# Spin-Label Study of the Mobility of Enzyme-Bound Lipoic Acid in the Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Multienzyme Complex of Escherichia coli

By MARY C. AMBROSE and RICHARD N. PERHAM Department of Biochemistry, University of Cambridge, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge CB2 1 QW, U.K.

# (Received 2 December 1975)

The lipoic acid residues covalently bound to the transacetylase component of the pyruvate dehydrogenase multienzyme complex of Escherichia coli were selectively modified by reaction with 4-maleimido-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidino-oxyl. The electronspin-resonance spectrum of the spin-labelled enzyme indicates that the bound nitroxide groups have high mobilities relative to the protein molecule. This physicochemical evidence is consistent with the view that the dithiolane ring ofa lipoyl residue is capable of rapid migration between the active sites of the component enzymes in the catalytic mechanism.

The pyruvate dehydrogenase multienzyme complex of Escherichia coli is a highly organized multimeric structure that contains three different types of polypeptide chain in multiple copies (Perham, 1975). These chains correspond to the three component enzymic activities of the complex, namely a pyruvate decarboxylase (El), a lipoyl transacetylase (E2) and lipoamide dehydrogenase (E3). The commonly accepted mechanism proposes that enzyme El brings about decarboxylation of the substrate, pyruvate, which leads in turn to reductiveacetylationofalipoicacidresiduecovalently bound to each chain of enzyme E2. The acetyl group is then transferred to CoA from its thio ester linkage with the lipoic acid, thereby generating the product, acetyl-CoA, and the resulting dihydrolipoamide is oxidized back to the disulphide form by lipoamide dehydrogenase (E3) at the expense of NAD+ [for reviews see Reed & Oliver (1968) and Reed (1974)].

The lipoic acid is bound to enzyme E2 by means of an amide bond involving its carboxyl group and the c-amino group of a lysine residue in a unique amino acidsequenceinthepolypeptidechain(Daigo &Reed, 1961). The dithiolane ring of the lipoic acid residue is therefore at the end of a covalent 'arm' that can reach about 1.5nm from the polypeptide backbone of enzyme E2 and it has long been thought (Green & Oda, 1961; Koike et al., 1963) that this 'swinging arm' can explain how the oxidized lipoyl groups could be reductively acylated at the active sites of the El components and the dihydrolipoamide groups subsequently be reoxidized at the active sites of the E3 components.

Such a mechanism implies considerable freedom to move on the part of the lipoyl residue. It has been shown (Brown & Perham, 1976) that in the presence of pyruvate and absence of CoA, maleimides with even quite bulky N-substituents can inhibit the complex by specific reaction with the free thiol group of the  $S<sup>6</sup>$ -acetyldihydrolipoamide generated during the part-functioning of the complex. This provides a convenient method for the selective introduction of reporter groups on to the lipoic acid. We show here that 4-maleimido-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidino-oxyl, a maleimide carrying a nitroxide spin label, is an effective inhibitor under these conditions and, from the e.s.r. (electron-spinresonance) spectrum of the spin-labelled enzyme, demonstrate that the lipoyl residue has a freedom of movement in keeping with the generally accepted reaction mechanism of the complex.

#### Materials and Methods

#### Reagents and enzymes

4-Maleimido-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidino - oxyl was from Synvar Corp., Palo Alto, CA, U.S.A. Acetyl phosphonate (dilithium salt) was kindly given by Dr. H. B. F. Dixon. All other reagents, including N-ethyl[2,3-14C]maleimide, were from the suppliers listed by Brown & Perham (1976).

Pyruvate dehydrogenase multienzyme complex, purified from a mutant of  $E$ . coli K12 constitutive for pyruvate dehydrogenase (see Brown & Perham, 1976), was kindly provided by Dr. J. P. Brown. The pyruvate dehydrogenase and lipoamide dehydrogenase activities were assayed as described by Brown & Perham (1976).

#### Reaction of pyruvate dehydrogenase with spinlabelled maleimide

A typical treatment, based on the work of Brown & Perham (1976), was as follows. Pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (2.5mg/ml) was incubated at 0°C in 50mM-potassium phosphate buffer, pH7.0, containing  $MgCl<sub>2</sub>$  (5mm), thiamin pyrophosphate (0.5mM), sodium pyruvate (1 mM) and 4-maleimido-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidino-oxyl (0.3mM). All buffers were de-gassed before use. After 30-40min incubation, inactivation of the pyruvate dehydrogenase activity was effectively complete and the treated complex was dialysed overnight at 2°C against the appropriate buffer. The solution was then concentrated by ultrafiltration to a final protein concentration of approx. 20mg/ml.

