Vaginal colonization and vertical transmission of Candida species: prevalence and associated factors among pregnant women and their neonates at public health facilities of Northeast Ethiopia

Alemu Gedefie^{1*}, Getnet Shimeles^{2,3}, Hilina Motbainor², Brhanu Kassanew⁴ and Chalachew Genet^{2,5}

Abstract

Background Vaginal colonization by Candida can lead to vulvovaginal candidiasis, which is the second most prevalent vaginal condition globally. It is frequently associated with sepsis and adverse neonatal outcomes in pregnant women. This issue is worsening in Sub-Saharan Africa, including Ethiopia. However, evidence of the existing problem is very scarce yet crucial. Thus, this study aimed to determine the vaginal colonization and vertical transmission of Candida species and their associated factors among pregnant women and their neonates in public health facilities of northeast Ethiopia.

Methods A facility-based cross-sectional study was conducted at selected public health facilities in Dessie town from April 1 to June 30, 2023, among 348 pregnant women and their newborns, using convenience sampling techniques. Socio-demographic, and clinical-related data were collected using a pre-tested, semi-structured guestionnaire. Vaginal swab samples from pregnant women and pooled swabs from the external ear, nasal area, and umbilical areas of the newborns were collected and transported using Amies transport media. The samples were inoculated into Sabouraud Dextrose Agar for isolation, followed by inoculation onto a standard CHROM agar Candida plate for species identification, and a germ-tube test confirmed pseudophyphae of *C.albicans*. Data was entered into Epi Data version 4.6.0 software and exported and analyzed by SPSS version 25.0. A stepwise logistic regression model was used to identify the associated factors. Variables with p < 0.05 and their 95% confidence interval were considered statistically significant.

Result A total of 348 pregnant women attending vaginal delivery were included in the study. The maternal and neonatal colonization rates of Candida species were 14.1% (49/348) and 6.3% (22/348), respectively. The overall proportion of vertical transmission of Candida species was 44.9% (22/49, 95% CI: 41.2, 49.7). Among Candida isolates, 63.3% (31/49) were C. albicans and 36.7% (18/49) were C. krusei. Gestational diabetes mellitus (AOR: 4.2, 95% CI:

*Correspondence: Alemu Gedefie alemugedefie@gmail.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creati vecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.



Open Access

(2025) 25:22

1.23–38.6, P = 0.047) and HIV (AOR: 1.58, 95% CI: 1.11–6.12, P = 0.049) were independently associated with maternal colonization of *Candida* species. Moreover, rural residence (AOR = 3.6, 95% CI: 1.37–9.5, P = 0.010) and maternal age above 28 years (AOR = 2.39, 95% CI: 1.97–5.89, P = 0.048) were independently associated with vertical transmission of *Candida* species.

Conclusion The findings of this study highlight the need for effective screening and treatment of *Candida* colonization during antenatal care.

Keywords Candida, Ethiopia, Neonatal colonization, Pregnant women, Vaginal colonization, Vertical Transmission

Introduction

Candida is an opportunistic fungal pathogen that comprises over 350 heterogeneous species, but only a small number have been linked to human disease [1]. *Candida albicans* (*C. albicans*) is the most common fungal pathogen, accounting for 90% of candidiasis cases, while *Candida glabrata* (*C. glabrata*) is responsible for the majority of the remaining instances [2]. *Candida* is the most common pathogen causing fungal cervicovaginal infections in pregnant women, affecting up to 30% of them, with a potential risk of reaching 50% in the third trimester [3].

Pregnancy alters the vaginal environment and raises the risk of candidiasis due to elevated levels of progesterone and estrogen hormones. Thus, maternal vaginal colonization by Candida can lead to vulvovaginal candidiasis (VVC), which is a major risk factor for Candida colonization of the newborn. Thus, vertical transmission of Candida can occur as a result of contamination of neonates when mothers with vaginal Candida colonization give birth as well as through contact with medical staff within the first days of life [4, 5]. These fungal cervicovaginal infections can lead to common complications such as endometritis, intrauterine infection, and chorioamnionitis [6]. The chance of spontaneous preterm birth (PTB) and late miscarriage due to candidiasis in women with asymptomatic vaginal thrush is still under study. Moreover, evidence has shown the risk of infant transmission during childbirth among women with debilitating Can*dida* infections [7]. Along with unfavorable pregnancy outcomes such as preterm labor and chorioamnionitis, premature rupture of membranes is another concern. On the other hand, congenital cutaneous infections have been documented for decades as uncommon occurrences throughout pregnancy. Preterm births occurred more frequently in women with untreated asymptomatic candidiasis compared to those without the condition [8-10].

Vaginal colonization of *Candida* is typically unrecognized or asymptomatic, but it leads to an imbalance in the vaginal microbiome and overgrowth of yeasts in the vaginal mucus membrane. This can further result in vulvovaginal candidiasis (VVC), which is characterized by symptoms such as burning, itching, painful urination, vaginal discharge, and an inflammatory immune response. VVC progresses through multiple stages of development: the fungal attachment to epithelial cells, invasion, biofilm formation, and release of virulence factors. Approximately 75% of women will experience VVC at some point in their life; globally, 8% will experience VVC on a recurrent basis. VVC is a common form of vaginitis [11-13].

The risk of VVC is increased not only by the pregnancy condition itself but also by the co-existence of comorbidities such as diabetes, immunosuppression, HIV infection, contraception, and antibiotic use, including corticosteroid medications. Furthermore, pregnancy-related factors such as decreased cell-mediated immunity, elevated vaginal mucosal glycogen synthesis, and elevated estrogen levels will result in both asymptomatic colonization and a higher risk of VVC [14, 15]. Therefore, early detection and management of VVC during pregnancy are essential to prevent unwanted pregnancy outcomes [16].

According to recent evidence, approximately 75% of women have had at least one episode of VVC, with recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis (RVVC) occurring in 5-10% of cases. Additionally, an estimated 138 million women are affected by RVVC annually worldwide [12, 17]. This condition also imposes a significant healthcare cost burden and productivity risks, with the problem worsening in low- and middle-income countries, including Ethiopia. However, the scarcity of data on Candida infections among pregnant women in Ethiopia, especially in the context of vaginal colonization and vertical transmission, hampers effective interventions to address associated risks. Understanding the prevalence and factors contributing to Candida colonization is crucial for developing targeted screening and management strategies to safeguard the health of pregnant women and their neonates in the region. Therefore, comprehensive data on maternal vaginal *Candida* colonization and its correlation with vertical transmission to neonates are important in light of the lack of available evidence to make informed decisions and provide evidence-based public health interventions. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first of its kind in Ethiopia. Thus, the aim of the present study was to determine the magnitude of vaginal colonization, vertical transmission rate, and associated factors of Candida species among pregnant women and their neonates at public health facilities in northeastern Ethiopia.

Methods and materials

Study area, design and period

This facility-based cross-sectional study was conducted from April 1 to June 30, 2023 in public health facilities in Dessie town, located 401 km from Addis Ababa, Ethiopia's capital. The total population of the town is estimated to be 257,126; 49.5% are females and 23.47% are of reproductive age. Dessie town has seven public health centers and one specialized hospital, Dessie Comprehensive Specialized Hospital (DCSH), which provides different healthcare services for eastern-Amhara and Afar. DCSH has a total of 707 healthcare workers, of whom 79 work in the obstetrics and gynecology ward. Likewise, Dessie Health Center (DHC) obstetrics and gynecology ward has five healthcare workers, including MSc, BSc, and diploma midwives. According to the 2022 annual report of Dessie town Health Department, 13,177 (661 from DHC and 12446 from DCSH) delivery services were documented while other health facilities have reported low delivery service compared to DHC and DCSH. Thus, DCSH and DHC were selected for this study based on their high client flow for delivery services.

Population and eligibility

Among pregnant women who were attending their antenatal care (ANC) service in the selected health facilities, those who were admitted at DCSH and DHC for vaginal delivery service and their newborns were considered eligible for inclusion in the study. Furthermore, pregnant women who were taking antimicrobial drugs within two weeks prior to recruitment to the study and those who gave birth via cesarean-section delivery were excluded. Neonates were included in this study to assess the burden of maternal to neonatal transmission of *Candida* species, and to assess the association between maternal vaginal colonization and vertical transmission of *Candida* species.

Sample size determination and sampling technique

The sample size was determined using a single population proportion formula considering 25% prevalence of *Candida* species reported from pregnant women in Debre Markos [15], a 0.05 margin of error (d), and a 95% confidence interval.

- $n = \frac{(Za/2)2(P(1-P))}{d2}$
- Where n = minimum sample size required for the study; Z^{a/2} =1.96, (95% confidence interval), P = proportion of the problem (0.25), and d = margin of error (5%).

•
$$n = \frac{(1.96)2(0.25(1-0.25))}{(0.05)2} = \frac{0.7203}{0.0025} = 288$$

Considering a 10% non-response rate, the minimum sample size was 317, which was proportionally allocated into DCSH and DHC based on their last year's delivery service performance in March, April and May, 2022. However, to increase the generalizability of the findings we used 348 pregnant women and their newborn pairs in the final analysis considering the proportional allocation based on the available resources to undertake the laboratory investigation. A convenience sampling technique was used to select the study participants.

Study variables

The outcome variables of this study were vaginal colonization (categorized as 1 =Yes and 0 =No) and vertical transmission of Candida species (categorized as 1 = Yes and 0=No). Additionally, socio-demographic characteristics (age, residence, marital status, educational status, occupation, source of drinking water, domestic animal in the house, sex and weight of the newborn, 5-minute APGAR score, and status of newborn at delivery), obstetrics-related characteristics (gestational age, number of current ANC visits, history of antibiotic use during the current pregnancy, current gestational hyperbaton, current gestational DM, type of gravida, history of abortion, history of stillbirth, premature rupture of membrane, duration of labor, fever during labor, and meconium stained amniotic fluid) and clinical characteristics (history of hospitalization in the past 3 months, HIV status, syphilis status, history of urinary tract infection (UTI) at current pregnancy, presence of vaginal discharge, and history of sexually transmitted infection at current pregnancy) were considered as explanatory variables.

Data collection techniques and procedure

Socio-demographic and clinical data collection Sociodemographic, obstetric, and clinically related data from pregnant women and their newborns were collected using a pre-tested semi-structured questionnaire through faceto-face interviews by attending midwives. This was complemented with a medical record review after obtaining informed consent and assent (Supplementary File 1). Data regarding HIV and syphilis status were obtained from the women's follow-up medical records.

Specimen collection and transportation Swab samples were taken from the lower vagina region of pregnant mothers during labor by the attending midwife using a sterile cotton swab (JL180201, JOYMED, China) in accordance with the recommendations of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) [18] and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists [19]. The swab was carefully inserted into the vagina,

avoiding contamination from the cervical mucus. Then, it was gently pressed against the vaginal walls and rotated to ensure a thorough coating. The midwives exercised caution in removing the swab to avoid contact with the skin and the anal area. Similarly, pooled swabs from the external ear, nasal area, and umbilical areas of the newborn were collected within 15 min after delivery to asses vertical transmission. Both swabs were placed in Amies transport medium without charcoal (CM0425, OXOID, UK) and transported in a cold temperature of 4–8 °C within 4 h to the microbiology laboratory of the Amhara Public Health Institute (APHI) Dessie branch for processing.

