Comparison of Multiple-Locus Variable-Number Tandem-Repeat Analysis with Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis, *spa* Typing, and Multilocus Sequence Typing for Clonal Characterization of *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolates

Natalia Malachowa,¹ Artur Sabat,² Marek Gniadkowski,² Jolanta Krzyszton-Russjan,² Joanna Empel,² Jacek Miedzobrodzki,¹ Klaudia Kosowska-Shick,³ Peter C. Appelbaum,³ and Waleria Hryniewicz^{2*}

Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Biotechnology, Jagiellonian University, 30-387 Cracow, Poland¹; National
Institute of Public Health, 00-725 Warsaw, Poland²; and Department of Pathology, Hershey Medical Center, *P.O. Box 850, Hershey, Pennsylvania 17033*³

Received 10 November 2004/Returned for modification 7 January 2005/Accepted 15 March 2005

Multiple-locus variable-number tandem-repeat analysis (MLVA), a new PCR-based method of typing *Staphylococcus aureus***, was compared to pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE),** *spa* **typing, and multilocus sequence typing (MLST) on a group of 59** *S. aureus* **(mostly methicillin-resistant) clinical isolates. The aim of the study was to establish possible criteria of clustering MLVA patterns and to check concordance levels between the results produced by MLVA and the three other typing methods. As in our earlier study, MLVA turned out to have discriminatory power similar to that of PFGE. Comparison of data obtained by the two approaches allowed us to propose a 70% or ca. 80% cutoff value of the similarity between two MLVA patterns, depending on a cutoff level applied to interpret the PFGE results, 75% or ca. 90%, respectively. The cutoff values corresponded to the difference of up to six or four bands, respectively, among maximum 14 bands in total produced by two isolates in the analysis. The MLVA clusters matched well those obtained by PFGE, and they were also consistent in general with clusters generated by** *spa* **typing and MLST, these latter methods characterized lower resolution. Our results suggest that MLVA may be reliable in shorter-term** *S. aureus* **epidemiological studies, including analyses of outbreaks and hospital-to-hospital strain transmission events. Well-known advantages of typing methods based on PCR (low cost, short time, and easiness of performance) make MLVA a method that may be useful in a variety of laboratories, including those performing routine microbiological analyses within medical centers.**

Staphylococcus aureus, especially methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA), is one of the most important bacterial pathogens in humans responsible for the constantly increasing number of nosocomial and community-acquired infections. Therefore, adequate and precise typing of *S. aureus* isolates, which allows monitoring of local outbreaks and wider-scale dissemination of specific dangerous clones, is of great concern. Similar to other microorganisms, *S. aureus* typing has been recently dominated by molecular biology techniques based on variation analysis of DNA sequences in bacterial isolates. Different molecular methods refer to different genome characteristics that may change independently of each other, and this greatly affects their essential parameters, mainly discriminatory power. This is also a reason why sometimes relationships among isolates that are inferred by one typing method do not correspond with those obtained by another method. The choice of a proper typing approach is crucial in various kinds of epidemiological studies: for example, outbreak analyses require methods with high discriminatory power, whereas those with lower resolution potential are suitable for long-term evolutionary studies.

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) has been consid-

ered to be the "gold standard" in typing of a variety of bacteria, including *S. aureus*. Being highly discriminatory, it is an excellent tool to analyze outbreaks and center-to-center strain transmission events (3, 21, 22, 26), but it has also been used successfully in large-scale *S. aureus* (mostly MRSA) epidemiological investigations (6, 15, 16). Such studies have been further developed by application of multilocus sequence typing (MLST), which measures sequence variation at seven housekeeping loci (8). MLST characterized lower resolution and, as a DNA sequencing-based method, gives full reproducibility of results between laboratories. The recently introduced *spa* typing method analyzes sequence polymorphism at a single locus, and with its discriminatory power, between those of PFGE and MLST (2, 6, 11, 19, 29), it seems to be useful not only in macroevolution but also in smaller-scale studies (14). Unfortunately, all of these methods are technically demanding, expensive, and time-consuming and, in the case of PFGE, interlaboratory comparisons of results are difficult (16, 17, 18).

Rapid, easy, and relatively inexpensive typing techniques are those that are based on the PCR method. Several of these have been used in *S. aureus* outbreak analyses; however, all have important limitations, such as low reproducibility or limited discriminatory power (4, 7, 11, 31). It is possible that these shortfalls will be eliminated with new *S. aureus* (or MRSA) specific methods, such as triplex PCR for *spa* and *coa* genes,

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: National Institute of Public Health, 30/34 Chelmska St., 00-725 Warsaw, Poland. Phone: 48-22- 841-33-67. Fax: 48-22-841-29-49. E-mail: waleria@cls.edu.pl.

the hypervariable region adjacent to *mecA* (28, 32), or the restriction profile analysis of the repetitive element STAR (20). Recently, multiplex PCR referred to as MLVA (25) has been developed in our laboratory. It analyzes the variation in number of repeats in seven individual genes (*sspA*, *spa*, *sdrC*, *sdrD*, *sdrE*, *clfA*, and *clfB*) and in the initial study was found to be comparable in discriminatory power with PFGE. The aim of the present study was to determine the congruence between the isolate or clonal groupings recognized by MLVA, and PFGE, *spa* typing, and MLST and to propose possible MLVA clustering criteria based on comparison of MLVA and PFGE DNA banding patterns.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains. A group of 56 MRSA and 2 methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* (MSSA) isolates was selected from a collection of ca. 500 *S. aureus* isolates from 1992 to 2001, deposited at the National Institute of Public Health in Warsaw. Strain selection was based on preliminary PFGE data and was intended to include isolates with various degrees of genetic relatedness. Isolates were derived from a variety of human infections. Their geographic origins included mainly Poland but there were also isolates from several other European countries. A reference *S. aureus* strain NCTC 8325/0 (MSSA) was included in the study.

