [\[29,](#page-12-27) [34](#page-13-3)]. In our studies, via scRNA-seq, we identified  $T_{FC}$  cells and explored their diferent functions between MSS and MSI-high CRC.

We assessed the expression of  $T_{FC}$  cell in tissues, and the results revealed that lower expression in patients with tumors indicated the inhibition of  $T_{FC}$  cell infiltration in the tumor state. These results show that  $T_{FC}$  cell can be used as predictive biomarkers. Owing to the noninvasive nature of peripheral blood biomarkers, we continued to explore the expression of  $T_{FC}$  cell in the peripheral blood of CRC patients and healthy individuals. The expression of  $T_{FC}$  cell was also lower in the blood of tumor patients than in that of normal controls, indicating that  $T_{FC}$  cell can be a potential biomarkers for predicting CRC prognosis with blood samples. Because of its relatively low expression, we also built a prognostic model for predicting CRC patient survival. The prediction model also showed good predictive value for CRC.

The depleted T cell pool is maintained by a type of stemlike progenitor cell that can self-renew but also produces terminally diferentiated T cells during long-term exposure to antigens. Studies have been performed to better understand the developmental relationship between exhausted T cell subsets; these studies revealed that transcriptional regulator T cell factor 1 (TCF1) and C-X-C chemokine receptor type 5 (CXCR5) are markers of exhausted T cell subsets [[18,](#page-12-17) [35](#page-13-4)]. CXCR5 is expressed mainly in mature B cells and follicular helper T cells. Abnormal activation of the CXCL13/ CXCR5 signaling pathway afects tumor cell metastasis and infltration and is also associated with autoimmune diseases, such as systemic lupus erythematosus and rheumatoid arthritis [[36](#page-13-5)]. In our studies,  $T_{FC}$  cells were identified as stem-like progenitor cells that express TCF1; notably,  $T_{FC}$ cells appeared in the initial and fnal stages of CD8+ T cell diferentiation. As development progressed, cytotoxic CD8+ T cells gradually emerged. These results indicate that this  $T_{FC}$  cells may be have the characteristics of exhausted progenitor T cells (Tpex) [\[37](#page-13-6)], which are crucial for maintaining sustained T cell responses over the long term and can induce the production of cytotoxic CD8 T cells associated with the therapeutic efficacy of ICBs  $[38]$  $[38]$ . Naïve CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8+ T cells proliferate into diferent functional subsets through the T cell receptor (TCR) and peptide-major histocompatibility complex (pMHC), which are costimulatory and cytokine receptors [\[39\]](#page-13-8). In our studies, the cytotoxic molecules and efector cytokines involved in the diferent cytotoxic programs difered between MSS and MSI-high CRC. We performed experiments to further explore the characteristics of  $T_{\text{FC}}$  cells in different kinds of tissues. We also explored exhausted T cell-related biomarkers in MSS CRC and explore the expression of CTLA and classical exhausted markers, such as PD1, PDL1, LAG3 and Tim3, in MSI-H CRC. These fndings also indicate that MSS CRC may have diferent response pathways for immunotherapy and antibody class switching [[40\]](#page-13-9). Previous studies have shown that  $CXCR5+CDS<sup>+</sup> T$  cells play roles in antitumor immunity [\[41\]](#page-13-10), and we obtained the same results.

The homeostasis of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is an important factor for cell growth, diferentiation, and apoptosis. Studies have shown that endoplasmic reticulum stress (ERS) is one of the prognostic factors afecting CRC [[28\]](#page-12-26). In our study, there were diferent ERS-related genes between MSI-high CRC and MSS CRC, and ERS-related genes enriched in the MSS subtype of CRC were related to calcium ion metabolism. Compared with those in MSIhigh CRC, cell cycle-related genes were more enriched in MSS CRC, indicating that  $T_{FC}$  cells are associated with T cell development in MSS CRC. To further investigate the antitumor function of  $T_{FC}$  cells, we explored the correlation between the proportion of  $T_{FC}$  cells and that of  $CD8 + IFN-\gamma + cells$ . The results revealed a positive correlation between the two variables, but due to the large number of cases, the diference was not signifcant. Therefore, we continued to explore the correlations between TLS-related genes and  $T_{FC}$  cell. These results indicate that MSS and MSI-high CRC are associated with genes related to tertiary lymphoid structures. Previous studies have reported that the presence of TLSs is a prognostic factor [[26](#page-12-25)]; therefore, the presence of  $T_{FC}$  cells in patients with CRC is associated with a good prognosis.

