Scientific Article

www.advancesradonc.org

Maria Thor, PhD,^{[a,](#page-0-0)}[*](#page-0-1) Jessica M. Scott, PhD,^{[b](#page-0-0),[c](#page-0-2)} Anne S. Reiner, MPH,^{[d](#page-0-2)} Lind[a](#page-0-0) Hong, PhD,^a Anthony F. Yu, MD,^{[b](#page-0-0)[,c](#page-0-2)} Aditya Apte, PhD,^a B[e](#page-0-3)ryl McCormick, MD,^e Angelic[a](#page-0-0) Perez-Andujar, PhD,^a Catherine P. Lee, BS,^{[b](#page-0-0)} L[a](#page-0-0)ura Cervino, PhD,^a Jenna Harrison, BS,^{[b](#page-0-0)} Xiaolin Liang, MA,^{[d](#page-0-2)} Prusha Patel, MPH,^{[d](#page-0-2)} L[a](#page-0-0)wrence T. Dauer, PhD,^a Chaya S. Moskowitz, PhD,^d and Jonine L. Bernstein, PhD^{[d](#page-0-2)}

^aDepartments of Medical Physics, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York; ^bDepartment of Medicine, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York; ^cWeill Cornell Medical College, New York, New York; ^dDepartment of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York; and e Department of Radiation Oncology, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York

Received 11 April 2024; accepted 22 July 2024

Purpose: Breast cancer radiation therapy (RT) techniques have historically delivered mean heart doses (MHDs) in the range of 5 Gy, which have been found to predispose patients to cardiopulmonary toxicities. The purpose of this study was to apply artificial intelligence (AI) cardiac substructure auto-segmentation to evaluate the corresponding substructure doses, whether there are lateralityand technique-specific differences in these doses, and if the doses are significantly associated with cardiorespiratory fitness after stateof-the-art RT planning and delivery for breast cancer.

Methods and Materials: Cardiopulmonary substructures were AI auto-segmented. Cardiorespiratory fitness was evaluated at a median of 2.3 (range, 1.1-9.8) years following RT from 2007 to 2021 among 65 breast cancer survivors. The associations between the mean dose to each of the 9 AI auto-segmented cardiopulmonary substructures, the contralateral, and the ipsilateral lung with cardiorespiratory fitness were evaluated using linear regression.

Results: The median MHD was 0.64 Gy (range, 0.12-7.1). Among the auto-segmented substructures, the highest mean doses were observed for the left ventricle (median, 0.88 Gy). The mean dose to each of the 11 structures was significantly higher for women treated with volumetric modulated arc therapy (MHD median, 3.8 Gy vs 0.57 Gy; $P < .0001$). Women with left-sided breast cancer had significantly higher MHDs (0.97 vs 0.38 Gy; $P < .0001$) due to higher doses in 3 of 4 cardiac chambers and also due to significantly higher pulmonary artery doses (median, 0.93 vs 0.32 Gy; $P = .0003$); women with right-sided breast cancer had significantly higher vena cava and right atrium doses (eg, right atrium median, 0.74 vs 0.29 Gy; $P = .0002$). No cardiopulmonary structure dose was

*Corresponding author: Maria Thor, MSc, PhD; Email: thorm@mskcc.org

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adro.2024.101613>

Sources of support: This work was in part funded by the NIH/NCI Cancer Center Support/Core Grant ([P30 CA009748\)](#page-0-4).

^{2452-1094/}© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of American Society for Radiation Oncology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

significantly associated with reduced cardiorespiratory fitness after adjusting for age, chemotherapy agent, volumetric modulated arc therapy, RT position, and RT extent.

Conclusions: State-of-the-art breast cancer RT reduces cardiopulmonary dose, and there is a technique and cancer laterality RT dose dependence throughout the cardiopulmonary system.

© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of American Society for Radiation Oncology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Breast is the most common cancer site among women, and every other woman diagnosed with breast cancer (BC) will receive radiation therapy (RT) .^{[1](#page-5-0)} Further, women with BC are at an elevated risk of dying from cardiovascu-lar disease.^{[2](#page-5-1)} In a large cohort study from 2013, among 2168 women treated with RT for BC between 1958 and 2001, the mean heart dose $(MHD)^3$ $(MHD)^3$ was found to predispose patients to the risk of coronary events within a linear nonthreshold relationship and a 7% increased risk/Gy. State-of-the-art RT delivery techniques, in addition to more conservative dose/volume guidelines to the cardiopulmonary system, can better conform the therapeutic dose to the tumor and away from the heart and have together systematically reduced the MHD from \sim 4.9 Gy, as observed in^{[3](#page-5-2)}, to \sim 1.5 Gy for women with left-sided $BC⁴⁻⁶$

Cardiac substructure auto-segmentation algorithms have recently emerged^{[7](#page-5-4)} and have facilitated the determination of associations between cardiopulmonary substructure dose and cardiac toxicity among patients with lung cancer.^{[8](#page-5-5)} While similar segmentation algorithms have been applied to assess the magnitude of cardiopulmonary irradiation in contemporary BC series, $4-6$ they have not been used to study the relationship between the associated RT dose and cardiac toxicity.

The goal of this study was to apply artificial intelligence (AI) cardiac substructure auto-segmentation to assess the corresponding substructure doses, whether there are laterality- and technique-specific differences in these doses, and if they, in turn, are significantly associated with cardiorespiratory fitness after contemporary RT for BC.

Methods and Materials

Patient cohort

The cohort data were leveraged from 3 clinical trials. This ancillary analysis was approved by the institutional review board at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center. The cohort consisted of 65 patients treated with definitive RT for stage I to III BC from December 2007 to February 2021. The added inclusion criterion for the current analysis was a receipt of RT. RT had typically been delivered as

three-dimensional conformal RT (3DCRT; $n = 58$); the remaining 7 patients were treated with intensity modulated RT using either static fields or volumetric modulated arc therapy (VMAT). Forty of the 58 patients treated with 3DCRT were prescribed 2.65 Gy in each of 16 fractions, followed by a boost of 2 to 2.5 Gy over 4 to 5 fractions. The remaining patients treated with 3DCRT received 1.8 to 2 Gy in each of 25 to 28 fractions, with a portion receiving a 2 Gy boost in each of 5 to 7 fractions; 1 patient was prescribed 40 Gy over 10 fractions as partial breast irradiation. All 7 patients receiving VMAT treatments were treated in 2 Gy in each of 25 fractions without a boost (exception: 1 patient received a boost of 2 Gy in each of 5 fractions).

Cardiopulmonary substructure AI autosegmentation and associated RT dose metrics

For each patient, 9 cardiopulmonary substructures (aorta [ascending and descending combined], atria [left {LA} and right {RA}], heart, pulmonary artery [PA], vena cava [inferior {IVC} and superior {SVC}], and ventricles [left {LV} and right {RV}]) were segmented using a previously developed AI open-source auto-segmentation algo-rithm.^{[7](#page-5-4)} Because the segmentation algorithm had been developed from planning computed tomography (CT) scans of lung cancer patients scanned in a supine position, the planning CT scans for the 23 BC patients that had been scanned in a prone position were flipped in the anterior-posterior direction for segmentation inference. All segmentations were postprocessed to adhere to the anatomy. The mean dose was extracted for each of the 9 substructures and the tumor-defined contralateral and ipsilateral lung volumes and corrected for fractionation effects (the physical dose was converted to the equivalent dose in 2 Gy fractions assuming $\alpha/\beta = 3$ Gy⁹⁻¹¹).