PFGE. Preparation of genomic DNA of the isolates, followed by SmaI (MBI Fermentas, Vilnius, Lithuania) digestion, and separation in a CHEF DR II apparatus (Bio-Rad, Hercules, Calif.) was performed as described previously (5). SmaI PFGE patterns were saved in the TIF format, exported to the Molecular Analyst database (Bio-Rad), and analyzed by using the Dice coefficient-UPGMA (unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic averages). A dendrogram was generated to examine relatedness of PFGE profiles for all study isolates, and cutoff levels of 75 and 92% were applied to this dendrogram (Fig. 1 and 2, respectively). With the 75% cutoff, isolates differing by up to six DNA fragments were clustered together, whereas the 92% cutoff corresponded to the difference of up to three bands within a cluster.

Preparation of total DNA for PCR. Total DNA of the isolates was purified by using the Genomic DNA Prep Plus kit (A&A Biotechnology, Gdynia, Poland) as previously described (25). The purified DNA concentration was estimated with a spectrophotometer (CE3021; Cecil Instruments, Cambridge, United Kingdom), and stock solutions were diluted to a concentration of 5 ng/ μ l. A total of 5 ng of DNA were then used in each PCR.

MLVA typing. MLVA typing was performed as described previously (25). Gel images were exported as TIF files for further analysis by using the Molecular Analyst database. Construction of a dendrogram of banding patterns by using UPGMA was performed by using the Dice coefficient.

spa **typing.** Amplification of the *spa* gene X region was performed as described previously (27), and amplicons were then sequenced by using an ABI 377 sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, Calif.). The *spa* types were determined with the Ridom SpaServer (12). The *spa* types with identical or similar repeat profiles were grouped into clusters according to the method of Koreen et al. (14). Any two *spa* types that differed in the number of repeats but contained many identical repeats in common or showed a single deletion of the internal *spa* sequence were classified into the same cluster.

MLST. MLST was performed according to protocol described by Enright et al. (8). Sequences of each locus were submitted to the Internet database (www.mlst .net), and resulting allelic profiles were assigned to particular sequence types (ST) for each isolate. START software (13) was used to classify different STs into clusters or clonal complexes (CCs) of phylogenetic relationships. Such clusters were composed of two or more isolates of the same STs or STs which differed at a single locus (single-locus variants) or two loci (double-locus variants) (10).

Calculation of concordance. Intermethod concordance was calculated as the maximum percentage proportion of isolates grouped together into unique patterns/profiles or clusters by two methods compared (24).

RESULTS

PFGE. The 59 *S. aureus* isolates produced 52 PFGE patterns. Using a cutoff similarity value of 75%, 48 of the isolates were classified into nine clusters designated from P1 to P9, while 11 isolates had separate positions in the dendrogram

FIG. 1. PFGE (left) and MLVA (right) dendrograms of the study isolates generated by the UPGMA algorithm. Isolate clusters were delineated with a 75 and 70% similarity cutoff values for PFGE and MLVA, respectively, as indicated by vertical lines. Double arrows connect the corresponding clusters discerned by both methods (different arrow forms are used only for clarity of visualization).

(Fig. 1). The clusters almost perfectly matched PFGE types of the isolates that had been discerned in an earlier study aimed at description of the clonal structure of MRSA in Poland (original designations of the types O, D, A, N, C, K, B, G, and F, respectively), in which a much wider collection of isolates had been used (J. Krzyszton-Russjan, J. Empel, T. Leski, M. Gniadkowski, and W. Hryniewicz, Abstr. 11th International Symposium on Staphylococci and Staphylococcal Infections, abstr. ME-15, 2004). Isolates belonging to the same cluster or PFGE type fulfilled the criteria by Tenover et al. (30). The only exception was isolate A005b, which in the present study was excluded from cluster P7, corresponding to the original PFGE type B. The reason for the latter was that for the present study only a sample of isolates of this type were selected and did not include those that had linked this isolate by pattern similarity with the remaining isolates of the cluster.

When the cutoff of 92% was applied, nine PFGE clusters comprising 28 isolates were distinguished and designated from P1' to P9' (Fig. 2). Of the clusters identified with the 75% cutoff, only two clusters, P3 and P8, remained unchanged as clusters P2' and P5', respectively. Clusters P2, P5, and P7 were

FIG. 2. PFGE (left) and MLVA (right) dendrograms of the study isolates. Isolate clusters were delineated with a 92 and 77% similarity cutoff values for PFGE and MLVA, respectively, as indicated by vertical lines. Double arrows connect the corresponding clusters discerned by both methods (different arrow forms are used only for clarity of visualization).

reduced by three, four, and one isolate, respectively, into clusters P1', P3', and P4'. Cluster P9 was split into four smaller ones—P6', P7', P8', and P9'—and two unique isolates. Finally, all isolates of clusters P1, P4, and P6 were classified as nonrelated by PFGE with the raised cutoff value (Fig. 2).

spa **typing.** Our analysis yielded 20 *spa* types among 59 *S. aureus* isolates, including six new types (t386 to t391) (Fig. 3). With the use of the criteria by Koreen et al. (14), isolates with similar *spa* repeat profiles were grouped into four clusters designated S1 to S4. Only a single isolate, isolate BN4 (t159), was classified outside any cluster.

