European Journal of Public Health, Vol. 35, Supplement 1, i60-i65 © The Author(s) 2025. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Public Health Association. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckae110

Isolation and characterization of the midgut microbiota of Aedes albopictus to identify suitable candidates for paratransgenesis

Mersa Darbandsari^{1,2}, Majid Asgari¹, Mohammad R. Abaei³, Zahra Ghorbanzadeh¹, Maryam Derikvand¹, Patrick Okwarah^{4,5}, Navid Dinparast Djadid¹, Abbasali Raz ¹,*

¹Malaria and Vector Research Group (MVRG), Biotechnology Research Center (BRC), Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran, Iran

²Department of Biotechnology, Islamic Azad University, Tehran Medical Branch, Tehran, Iran

³Department of Medical Entomology and Vector Control, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁴Infectious Hazard Prevention and Preparedness Unit, Department of Health Emergency, World Health Organization, Eastern Mediterranean Regional Office, Cairo, Egypt

⁵Department of Community Health, Amref International University, Nairobi, Kenya

*Corresponding author. Malaria and Vector Research Group (MVRG), Biotechnology Research Center (BRC), Pasteur Institute of Iran, No. 69, 12 Farvardin, Tehran 1316943551, Iran. E-mail: raz.biotech@gmail.com

Abstract

Aedes albopictus is a widely recognized carrier of various pathogens. Its resilient characteristics enable it to easily spread across diverse climates. The microbiota in the midgut of mosquitoes plays a crucial role in the interactions between the host and pathogens and can either enhance or reduce the ability of the insect to transmit diseases. Hence, determining the microorganisms present in the mosquito's digestive system could be a promising approach to developing an effective method of controlling them. Hence, the aim of this study was to investigate the microbial compositions in the midguts of Ae. albopictus mosquitoes collected from the fields of Sistan and Baluchestan Province. The midguts of 60 female mosquitoes were dissected, and their related bacteria were determined using the culture-dependent method. Different colonies were differentiated using the biochemical tests followed by 16S rRNA gene sequencing. The isolated bacteria were identified as belonging to the Asaia, Delftia, Serratia, Aeromonas, Paracoccus, and Planomicrobium genera based on biochemical and molecular analysis. The findings obtained in this study were largely consistent with earlier studies conducted on mosquitoes gathered from different regions throughout the world. Overall, the findings could enhance our understanding of the microbial diversity in Ae. albopictus and aid in the identification of a potent and widespread bacterium for the development of a paratransgenesis tool to combat Aedes-borne infectious diseases.

Introduction

 ${\sf B}$ iological invasion of alien species is a global issue that threatens the health and economy of different countries [1], complicated by new technologies and transportation systems. Vector-borne diseases are one of these issues that are broad in scope and significant, especially in the new world. Concerning pathogen transmission, it also has adverse impacts on human and animal well-being [2]. Mosquitoes are one of the biological agents that threaten our community, have a potential role in disease transmission, have good adaptation ability to new conditions, and could be transferred among the countries [3]. The Asian tiger mosquito, Aedes (Stegomyia) albopictus [4], is native to the South-East of Asia and is considered the most highly invasive species in the world [5, 6]. Besides, Ae. albopictus is an active vector of at least 26 harmful types of arboviruses, such as Chikungunya, Zika, and Dengue viruses, which are adversely threatening millions of people around the world [6, 7]. Based on the World Health Organization report, 40% of people who live in more than one hundred countries, mainly in Southeast Asia, and some regions of the Western Pacific and America, are affected by dengue fever [8]. It seems that, among the different approaches, vector control is more effective and applicable for preventing arboviral diseases [9]. Due to the invasive nature of the mosquito species, surveillance and control are the fundamental strategies that should be implemented at national and local levels [10]. Considerably, there are different challenges regarding conventional vector-control methods, such as insecticides, biological agents, and environmental sanitation [11].

Recent studies on mosquitoes revealed that diverse groups of microbiota are inside the mosquito midgut that share a symbiotic relationship with various impacts and benefits [12-14]. Yadav and colleagues isolated phylum Bacteroidetes from the collected Ae. albopictus in Arunachal Pradesh, India [9]. It is also well established that mosquitoes' microbiota compositions could significantly affect their ability for disease transmission [13, 15]. Therefore, genetic manipulation of the midgut microbiota could be a promising strategy to develop novel and robust vector control tools. This technology is known as paratransgenesis. Indeed, isolating and characterizing the mosquito microbiota is a prerequisite for this technology.