### E.s.r. spectra

E.s.r. spectra were obtained with a Varian E3 spectrometer, kindly made available by Dr. J. C. Metcalfe (Department of Biochemistry, University of Cambridge). Samples were injected into fine capillary tubes and maintained at 20°C in a steady stream of  $N_2$ .

### Other techniques

All other techniques have been described in detail by Brown & Perham (1976).

# Results and Discussion

In the presence of pyruvate and the absence of CoA, N-ethylmaleimide inhibits the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex by selective reaction with the transacetylase component (E2) and there is good evidence that the reaction is in fact with the lipoic acid residues covalently bound to that component (Brown & Perham, 1976). 4-Maleimido-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidino-oxyl was found to be an effective replacement for N-ethylmaleimide in this reaction. The kinetics of the inhibition of the pyruvate dehydrogenase activity were simple pseudofirst-order and the lipoamide dehydrogenase activity was left unaffected. In view of this similarity to the reaction of the multienzyme complex with Nethylmaleimide, no more elaborate study of the kinetics was undertaken at this stage.

The spin-labelled maleimide was not available in radiolabelled form. However, we showed that the reactions of N-ethylmaleimide and 4-maleimido-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidino-oxyl with the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex were mutually exclusive by the following experiment. The treatment of the complex with pyruvate and spin-labelled maleimide was set up as usual and samples were removed at various time-intervals. To each sample was then added N-ethyl[2,3-14C]maleimide (7.1 mCi/mmol) to a final concentration of <sup>1</sup> mm. With these relative concentrations of spin-labelled maleimide and N-ethylmaleimide, reaction with the latter dominates. After incubation for 20min at0°C, 2-mercaptoethanol (final concentration 0.1 M) was added to react with any free maleimides and the protein was analysed by sodium dodecyl sulphate/polyacrylamide-gel electrophoresis. Scintillation counting of the radioactivity of the stained protein bands showed that all the radioactivity was associated with the transacetylase component (Brown & Perham, 1976) and, further,

that the extent of reaction with 14C-labelled maleimide was inversely proportional to the percentage loss of enzyme activity at the time of sampling. We conclude therefore that the two maleimides react at the same site on the transacetylase component.

An e.s.r. spectrum of spin-labelled pyruvate dehydrogenase complex is shown in Fig. 1. Superimposed are the spectra of the addition product of cysteine and 4-maleimido-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidino-oxyl and of spin-labelled pyruvate dehydrogenase complex that had been digested for 30min at 37°C with trypsin  $(1\%, w/w)$  and subtilisin  $(1\%,$ w/w). All sanples were in the same buffer, 50mMpotassium phosphate, pH7.0, containing MgCl<sub>2</sub> (5mM) and thiamin pyrophosphate (0.5mM). No difference in the spectrum of the spin-labelled enzyme was detected if a buffer of 20mM-potassium phosphate, pH7.0, containing EDTA (1 mg/ml) was substituted.

These e.s.r. spectra indicate that the nitroxide group has a high degree of mobility on the spinlabelled enzyme. Little additional mobility was gained from the proteolytic digestion of the complex. From the widths of the hyperfine lines (Carrington & McLachan, 1967), we calculate the correlation times of the nitroxide group on the cysteine adduct and on the enzyme-bound lipoyl residue as approx.  $10^{-11}$ s and  $2 \times 10^{-10}$ s respectively. The rotational correlation time,  $t_c$ , of the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex was estimated from the Debye expression:  $t<sub>c</sub> = 4\pi\eta r<sup>3</sup>/3kT$  (Carrington & McLachan, 1967). For this purpose, the enzyme complex is assumed to be a sphere of effective radius  $r$ , undergoing isotropic Brownian rotational diffusion. Taking values of the diameter as 30nm (Reed & Oliver, 1968), 40nm (Dennert & Hoglund, 1970) and <sup>43</sup> nm (Durchschlag, 1975), we obtained values for  $t_c$  of  $0.35 \times 10^{-5}$ ,  $0.83 \times 10^{-5}$  and  $1.05 \times 10^{-5}$  s respectively. The nitroxide group attached to the enzyme has a freedom of movement far in excess of this and seems effectively unrestricted in its motion, which may have implications for the number and arrangement of active sites of component enzynes serviced by a given lipoic acid residue.