MLST. MLST analysis identified 14 distinct allelic profiles or STs among the isolates, including one, designated ST501 (isolate 3301) (Fig. 3), that has not been described previously in the database at http://saureus.mlst.net/. Ten STs were represented by more than one isolate. Six clusters of closely related STs were distinguished, and these corresponded to *S. aureus* clonal complexes CC1, CC5, CC8, CC30, and CC45, with a cluster of three isolates belonging to ST80 $(1, 9, 10)$. Only one isolate, isolate 794 of ST15, could not be assigned to any of the clusters.

	MLST spa					PFGE	MLV/	
	Isolate	Cluster ST			Cluster lype	Profile	Cluster	Cluste
	2577/98	CC8	ST336	S1	1052	rilr2lrl2 - r2lrl7r34r24r34r22r25	P ₂	M ₄
	2258/98		ST336		t052	r11r21r12 - r21r17r34r24r34r22r25	P2	M4
	2255/98		ST336		t052	r11r21r12 - r21r17r34r24r34r22r25	P ₂	M ₄
	2234b/97		ST336		t052	rHr2IrL2 - r2IrL7r34r24r34r22r25	P ₂	M ₄
	2233/97		ST336		t052	r I lr2 lr l 2 - r2 lr l 7r34r24r34r22r25	P ₂	M ₄
	2260/98		ST247		t052	rHr2Tr12 - r2Tr17r34r24r34r22r25	P ₂	M ₄
	3028		ST247		1052	rilr2lrl2 - r2lrl7r34r24r34r22r25	P ₂	M ₄
	303/00		ST247		1052	r11r21r12 - r21r17r34r24r34r22r25	P ₂	M ₄
	N104a		ST157	S2	1030	r15r12r16r02 - - r24r24	P5	MI
	1791/97		ST157		1030	$r15r12r16r02 - -$ r24r24	P5	M
	1794/97		ST157		t030	r15r12r16r02 - - r24r24	P ₅	Mi
	1807/97		ST157		t030	r#5r12r16r02 - - r24r24	P5	M1
	3498		ST ₈	SI	7008	rHrH9r12 - r21r17r34r24r34r22r25		M ₂
	3521		ST ₈		t008	riiri9r12 - r2iri7r34r24r34r22r25		M2
	NCTC8325		ST8		t211	r11r19r12r12r21r17r34r24r34r22r25		
	3254		ST239	\$2	t030	ri5rl2r16r02 - - r24r24		
	MR1003		ST239		t030	r15r12r16r02 - - r24r24	P5	Mi
	2689		ST239		1037	r15r12r16r02 - r25r17r24	P ₅	
	H390		ST239		t388	ri5rl2r16r02 - r25 - r24	P7	
	3121		ST239		1388	r15r12r16r02 - r25 - r24	P7	M ₃
	N98		ST239		1037	r15r12r16r02 - r25r17r24	P7	M ₃
	MR11		ST239		1037	r15r12r16r02 - r25r17r24	P7	M ₃
	MR1064		ST239		1037	r15r12r16r02 - r25r17r24	P7	M ₃
	MR89		ST239		t037	r15r12r16r02 - r25r17r24	P7	M ₃
	B098		ST239		t037	r15r12r16r02 - r25r17r24		
	$\Lambda 005b$		ST239		t037	r15r12r16r02 - r25r17r24		
	3497		ST239		t037	r15r12r16r02 - r25r17r24	PI	
	MR47		ST239		t037	r15r12r16r02 - r25r17r24	P6	
	MR76		ST239		1037	ri5rl2r16r02 - r25r17r24	P6	M7
	J405		ST239		1037	r15r12r16r02 - r25r17r24	P6	M7
	771		ST239		1037	r15r12r16r02 - r25r17r24	P ₆	M ₇
	2690		ST239		1387	r15r12r16r02r31r25r17r24	P6	
	- 3301		ST501		1037	r15r12r16r02 - r25r17r24	PI	
	-794		ST15	S3	$\sqrt{1084}$	r07r23r12r34r34r12r12r23r02r12 r23		
	3483	CC5	ST228	S4	ī001	r26r30r17r34r17r20r17r12r17r16	P9	M8
	MR44		ST5		t053	r26r23r17r34r17r20r17r12r17r34	P9	M8
	MR63		ST ₅		t053	r26r23r17r34r17r20r17r12r17r34	P9	
	MR84		ST5		t053	r26r23r17r34r17r20r17r12r17r34	p9	M8 M_8
	MR5		ST5		t053	r26r23r17r34r17r20r17r12r17r34	P9	M8
	MR24		ST5		1053	r26r23r17r34r17r20r17r12r17r34	P9	M ₈
	MR52		ST5		t053	r26r23r17r34r17r20r17r12r17r34	P9	M ₈
	2700 MR31		ST ₅		t053	r26r23r17r34r17r20r17r12r17r34	P ₉	M8
			ST5		1053	r26r23r17r34r17r20r17r12r17r34	P9	M8
	MR29 MR27		ST ₅		1053	r26r23r17r34r17r20r17r12r17r34	P9	M8
	MR80		ST ₅ ST ₅		1053	r26r23r17r34r17r20r17r12r17r34	pģ	M8
	N39				1053	r26r23r17r34r17r20r17r12r17r34	Р9	M8
	MR1010a		ST ₅ ST80		t389	r26r23r17 - - - - r12r17r34	P8	M ₅
	2838/98	ST80	ST80	S3	t044	r07r23r12r34r34r33r34	P8	M ₅
	1899/96		ST80		t044	r07r23r12r34r34r33r34		M ₅
	3502		ST I		t044	r07r23r12r34r34r33r34	P8	
	l BN4	CC1	STI		1386	r07r23r13 r14r44r13r12r17r17r23r18r17		
	A005a		ST45		1159	$r08r16 - - - - - -$		
	2688/98	CC45	ST45	$S2$ ⁻¹	t390	- r16r34	P3 P3	M6
	C115				1015	r08r16r02r16r34r13r17r34r16r34		M6
	2684/98		ST45 ST30		1015	r08r16r02r16r34r13r17r34r16r34	P3 P4	
	2956/01	CC30			ī019	r08r16r02r16r02r25r17r24	P ₄	
	3248		ST30 ST30	S2	1391 1012	rl5 - $- - - r24$		
	EMRSA-16		ST36		t018	r15r12r16r02r16r02r25r17r24r24 r15r12r16r02r16r02r25r17r24r24r24	P4	
고민민민민도								
Linkage Dictages								