Assessment of cardiorespiratory fitness

All patients had cardiorespiratory fitness assessed by a symptom-limited cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET) on a treadmill with 12-lead electrocardiogram monitoring (Mac 5000, GE Healthcare) following standard testing procedures. 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 The exercise capacity (henceforth

Table 1 Disease, patient, and treatment characteristics for the 65 included breast cancer patients

	Median		
Characteristics	(range) or n (%)		
Age (y)	55 (35-72)		
Stage			
IA	33(51)		
IIA	13(20)		
IIB	5(8)		
IIIA	4(6)		
IIIB	1(2)		
IIIC	3(5)		
Localized (unspecified)	4(6)		
Unknown	2(3)		
Chemotherapy agent			
Anthracycline	30(46)		
Other	15(23)		
None	20(31)		
RT treatment technique			
3DCRT	58 (89)		
VMAT	7(11)		
RT patient position			
Prone	22(34)		
Supine	43 (66)		
RT extent			
Breast	47 (72)		
Breast + $nodes^*$	18 (28)		
VO ₂ peak (mL O ₂ /kg'min)	$23(12-31)$		
End of RT to VO ₂ peak measurement (y)	$2.3(1.1-9.8)$		
Characteristics are represented as the median (range) or n (%) where applicable. Abbreviations: 3DCRT = three-dimensional conformal radiation			

therapy; RT = radiation therapy; VMAT = volumetric modulated arc therapy; VO2peak = cardiorespiratory fitness. *Regional and internal mammary nodes.

referred to 'VO₂peak' [ml O₂/kgmin]) was defined as the highest recorded 30 seconds average output during the last 90 seconds of the CPET. All CPETs were conducted in a dedicated research laboratory by exercise physiologists. The median time between RT completion and VO2peak measurement was 2.3 (range, 1.1-9.8) years [\(Table 1\)](#page-2-0).

Statistical analysis

The cardiac substructure and lung mean RT doses were each evaluated for association with $VO₂$ peak using linear regression analysis. Multivariable linear regression models were fit adjusting for age (continuous), chemotherapy agent (none $n = 20$; anthracycline $n = 30$; other $n = 15$), use of VMAT (yes $n = 7$; no $n = 58$), RT patient position (prone $n = 22$; supine $n = 43$), and RT extent (breast $n = 47$; breast and nodes $n = 18$). In addition, cardiopulmonary mean doses were compared by BC laterality (left $n = 34$; right $n = 31$) and VMAT using Wilcoxon rank sum tests. All tests were 2-sided .05-level tests. Statistical analyses were performed in SAS v9.4 (SAS Institute) and R v4.3.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing).

Results

The LV receives the highest doses among auto-segmented cardiopulmonary substructures

As demonstrated in [Fig. 1](#page-3-0), the median MHD was 0.64 (range, 0.12-7.1) Gy, while the contralateral and ipsilateral mean lung dose medians were 0.13 (range, 0.06-4.6) Gy and 2.9 (range, 0.06-15) Gy, respectively. Among all autosegmented cardiopulmonary substructures, the IVC had the overall lowest doses (0.27; range, 0.03-5.8 Gy), while the highest doses were observed for the LV (0.88; range, 0.06-7.2 Gy).

VMAT increases the dose to all cardiopulmonary substructures

All cardiopulmonary substructure doses and lung doses were significantly higher for the 7 patients treated using VMAT compared with the remaining 58 patients treated with 3DCRT (all $P < .01$; eg, MHD median, 3.8 Gy vs 0.57 Gy; [Fig. 1](#page-3-0)).

