It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

1	Manuscript Title: Epidemiology of Vibrio Cholerae Infections in the Households of Cholera
2	Patients in the Democratic Republic of the Congo: PICHA7 Prospective Cohort Study
3	
4	Authors: Christine Marie George ¹ , Presence Sanvura ^{2*} , Alves Namunesha ² , Jean-Claude
5	Bisimwa ² , Kelly Endres ¹ , Willy Felicien ² , Camille Williams ¹ , Shubhanshi Trivedi ³ , Kilee L.
6	Davis ³ , Jamie Perin ¹ , David A. Sack ¹ , Justin Bengehya ⁴ , Ghislain Maheshe ⁵ , Cirhuza
7	Cikomola ^{2.5} , Lucien Bisimwa ² , Daniel T. Leung ³ , and Alain Mwishingo ²
8	
9	1. Department of International Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health,
10	Baltimore, MD 21205, USA
11	2. Center for Tropical Diseases & Global Health, Université Catholique de Bukavu, Bukavu
12	B.P 265, Democratic Republic of the Congo
13	3. Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Utah School
14	of Medicine, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA.
15	4. Bureau de l'Information Sanitaire, Surveillance Epidémiologique et Recherche Scientifique,
16	Division Provinciale de la Santé Sud Kivu, Ministère de la Santé, Bukavu B.P 265,
17	Democratic Republic of the Congo
18	5. Faculty of Medicine, Catholic University of Bukavu, Bukavu B.P 265, Democratic Republic
19	of the Congo
20	*Co-first author
21	Corresponding Author: Christine Marie George, PhD, Professor, Department of International
22	Health, Program in Global Disease Epidemiology and Control, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg
23	School of Public Health, 615 N. Wolfe Street, Room E5535, Baltimore, Maryland 21205-2103,
24	Telephone: (202) 657-5798

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

25 Summary

In this prospective cohort study in the Democratic Republic of the Congo, the majority of cholera
patient households had multiple *Vibrio cholerae* infected household members and both source
water and stored drinking water samples had *V. cholerae*.

29

30 Abstract

Background: The aim of this prospective cohort study is to build evidence on transmission
dynamics and risk factors for *Vibrio cholerae* infections in cholera patient households.

33 Methods. Household contacts of cholera patients were observed for 1-month after the index

34 cholera patient was admitted to a health facility for stool, serum, and water collection in urban

35 Bukavu in South Kivu, Democratic Republic of the Congo. A V. cholerae infection was defined

as a *V. cholerae* bacterial culture positive result during the 1-month surveillance period and/or a

four-fold rise in a *V. cholerae* O1 serological antibody from baseline to the 1-month follow-up.

Results. Twenty-seven percent of contacts (134 of 491) of cholera patients had a *V. cholerae*

39 infection during the surveillance period. Twelve percent (9 of 77) of cholera patient households

40 had a stored water sample with *V. cholerae* by bacterial culture, and 7% (5 of 70) had a water

41 source sample with V. cholerae. Significant risk factors for symptomatic V. cholerae infections

42 among contacts were stored food left uncovered (Odds Ratio (OR): 2.39, 95% Confidence

43 Interval (CI): 1.13, 5.05) and younger age (children <5 years) (OR: 2.09, 95% CI: 1.12, 3.90),

44 and a drinking water source with >1 colony forming unit *E.coli* / 100mL (OR: 3.59, 95% CI:

45 1.46, 8.84) for *V. cholerae* infections.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

46 **Conclusions.** The findings indicate a high risk of cholera among contacts of cholera patients in

47 this urban cholera endemic setting, and the need for targeted water treatment and hygiene

48 interventions to prevent household transmission of *V. cholerae*.

49

50 Introduction

51

Worldwide there are estimated to be 2.9 million cholera cases annually.¹ In 2024, there were 52 major cholera outbreaks in 14 African countries.² Climate change has increased droughts and 53 floods in Sub-Saharan Africa through events such as El Niño which have increased cholera 54 outbreaks to historic highs in this region.^{2,3} The Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) has 55 one of the highest rates of cholera in Africa.² Risk factors for Vibrio cholerae infections in 56 previous studies include having an unimproved water source, storing drinking water without a 57 cover, unimproved sanitation, and lack of hygiene practices.^{4,5} These studies indicate suboptimal 58 water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) are important cholera transmission routes. 59

60

Individuals living in close proximity to cholera patients are at an increased risk of subsequent V. 61 cholerae infections.⁶⁻⁸ Previous studies in rural and urban Bangladesh have found that household 62 contacts of cholera patients had a 100 times higher risk of cholera then the general population 63 during the 7-day period after the cholera patient is admitted at a health facility for treatment.^{8,9} 64 Risk factors for V. cholerae infections among the household contact of cholera patients include 65 having V. cholerae in the household's water source or stored drinking water, consuming street 66 vended food, O blood group status, and younger age.⁸⁻¹⁰ All previous published studies of 67 68 household transmission of V. cholerae to date are from Bangladesh and India. There are no

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

household contact studies of cholera in sub-Saharan Africa despite the high rates of cholera in
this region, highlighting the need for evidence on household transmission of *V. cholerae* in subSaharan Africa.²

72

The aim of this prospective cohort study is to build evidence on transmission dynamics of *V. cholerae* in cholera patient households, and to understand WASH risk factors for *V. cholerae* infections that can be targeted in future interventions. This evidence will inform the delivery of cholera control strategies in the DRC to ensure interventions are targeting risk factors for *V. cholerae cholerae* infections for those residing in high-risk transmission hotspots for cholera around cholera patients.