FIG. 3. Comparison of PFGE and MLVA results (cutoffs 75 and 70%, respectively) with those obtained by MLST and *spa* typing. The order in which isolates are listed from top to bottom is based on the MLST dendrogram (left side of the figure). Gaps within *spa* profiles indicate possible deletion/insertion events in the *spa* locus and were introduced in order to optimize the alignment of the profiles. In PFGE and MLST columns only cluster designations were used; the unique PFGE and MLVA types were not included for clarity.

MLVA results and their comparison with the PFGE data. (i) Criteria for defining MLVA clusters. MLVA produced 40 different DNA banding patterns among 59 *S. aureus* isolates. In order to establish criteria for clustering the patterns into similarity groups, the MLVA typing results were compared to those obtained by PFGE. With the PFGE similarity cutoff of 75%, a comparable set of MLVA clusters was observed when the cutoff value between two MLVA patterns was set up at the level of 70% (Fig. 1). Isolates belonging to the same cluster differed by up to six bands, and isolates classified into different clusters differed by more than six bands. A total of eight MLVA clusters that comprised 40 isolates were distinguished. Almost all clusters delineated in the PFGE dendrogram had corresponding clusters in the MLVA dendrogram. PFGE clusters P2, P8, and P9 were identical to the MLVA clusters M4, M5, and M8, respectively (Fig. 1). A very good correlation was also observed between PFGE clusters P3, P5, P6, and P7 and MLVA clusters M6, M1, M7, and M3, respectively. The only difference was that MLVA groups were smaller by one or two

isolates than their PFGE counterparts; however, none of the "missing" isolates was clustered by MLVA together with any other isolates (isolate A005a, PFGE cluster P3; 2689/98, PFGE cluster P5; 2690 and MR47, PFGE cluster P6; and H390, PFGE cluster P7). Isolates in PFGE clusters P1 (isolates 3301 and 3497) and P4 (isolates EMRSA-16, 2684/98, and 2956/01) were all classified as unique isolates by MLVA analysis. The only isolates defined as similar by MLVA and nonrelated by PFGE were two isolates of the MLVA cluster M2 (isolates 3521 and 3498) (Fig. 1).

For the nine PFGE clusters defined by the 92% cutoff, a corresponding set of nine MLVA clusters, M1' to M9', was distinguished when the MLVA cutoff level was raised to 77% (Fig. 2). These clusters comprised 39 isolates, and isolates within a single cluster differed from each other by up to four bands. Clusters M1', M2', M3', M4', M6', and M7' were identical to those from the MLVA analysis with the 70% cutoff. The original cluster M5 was reduced by one isolate into M5', and cluster M8 was divided into two smaller ones, M8' and M9. All but one PFGE clusters correlated with particular MLVA clusters; however, none of these were identical to its MLVA counterpart. PFGE clusters P1', P2', P3', P4', P5', and P9' corresponded to MLVA clusters M4', M6', M1', M3', M5', and M9', respectively, and were usually smaller by one to three isolates than the MLVA ones. Isolates from clusters P7 and P8' were classified together by MLVA into cluster M8'. The only exception was PFGE cluster P6', which had no a corresponding MLVA cluster, and its two isolates were split between MLVA clusters M8' and M9' (Fig. 2). On the other hand, isolates of the MLVA clusters M2' and M7' were defined as nonrelated by PFGE with the 92% cutoff.

We also searched for a level of variability in PFGE patterns that would always allow classifying any two isolates to corresponding clusters by using MLVA and PFGE methods. Any two isolates with either indistinguishable PFGE patterns (the cutoff 92% clusters P1, P7, and P9, and isolates MR24 and N39 of cluster P8') or differing by a single band (cluster P3') were always assigned to a single, separate MLVA cluster. These differed by no more than four bands within the MLVA clusters.

