

Comparative efficacy and safety of co-amoxiclav, cefpodoxime proxetil, and levofloxacin in patients with acute uncomplicated bacterial tonsillitis: A prospective, open-label, parallel group study

Suja Xaviar¹, Girish K.², Jagannath B.³, Saibal Das⁴, Probin Joseph⁵

¹Department of Pharmacology, ESIC Medical College and Hospital, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India, ²Department of Pharmacology, Kempegowda Institute of Medical Sciences, Bangalore, Karnataka, India, ³Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Kempegowda Institute of Medical Sciences, Bangalore, Karnataka, India, ⁴ICMR Centre for Ageing and Mental Health, Kolkata, West Bengal, India, ⁵Department of Orthopaedics, ESIC Medical College and Hospital, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract

Background: No clinical trials have compared the efficacy and safety of beta-lactam antibiotics and fluoroquinolones in acute uncomplicated bacterial tonsillitis. This study aimed to compare the efficacy and safety of co-amoxiclav (amoxicillin/clavulanic acid), cefpodoxime proxetil, and levofloxacin monotherapy in patients with acute uncomplicated bacterial tonsillitis. **Methods:** This was a prospective, open-label, parallel-group study where 90 patients with acute uncomplicated bacterial tonsillitis were equally divided into three groups to receive either tablet co-amoxiclav 625 mg thrice daily, tablet cefpodoxime proxetil 200 mg twice daily, or tablet levofloxacin 500 mg once daily for five days. The efficacy was assessed by subjective clinical improvement and objective bacteriological cure at the end of treatment. Safety was assessed by monitoring adverse events during the study period. **Results:** Co-amoxiclav, cefpodoxime proxetil, and levofloxacin showed comparable clinical responses on days three and seven; however, on day five, levofloxacin showed a significantly reduced cure rate, but a higher improvement rate, than co-amoxiclav and cefpodoxime proxetil. Bacteriologically, the responses were similar in all three groups at week 1. All drugs were well tolerated with a few self-limiting adverse effects. **Conclusions:** Co-amoxiclav, cefpodoxime proxetil, and levofloxacin showed a some similar in all three groups at week 1 comparable clinical and bacteriological cure in acute uncomplicated bacterial tonsillitis and showed a good safety profile.

Keywords: Acute uncomplicated bacterial tonsillitis, antibiotics, bacteriological, clinical, response

Introduction

Acute tonsillitis is a common bacterial infection in children and adults under 50 years of age and makes up approximately 1.3% of outpatient visits.^[1] It results in frequent school and work

Address for correspondence: Dr. Probin Joseph,
ESIC Medical College and Hospital, KK Nagar, Chennai,
Tamil Nadu, India.
E-mail: drprobinjoseph@gmail.comReceived: 25-04-2024Revised: 27-05-2024Accepted: 30-05-2024Published: 09-12-2024

Access this article online		
Quick Response Code:	Website: http://journals.lww.com/JFMPC	
	DOI: 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc 693 24	

Li hattiri da se

absenteeism. Group A beta-hemolytic Streptococci are the most common organisms causing acute tonsillitis. The other causative bacteria include *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Hemophilus influenza*, and *Pneumococcus*.^[2] Poor oro-dental hygiene, poor nutrition, and a congested environment are important predisposing factors for the disease.^[3]

The predominant symptoms include sore throat, difficulty in swallowing, and fever with constitutional symptoms, such as

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite this article: Xaviar S, Girish K, Jagannath B, Das S, Joseph P. Comparative efficacy and safety of co-amoxiclav, cefpodoxime proxetil, and levofloxacin in patients with acute uncomplicated bacterial tonsillitis: A prospective, open-label, parallel group study. J Family Med Prim Care 2024;13:5570-4.

headache, general body ache, malaise, and constipation.^[4] The complications of acute tonsillitis include chronic tonsillitis, peritonsillar abscess, parapharyngeal abscess, acute otitis media, rheumatic fever, acute glomerulonephritis, and subacute bacterial endocarditis.^[5] Therefore, it is appropriate to initiate treatment for acute tonsillitis at the earliest.

Depending upon the severity, the mainstay of management of acute tonsillitis includes antimicrobials, along with analgesic-antipyretics and other supportive measures. In severe cases, narrow-spectrum antimicrobials in high doses for a shorter duration are advocated.^[6] Among antimicrobials, the most commonly preferred ones are beta-lactam antibiotics.^[7] In individuals with penicillin hypersensitivity and drug resistance macrolides, fluoroquinolones, and azalides are preferred.^[8] However, no clinical studies have compared the efficacy and safety of beta-lactam antibiotics and fluoroquinolones in acute uncomplicated bacterial tonsillitis. Hence, this study was performed to compare the efficacy and safety of co-amoxiclav (amoxicillin/clavulanic acid) (COA), cefpodoxime proxetil, (CEF), and levofloxacin (LEV) in patients with acute uncomplicated bacterial tonsillitis.

