Deoxyribonucleic Acid Synthesis in Mammalian Nuclei

INCORPORATION OF DEOXYRIBONUCLEOTIDES AND CHAIN-TERMINATING NUCLEOTIDE ANALOGUES

By M. A. WAQAR, L. A. BURGOYNE AND M. R. ATKINSON* School of Biological Sciences, Flinders University ofSouth Australia, Bedford Park, S. Austral. 5042, Australia

(Received 7 September 1970)

The properties of a nuclear preparation from rat liver and thymus are described. (1) Nearest-neighbour analysis after incorporation of 3P-labellednucleotide residues from dATP, dCTP, dGTP, dTTP and arabinofuranosyl analogues of CTP and ATP shows template-dependent DNA synthesis. (2) Where primer termini are limiting, incorporation of arabinofuranosyl analogues of AMP and CMP residues proceeds to ^a limit indicating that both of these analogues are DNA chain terminators. (3) No large differences have been found between the priming potentialities or the intrinsic DNA polymerase activities of nuclei from resting or regenerating liver and the relationship of this DNA synthesis in vitro to DNA replication or repair in vivo is briefly discussed.

Though the intracellular distribution of DNA polymerases in animal tissues has been extensively studied (for a review see Keir, 1965), little is known of the factors that control DNA replication and repair in nuclei. When attempting to obtain rat liver and thymus nuclei suitable for studies of control of DNA synthesis, it was found that DNA synthesis in vitro was largely determined by the priming capacity of the nucleoprotein template and this was highly variable. However, this could be controlled by controlling a Ca2+-dependent endonuclease activity that was producing primer termini (Burgoyne, Waqar & Atkinson, 1970a,b).

Accounts of the Ca^{2+} stimulation of DNA synthesis in liver (Burgoyne et al. 1970a) and thymus nuclei (Burgoyne et al. 1970b) have been published. This paper describes further properties of the deoxyribonucleotide-incorporation system of isolated nuclei and gives evidence that arabinosyl nucleotides, metabolites of the powerful growth inhibitors arabinosyl-adenine and arabinosylcytosine, are incorporated into nuclear DNA.

EXPERIMENTAL

Substrates and inhibitors. $[\alpha^{-3}$ ²P]dATP, $[\alpha^{-3}$ ²P]dCTP, $[\alpha^{-32}P] dGTP$, $[\alpha^{-32}P] dTTP$, $[\alpha^{-32}P] araATP$ and $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ araCTP (1 Ci/mmol at date of synthesis) were prepared as described by Symons (1969). Unlabelled ATP, dATP, dCTP, dGTP, dTTP and phosphoenolpyruvate were from Sigma Chemical Company (St Louis, Mo., U.S.A.). Pronase was obtained from Calbiochem (Los Angeles, Calif., U.S.A.). Venom phosphodiesterase, micrococcal nuclease, calf spleen phosphodiesterase and deoxyribonuclease I were purchased from Worthington Biochemical Corporation (Freehold,N.J.,U.S.A.). Arabinofuranosyl-eytosine was a gift from Dr W. J. Wechter and arabinofuranosyl-adenine was from the Cancer Chemotherapy National Service Centre. DNA was prepared from calf thymus (Kay, Simmons & Dounce, 1952).

Preparation of nuclei. Nuclei were isolated from livers of adult male rats and from thymus glands of young male rats (about 4 months old; 150-200g) by modification (Burgoyne et al. 1970a) of the Blobel & Potter (1966) method.

Isolation of nuclei in the presence of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} . The highest priming capacities and rates of synthesis were obtained with nuclei isolated in the presence of bivalent cations. The preparation was as described above, but all solutions contained $1 \text{mm} \cdot \text{CaCl}_2 - 5 \text{mm} \cdot \text{MgCl}_2$ and no EDTA or EGTA.

Activation of nuclei and assay of nucleotide incorporation. Procedures were described by Burgoyne et al. (1970a).
Assay solutions normally contained $20 \mu \text{m} \cdot [\alpha^{-32} \text{P}]$. solutions normally contained $20\,\mu\text{m-}\left[\alpha^{-32}\text{P}\right]$ dTTP and 0.4mm-ATP-0.4mM-dATP-0.4mm-dCTP-0.4mM-dGTP-2mM-phosphoenolpyruvate-1mM-EDTA-0.2mM-EGTA-10mM-MgCl₂. Where other labelled 0.2 mm-EGTA- 10 mm-MgCl₂. nucleotides were used as substrates the three appropriate non-radioactive deoxyribonucleotides were present at 0.4mM. Specific activities and concentrations of nuclei in assays are shown in figure legends.