The diferentially expressed genes between MSI-high CRC and MSS CRC are associated with diferent regulatory pathways. Genes enriched in MSS CRC  $T_{FC}$  cells are associated with immune-related pathways, whereas those enriched in MSI-high CRC  $T_{FC}$  cells are associated with metabolic-related pathways. The same results were also shown for diferent interactions among fbroblasts, endothelial cells and monocytes. The expression of CXCR5 can drive CXCR5+CD8+ T cells to migrate away from the T cell region toward B cell follicles [[42](#page-13-11)]. In our studies, GCB cells were found to play a key role in the interactions between normal, MSS and MSI-high CRC via diferent pathways. In MSS CRC, more interactions involved naïve B and memory B cells, and our experiments revealed a close relationship between these cell types and CD19+CD38+ B cells. B cells play a crucial role in TLSs [[43](#page-13-12)], and our results indicate the  $T_{FC}$  cells can influence  $CD19<sup>+</sup>CD38<sup>+</sup>$  B cells to regulate TLS function. However, our work has several limitations. In the future, we will further verify the findings in  $T_{FC}$  cells, validate our model in a large sample cohort and further explore the regulatory mechanism among  $T_{FC}$  cells, B cells and immunotherapy.

In summary,  $T_{FC}$  cell-specific genes can serve as prognostic biomarkers for CRC, and  $T_{FC}$  cells have antitumor immune functions. We also constructed a risk score model for predicting the OS of CRC patients. Our study provides a new direction for immunotherapy and CRC prognosis prediction.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00262-024-03887-z>.

**Acknowledgements** The study was supported and funded by CAMS Innovation Fund for Medical Sciences (CIFMS) 2021-I2M-1-012 and Key Project of National Key R & D Plan "Research on Prevention and Control of Major Chronic Non-Communicable Diseases"(No. 2019YFC1315705). In addition, the authors wish to thank all teachers at the Departments of Clinical Laboratory and Colorectal Surgery in National Cancer Center/Cancer Hospital.

**Author contributions** Wei FZ and Xu XT designed the research; Wei FZ, Xu XT, JW, Mei SH, Zhao FQ and Liu ZR organized the data; Huang F, Xiao TX, Wei BJ, Huang SK, Wang GJ and Li YY analyzed and visualized the data; Xu XT and Wei FZ drafted the article; Cui W revised the paper.

**Funding** This work was supported by the CAMS Innovation Fund for Medical Sciences (CIFMS) 2021-I2M-1-012 and Key Project of National Key R & D Plan "Research on Prevention and Control of Major Chronic Non-Communicable Diseases" (No. 2019YFC1315705).

**Data availability** No datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

#### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare no competing interests.

**Consent for publication** Not applicable.

**Ethical approval** This study was approved by the ethics committee of the Cancer Hospital.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modifed the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativeco](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [mmons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