As an alternative strategy for controlling the Aedes mosquitos, paratransgenesis has recently caught scientists' attention. The base of this strategy is the genetic manipulation of endosymbionts to express antipathogen effector molecules inside the target host [16, 17]. Thus, understanding mosquito microbiota is imperative for developing a bacterium-based paratransgenic tool. Therefore, the main objective of this study was to assess the midgut microbiota of Ae. albopictus using biochemical and molecular approaches to find and introduce potent paratransgenesis candidate bacteria and provide the fundamental data for selecting the best bacterium for future steps.

Methods

Samples collection and midgut dissection

Adult mosquitoes were caught from the Beris village of Sistan and Baluchestan Province from South-East of Iran. Then samples were transferred to the national insectary of the Pasteur Institute of Iran and maintained inside a quarantined room (26–28°C, 60%–80% humidity, 12/12 light and darkness conditions). The adult mosquitoes were morpho-taxonomically identified as described by Darsie and Samanidou-Voyadjoglou [18].

In order to evaluate the midgut microbiota, a total of 20 adult female mosquitoes were carefully dissected in isolated and sterile conditions. To this end, all adult mosquitoes were anesthetized at 20° C for 3 min. Then, samples were surface sterilized by immersing them in 75% ethanol, followed by washing them twice with sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). After individually dissecting, the midguts were transferred into the sterile 1.5 ml microtube, and then $100 \,\mu$ l of PBS was added to the tube and homogenized with a sterilized micropestle. Prior to dissection, all the applied apparatus were sterilized using 75% ethanol. Morpho-taxonomic identification of adult mosquitoes was done as described [2].

A total of 60 adult female mosquitoes were carefully dissected in isolated and sterile conditions to evaluate the midgut microbiota. To this end, all adult mosquitoes were anesthetized at -20° C for 3 min. Sterilization of the surface of the samples was performed by immersing them in 70% ethanol, followed by twice washing with PBS. After individually dissecting, each midgut was transferred into a 1.5 ml sterilized microtube, and then 100 µl of PBS was added to the tube and homogenized with a sterilized micropestle. Before and after each dissection, all the applied apparatus were sterilized using 70% ethanol and flame.

Midgut bacteria isolation and microbial characterization

All media were purchased from HiMedia Co. (India). The isolated midgut content was divided into two different equal suspensions, i.e. Luria-Bertani (LB) and Asaia broth media. The suspension of homogenized midguts was plated on three agar media, including LB agar, MacConkey agar, and Asaia agar, each suitable for Gram-positive, Gram-negative, and Asaia bacteria growth, respectively. The LB agar and MacConkey agar plates were incubated at 37°C overnight; additionally, the Asaia agar plates were incubated at 30°C for three days. After that, the obtained bacterial colonies on the plates were individually differentiated based on morphological features such as shape, size, and colour. To reach pure colonies, the distinguished colonies were chosen for subculturing on the appropriate agar plates. Determining the gram type was also carried out on bacterial colonies using the gram staining method. First, the isolated colonies were fixed on a clean glass slide by passing through a burner's flame. The smear was stained with crystal violet and maintained for 50 s. The slide was then washed with distilled water, flooded with Lugol's solution, kept for 1 min, and washed off with water. The slides were thoroughly covered by acetone-alcohol for 20 s and washed off with water immediately. Finally, the counters were stained with safranin for 20 s. Afterward, the slides were airdried and observed under a microscope with an oil-immersion lens (100X magnification).

After homogenization, the suspension of midgut content was divided into two equal volumes and inoculated into the brain-heart infusion (BHI) and *Asaia* broth media. Then, the BHI broth and *Asaia* broth media were incubated at 37°C overnight and 30°C for three days, respectively. For isolating the grown bacteria in broth media, 50 ml of the BHI broth medium was plated on LB agar and MacConkey agar from the BHI broth medium, and *Asaia* agar from the *Asaia* broth medium.

The LB agar and MacConkey agar plates were incubated at 37°C overnight and the Asaia agar plates at 30°C for three days. Thereafter, the obtained bacterial colonies on the plates were individually differentiated based on morphological features such as shape, size, color, and halo zone around the colonies (especially for Asaia sp.). To isolate the pure colonies, the distinct colonies were chosen for subculturing on the appropriate agar plates. Determining the gram type was also carried out on bacterial colonies using the gram staining method. The isolated colonies were fixed on a clean glass slide by passing through a burner's flame. Then, the smear was stained with crystal violet and maintained for 50 s. Next, the slide was washed with distilled water, flooded with Lugol's solution, kept for 1 min, and washed off with water. Then, the slides were covered by acetone-alcohol for 20s thoroughly, washed off with water immediately, and counter bacteria were stained with the Safranin for 20s. Afterward, the slides were air-dried and observed under a light microscope with the oil immersion lens (100X magnification).