Patients and Methods

Ethics

This study was performed after obtaining institutional ethics committee approval. The study was registered in the Clinical Trials Registry – India (CTRI/2018/05/013756). Written informed consent/assent was obtained from all study patients participating in this study. All study procedures adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki, 1964, and its further amendments.

Patients

The inclusion criteria were patients of either gender between 10 and 55 years of age diagnosed with acute uncomplicated bacterial tonsillitis, as confirmed by throat swab test. Patients with suspected viral tonsillitis, complications (quinsy, parapharyngeal abscess, suppurative cervical adenitis, co-existing lower respiratory tract infections, and sinusitis), severe renal and/or hepatic dysfunction, pregnant and lactating women, history of any hypersensitivity to any study medications, history of antibiotic intake within the previous 48 hours, or those who had received long-acting penicillin within two weeks before enrolment were excluded.

Study design

This was a prospective, open-label, parallel-group study. The study was conducted from January 2016 to June 2017 in the outpatient department of Otorhinolaryngology. Purposive sampling was followed. The eligible patients were equally divided into three groups to receive either tablet co-amoxiclav 625 mg thrice daily (COA group), tablet cefpodoxime proxetil 200 mg twice daily (CEF group), or tablet levofloxacin 500 mg once daily (LEV group) after food for five days. In patients

of <15 years of age, the doses were calculated based on body weight. The treatment adherence was also checked.

Outcomes

The demographic characteristics of the participants were recorded. The grade of tonsillitis according to the Brodsky scale,^[9] symptoms and signs, and the type of tonsillitis were assessed. The efficacy was assessed by subjective clinical improvement for complete resolution of signs and symptoms on an outpatient basis or by telephonic interviews on days three, five, and seven. The bacteriological cure was assessed objectively based on a throat swab culture report one week after the study. The treatment response (clinical) was categorized as follows: (a) cured: complete resolution of signs and symptoms, (b) improved: significant but incomplete resolution of signs and symptoms, and (c) failure: worsened, persisted, or reappeared signs and symptoms. The treatment response was also assessed based on the results of throat swab culture.^[10] Safety was assessed by monitoring adverse events during the study period.

Statistical analyses

The calculated total sample size was 90 (30 in each group). The continuous variables are represented by the means \pm standard deviations, and the categorical variables are represented by numbers (percentages). The distribution of data was checked by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the continuous variables and the Chi-square test was used to compare the categorical variables among the three groups. All analyses were performed using SPSS version 18 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). A *P* value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Out of the total 90 patients enrolled, 84 (93.3%) completed the study. The losses to follow-up in the three groups were: COA (n = 1), CEF (n = 3), and LEV (n = 2) [Figure 1]. The treatment adherence rate was 100%. There were no significant differences in terms of the demographic characteristics of the participants, symptoms and signs of tonsillitis, and the type of tonsillitis among the three groups [Table 1].

The COA, CEF, and LEV groups showed comparable clinical responses on days three and seven; however, on day five, the LEV group showed a significantly reduced cure rate, but a higher improvement rate, than the COA and CEF groups [Table 2]. Bacteriologically, the responses were similar in all three groups at week one [Table 3]. All drugs were well tolerated with a few self-limiting adverse effects.

Discussion

In this study, the efficacy and safety of COA were compared with those of CEF and LEV in acute uncomplicated bacterial tonsillitis. There were improvements in both clinical and bacteriological parameters in all the groups. The majority of Xaviar, et al.: Comparative efficacy and safety of co-amoxiclav, cefpodoxime proxetil, and levofloxacin in acute tonsillitis



Figure 1: Study flow chart

Table 1: Comparison of the demographic and clinical characteristics of the three groups						
Parameters	Co-amoxclav group (n=30)	Cefpodoxime group (<i>n</i> =30)	Levofloxacin group (n=30)			
Demographic characteristics						
Age (years)	23.90±10.62	22.63±10.17	23.07±9.80			
Weight (kg)	60.83±13.35	58.90±10.43	65.83±12.82			
Height (cm)	163.77 ± 8.19	161.47±7.18	164.53±7.60			
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	22.44±3.45	22.83±3.30	24.05±3.13			
Tonsillitis grade	1.40 ± 0.62	1.37±0.61	1.43±0.68			
Symptoms and signs						
Odynophagia	22 (73.3%)	24 (80%)	24 (80%)			
Fever or chills	13 (43.3%)	12 (40%)	17 (56.7%)			
Strawberry tongue	1 (3.3%)	0 (0%)	2 (6.7%)			
Tender lymph nodes	4 (13.3%)	5 (16.7%)	1 (3.3%)			
Loss of appetite	2 (6.7%)	6 (20%)	4 (13.3%)			
Headache	2 (6.7%)	6 (20%)	4 (13.3%)			
Cough	2 (6.7%)	1 (3.3%)	0 (0%)			
Cold	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (3.3%)			
Earache	1 (3.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)			
Voice change	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (3.3%)			
Types of tonsillitis						
Acute follicular	23 (76.7%)	20 (66.7%)	19 (63.3%)			
Acute parenchymatous	7 (23.3%)	9 (30%)	11 (36.7%)			
Acute membranous	0 (0%)	1 (3.3%)	0 (0%)			