Left-sided BC is associated with higher LA, LV, PA, and RV doses

The 34 women with left-sided BC received significantly higher MHDs (median, 0.97 vs 0.38; $P < .0001$), which was due to higher LV doses followed by higher RV and, lastly, higher LA doses (median, 1.2 vs 0.13 Gy; 0.89 vs 0.42 Gy; 0.44 vs 0.30 Gy; $P < .0001$; $P < .0007$; P < .02, respectively; [Fig. 2\)](#page-4-0). A similar higher dose pattern for left-sided laterality was observed for the PA (median, 0.93 vs 0.32 Gy; $P = .0003$), while the IVC, SVC, and RA doses were significantly higher for women with rightsided BC (median, 0.33 vs 0.17 Gy; 0.87 vs 0.26 Gy; 0.74 vs 0.29 Gy; $P = .001$; $P < .0001$; $P = .0002$, respectively). No cancer laterality dose dependence was

Abbreviations: Contra = contralateral; Ipsi = ipsilateral; IVC = inferior vena cava; LA = left atrium; LV = left ventricle; PA = pulmonary artery; RA = right atrium; RV = right ventricle; SVC = superior vena cava; VMAT = volumetric modulated arc therapy.

observed for the contralateral lung, ipsilateral lung, or the aorta.

Cardiac substructure doses are not associated with reduced cardiorespiratory fitness

When adjusting for age, chemotherapy agent, VMAT, RT patient position, and RT extent in the multivariable analysis, no substructure mean dose was significantly associated with $VO₂peak$ ([Table 2\)](#page-4-1).

Discussion

Based on AI auto-segmented cardiopulmonary substructures and associated RT doses from 65 women who had previously been treated with RT for BC, this study suggests that (1) the LV receives the highest doses of all cardiac substructures, (2) the use of VMAT increases RT dose to all cardiopulmonary structures, (3) left-sided BC is associated with higher LA, LV, PA, and RV doses, but lower IVC, SVC, and RA doses compared with right-sided BC, and (4) cardiopulmonary substructure doses are not associated with significantly reduced cardiorespiratory fitness post-RT.

Among all 9 auto-segmented cardiopulmonary substructures, the LV received the overall highest doses (median, 0.88 Gy), and a left-sided BC diagnosis translated into a significantly higher LV dose (median, 1.2 vs 0.13 Gy; $P < .0001$). Restricted to the 8 overlapping cardiopulmonary substructures, Finnegan et al⁶ observed these same 2 patterns but with higher median population LV doses (\sim 1.8 Gy) than those observed among our 34 left-sided BC patients. In another study based on data from 47 BC patients, systolic or diastolic indices of LV

Figure 2 Cardiopulmonary substructure is doses that were significantly higher (left of the cyan line) or lower (right of the cyan line) for patients treated for left-sided breast cancer (BC). The y-axis has been truncated at 11 Gy, excluding 2 SVC mean doses (at 13 Gy and 18 Gy) and 1 PA mean dose (at 14 Gy).

Abbreviations: IVC = inferior vena cava; LA = left atrium; LV = left ventricle; PA = pulmonary artery; RA = right atrium; RV = right ventricle; SVC = superior vena cava.

Substructure mean dose (Gy)	Univariable β (95% CI)	P value	Multivariable β (95% CI)	P value
Aorta	$0.72(0.32-1.1)$.0006	0.59 (-0.67 to 1.8)	.35
IVC	$1.2(0.17-2.2)$.02	-0.18 (-1.5 to 1.1)	.79
LA.	$1.1(0.28-1.8)$.008	-0.03 (-1.3 to 1.1)	.96
LV	$0.69(0.01-1.4)$.05	$0.11 (-0.64 \text{ to } 0.86)$.77
PA	$0.58(0.23-0.92)$.002	0.12 (-0.61 to 0.84)	.75
RA	$0.67(0.06-1.3)$.03	-0.49 (-1.4 to 0.38)	.27
RV	$0.61(0.04-1.2)$.04	-0.14 (-0.83 to 0.56)	.69
SVC	$0.47(0.20-0.75)$.001	-0.12 (-0.68 to 0.44)	.67
Heart	$0.77(0.14-1.4)$.02	-0.10 (-1.0 to 0.83)	.83
Contralateral lung	$1.27(0.40-2.1)$.005	0.19 (-2.0 to 2.4)	.86
Ipsilateral lung	$0.43(0.24-0.63)$	< .0001	0.40 (-0.08 to 0.88)	.10
Abbreviations: IVC = inferior vena cava; LA = left atrium; LV = left ventricle; PA = pulmonary artery; RA = right atrium; RV = right ventricle; $SVC = superior$ vena cava.				