Biochemical characterization

In addition to morphological differentiation, biochemical approaches were used for bacterial population differentiation. Therefore, citrate utilization, urease, oxidase, sulfur indole motility media, triple sugar iron, and mannitol biochemical tests were done for Gram-negative bacteria, and staining with crystal violet was performed for Gram-positive bacteria. All materials were purchased from HiMedia Co. (India). Bacteria with morphological and biochemical differences were selected for molecular analysis, sequencing of the 16srRNA gene, and nucleotide Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) analysis against the 16s ribosomal RNA sequences (Bacteria and Archaea) (rRNA and ITS databases) [19].

Genomic DNA extraction and polymerase chain reaction amplification of 16s rRNA gene

Genomic DNA was extracted from the bacterial pellets obtained from the LB broth medium using the MBST DNA extraction kit (Iran) following the manufacturer's instructions. The final elution volume was 30 µl (TE buffer). The quantity and quality of the extracted DNA were evaluated using 0.8% agarose gel electrophoresis and spectrophotometry (Titertek Berthold, Bad Wildbad, Germany), respectively. The 16S-F (5'-AGTTTGATCCTGGCT CAG-3') and 16S-R (5'-GCTACCTTGTTACGACTTC-3') primer pair which amplifies the 16S rRNA gene was used as forward and reverse primers, respectively [20]. Moreover, Asafor (5'-TGGCGG ACGGGTGAGTATC-3') and Asarev (5'-GGCTTCGGGTCAAAC CAACT-3') were used as specific 16S rRNA gene primers for confirming the Asaia sp. samples [19]. Each reaction included 400 nM of each primer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 1 unit of Taq DNA polymerase (Cinaclon, Iran), 0.2 mM dNTPs, 2.5 µl of 10X reaction buffer, and 150 ng of genomic DNA as the template. The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay was carried out by an initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s, 72°C for 60 s, followed by a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The amplicons were visualized on 1.2% agarose gel by staining with Safe Stain (Cinaclon, Iran).

TA-cloning and sequencing

After confirming the expected length of the 16S rRNA amplicon, a library of the 16S rRNA gene was constructed by ligating the target gene amplicon into the pTG19-T vector (Vivantis, Malaysia) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Afterward, the ligation products were transformed into the *E. coli DH5* α through the heat shock transformation method. Then, the transformed bacteria were plated on the LB-Agar plates containing ampicillin (50 µg/mL) (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), X-gal (100 mM) (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), and Isopropyl β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (100 mM) (Sigma-Aldrich,

USA). Among the grown colonies, positive clones were primarily selected based on the morphological features of the colonies (white color). After culturing in the LB-broth media, related plasmids were extracted from the positive colonies by the plasmid extraction kit (GeneAll, South Korea). Moreover, further validation was done by PCR amplification with the gene-specific primers, and amplicons were visualized using agarose gel electrophoresis.

The obtained and confirmed plasmids were directly sequenced on an ABI 3500xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems Inc. Foster City, CA) from both plus and minus strands using the M13 forward and M13 reverse primers (Bioneer, South Korea). The obtained sequences were checked and analysed using the Chromas Lite software (ver. 2.1). Afterward, sequences were analysed using the webbased nucleotide BLAST software (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ blast/Blast.cgi) to search the homologous sequences.

Bioinformatics analysis

The obtained sequences in this study were analysed as the query in the NCBI database using the nucleotide BLAST tool [21] for searching the homologous sequences. This homology search was performed to confirm the biochemical test results. In the next step, the phylogenetic relatedness of the sequences was determined using the Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software (Ver. 7.0) with 1000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates as default parameters. The phylogenetic tree was reconstructed using the Neighbour-Joining (NJ) method. The human 18S rRNA gene (GenBank accession number: M10098.1) was used as an outgroup for phylogenetic tree construction.

Results

Isolation of bacteria and biochemical analysis of the isolates

In this study, the diversity of midgut microbiota from the fieldcollected *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes was evaluated. The first step was to evaluate the morphological features of the selected mosquitoes and confirm their genus and species. Analysis of the microbiota of 60 mosquito midguts was performed. According to the morphological feature, 153 colonies were selected for biochemical analysis, and finally, 85 colonies were chosen for molecular analysis (Fig. 1). Amplicons of the 16S-F/16S-R and Asafor/Asarev primer pairs were 1500 bp and 1200 bp, respectively (Fig. 2A and B). After TA-cloning and sequencing, all the acquired sequences were submitted to GenBank (Fig. 3). According to the acquired results, the isolated bacteria mainly belonged to the Gram-negative bacteria (Table 1).

