Parasite and mammalian GPI biosynthetic pathways can be distinguished using synthetic substrate analogues

Terry K.Smith, Deepak K.Sharma, Arthur Crossman¹, Alexander Dix¹, John S.Brimacombe¹ and Michael A.J.Ferguson²

Departments of Biochemistry and ¹Chemistry, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 4HN, Scotland, UK

²Corresponding author e-mail: majferguson@bad.dundee.ac.uk

Glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) structures are attached to many cell surface glycoproteins in lower and higher eukaryotes. GPI structures are particularly abundant in trypanosomatid parasites where they can be found attached to complex phosphosaccharides, as well as to glycoproteins, and as mature surface glycolipids. The high density of GPI structures at all life-cycle stages of African trypanosomes and Leishmania suggests that the GPI biosynthetic pathway might be a reasonable target for the development of anti-parasite drugs. In this paper we show that synthetic analogues of early GPI intermediates having the 2-hydroxyl group of the D-myo-inositol residue methylated are recognized and mannosylated by the GPI biosynthetic pathways of Trypanosoma brucei and Leishmania major but not by that of human (HeLa) cells. These findings suggest that the discovery and development of specific inhibitors of parasite GPI biosynthesis are attainable goals. Moreover, they demonstrate that inositol acylation is required for mannosylation in the HeLa cell GPI biosynthetic pathway, whereas it is required for ethanolamine phosphate addition in the T.brucei GPI biosynthetic pathway. Keywords: glycosylphosphatidylinositol/HeLa/

Leishmania/mannosyltransferase/Trypanosome

Introduction

The structure, function and biosynthesis of the GPI family of molecules has been extensively reviewed (McConville and Ferguson, 1993; Englund, 1993; Stevens, 1995; Takeda and Kinoshita, 1995; Udenfriend and Kokudula, 1995; Medof *et al.*, 1996). The smallest GPI structure found attached to glycoproteins is NH₂CH₂CH₂PO₄H-6Manα1-2Manα1-6Manα1-4GlcNα1-6*myo*-inositol-1-PO₄H-lipid (EtNP-Man₃GlcN-PI), where the lipid may be diacylglycerol, alkylacylglycerol or ceramide (McConville and Ferguson, 1993). This minimal GPI-anchor structure may be embellished with additional ethanolamine phosphate groups and/or carbohydrate side chains in a species- and tissue-specific manner (McConville and Ferguson, 1993).

The tsetse fly transmitted African parasite *Trypanosoma* brucei expresses a dense cell-surface coat consisting of

~5×10⁶ GPI-anchored variant surface glycoprotein (VSG) dimers (Cross, 1996). In addition to GPI-anchored glycoproteins, other trypanosomatid parasites, such as Leishmania, Trypanosoma cruzi, Herpetomonas, Leptomonas and Phytomonas, express a wide variety of GPI structures known as glycoinositol phospholipids (GIPLs) (McConville and Ferguson, 1993; Routier et al., 1995; Redman et al., 1995 and references therein). GIPLs are metabolic end-products expressed at the cell surface and are classified as type-1 if they contain the motif Man α 1-6Man α 1-4GlcN α 1-6*myo*-inositol-1-PO₄H-lipid or as type-2 if they contain the motif Mana1-3Mana1-4GlcN α 1-6*myo*-inositol-1-PO₄H-lipid or as hybrid if they contain both motifs (i.e. Mana1-6(Mana1-3)Mana1-4GlcNa1-6myo-inositol-1-PO4H-lipid) (McConville and Ferguson, 1993). The largest characterized type-2 GIPL structures are the lipophosphoglycans (LPGs) of the Leishmania (McConville and Ferguson, 1993; McConville et al., 1995), which contain phosphosaccharide-repeat domains. LPGs are known to be major virulence factors for these parasites (Turco and Descoteaux, 1992). GPI-anchored glycoproteins and/or GIPLs are also abundant on nontrypanosomatid protozoan parasites such as Plasmodium falciparum (Gerold et al., 1996), Toxoplasma gondii (Tomavo et al., 1989), Trichomonas (Singh et al., 1994) and Entamoeba (Bhattacharya et al., 1992). In contrast, higher eukaryotes express lower densities of GPI-anchored glycoproteins and do not express GIPL structures.

Proteins destined to be GPI anchored are attached to a preassembled GPI precursor in the endoplasmic reticulum in exchange for a hydrophobic COOH-terminal peptide (Udenfriend and Kokudula, 1995). The basic sequence of events in GPI precursor biosynthesis has been studied in T.brucei (Masterson et al., 1989, 1990; Menon et al., 1990; Güther and Ferguson, 1995), T.cruzi (Heise et al., 1996), T.gondii (Tomavo et al., 1992), P.falciparum (Gerold et al., 1994), Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Sipos et al., 1994) and mammalian cells (Hirose et al., 1992; Puoti and Conzelmann, 1993; Mohney et al., 1994 and references therein). Some features of the biosynthesis of GPI-like GIPLs and the GPI-like anchor of the LPG of Leishmania major have also been described (Proudfoot et al., 1995; Smith et al., 1997). In all cases, GPI biosynthesis involves the addition of GlcNAc to phosphatidylinositol (PI), to give GlcNAc-PI, which is de-Nacetylated to form GlcN-PI (Doering et al., 1989; Hirose et al., 1991; Stevens, 1993; Milne et al., 1994). In T.brucei, L.major and human (HeLa) cells, de-N-acetylation has been shown to be a prerequisite for the mannosylation of GlcN-PI to form later GPI intermediates (Smith et al., 1996, 1997; Sharma et al., 1997). The GlcNAc-PI de-Nacetylases from these organisms show similar substrate specificities (Sharma et al., 1997; Smith et al., 1997).

From GlcN-PI onwards there are several significant

differences among the *T.brucei*, *L.major* and HeLa GPI biosynthetic pathways. For example: (i) the L.major pathway leads predominantly to the formation of GIPL/ LPG intermediates containing the type-2 GIPL sequence Man α 1-3Man α 1-4GlcN α 1-6PI, whereas the *T.brucei* and HeLa pathways lead to the formation of GPI anchor intermediates containing the sequence Man α 1-6Man α 1-4GlcN α 1-6PI. (ii) Acylation of the 2-hydroxyl group of D-*myo*-inositol [to form (acyl)PI-containing intermediates] occurs with T.brucei and HeLa GPI intermediates, but not with L.major GPI intermediates. (iii) Acylation of D-myoinositol occurs only after the first mannosylation of early T.brucei GPI intermediates (Güther and Ferguson, 1995) but appears to occur before mannosylation in HeLa cells (Hirose et al., 1992; Puoti and Conzelmann, 1993; Doerrler et al., 1996). (iv) Acylation of D-myo-inositol can be inhibited by PMSF in T.brucei but not in HeLa cells (Güther et al., 1994). (v) Additional ethanolamine phosphate groups are added to mammalian GPI intermediates during biosynthesis (Puoti and Conzelmann, 1993; Kamitani et al., 1992), whereas no such modifications are found in T.brucei or L.major. (vi) Only T.brucei performs fatty acid remodelling, a process whereby the sn-2 and sn-1 fatty acids of EtNP-Man₃GlcN-PI are removed sequentially and replaced with myristic acid (Masterson et al., 1990).