The continuous variables are represented by the means±standard deviations, and the categorical variables are represented by numbers (percentages)

patients showed clinical improvements on day three of the treatment. All three groups had comparable clinical responses on days three and seven. However, on day five, the LEV

group showed a significantly reduced cure rate, but a higher improvement rate. Also, CEF and LEV showed comparable efficacy to COA in terms of the results of the culture. Xaviar, et al.: Comparative efficacy and safety of co-amoxiclav, cefpodoxime proxetil, and levofloxacin in acute tonsillitis

Table 2: Clinical response to antimicrobial agents in the three groups							
Clinical response	Co-amoxclav group (n=30)	Cefpodoxime group (<i>n</i> =30)	Levofloxacin group (n=30)	Р			
Day 3							
Improved	29 (96.7%)	28 (93.3%)	30 (100%)	0.770			
Lost to follow-up	1 (3.3%)	2 (6.7%)	0 (0%)				
Day 5							
Cured	24 (80%)	24 (80%)	18 (60%)	0.056			
Improved	5 (16.7%)	4 (13.3%)	12 (40%)				
Lost to follow-up	1 (3.3%)	2 (6.7%)	0 (0%)				
Day 7							
Cured	29 (96.7%)	27 (90%)	28 (93.3%)	0.561			
Treatment failure	0 (0%)	1 (3.3%)	2 (6.7%)				
Lost to follow-up	1 (3.3%)	2 (6.7%)	0 (0%)				

The results are represented by numbers (percentages)

Table 3: Results of culture in the three groups								
Results of culture	Co-amoxclav group (n=30)	Cefpodoxime group (<i>n</i> =30)	Levofloxacin group (n=30)	Р				
Baseline								
Beta-hemolytic Streptococcus	20 (66.7%)	15 (50%)	14 (46.7%)	0.282				
Staphylococcus aureus	7 (23.3%)	14 (46.7%)	13 (43.3%)					
Gram-positive cocci and Gram-negative bacilli	3 (10%)	1 (3.3%)	3 (10%)					
1 week								
No growth	30 (100%)	29 (96.7%)	26 (86.7%)	0.171				
Gram-positive cocci	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (3.3%)					
Staphylococcus aureus	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (3.3%)					
Lost to follow-up	0 (0%)	1 (3.3%)	2 (6.7%)					
The results are represented by numbers (percentages)								

COA is commonly preferred for the treatment of acute uncomplicated bacterial tonsillitis due to its well-established safety, efficacy, narrow spectrum of activity, and fewer reports of resistance.^[11] Although Group A beta-hemolytic Streptococci are always susceptible to penicillin, bacteriologic failure occurs in up to 20% of the patients treated with penicillin, and half of these cases are also a clinical failure. The absence of interfering aerobic and anaerobic organisms may also lead to the failure of penicillin therapy. Further, some patients have hypersensitivity to penicillin. All these conditions warrant alternative antibiotic usage.^[8]

Short-course (five days) antimicrobial treatment of acute uncomplicated bacterial tonsillitis has several advantages in terms of adherence, adverse effects, cost, and patient satisfaction.^[11] A recent study has shown that short-course antibiotics are as effective as longer courses for most common infections managed in ambulatory care.^[12] Our results suggest that CEF and LEV can be considered effective and safe alternatives to COA for the management of acute uncomplicated bacterial tonsillitis. In a recent meta-analysis, the authors have declared that there is uncertainty in terms of clinically relevant differences in symptom resolution when comparing cephalosporins and macrolides with penicillin in the treatment of tonsillopharyngitis caused by Group A beta-hemolytic Streptococci.^[13] This is consistent with our results. Another meta-analysis has inferred that clindamycin and amoxicillin with clavulanate are superior to penicillin with preferable effects on the microbiological flora and the number of future attacks in patients with recurrent acute.^[14] Several earlier studies have also shown the superiority of cephalosporins over penicillins in tonsillopharyngitis.^[15] However, the clinical and bacteriological efficacy of quinolones in acute uncomplicated bacterial tonsillitis has not been demonstrated yet.^[16]