Specimen processing and identification A swab was inoculated on Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SDA) (CM0041, Oxoid, UK), and incubated at 37 °C for 24 to 48 h. After growth was observed on SDA, white, creamy colonies were identified and streaked on a CHROM agar Candida plate (BBL257480, BD Company, Belgium), which was incubated at 37 °C for 48 h. Different Candida species were identified based on the reaction between their specific enzymes and a chromogenic substrate, resulting in the formation of different colored colonies. Green colonies were identified as C. albicans and pink colonies with a whitish border were identified as C. krusei [20]. Furthermore, a germ-tube test was performed to differentiate C. albicans from nonalbicans Candida species. A single pure colony was mixed with 0.5 ml of calf serum and incubated at 37 °C for 2 to 4 h. A drop of serum was transferred to a microscopic slide followed by covering with a coverslip, and it was examined microscopically under 10X and 40X objectives using Olympus CX23 binocular microscope. Finally, the presence of a short filamentous structure (hyphae) extending laterally from the yeast cells with no constriction at the point of origin was considered a positive germ tube and the yeast was identified as C. albicans. A negative germ tube result was noted when there was no filamentous structure (hyphae) arising from the yeast cells, or when short hyphal extensions with constriction at the point of origin were present, identifying the yeast as C. krusei [21].

Confirmation of identified *Candida* **species** green-colonies on CHROM agar and positive for germ tube were identified as *C. albicans* while pink and spreading colonies were identified as *C. krusei*.

Operational definition

 CandidaColonization: Is defined as the presence of any Candida species isolated from vaginal swab cultures of pregnant women, regardless of symptoms. • Vertical Transmission: Is defined as the detection/ isolation of the identical *Candida* species both in neonates and the mothers' vaginal cultures obtained during pregnancy in cases of vaginal delivery.

Quality assurance

All quality control measures were conducted, starting from the development of a semi-structured questionnaire in the English language and translating it into the local language (Amharic). Then, it was translated back to the English language to assure consistency followed by a pretest on 5% of the total sample size at Kombolcha General Hospital, and modifications to questions were made accordingly. Additionally, half-day training was given to the data collectors. Furthermore, content and face validity were performed by field experts. Standard operating procedures and the manufacturer's instructions for media preparation and handling were strictly followed during specimen collection, transportation, and processing. The collected data were checked daily for consistency and accuracy. A swab specimen not labeled, delayed beyond 24 h, or not transported at the appropriate temperature was rejected. The sterility of culture media was checked by incubating 5% of the media without inoculation [22]. Moreover, visual inspections were applied to prepare and store media for holes, uneven filling, signs of freezing, bubbles, and corrosion.

Data processing and statistical analysis

The data were coded and entered into Epi Data version 4.6.0 software and exported and analyzed by SPSS version 25.0 (IMB, USA). Descriptive statistics were computed to describe relevant variables and presented in tables and figures. The reliability coefficient was checked using Cronbach's alpha (p = 0.877). Bi-variable logistic regression analysis was performed to identify the possible associated factors with outcome variables. Then, variables with a p-value ≤ 0.3 were further entered into the multivariable analysis. The Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness of fit test was computed, and indicated a good fitting model for analysis(P > 0.05). Finally, variables with an adjusted odd ratio and p-value less than 0.05 with a 95% CI were considered statistically significant.

Ethical considerations

The study was ethically approved by the Bahir Dar University College of Medicine and Health Science Ethical Review Board (Protocol number 749/2023). After explaining the purpose of the study, DCSH and DHC granted a permission letter. Written informed consent was obtained from the pregnant mother along with assents for the newborns, prior to the commencement of the study. This included an explanation of the purpose, the benefits, and the possible risks of the study. To

maintain confidentiality, personal identifiers were utilized, and data were retrieved only for the purpose of the study. For each confirmed positive result, the clinician responsible for the participant was informed to treat the positive cases based on the findings using an appropriate treatment protocol.

Result

Socio-demographic and clinical characteristics of pregnant women

A total of 348 pregnant women attending vaginal delivery were included in this study. The age of the mothers ranged from 19 to 39 years, with a median and interquartile range of 28.1 ± 5.08 years. More than half (54.9%, 191/348) were aged 28 or younger, 263/348 (75.6%) were urban dwellers, 311/348 (89.4%) were married, 123/348 (35.3%) had a high-grade education level, 225/348 (64.7%) were housewives, 302/348 (86.8%) used public tap water as a source of drinking water, and 271/348 (77.9%) had no domestic animals in their households. Regarding the clinical characteristics, 21/348 (6.0%), 14/348 (4%), 10/348 (2.9%), and 48/348 (13.8%) pregnant women had a history of hospitalization in the past 3 months, were positive for HIV, positive for syphilis, and had a history of UTI during the current pregnancy, respectively (Table 1).

Obstetrics-related characteristics and vaginal colonization of *Candida*

Of 348 women, 50 (14.4%) delivered before 37 weeks of gestation; 29 (8.3%) and 19 (5.5%) had a history of abortion and stillbirth in their respective orders. Of the total pregnant women, 36 (10.3%) and 23(6.6%) had experienced PROM and meconium-stained amniotic fluid during delivery, respectively. The percentage of *Candida species* colonization among pregnant women was 30% (3/10), 50.0% (2/4), and 20.9% (9/43), respectively among women with current gestational hyperbaton, gestational DM, and fever during labor (Table 2).

Socio-demographic characteristics and *Candida* colonization of newborns

Of the 348 newborns, 182 (52.3%) were female, and 56 (16.1%) had low birth weight (\leq 2500 g). The percentage of *Candida* species colonization was higher among those with < 2.5 kg birth weights (7/56, 12.5%) vs. > 2.5 kg (15/292, 5.1%), and 5/31(16.1%) had an APGAR score of <7. Moreover, newborns who had a *Candida* species colonization were alive (Table 3).

Vaginal colonization and associated factors of *Candida* species among pregnant women

The magnitude of maternal colonization of *Candida* species was 14.1% (n = 49; 95% CI: 10.8, 18.2%). Only two *Candida* spp. namely, *C. albicans* and *C. krusei* were

detected in the present study with 63.3% and 36.7%, respective prevalence rates among the culture positive women (Fig-1).

The proportion of vaginal colonization of Candida species among pregnant women with a history of current gestational HTN was 30% (3/10). Similarly, based on residence the proportion of colonization rate was 13.3% and 16.5%, respectively among urban and rural resident women. Of the pregnant women with vaginal colonization of Candida species, 18.8% had a history of stillbirth and 8.3% had a history of abortion. Furthermore, bivariate and multivariable analyses were performed to identify the factors associated with vaginal colonization of Candida species. In bivariate analysis, only one variable i.e., HIV status was associated with vaginal colonization. However, after computing six factors (such as age group, current gestational HTN, type of gravida, fever during labor, HIV status and gestational DM) with a P-value less than 0.3, gestational DM (AOR: 4.2, 95% CI: 1.23-38.6, P=0.047) and HIV (AOR: 1.58, 95% CI: 1.11-6.12, P = 0.049) showed statistically significant association with maternal Candida colonization. The likelihood of vaginal colonization with Candida spp. among HIV-positive pregnant women was 1.58 times higher than HIV-negative women. Likewise, the odds of Candida spp. vaginal colonization among pregnant women with gestational DM was 4.2 times higher than those without gestational DM (Table 4).

Vertical transmission and associated factors of *Candida* species among newborns

The magnitude of neonatal colonization of Candida species was 6.3% (*n* = 22; 95% CI: 25.34.2, 9.4%). The overall proportion of vertical transmission of *Candida* spp. was 44.9% (22/49, 95% CI: 41.2, 49.7). The Pearson correlation coefficient (r) showed a positive association between maternal colonization and the vertical transmission rates of *Candida* spp. with r > 0.50 and P < 0.001. Maternal age group, residence, educational status, type of gravida, weight of newborns, gestational age, number of ANC visits and 5-minute APGAR score were computed in multivariable logistic regression analysis. Finally, only maternal age and residence were significantly associated with the vertical transmission of Candida spp. The likelihood of *Candida* spp. vertical transmission to newborns was 3.6 times (AOR = 3.6, 95% CI: 1.37-9.5, P=0.010) higher for those newborns delivered by a pregnant mother whose age was > 28 years old. On the other hand, the odds of Candida spp. vertical transmission were 2.39 times (AOR = 2.39, 95% CI: 1.97-5.89, P=0.048) higher for those newborns born in rural areas when compared to their counterparts (Table 5).

Pathogens that colonize the vagina during pregnancy can affect the female reproductive tract, leading to

Table 1	Socio-demographic and clinical characteristics of pregnant women attending public health facilities in Dessie town,
Northeas	st Ethiopia, 2023 (N=348)

Variables	Category	Frequency (<i>N</i>)	Percent (%)
Health facility	DCSH	255	73.3
	DHC	93	26.7
Age	≤ 28yrs	191	54.9
	> 28yrs	157	45.1
Residence	Urban	263	75.6
	Rural	85	24.4
Marital status	Single	19	5.5
	Married	211	60.6
	Divorced	14	4.0
	Widowed	4	1.1
Educational status	Unable to read & write	27	7.8
	Primary	95	27.3
	Secondary	103	29.6
	Tertiary	123	35.3
Occupation	Civil servant	40	11.5
	Student	14	4.0
	Farmer	18	5.2
	House wife	225	64.7
	Merchant	36	10.3
	Daily labor	15	4.3
Source of drinking water	Public tape water	302	86.8
	Spring tape water	21	6.0
	Private tape water	25	7.2
Domestic animal in	Yes	77	22.1
the house	No	271	77.9
History of hospitalization	Yes	21	6.0
	No	327	94.0
HIV status	Yes	14	4.0
	No	334	96.0
Syphilis status	Yes	10	2.9
	No	338	97.1
History of UTI at current pregnancy	Yes	48	13.8
	No	300	86.2
Vaginal discharge	Yes	3	0.9
	No	345	99.1
History of STI	Yes	8	2.3
	No	340	97.7

Key: DCSH: Dessie Comprehensive Specialized Hospital, DHC: Dessie Health Center, UTI: Urinary Tract Infection, STI: Sexually Transmitted Infection, and HIV: Human Immune deficiency Virus

vaginal discharge and related complications. Therefore, early detection of maternal vaginal colonization with *Candida* species plays a significant role in preventing neonatal morbidity and mortality. In the present study, the maternal vaginal colonization of *Candida* species was 14.1% (95% CI: 10.8%, 19.1%), which was higher than the study conducted in Iran (9.8%) [23]. Although we identified the species of *Candida*, their proportions were determined from the total species, which is unlikely to align with the findings of Iran, where the colonization rate was due to *C. albicans* only. This methodological approach could explain the discrepancy. Furthermore,

the prevalence of *Candida* among pregnant women in this study was lower than findings reported as 43.05% from S. Africa [24], 72.37% from India [25], 30% from Nigeria [26] and 42.7% from Kenya [27] as well as 27.9%, 28.1% and 38.2% *Candida* colonization using wet mount, microscopy of Gram-stain smears and qPCR, respectively in Democratic Republic of Congo [28]. This discrepancy might be associated with the difference in immune status of women included in the study, awareness, hygienic practices and the presence of underlying comorbidities such as DM and HIV.