79

80 Methods

Ethical approval. This study was conducted in urban Bukavu in the South Kivu province of the
DRC. We received ethical approval for this study from the institutional review boards of the
Johns Hopkins School of Public Health and Catholic University of Bukavu. Written informed
consent was obtained from all participants or their guardians.

85

Study Design. This prospective cohort study of household contacts of cholera patients was
conducted from December 2021 to December 2023. Screening of diarrhea patients for *V. cholerae* was conducted daily at 115 healthcare facilities in Bukavu, DRC. Diarrhea patients
were recruited if the following criteria was met: 1) admitted to a health facility with three or
more loose stools over a 24-hour period; 2) had no running water inside of their home (mostly
informal settlements); and 3) planned to reside in Bukavu for the next month. Diarrhea patients

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

were tested for cholera using the Crystal VC O1 rapid diagnostic test with results confirmed by 92 bacterial culture for *V. cholerae*.¹¹ Cholera patients were defined as diarrhea patients with a stool 93 bacterial culture result positive for V. cholerae. Household members of the cholera patient were 94 eligible for the cohort study if: 1) they shared the same cooking pot and resided in the same 95 home with the cholera patient for the last three days; and 2) planned to reside with the cholera 96 97 patient for the next month. Eligible household contacts were enrolled within 24 hours of patient enrollment. The sample size for the number of cholera patient households was determined by the 98 number of cholera patients that could be screened and were willing to participate in the cohort 99 100 study from December 2021 to December 2023.

101

102 Cholera patient households were visited 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11 days and 1-month after the index 103 cholera patient in the household was admitted to a health facility to conduct clinical surveillance and spot checks. Whole stool (all timepoints) and blood samples (baseline and 1-month follow-104 up) were also collected for V. cholerae and Escherichia coli bacterial culture and serological 105 106 analyses. During clinical surveillance visits, a questionnaire was administered to obtain demographic information on diarrhea (3 or more loose stools over a 24-hour period), age, and 107 108 gender. An unannounced spot check (to prevent households preparing for our arrival) was 109 conducted at each timepoint to: (1) collect a sample of the household's water source and stored 110 drinking water to test for free chlorine and V. cholerae and E. coli; (2) check for the presence of soap in the household (a proxy measure of handwashing with soap behavior¹²); and (3) check the 111 covering status of stored drinking water and stored food in the home. The World Health 112 113 Organization (WHO) free chlorine cutoff of >0.2 mg/L for safe drinking water relative to chlorine was used132 Chlorine was measured using a digital colorimeter (Hach, Loveland, CO, 114

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

USA). The WHO guideline for drinking water quality of <1 colony forming units (CFU) /100
mL of *E.coli* in drinking water was used as the cutoff to define safe drinking water quality
relative to microbial contamination¹⁴ Information was also collected at baseline on household
water source and sanitation option type using the categories defined by the WHO/ UNICEF
Joint Monitoring Program^{15,16}

120

Laboratory Analyses. All water, stool and blood samples were brought to the PICHA7 Enteric 121 122 Disease Microbiology Laboratory within three hours of when the sample was produced (stool) or 123 collected (serum) for V. cholerae and E. coli bacterial culture and serological analyses. One hundred milliliters of household water source and stored water was analyzed for E.coli by 124 bacterial culture using standard microbiological methods published elsewhere.¹⁷ For V. cholerae 125 analyses, four hundred milliliters of water samples were filtered through a 0.22 µm nitrocellulose 126 127 membrane filter. The filter was then transferred to a vial containing three ml of APW broth and 128 incubated at 37° C for 18 hours. Likewise, four hundred μ l of the watery portion from each 129 patient's stool or 2-3 grams of whole stool was transferred to a vial using a scoop (no swab was 130 used) containing three ml of alkaline peptone water (APW) broth and kept at 37°C for 6-18 131 hours. After enrichment, 5–10 µl of APW broth from both water and stool was streaked onto 132 Thiosulphate Citrate Bile Sucrose agar then incubated at 37° C for 18–24 hours. Presumptive colonies were sub-cultured on gelatin agar and incubated at 37° C for 18–24 hours.¹⁸ V. cholerae 133 colonies from gelatin agar plates were tested to determine their serogroups using slide 134 agglutination with polyvalent antiserum, followed by serogroup O1 specific antisera testing as 135 previously published.¹⁹ Blood samples were analyzed for blood group type using the 136 agglutination method.²⁰ Serum was separated from blood and frozen at -80°C. Serum samples 137