(ii) Comparison of MLVA with *spa* **and MLST clusters.** In all cases, *S. aureus* isolates of a given MLVA cluster, defined by the 70% cutoff value, were grouped together within the same *spa* cluster and MLST clonal complex or cluster (Fig. 3). Moreover, such isolates were usually indistinguishable from each other by *spa* typing and MLST. Exceptions included isolates 3121 (cluster M3) and N39 (cluster M8) that differed in *spa* types from other isolates in their clusters, and isolates MR1003 (cluster M1), and 2260/98, 3028 and 303/00 (cluster M4) that varied with respect to their STs.

Of the four clusters revealed by *spa* typing, almost each one was split by MLVA into several clusters and singular isolates. The one exception was the *spa* cluster S4 with 13 isolates, 12 of which corresponded to the MLVA cluster M8 and one isolate (isolate 3483) was sorted as unique. Similar observations were made when the six MLST clusters were compared to MLVA clusters. The CC5 complex, corresponding to the *spa* cluster S4, matched precisely the MLVA cluster M8 and the single isolate 3483. Isolate 3483 slightly differed from remaining isolates of the group in *spa* typing and MLST. The only other

TABLE 1. Correlation between four typing methods for *S. aureus*

Typing	% Correlation between patterns/profiles (correlation between clusters)							
method	PFGE	MLST	MLVA	spa typing				
PFGE								
MLST	32.2(49.1)							
MLVA	72.9 (64.4) $(39.0)^a$	44.1 (42.4)						
spa typing	42.4(49.1)	72.9(66.1)	62.7(47.5)					

^a –, Concordance value referring to the comparison of PFGE and MLVA clusters, in which similarity cutoff levels of 92% and 77% were used in the two methods, respectively. In all other cases, comparisons were done with a PFGE cutoff of 75% and an MLVA cutoff of 70%.

MLST clusters that overlapped with MLVA (and *spa*) clusters were those occurring less often among isolates analyzed: cluster ST80 (corresponding to M5 and S3) and CC45 (M6 and the *spa* subcluster S2). CC30 was split completely into unique isolates by MLVA, as was the case of CC1 and all other typing methods used in the present study.

Concordance between methods. The concordance values between the typing methods compared are listed in Table 1. The highest levels of correlation were found between the results produced on one hand by MLVA and PFGE (72.9%), and, on the other, by *spa* typing and MLST (72.9%). Of the remaining combinations of method pairs, a higher correlation level was observed only in the case of MLVA and *spa* typing (62.7%).

DISCUSSION

In this study we compared the new *S. aureus* typing method, MLVA (25), with PFGE, MLST, and *spa* typing on a group of 59 nosocomial *S. aureus*, mostly MRSA isolates. In contrast to other approaches it is rapid, inexpensive, and easy to use, which is a general characteristic of PCR-based typing techniques. DNA banding patterns generated by MLVA are simple and easy to interpret. Moreover, together with *spa* typing and MLST, MLVA offers the possibility of unambiguous interlaboratory comparisons of results.

In general, typing techniques with high discriminatory power have a better level of concordance between themselves than with those of lower resolution potential. By analogy, methods with low discriminatory power correlate better with each other than with highly discriminatory techniques. According to this rule we have observed a high level of congruence between PFGE and MLVA on one hand and *spa* typing and MLST on the other. Comparability of the resolution potential between MLVA and PFGE has already been demonstrated in our earlier study (25). What is interesting, however, is that the level of concordance between typing techniques can vary and depends on the collection of isolates used for investigation. With a highly diverse collection of isolates, one may observe a good correlation even between typing techniques which differ greatly in discriminatory power. In this way we can explain the difference in the concordance level between PFGE and MLST obtained in the present study (32.2%) and in the analysis performed by Grundmann et al. (67%) (11). In these two studies two different types of *S. aureus* collections were used. Whereas our collection included only nosocomial and mostly related

MRSA isolates, Grundmann et al. (11) studied a collection of mainly MSSA isolates circulating in the community.

The concordance level between MLVA and PFGE was remarkably high. The comparison of the results obtained by both methods was performed at two similarity cutoff levels in the PFGE analysis: 75 and 92%. With the PFGE cutoff of 75%, which referred to the interpretation criteria by Tenover et al. (30), isolates that differed by no more than six bands in MLVA (MLVA cutoff of 70%) could be regarded as related in PFGE and therefore classified into the same MLVA cluster. MLVA differentiated all isolates of different PFGE clusters, and almost all clusters distinguished with one of the methods had their counterparts in the second analysis. Most differences observed in PFGE and MLVA clustering were not accidental but could be explained by other observations. Sporadic isolates of PFGE clusters P1, P3, P4, P5, P6, and P7 that were segregated as unique by MLVA differed slightly from each other or from remaining isolates of their clusters also in *spa* types (isolates 2689/98, 2690, and A005a), STs (isolates 3301 and 3497), or both (isolates 2684/98 and EMRSA-16). On the other hand, two isolates of the MLVA cluster M2 (isolates 3521 and 3498), which were separated from each other by PFGE, turned out to be the same *spa* type and ST. The PFGE interpretation criteria by Tenover et al. (30) allow for identifying broader isolate clusters that have been useful in larger-scale epidemiological or phylogenetic studies (6, 15, 16). However, short-term epidemiological studies aimed mostly at outbreak investigations usually require more stringent criteria, especially in the case of highly clonal organisms, such as *S. aureus*.