The apparent difference between the acceptor substrate specificities of the parasite Dol-P-Man:GlcN-PI α 1-4 mannosytransferase and the mammalian equivalent (Dol-P-Man:GlcN-(acyl)PI α 1-4 mannosytransferase) noted in (iii) above prompted us to synthesize two analogues of early GPI intermediates, namely D-GlcNAcα1-6(2-O-methyl)D-myo-inositol-1-PO₄H-3-sn-1,2-dipalmitoylglycerol [GlcNAc-(2-O-Me)PI] and D-GlcNα1-6(2-O-methyl)D-myo-inositol-1-PO₄H-3-sn-1,2-dipalmitoylglycerol [GlcN-(2-O-Me)PI], in which the 2-hydroxyl group of the inositol ring is blocked by methylation. Mass spectrometric studies of human CD52 (Treumann et al., 1995), T.brucei glycolipid C (Güther et al., 1996) and procyclic acidic repetitive protein (Treumann et al., 1997) have revealed that inositol acylation occurs exclusively on the 2-hydroxyl group. Thus, the presence of the 2-O-methyl group in the substrate analogues should prevent inositol acylation and so allow the involvement of the inositol 2-hydroxyl group and the role of inositol-acylation in GPI biosynthesis to be assessed.

Results

GlcN-(2-O-Me)PI and GlcNAc-(2-O-Me)PI act as mannose acceptors in the trypanosome cell-free system

The trypanosome cell-free system (Masterson *et al.*, 1989) has been modified to probe the substrate specificity of Dol-P-Man:GlcN-PI α 1-4 mannosyltransferase (Smith *et al.*, 1996). The modified assay included *N*-ethylmaleimide (NEM) to inhibit the UDP-GlcNAc:PI α 1-6 GlcNActransferase (Milne *et al.*, 1992), thereby suppressing the production of endogenous mannose acceptors and allowing the [³H]mannosylation of exogenous substrates to be examined.

As described previously (Smith *et al.*, 1996), the addition of GlcNAc-PI produced [³H]Man-labelled Man₁₋₃



Fig. 1. Synthetic substrate and substrate analogues are mannosylated by the *Trypanosoma brucei* cell-free system. The trypanosome cell-free system was incubated with GDP-[³H]Man either alone (lane 1) or in the presence of various concentrations of synthetic GlcNAc-PI (lane 2), GlcN-(2-*O*-Me)PI (lanes 3–6) and GlcNAc-(2-*O*-Me)PI (lanes 7– 10). The labelled glycolipids were extracted and analysed by HPTLC and fluorography. DPM, dolichol-phosphate-mannose. The products of GlcNAc-PI, indicated on the left of the chromatogram, are Man₁GlcN-PI (M1), Man₂GlcN-PI (M2), Man₃GlcN-PI (M3), Man₃GlcN-(acyl)PI (aM3) and EtNP-Man₃GlcN-PI (A'). The products obtained from GlcN-(2-*O*-Me)PI and GlcNAc-(2-*O*-Me)PI are the novel glycolipids T1–T5.

GlcN-PI, Man₃GlcN-(acyl)PI and EtNP-Man₃GlcN-PI (glycolipid A') (Figure 1, lane 2). By contrast, the addition of the substrate analogues GlcN-(2-O-Me)PI (Figure 1, lanes 3-6) and GlcNAc-(2-O-Me)PI (Figure 1, lanes 7-10) produced the novel [³H]mannosylated glycolipid species T1-T5. The yield of T5 is higher with GlcN-(2-O-Me)PI and, in some experiments (e.g. see Figure 3), the yield of T4 is low and an additional glycolipid (T6) is observed when using this compound. The N-acetylated analogue GlcNAc-(2-O-Me)PI appeared to be more efficiently [³H]mannosylated than its non-N-acetylated counterpart GlcN-(2-O-Me)PI, particularly at low (5-20 µM) substrate concentrations. Similar behaviour is observed with the natural substrates, where GlcNAc-PI is typically mannosylated six times more efficiently than GlcN-PI (Smith et al., 1996). As with the natural substrates, it would appear that the substrate analogues are best presented to the α -mannosyltransferases via the GlcNAc-PI de-Nacetylase enzyme. Evidence for the de-N-acetylation of GlcNAc-(2-O-Me)PI prior to mannosylation is provided below.

Characterization of glycolipids T1-T4

The structures of glycolipids T1-T4 [generated from GlcNAc-(2-O-Me)PI] were investigated using chemical and enzymatic treatments (Figure 2). A conventional series of GPI intermediates (Figure 1, lane 2) were treated in parallel in order to provide positive controls for each treatment (data not shown). Glycolipids T1-T4 showed a uniform increase in R_{f} -values upon N-acetylation (Figure 2, lane 1) and were sensitive to nitrous acid deamination (Figure 2, lane 6); this is consistent with each glycolipid containing a single amino group in the form of glucosamine. The glycolipids were resistant to PI-PLC (Figure 2, lane 3), as would be expected from the presence of the 2-O-methyl group on the inositol ring, and were sensitive to the action of serum GPI-PLD (Figure 2, lane 4), confirming their identities as GPI structures. The sensitivity of the bands to JBAM indicated that ethanolamine phosphate had not been added to any of the glycolipids.

In order to define the structures of the glycan headgroups of T1-T4, each glycolipid was purified by preparative HPTLC and after deacylation by mild alkaline hydrolysis was split into two aliquots. One aliquot was subjected in turn to deamination, reduction, dephosphorylation and re-N-acetylation, whereas the other was subjected to dephosphorylation and N-acetylation. The former treatment converts GlcN into 2,5-anhydromannitol (AHM) with simultaneous cleavage of the glycosidic linkage to the 2-O-methyl-myo-inositol residue (Ferguson et al., 1985), whereas the latter retains the 2-O-methyl-myoinositol residue and converts GlcN into GlcNAc (Ralton et al., 1993). The sizes of the glycans isolated from glycolipids T1–T4 by the treatments, as measured by Bio-Gel P4 gel-filtration, are shown in Table I. The sizes of the AHM-containing glycans were identical to those of authentic Manα1-4AHM (for T1 and T4), Manα1-6Manα1-4AHM (for T2) and Manα1-2Manα1-6Manα1-



Fig. 2. Characterization of glycolipids T1–T4. The novel glycolipids produced from GlcNAc-(2-*O*-Me)PI by the trypanosome cell-free system (lane 2) were either *N*-acetylated (lane 1) or treated with bacterial PI-PLC (lane 3), human serum GPI-PLD (lane 4), jack bean α -mannosidase (lane 5) or nitrous acid (lane 6). The products were partitioned between water and butan-1-ol and the butan-1-ol phases were analysed by HPTLC and fluorography. DPM, dolichol-phosphatemannose.

4AHM (for T3). The hydrodynamic volumes of the 2-*O*-methyl-inositol-containing glycans were also consistent with these assignments (Table I).