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

were shipped to the United States and analyzed for IgG and IgA antibodies to V. cholerae O1 138 Ogawa and Inaba O-specific polysaccharide (OSP), and IgG to cholera toxin B subunit (CTB) 139 using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) adapted from previously published methods 140 (see Supplemental File 1 for additional detail).²¹ 141 142 Statistical Analysis. The study primary outcomes were: (1) a household contact with a V. 143 cholerae infection defined as a positive bacterial culture result during the surveillance period 144 145 and/or a 4-fold rise in serum V. cholerae O1 OSP IgG, IgA, or CTB IgG antibody (a serological 146 marker for infection) from baseline to the 1-month follow-up, and (2) a household contact with a symptomatic V. cholerae infection, defined as a V. cholerae infection (using the definition 147 described above) with diarrhea during the 1-month surveillance period. Univariate logistic 148 regression models were performed using generalized estimating equations (GEE) to account for 149 clustering within households and estimate the odds of developing a V. cholerae infection. The 150 predictors were household and individual level risk factors summarized over the surveillance 151 period. O blood group status and doxycycline comparisons were performed using chi square and 152 fisher exact tests. All analyses were performed using SAS, version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, 153 154 NC, USA).

155

156 Results

From December 2021 to December 2023, 87 cholera patients with 491 household contacts were followed prospectively. These 87 cholera patients were recruited from 16 health facilities during epidemiological surveillance. There were 83 index cholera patient households, 4 households had >1 index cholera patient. Fifty-five percent of index cholera patients (48/87) and 56% (275/491)

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

161 of household contacts were female (Table 1). The median age of index cholera patients was 14 162 years and 13 years for contacts of cholera patients. The median number of individuals in cholera 163 patient households was 8 ± 3 (standard deviation) (range: 3-16).

165	Thirty-four percent of cholera patients (30/87) reported consuming antibiotics within 48 hours
166	prior to enrollment with 3% (3/87) consuming doxycycline (the standard of care for cholera in
167	DRC). Fifty-one percent of index cholera patients (37/72) had an O blood group status compared
168	to 44% of household contacts of patients (185/422) (p<0.0001). Ninety-six percent (473/491) of
169	household contacts provided a blood sample and 99% (489/491) provided a stool sample during
170	the 1-month surveillance period. Individual level characteristics of household contacts stratified
171	by whether they had a bacterial culture or serological result available is reported in
172	Supplementary Table 1. Ninety-three percent of households (77/83) were present during an
173	unannounced spot check visit during the surveillance period.
174	
174 175	Sixty-seven percent of cholera patient households (56/83) had ≥ 1 <i>V. cholerae</i> infected household
	Sixty-seven percent of cholera patient households (56/83) had ≥ 1 <i>V. cholerae</i> infected household contacts during the 1-month surveillance period (Table 2). Thirty-seven percent of households
175	
175 176	contacts during the 1-month surveillance period (Table 2). Thirty-seven percent of households
175 176 177	contacts during the 1-month surveillance period (Table 2). Thirty-seven percent of households (31/83) had \geq 1 contact with a symptomatic <i>V. cholerae</i> infection. Forty-two percent of
175 176 177 178	contacts during the 1-month surveillance period (Table 2). Thirty-seven percent of households (31/83) had \geq 1 contact with a symptomatic <i>V. cholerae</i> infection. Forty-two percent of households (35/83) had >1 <i>V. cholerae</i> infected contact. Twelve percent of households had a
175 176 177 178 179	contacts during the 1-month surveillance period (Table 2). Thirty-seven percent of households (31/83) had \geq 1 contact with a symptomatic <i>V. cholerae</i> infection. Forty-two percent of households (35/83) had >1 <i>V. cholerae</i> infected contact. Twelve percent of households had a stored water sample (9/77) with <i>V. cholerae</i> and 7% had a source water sample (5/70) with <i>V.</i>

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

183	For individual level V. cholerae infection characteristics in cholera patient households, 27%
184	(134/491) of household contacts had a V. cholerae infection during the 1-month surveillance
185	period. Nine percent of contacts (46/488) had a symptomatic V. cholerae infection. Nineteen
186	percent (26/134) of <i>V. cholerae</i> infections were among individuals <5 years, 38% (51/134) for 5-
187	14 years, and 43% (56/134) for \geq 14 years. Five percent of contacts (6/134) with a V. cholerae
188	infection reported visiting a health facility for the treatment of diarrhea. Of all household
189	contacts positive for V. cholerae, 70% were positive by bacterial culture (93/134), 41% were
190	positive by serology (55/134), and 10% were positive by both (14/134). For bacterial culture-
191	defined infections, 38% of V. cholerae infections were first detected on Day 1 (35/93), 27%
192	(25/93) on Day 3, 19% (17/93) on Day 5, 6% (6/93) on Day 7, 9% (8/93) on Day 9, 1% on Day
193	11 (1/93), and 1% (1/93) at Month-1. The median duration of shedding of V. cholerae for
194	contacts with an initial V. cholerae infection after Day 1 was 2 days \pm 1.76 (standard deviation)
195	(range: 1-7).