The evolutionary history was inferred using the NJ method. The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 1.04827900 is shown (Fig. 2). The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates) is shown next to the branches. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were

computed using the maximum composite likelihood method and are in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. The analysis involved 86 nucleotide sequences. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. There were a total of 153 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA7.0. The phylogenetic tree was constructed based on the 16S ribosomal RNA sequences. The human 18S rRNA gene (GenBank accession number: M10098.1) was used as an outgroup for phylogenetic tree construction. The accession number and the genus and species of each sample are shown in front of the sample number.

Molecular analysis

Based on the morphological and biochemical characteristics of the colonies, the 16S rRNA gene sequence of the 85 isolates was analysed. The pair-ended sequencing of the 16S rRNA indicated the diversity of the midgut bacterial populations. The names of the identified bacteria are presented in Table 1. According to our results, the identified strains belong to the *Aeromonas (A. caviae* and *A. hydrophila)*, *Delftia (D. tsuruhatensis* and *D. lacustris)*, *Paracoccus, Planomicrobium, Serratia*, and *Asaia* genera. The 16S rRNA gene sequences of the targeted colonies were aligned to evaluate the relatedness of the isolated bacteria.

Phylogenetic tree analysis

According to the phylogenetic tree analysis and among the identified bacteria, *Serratia* and *Aeromonas* genera have a close relationship; *Delftia, Paracoccus, Planomicrobium*, and *Asaia* genera are more related (Fig. 2).

Discussion

Ae. albopictus is a known vector of medical importance, responsible for the spread and transmission of arboviruses worldwide [6]. It has also been reported that this species possesses a durable nature and easily copes with a broad temperature range and environmental situations, thus rapidly expanding its global territory [5, 7]. Consequently, continually expanding and spreading mosquitoes increasingly enhances the probability of new future disease outbreaks [22]. Therefore, providing new insights into the potential factors that could influence mosquitoes is vital. One of the main factors that affect the potential of disease transmission in mosquitoes is the composition of the midgut microbiota, as they play an essential role in the host-pathogen and host-symbiont interactions [10, 14].

Paratransgenesis has been introduced as a promising strategy for controlling vector-borne diseases recently [23]. Hence, the diversity of midgut microflora from the field-collected *Ae. albopictus* was evaluated by implementing the morphological, biochemical, and molecular approaches in this study to improve our knowledge and prepare the prerequisite data for building the blocks of future studies.



Figure 1. Different steps of Ae. albopictus bacteria isolation. (A) Morphological features evaluation and confirmation, (B) dissection of the mosquito midgut, (C) biochemical tests for differentiation of the isolated bacteria, and (D, E) isolated Asaia sp. colonies on Asaia agar.



Figure 2. Phylogenetic tree of the isolated bacteria from the midgut of Ae. Albopictus.

In this study, 60 adult females of *Ae. albopictus* were collected and verified based on the morphological keys. Among isolated bacteria, 153 and 85 colonies were selected for biochemical tests and molecular analysis, respectively. The molecular results revealed that most of them belong to gram-negative bacteria. Minard *et al.* [12] reported that gram-negative bacteria constitute a large percentage of the gut bacteria from *Ae. aegypti*, which is responsible for dengue fever, and the findings of this study are consistent with their results [13]. It is also worth noting that *Ae. albopictus* has been observed and recorded in the South East of Iran, where the first record of dengue fever was reported [13].

Moreover, further investigation of the isolated bacteria was carried out, and analysis of the nucleotide variations in their 16S rRNA sequences of 85 colonies revealed that those are related to the *Serratia*, *Aeromonas*, *Delftia*, *Paracoccus*, *Planomicrobium*, and *Asaia* genera. Except for the *Planomicrobium okeanokoites* that is gram-positive and belong to the *Planococcaeae* family, other isolated bacteria are gram-negative and belong to the *Proteobacteria* phylum. Most of the identified bacteria in this study had been previously reported from the midgut of *Aedes* spp. and other mosquito species. The *Serratia*, *Aeromonas*, *Delftia*, and *Paracoccus* genera are known bacterial populations already detected from the mosquitoes' gut and midgut worldwide [15, 17, 24].

It is well established that the susceptibility of chikungunya and dengue viruses is affected by bacterial compositions of the midgut microbiota of mosquitoes [25]. For instance, the presence of Serratia odorifera in Ae. aegypti midgut significantly enhances the susceptibility of Ae. aegypti for chikungunya virus. This is due to the interaction between the bacterial P40 protein and the gut membrane, which suppresses the immune response of Ae. Aegypti [16, 18]. In addition, uncovering the genetic composition of the mosquitoes' midgut will open up new windows toward finding and developing a paratransgenesis approach against the Ae. Aegypti, which is considered an invasive mosquito [26]. To our knowledge, this is the first report of Delftia lacustris presence in Ae. albopictus, whose isolation has been reported in An. gambiae previously [27]. Nevertheless, other bacterial strains belonging to the Delftia genus have already been reported from Aedes mosquitoes [17, 24]. Therefore, evaluating the properties of Delftia lacustris in future studies could determine its potential abilities as a candidate agent for paratransgenesis.