Since glycolipids T1 and T4 have the same glycan structure, differences between their $R_{\rm f}$ -values (Figure 1) must be attributed to differences between their lipid structures. The most likely explanation is that T4 is a *lyso*-form of T1. This was confirmed by phospholipase A₂ digestion, which converted glycolipids T1, T2 and T3 into glycolipids T4, T5 and T6 (Figure 3, compare lanes 2 and 3). Mild alkaline hydrolysis of glycolipids T1–T6, followed by butan-1-ol/water partitioning, confirmed that the lipid components of all of the glycolipids were alkali labile (Figure 3, lane 1), consistent with the presence of either diacylglycerol (T1–T3) or monoacylglycerol moieties (T4–T6).

Taken together, the data suggest that glycolipids T1– T6 have the structures indicated in Table I, namely, Man₁₋₃GlcN-(2-*O*-Me)PI (T1–T3) and Man₁₋₃GlcN*lyso*(2-*O*-Me)PI (T4–T6).

The formation of these glycolipids from GlcNAc-(2-*O*-Me)PI shows that methylation of the 2-hydroxyl group of the D-*myo*-inositol residue of GlcNAc-PI has no effect on substrate recognition and turnover by the trypanosomal GlcNAc-PI de-*N*-acetylase and α -mannosyltransferases. On the other hand, methylation of this hydroxyl group appears to prevent the addition of ethanolamine phosphate to Man₃GlcN-(2-*O*-Me)PI. Finally, the Man₁₋₃GlcN-(2-*O*-Me)PI products can undergo significant deacylation at the *sn*-2 position of the 2-*O*-methyl group does not affect substrate recognition and turnover by a trypanosomal PLA₂-like activity.

GIcN-(2-O-Me)PI and GIcNAc-(2-O-Me)PI act as mannose acceptors in the Leishmania cell-free system

The *Leishmania* cell-free system (Brown *et al.*, 1996) has been modified to probe the substrate specificity of Dol-P-Man:GlcN-PI α 1-4 mannosyltransferase (Smith *et al.*, 1997). The modified assay included dithiothreitol to stimulate the mannosylation of exogenous acceptor substrates.

As previously described (Smith *et al.*, 1997), both Dol-P-Man and endogenous Man α 1-4GlcN-PI (glycolipid E) were labelled with [³H]Man in the absence of an exogenous

Table I. Proposed structures of glycolipids T1-T6 and Bio-Gel P4 analyses of the headgroups derived from glycolipids T1-T4

Glycolipid	Proposed structure	Headgroup structure and size			
		Method 1 ^a	Method 2 ^b		
T1	Manα1-4GlcNα1-6(2-O-Me)PI	$Man_1AHM (2.2 \pm 0.1 Gu)$	$Man_1GlcNAc-(2-O-Me)Ino (3.6 \pm 0.1 Gu)$		
T2	Manα1-6Manα1-4GlcNα1-6(2-O-Me)PI	Man_2AHM (3.1 ± 0.1 Gu)	Man ₂ GlcNAc- $(2-O-Me)$ Ino $(4.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ Gu})$		
T3	Manα1-2Manα1-6Manα1-4GlcNα1-6(2-O-Me)PI	$Man_{3}AHM$ (4.2 ± 0.1 Gu)	$Man_3GlcNAc-(2-O-Me)Ino (5.5 \pm 0.1 Gu)$		
T4	Manα1-4GlcNα1-6lyso(2-O-Me)PI	Man_1AHM (2.2 ± 0.1 Gu)	$Man_1GlcNAc-(2-O-Me)Ino (3.6 \pm 0.1 Gu)$		
T5	Manα1-6Manα1-4GlcNα1-6lyso(2-O-Me)PI	n.d.	n.d.		
T6	Manα1-2Manα1-6Manα1-4GlcNα1-6lyso(2-O-Me)PI	n.d.	n.d.		

^aThe sizes determined for authentic GPI neutral glycans standards were: Manα1-4AHM, 2.3 Gu; Manα1-6Manα1-4AHM, 3.2 Gu and Manα1-2Manα1-6Manα1-4AHM, 4.2 Gu (Ferguson, 1992).

^bThe sizes of GlcNAc α 1-6*myo*-inositol and GlcNAc α 1-6(2-*O*-methyl)*myo*-inositol were determined as 2.2 and 2.8 Gu, respectively. The 2-*O*-methyl group appears to add ~0.6 Gu to the measured size. The sizes determined for authentic Man α 1-6Man α 1-4GlcNAc-Ino and Man α 1-2Man α 1-6Man α 1-4GlcNAc-Ino were 4.0 \pm 0.1 and 4.9 \pm 0.1 Gu, respectively (Ralton *et al.*, 1993). The headgroup assignments shown in the table are therefore consistent with the measured sizes.



Fig. 3. Base treatment and phospholipase A_2 digestion of glycolipids T1–T6. The novel glycolipids produced from GlcN-(2-*O*-Me)PI by the trypanosome cell-free system (lane 3) were subjected to mild alkaline hydrolysis (lane 1) and phospholipase A2 digestion (lane 2). The products were partitioned between water and butan-1-ol and the butan-1-ol phases were analysed by HPTLC and fluorography. DPM, dolichol-phosphate-mannose.



Fig. 4. Synthetic substrates and substrate analogues are mannosylated by the *Leishmania major* cell-free system. The *Leishmania* cell-free system was incubated with GDP-[³H]Man either alone (lane 3) or in the presence of synthetic GlcN-PI (lane 1), GlcNAc-PI (lane 2), GlcN-(2-*O*-Me)PI (lane 4) and GlcNAc-(2-*O*-Me)PI (lane 5). The labelled glycolipids were extracted and analysed by HPTLC and fluorography. DPM, dolichol-phosphate-mannose; E, endogenous Man₁GlcN-PI (formed from endogenous GlcN-PI); Y, exogenous Man₁GlcN-PI; Z, exogenous Man₁GlcN-lysoPI; Y(2-*O*-Me)PI (ase Table II).

acceptor (Figure 4, lane 3), whereas the presence of exogenous GlcN-PI or GlcNAc-PI produced [³H]Manlabelled Man α 1-4GlcN-PI (glycolipid Y) and Man α 1-4GlcN-*lyso*PI (glycolipid Z) (Figure 4, lanes 1 and 2). The addition of GlcN-(2-*O*-Me)PI (Figure 4, lane 4) and GlcNAc-(2-*O*-Me)PI (Figure 4, lane 5) produced two novel glycolipids, Y(2-*O*-Me) and Z(2-*O*-Me) having R_{f} values slightly higher than those of glycolipids Y and Z, repectively. Glycolipids Y(2-*O*-Me) and Z(2-*O*-Me) were characterized by chemical and enzymatic treatments (summarized in Table II) and were assigned the structures Man α 1-4GlcN-(2-*O*-Me)PI and Man α 1-4GlcN-*lyso*(2-*O*-Me)PI, respectively.