Table 2	Obstetrics-related characteristics and colonization of Candida species among pregnant women	in Public Health	Facilities of
Dessie Te	own, Northeast Ethiopia, 2023		

Variables	Category	Number of pregnant women, N (%)	Mothers culture positives, N (%)
Gestational age	< 37	50(14.4)	5(10)
Gestational age	≥37	298(85.6)	44(14.8)
Number of ANC visit	<4	115(33)	14(12.2)
	≥4	233(67)	35(15.0)
History of antibiotic use during the current pregnancy	Yes	18(5.2)	0(0)
	No	330(94.8)	49(14.8)
Current gestational hyperbaton	Yes	10(2.9)	3(30.0)
	No	338(97.1)	46(13.6)
Current gestational DM	Yes	4(11)	2(50.0)
	No	344(98.9)	47(13.7)
Type of gravida	Primigravida	108(31.0)	12(11.1)
	Multigravida	240(69.0)	37(15.4)
History of abortion	Yes	29(8.3)	2(8.3)
	No	319(91.7)	47(14.5)
History of stillbirth	Yes	19(5.5)	3(18.8)
	No	329(94.5)	46(13.9)
PROM	Yes	36(10.3)	8(18.6)
	No	312(89.7)	41(13.4)
Duration of labor (in hour)	≤12	202(58.0)	26(12.9)
	>12	146(42.0)	23(15.8)
Fever during labor	Yes	43(12.4)	9(20.9)
	No	305(87.6)	40(13.1)
Meconium-stained amniotic fluid	Yes	23(6.6)	2(8.7)
	No	325(93.4)	47(14.5)

Key: ANC: Ante-natal care, DM: Diabetes mellitus, PROM: Premature rupture of membrane

Table 3 Socio-demographic characteristics and colonization of *Candida* species among newborns in Public Health Facilities in Dessie town, Northeast Ethiopia, 2023

Variable	Category	Frequency of newborns, N(%)	Fungal culture positive Newborns, N(%)
Sex of newborn	Male	166 (47.7)	13(7.8)
	Female	182 (52.3)	9(4.9)
Weight of newborns (Grams)	< 2500	56 (16.1)	7(12.5)
	≥2500	292 (83.9)	15(5.1)
5th minute APGAR score	<7	31 (8.9)	5(16.1)
	7–10	317 (91.1)	17(5.4)
Status of newborn at birth	Alive	346 (99.4)	22(6.4)
	Dead	2 (0.6)	0(0.0)

APGAR: appearance, pulse, grimace, activity, respiration

In the current study, the prevalence of *C. albicans* was 63.3%, consistent with previous evidence that *C. albicans* is the most frequent causative agent of vaginal *Candida* infection [24, 29–31]. However, this finding contradicts other studies where non-*albicans Candida* are the predominant yeasts causing vaginal infections [25, 27, 29, 32]. This inconsistency could be attributed to variations in geographic areas or settings related to growth factors such as climatic factors and hygienic practices; the immune response of infected individuals; differences in the presence and type of comorbidities; and methodological issues in studies. Moreover, the present study showed the importance of considering non-albicans

Candida during the management of candidiasis. Thus, the prevalence of *C. krusei* (36.7%) implies the need for proper selection of antifungal drugs, as the resistance level of this species is high. This also indicates the need to use alternative antifungal agents; the possibility of recurrent infections; and the potential impacts on pregnancy, including preterm labor. Additionally, it has significant public health implications, like the potentially increased rate of nosocomial infections, the risk of spread in the community, the need for public health surveillance and strengthening of infection control practices [33].

The overall magnitude of vertical transmission of *Candida* species was 44.9% (22/49, 95% CI: 41.2, 49.7). This



Fig. 1 Vaginal colonization of Candida species among pregnant women in public health facilities in Dessie town, Northeast Ethiopia, 2023

finding implies that for every ten neonates, at least four neonates can develop vertically transmitted *Candida* infection. Therefore, efforts must be made to enhance the surveillance system and strengthen infection control practices. These activities are critical to mitigating the impacts of vertical transmission of *Candida* and ensuring effective intervention strategies in clinical areas. Moreover, this finding highlights the need for a coordinated multi-sectoral collaboration to improve maternal and child health, as well as the need to establish and improve diagnostic facilities and microbiology laboratories.

Furthermore, there was a strong positive association between maternal vaginal colonization and overall vertical transmission of *Candida* species (r = 0.64, P < 0.001). This finding provides significant insight into the dynamics of *Candida* transmission, suggesting maternal vaginal colonization significantly increases the risk of neonates acquiring *Candida* species. Thus, maternal vaginal colonization is a strong predictor of vertical transmission. Therefore, neonatal health and the risk of vertical transmission can be mitigated through appropriate management and monitoring of maternal vaginal colonization, requiring tailored interventions to prevent neonatal *Candida* infection.

Recognizing the risk factors for vaginal colonization in pregnant women and vertical transmission to newborns is crucial for lowering the morbidity and mortality associated with vaginal candidiasis. In the current study, most of the women who tested positive for *Candida* were HIV-positive. This finding was supported by studies conducted in Nigeria [34, 35], Brazil [36], and Tanzania [37]. Despite the complex interaction between HIV status and the vaginal colonization of *Candida*, HIV status is an independent factor affecting the *Candida* colonization rate among pregnant women. This could be due to the fact that immunosuppression increases a woman's vulnerability to *Candida* overgrowth and fungal infection, and is strongly correlated [35] with reduced cellmediated immunity [38, 39]. Thus, HIV infection is the major factor contributing to the development of vaginal candidiasis; the pathogenesis is controlled by proteinase activity, which increases in women living with HIV, making her more vulnerable than HIV-negative women [39]. Furthermore, a pregnant woman's immune system is likely to be weakened by the stress of pregnancy combined with HIV infection, making them more susceptible to *Candida* infections. While vaginal candidiasis has been linked to a common pregnancy infection [40], it is more frequent in pregnant women living with HIV.

The association between diabetes mellitus and vaginal colonization of Candida observed in the present study was supported by existing evidence [41]. This could be due to several underlying mechanisms. Hyperglycemia in the mucus membrane favors the rapid growth of yeast and leads to structural and functional changes in the vaginal epithelium that promote vaginal colonization [42]. The likelihood of *Candida* colonization can be enhanced through glucosuria, which provides nutrients and exacerbates the virulence of Candida [43]. The hormonal changes such as higher progesterone and estrogen levels during pregnancy, increase vulnerability to vulvovaginal candidiasis [44]. Additionally, high glucose levels can impair neutrophil function, leaving the Candida unphagocytosed. Likewise, the synthesis of antimicrobial peptides decreases, exacerbating susceptibility [45]. Furthermore, alteration of the vaginal microbiome in women with diabetes leads to a decrease in beneficial pathogens such as lactobacilli with an increase in pathogenic organisms as a result of the impairment of the host's first line of defense against invading pathogens [46, 47].

In the current study, the likelihood of vertical transmission of *Candida* species was nearly 2.4 times (AOR = 2.39,

o viene		Lottow
023	tors associated with vaginal colonization of Candida species in public health facilities of Dessie town, Northeast Ethiopia	Table 4 E