In addition to known gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria, a bacterial population belonging to *Asaia* sp. was isolated. This bacterium is a symbiont of different mosquito species; additionally, it is a potential candidate for blocking the transmission of mosquitoborne pathogens through paratransgenesis [28]. Indeed, due to having various features such as conserved association with the host insect, easy cultivation and genetic manipulation with the exogenous DNA, and transovarial and transstadial transmission routes, *Asaia* is a serious candidate for vector control strategies [29]. Successful investigations of *Asaia* sp. in mosquitoes are mainly confined to the *Anopheles* species. Recently, Rosso *et al.* successfully modified and transformed an isolated strain of *Asaia* from *Anopheles stephensi* to secrete anti-Plasmodium molecules against *Plasmodium berghei* [30]. Furthermore, Cappelli *et al.* [31] reported that the introduction of *Asaia* isolates not only enhances the immune

responses but also reduces the development of malaria parasite oocysts in *An. stephensi.* In 2015, Bongio and Lampe [32] performed a study on the *Asaia* SF2.1 strain and identified new secretion signals that could be used to secrete biomolecules against the pathogen or vector by this bacterium. In 2020, Asgari *et al.* [33] characterized the RNase III enzyme of *Asaia* sp. to create a potential tool for using *Asaia* bacterium for dsRNA production and knocking down the target genes. Nevertheless, no published evidence of using *Asaia* sp. for controlling the *Aedes* species has been reported yet, and regarding its potential capabilities and isolation in this study; this bacterium could be considered as a dormant candidate for combatting against *Aedes*-borne diseases.

Moreover, Serratia sp. has been identified in Aedes sp. in different studies as a symbiont bacterium [11]. This species has good features for application in paratransgenesis, and most of the studies performed as a paratransgenesis agent have been done on Anopheles species [34]. In this study, Serratia marcescens and Serratia nematodiphila were isolated from the dissected samples. In 2010, Gusmão et al. [35] isolated Serratia sp. from the midgut of Ae. aegypti by culture-dependent and independent methods. They reported that the genus Serratia was the dominant microorganism, and its frequency was 54.5% of the total isolated bacteria. In 2021, Alvarado et al. [36] isolated Serratia sp. from the ovary of Ae. aegypti by culture-dependent methods. Besides, different studies on Serratia species and paratransgenesis have been done on other medically important vectors.

The work employed a culture-dependent method to characterize the bacteria. However, the use of culture-independent and highthroughput technologies could enhance our comprehension of the variety of midgut microbiota in specific species [37, 38]. Both the culture-dependent and culture-independent techniques possess distinct advantages and disadvantages. One of the factors for selecting candidates for paratransgenesis is the ease of culturing them, a thorough understanding of their genomic maps, and the availability of genetic engineering technologies applicable to them. The majority of bacteria that are recognized using culture-dependent methods possess these characteristics, or they can be caught using advanced genetic techniques. In culture-independent approaches, the abundance of detected bacteria is enhanced, but a significant proportion of them are noncultivable, and their genetic manipulation is highly intricate. For instance, Wolbachia is employed in the control of Aedes spp., but its preparation for paratransgenesis requires advanced technology that is not accessible to the majority of the involved countries [37, 38].

The results of the current study are consistent with the previously performed studies in different regions of the world on field-collected samples and require further experimental investigations to evaluate the paratransgenic application of the suitably identified bacteria [37]. It has been approved that midgut microbiota composition has a potential role in vector competence and increasing or decreasing the vectorial capacity of mosquitoes [37]. Therefore, outstanding attention is required to develop an efficient controlling strategy to prevent any upcoming *Aedes*-borne arboviruses outbreaks. To sum up, we postulate that the presented results further shed light on the diversity of the midgut microbiota of *Ae. albopictus*, which could