196

197 Twenty-six percent (112/435) of household contacts had stored food in their household uncovered at all spot check visits. Thirty-one percent of household contacts (148/478) resided in 198 199 households with stored drinking water with <0.2 mg/L free chlorine at all spot check visits, and 47% (200/422) for source water. Sixty-two percent of household contacts (287/463) resided in 200 households with basic water service and 27% (126/463) for basic sanitation service. Twenty-201 202 three percent (14/60) of contacts resided in a household with *E.coli* in a drinking water source, and 46% (31/68) with E.coli in stored drinking water during the surveillance period. Significant 203 204 risk factors for symptomatic V. cholerae infections among contacts were stored food left uncovered (Odds Ratio (OR): 2.39, 95% Confidence Interval (CI): 1.13, 5.05) and younger age 205

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

206	(children <5 years) (OR: 2.09, 95% CI: 1.12, 3.90), and a drinking water source with >1 colony
207	forming unit E.coli / 100mL (OR: 3.59, 95% CI: 1.46, 8.84) for all V. cholerae infections (Table
208	3). None of the contacts (0 out of 17) residing in households where the index patient consumed
209	doxycycline in the 48 hours prior to healthcare facility admission had a V. cholerae infection
210	compared to 28% of contacts (134 out of 474) residing in households where the index patient did
211	not consume doxycycline (p=0.005). None of these contacts consumed doxycycline themselves.

212

213 Discussion

214 This is the first study, to our knowledge, of household transmission of V. cholerae in sub-Saharan Africa. Sixty-seven percent of cholera patient households had >1 household contact with 215 216 a V. cholerae infection during the surveillance period. V. cholerae was present in both source 217 water and stored household drinking water in cholera patient households. Significant risk factors 218 for symptomatic V. cholerae infections were stored food being left uncovered and younger age 219 (children <5 years) with *E.coli* in the drinking water source being associated with any type of *V*. 220 cholerae infection. Study findings indicate a high risk of cholera among the household contacts of cholera patients in this urban cholera endemic setting in DRC. These results demonstrate the 221 222 need for targeted water treatment and hygiene interventions to reduce cholera in transmission 223 hotspots around cholera patients in the DRC.

224

Twenty-seven percent of household contacts of cholera patient households had a *V. cholerae*infection in our urban setting in the DRC when infection was defined by bacterial culture and
serology . This is higher than previous studies in Bangladesh which relied on *V. cholerae*bacterial culture only and found that 20% to 21% of household contacts of cholera patients in an

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

urban setting and 18% in a rural setting had V. cholerae infection during the week period after 229 the cholera patient was admitted to a health facility.^{8,9,22} This result is similar to the 19% of 230 contacts with V. cholerae infections found in our current study when we relied on bacterial 231 culture data alone during the week after the cholera patient was admitted to a health facility. 232 Consistent with a previous study we observed higher rates of V. cholerae infections when both 233 234 bacterial culture and serological results were combined. This previous study in Bangladesh found that combining vibriocidal antibody titers with bacterial culture data increased the number of 235 recent V. cholerae infections detected by 39% compared to bacterial culture alone (similar to the 236 42% increase in our current study).²³ 237

238

Twelve percent of cholera patient households had a stored water sample with *V. cholerae* and 7% had *V. cholerae* in source water samples at our study site in urban DRC. In our previous study of cholera patient households in urban Bangladesh, 27% of source water samples had *V. cholerae*²², more than twice as high as our current finding in the DRC. The percentage of households with stored water with *V. cholerae* was similar, both in our current study in urban DRC at 5% and our previous study in urban Bangladesh at 6%.²²

245

Stored food uncovered was a significant risk factor for symptomatic *V. cholerae* infections. We
are not aware of another study that has found this association. A meta-analysis identified four
studies where consuming a cold meal was associated with an increased risk of *V. cholerae*infections and 3 studies where consuming leftover food was associated with an increased risk of *V. cholerae* infections.⁵ Consistent with our current study these studies suggest that food hygiene
plays an important role in *V. cholerae* transmission. Younger age was also significantly

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

associated with an increased risk of a symptomatic *V. cholerae* infection. This finding is
consistent with four studies from Uganda, Colombia, India, and Bangladesh.²⁴⁻²⁷ Younger
children likely lack the naturally acquired immunity of older individuals that may have already
been exposed to a *V. cholerae* infection leading to greater susceptibility to symptomatic *V. cholerae* infections.