It is pertinent here to discuss the relevant guidelines on the management of sore throat. The American Academy of Family Physicians recommends that in patients with sinus infections, acute bacterial rhinosinusitis should be diagnosed and treated with antibiotics only if symptoms have not improved after 10 days or have worsened after five to seven days.^[17] According to the Infectious Diseases Society of America, penicillin or amoxicillin remains the treatment of choice for pharyngitis caused by Group A beta-hemolytic Streptococci.^[18] According to the European Society for Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, penicillin V is the first-line drug for the management of acute sore throat.^[19]

There are certain limitations to this study. First, this was an open-label non-randomized study, thereby inviting several biases. The sample size was also small. Also, we did not evaluate the cost-effectiveness of the three antibiotics used. Further large-scale randomized clinical trials are required to evaluate the efficacy and safety of different antimicrobials in acute tonsillitis.

Conclusions

COA, CEF, and LEV showed comparable clinical and bacteriological cure efficacy in acute uncomplicated bacterial tonsillitis and showed a good safety profile.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Pearce S, Bowen AC, Engel ME, de la Lande M, Barth DD. The incidence of sore throat and group A streptococcal pharyngitis in children at high risk of developing acute rheumatic fever: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2020;15:e0242107. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0242107.
- 2. Bisno AL, Gerber MA, Gwaltney JM, Kaplan EL, Schwartz RH; Infectious Diseases Society of America. Practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of group A streptococcal pharyngitis. Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 2002;35:113-25.
- 3. Hayes CS, Williamson H Jr. Management of group A beta-hemolytic streptococcal pharyngitis. Am Fam Physician 2001;63:1557-64.
- 4. Georgalas CC, Tolley NS, Narula PA. Tonsillitis. BMJ Clin Evid 2014;2014:0503.
- 5. Johnson BC, Alvi A. Cost-effective workup for tonsillitis. Testing, treatment, and potential complications. Postgrad Med 2003;113:115-8, 121.
- 6. Stelter K. Tonsillitis and sore throat in children. GMS Curr Top Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2014;13:Doc07. doi: 10.3205/cto000110.
- Skoog Ståhlgren G, Tyrstrup M, Edlund C, *et al.* Penicillin V four times daily for five days versus three times daily for 10 days in patients with pharyngotonsillitis caused by group A streptococci: Randomised controlled, open label, non-inferiority study. BMJ 2019;367:15337. doi: 10.1136/ bmj.15337.
- 8. Brook I. Failure of penicillin to eradicate group A beta-hemolytic streptococci tonsillitis: Causes and management. J Otolaryngol 2001;30:324-9.

- 9. Ng SK, Lee DL, Li AM, Wing YK, Tong MC. Reproducibility of clinical grading of tonsillar size. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2010;136:159-62.
- 10. Wakode PT, Gawarle SH, Joshi SV, Bajoriya R. Throat swab culture and sensitivity reports-An overview. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2003;55:76-80.
- 11. Holm AE, Llor C, Bjerrum L, Cordoba G. Short- vs. Long-course antibiotic treatment for acute streptococcal pharyngitis: Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Antibiotics (Basel) 2020;9:733.
- 12. Dawson-Hahn EE, Mickan S, Onakpoya I, Roberts N, Kronman M, Butler CC, *et al.* Short-course versus long-course oral antibiotic treatment for infections treated in outpatient settings: A review of systematic reviews. Fam Pract 2017;34:511-9.
- 13. van Driel ML, De Sutter AI, Thorning S, Christiaens T. Different antibiotic treatments for group A streptococcal pharyngitis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2021;3:CD004406. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD004406.pub5.
- 14. Munck H, Jørgensen AW, Klug TE. Antibiotics for recurrent acute pharyngo-tonsillitis: Systematic review. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2018;37:1221-30.
- 15. Bisno AL. Are cephalosporins superior to penicillin for treatment of acute streptococcal pharyngitis? Clin Infect Dis 2004;38:1535-7.
- Spinks A, Glasziou PP, Del Mar CB. Antibiotics for sore throat. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013;2013:CD000023. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000023.pub4.
- 17. Wong DM, Blumberg DA, Lowe LG. Guidelines for the use of antibiotics in acute upper respiratory tract infections. Am Fam Physician 2006;74:956-66.
- 18. Shulman ST, Bisno AL, Clegg HW, Gerber MA, Kaplan EL, Lee G, *et al.* Clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis and management of group A streptococcal pharyngitis: 2012 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 2012;55:1279-82.
- 19. ESCMID Sore Throat Guideline Group, Pelucchi C, Grigoryan L, Galeone C, Esposito S, Huovinen P, *et al.* Guideline for the management of acute sore throat. Clin Microbiol Infect 2012;18(Suppl 1):1-28.