Using the PFGE cutoff of 92%, which reflected up to a three-band difference between two PFGE patterns, it was possible to raise the MLVA cutoff level to 77% in order to obtain the most stringent criteria in MLVA interpretation. Such MLVA clusters grouped DNA patterns that differed by up to four bands from each other. Under these conditions, almost each of the PFGE clusters had a corresponding MLVA cluster, with the only exception of the PFGE cluster P6', the two isolates of which were split into MLVA clusters M8' and M9'. With the MLVA cutoff of 70%, these two clusters were combined into a single, broad M8, and it is possible that with more diverse isolates of this phylogenetic lineage included in the analysis, clusters M8' and M9' would form a single one also under the stringent conditions. The even better results were obtained when the MLVA cutoff 77% clusters were assigned to PFGE clusters that grouped isolates of up to one band difference only. In this case, all PFGE clusters had their MLVA counterparts. All of the observations discussed above suggest that MLVA, with its resolution power and clustering capability comparable to PFGE, may be a good tool for the same applications, especially in outbreak analyses and monitoring shorter-time interhospital spread of *S. aureus* strains.

Lower levels of correlation between MLVA (cutoff, 70%) and *spa* typing and MLST at the cluster level could be attributed to obvious differences in discriminatory power between these methods; however, isolates of a specific MLVA type were usually of the same *spa* type and ST. Exceptional cases of variation (isolates 3121 and N39 in *spa* and isolates MR1003, 2260/98, 3028, and 303/00 in MLST) were still confined to the same *spa* cluster (S2 or S4) or MLST clonal complex or cluster (CC8) and were either due to a single deletion/insertion event within the *spa* locus or due to changes in only one or two of the loci analyzed by MLST. The correlation between MLVA and *spa* typing at the DNA banding pattern level was especially noteworthy. In order to show dynamics of clone circulation, MLVA and *spa* typing may be combined, which would decrease the time and cost of typing procedures and allow for interlaboratory comparisons of results. Since all *spa* types corresponded to specific MLVA patterns, it may be proposed that representatives of MLVA types by *spa* gene may be routinely typed by using partial sequencing. On the other hand, because *spa* typing results correlated very well with the MLST data, the representatives of *spa* types should be good candidates for MLST analysis.

The good agreement observed between MLVA and PFGE on one hand and *spa* typing and MLST on the other indicates a low level of genetic recombination in *S. aureus*. Its clonal population structure arises mostly from point mutations (10), which explains the presence of a phylogenetic signal within the *S. aureus* population (14). However, long-term evolution may be also influenced by chromosomal replacements that complicate the view of the relationships between distantly related isolates. Clusters revealed by *spa* typing and MLST have not always corresponded in our study. The largest MLST clonal complex CC8 was also the most diverse with six different STs, including ST8 and ST239. This cluster was split into two *spa* clusters, S1 and S2 (with ST8 and ST239 isolates, respectively), of which cluster S2 was also identified in another MLST group, CC30 (with ST30). Isolates of ST8 and ST239 were considered closely related because they were single-locus variants of each other, differing only in the *arcC* locus. However, analysis of Robinson and Enright, which included *spa* and seven surface protein-encoding genes *sas*, revealed that a large $(\sim 557 \text{-} \text{kb})$ chromosomal fragment containing all of these genes and *arcC* was in ST239 identical to that of ST30 and not that of ST8. Therefore, a chromosomal replacement between the ST30 parent and the ST8 parent which resulted in the ST239 mosaic chromosome (23) was most likely responsible for the observed incongruence. The second case was found for the *spa* cluster S3 and the MLST complex CC1 and cluster ST80. The CC1 isolate 3502 was indistinguishable from isolate BN4 by MLST but different by *spa* type. On the other hand, its *spa* type t386 was closely related to the type t044, which is characteristic for three ST80 isolates (cluster S3). There are no detailed data on genome structure of these clones; therefore, we suggest that a chromosomal replacement encompassing the *spa* locus, followed by its mutational changes, could have also occurred in the evolution of *S. aureus* CC1 (ST1) clone.

In summary, the main objective of the present study was to compare MLVA with other approaches of typing of *S. aureus* clinical isolates. Its discriminatory power was found to be lower but comparable with PFGE; moreover, both of these methods were highly concordant in terms of discerning clusters of related and probably related isolates. Therefore, we conclude that MLVA may appear to be useful and reliable in shorterterm epidemiological investigations of *S. aureus*. The obvious advantage of this method is that it is PCR based, which makes it available for many laboratories, including hospital-based ones. Its good correlation with methods of lower resolution, such as *spa* typing, suggests that MLVA may also be useful in indicating clonal representatives for larger-scale analyses performed at the Reference Center level. However, this method needs further validation against other typing methods, especially PFGE, with use of other collections of *S. aureus* isolates. Studies focused on MSSA isolates would also be of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by two grants (grants 2 P04A 001 27 and 2P05D 081 26) from the Polish Committee for Scientific Research (KBN).