NII65(MZ379231) Serratia marcescens subsp. marcescens NII66(MZ379232) Serratia marcescens subsp. marcescens NII64(MZ379230) Serratia marcescens subsp. marcescens II63(MZ379229) Serratia marcescens subsp. marcescens SNII62(MZ379228) Serratia marcescens subsp. marcescens NII61(MZ379227) Serratia marcescens subsp. marcescens NII60(MZ379223) Serratia marcescens NII59(MZ379222) Serratia marcescens NII58(MZ379221) Serratia marcescens NII57(MZ379220) Serratia marcescens NII56(MZ379219) Serratia marcescens NII55(MZ379218) Serratia marcescens NII54(MZ379217) Serratia marcescens NII53(MZ379216) Serratia marcescens NII39(MZ379202) Serratia nematodiphila NII38(MZ379201) Serratia nematodinhila NII37(MZ379200) Serratia nematodiphila NII36(MZ379199) Serratia nematodiphila NII35(MZ379198) Serratia nematodiphila NII34(MZ379197) Serratia nematodiphila NII11(MZ379167) Serratia marcescens subsp. marcescens NII10(MZ379166) Serratia marcescens subsp. marcescens NII09(MZ379165) Serratia marcescens subsp. marcescens NII08(MZ379164) Serratia marcescens subsp. marcescens NII07(MZ379163) Serratia marcescens subsp. marcescens NII06(MZ379162) Serratia marcescens subsp. marcescens NII05(MZ379161) Serratia marcescens subsp. marcescens NII67(MZ379233) Serratia marcescens subsp. marcescens NII01(MZ379177) Aeromonas caviae NII02(MZ379178) Aeromonas caviae NII03(MZ379179) Aeromonas caviae NII04(MZ379180) Aeromonas caviae NII12(MZ379170) Aeromonas hydrophila NII13(MZ379171) Aeromonas hydrophila NII14(MZ379172) Aeromonas hydrophila NII15(MZ379173) Aeromonas hydrophila NII16(MZ379174) Aeromonas hydrophila NII17(MZ379175) Aeromonas hydrophila NII18(MZ379176) Aeromonas hydrophila NII40(MZ379203) Aeromonas hydrophila NII41(MZ379204) Aeromonas hydrophila NII42(MZ379205) Aeromonas hydrophila NII43(MZ379206) Aeromonas hydrophila NII75(MZ379244) Aeromonas cavia NII76(MZ379245) Aeromonas caviae NII77(MZ379246) Aeromonas caviae NII78(MZ379247) Aeromonas caviae NII79(MZ379248) Aeromonas caviae NII73(MZ379239) Delftia tsuruhatensis NII74(MZ379240) Delftia tsuruhatensis NII72(MZ379238) Delftia tsuruhatensis NII71 (MZ379237) Delftia tsuruhatensis NII70(MZ379236) Delftia tsuruhatensis NII69(MZ379235) Delftia tsuruhatensis NII68(MZ379234) Delftia tsuruhatensis INN33(MZ379195) Delftia tsuruhatensis INN32(MZ379194) Delftia tsuruhatensis INN31(MZ379193) Delftia tsuruhatensis INN30(MZ379192) Delftia tsuruhatensis NII22(MZ379184) Delftia lacustris NII21(MZ379183) Delftia lacustris NII20(MZ379182) Delftia lacustris NII19(MZ379181) Delftia lacustris NII48(MZ379211) Paracoccus indicus NII49(MZ379212) Paracoccus indicus NII47(MZ379210) Paracoccus indicus NII46(MZ379209) Paracoccus indicus NII45(MZ379208) Paracoccus indicus NII44(MZ379207) Paracoccus indicus NII50(MZ379213) Planomicrobium okeanokoites NII51(MZ379214) Planomicrobium okeanokoites 99 NII52(MZ379215) Planomicrobium okeanokoite NII23(MZ379185) Asaia sp. NII24(MZ379186) Asaia sp. NII25(MZ379187) Asaia sp. NII26(MZ379188) Asaia sp. NII27(MZ379189) Asaia sp. NII28(MZ379190) Asaia sp. NII29(MZ379191) Asaia sp. NII80(MZ379249) Asaia sp. NII81(MZ379250) Asaia sp. NII82(MZ379251) Asaia sp. NII83(MZ379252) Asaia sp. NII84(MZ379253) Asaia sp. SNII85(MZ379254) Asaia sp. Human 18S rRNA gene (M10098.1)

0.05

Figure 3. Agarose gel electrophoresis of 165 rRNA amplicons. (A) 1–4 lanes are related to the 165 rRNA gene amplification of different isolated colonies, and M is 100 bp DNA marker; (B) 1–5 lanes are related to different isolated *Asaia* sp. colonies, and M is 100 bp DNA marker.

help select an effective symbiont microorganism to develop a paratransgenesis agent and decrease the vectorial capacity of mosquitoes and transmission of *Aedes*-borne diseases in future studies.
 Table 1. Isolated bacteria from the midgut of Ae. albopictus mosquitoes by culture-dependent method

No.	Name	Phylum	Gram stain
1	Aeromonas caviae	Proteobacteria	Gram-negative
2	Aeromonas hydrophila	Proteobacteria	Gram-negative
3	Delftia tsuruhatensis	Proteobacteria	Gram-negative
4	Delftia lacustris	Proteobacteria	Gram-negative
5	Paracoccus indicus	Proteobacteria	Gram-negative
6	Planomicrobium okeanokoites	Firmicutes	Gram-positive
7	Serratia marcescens subsp. marcescens	Proteobacteria	Gram-negative
8	Serratia nematodiphila	Proteobacteria	Gram-negative
9	Serratia marcescens	Proteobacteria	Gram-negative
10	Asaia sp.	Proteobacteria	Gram-negative