Table 2 Univariate linear regression results between cardiopulmonary substructure mean radiation therapy doses and VO2peak, and multivariate linear regression results adjusted for age, chemotherapy agent, volumetric modulated arc therapy, radiation therapy position, and radiation therapy extent

function from echocardiography were not found to be associated with the MHD. 5 Despite using no LV substructure dose in that study, the lack of an association with cardiac function aligns with the results from this study in that no relationship was observed between dose to the LV (or to any cardiopulmonary substructure) and reduced cardiorespiratory fitness as quantified by $VO₂peak.$

The $VO₂peak$ levels observed here are in the same range as those observed in a previous BC series (median, 23 vs 25 mL O_2 /kg min).^{[14](#page-6-2)} In that study, VO₂peak was associated with age and body mass index but not with RT-related fatigue. Here, no association was observed between VO₂peak and cardiopulmonary substructure dose. Further, the $VO₂peak$ was assessed at a median of 2.3 years after post-RT, and there was no difference in $VO₂peak before and after that time point (n = 32 vs 32;$ median VO₂peak, 23.3 vs 21.5; $P = .19$).

For the 7 patients where VMAT was used, the dose to all 11 cardiopulmonary structures was significantly higher than observed among the remaining 58 patients treated with 3DCRT. For 10 replanned patients, Corradini et al^{[15](#page-6-3)} also observed higher heart and lung doses for VMAT than 3DCRT, particularly when no deep-inspiration breath hold (DIBH) was used. In the current study, the 3 patients treated with VMAT without DIBH received the highest doses, followed by the 4 patients treated with VMAT plus DIBH (median across all 11 structures, 3.7 Gy vs 4.2 Gy).

Left-sided BC over right-sided BC has historically been associated with higher MHD.^{[4](#page-5-3),[6](#page-5-7)} We observed a related dependence for MHD but also found a laterality dose dependence across the cardiopulmonary system, similar to Finnegan et al, 6 6 with the LA, LV, PA, and RV doses being higher for left-sided BC, while the RA and SVC doses being higher for right-sided BC. In addition, this study also identified significantly higher IVC doses among right-sided BC patients (of note: Finnegan et al,^{[6](#page-5-7)} did not assess IVC doses).

Limitations of the current study include a cardiorespiratory fitness test-tailored cohort of 65 patients. Further, our observed low-end cardiopulmonary doses may have precluded an association between cardiopulmonary substructure dose and cardiorespiratory fitness, and a false negative type II β error can, therefore, not be ruled out.

Conclusions

Our study suggests that state-of-the-art RT has successfully reduced the dose to the cardiopulmonary system with a median MHD of 0.64 Gy compared with historically delivered doses of 4.9 Gy .³ Our results suggest that cancer laterality should be considered when designing new cardiopulmonary substructure-specific dose/volume guidelines for BC, given that a left-sided cancer leads to

significantly higher LA, LV, PA, and RV doses, and a right-sided cancer leads to significantly higher IVC, RA, and SVC doses.