257

No association was observed between *V. cholerae* infections among contacts and *V. cholerae* in stored or source water from cholera patient households. This is in contrast to our previous studies from Bangladesh that observed this association.^{9,10} However, there was an significant association between *E. coli* (fecal indicator of water contamination) in the household drinking water source and *V. cholerae* infections among contacts. We are not aware of a previous study that found this association. This finding demonstrates the urgent need for treatment of household drinking water in cholera patient households.

265

There was no significant association between O blood group status and V. cholerae infections 266 among household contacts of cholera patients. However, a significantly higher proportion of 267 268 index cholera patients had O blood group status compared to their household contacts. This finding suggests that those individuals with O blood group status were more likely to have severe 269 270 V. cholerae infections that required hospitalization. This is consistent with previous studies 271 which have found an association between increased severity of V. cholerae infections and O blood group status.^{8,23} Similarly, studies from Bangladesh and Peru finding no association 272 between O blood group status and mild or asymptomatic V. cholerae infections.^{28,29} 273

274

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.12.16.24318937; this version posted December 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

This study has some limitations. First, our study focused only on an urban site in DRC. Future research should be conducted on household transmission of *V. cholerae* in both urban and rural settings in sub-Saharan Africa. Second, we did not perform molecular detection on stool samples which may have increased the number stool samples found to be positive for *V. cholerae* compared to bacterial culture alone.

280

This study had several strengths. First, the intensive surveillance of cholera patient households 281 which included blood, stool, and water collection at 7 timepoints during the 1-month period after 282 283 the index cholera patient was admitted to the health facility. Second, we included V. cholerae serology to define infection, building on previous studies that relied on bacterial culture data 284 alone from household contacts of cholera patients. Finally, the unannounced spot checks 285 286 conducted to collect water source and household stored water samples and to assess the presence of soap and the covering status of stored water and food in the home allowed for objective 287 288 measures of assessing WASH conditions in the household, building on previous studies using self-reported WASH behaviors. 289

290

291 Conclusion

In this cholera endemic setting in the DRC, the majority of cholera patient households had
multiple *V. cholerae* infected household contacts. *V. cholerae* was found in both source water
and stored household drinking water in cholera patient households. Furthermore, risk factors for *V. cholerae* infections were stored food left uncovered, younger age (children <5 years), and *E. coli* in drinking water sources. Our findings suggest that contamination of drinking water and
poor food hygiene practices are potential transmission routes for *V. cholerae* infections in this

It is made available unde	a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0	International license
---------------------------	-------------------	-----------------------

298	setting. Therefore, targeted WASH interventions focusing on water treatment and hygiene
299	practices are needed for this high-risk population residing in transmission hotspots for cholera.
300	
301	Acknowledgements
302	We thank the study participants and the following individuals for their support with the
303	implementation of this study: Dr. Placide Welo, Dr. Jean Claude Kulondwa, Dr. Roger Boketsu,
304	Blessing Muderhwa Banywesize, Raissa Boroto, Gisèle Nsimire, Feza Rugusha, Freddy
305	Endeleya, Pacifique Kitumaini, Claude Lunyelunye, Rushago Julienne, Emmanuel Buhendwa,
306	Pascal Kitumaini Bujiriri, Jessy Tumsifu, and Brigitte Munyerenkana. We also thank all staff at
307	the DRC Ministry of Health in South Kivu.
308	
309	Funding
310	This work was made possible with funding from Wellcome and UK aid from the Foreign and
311	Commonwealth Development Office grant number 215674Z19Z and 1R01AI148332-01
312	provided to Christine Marie George at Johns Hopkins School of Public Health. The views
313	expressed do not necessarily reflect FCDO's official policies or views. David Sack was
314	supported by 2R01AI123422-06A1. The Drug Discovery Core at the University of Utah for use
315	of the Tecan EVO and BioTek Synergy and thank Bai Liu for their assistance. The funding
316	agencies had no involvement in study design, data collection, data analysis, and data
317	interpretation.
318	
319	Conflict of Interest