# **References**

- <span id="page-12-0"></span>1. Farhad I, Emily C M, Blake T, et al. (2019) Proportion and number of cancer cases and deaths attributable to potentially modifable risk factors in the United States. 2024, (0)
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>2. Murthy SS, Trapani D, Cao B et al (2024) Premature mortality trends in 183 countries by cancer type, sex, WHO region, and World Bank income level in 2000–19: a retrospective, crosssectional, population-based study. Lancet Oncol 25(8):969–978. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045\(24\)00274-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(24)00274-2)
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>3. Christopher JMW, Richard G, Robert KH et al (2024) Evaluation of CD3 and CD8 T-Cell immunohistochemistry for prognostication and prediction of beneft from adjuvant chemotherapy in early-stage colorectal cancer within the QUASAR trial. J Clin Oncol 42:3430
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>4. Maria P, Stephanie LG, Frank JL et al (2024) Adoptive transfer of personalized neoantigen-reactive TCR-transduced T cells in metastatic colorectal cancer: phase 2 trial interim results. Nat Med 30:2586
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>5. Zlotnik A, Yoshie O (2000) Chemokines. Immunity 12(2):121– 127. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1074-7613\(00\)80165-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1074-7613(00)80165-X)
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>6. Binhan W, Manni W, Danyi A et al (2022) CXCL13-CXCR5 axis: Regulation in infammatory diseases and cancer. Biochim et Biophys Acta Rev Cancer 1877(5):188799
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>7. Matthew TT, James DB, Claire D et al (2019) Preferential small intestine homing and persistence of CD8 T cells in rhesus macaques achieved by molecularly engineered expression of ccr9 and reduced ex vivo manipulation. J Virol. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00896-19) [10.1128/JVI.00896-19](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00896-19)
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>8. Forster R, Emrich T, Kremmer E, Lipp M (1994) Expression of the G-protein--coupled receptor BLR1 defnes mature, recirculating B cells and a subset of T-helper memory cells. Blood 84(3):830–840. [https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.V84.3.830.blood](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.V84.3.830.bloodjournal843830) [journal843830](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.V84.3.830.bloodjournal843830)
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>9. Förster R, Mattis AE, Kremmer E et al (1996) A putative chemokine receptor, BLR1, directs B cell migration to defned lymphoid organs and specifc anatomic compartments of the spleen. Cell 87(6):1037–1047. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81798-5) [8674\(00\)81798-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81798-5)
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>10. El-Haibi C, Singh R, Gupta P et al (2012) Antibody microarray analysis of signaling networks regulated by Cxcl13 and Cxcr5 in prostate cancer. J Proteom Bioinform 5(8):177–184
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>11. Chen X, Takemoto Y, Deng H et al (2017) Histidine decarboxylase (HDC)-expressing granulocytic myeloid cells induce and recruit Foxp3 regulatory T cells in murine colon cancer. OncoImmunology 6(3):e1290034
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>12. Pimenta E, Barnes BJC (2014) Role of tertiary lymphoid structures (TLS) in anti-tumor immunity: potential tumor-induced cytokines/chemokines that regulate TLS formation in epithelialderived cancers. Cancer 6(2):969–997
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>13. Hideki UJJCI (2016) Human circulating T follicular helper cell subsets in health and disease. J Clin Immunol 36:34
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>14. Quigley M, Gonzalez V, Granath A et al (2007) CXCR5+ CCR7- CD8 T cells are early efector memory cells that infltrate tonsil B cell follicles. Eur J Immunol 37(12):3352–3362
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>15. Brummelman J, Mazza E, Alvisi G et al (2018) High-dimensional single cell analysis identifes stem-like cytotoxic CD8 T cells infltrating human tumors. J Ex Med 215(10):2520–2535
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>16. Im SJ, Hashimoto M, Gerner MY et al (2016) Defning CD8+ T cells that provide the proliferative burst after PD-1 therapy. Nature 537(7620):417–421. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19330>
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>17. Jolanda B, Emilia MCM, Giorgia A et al (2018) High-dimensional single cell analysis identifies stem-like cytotoxic  $CD8(+)$  T cells infltrating human tumors. J Exp Med 215(10):2520
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>18. Lan X, Zebley CC, Youngblood B (2023) Cellular and molecular waypoints along the path of T cell exhaustion. Sci Immunol. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.adg3868>
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>19. Chen Y, Wang D, Li Y et al (2024) Spatiotemporal single-cell analysis decodes cellular dynamics underlying diferent responses to immunotherapy in colorectal cancer. Cancer Cell 42(7):1268– 85.e7
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>20. Wei G, Cuiyu Z, Xia W et al (2021) Resolving the diference between left-sided and right-sided colorectal cancer by single-cell sequencing. JCI Insight.<https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.152616>
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>21. Linlin J, Gongbo F, Mengxi H et al (2024) scRNA-seq of colorectal cancer shows regional immune atlas with the function of CD20(+) B cells. Cancer Lett 584:216664
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>22. Hae-Ock L, Yourae H, Hakki Emre E et al (2020) Lineagedependent gene expression programs infuence the immune landscape of colorectal cancer. Nat Genet 52(6):594
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>23. Laetitia M, Aurélien DR, Alex D et al (2013) Gene expression classification of colon cancer into molecular subtypes: characterization, validation, and prognostic value. PLoS Med 10(5):e1001453
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>24. Joshua J, Natasha GD, Fei W, Nipun BM, Bing Z, Aixiang J et al (2009) Experimentally derived metastasis gene expression profle predicts recurrence and death in patients with colon cancer. Gastroenterology 138(3):958
- <span id="page-12-24"></span>25. Jingjing Q, Hongxiang S, Yao Z et al (2022) Single-cell and spatial analysis reveal interaction of  $FAP(+)$  fibroblasts and  $SPP1(+)$ macrophages in colorectal cancer. Nat Commun 13(1):1742
- <span id="page-12-25"></span>26. Catherine S-F, Florent P, Julien C et al (2019) Tertiary lymphoid structures in the era of cancer immunotherapy. Nat Rev Cancer 19(6):307
- 27. Mathieu U, Jerome CM, Luka M et al (2022) Ulcerative colitis is characterized by a plasmablast-skewed humoral response associated with disease activity. Nat Med 28(4):766
- <span id="page-12-26"></span>28. Geng J, Guo Y, Xie M et al (2023) Characteristics of endoplasmic reticulum stress in colorectal cancer for predicting prognosis and developing treatment options. Cancer Med 12(10):12000–12017
- <span id="page-12-27"></span>29. Eng C, Yoshino T, Ruíz-García E et al (2024) Colorectal cancer. Lancet 404(10449):294–310. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00360-X) [6736\(24\)00360-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00360-X)
- <span id="page-12-28"></span>30. Yonekura S, Terrisse S, Silva CAC et al (2021) Cancer induces a stress ileopathy depending on β-adrenergic receptors and