Isolation of labelled DNA. Nuclear suspensions, after labelling as described above, were shaken with 0.5vol. of redistilled 78% (v/v) phenol to stop the reaction and the precipitate formed on addition of 5vol. of ethanol to the

^{*} Deceased 30 May 1970.

t Abbreviations: araCMP, araAMP, araCTP and araATP, arabinofuranosyl analogues of CMP, AMP, CTP and ATP; EGTA, ethanedioxybis(ethylamine)tetraacetate.

Table 1. Nearest-neighbour analysis

emulsion was collected by centrifuging at 10000g for 10min, then washed three times with 75% ethanol containing l0mM-EDTA (sodium salt), pH7.0. The precipitate was incubated for 2.5h at 37°C in 10mm-EDTA (sodium salt)-pronase $(50 \,\mu\text{g/ml})$ that had been preincubated for 2h at 37°C to inactivate nucleases. Sodium chloride was added to a final concentration of 0.25m and the solution was extracted with an equal volume of 78% phenol; 2.5 vol. of ethanol was added to the aqueous layer to precipitate DNA. The precipitate was dissolved in 0.1M-EDTA (sodium salt), pH7.0, and the nucleic acid fraction was transferred into 0.01 M-tris chloride-0.1mm-EDTA, pH 7.4, by passage through ^a column of Sephadex G-50. After incubation in 0.3m-KOH at 37°C for 18h to hydrolyse RNA, the DNA was precipitated by adjustment to pH7.0 with dilute acetic acid and addition of 3vol. of ethanol, and the DNA was washed with 75% ethanol.

Hydrolysis and nearest-neighbour analysis. Nearestneighbour analysis was carried out after hydrolysis with micrococcal nuclease and calf spleen phosphodiesterase (Josse, Kaiser & Kornberg, 1961; Wu & Kaiser, 1968). The identity of incorporated dAMP, dCMP, dGMP, dTMP, araAMP and araCMP was confirmed by electrophoresis in 0.05M-tris citrate, pH3.4, and by chromatography in isobutyric acid-aq. $1 M \cdot NH_3$ soln.-0.1 M-EDTA (sodium salt) (100:60:1.6, by vol.) after hydrolysis with pancreatic deoxyribonuclease and venom phosphodiesterase (Lehman, Bessman, Simms & Kornberg, 1958).

RESULTS

DNA synthesis in liver nuclei when DNA was extensively nicked by exposure to Ca^{2+} during isolation. Liver nuclei incorporated deoxyribonucleotide into endogenous DNA at ^a rate that depended on the conditions of isolation and pretreatment of the nuclei. Incorporation of [32P]dAMP, [32P]dCMP, $[^{32}P]dGMP, [^{32}P]dTMP, [^{32}P]araCMP$ or $[^{32}P]$. araAMP from the corresponding $[\alpha^{32}P]$ deoxyribonucleoside triphosphates, or $\lceil \alpha^{-32} P \rceil$ arabinoside triphosphates in the presence of the three other nonradioactive deoxyribonucleoside triphosphates, was shown by isolation of the labelled DNA and liberation of 5'-nucleotides with deoxyribonuclease I and venom diesterase. With the exception of $[{}^{32}P]$ araCMP, 95% of the label was in the nucleotide corresponding to the radioactive triphosphate and with $[32P]$ araCMP more than 86% radioactivity was released as $[^{32}P]$ araCMP when $[\alpha^{32}P]$ araCTP was used as the radioactive substrate. Nearestneighbour analysis (Tables 1-4) showed incorporation adjacent to each of the four possible primer nucleotides.

With nuclei prepared in the presence of $Ca²⁺$ and Mg2+, when single radioactive triphosphates were incubated in the absence of other added nonradioactive deoxyribonucleoside triphosphates there was still extensive incorporation continuing for at least 80 \min at about 50 $\%$ of the rate in the complete system (Fig. 1). Under these conditions there were

 $\frac{1}{30}$

Table 2. Nearest-neighbour frequencies of rat liver nuclear DNA

Primary data for this table are presented in Table ¹ but here dinucleotide frequencies are expressed relative to all possible dinucleotide frequencies (cf. Josse et al. 1961). Percentage values in parentheses are those found in the absence of the three unlabelled triphosphates.