320 All authors affirm no conflicts of interest.

321 Ali M. Nelson AR. Lopez AL. Sack DA. Updated Global Burden of Cholera in Endemic 1. 322 Countries. PLoS neglected tropical diseases 2015; 9(6): e0003832. 323 2. WHO. Cholera in the WHO African Region: Weekly Regional Cholera Bulletin. 2024. 324 https://www.afro.who.int/health-topics/disease-outbreaks/cholera-who-african-325 region#:~:text=As%20of%2031%20July%202024,(4%20375)%20deaths%20reported. 326 3. Moore SM, Azman AS, Zaitchik BF, et al. El Niño and the shifting geography of cholera in 327 Africa. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2017; 328 **114**(17): 4436-41. 329 4. Wolfe M, Kaur M, Yates T, Woodin M, Lantagne D. A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of 330 the Association between Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene Exposures and Cholera in Case-Control 331 Studies. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2018; 99(2): 534-45. 332 Richterman A, Sainvilien DR, Eberly L, Ivers LC. Individual and Household Risk Factors for 5. 333 Symptomatic Cholera Infection: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. J Infect Dis 2018; 334 218(suppl_3): S154-s64. 335 Ali M, Debes AK, Luquero FJ, et al. Potential for Controlling Cholera Using a Ring Vaccination 6. 336 Strategy: Re-analysis of Data from a Cluster-Randomized Clinical Trial. PLoS Med 2016; 13(9): 337 e1002120. 338 7. Debes AK, Ali M, Azman AS, Yunus M, Sack DA. Cholera cases cluster in time and space in 339 Matlab, Bangladesh: implications for targeted preventive interventions. Int J Epidemiol 2016. 340 Weil AA, Khan AI, Chowdhury F, et al. Clinical outcomes in household contacts of patients 8. 341 with cholera in Bangladesh. Clinical infectious diseases 2009; 49(10): 1473-9. 342 George CM, Hasan K, Monira S, et al. A prospective cohort study comparing household 9. 343 contact and water Vibrio cholerae isolates in households of cholera patients in rural Bangladesh. 344 PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2018; 12(7): e0006641. 345 10. Burrowes V, Perin J, Monira S, et al. Risk Factors for Household Transmission of Vibrio 346 cholerae in Dhaka, Bangladesh (CHoBI7 Trial). The American journal of tropical medicine and 347 hygiene 2017; 96(6): 1382-7. 348 George CM, Namunesha A, Felicien W, et al. Evaluation of a rapid diagnostic test for 11. 349 detection of Vibrio cholerae O1 in the Democratic Republic of the Congo: Preventative intervention 350 for cholera for 7 days (PICHA7 program). Tropical medicine & international health : TM & IH 2024. 351 Halder AK, Tronchet C, Akhter S, Bhuiya A, Johnston R, Luby SP. Observed hand cleanliness 12. 352 and other measures of handwashing behavior in rural Bangladesh. BMC public health 2010; 10: 353 545. 354 13. Organization WH. Guidelines for drinking-water quality: incorporating the first and second 355 addenda: World Health Organization; 2022. 356 14. WHO G. Guidelines for drinking-water quality. World Health Organization 2011. 357 15. WHO/UNICEF. The JMP ladder for sanitation. 2020. 358 https://washdata.org/monitoring/sanitation. 359 16. JMP/UNICEF. The JMP service ladder for drinking water. 2022. 360 Islam MS, Siddika A, Khan M, et al. Microbiological analysis of tube-well water in a rural area 17. 361 of Bangladesh. Applied and environmental microbiology 2001; 67(7): 3328-30. 362 18. Monsur K. Bacteriological diagnosis of cholera under field conditions. Bulletin of the World 363 Health Organization 1963; 28(3): 387. Organization WH. Manual for the laboratory identification and antimicrobial susceptibility 364 19. 365 testing of bacterial pathogens of public health importance in the developing world: Haemophilus 366 influenzae, Neisseria meningitidis, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Neisseria gonorrhoea, Salmonella 367 serotype Typhi, Shigella, and Vibrio cholerae: World Health Organization, 2003. 368 20. Daniels G, Reid MEJT. Blood groups: the past 50 years. 2010; 50(2): 281-9.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

369 Leung DT, Uddin T, Xu P, et al. Immune responses to the O-specific polysaccharide antigen 21. 370 in children who received a killed oral cholera vaccine compared to responses following natural 371 cholera infection in Bangladesh. Clin Vaccine Immunol 2013; 20(6): 780-8. 372 22. George CM, Monira S, Sack DA, et al. Randomized Controlled Trial of Hospital-Based 373 Hygiene and Water Treatment Intervention (CHoBI7) to Reduce Cholera. Emerg Infect Dis 2016; 374 **22**(2): 233-41. 375 Harris JB, Khan AI, LaRocque RC, et al. Blood group, immunity, and risk of infection with 23. 376 Vibrio cholerae in an area of endemicity. Infection and immunity 2005; 73(11): 7422-7. 377 24. Cárdenas V, Saad C, Varona M, Linero M. Waterborne cholera in Riohacha, Colombia, 1992. 378 Bulletin of the Pan American Health Organization 1993; 27(4): 313-30. 379 25. Cummings MJ, Wamala JF, Eyura M, et al. A cholera outbreak among semi-nomadic 380 pastoralists in northeastern Uganda: epidemiology and interventions. Epidemiology and infection 381 2012; **140**(8): 1376-85. 382 26. Saha A, Hayen A, Ali M, et al. Socioeconomic risk factors for cholera in different 383 transmission settings: An analysis of the data of a cluster randomized trial in Bangladesh. Vaccine 384 2017; 35(37): 5043-9. 385 Sur D, Deen JL, Manna B, et al. The burden of cholera in the slums of Kolkata, India: data 27. 386 from a prospective, community based study. Archives of disease in childhood 2005; 90(11): 1175-387 81. 388 Glass RI, Holmgren J, Haley CE, et al. Predisposition for cholera of individuals with O blood 28. 389 group. Possible evolutionary significance. American journal of epidemiology 1985; 121(6): 791-6. 390 Swerdlow DL, Mintz ED, Rodriguez M, et al. Severe life-threatening cholera associated with 29. 391 blood group O in Peru: implications for the Latin American epidemic. The Journal of infectious 392 diseases 1994; **170**(2): 468-72.