The formation of these glycolipids from GlcNAc-(2-O-Me)PI shows that methylation of the 2-hydroxyl group of the D-myo-inositol residue of GlcNAc-PI does not prevent substrate recognition and turnover by the Leishmania GlcNAc-PI de-N-acetylase and Dol-P-Man:GlcN-PI α1-4 mannosyltransferase. Furthermore, some deacylation at the sn-2 position of the diacylglycerol moiety of Man₁GlcN-(2-O-Me)PI is evident, suggesting that the presence of the 2-O-methyl group does not prevent substrate recognition and turnover by a Leishmania PLA₂like activity. As previously observed with the natural GlcN-PI and GlcNAc-PI substrates (Smith et al., 1997 and Figure 4, lanes 1 and 2), the presence of the N-acetyl group results in increased mannosylation of GlcNAc-(2-0-Me)PI compared with GlcN-(2-O-Me)PI (Figure 4, compare lanes 4 and 5), suggesting a degree of substrate channelling between the de-N-acetylase and the α 1-4 mannosyltransferase.

GlcN-(2-O-Me)PI and GlcNAc-(2-O-Me)PI do not act as mannose acceptors in the HeLa cell-free system The HeLa cell-free system (Hirose *et al.*, 1992; Güther *et al.*, 1994) has been modified to probe the substrate specificity of human Dol-P-Man:GlcN-(acyl)PI α 1-4 mannosyltransferase (Sharma *et al.*, 1997). The modified assay included CoA to stimulate inositol acylation of GPI intermediates (Stevens and Zhang, 1994).

As reported previously (Sharma et al., 1997), Dol-P-Man and endogenous EtNP-Mana1-4GlcN-(acyl)PI (glycolipid H5) were labelled with [³H]Man in the absence of an exogenous acceptor (Figure 5, lane 1) whereas the addition of exogenous GlcN-PI resulted in the formation of exogenous H2 (Man\alpha1-4GlcN-(acyl)PI and exogenous H5 that has a slightly lower $R_{\rm f}$ -value than that of endogenous H5 (Figure 5, lanes 2 and 7). In contrast, the addition of GlcN-(2-O-Me)PI or GlcNAc-(2-O-Me)PI did not result in the formation of any additional glycolipids (Figure 5, lanes 3 and 4). Furthermore, the presence of these substrate analogues (at an equimolar concentration to GlcN-PI) did not affect the processing of GlcN-PI to yield exogenous H2 and H5 (Figure 5, lanes 5 and 6), even when the cell-free system was preincubated with the substrate analogues prior to the addition of GlcN-PI (Figure 5, lanes 7–9).

These data show that methylation of the 2-hydroxyl group of the D-*myo*-inositol residue of GlcN-PI prevents substrate recognition and turnover by the HeLa cell Dol-P-Man:GlcN-(acyl)PI α 1-4 mannosyltransferase. Furthermore they show that the 2-*O*-methyl group cannot substitute for the 2-*O*-acyl group in GlcN-(acyl)PI with respect to substrate recognition.

Discussion

The results presented in this paper are summarized in Figure 6. They support the following conclusions about GPI biosynthesis: (i) both the trypanosomal and *Leishmania* GlcNAc-PI de-*N*-acetylases can tolerate methylation of the 2-hydroxyl group of the D-*myo*-inositol residue. However, the failure of the HeLa cell-free system to mannosylate GlcN-(2-*O*-Me)PI (see below) prevented assessment of the ability of the HeLa cell de-N-acetylase to recognise GlcNAc-(2-*O*-Me)PI. (ii) Both the trypanosomal

Glyoclipid	Treatment						Assignment	
	JBAM	PI-PLC	GPI-PLD	HONO	APAM	BASE	PLA ₂	
DPM	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	Dol-P-Man
Е	+	+	+	+	_	$+^{a}$	+	Man ₁ GlcN-PI ^a
Y	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	Man ₁ GlcN-PI
Z	+	+	+	+	_	+	_	Man ₁ GlcN-lysoPI
Y(2-O-Me)	+	_	+	+	_	+	+	Man ₁ GlcN-(2-O-Me)PI
Z(2-O-Me)	+	_	+	+	-	+	-	Man ₁ GlcN-lyso(2-O-Me)PI

Summary of the data used to assign the structures to the [³H]mannose-labelled glycolipids; (+) indicates a positive digestion and (–) indicates resistance to the treatment. The treatments were with jack bean α -mannosidase (JBAM), *B.thuringiensis* PI-PLC (PI-PLC), human serum GPI-PLD (GPI-PLD), nitrous acid (HONO), *Aspergillus phoenicis* Man α 1-2Man-specific α -mannosidase (APAM), mild alkali (BASE) and pig pancreas phospholipase-A₂ (PLA₂).

^aMild alkaline hydrolysis of this glycolipid produced a new glycolipid having an R_{Γ} value slightly higher than glycolipid Z, commensurate with the presence of an alkylacylglycerol lipid group in the endogenous *L.major* GPI intermediates (Proudfoot *et al.*, 1995; Smith *et al.*, 1997).

and *Leishmania* Dol-P-Man:GlcN-PI α 1-4 mannosyltransferases can tolerate methylation of the 2-hydroxyl group of the D-*myo*-inositol residue, whereas the comparable HeLa cell enzyme cannot recognise this 2-*O*-methylated substrate. (iii) The trypanosomal Dol-P-Man: Man₁GlcN-PI α 1-6 mannosyltransferase and Dol-P-Man: Man₂GlcN-PI α 1-2 mannosyltransferase can tolerate methylation of the 2-hydroxyl group of the D-*myo*-inositol residue, whereas the trypanosomal phosphatidylethanolamine:Man₃GlcN-PI ethanolamine phosphotransferase cannot recognise this 2-*O*-methylated substrate. (iv) Both the trypanosomal and *Leishmania* PLA₂-like enzymes can tolerate methylation of the 2-hydroxyl group of the D-*myo*inositol residue.

The observations made in point (ii) are perhaps the most significant since they show that GlcN-(2-*O*-Me)PI and GlcNAc-(2-*O*-Me)PI are selective substrates for the parasite GPI pathways. This suggests that the discovery and development of inhibitors that are selective for the parasite GPI pathways are attainable goals.

The observations made in points (i), (ii) and (iii) also clarify several fundamental features of GPI biosynthesis. Firstly, the suggestion that the trypanosomal Dol-P-Man:GlcN-PI a1-4 mannosyltransferase requires a free hydroxyl group at the 2-position of the D-myo-inositol residue for substrate recognition (Güther and Ferguson, 1995; Smith et al., 1996) must be revised. This notion arose from the observation that inositol-acylation of GlcN-PI does not occur until after the first α Man residue is added (Güther and Ferguson, 1995). However, in the light of the successful mannosylation of GlcN-(2-O-Me)PI, this could be reinterpreted in terms of the accessibility of substrates to the inositol-acyltransferase. This view would be consistent with the suggestion of substrate channelling between the de-N-acetylase and the first α -mannosyltransferase (Smith et al., 1996) such that GPI intermediates might only be available to the inositol acyltransferase after emerging from a de-N-acetylase/\alpha-mannosyltransferase complex.