Preliminary experiments were also carried out to investigate the effect of substrates and cofactors on the e.s.r. spectrum. Spectra were taken of spinlabelled complex in the presence of (i) <sup>1</sup> mM-sodium pyruvate, (ii) <sup>1</sup> mM-acetyl phosphonate and (iii)  $50 \mu$ M-dihydrolipoamide, all dissolved in  $50 \text{mm}$ potassium phosphate, pH7.0, containing  $MgCl<sub>2</sub>$  $(5 \text{mm})$  and thiamin pyrophosphate  $(0.5 \text{mm})$ . Acetyl phosphonate is a powerful inhibitor of the enzyme, competitive with pyruvate  $(K_i$  approx.  $4 \times 10^{-6}$  M) (R. A. Harrison, R. N. Perham- & P. M. Slater, unpublished work). These spectra were all identical in shape with that of the spin-labelled enzyme in the absence of substrates or cofactors, indicating



Fig. 1. E.s.r. spectra of pyruvate dehydrogenase multienzyme complex in which the lipoyl residues have been spin-labelled by  $reaction with 4-maleimido-2,2,6,6-tetramethyl piperidino-oxyl$ 

-, Spin-labelled enzyme; ..., spin-labelled enzyme after digestion with trypsin and subtilisin; ----, adduct of free cysteine with spin-labelled maleimide. For other details, see the text.

that the particular compounds tested cause no decrease in the mobility of the nitroxide group bound to the lipoic acid.

The experiments described in the present paper have demonstrated that the lipoyl residues bound to the transacetylase component of the pyruvate dehydrogenase multienzyme complex of E. coli have virtually unrestricted freedom of motion, which provides convincing physicochemical evidence in support of the 'swinging arm' hypothesis of substrate channelling between the subunits. The mobility of the lipoyl residues can, of course, only be examined when they have been chemically modified, but our present results are consistent with the view that thermal energy is sufficient to drive the lipoyl residues on their visits to the active sites of the three component enzymes. However, the geometrical arrangement of the sites is still unknown and it remains to be determined whether these visits are random in the normal course of the catalytic reaction. On the basis of fluorescence-energytransfer experiments, Moe et al. (1974) have raised doubts about the ability of a single lipoic acid residue to span the distance they measured (about 4.5 nm) between the active sites of the El and E3 subunits. Further experiments to study the mobility of the spin-labelled lipoyl residues in the presence of various substrates should provide more detailed information about the subunit interactions in the multienzyme complex.

#### Note Added in Proof (Received 12 March 1976)

ImT

Since this paper was submitted for publication, conclusions similar to ours have been reported for the mobility of the lipoic acid in the pyruvate hydrogenase complex of Azotobacter vinelandii (Grande et al., 1975).

We thank Dr. T. R. Hesketh for advice on the use of the e.s.r. spectrometer and Mr. W. G. Griffin, Dr. A. Stone and Dr. R. M. Lynden-Bell for helpful discussion. We also thank the Science Research Council for a Studentship (M. C. A.) and financial support (Grant B/SR/9480).

#### References

- Brown, J. P. & Perham, R. N. (1976) Biochem. J. 155,419-427
- Carrington, A. & McLachan, A. D. (1967) Introduction to Magnetic Resonance, p. 187 and p. 197, Harper and Row, New York
- Daigo, K. & Reed, L. J. (1961) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 84, 660-667
- Dennert, G. & Hoglund, S. (1970) Eur. J. Biochem. 12, 502-507
- Durchschlag, H. (1975) Biophys. Struct. Mech. 1, 153- 168
- Grande, H. J., Bresters, T. W., de Abreu, R. A., de Kok, A. & Veeger, C. (1975) Eur. J. Biochem. 59, 355-363
- Green, D. E. & Oda, T. (1961) J. Biochem. (Tokyo) 49, 742-757
- Koike, M., Reed, L. J. & Carroll, W. R. (1963) J. Biol. Chem. 238, 30-39
- Moe, 0. A., Jr., Lerner, D. A. & Hammes, G. G. (1974), Biochemistry 13, 2552-2557
- Perham, R. N. (1975) Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Ser. B 272, 123-136
- Reed, L. J. (1974) Acc. Chem. Res. 7, 40-46
- Reed, L. J. & Oliver, R. M. (1968) Brookhaven Symp. Biol. 21, 397-411