Author contributions

M.Da. accomplished the laboratory experiments and prepared the initial version of the manuscript. M.A. participated in the laboratory experiments and made revisions to the manuscript. M.R.A. accomplished all of the field activities. Z.G. and M.De. participated in the laboratory experiments. P.K. reviewed and edited the manuscript. N. D.D. served as the project's advisor. A.R. designed and supervised the project. Furthermore, he revised and finalized the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Funding

All co-authors have seen and agree with the contents of the manuscript and there is no financial interest to report.

Data availability

The sequences obtained and/or analysed during the present study are deposited in the GenBank database under the following accession number: M10098.1.

Key points

- Isolation of the symbiotic microbiota from the midgut of *Ae. albopictus* using culture-dependent methods.
- Identification of the isolated bacteria using biochemical and molecular techniques.
- Characterizing the bacterial symbionts present in the midgut of *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes collected from the field.
- Selecting a bacteria to develop the paratransgenesis strategy for combating *Aedes*-related infectious diseases.

References

- Perrings C, Williamson M, Barbier EB *et al.* Biological invasion risks and the public good: an economic perspective. *CE* 2002;6(1);1–7.
- 2 Hulme PE. Invasive species challenge the global response to emerging diseases. *Trends Parasitol* 2014;**30**:267–70.
- 3 Shaw WR, Catteruccia F. Vector biology meets disease control: using basic research to fight vector-borne diseases. *Nat Microbiol* 2019;4:20–34.
- 4 Izri A, Bitam I, Charrel R. First entomological documentation of Aedes (Stegomyia) albopictus (Skuse, 1894) in Algeria. Clin Microbiol Infect 2011;17:1116–8.
- 5 Kraemer MUG, Sinka ME, Duda KA et al. The global distribution of the arbovirus vectors Aedes aegypti and Ae. albopictus. Elife 2015;4:e08347.

- 6 Medlock JM, Hansford KM, Schaffner F et al. A review of the invasive mosquitoes in Europe: ecology, public health risks, and control options. *Vector-Borne Zoonotic* Dis 2012;12:435–47.
- 7 Bonizzoni M, Gasperi G, Chen X *et al.* The invasive mosquito species *Aedes albopictus*: current knowledge and future perspectives. *Trends Parasitol* 2013; 29:460–8.
- 8 World Health Organization. Dengue Situation Updates 2019. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2019.
- 9 Yadav KK, Naglot A, Patil PB, Bhagyawant SS, Veer V, Raju PS. Role of Dietzia maris bacteria in larval development of Aedes albopictus (Skuse)(Diptera: Culicidae). Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies, India. 2018.
- 10 Schaffner F, Bellini R, Petrić D et al. Development of guidelines for the surveillance of invasive mosquitoes in Europe. Parasites Vectors 2013;6:1–10.
- 11 Scolari F, Casiraghi M, Bonizzoni M. Aedes spp. and their microbiota: a review. Front Microbiol 2019;10:2036.
- 12 Minard G, Mavingui P, Moro CV. Diversity and function of bacterial microbiota in the mosquito holobiont. *Parasites Vectors* 2013;6:1–12.
- 13 Kamlesh KY, Ashok N, Kshitij C et al. Molecular characterization of midgut bacteria of Aedes albopictus exhibiting swarming motility property. Afr J Microbiol Res 2016;10:949–59.
- 14 Yadav KK, Naglot A, Patil PB et al. Role of Dietzia maris bacteria in larval development of Aedes albopictus (Skuse) (Diptera: Culicidae). J Entomol Zool Stud, India 2018;6(2): 2937–42.
- 15 Ricci I, Valzano M, Ulissi U et al. Symbiotic control of mosquito borne disease. Pathog Glob Health 2012;106:380–5.
- 16 Favia G, Ricci I, Marzorati M et al. Bacteria of the genus Asaia: a potential paratransgenic weapon against malaria. In: Aksoy S, (Ed) Transgenesis and the Management of Vector-Borne Disease, New York, Springer. 2008, 49-59.
- 17 Wang S, Jacobs-Lorena M. Paratransgenesis applications: fighting malaria with engineered mosquito symbiotic bacteria. In: Wikel S, Aksoy S, Dimopoulos G, (Eds). Arthropod Vector: Controller of Disease Transmission, Volume 1, Amesterdam, Elsevier, 2017. 219–34.
- 18 Darsie R, Samanidou-Voyadjoglou A. Keys for the identification of the mosquitoes of Greece. J Am Mosq Control Assoc-Mosq News 1997;13:247–54.
- 19 Rami A, Raz A, Zakeri S et al. Isolation and identification of Asaia sp. in Anopheles spp. mosquitoes collected from Iranian malaria settings: steps toward applying paratransgenic tools against malaria. Parasites Vectors 2018;11:1–8.
- 20 Djadid ND, Jazayeri H, Raz A *et al*. Identification of the midgut microbiota of *An*. *stephensi* and *An*. *maculipennis* for their application as a paratransgenic tool against malaria. *PloS One* 2011;6:e28484.
- 21 Boratyn GM, Camacho C, Cooper PS *et al.* BLAST: a more efficient report with usability improvements. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2013;**41**:W29–33.
- 22 McMichael AJ. Environmental and social influences on emerging infectious diseases: past, present and future. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 2004; **359**:1049–58.