Secondly, the prediction that inositol acylation is required in trypanosomes for efficient ethanolamine-phosphate addition (Güther and Ferguson, 1995) appears to be correct. The presence of the methyl group in GlcN-(2-*O*-Me)PI precludes 2-*O*-acylation of the inositol ring and appears to block completely ethanolamine-phosphate addi-



Fig. 5. Unlike synthetic GlcN-PI, the synthetic substrate analogues GlcN-(2-*O*-Me)PI and GlcNAc-(2-*O*-Me)PI are not mannosylated by the HeLa cell-free system. The HeLa cell-free system was incubated with GDP-[³H]Man either alone (lane 1) or in the presence of GlcN-PI (lane 2), GlcN-(2-*O*-Me)PI (lane 3), GlcNAc-(2-*O*-Me)PI (lane 4), an equimolar mixture of GlcN-PI and GlcN-(2-*O*-Me)PI (lane 5) and an equimolar mixture of GlcN-PI and GlcNAc-(2-*O*-Me)PI (lane 6). In lanes 7–9 the cell-free system was preincubated (P) for 10 min either alone (lane 7) or with GlcN-(2-*O*-Me)PI (lane 8) or GlcNAc-(2-*O*-Me)PI (lane 9) prior to the addition of GlcN-PI. The labelled glycolipids were extracted and analysed by HPTLC and fluorography. DPM, dolichol-phosphate-mannose; H2, Man₁GlcN-(acyl)PI and H5, EtNP-Man₁GlcN-(acyl)PI.

tion. This observation suggests that the trypanosomal inositol acyltransferase might be a potential target for the development of potent GPI-pathway inhibitors, particularly as the mammalian inositol acyltransferase appears to differ in its donor-substrate and inhibition characteristics (Güther *et al.*, 1994, 1996). However, since there is no evidence that *Leishmania* perform inositol acylation (Proudfoot *et al.*, 1995 and references therein), an inositol acyltransferase inhibitor would not function as a general anti-trypanosomatid agent.

Thirdly, the prediction that inositol acylation of GlcN-PI is a prerequisite for mannosylation in HeLa (and other mammalian) cells appears to be correct. This notion was originally supported by the observations that the majority of GPI intermediates in mammalian cells are inositol acylated (Hirose *et al.*, 1992; Puoti and Conzelmann, 1993) and that mannosylation is greatly stimulated by CoA (Stevens and Zhang, 1994; Sharma *et al.*, 1997) or acyl-CoA (Doerrler *et al.*, 1996). In the latter study, the use of synthetic GlcN-PI substrates provided strong evidence for this model but could not entirely rule out the



Fig. 6. Summary of the fates of the synthetic substrates. The *T.brucei* cell-free system converts GlcNAc-PI to GlcN-PI which is then mannosylated and acylated to produce Man₃GlcN-(acyl)PI which is the substrate for ethanolamine phosphate addition (**A**). All of the intermediates are in equilibrium between their inositol acylated and deacylated forms. The *T.brucei* cell-free system converts GlcNAc-(2-*O*-Me)PI to GlcN-(2-*O*-Me)PI but it can not acylate the 2-*O*-methylated substrates and, consequently, ethanolamine phosphate addition to Man₃GlcN-(2-*O*-Me)PI is prevented (**B**). The accumulated Man₁₋₃GlcN-(2-*O*-Me)PI intermediates undergo substantial conversion to their *lyso* forms by the action of a PLA₂-like activity. The *L.major* cell-free system does not utilize the inositol acylation reaction and processes the natural and 2-*O*-methylated substrates in a similar way (A and B). The HeLa cell-free system converts GlcNAc-PI to GlcN-(2-*O*-Me)PI is dicN-(2-*O*-Me)PI is but it is the substrate for the addition of an ethanolamine phosphate residue (A). In contrast, the HeLa cell-free system can not acylate GlcN-(2-*O*-Me)PI (B).

possibility that mannosylation precedes inositol acylation. For example, acyl-CoA-dependent mannosylation would be observed if Dol-P-Man:GlcN-PI α1-4 mannosyltransferase underwent product inhibition such that mannosylation was undetectable until such inhibition was relieved by inositol acylation. In contrast, the inability of GlcN-(2-O-Me)PI to undergo mannosylation by the HeLa cell-free system provides more direct evidence that inositol 2-O-acylation is a prerequisite for mannosylation. It is worth noting that, unlike the trypanosomal and Leishmania cell-free systems, there is no evidence for substrate channelling between the de-N-acetylase and mannosyltransferases in the HeLa cell-free system (Sharma et al., 1997). This is also consistent with the need for GlcN-PI to access the inositol acyltransferase prior to reaching the mannosytransferases.

The significance of point (iv) is less clear, because it is not known whether the PLA_2 -like activities that act on the [³H]mannosylated products derived from exogenous substrates are specific for GPI structures. However, it is possible that the PLA_2 -like activities are those of GPI fatty acid remodelling (Masterson *et al.*, 1990) and/or myristate exchange (Buxbaum *et al.*, 1996; Werbovetz and Englund, 1997) for the trypanosome cell-free system and of LPG biosynthesis for the *Leishmania* cell-free system.

Materials and methods

Materials

GDP-[2-³H]mannose (14.9–17.8 Ci/mmol) and En³HanceTM were purchased from Dupont NEN. Jack bean α -mannosidase (JBAM) and pig pancreas phospholipase A₂ (PLA₂) were purchased from Boehringer Mannheim and *Bacillus thuringiensis* phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase C (PI-PLC) and *Aspergillus phoenicis* α -mannosidase (APAM) from Oxford GlycoSystems. Whole human serum was used as a source of glycosylphosphatidylinositol specific phospholipase D (GPI-PLD). *n*-Octyl β -D-glucopyranoside was obtained from Calbiochem. Ionexchange resins (AG–50X12 and AG–3X4) were obtained from Bio-Rad. All the other reagents were purchased from Merck-BDH or Sigma.

Substrates and substrate analogues

D-GlcN α 1-6D-*myo*-inositol-1-HPO₄–3-*sn*-1,2-dipalmitoylglycerol (GlcN-PI) was synthesized according to Cottaz *et al.* (1993). D-GlcN α 1-6D-(2-*O*-methyl)*myo*-inositol-1-HPO₄–3-*sn*-1,2-dipalmitoylglycerol [GlcN-(2-OMe)PI] was prepared according to Crossman *et al.* (1997). These compounds were *N*-acetylated as described below for the radiolabelled glycolipids. The purity of the synthetic substrates was checked by negative ion electrospray mass spectrometry prior to use and the concentrations of stock solutions of the synthetic substrates were measured by analysis of the *myo*-inositol content by GC-MS, as described in Smith *et al.* (1996).

Preparation of Trypanosomes membranes

Bloodstream forms of *T.brucei* (variant MITat.1.4) were isolated from infected rats and mice. Trypanosome membranes (trypanosome cell-free system) were prepared as previously described by Masterson *et al.* (1989), except that the cells were not pre-incubated with tunicamycin prior to lysis. Aliquots (5×10^8 cells/ml) were snap-frozen in liquid N₂ and stored at -70° C.