393

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 1. Individual Level Characteristics of Cholera Patient Households

	All Participants Index Pa		Patients	Household Contacts ¹		
	%	n	%	n	%	n
Participants	5	78	8	7	49	91
Baseline Age						
Median \pm SD (Min - Max) (Years)	13 ± 16	5 (0-83)	14 ± 18	8 (0-79)	13 ± 15	5 (0-83)
0-5 Years	19%	112	16%	14	20%	98
5-14 Years	34%	194	33%	29	34%	165
14 Years or Greater	47%	272	51%	44	46%	228
Female Gender	56%	323	55%	48	56%	275
Blood Group Status						
O Blood Group	45%	222	51%	37	44%	185
A Blood Group	31%	152	28%	20	31%	132
B Blood Group	21%	103	15%	11	22%	92
AB Blood Group	3%	17	6%	4	3%	13
Reported Baseline Antibiotic Usage (past 48 hours)	13%	71	34%	30	9%	41
Reported Antibiotic Usage During the 1-Month Surveillance Period	42%	245	84%	73	35%	172
Reported Baseline Oral Rehydration Solution (ORS) Usage (past 48 hours)	17%	89	88%	75	3%	14
Reported ORS Usage During the 1-Month Surveillance Period	21%	122	93%	81	8%	41
Baseline Intravenous Fluids	13%	69	69%	59	2%	10
Intravenous Fluids During the 1-Month Surveillance Period	15%	85	78%	68	4%	17

1. Household contacts were defined as individuals sharing the same cooking pot and residing in the same home with the cholera patient for the last three days and who also planned to reside with the cholera patient for the next month.

396

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Household Level Characteristics	%	n	Ν
Households with ≥ 1 Contact with an Infection ¹	67%	56	83
Households with ≥ 1 Contact with an Symptomatic Infection ²	37%	31	83
Households with >1 Infected Contact	42%	35	83
Households ≥1 Stored Household Water sample with <i>V.cholerae</i>	12%	9	77
Households <a>> 1 Water Source sample with V.cholerae	7%	5	70
Individual Level Characteristics			
Household Contacts with an Infection ¹	27%	134	491
Household Contacts with Symptomatic Infections ²	9%	46	488
Household Contact with an Infection by Age Category			
0-5 Years	19%	26	134
Female (0-5 Years)	35%	9	26
Male (0-5 Years)	65%	17	26
5-14 Years	38%	51	134
Female (5-14 Years)	61%	31	51
Male (5-14 Years)	39%	20	51
14 Years or Greater	43%	57	134
Female (>14 Years)	60%	34	57
Male (>14 Years)	40%	23	57
Household Contact with an Infection by Sex			
Female	45%	60	134
Male	55%	74	134

Table 2. Household and Individual level V. cholerae Infection and Water Sample
Characteristics for Household Contacts of Cholera Patients

1. Household contacts were defined as individuals sharing the same cooking pot and residing in the same home with the cholera patient for the last three days and who also planned to reside with the cholera patient for the next month. A household contact with a *V. cholerae* infection was defined as a *V. cholerae* bacterial culture positive result during the 1-month surveillance period and/or a four-fold rise in a *V. cholerae* O-specific polysaccharide Ogawa orInaba immunoglobulin G and immunoglobulin A, or cholera toxin B subunit serological marker from baseline to the 1-month follow-up. 2. A household contact with a symptomatic V. cholerae infection, defined as a V. cholerae infection (using the definition above) and with diarrhea during the 1-month surveillance period.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 3. Logistic Regression Models of V. cholerae Infections among 491 Household Contacts of Cholera Patients