Yer, n (w) No. (w)							
Age (real) 5.28 31,200 1688.00 1		Yes, n (%)	No, n (%)				
> 28 26(6) 13183 1.460.73-266 0.23 1390.73-256 0.23 1390.73-256 0.23 1390.73-256 0.23 1390.73-256 0.467 1390.73-256 <	≤ 28	23(12.0)	168(88.0)	1		-	
Relation Utan 35(13) 228(6.7) 1 Relation Urable to read and write 4(6.5) 7((8.2) 128(0.62.2) 0.46 Elocational status Urable to read and write 4(6.5) 7((8.2) 128(0.62.2) 0.93 Elocational status Elocational status 13(6.5) 863(7.4) 0.00(42.2) 0.93 Gestational age (week) -3.7 4(1.6) 7(6.5) 863(7.6) 0.03 0.45 Gestational age (week) -3.7 4(1.6) 7(6.5) 863(7.6) 0.47 0.47 Mumber of ANC visit -3.7 4(1.0) 7(7.6) 0.89 0.47 0.47 Current gestational HTN - -3 5(1.0) 7(7.6) 0.88 0.15 0.47 Under of ANC visit - - - 4(1.2) 2.38 0.47 110.45 2.58 11.16.45 16.62.7 0.47 110.45 2.56 11.16.45 16.62.7 13.1 110.45 2.56 11.10.45 2.56 11.10.45<	> 28	26(16.6)	131(83.4)	1.45(0.79–2.66)	0.23	1.39(0.75–2.56)	0.291
Binal Id(6) 7(835) 12806-2.23 0.667 Educational status Elementary 12(12) 883.43 12(04-38) 0.803 Elementary 12(12) 883.43 12(04-33) 0.803 Elementary 12(12) 883.43 12(04-33) 0.803 Gestational Age (week) <37	Urban	35(13.3)	228(86.7)	-			
Educations fatus Unside to read and write $4(14)$ $2362,31$ $120,4-30$ 0803 Fernitary 17(12) $8673,71$ $120,4-30$ 0803 Fernitary 17(12) $8683,71$ $130,6-2,71$ 0394 Gestational date (week) <37 $471,00$ $1673,00$ $100,640,24-1,7$ 0373 Gestational date (week) <37 $471,00$ $2458,22$ 1 0473 Number of ANC visit <4 $14(12,2)$ $0560,24-1,7$ 0373 0473 Current gestational HTN <4 $14(12,2)$ $100,82,64,17$ 0473 0473 View $33(15,0)$ $700,00$ $770,00$ $110,267-209$ $110,42-269$ Interrot gestational HTN No $46(13,0)$ $23(13,0)$ $210,24-1,27$ 0473 View $33(15,0)$ $770,00$ $210,24-1,27$ $23(0,2-11,31)$ $110,46-2,259$ View $23(13,0)$ $23(13,0)$ $23(13,0)$ $210,24-1,259$ 0469 Interory of statil birth <td>Rural</td> <td>14(16.5)</td> <td>71(83.5)</td> <td>1.28(0.65–2.52)</td> <td>0.467</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Rural	14(16.5)	71(83.5)	1.28(0.65–2.52)	0.467		
Elementary 12(12.6) 33(87.4) 0.014-2.2) 0.934 Generatiny 17(16.5) 36(87.5) 1.3(0.6-2.7) 0.439 Hoynade 16(13.00) 107(87.3) 1.3(0.6-2.7) 0.439 Mumber of ANC visit <37	tus Unable to rea	d and write 4(14.8)	23(85.2)	1.2(0.4–3.8)	0.803		
Seconday 17(6.5) 66(3.5) 13(6-2.7) 0.459 Hign gade 16(1.20) 16(3.20) 10(6-2.7) 0.459 Hign gade 16(1.20) 16(3.20) 10(6.2-1.7) 0.459 Number of MX vist > 37 4(1.43) 2.45(55.2) 1 0.370 Number of MX vist > 4 310(0.0) 45(0.0) 0.4(0.24-1.5) 0.473 Number of MX vist > 4 310(0.0) 19(187.2) 0.473 0.473 Number of MX vist > 4 310(0.0) 7/0.00 27(0.57-10.39) 0.122 2.800.711.3 Unrest of MX vist Ningravida 12(1.1) 96(88) 1 0.28 1 Urrest of abortion Ningravida 12(1.1) 96(88) 1 0.28 1 History of abortion Nin 13(31.2) 13(31.2) 1.402 1 1.06-5.23 0.409 History of abortion Nin 26(3.9) 1.2(1.1) 0.468 0.360.73 0.409 0.460.73 0.409 0.4	Elementary	12(12.6)	83(87.4)	0.9(0.4–2.2)	0.934		
High gade 16(3.0) 107(87.0) 1 Gestational age (week) 2.37 4(1.0) $2.5(85.2)$ 1 Number of NUC visit 2.37 4(1.0) $2.5(85.2)$ 1 0.373 Number of NUC visit 2.4 $3.5(1.5)$ $0.866.5.0$ $1.640.24-1.5$ 0.473 Current gestational HN Yes $3.5(1.5)$ $0.866.5.0$ $1.640.24-1.5$ 0.473 Unmber of ANC visit 2.4 $3.5(1.5)$ $0.866.5.0$ $1.640.24-1.5$ 0.473 Unment gestational HN No $46(1.3.5)$ $2.328.6.0.1$ $0.260.2+1.3$ $0.376.2-1.05$ Up eof gavida $1.2(1.1)$ $96(88.9)$ $1.2(0.2-1.28.9)$ $0.475.2.65$ History of still birth Yes $2.88.3$ $1.4(0.4-5.2.5)$ $0.475.2.65$ History of still birth Yes $2.88.3$ $1.4(0.4-5.2.5)$ $0.40.9$ Fore of unm still reg as i 3.001.0 $1.7(0.4-3.3.9)$ $0.40.9$ $1.10.4-5.2.5$ $0.40.9$ Fore of unm still reg as i 3.001.0 $1.766.7-1.39$ $0.40.9$ <td>Secondary</td> <td>17(16.5)</td> <td>86(83.5)</td> <td>1.3(0.6–2.7)</td> <td>0.459</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Secondary	17(16.5)	86(83.5)	1.3(0.6–2.7)	0.459		
Gestational age (week) < 37 $5(00)$ $45(90.2)$ $0.64(0.24-1.7)$ 0.373 Number of ANC visit < 4 $1(1.2)$ $10(87.50)$ $0.64(0.24-1.5)$ 0.473 Number of ANC visit < 4 $31(5.0)$ $10(87.63)$ 0.7200 0.122 $2800-11.3$ Unrent gestational HTN N N < 4 $31(5.0)$ $10(87.63)$ 0.122 $2800-11.3$ Upe of gravida T/TO 8880.0 $12(11.1)$ 9688.9 1 1 1 History of abortion Yes $21(3.1)$ 9688.9 1 $1.0245-265$ $1.0045-226$ History of still birth No $21(3.1)$ 20284.6 1 $1.0045-226$ History of still birth Yes $21(3.3)$ $223(3.1)$ $1.4(0.45-2.3)$ $0.1024-2.465$ History of still birth Yes $21(3.3)$ $223(3.6,0.1$ $1.10(-45-2.5)$ 0.402 History of still birth Yes $21(3.3)$ $223(8.6,0.1$ $1.10(-45-2.5)$ 0.102 <tr< td=""><td>High grade</td><td>16(13.0)</td><td>107(87.0)</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>	High grade	16(13.0)	107(87.0)	-			
> 37 44(48) 2485.2 1 Number of ANC visit < 4 14(12.2) 10(87.8) 0.780.415 0.473 Current gestational HN Yes 33(15.0) 198(85.0) 1 0.473 28(0.7-11.3) Type of gestational HN Yes 33(15.0) 7000 2.7(6.57-10.89) 0.152 2.8(0.7-11.3) Type of gestational HN Yes 33(15.0) 7000 2.7(6.57-10.89) 0.152 2.8(0.7-11.3) Type of gravida Nin 2.7(14.5) 2.92(8.4) 1 0.27 1 History of still birth Yes 2.8(3.3) 2.3(9.17) 0.368 1 1 History of still birth Yes 2.8(3.3) 2.77(8.5.5) 1 0.409 PROM No 47(14.5) 2.77(8.5.5) 1.4(0.4-5.2) 0.58 History of still birth No Yes 2.8(13.9) 2.77(8.5.5) 1.1(0.45-2.55) Fever during isbor Yes 2.8(13.9) 2.77(8.5.5) 1.4(0.4-5.2) 0.58 <t< td=""><td>: (week) < 37</td><td>5(10.0)</td><td>45(90.0)</td><td>0.64(0.24–1.7)</td><td>0.373</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	: (week) < 37	5(10.0)	45(90.0)	0.64(0.24–1.7)	0.373		
Number of ANC visit < 4 $ 4(122)$ $ 0 (87,8)$ $0.78(0,4-15)$ 0.473 Current gestational HIN Yes $35(150)$ $198(85,0)$ 1 $22(0,0-10,89)$ 0.12 $28(0,-11,3)$ Current gestational HIN No $46(13,6)$ $227(6,5-10,89)$ 0.12 $28(0,-11,3)$ Type of gavida $27(14,1)$ $96(89)$ 1 0.287 1 1 Histoy of abortion No $46(13,6)$ $223(84,0)$ 1 0.287 1 1 Histoy of still birth Yes $2(33)$ $27(91,7)$ $238(4,0)$ 1 1 1 Histoy of still birth Yes $37(15,4)$ $233(86,6)$ 1 1 1 1 PloM No $4(13,9)$ $23(86,0)$ 1	≥ 37	44(14.8)	245(85.2)	-			
24 $35(1,0)$ $188(5,0)$ 1 Current gertational HN Ves $3(3,0)$ $7(0,0)$ $2.7(0,5)$ $2.8(0,7-11,3)$ Type of gravida Pinnigarvida $1.2(11,1)$ $96(88,6)$ 1 1 Type of gravida Pinnigarvida $2.7(15,4)$ $2.03(8,46)$ $1.46(0,73-2,2)$ $2.8(0,7-11,3)$ History of abortion Ves $2.8(3,3)$ $2.7(15,4)$ $2.03(8,46)$ $1.46(0,73-2,2)$ $1.1(0,45-2,65)$ History of abortion Ves $2.8(3,3)$ $2.3(3,1,3)$ $2.3(3,1,3)$ 0.409 History of still birth Ves $2.(8,3)$ $2.3(3,1,3)$ 0.400 $1.1(0,45-2,3)$ 0.409 PROM Ves $3.7(15,4)$ $2.3(8,6)$ $1.4(0,4-5,2)$ 0.580 $1.1(0,45-2,3)$ 0.409 PROM Ves $3.7(13,9)$ $2.3(14,9)$ $1.4(0,4-5,2)$ 0.580 PROM Ves $3.7(13,0)$ $3.7(13,0)$ $3.7(13,0)$ $3.7(13,0)$ $3.7(13,0)$ Mond Ves $2.8(1,3)$	C visit < 4	14(12.2)	101(87.8)	0.78(0.4–1.5)	0.473		
Current gestational HN Yes $3(30)$ $7(700)$ $2.7(65^{-}10.89)$ 0.152 $2.8(0.7-11.3)$ Upe of gravida Mitigravida $12(11.1)$ $202(66.4)$ 1 0.23 Upe of gravida Mitigravida $37(15.4)$ $203(8.6)$ $1.460,73-2.92$ 0.152 $2.8(0.7-11.3)$ Hstory of abortion Yes $27(14.5)$ $23(8.3)$ $1.460,73-2.92$ 0.169 $1.1(0.45-2.65)$ Hstory of sull birth Yes $28(3.3)$ $1.460,73-2.92$ 0.409 $1.1(0.45-2.65)$ Hstory of sull birth Yes $28(3.3)$ $22(91.7)$ 0.569 $1.1(0.45-2.65)$ Hstory of sull birth Yes $28(6.1)$ $1.4(0.4-5.2)$ 0.584 $1.1(0.45-2.65)$ PROM Yes $8(61.3)$ $3(79.1)$ $1.3(6.7-3.3)$ 0.409 $1.1(0.45-2.65)$ PROM Yes $8(61.3)$ $3(79.1)$ $1.3(6.7-3.3)$ 0.286 $1.1(0.4-2.2)$ 0.584 PROM Yes $8(61.3)$ $3(79.1)$ $1.4(7-4.58)$ 0.172	4 ≤	35(15.0)	198(85.0)	-			
Type of gavida No $46(136)$ $22(864)$ 1 1 Type of gavida Multigavida 12(11) 96(88.9) 1 0.287 History of abortion Yes 28(31) 1.46(0.73-2.92) 0.409 History of still birth No $47(14.5)$ 23(31.4) 0.54(0.1-2.35) 0.409 History of still birth Yes 28(8.1) 1 1.4(0.4-5.2) 0.409 Filtory of still birth Yes 3(18.6) 3(18.6) 13(81.2) 1.4(0.4-5.2) 0.409 Fever during labor Yes 8(8.6) 1 1.4(0.4-5.2) 0.409 Fever during labor Yes 3(18.6) 3(18.6) 1.4(0.4-5.2) 0.409 Mecontum-stained annototic fluid Yes 9(20.9) 34(791) 1.7(0.64-339) 0.172 1.39(0.58-336 Fever during labor Yes 9(20.9) 34(791) 1.7(0.64-339) 0.172 1.39(0.58-336 Mecontum-stained annototic fluid Yes 2(81.3) 1.4(0.4-5.2) 0.469 1.460	onal HTN Yes	3(30.0)	7(70.0)	2.7(0.67-10.89)	0.152	2.8(0.7–11.3)	0.143
Type of gavida Primigravida 12(11.1) 96(88.9) 1 0.287 Hstory of abortion Yes 2(8.3) $37(15,4)$ $203(84.6)$ $1.46(0.73-232)$ 0.409 Hstory of abortion No $47(14.5)$ $277(85.7)$ 0.409 0.102 Hstory of abortion Yes $3(18,3)$ $13(812.5)$ $1.400-52.3$ 0.365 Hstory of still birth Yes $3(18,3)$ $13(812.5)$ $1.400-52.3$ 0.365 PROM Yes $3(18,3)$ $13(812.5)$ $1.400-52.3$ 0.365 PROM Yes $8(18.6)$ $35(81,4)$ $1.47(0.45-233)$ 0.365 Pronting labor Yes $9(20.9)$ $34(79.1)$ $1.47(0.4-3.3)$ 0.365 Mecontum-stained annotic fluid Yes $9(20.9)$ $34(79.1)$ $1.7(0.4-3.56)$ 0.172 $1.3(0.58-3.36)$ Mecontum-stained annotic fluid Yes $2(81.4)$ $1.47(0.4-3.56)$ 0.172 $1.3(0.58-3.36)$ Mecontum-stained annotic fluid Yes $2(81.3)$ <td< td=""><td>No</td><td>46(13.6)</td><td>292(86.4)</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>, –</td><td></td></td<>	No	46(13.6)	292(86.4)	-		, –	
Multigravida 37(15.4) 203(8.46) 1.46(0.73-2.92) 1.1(0.45-2.65) History of abortion Yes 2(8.3) 2/2(91.7) 0.54(0.1-2.35) 0.409 History of still birth Yes 2(8.3) 2/2(91.7) 0.54(0.1-2.35) 0.409 History of still birth Yes 3(18.8) 13(81.2) 1.4(0.4-5.2) 0.564 PROM No 46(13.9) 28(8.4) 1.4(0.4-5.2) 0.564 PROM Yes 8(18.6) 1 1.4(0.4-5.2) 0.564 PROM No 41(13.4) 2.4(8.6.6) 1 1.3(0.58-3.36) Fever during labor Yes 9(20.9) 3.4(79.1) 1.75(0.78-3.39) 0.172 1.39(0.58-3.36) Meconium-stained anniotic fluid Yes 2(8.7) 2.4(8.6.6) 1 1.72(0.78-3.39) 0.172 1.39(0.58-3.36) Meconium-stained anniotic fluid Yes 2(8.7) 2.4(8.6.6) 1 1.73(0.78-3.39) 0.172 1.39(0.58-3.36) History of hospitalization in the past 3 months Yes 2(8.7)	Primigravida	12(11.1)	96(88.9)	-	0.287		
History of abortion Yes $2(8.3)$ $22(91.7)$ $0.54(0.1-2.35)$ 0.409 History of still birth Yes $37(4.5)$ 1 $277(8.55)$ 1 History of still birth Yes $3(8.3)$ $13(81.2)$ $1.4(0.4-5.2)$ 0.584 PROM Yes $8(8.6)$ 1 $1.4(0.4-5.2)$ 0.584 PROM Yes $8(18.6)$ $35(8.1)$ $1.47(0.64-3.39)$ 0.354 PROM Yes $8(18.6)$ $35(8(1.4)$ $1.47(0.64-3.39)$ 0.355 Fever during labor Yes $9(20.9)$ $34(79.1)$ $1.75(0.78-3.93)$ 0.172 $1.39(0.58-3.36)$ Meconium-stained amniotic fluid Yes $9(20.9)$ $34(79.1)$ $1.75(0.78-3.93)$ 0.172 $1.39(0.58-3.36)$ History of hospitalization in the past 3 months Yes $2(8.7)$ $21(9.1)$ $2.50.76(0.9)$ 0.172 $1.39(0.58-3.36)$ History of hospitalization in the past 3 months Yes $2(8.7)$ $21(9.1)$ $2.50.76(0.9)$ $0.50.74.56$ $0.50.74.56$	Multigravida	37(15.4)	203(84.6)	1.46(0.73–2.92)		1.1(0.45–2.65)	0.834