Preparation of Leishmania major membranes

Leishmania major (V121) promastigotes were grown to 1.25×10^7 cells/ ml in Schneider's medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum. The cells were pelleted, washed with ice-cold phosphatebuffered saline and suspended in 0.1 mM N- α -p-tosyl-t-lysine chloromethyl ketone (TLCK) containing 1 µg/ml leupeptin to give a final density of 1×10^9 cells/ml. The cells were were disrupted twice in a nitrogen cavitation bomb at 2.8 MPa and an equal volume of 0.1 M HEPES (pH 7.4), 50 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM MnCl₂, 20% (v/v) glycerol, 0.1 mM TLCK, 1 µg/ml leupeptin was then added (Brown *et al.*, 1996). Aliquots $(5 \times 10^8$ cell equivalents/ml) were snap-frozen in liquid N₂ and stored at -70° C.

Preparation of HeLa membranes

HeLa cells were grown at 37°C in Dulbecco's modified minimal essential medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. The HeLa cell-free system was prepared according to Güther *et al.* (1994) with the following modifications. Subconfluent HeLa cells were treated with 5 µg/ml tunicamycin for 2 h at 37°C and were harvested after incubation (10 min at 37°C) with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.5 mM EDTA instead of trypsin. The cells were washed twice with 30 ml of PBS to remove EDTA and were then hypotonically lysed in water containing 0.1 mM TLCK and 0.1 µg/ml of leupeptin. An equal volume of 100 mM HEPES–NaOH buffer (pH 7.4), 50 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM TLCK, 0.1 µg/ml leupeptin and 20% (w/v) glycerol was added. Aliquots (1×10⁷ cell equivalents/ml) were snap frozen in liquid N₂ and stored at -70° C.

Trypanosome cell-free system assay

Trypanosome membranes were washed twice in 0.1 M HEPES buffer (pH 7.4), containing 25 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM TLCK and 2 μ g/ml leupeptin, and were then suspended at 5×10⁸ cell equivalents/ml in 2× concentrated incorporation buffer: 0.1 M HEPES (pH 7.4), 50 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM MnCl₂, 20% (v/v) glycerol, 2.5 µg/ml tunicamycin, 0.2 mM TLCK and 2 µg/ml leupeptin (Masterson et al., 1989). Unless stated otherwise, the 2× concentrated incorporation buffer was supplemented with freshly prepared 0.2 M N-ethylmalemide (NEM) and 10 mM (0.3% w/v) n-octyl β-D-glucopyranoside. The resuspended lysate was vortexed, briefly sonicated and added to a tube containing dry GDP-[³H]Man (0.3 µCi per 10⁷ cell equivalents). After sonication for 1 min, aliquots of 20 μ l (1×10⁷ cell equivalents) were withdrawn and added to the reaction tubes containing an equal volume of 10-100 µM solutions of the various GlcN-PI analogues in 10 mM *n*-octyl β -D-glucopyranoside. The reaction tubes were incubated at 30°C for 1 h whereafter the reactions were terminated by the addition of 270 µl of chloroform:methanol (1:1, v/v). The glycolipid products were recovered in the chloroform/methanol/watersoluble fraction, which was evaporated and partitioned between butan-1-ol and water, as previously described (Smith et al., 1996). Aliquots of the butan-1-ol phase containing the glycolipid products were subjected to HPTLC analysis both before and after enzymatic and chemical treatments.

Leishmania major cell-free system assay

Leishmania major membranes were thawed and washed twice as described for the trypanosome assay. The pelleted membranes were suspended in 2× incorporation buffer, as described above, except that the the buffer was supplemented with 2 mM DTT instead of NEM and did not contain *n*-octyl β-D-glucopyranoside. The suspension of membranes was added to a tube containing dry GDP-[³H]Man (0.5 µCi per 2×10⁸ cell equivalents) and sonicated for 1 min. Aliquots of 50 µl (2×10⁸ cell equivalents) were added to the reaction tubes containing an equal volume of 60 µM solutions of the various GlcN-PI analogues in water. The reaction tubes were incubated at 30°C for 1 h. After termination of the reactions by the addition of 666 µl of chloroform: methanol (1:1, v/v), the glycolipids were extracted and processed as described above.

HeLa cell-free system assay

HeLa cell lysate was thawed and supplemented with 0.5 mM DTT, 1 mM Coenzyme-A, 10 mM ATP, 5 mM MnCl₂, 2 µg/ml leupeptin, 0.1 mM TLCK and 1 μ g/ml tunicamycin. Aliquots of 100 μ l (1×10⁶ cell equivalents) were added to tubes containing dry GDP-[³H]Man (2.5 µCi) and the synthetic GlcN-PI analogues to give a final concentration of 100 $\mu M.$ The reaction tubes were incubated at 35°C for 1.5 h whereafter and the glycolipids were extracted and processed as described above. Note: the results in Figure 5 and elsewhere (Sharma et al., 1997) show that the HeLa cell-free system used in these studies only generates the mannosylated GPI intermediates H2 and H5, even if excess UDP-GlcNAc is added to the membranes instead of GlcN-PI (data not shown). This is different from the results of Hirose et al. (1992) and our own results (Güther et al., 1994) where larger GPI intermediates were observed. This discrepancy indicates that the HeLa cell-free system is culture and/or membrane-preparation dependent with respect to the observed final products.

T.K.Smith et al.

HPTLC

Samples and glycolipid standards were applied to 10 cm aluminiumbacked silica gel 60 HPTLC plates (Merck) which were developed with chloroform/methanol/1 M ammonium acetate/13 M ammonium hydroxide/water (180:140:9:9:23, v/v), except for the HPTLC plate in Figure 1 that was developed using chloroform/methanol/water (10:10:3, v/v). Radiolabelled components were detected by fluorography at -70° C using Kodak XAR–5 film and an intensifying screen after spraying the plates with En³HanceTM.

Enzyme treatments of radiolabelled glycolipids

Digestions with APAM, JBAM, PI-PLC and GPI-PLD and processing of the products for analysis by HPTLC were performed as described previously (Güther *et al.*, 1994; Smith *et al.*, 1996). Pig pancreas PLA₂ digests were performed at 37°C in 40 μ l of 25 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 2 mM CaCl₂, and 0.1% sodium deoxycholate with the addition of 8 U of enzyme at hourly intervals over 3 h, followed by further incubation at 37°C for 12 h.

Chemical treatments of radiolabelled glycolipids

Deamination of glycolipids was carried out in 20 μ l of 0.1 M sodium acetate (pH 4.0), containing 0.01% Zwittergent 3–16. Aliquots (10 μ l) of freshly prepared 0.5 M NaNO₂ were added at hourly intervals with incubation at 60°C for 4 h. Lipidic products were extracted into butan-1-ol for analysis by HPTLC.

Glycolipids were *N*-acetylated at 0°C in 100 μ l of saturated NaHCO₃ by the addition of three aliquots (2.5 μ l) of acetic anhydride over 20 min. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and *N*-acetylated glycolipids were extracted into butan-1-ol. Residual salts were removed by washing the butan-1-ol phase with water.