REFERENCES

- 1. **Aires de Sousa, M., C. Batzavali, I. Spiliopoulou, I. Santos Sanches, M. I. Criso´stomo, and H. de Lencastre.** 2003. Two international methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* clones endemic in a university hospital in Patras, Greece. J. Clin. Microbiol. **41:**2027–2032.
- 2. **Aires de Sousa, M., and H. de Lencastre.** 2004. Bridges from hospitals to the laboratory: genetic portraits of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* clones. FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. **40:**101–111.
- 3. **Bannerman, T. L., G. A. Hancock, F. C. Tenover, and J. M. Miller.** 1995. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis as a replacement for bacteriophage typing of *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Clin. Microbiol. **33:**551–555.
- 4. **Chiou, C. S., H. L. Wei, and L. C. Yang.** 2000. Comparison of pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and coagulase gene restriction profile analysis techniques in the molecular typing of *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Clin. Microbiol. **38:**2186– 2190.
- 5. **Chung, M., H. de Lencastre, P. Matthews, A. Tomasz, I. Adamsson, M. Aires de Sousa, T. Camou, C. Cocuzza, A. Corso, I. Couto, A. Dominguez, M. Gniadkowski, R. Goering, A. Gomes, K. Kikuchi, A. Marchese, R. Mato, O. Melter, D. Oliveira, R. Palacio, R. Sa-Leao, I. Santos Sanches, J. H. Song, P. T. Tassios, and P. Villari.** 2000. Molecular typing of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis: comparison of results obtained in a multilaboratory effort using identical protocols and MRSA strains. Microb. Drug Resist. **6:**189–198.
- 6. **Crisostomo, M. I., H. Westh, A. Tomasz, M. Chung, D. C. Oliveira, and H. de Lencastre.** 2001. The evolution of methicillin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*: similarity of genetic backgrounds in historically early methicillinsusceptible and -resistant isolates and contemporary epidemic clones. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **98:**9865–9870.
- 7. **Deplano, A., A. Schuermans, J. Van Eldere, W. Witte, H. Meugnier, J. Etienne, H. Grundmann, D. Jonas, G. T. Noordhoek, J. Dijkstra, A. van Belkum, W. van Leeuwen, P. T. Tassios, N. J. Legakis, A. van der Zee, A. Bergmans, D. S. Blanc, F. C. Tenover, B. C. Cookson, G. O'Neil, M. J. Struelens, et al.** 2000. Multicenter evaluation of epidemiological typing of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains by repetitive-element PCR analysis. J. Clin. Microbiol. **38:**3527–3533.
- 8. **Enright, M. C., N. P. Day, C. E. Davies, S. J. Peacock, and B. G. Spratt.** 2000. Multilocus sequence typing for characterization of methicillin-resistant and methicillin-susceptible clones of *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Clin. Microbiol. **38:**1008–1015.
- 9. **Enright, M. C., D. A. Robinson, R. Randle, E. J. Feil, G. Grundmann, and B. G. Spratt.** 2002. The evolutionary history of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **99:**7687–7692.
- 10. **Feil, E. J., J. E. Cooper, H. Grundmann, D. A. Robinson, M. C. Enright, T. Berendt, S. J. Peacock, J. M. Smith, M. Murphy, B. G. Spratt, C. E. Moore, and N. P. Day.** 2003. How clonal is *Staphylococcus aureus*? J. Bacteriol. **185:**3307–3316.
- 11. **Grundmann, H., S. Hori, M. C. Enright, C. Webster, A. Tami, E. J. Feil, and T. Pitt.** 2002. Determining the genetic structure of the natural population of *Staphylococcus aureus*: a comparison of multilocus sequence typing with pulsed-field gel electrophoresis, randomly amplified polymorphic DNA analysis, and phage typing. J. Clin. Microbiol. **40:**4544–4546.
- 12. **Harmsen, D., H. Claus, W. Witte, J. Rothganger, H. Claus, D. Turnwald, and U. Vogel.** 2003. Typing of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in a university hospital setting by using novel software for *spa* repeat determination and database management. J. Clin. Microbiol. **41:**5442–5448.
- 13. **Jolley, K. A., E. J. Feil, M. S. Chan, and M. C. Maiden.** 2001. Sequence type analysis and recombinational tests (START). Bioinformatics **17:**1230–1231.
- 14. **Koreen, L., S. V. Ramaswamy, E. A. Graviss, S. Naidich, J. M. Musser, and B. N. Kreiswirth.** 2004. *spa* typing method for discriminating among *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates: implications for use of a single marker to detect genetic micro- and macrovariation. J. Clin. Microbiol. **42:**792–799.
- 15. **Mato, R., F. Campanile, S. Stefani, M. I. Crisostomo, M. Santagati, S. I. Sanches, and H. de Lencastre.** 2004. Clonal types and multidrug resistance patterns of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) recovered in Italy during the 1990s. Mikrob. Drug Resist. **10:**106–113.
- 16. **McDougal, L. K., C. D. Steward, G. E. Killgore, J. M. Chaitram, S. K. McAllister, and F. C. Tenover.** 2003. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis typing of oxacillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from the United States: establishing a national database. J. Clin. Microbiol. **41:**5113–5120.