No $47(145)$ $277(85.5)$ 1 History of still birth Yes $3(18.9)$ $13(81.2)$ $14(0.4-5.2)$ 0.584 PROM No $46(13.9)$ $286(86.1)$ 1 $(14.5.2)$ 0.584 PROM Yes $8(18.6)$ $33(81.3)$ $14(0.4-5.2)$ 0.584 PROM Yes $8(18.6)$ $35(81.4)$ $147(0.64-3.39)$ 0.355 PROM Yes $8(18.6)$ $35(81.4)$ $147(0.64-3.39)$ 0.356 Fever during labor Yes $9(20.9)$ $34(79.1)$ $264(86.6)$ 1 $139(0.58-336)$ Meconium-stained anniotic fluid Yes $2(71.3)$ $265(86.9)$ $127(0.64-3.39)$ 0.172 $139(0.58-336)$ Meconium-stained anniotic fluid Yes $2(71.3)$ $265(86.9)$ $127(0.78-3.39)$ 0.172 $139(0.58-336)$ Meconium-stained anniotic fluid Yes $2(71.3)$ $216(86.2)$ $117(81.0)$ $126(0.74-3.58)$ 0.502 HV status No No $21(4.9)$	tion Yes	2(8.3)	22(91.7)	0.54(0.1–2.35)	0.409		
History of still birth Yes $3(18.3)$ $13(81.2)$ $14(0.4-52)$ 0.584 PROM Yes $46(13.9)$ $286(86.1)$ 1 $1.7(0.64-3.39)$ 0.365 PROM Yes $8(18.6)$ $35(81.4)$ $1.47(0.64-3.39)$ 0.365 PROM Yes $9(13.1)$ $2.64(86.6)$ 1 $1.7(0.64-3.39)$ 0.365 Fever during labor Yes $9(20.9)$ $34(79.1)$ $1.7(0.64-3.39)$ 0.365 No $41(13.4)$ $2.64(86.6)$ 1 $1.7(0.64-3.39)$ 0.365 Meconium-stained anniotic fluid Yes $2(3.0)$ $2.3(8.7)$ $1.7(0.50.78-3.93)$ 0.172 $1.39(0.58-3.36)$ Meconium-stained anniotic fluid Yes $2(8.7)$ $2.1(13.2)$ $0.5(0.78-3.93)$ 0.172 $1.39(0.58-3.36)$ Meconium-stained anniotic fluid Yes $2(8.7)$ $2.17(8.55)$ 1 $1.7(1.6.5)$ 0.43 History of hospitalization in the past 3 months Yes $2(3.1,4)$ $1.7(8.10)$ $1.48(0.47-4.58)$ 0.502	No	47(14.5)	277(85.5)	-			
PROM No 46(13.9) 286(86.1) 1 PROM Yes 8(18.6) 35(81.4) $1.47(0.64-3.39)$ 0.365 Fever during labor No 41(13.4) 264(86.6) 1 1.39(0.58-3.36) Fever during labor Yes 9(20.9) 347(9.1) $1.75(0.78-3.93)$ 0.172 1.39(0.58-3.36) Meconium-stained anniotic fluid Yes 9(0.31.1) 265(86.9) 1 1.37(0.58-3.36) Meconium-stained anniotic fluid Yes 2(8.7) 21(91.3) 0.502 1.39(0.58-3.36) History of hospitalization in the past 3 months Yes 2(14.5) 2.56(8.5) 1 1.37(0.42-4.58) 0.502 History of flucture the past 3 months Yes 2(14.5) 1.78(1.0) 1.48(0.47-4.58) 0.502 1.38(1.11-6.1) History of Visitalization in the past 3 months Yes 4(19.0) 1.78(1.0) 1.48(0.47-4.58) 0.502 1.58(1.11-6.1) History of Visitalization in the past 3 months Yes 2(13.3) 2.28(86.2) 1 1.78(1.1-6.1) HV status <td>irth Yes</td> <td>3(18.8)</td> <td>13(81.2)</td> <td>1.4(0.4–5.2)</td> <td>0.584</td> <td></td> <td></td>	irth Yes	3(18.8)	13(81.2)	1.4(0.4–5.2)	0.584		
PROM Yes 8(18.6) 35(81.4) 1.47(0.64-3.39) 0.355 Fever during labor No 41(13.4) 264(86.6) 1 1 Fever during labor Yes 9(20.9) 34(79.1) 1.75(0.78-339) 0.365 Meconium-stained anniotic fluid Yes 9(20.9) 34(79.1) 1.75(0.78-339) 0.172 1.39(0.58-336) Meconium-stained anniotic fluid Yes 2(8.7) 21(9.1) 0.56(0.13-2.48) 0.448 Meconium-stained anniotic fluid Yes 2(74.5) 21(9.1) 0.56(0.13-2.48) 0.448 History of hospitalization in the past 3 months Yes 4(19.0) 17(81.0) 1.48(0.47-4.58) 0.502 HV status No 45(13.8) 22(86.5) 1 1.17(81.0) 1.48(0.47-4.58) 0.502 HV status No 3(21.4) 117(81.0) 1.48(0.47-4.58) 0.502 1.58(1.11-6.1) HV status No 2(13.8) 228(86.2) 1 1.17(81.0) 1.48(0.47-45.9) 0.056 1.58(1.11-6.1) <t< td=""><td>No</td><td>46(13.9)</td><td>286(86.1)</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	No	46(13.9)	286(86.1)	-			
Fever during laborNo $41(13.4)$ $264(86.6)$ 1Fever during laborYes $9(209)$ $34(79.1)$ $1.75(0.78-3.93)$ 0.172 $1.39(0.58-3.36)$ Meconium-stained anniotic fluidYes $9(209)$ $34(79.1)$ $1.75(0.78-3.93)$ 0.172 $1.39(0.58-3.36)$ Meconium-stained anniotic fluidYes $2(8.7)$ $265(6.9)$ 1 $1.75(0.78-3.93)$ 0.172 $1.39(0.58-3.36)$ Meconium-stained anniotic fluidYes $2(8.7)$ $265(6.9)$ 1 $1.75(0.78-3.93)$ 0.172 $1.39(0.58-3.36)$ Meconium-stained anniotic fluidYes $2(8.7)$ $21(91.3)$ $0.56(0.13-2.48)$ 0.248 0.2502 NoYes $47(14.5)$ $278(85.5)$ 1 $1.48(0.47-4.58)$ 0.502 HV statusNo $47(13.8)$ $227(86.2)$ 1 $1.71(1.12-6.35)$ 0.042 $1.58(1.11-6.1)$ HV statusNo $95(13.8)$ $227(86.2)$ 1 $1.71(1.12-6.35)$ 0.042 $1.58(1.11-6.1)$ History of UT at current pregnancyYes $2(6.0)$ $2(70.0)$ $2(50.0)$ $2(50.0)$ $0.505(6.7)$ 0.056 $4.2(12.23-38.6)$ History of UT at current pregnancyYes $2(60.0)$ $2(70.0)$ 2	Yes	8(18.6)	35(81.4)	1.47(0.64–3.39)	0.365		
Fever during laborYes $9(209)$ $34(79.1)$ $1.75(0.78-3.93)$ 0.172 $1.39(0.58-3.36)$ Mecontum-stained amniotic fluidYes $2(8.7)$ $265(86.9)$ 1 $1.39(0.58-3.36)$ Mecontum-stained amniotic fluidYes $2(8.7)$ $21(91.3)$ $0.56(0.13-2.48)$ 0.448 History of hospitalization in the past 3 monthsYes $47(14.5)$ $278(85.5)$ 1 0.448 History of hospitalization in the past 3 monthsYes $47(14.5)$ $278(85.5)$ 1 $0.56(0.13-2.48)$ 0.5602 History of hospitalization in the past 3 monthsYes $47(13.8)$ $281(85.2)$ 1 $0.56(0.13-2.48)$ 0.502 History of hospitalization in the past 3 monthsYes $47(13.8)$ $282(86.2)$ 1 $1.71(1.12-6.35)$ 0.042 $1.58(1.11-6.1)$ History of UT at current pregnancyYes $2(500)$ $2(500)$ $2(500)$ $6.3(0.97-45.9)$ 0.056 $4.2(1.23-38.6)$ History of UT1 at current pregnancyYes $2(6013)$ $2(606.7)$ $1.71(1.12-6.35)$ 0.056 $4.2(1.23-38.6)$ History of UT1 at current pregnancyYes $2(600)$ $2(600)$ $2(600)$ $6.3(0.97-45.9)$ 0.056 $4.2(1.23-38.6)$ History of UT1 at current pregnancyYes $2(600)$ $2(600)$ $2(606.7)$ 0.056 $4.2(1.23-38.6)$ History of UT1 at current pregnancyYes $2(600)$ $2(600)$ $2(606.7)$ 0.056 $4.2(1.23-38.6)$ History of UT1 at current pregnancyYes $2(600)$	No	41(13.4)	264(86.6)	-			
No 40(13.1) 265(86.9) 1 Meconium-stained anniotic fluid Yes 2(8.7) 21(91.3) 0.56(0.13-2.48) 0.448 No 47(14.5) 2(85.5) 1 0.56(0.13-2.48) 0.448 History of hospitalization in the past 3 months Yes 4(19.0) 17(81.0) 1.48(0.47-4.58) 0.502 HV status No 45(13.8) 282(86.2) 1 1.78(1.11-6.1) HV status No 45(13.8) 282(86.2) 1 1.38(1.11-6.1) Gestational DM Yes 2(50.0) 2(50.0) 6.3(0.97-45.9) 0.056 4.2(1.3-3.38.6) History of UTI at current pregnancy Yes 2(50.0) 2(50.0) 6.3(0.97-45.9) 0.056 4.2(1.23-38.6) History of UTI at current pregnancy Yes 2(70.0) 2(60.0) 2(60.0) 6.3(0.97-45.9) 0.056 4.2(1.23-38.6) Mistory of UTI at current pregnancy Yes 2(70.0) 2(60.0) 2(60.0) 2(60.0) 2(60.0) 2(7.0) 6.3(0.97-45.9) 0.056 4.2(1.23-38.6) <td>bor</td> <td>9(20.9)</td> <td>34(79.1)</td> <td>1.75(0.78–3.93)</td> <td>0.172</td> <td>1.39(0.58–3.36)</td> <td>0.463</td>	bor	9(20.9)	34(79.1)	1.75(0.78–3.93)	0.172	1.39(0.58–3.36)	0.463
Meconium-tained amniotic fluid Yes $2(8.7)$ $21(91.3)$ $0.56(0.13-2.48)$ 0.448 No $7(14.5)$ $278(85.5)$ 1 0.448 History of hospitalization in the past 3 months Yes $47(14.5)$ $278(85.5)$ 1 History of hospitalization in the past 3 months Yes $4(19.0)$ $17(81.0)$ $1.48(0.47-4.58)$ 0.502 HIV status No $45(13.8)$ $282(86.2)$ 1 $1.48(0.47-4.58)$ 0.502 HIV status No $46(13.8)$ $282(86.2)$ 1 $1.71(1.12-6.35)$ 0.042 $1.58(1.11-6.1)$ Gestational DM Yes $2(50.0)$ $6.3(0.97-45.9)$ 0.056 $4.2(1.23-38.6)$ History of UTI at current pregnancy Yes $2(70.0)$ $2(70.0)$ $6.3(0.97-45.9)$ 0.056 $4.2(1.23-38.6)$ Mistory of UTI at current pregnancy Yes $2(70.0)$ $2(70.0)$ $2(70.7-3.3)$ 0.019 $1.5(0.67-3.3)$ 0.019	No	40(13.1)	265(86.9)	-			
No 47(14.5) 278(85.5) 1 History of hospitalization in the past 3 months Yes 47(14.5) 17(81.0) 1.48(0.47-4.58) 0.502 History of hospitalization in the past 3 months Yes 4(19.0) 17(81.0) 1.48(0.47-4.58) 0.502 HIV status No 45(13.8) 282(86.2) 1 1 1.58(1.11-6.1) HV status Negative 3(21.4) 11(78.6) 1.71(1.12-6.35) 0.042 1.58(1.11-6.1) Gestational DM Yes 2(13.3) 288(86.2) 1 1 1.66.5 4.2(1.23-38.6) History of UTI at current pregnancy Yes 2(13.7) 297(86.3) 1 0.056 4.2(1.23-38.6) Mistory of UTI at current pregnancy Yes 9(18.8) 39(81.2) 1.5(0.67-3.3) 0.319 Mistory of UTI at current pregnancy Yes 9(18.8) 39(81.2) 1.5(0.67-3.3) 0.319 Mistory of UTI at current pregnancy Yes 9(18.8) 39(81.2) 1.5(0.67-3.3) 0.319 Mo Mo 40(13.3) 200(86.7) 1 0.319 0.319 0.319 0.319 <td>ned amniotic fluid</td> <td>2(8.7)</td> <td>21(91.3)</td> <td>0.56(0.13-2.48)</td> <td>0.448</td> <td></td> <td></td>	ned amniotic fluid	2(8.7)	21(91.3)	0.56(0.13-2.48)	0.448		
History of hospitalization in the past 3 months Yes 4(19.0) 17(81.0) 1.48(0.47–4.58) 0.502 No 45(13.8) 282(86.2) 1 282(86.2) 1 HIV status Positive 3(21.4) 11(78.6) 1.71(1.12–6.35) 0.042 1.58(1.11-6.1 Gestational DM Yes 2(50.0) 288(86.2) 1 1 1.58(5.2) 1.58(1.11-6.1 History of UTI at current pregnancy Yes 2(50.0) 2(50.0) 6.3(0.97–45.9) 0.056 4.2(1.23–38.6) History of UTI at current pregnancy Yes 2(13.7) 297(86.3) 1 1.5(0.67–3.3) 0.319 Mistory of UTI at current pregnancy Yes 9(18.8) 39(81.2) 1.5(0.67–3.3) 0.319 Mistory of UTI at current pregnancy Yes 9(18.3) 260(8.7) 1 0.319 Mistory of UTI at current pregnancy Yes 10.15 260(8.7) 1 0.319 Mo Adv 39(81.2) 1 0.319 0.319 0.319	No	47(14.5)	278(85.5)	-			
No 45(13.8) 282(86.2) 1 HV status Positive 3(21.4) 11(78.6) 1.71(1.12–6.35) 0.042 1.58(1.11-6.1 HV status Negative 46(13.8) 282(86.2) 1 1.71(1.12–6.35) 0.042 1.58(1.11-6.1 Gestational DM Yes 2(13.8) 288(86.2) 1 1.71(1.12–6.35) 0.042 1.58(1.11-6.1 History of UT at current pregnancy Yes 2(50.0) 6.3(0.97–45.9) 0.056 4.2(1.23–38.6 History of UT at current pregnancy Yes 9(18.8) 39(81.2) 1.5(0.67–3.3) 0.319 No Ao 40(13.3) 260(86.7) 1 0.319 No Ao 40(13.3) 260(86.7) 1 0.319	italization in the past 3 months Yes	4(19.0)	17(81.0)	1.48(0.47–4.58)	0.502		
HIV status Positive 3(21.4) 11(76.6) 1.71(1.12-6.35) 0.042 1.58(1.11-6.1) Gestational DM Negative 46(13.8) 28(86.2) 1 1.71(1.12-6.35) 0.042 1.58(1.11-6.1) Gestational DM Yes 2(50.0) 2(50.0) 6.3(0.97-45.9) 0.056 4.2(1.23-38.6) History of UTI at current pregnancy Yes 2(713.7) 297(86.3) 1 No 47(13.7) 297(86.3) 1 5.3(0.97-45.9) 0.319 History of UTI at current pregnancy Yes 9(18.8) 39(81.2) 1.5(0.67-3.3) 0.319 Uniter OF No 40(13.3) 260(86.7) 1 0.319	No	45(13.8)	282(86.2)	-			
Negative 46(13.8) 288(86.2) 1 Gestational DM Yes 2(50.0) 6.3(0.97-45.9) 0.056 4.2(1.23-38.6 Mo Yes 2(50.0) 2(50.0) 6.3(0.97-45.9) 0.056 4.2(1.23-38.6 History of UTI at current pregnancy No 47(13.7) 297(86.3) 1 1 No Yes 9(18.8) 3(81.2) 1.5(0.67-3.3) 0.319 No 40(13.3) 260(86.7) 1 0.319 1	Positive	3(21.4)	11(78.6)	1.71(1.12–6.35)	0.042	1.58(1.11–6.12)	0.049*
Gestational DM Yes 2(50.0) 6.3(0.97-45.9) 0.056 4.2(1.23-38.6 No 47(13.7) 297(86.3) 1 History of UTI at current pregnancy Yes 9(18.8) 39(81.2) 1.5(0.67-3.3) 0.319 No 40(13.3) 260(86.7) 1 0.070 0.0117.70 0.071	Negative	46(13.8)	288(86.2)	-			
No 47(13.7) 297(86.3) 1 History of UTI at current pregnancy Yes 9(18.8) 39(81.2) 1.5(0.67–3.3) 0.319 No 40(13.3) 260(86.7) 1 0.319	Yes	2(50.0)	2(50.0)	6.3(0.97-45.9)	0.056	4.2(1.23–38.6)	0.047*
History of UTI at current pregnancy Yes 9(18.8) 39(81.2) 1.5(0.67–3.3) 0.319 No 40(13.3) 260(86.7) 1 Distance CT 2000 1000 1000 1000 1000	No	47(13.7)	297(86.3)	-			
Uiterviel CTI 260(86.7) 1 260(86.7) 1 260(86.7) 1 260(86.7) 1 260(86.7) 1 2007011 700	t current pregnancy	9(18.8)	39(81.2)	1.5(0.67–3.3)	0.319		
	No	40(13.3)	260(86.7)	-			
	Yes	1 (12.5)	7(87.5)	0.87(0.11–7.22)	0.897		
No 48(14.1) 292(85.9) 1	No	48(14.1)	292(85.9)	-			