Glycan analysis

Radiolabelled glycolipids from the trypanosome assay were purified by preparative HPTLC. They were eluted from the excised silica with chloroform/methanol/water (10:10:3, v/v), dried and delipidated by incubation (5 h, 50°C) with 300 µl of concentrated aqueous ammonia/50% propan-1-ol (1:1, v/v). The radiolabelled soluble glycan products were recovered in the aqueous phase of a butan-1-ol/water partition and were treated in one of two ways: (i) deamination, reduction, aqueous HF dephosphorylation, re-*N*-acetylation and desalting by passage through AG50X12(H⁺) over AG3X4(OH⁻) ion-exchange resins (Ferguson, 1992) to yield neutral glycans terminating in 2,5-anhydromannitol (AHM). (ii) Aqueous HF dephosphorylation, *N*-acetylation and desalting by passage through AG50X12(H⁺) over AG3X4 (OH⁻) ion-exchange resins (Ferguson, 1992) to yield neutral glycans containing GlcNAc and 2-*O*-methyl-*myo*-inositol.

The neutral glycans resulting from these procedures were dissolved in water containing glucose oligomer internal standards and the aqueous solution was filtered through a 0.2 μ m membrane and analysed by Bio-Gel P4 gel filtration using an Oxford Glycosystems GlycoMap. Fractions (250 μ l) were collected and counted for radioactivity.

Acknowledgements

The biochemical work was supported by a programme grant from the Wellcome Trust and the synthetic work by a project grant from the MRC. M.A.J.F. is a Howard Hughes International Research Scholar. Deepak Sharma thanks the MRC and Alex Dix thanks the BBSRC for their PhD studentships.

References

- Bhattacharya, A., Prasad, R. and Sacks, D.L. (1992) Identification and partial characterization of a lipophosphoglycan from a pathogenic strain of *Entamoeba histolytica*. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, **56**, 161–168.
- Brown,G.M., Millar,A.R., Masterson,C., Brimacombe,J.S., Nikolaev, A.V. and Ferguson,M.A.J. (1996) Synthetic phospho-oligosaccharide fragments of lipophosphoglycan as acceptors for *Leishmania major* α-D-mannosylphosphate transferase. *Eur. J. Biochem.*, **242**, 410–416.
- Buxbaum,L.A., Milne,K.G., Werbovetz,K.A. and Englund,P.T. (1996) Myristate exchange on the *Trypanosoma brucei* variant surface glycoprotein. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **93**, 1178–1183.
- Cottaz, S., Brimacombe, J.S. and Ferguson, M.A.J. (1993) The synthesis of some early and related intermediates in the biosynthetic pathway

of glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol membrane anchors. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans., 1, 2945–2951.

- Cross,G.A.M. (1996) Antigenic variation in trypanosomes: secrets surface slowly. *BioEssays*, **18**, 283–291.
- Crossman, A., Brimacombe, J.S. and Ferguson, M.A.J. (1997) Parasite glycoconjugates. Part 7. Synthesis of further substrate analogues of early intermediates in the biosynthetic pathway of glycosylphosphatidylinositol membrane anchors. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans., 1, 2769–2774.
- Doering, T.L., Masterson, W.J., Englund, P.T. and Hart, G.W. (1989) Biosynthesis of the glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol membrane anchor of the trypanosome variant surface glycoprotein: origin of the nonacetylated glucosamine. J. Biol. Chem., 264, 11168–11173.
- Doerrler,W.T., Ye,J., Falck,J.R. and Lehrman,M.A. (1996) Acylation of glucosaminyl phosphatidylinositol revisited. J. Biol. Chem., 271, 27031–27038.
- Englund, P.T. (1993) The structure and biosynthesis of glycosyl phosphatidylinositol protein anchors. Ann. Rev. Biochem., 62, 121–138.
- Ferguson, M.A.J. (1992) Chemical and enzymic analysis of glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchors. In Hooper, N.M. and Turner, A.J. (eds), *Lipid Modifications of Proteins: A Practical Approach*. IRL Press, Oxford, UK, pp. 191–230.
- Ferguson, M.A.J., Low, M.G. and Cross, G.A.M. (1985) Glycosyl-sn-1,2dimyristyl phosphatidylinositol is covalently linked to *Trypanosoma* brucei variant surface glycoprotein. J. Biol. Chem., 260, 14547–14555.
- Gerold, P., Diekmann-Schuppert, A. and Schwarz, R.T. (1994) Glycosylphosphatidylinositols synthesized by asexual erythrocytic stages of the malarial parasite, *Pfalciparum*: Candidates for plasmodial glycosylphosphatidylinositol membrane anchor precursors and pathogenicity factors. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **269**, 2597–2606.
- Gerold, P., Schofield, L., Blackman, M.J., Holder, A.A. and Schwarz, R.T. (1996) Structural analysis of the glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol membrane anchor of the merozoite surface proteins-1 and -2 of *P.falciparum. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, **75**, 131–143.
- Güther, M.L.S. and Ferguson, M.A.J. (1995) The role of inositol acylation and inositol deacylation in GPI biosynthesis in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *EMBO J.*, 14, 3080–3093.
- Güther, M.L.S., Masterson, W.J. and Ferguson, M.A.J. (1994) The effects of phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride on inositol-acylation and fatty acid remodelling in African trypanosomes. J. Biol. Chem., 269, 18694– 18701.
- Güther, M.L.S., Treumann, A. and Ferguson, M.A.J. (1996) Molecular species analysis and quantification of the glycosylphosphatidylinositol intermediate glycolipid C from *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, **77**, 137–145.
- Heise, N., Raper, J., Buxbaum, L.U., Peranovich, T.M. and Almeida, M.L. (1996) Identification of complete precursors for the glycosylphosphatidylinositol protein anchors of *Trypanosoma cruzi*. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **271**, 16877–16887.
- Hirose, S., Ravi, L., Hazra, S.V. and Medof, M.E. (1991) Assembly and deacetylation of N-acetylglucosaminyl-plasminylinositol in normal and affected paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinurea cells. *Proc. Natl* Acad. Sci. USA, 88, 3762–3766.
- Hirose,S., Prince,G.M., Sevlever,D., Ravi,L., Rosenberry,T.L., Ueda, E. and Medof,M.E. (1992) Characterization of putative glycoinositol phospholipid anchor precursors in mammalian cells. Localization of phosphoethanolamine. J. Biol. Chem., 267, 16968–16974.
- Kamitani, T., Menon, A.K., Hallaq, Y., Warren, C.D. and Yeh, E.T.H. (1992) Complexity of ethanolamine phosphate addition in the biosynthesis of glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchors in mammalian cells. J. Biol. Chem., 267, 24611–24619.
- Masterson, W.J., Doering, T.L., Hart, G.W. and Englund, P.T. (1989) A novel pathway for glycan assembly: biosynthesis of the glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol anchor of the *Trypanosoma brucei* variant surface glycoprotein. *Cell*, **56**, 793–800.
- Masterson, W.J., Raper, J., Doering, T.L., Hart, G.W. and Englund, P.T. (1990) Fatty acid remodelling: a novel reaction sequence in the biosynthesis of trypanosome glycosyl phosphatidylinositol membrane anchors. *Cell*, **62**, 73–80.
- McConville, M.J. and Ferguson, M.A.J. (1993) The structure, biosynthesis and function of glycosylated phosphatidylinositols in the parasitic protozoa and higher eukaryotes. *Biochem J.*, **294**, 305–324.
- McConville, M.J., Schnur, L.F., Jaffe, C. and Schneider, P. (1995) Structure of *Leishmania* lipophosphoglycan: inter- and intra-specific polymorphism in Old World species. *Biochem. J.*, **310**, 807–818.
- Medof, M.E., Nagarajan, S. and Tykocinski, M.L. (1996) Cell-surface engineering with GPI-anchored proteins. *FASEB J.*, **10**, 574–586.