- 17. **Mulvey, M. R., L. Chui, J. Ismail, L. Louie, C. Murphy, N. Chang, and M. Alfa.** 2001. Development of a Canadian standardized protocol for subtyping methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* using pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. J. Clin. Microbiol. **39:**3481–3485.
- 18. **Murchan, S., M. E. Kaufmann, A. Deplano, R. de Ryck, M. Struelens, C. E. Zinn, V. Fussing, S. Salmenlinna, J. Vuopio-Varkila, N. El Solh, C. Cuny, W. Witte, P. T. Tassios, N. Legakis, W. van Leeuwen, A. van Belkum, A. Vindel, I. Laconcha, J. Garaizar, S. Haeggman, B. Olsson-Liljequist, U. Ransjo, G. Coombes, and B. Cookson.** 2003. Harmonization of pulsed-field gel electrophoresis protocols for epidemiological typing of strains of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: a single approach developed by consensus in 10 European laboratories and its application for tracing the spread of related strains. J. Clin. Microbiol. **41:**1574–1585.
- 19. **Oliveira, D. C., A. Tomasz, and H. de Lencastre.** 2001. The evolution of pandemic clones of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: identification of two ancestral genetic backgrounds and the associated *mec* elements. Microb. Drug Resist. **7:**349–361.
- 20. **Quelle, L. S., A. Corso, M. Galas, and D. O. Sordelli.** 2003. STAR gene restriction profile analysis in epidemiological typing of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: description of the new method and comparison with other polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based methods. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. **47:**455–464.
- 21. **Roberts, R. B., A. de Lencastre, W. Eisner, E. P. Severina, B. Shopsin, B. N. Kreiswirth, A. Tomasz, et al.** 1998. Molecular epidemiology of methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in 12 New York hospitals. J. Infect. Dis. **178:**164–171.
- 22. **Roberts, R. B., A. M. Tennenberg, W. Eisner, J. Hargrave, L. M. Drusin, R. Yurt, and B. N. Kreiswirth.** 1998. Outbreak in a New York City teaching hospital burn center caused by the Iberian epidemic clone of MRSA. Microb. Drug Resist. **4:**175–183.
- 23. **Robinson, D. A., and M. C. Enright.** 2004. Evolution of *Staphylococcus aureus* by large chromosomal replacements. J. Bacteriol. **186:**1060–1064.
- 24. **Robinson, D. A., S. K. Hollingshead, J. M. Musser, A. J. Parkinson, D. E. Briles, and M. J. Crain.** 1998. The IS*1167* insertion sequence is a phylogenetically informative marker among isolates of serotype 6B *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. J. Mol. Evol. **47:**222–229.
- 25. **Sabat, A., J. Krzyszton-Russjan, W. Strzalka, R. Filipek, K. Kosowska, W. Hryniewicz, J. Travis, and J. Potempa.** 2003. New method for typing *Staphylococcus aureus* strains: multiple-locus variable-number tandem repeat analysis of polymorphism and genetic relationships of clinical isolates. J. Clin. Microbiol. **41:**1801–1804.
- 26. **Schlichting, C., C. Branger, J. M. Fournier, W. Witte, A. Boutonnier, C. Wolz, P. Goullet, and G. Doring.** 1993. Typing of *Staphylococcus aureus* by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis, zymotyping, capsular typing, and phage typing: resolution of clonal relationships. J. Clin. Microbiol. **31:**227–232.
- 27. **Shopsin, B., M. Gomez, S. O. Montgomery, D. H. Smith, M. Waddington, D. E. Dodge, D. A. Bost, M. Riehman, S. Naidich, and B. N. Kreiswirth.** 1999. Evaluation of protein A gene polymorphic region DNA sequencing for typing of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains. J. Clin. Microbiol. **37:**3556–3563.
- 28. **Stranden, A., R. Frei, and A. F. Widmer.** 2003. Molecular typing of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: can PCR replace pulsed-field gel electrophoresis? J. Clin. Microbiol. **41:**3181–3186.
- 29. **Tang, Y. W., M. G. Waddington, D. H. Smith, J. M. Manahan, P. C. Kohner, L. M. Highsmith, H. Li, F. R. Cockerill III, R. L. Thompson, S. O. Montgomery, and D. H. Persing.** 2000. Comparison of protein A gene sequencing with pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and epidemiologic data for molecular typing of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Clin. Microbiol. **38:** 1347–1351.
- 30. **Tenover, F. C., R. D. Arbeit, R. V. Goering, P. A. Mickelson, B. E. Murray, D. H. Persing, and B. Swaminathan.** 1995. Interpreting chromosomal DNA restriction patterns produced by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis: criteria for bacterial strain typing. J. Clin. Microbiol. **33:**2233–2239.
- 31. **van Belkum, A., J. Kluytmans, W. van Leeuwen, R. Bax, W. Quint, E. Peters, A. Fluit, C. Vandenbroucke-Grauls, A. van den Brule, H. Koeleman, W. Melchers, J. Meis, A. Elaichouni, M. Vaneechoutte, F. Moenes, N. Maes, M. Struelens, F. Tenover, and H. Verbrugh.** 1995. Multicenter evaluation of arbitrarily primed PCR for typing of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains. J. Clin. Microbiol. **33:**1537–1547.
- 32. **Wichelhaus, T. A., K. P. Hunfeld, B. Boddinghaus, P. Kraiczy, V. Schafer, and V. Brade.** 2001. Rapid molecular typing of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* by PCR-RFLP. Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol. **22:**294– 298.