No	Factors		Vert	tical transmission	COR (95% CI)	P-v	alue AOR (9	15% CI) P-
Age (feat) 58 6(1) 18(696) 1 1 1 Reidince 1<			Yes	No				value
Fielding 5.38 16(10.2) 14(19.3) 3.40(1.3-9.1) 0.01 3.6(1.3-9.5) 0.01 Exercise Number to and write 9(10.2) 14(19.3) 5.6(1.3-9.5) 0.069 3.6(1.3-9.5) 0.01 Exercise Number to and write 4(1.4.3) 2.36(3.2) 1.7(0.2-16.5) 0.066 1.3(0.2-5.59) 0.075 Exercision 134tus Elementary 6(6.3) 99371 1.7(0.2-16.5) 0.066 1.3(0.2-5.59) 0.755 Exercision 136t (Week) <3.7 3.6(1.3) 1.8(0.53.1) 0.367 1.0(0.9-5.71) 0.16 Exercision 23 5.6(3.0) 111065.7 1.7(0.5-5.9) 0.367 1.0(0.9-5.71) 0.16 Current gastational HTV 1.17(0.5-5.9) 0.367 1.0(0.9-5.71) 0.16 Current gastational HTV 1.17(0.5-1.6) 0.367 1.0(0.9-5.71) 0.16 Current gastational HTV 1.17(0.5-1.6) 0.367 1.0(0.9-5.71) 0.16 Current gastational HTV	Age (Year)	≤ 28	6(3.1)	185(96.9)	-		-	
Relative Urban 134.9 25095.1 1 1 1 Eurational status Read (103) 7669.4) 2377(19.4.53) 0.069 2360.7.5.93 0.075 Eurational status Unbite mediand write (4)3 3683.2 1.076.5.539 0.069 1.360.26-539 0.75 Eurational status High prade (4)1 1105.5.539 0.665 1.260.26-539 0.75 Eurational status High prade (4)1 1105.5.539 0.665 1.570.49-5.710 0.43 Content gestational HT 237 7.010 4590.0 1.966.5.2.33 0.264 1.700.49-5.710 0.14 Number of ANC usit 24 7.77.3 2.106.2.7 1.7054.81 0.106 1.500.49-5.70 0.14 Number of ANC usit 24 7.77.3 2.106.2.7 1.7054.81 0.14 1.7054.81 0.14 Number of ANC usit 24 7.77.3 2.106.2.7 1.7054.81 0.16 1.7055.260 0.250 1.7064.91 0.14		> 28	16(10.2)	141(89.8)	3.49(1.3–9.17)	0.011	3.6(1.37–9.5)	0.010*
	Residence	Urban	13(4.9)	250(95.1)	1		-	
Educational status Unable to read and write 4(14) 3382.2) 4(1(0.2-16.5) 0.066 120(0.26-4.23) 0.375 0.376 0.376 0.376 0.376 0.376 0.376 0.376		Rural	9(10.6)	76(89.4)	2.27(1.94–5.53)	0.069	2.39(1.97–5.89)	0.048*
	Educational status	Unable to read and write	4(14.8)	23(85.2)	4.1(1.02-16.5)	0.046	1.26(0.26–6.25)	0.775
Seconday 7(a) 9(9,2) 1/(0.5.53) 0.37 1/(0.45.57) 0.41 High grade 3/1 1/(0.5.51) 1/(0.5.553) 0.367 1/(0.745.51) 0.41 High grade 3/1 1/(0.5.131) 1/(0.5.51) 0.26 1/(0.75) 0.146 Setational age (Week) <1		Elementary	6(6.3)	89(93.7)	1.59(0.47–5.4)	0.455	1.02(0.28–3.68)	0.976
		Secondary	7(6.8)	96(93.2)	1.7(0.5–5.59)	0.367	1.67(0.49–5.71)	0.413
Gestational age (Week) < 37 $5(100)$ $45(900)$ $18/(0.65-5.23)$ 0.264 $2.25(0.75-6.74)$ 0.146 Number of ANC visit $< < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < $		High grade	5(4.1)	118(95.9)	-		-	
	Gestational age (Week)	< 37	5(10.0)	45(90.0)	1.84(0.65–5.23)	0.264	2.25(0.75-6.74)	0.146
		≥ 37	17(5.7)	281 (94.3)	1		-	
	Number of ANC visit	< 4	5(4.3)	110(95.7)	-		-	
		≥4	17(7.3)	216(92.7)	1.7(0.6-4.8)	0.293	1.95(0.67-5.66)	0.220
No $21(6.2)$ $317(93.8)$ 1 1 Type of gravida Pimigravida $21(6.2)$ $317(93.8)$ 1 1 Type of gravida Nultigravida $2(1.9)$ $106(81.1)$ 1 1 History of abortion Yes $2(1.9)$ $106(33.5)$ 1 0.356 $1.90.58-6.23$ 0.238 Duration of labor (Hour) Yes $2(16.5)$ $303(35.5)$ 1 $0.61008-4.8)$ 0.656 $1.90.58-6.23$ 0.238 Duration of labor (Hour) Yes $1(4.2)$ $23(95.5)$ $0.61008-4.8)$ 0.656 $1.90.58-6.23$ 0.238 Duration of labor (Hour) Yes $1(4.2)$ $23(95.5)$ $1.05(0.4-2.5)$ 0.763 $1.90.58-6.23$ 0.238 Duration of labor (Hour) Yes $1(4.2)$ $303(95.5)$ $1.05(0.4-2.5)$ 0.763 $1.90.58-6.23$ 0.238 Duration of labor (Hour) Yes $1(4.8)$ $20(92.5)$ $0.73(0.1-5.69)$ 0.763 $1.15(0.1-5.69)$ 0.763 History of U	Current gestational HTN	Yes	1(10.0)	9(90.0)	1.67(0.2-13.87)	0.631		
Type of gravida Primigravida $2(1.9)$ $106(81.1)$ 1 1 Multigravida $2(1.9)$ $20(8.3)$ $20(91.7)$ $45(1.1-20.9)$ 0.036 $1.9(058-6.23)$ 0.208 Hstory of abortion No $21(5.2)$ $23(95.3)$ 0.056 $1.9(058-6.23)$ 0.268 Duration of labor (Hour) < 12 $30(3.93.5)$ 1 0.566 $1.9(058-6.24)$ 0.288 Duration of labor (Hour) < 12 $30(3.93.5)$ 1 $0.56(0.4-2.5)$ 0.366 $1.9(0.58-6.24)$ 0.288 Duration of labor (Hour) < 12 $9(2.3)$ $1.8(9(2.9))$ $1.5(0.4-2.5)$ 0.78 $1.9(0.58-6.24)$ 0.268 Duration of labor (Hour) < 12 $9(2.3)$ $1.9(0.5(-2.5))$ 0.761 0.78 $1.9(0.54-2.5)$ 0.78 History of hospitalization in the past 3 months Yes $1(7.1)$ $13(92.9)$ $1.15(0.14-9.19)$ 0.98 $1.9(0.7,61)$ $1.9(0.7,61)$ $1.9(0.7,61)$ $1.9(0.7,61)$ $1.9(0.7,61)$ $1.8(0.6,0.6,0.6)$ $1.16(0.1,0.6,0$		No	21(6.2)	317(93.8)	-			
	Type of gravida	Primigravida	2(1.9)	106(98.1)	,		-	
History of abortionYes $(4,2)$ $23(95,8)$ $0.6(0.08-4.8)$ 0.656 NoNo $21(6.5)$ $303(93.5)$ 1 0.656 Duration of labor (Hour) ≤ 12 $13(6.4)$ $13(6.4)$ $13(93.6)$ $1.05(0.4-2.5)$ 0.918 History of hospitalization in the past 3 months $\forall es$ $1(4.8)$ $20(95.2)$ $0.73(0.1-5.69)$ 0.763 History of hospitalization in the past 3 months $\forall es$ $1(4.8)$ $20(95.2)$ $0.73(0.1-5.69)$ 0.763 NoNo $21(6.4)$ $306(93.6)$ 1 $1.5(0.14-9.19)$ 0.898 History of UTI at current pregnancyVes $1(7.1)$ $13(92.9)$ $1.15(0.14-9.19)$ 0.898 History of UTI at current pregnancyVes $4(8.3)$ $4(91.7)$ $1.3(0.17-10.7)$ 0.763 Weight of newborns (Gram) < 25500 $7(12.5)$ $49(87.5)$ $264(1.02-6.8)$ 0.045 $1.78(0.64-4.97)$ Solt minute APGAR score <7 $5(16.1)$ $20(94.6)$ 1 1.00046 1.00046 T-10 $1.7(5.4)$ $20(94.6)$ 1.00066 $2.1(065-6.8)$ $0.2166-6.8)$ 0.216		Multigravida	20(8.3)	220(91.7)	4.8(1.1–20.9)	0.036	1.9(0.58–6.23)	0.288
	History of abortion	Yes	1(4.2)	23(95.8)	0.6(0.08-4.8)	0.656		
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		No	21(6.5)	303(93.5)	1			
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Duration of labor (Hour)	≤ 12	13(6.4)	189(93.6)	1.05(0.4–2.5)	0.918		
History of hospitalization in the past 3 monthsYes $1(4.8)$ $20(95.2)$ $0.73(0.1-5.6)$ 0.763 NoNo $21(6.4)$ $306(93.6)$ 1 $$ HIV statusPositive $1(7.1)$ $13(92.9)$ $1.15(0.14-9.19)$ 0.898 Negative $1(7.1)$ $13(92.9)$ $1.15(0.14-9.19)$ 0.898 History of UTI at current pregnancyYes $4(8.3)$ $44(91.7)$ $1.15(0.14-9.19)$ 0.898 NoNo $21(6.3)$ $313(93.7)$ 1 0.763 $$ Weight of newborns (Gram) < 2500 $7(12.5)$ $44(91.7)$ $1.3(0.17-10.7)$ 0.763 NoNo $21(5.1)$ $227(94.0)$ 1 $1.78(0.64-4.97)$ 0.272 Weight of newborns (Gram) < 2500 $7(12.5)$ $49(87.5)$ $2.64(1.02-6.8)$ 0.045 $1.78(0.64-4.97)$ Sth minute APGAR score < 7 $5(16.1)$ $277(94.9)$ 1 $1.78(0.64-4.97)$ 0.275 Sth minute APGAR score < 7 $5(16.1)$ $20(94.6)$ 1 $1.78(0.65-6.8)$ $0.216.656.8$		> 12	9(6.2)	137(93.8)	-			
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	History of hospitalization in the past 3 months	Yes	1(4.8)	20(95.2)	0.73(0.1–5.69)	0.763		
HIV statusPositive $1(7.1)$ $13(92.9)$ $1.15(0.14-9.19)$ 0.898 Negative $21(6.3)$ $313(93.7)$ 1 0.298 History of UTI at current pregnancyYes $4(8.3)$ $313(93.7)$ 1 NoNo $21(6.3)$ $313(93.7)$ 1 0.763 Weight of newborns (Gram) < 2500 $7(12.5)$ $49(875)$ $2.64(1.02-6.8)$ 0.045 $1.78(0.64-4.97)$ 0.272 Veight of newborns (Gram) < 2500 $7(12.5)$ $49(875)$ $2.64(1.02-6.8)$ 0.045 $1.78(0.64-4.97)$ 0.272 Sth minute APGAR score < 7 $5(16.1)$ $27(94.9)$ 1 1 1 T-10 $7-10$ $17(5.4)$ $30(94.6)$ 1 1 1		No	21(6.4)	306(93.6)	-			
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	HIV status	Positive	1(7.1)	13(92.9)	1.15(0.14–9.19)	0.898		
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		Negative	21(6.3)	313(93.7)	-			
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	History of UTI at current pregnancy	Yes	4(8.3)	44(91.7)	1.3(0.17-10.7)	0.763		
Weight of newborns (Gram) < 2500 7(12.5) 49(87.5) 2.64(1.02-6.8) 0.045 1.78(0.64-4.97) 0.272 ≥ 2500 15(5.1) 277(94.9) 1 1 1 1 ≥ 2500 15(5.1) 277(94.9) 1 1 1 1 ≥ 2500 5(16.1) 26(83.9) 3.4(1.16-9.9) 0.026 2.1(0.65-6.8) 0.215 $7-10$ 7-10 17(5.4) 300(94.6) 1 1 1		No	18(6.0)	282(94.0)	-			
$ \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Weight of newborns (Gram)	< 2500	7(12.5)	49(87.5)	2.64(1.02–6.8)	0.045	1.78(0.64–4.97)	0.272
5th minute APGAR score <7 5(16.1) 26(83.9) 3.4(1.16–9.9) 0.026 2.1(0.65–6.8) 0.215 7–10 17(5.4) 300(94.6) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		≥ 2500	15(5.1)	277(94.9)	-			
7-10 17(5.4) 300(94.6) 1 1	5th minute APGAR score	< 7	5(16.1)	26(83.9)	3.4(1.16–9.9)	0.026	2.1(0.65–6.8)	0.215
		7-10	17(5.4)	300(94.6)	1		1	