Table 3. Logistic Regression Models of V. cholerae Infections among 491 Hous 491 Total Household Contacts of Cholera Patients	Household Contacts (N=491) %	All Cholera Infections Odds Ratio (95% CI) ¹		All Symptomatic Cholera Infections Odds Ratio (95% CI) ^{1,2}			
Household Level Characteristics during Surveillance Visits							
Index patient antibiotic usage (doxycycline) at baseline (past 48 hours) Hygiene Indicators	5%	‡p=0.005		‡p=0.400			
Stored Food Uncovered at All Visits	26%	1.822	(1.03, 3.24)	2.388	(1.13, 5.05)		
No Soap Present in Cooking Area at All Visits	14%	1.406	(0.56, 3.54)	1.238	(0.44, 3.5)		
No Soap Present in Toilet Area at All Visits	56%	0.809	(0.47, 1.39)	0.882	(0.42, 1.83)		
Water Quality					<i>、</i> ,,,,		
Stored Household Free Chlorine Concentration <0.2 mg/L at All Visits	31%	0.752	(0.43, 1.33)	0.972	(0.46, 2.07)		
Source Household Free Chlorine Concentration <0.2 mg/L at All Visits	47%	1.292	(0.73, 2.28)	1.471	(0.68, 3.17)		
Stored Water with Detectable V. cholerae during the Surveillance Period	11%	1.172	(0.52, 2.64)	1.283	(0.57, 2.88)		
Source Water with Detectable V. cholerae during the Surveillance Period	8%	0.752	(0.29, 1.92)	0.892	(0.41, 1.95)		
Any Water Sample (Stored or Source) with Detectable <i>V. cholerae</i> during the Surveillance Period	16%	1.389	(0.73, 2.65)	1.227	(0.62, 2.44)		
Stored Household <i>E.coli</i> Concentration > 1 CFU/ 100 mL during the Surveillance Period	46%	0.752	(0.18, 3.21)	1.998	(0.66, 6.08)		
Source Household <i>E.coli</i> Concentration > 1 CFU/ 100 mL during the Surveillance Period	23%	3.594	(1.46, 8.84)	0.867	(0.39, 1.91)		
Stored Drinking Water Uncovered at All Visits	31%	0.809	(0.46, 1.42)	0.867	(0.39, 1.91)		
Primary Water Source Type ³					<i>、</i> ,,,,		
Basic Water Service	62%	(re	eference)	(r	eference)		
Limited Water Service	25%	0.851	(0.42, 1.73)	0.588	(0.24, 1.44)		
Unimproved Water Source	13%	0.830	(0.34, 2.02)	0.945	(0.37, 2.42)		
Primary Sanitation Option Type ³							
Basic Sanitation Service	27%	(re	eference)	(reference)			
Limited Sanitation Service	18%	0.855	(0.39, 1.9)	0.754	(0.25, 2.27)		
Unimproved Sanitation	55%	0.737	(0.41, 1.34)	0.735	(0.32, 1.7)		
Individual Level Characteristics							
Female Household Contacts	56%	0.965	(0.71, 1.32)	0.852	(0.47, 1.53)		
Household Contact Patient Age (Years)							
0-5 Years	20%	0.955	(0.58, 1.57)	2.089	(1.12, 3.9)		
5-14 Years	34%	1.275	(0.83, 1.96)	1.632	(0.81, 3.27)		
14 Years or Greater	46%	(re	(reference)		(reference)		
Blood Group Status							
O Blood Group	44%	0.881	(0.5, 1.56)	0.941	(0.44, 2.01)		
A Blood Group	31%	(re	eference)	(r	eference)		
B Blood Group	22%	0.651	(0.37, 1.15)	0.619	(0.25, 1.56)		
AB Blood Group	3%	0.333	(0.09, 1.29)	0.878	(0.13, 5.84)		

1. Univariate logistic regression models were performed for all regression analyses. Household contacts were defined as individuals sharing the same cooking pot and residing in the same home with the cholera patient for the last three days and who also planned to reside with the cholera patient for the next month. CI: Confidence Intervals 2. A household contact with a *V. cholerae* infection was defined as a *V. cholerae* bacterial culture positive result during the 1-month surveillance period and/or a four-fold rise in a *V. cholerae* O-specific polysaccharide Ogawa or Inaba Inaba immunoglobulin G and immunoglobulin A, or cholera toxin B subunit serological marker from baseline to the 1-month follow-up. 2. A household contact with a symptomatic V. cholerae infection, defined as a V. cholerae infection (using the definition above) and with diarrhea during the 1-month surveillance period. 3. The sanitation options and water sources were defined using the World Health Organization (WHO)/ UNICEF Joint Monitoring Program (JMP) definitions. An improved water sources included piped water, protected springs, rainwater, packaged or delivered water, boreholes, and tubewells. Unimproved water sources included unprotected dugwells, springs, lakes, ponds, rivers, dams, streams, canals, or irrigation canal. Basic water service was defined as drinking water from an improved source where the collection time did not exceed 30 minutes (including queuing time). Limited water service was defined as drinking water from an improved sanitation included septic tanks, pit latrines with slabs, composting toiles, and flush/pour flush toilets connected to a piped sewer system. Unimproved sanitation facilities that were not shared with other households. Limited sanitation service was the use of improved sanitation facilities that were not shared with other households. So individual reported open defecation (where no sanitation facilities was used). ‡Regression model was not estimable due to the low number of V. cholerae infections in one