- Menon,A., Schwarz,R., Mayor,S. and Cross,G.A.M. (1990) Cell-free synthesis of glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol precursors for the glycolipid membrane anchor of *Trypanosoma brucei* variant surface glycoproteins. Structural characterization of putative biosynthetic intermediates. J. Biol. Chem., 265, 9033–9042.
- Milne,K.G., Ferguson,M.A.J. and Masterson,W.J. (1992) Inhibition of the GlcNAc transferase of glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchor biosynthesis in African trypanosomes. *Eur. J. Biochem.*, 208, 309–314.
- Milne,K.G., Field,R.A., Masterson,W.J., Cottaz,S., Brimacombe,J.S. and Ferguson,M.A.J. (1994) Partial purification and characterisation of the *N*-acetylglucosaminyl-phosphatidylinositol de-*N*-acetylase of glycosylphoshatidylinositol anchor biosynthesis in African trypanosomes. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 269, 16403–16408.
- Mohney, R.P., Knez, J.J., Ravi, L., Sevlever, D., Rosenberry, T.L., Hirose, S. and Medof, M.E. (1994) Glycoinositol phospholipid anchor-defective K562 mutants with biochemical lesions distinct from those in Thy– 1- murine lymphoma mutants. J. Biol. Chem., 269, 6536–6542.
- Proudfoot,L., Schneider,P., Ferguson,M.A.J. and McConville,M.J. (1995) Biosynthesis of the glycolipid anchor of lipophosphoglycan and the structurally related glycoinositolphospholipids from *Leishmania major*. *Biochem. J.*, **308**, 45–55.
- Puoti,A. and Conzelmann,A. (1993) Characterization of abnormal free glycosylphosphatidylinositols accumulating in mutant lymphoma cells of classes B, E, F and H. J. Biol. Chem., 268, 7215–7224.
- Ralton, J.E., Milne, K.G., Güther, M.L.S., Field, R.A. and Ferguson, M.A.J. (1993) The mechanism of inhibition of glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol anchor biosynthesis in *Trypanosoma brucei* by mannosamine. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 268, 24183–2418.
- Redman,C.A., Schneider,P., Mehlert,A. and Ferguson,M.A.J. (1995) The glycoinositol-phospholipids of *Phytomonas. Biochem. J.*, **311**, 495–503.
- Routier,F.H., da Silveira,E.X., Wait,R., Jones,C., Previato,J.O. and Mendonca-Previato,L. (1995) Chemical characterisation of glycosylinositolphospholipids of *Herpetomonas samuelpessoai*. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol., 69, 61–69.
- Sharma,D.K., Smith,T.K., Crossman,A., Brimacombe,J.S. and Ferguson, M.A.J. (1997) The substrate specificity of the N-acetylglucosaminylphosphatidylinositol de-N-acetylase of glycosylphosphatidylinositol membrane anchor biosynthesis in African trypanosomes and human cells. *Biochem. J.*, in press.
- Singh,B.N., Beach,D.H., Lindmak,D.G. and Costello,C.E. (1994) Idenification of the lipid moiety and further characterization of the novel lipophosphoglycan-like glycoconjugates of Trichomonas vaginalis and *Trichomonas foetus*. Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 309, 273–280.
- Sipos,G., Puoti,A. and Conzelmann,A. (1994) Glycosylphosphatidylinositol membrane anchors in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: absence of ceramides from complete precursor glycolipids. *EMBO J.*, 13, 2789–2796.
- Smith,T.K., Cottaz,S., Brimacombe,J.S. and Ferguson,M.A.J. (1996) Substrate specificity of the dolichol phospahte mannose: glucosaminyl phosphatidylinositol α1-4 mannosyltransferase of the glycosylphosphatidylinositol biosynthetic pathway of African trypanosomes. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **271**, 6476–6482.
- Smith, T.K., Milne, F., Sharma, D.K., Crossman, A., Brimacombe, J.S. and Ferguson, M.A.J. (1997) Early steps in glycosylphosphatidylinositol biosynthesis in *Leishmania major. Biochem. J.*, **326**, 393–400.
- Stevens, V.L. (1993) Regulation of glycosylphosphatidylinositol biosynthesis by GTP. Stimulation of *N*-acetylglucosaminephosphatidylinositol deacetylation. J. Biol. Chem., 268, 9718–9724.
- Stevens, V.L. (1995) Biosynthesis of glycosylphosphatidylinositol membrane anchors. *Biochem. J.*, **310**, 361–370.
- Stevens, V.L. and Zhang, H. (1994) Coenzyme A dependence of glycosylphosphatidylinositol biosynthesis in a mammalian cell-free system. J. Biol. Chem., 269, 31397–31403.
- Takeda, J. and Kinoshita, T. (1995) GPI-anchor biosynthesis. *Trends Biochem. Sci.*, **20**, 367–371.
- Tomavo,S., Schwarz,R.T. and Dubremetz,J.F. (1989) Evidence for glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol anchoring of *Toxoplasma gondii* major surface antigens. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 9, 4576–4580.
- Tomavo,S., Dubrametz,J-F. and Schwarz,R.T. (1992) Biosynthesis of glycolipid precursors for glycosylphosphatidylinositol membrane anchors in a *Toxoplasma gondii* cell-free system. J. Biol. Chem., 267, 21446–21458.
- Treumann, A., Lifely, M.R., Schneider, P. and Ferguson, M.A.J. (1995) Primary structure of CD52. J. Biol. Chem., 270, 6088–6099.

- Treumann,A., Zitzmann,N., Hülsmeier,A., Prescott,A.R., Almond,A., Sheehan,J. and Ferguson,M.A.J. (1997) Structural characterisation of two forms of procyclic acidic repetitive protein expressed by procyclic forms of *Trypanosoma brucei*. J. Mol. Biol., 269, 529–547.
- Turco,S.J. and Descoteaux,A. (1992) The lipophosphoglycan of Leishamania parasites. Annu. Rev. Microbiol., 46, 65–94.
- Udenfriend,S. and Kokudula,K. (1995) How glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchored membrane proteins are made. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.*, **64**, 563–591.
- Werbovetz,K.A. and Englund,P.T. (1997) Glycosyl phosphatidylinositol myristoylation in African trypanosomes. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, 85, 1–7.

Received on June 30, 1997; revised on August 20, 1997