- 23 Coutinho-Abreu IV, Zhu KY, Ramalho-Ortigao M. Transgenesis and paratransgenesis to control insect-borne diseases: current status and future challenges. *Parasitol Int* 2010;59:1–8.
- 24 Finney CA, Kamhawi S, Wasmuth JD. Does the arthropod microbiota impact the establishment of vector-borne diseases in mammalian hosts? *PLoS Pathog* 2015; 11:e1004646.
- 25 Monteiro VVS, Navegantes-Lima KC, de Lemos AB et al. Aedes-chikungunya virus interaction: key role of vector midguts microbiota and its saliva in the host infection. Front Microbiol 2019;10:492.
- 26 Kamal M, Kenawy MA, Rady MH et al. Mapping the global potential distributions of two arboviral vectors Aedes aegypti and Ae. albopictus under changing climate. PLoS One 2018;13:e0210122.
- 27 Zoure AA, Sare AR, Yameogo F *et al.* Bacterial communities associated with the midgut microbiota of wild *Anopheles* gambiae complex in Burkina Faso. *Mol Biol Rep* 2020;**47**:211–24.
- 28 Weiss B, Aksoy S. Microbiome influences on insect host vector competence. Trends Parasitol 2011;27:514–22.
- 29 Thapa S, Pant ND, Shrestha R et al. Prevalence of dengue and diversity of cultivable bacteria in vector Aedes aegypti (L.) from two dengue endemic districts, Kanchanpur and Parsa of Nepal. J Health Popul Nutr 2017;36:1–5.
- 30 Rosso F, Tagliapietra V, Albanese D *et al.* Reduced diversity of gut microbiota in two *Aedes* mosquitoes species in areas of recent invasion. *Sci Rep* 2018; 8:16091–11.
- 31 Cappelli A, Damiani C, Mancini MV et al. Asaia activates immune genes in mosquito eliciting an anti-Plasmodium response: implications in Malaria control. Front Genet 2019;10:836.
- 32 Bongio NJ, Lampe DJ. Inhibition of *Plasmodium berghei* development in mosquitoes by effector proteins secreted from *Asaia* sp. bacteria using a novel native secretion signal. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0143541.
- 33 Asgari M, Ilbeigikhamsehnejad M, Rismani E et al. Molecular characterization of RNase III protein of Asaia sp. for developing a robust RNAi-based paratransgensis tool to affect the sexual life-cycle of Plasmodium or Anopheles fitness. Parasit Vectors 2020;13:42.
- 34 Rocha EM, Marinotti O, Serrão DM et al. Culturable bacteria associated with Anopheles darlingi and their paratransgenesis potential. Malar J 2021;20:1–9.
- 35 Gusmão DS, Santos AV, Marini DC *et al.* Culture-dependent and cultureindependent characterization of microorganisms associated with *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) (L.) and dynamics of bacterial colonization in the midgut. *Acta Trop* 2010;115:275–81.
- 36 Alvarado WA, Agudelo SO, Velez ID et al. Description of the ovarian microbiota of Aedes aegypti (L) Rockefeller strain. Acta Trop 2021;214:105765.
- 37 Chen S, Blom J, Walker ED. Genomic, physiologic, and symbiotic characterization of *Serratia marcescens* strains isolated from the mosquito *Anopheles* stephensi. *Front Microbiol* 2017;8:1483.
- 38 Koosha M, Vatandoost H, Karimian F et al. Delivery of a genetically marked Serratia AS1 to medically important arthropods for use in RNAi and paratransgenic control strategies. *Microb Ecol* 2019;78:185–94.

© The Author(s) 2025. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Public Health Association.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

European Journal of Public Health, 2025, 35, 60-65

https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckae110

Zoonotic Diseases Supplement