95% CI: 1.97-5.89, P=0.048) higher in neonates born to mothers older than 28 years compared to younger counterparts (i.e., less than 28 years old). This could be explained by a decrease in lactobacilli due to an agerelated decline in estrogen, leading to the dominance of pathogenic flora, including *Candida* [48], which can be transmitted to their newborn. Additionally, vaginal pH increases with age and leads to the loss of natural epithelial defenses. Age-related attenuation of the immune response and a reduced natural immune defense exacerbate vaginal colonization, subsequently increasing the risk of vertical transmission [49-51]. Likewise, the odds of vertical transmission were 3.6 times (AOR = 3.6, 95% CI: 1.37–9.5, P=0.010) higher in neonates born to rural dwelling mothers than those born to urban dwelling mothers. This could be due to limited healthcare access, awareness and education, limited hygiene practices and the presence of underlying medical conditions. Thus, this finding enhances the need for community-based interventions with culturally tailored approaches through participatory early diagnosis to improve the health of rural populations. Empowering women and promoting family health or family medicine will be crucial targets for improving universal health coverage in rural areas.

The findings of this study imply a potential threat to maternal and neonatal health, highlighting the need for effective screening and management strategies. The generalizability of the present research findings was ensured by utilization of appropriate statistical analysis, rigorous methodology and a larger sample size of paired samples taken from pregnant women and their newborns. However, this study has certain limitations. Since the focus of the study was on maternal vaginal colonization and vertical transmission of Candida, the health impacts or outcomes of neonates born from the study participants were not assessed. This might limit the comprehensive understanding of the potential complications of Candida colonization during pregnancy. Additionally, antifungal susceptibility was not performed due to a lack of resources, where the potential treatment options for Candida infections were not identified.

Conclusion

The vaginal colonization and vertical transmission of *Candida* species is a concerning maternal and neonatal health problem. Only two opportunistic yeasts, *C. albicans* and *C. krusei*, were detected, with a predominancy of *C. albicans*. Vaginal colonization of *Candida* species was significantly higher among women with gestational diabetes mellitus and HIV, whereas the magnitude of vertical transmission of *Candida* species was significantly higher among rural dwellers and mothers older than 28 years. The findings highlight the need for effective screening and treatment of *Candida* colonization during

antenatal care to reduce the risk of pregnancy-related maternal and neonatal complications.

Abbreviations

ANC	Antenatal Care
APGAR	Appearance, Pulse, Grimace, Activity, Respiration
DCSH	Dessie Comprehensive Specialized Hospital
DHC	Dessie Health Center
HIV	Human Immune Deficiency Virus
PROM	Premature rupture of membrane
STI	Sexually Transmitted Infection
UTI	Urinary Tract Infection
VVC	Vulvovaginal Candidiasis

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.or g/10.1186/s12884-024-07103-9.

Supplementary Material 1

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the study participants, data collectors, Amhara Public Health Institute Dessie Branch staffs, and Dessie Comprehensive Specialized Hospital and Dessie Health center staffs for their support and unreserved cooperation in making this study to be a fruitful work.

Author contributions

Alemu Gedefie, Getnet Shimeles, Chalachew Genet, Hilina Motbainor and conceived and designed the study, prepared the proposal, supervised data collection, analyzed, and interpreted the data. Alemu Gedefie, Getnet Shimeles, and Brhanu Kassanew had participated in data collection, analysis and interpretation of the result. Alemu Gedefie drafted and prepared the manuscript for publication. Getnet Shimeles, Chalachew Genet, Hilina Motbainor, and Brhanu Kassanew critically reviewed the manuscript. All the authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding

This study was materially supported by Bahir Dar University, Wollo University and Amhara Public Health Institute, Dessie Branch.