Disclosures

Anthony F Yu reports a relationship with Genentech Inc that includes board membership and a relationship with American Heart Association Inc that includes funding grants. Laura Cervino reports a relationship with American Association of Physicists in Medicine that includes board membership. Chaya Moskowitz reports a relationship with National Cancer Institute that includes funding grants and a relationship with Radiological Society of North America that includes speaking and lecture fees. The other authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- 1. [Siegel RL, Giaquinto AN, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2024.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0001) CA Cancer J Clin[. 2024;74:12-49.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0001)
- 2. Stoltzfus KC, Zhang Y, Sturgeon K, et al. Fatal heart disease among cancer patients. Nat Commun. 2020;11:2011. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15639-5) [10.1038/s41467-020-15639-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15639-5).
- 3. [Darby SC, Ewertz M, McGale P, et al. Risk of ischemic heart disease](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0003) [in women after radiotherapy for breast cancer.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0003) N Engl J Med. [2013;368:987-998.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0003)
- 4. Pierce LJ, Feng M, Griffi[th KA, et al. Recent time trends and predic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0004)[tors of heart dose from breast radiation therapy in a large quality](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0004) [consortium of radiation oncology practices.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0004) Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys[. 2017;99:1154-1161.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0004)
- 5. [Yu AF, Ho AY, Braunstein LZ, et al. Assessment of early radiation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0005)[induced changes in left ventricular function by myocardial strain](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0005) [imaging after breast radiation therapy.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0005) J Am Soc Echocardiogr. [2019;32:521-528.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0005)
- 6. [Finnegan R, Lorenzen EL, Dowling J, et al. Analysis of cardiac sub](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0006)[structure dose in a large, multi-centre Danish breast cancer cohort](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0006) [\(the DBCG HYPO trial\): Trends and predictive modelling.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0006) Radiother Oncol[. 2020;153:130-138.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0006)
- 7. [Haq R, Hotca A, Apte A, Rimner A, Deasy JO, Thor M. Cardio-pul](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0007)[monary substructure segmentation of radiotherapy computed](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0007) [tomography images using convolutional neural networks for clinical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0007) outcomes analysis. [Phys Imaging Radiat Oncol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0007). 2020;14:61-66.
- 8. [Hotca A, Thor M, Deasy JO, Rimner A. Dose to the cardio-pulmo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0008)[nary system and treatment-induced electrocardiogram abnormali](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0008)[ties in locally advanced non-small cell lung cancer.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0008) Clin Transl Radiat Oncol[. 2019;19:96-102.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0008)
- 9. [Thor M, Apte A, Haq R, Iyer A, LoCastro E, Deasy JO. Using auto](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0009)[segmentation to reduce contouring and dose inconsistency in clini](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0009)[cal trials: The simulated impact on RTOG 0617.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0009) Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys[. 2021;109:1619-1626.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0009)
- 10. [Thor M, Deasy JO, Hu C, et al. Modeling the impact of cardiopul](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0010)[monary irradiation on overall survival in NRG oncology trial RTOG](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0010) 0617. Clin Cancer Res[. 2020;26:4643-4650.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0010)
- 11. [Marks LB, Bentzen SM, Deasy JO, et al. Radiation dose](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0011)−volume effects in the lung. [Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0011). 2010;76:S70-S76.
- 12. [Scott JM, Thomas SM, Peppercorn JM, et al. Effects of exercise ther](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0012)[apy dosing schedule on impaired cardiorespiratory](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0012) fitness in [patients with primary breast cancer: A randomized controlled trial.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0012) Circulation[. 2020;141:560-570.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0012)
- 13. [Yu AF, Flynn JR, Moskowitz CS, et al. Long-term cardiopulmonary](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0013) [consequences of treatment-induced cardiotoxicity in survivors of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0013) [ERBB2-positive breast cancer.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0013) JAMA Cardiol. 2020;5:309-317.
- 14. [Leeman JE, Lapen K, Fuchs HE, et al. Cardiorespiratory](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0014) fitness in patients [with early-stage breast cancer and radiation therapy-related fatigue: A](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0014) prospective pilot study. [Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0014). 2024;118:1060-1065.
- 15. [Corradini S, Ballhausen H, Weingandt H, et al. Left-sided breast](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0015) [cancer and risks of secondary lung cancer and ischemic heart dis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0015)[ease: Effects of modern radiotherapy techniques.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0015) Strahlenther Onkol. [2018;194:196-205.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2452-1094(24)00176-3/sbref0015)