promoting dysbiosis that contributes to carcinogenesis. Cancer Discov 12(4):1128–1151. [https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.](https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-21-0999) [CD-21-0999](https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-21-0999)

- <span id="page-13-0"></span>31. Gutiérrez-Melo N, Baumjohann D (2023) T follicular helper cells in cancer. Trends Cancer 9(4):309–325. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trecan.2022.12.007) [trecan.2022.12.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trecan.2022.12.007)
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>32. Zhao Y, Fan Z, Tao B et al (2024) Density of tertiary lymphoid structures predicts clinical outcome in breast cancer brain metastasis. J ImmunoTherapy Cancer 12(7):e009232. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2024-009232) [10.1136/jitc-2024-009232](https://doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2024-009232)
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>33. Ceylan A, Artac M, Kocak M et al (2024) Epidermal growth factor receptor and programmed cell death-1 expression levels in peripheral T cell subsets of patients with non-small cell lung cancer. Scand J Immunol 100:e13398
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>34. Adam JW, Minita S, Amanda F et al (2024) Ultrasensitive plasmabased monitoring of tumor burden using machine-learning-guided signal enrichment. Nat Med 30(6):1655
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>35. Caroline SJ, Nataliya P, Viraj AM et al (2019) An intra-tumoral niche maintains and diferentiates stem-like CD8 T cells. Nature 576(7787):465
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>36. Kazanietz M, Durando M, Cooke MJFIE (2019) CXCL13 and its receptor CXCR5 in cancer: infammation, immune response, and beyond. Front Endocrinol 10:471
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>37. Kallies A, Zehn D, Utzschneider DJNRI (2020) Precursor exhausted T cells: key to successful immunotherapy? Nat Rev Immunol 20(2):128–136
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>38. Sade-Feldman M, Yizhak K, Bjorgaard S et al (2019) Defning T cell states associated with response to checkpoint immunotherapy in melanoma. Cell 176:404
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>39. Jinfang Z, William EPJB (2008) CD4 T cells: fates, functions, and faults. Blood 112(5):1557
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>40. Kristen MV, Dan D, Travis JL et al (2018) CD8 follicular T cells promote B cell antibody class switch in autoimmune disease. J Immunol 201(1):31
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>41. Junjie X, Chenxin Z, Xiaohong Y et al (2017) CXCR5(+)CD8(+) T cells infltrate the colorectal tumors and nearby lymph nodes, and are associated with enhanced IgG response in B cells. Exp Cell Res 356(1):57
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>42. Di Y, Lilin YJTI (2018) A portrait of CXCR5(+) follicular cytotoxic CD8(+) T cells. Trends Immunol 39(12):965
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>43. Fridman W, Meylan M, Pupier G et al (2023) Tertiary lymphoid structures and B cells: an intratumoral immunity cycle. Immunity 56(10):2254–2269

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.