Data availability

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study is available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethical approval and consent to participate

Ethical clearance was obtained from the ethical review Board of the College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Bahir Dar University (Protocol number 749/2023). Permission for the study was granted in a letter from DCSH and DHC, where the study was conducted. After briefly describing the significance of the study, written informed consent from the pregnant mother and assent for the newborns were obtained. Confidentiality of the data was maintained. Finally, based on a microbiologically confirmed positive result, the clinician responsible for the participant was informed and the participant was treated with an appropriate treatment protocol. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Clinical trial number

Not applicable.

Author details

¹Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Wollo University, Dessie, Ethiopia

²Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Bahir Dar University, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia

³Gishe Rabel Health Center, Debre Birhan, Ethiopia

⁴Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, College of Health Sciences, Woldia University, Woldia, Ethiopia

⁵Institute of Biotechnology, Bahir Dar University, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia

Received: 2 September 2024 / Accepted: 23 December 2024 Published online: 09 January 2025

References

- 1. Williams DW, Kuriyama T, Silva S, Malic S, Lewis MA. Candida biofilms and oral candidosis: treatment and prevention. Periodontol 2000. 2011;55(1).
- Makanjuola O, Bongomin F, Fayemiwo SA. An update on the roles of nonalbicans Candida species in vulvovaginitis. J Fungi. 2018;4(4):121.
- Godoy-Vitorino F, Romaguera J, Zhao C, Vargas-Robles D, Ortiz-Morales G, Vázquez-Sánchez F, et al. Cervicovaginal fungi and bacteria associated with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia and high-risk human papillomavirus infections in a hispanic population. Front Microbiol. 2018;9:2533.
- Bulik C, Sobel J, Nailor M. Susceptibility profile of vaginal isolates of Candida albicans prior to and following fluconazole introduction–impact of two decades. Mycoses. 2011;54(1):34–8.
- Zisova LG, Chokoeva AA, Amaliev GI, Petleshkova PV, Miteva-Katrandzhieva T, Krasteva MB, et al. Vulvovaginal candidiasis in pregnant women and its importance for candida colonization of newborns. Folia Medica. 2016;58(2):108.
- Maki Y, Fujisaki M, Sato Y, Sameshima H. Candida Chorioamnionitis leads to preterm birth and adverse fetal-neonatal outcome. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol. 2017;2017(1):9060138.
- Mother Safe Royal Hospital for Women. Thrush and Pregnancy. NSW Health 2021 [Available from: https://www.seslhd.health.nsw.gov.au/sites/default/file s/groups/Royal_Hospital_for_Women/Mothersafe/documents/thrushpreg20 21 (accessed on 03 August 2024).
- Mølgaard-Nielsen D, Svanström H, Melbye M, Hviid A, Pasternak B. Association between use of oral fluconazole during pregnancy and risk of spontaneous abortion and stillbirth. JAMA. 2016;315(1):58–67.
- Meizoso T, Rivera T, Fernández-Aceñero M, Mestre M, Garrido M, Garaulet C. Intrauterine candidiasis: report of four cases. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2008;278:173–6.
- Roberts CL, Rickard K, Kotsiou G, Morris JM. Treatment of asymptomatic vaginal candidiasis in pregnancy to prevent preterm birth: an open-label pilot randomized controlled trial. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2011;11:1–6.
- de Cássia Orlandi Sardi J, Silva DR, Anibal PC, de Campos Baldin JJCM, Ramalho SR, Rosalen PL, et al. Vulvovaginal candidiasis: epidemiology and risk factors, pathogenesis, resistance, and new therapeutic options. Curr Fungal Infect Rep. 2021;15:32–40.
- Denning DW, Kneale M, Sobel JD, Rautemaa-Richardson R. Global burden of recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis: a systematic review. Lancet Infect Dis. 2018;18(11):e339–47.
- Kalia N, Singh J, Kaur M. Microbiota in vaginal health and pathogenesis of recurrent vulvovaginal infections: a critical review. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob. 2020;19:1–19.
- Aguin TJ, Sobel JD. Vulvovaginal candidiasis in pregnancy. Curr Infect Dis Rep. 2015;17:1–6.
- Tsega A, Mekonnen F. Prevalence, risk factors and antifungal susceptibility pattern of Candida species among pregnant women at Debre Markos Referral Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2019;19:1–8.
- 16. Levina J, Ocviyanti D, Adawiyah R. Management of Vulvovaginal Candidiasis in pregnancy. Indonesian J Obstet Gynecol. 2024:115–21.
- 17. San Juan Galán J, Poliquin V, Gerstein AC. Insights and advances in recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis. PLoS Pathog. 2023;19(11):e1011684.
- Verani JR, McGee L, Schrag SJ. Prevention of perinatal group B streptococcal disease:revised guidelines from CDC, 2010. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and ….
- 19. Filkins L, Hauser JR, Robinson-Dunn B, Tibbetts R, Boyanton BL, Revell P. American Society for Microbiology provides 2020 guidelines for detection and

identification of group B Streptococcus. J Clin Microbiol. 2020;59(1):01230–20. https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.

- Babić M, Hukić M. Candida albicans and non-albicans species as etiological agent of vaginitis in pregnant and nonpregnant women. Bosnian J Basic Med Sci. 2010;10(1):89.
- 21. Clinicalsci. Germ tube test accessed June 29. 2029 [Available from: https://clin icalsci.info/germ-tubetest/
- Monica C. Microbiological tests: district laboratory practice in tropical countries. Chapter. 2006;2:670.
- Rad ZA, Esmaeilzadeh S, Mojaveri MH, Bagherzadeh M, Javanian M. Maternal recto-vaginal organisms and surface skin colonization in infants. Iran J Neonatology. 2018;9(3).
- 24. Sukali G. Characterization of Candida isolates from South African pregnant and non-pregnant women 2023.
- Hynniewta BC, Chyne WW, Phanjom P, Donn R. Prevalence of Vaginal Candidiasis among pregnant women attending Ganesh Das Government Maternity and Child Health hospital, Shillong, Meghalaya, India. Shillong, Meghalaya, India. 2019.
- 26. Okonkwo N, Umeanaeto P. Prevalence of vaginal candidiasis among pregnant women in Nnewi Town of Anambra State, Nigeria. Afr Res Rev. 2010;4(4).
- Nelson M, Wanjiru W, Margaret MW. Prevalence of vaginal candidiasis and determination of the occurrence of Candida species in pregnant women attending the antenatal clinic of Thika District Hospital, Kenya. Open Journal of Medical Microbiology. 2013;2013.
- Mulinganya MGDKK, Mongane IJ, Kampara MFDVA, Boelens J, Duyvejonck HHE, Kujirakwinja BY, Bisimwa BGRA, Vaneechoutte M, Callens S, Cools P. Second trimester vaginal Candida colonization among pregnant women attending antenatal care in Bukavu, Democratic Republic of the Congo: prevalence, clinical correlates, risk factors and pregnancy outcomes. Front Glob Womens Health 2024;5(1339821).
- Altayyar IA, Alsanosi AS, Osman NA. Prevalence of vaginal candidiasis among pregnant women attending different gynecological clinic at South Libya. Eur J Experimental Biology. 2016;6(3):25–9.
- Kanagal D, Vineeth V, Kundapur R, Shetty H, Rajesh A. Prevalence of vaginal candidiasis in pregnancy among coastal south Indian women. J Womens Health Issues Care. 2014;3(6):2.
- Al-Hatami SMM, Al-Moyed KAA, Al-Shamahy HA, Al-Haddad AM, Al-Ankoshy AAM. Vulvovaginal candidiasis: prevalence, species distribution and risk factors among non-pregnant women, in Sana'a, Yemen. Universal Journal of Pharmaceutical Research; 2021.
- Kombade SP, Abhishek KS, Mittal P, Sharma C, Singh P, Nag VL. Antifungal profile of vulvovaginal candidiasis in sexually active females from a tertiary care hospital of Western Rajasthan. J Family Med Prim Care. 2021;10(1):398–402.
- Seyoum E, Bitew A, Mihret A. Distribution of Candida albicans and nonalbicans Candida species isolated in different clinical samples and their in vitro antifungal suscetibity profile in Ethiopia. BMC Infect Dis. 2020;20:1–9.
- Sagay AS, Kapiga SH, Imade GE, Sankale J, Idoko J, Kanki P. HIV infection among pregnant women in Nigeria. Int J Gynecol Obstet. 2005;90(1):61–7.
- 35. Umeh E, Umeakanne B. HIV/vaginal candida coinfection: risk factors in women. J Microbiol Antimicrobials. 2010;2(3):30–5.
- Oliveira PM, Mascarenhas RE, Lacroix C, Ferrer SR, Oliveira RPC, Cravo EA, et al. Candida species isolated from the vaginal mucosa of HIV-infected women in Salvador, Bahia, Brazil. Brazilian J Infect Dis. 2011;15:239–44.
- Namkinga L, Matee M, Kivaisi A, Moshiro C. Prevalence and risk factors for vaginal candidiasis among women seeking primary care for genital infections in Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania. East Afr Med J. 2005;82(3).
- Mtibaa L, Fakhfakh N, Kallel A, Belhadj S, Belhaj Salah N, Bada N, et al. Les candidoses vulvovaginales: etiologies, symptomes et facteurs de risque. J De Mycol Medicale. 2017;27(2):153–8.
- Foessleitner P, Petricevic L, Boerger I, Steiner I, Kiss H, Rieger A, et al. HIV infection as a risk factor for vaginal dysbiosis, bacterial vaginosis, and candidosis in pregnancy: a matched case-control study. Birth. 2021;48(1):139–46.
- 40. Cheesbrough M. District laboratory practice in tropical countries, part 2. Cambridge University Press; 2006.
- 41. Rodrigues CF, Rodrigues ME, Henriques M. Candida sp. infections in patients with diabetes mellitus. J Clin Med. 2019;8(1):76.
- Catalano PM, Hauguel-De Mouzon S. Is it time to revisit the Pedersen hypothesis in the face of the obesity epidemic? Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2011;204(6):479–87.
- Zhang X, Liao Q, Wang F, Li D. Association of gestational diabetes mellitus and abnormal vaginal flora with adverse pregnancy outcomes. Medicine. 2018;97(34):e11891.

- Boselli F, Chiossi G, Garutti P, Matteelli A, Montagna MT, Spinillo A. Preliminary results of the Italian epidemiological study on vulvo-vaginitis. Minerva Ginecol. 2004;56(2):149–53.
- 45. Atabek ME, Akyürek N, Eklioglu BS. Frequency of vaginal candida colonization and relationship between metabolic parameters in children with type 1 diabetes mellitus. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2013;26(5):257–60.
- 46. Amabebe E, Anumba DO. The vaginal microenvironment: the physiologic role of lactobacilli. Front Med. 2018;5:181.
- Valenti P, Rosa L, Capobianco D, Lepanto MS, Schiavi E, Cutone A, et al. Role of lactobacilli and lactoferrin in the mucosal cervicovaginal defense. Front Immunol. 2018;9:376.
- Yoshikata R, Yamaguchi M, Mase Y, Tatsuzuki A, Myint KZY, Ohta H. Agerelated changes, influencing factors, and crosstalk between vaginal and gut microbiota: a cross-sectional comparative study of pre-and postmenopausal women. J Women's Health. 2022;31(12):1763–72.

- 49. Gameiro CM, Romão F, Castelo-Branco C. Menopause and aging: changes in the immune system—a review. Maturitas. 2010;67(4):316–20.
- García-Closas M, Herrero R, Bratti C, Hildesheim A, Sherman ME, Morera LA, et al. Epidemiologic determinants of vaginal pH. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1999;180(5):1060–6.
- Weisberg E, Ayton R, Darling G, Farrell E, Murkies A, O'Neill S, et al. Endometrial and vaginal effects of low-dose estradiol delivered by vaginal ring or vaginal tablet. Climacteric. 2005;8(1):83–93.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.