α - γ - Labelled triphosphate	Net percentage dinucleotide sequence			
dATP	T-A	$A - A$	C-A	G-A
	5.2(7.8)	3.3(8.1)	10.0(7.9)	3.8(3.9)
dTTP	т.т	A T	C-T	G-T
	3.8(6.6)	5.5(7.5)	10.9(7.5)	6.9(6.8)
dGTP	T-G	A-G	$C-G$	G-G
	9.5(6.8)	6.8(6.2)	1.4(1.1)	3.7(5.1)
dCTP	T-C	$A-C$	C-C	G-C
	8.6(7.3)	6.7(5.9)	6.9(8.3)	7.0(3.4)

Table 3. Nearest-neighbour analysis ($[\alpha^{-3}P]$ araCTP as substrate)

Nuclei were labelled and nearest-neighbour analysis was carried out as described in the Experimental section.

Labelled triphosphate [x, 32P]araCTP

Table 4. Nearest-neighbour analysis $([\alpha^{-3}P]$ ara A TP as substrate)

Nuclei were labelled and nearest-neighbour analysis was carried out as described in the Experimental section.

Table 5. Inhibition of DNA synthesis by $araCTP$

Nuclei (1.4 x 10⁶/assay), previously activated to a limited extent by incubation for 10min at 0-2°C, were assayed for polymerase in the presence of 1mM-EDTA, 0.2mM-EGTA, 10mM-MgCl₂, 2mM-phosphoenolpyruvate, 0.34 M-sucrose, dATP, dCTP, dGTP and ATP (each 0.4 mM) and 9.5μ M-[α -³²P]dTTP (1.01 \times 10^9 c.p.m./ μ mol). The incorporation was carried out for 30 min.

Fig. 1. Incorporation of $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ araATP and $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ dTTP into extensively activated nuclear DNA. Nuclei $(1.9 \times$ 10^6 /assay) were exposed to Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ during isolation in assay solution with $1 \text{mm} \text{-} \text{CaCl}_2$, $9 \text{mm} \text{-} \text{MgCl}_2$, $2 \text{mm} \text{-}$ phosphoenolpyruvate, 0.4mM-ATP and 0.34M-sucrose. Assays were carried out in the presence of: \bigcirc , 21.5μ M- $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ araATP (4.9 × 10⁸ c.p.m./ μ mol); Δ , $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ araATP and dCTP, dGTP and dTTP (each 0.4mm); \bullet , $50 \mu \text{m}$ - $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ dTTP (3.2 × 10⁸ c.p.m./ μ mol); A, $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ dTTP and dCTP, dGTP and dATP (each 0.4mm).

small but repeatable changes in the pattern of nearest-neighbour frequencies (Table 2).

The radioactivity in labelled DNA purified from nuclei as described in the Experimental section was

Fig. 2. DNA-chain termination by $\lceil \alpha^{-32} P \rceil$ araATP in nuclei activated at 37°C for 10min. Nuclei (1.7×10^6) assay) were treated in assay solution as described for Table 5 and the incubation was in the presence of: \bigcirc , 17.2 μ M-[α -³²P]araATP (9.2 × 10⁸ c.p.m./ μ mol); $(9.2 \times 10^8 \text{ c.p.m.}/\mu \text{mol});$ Δ , $\lceil \alpha^{-32}P \rceil$ araATP and dCTP, dGTP and dTTP (each 0.4mm); \bullet , $\lceil \alpha \cdot {}^{32}P \rceil$ araATP, 0.4mm each of dCTP, dGTP and dTTP, and 1mm-CaCl2.

completely converted into an acid-soluble form by pancreatic deoxyribonuclease.

As expected, pancreatic ribonuclease A had no effect on the DNA synthesis but pancreatic deoxyribonuclease, added during incubation with labelled $\lceil \alpha^{-32}P \rceil dTTP$, abolished incorporation of $\frac{32P}{2}$ into acid-precipitable material (Table 5).

DNA synthesis in nuclei when DNA was only partially nicked by limited exposure to Ca^{2+} . $\lceil \alpha^{-32}P \rceil$ araATP and $\lceil \alpha^{-32}P \rceil$ araCTP were not incorporated into non-activated nuclei. When the nuclear DNA underwent a limited amount of nicking, by a controlled exposure to Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} , incorporation of $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ araATP and $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ araCTP commenced but in time reached a limit, indicating that incorporation of both araAMP and araCMP depended on the availability of priming sites (cf. Fig. ² and 3). On re-exposure of the nuclear DNA to Ca2+, incorporation resumed (Fig. 3) and at a rate comparable with that obtained with nuclei incubated in the presence of Ca2+. Addition of activated calf thymus DNA could also fulfil the need for additional priming sites (Fig. 4).

The chain-terminating arabinosyl analogues were also incorporated into DNA of isolated rat thymus nuclei but in this case, as with $\lceil \alpha^{-32}P \rceil dTTP$ incorporation (Burgoyne et al. 1970b), the net incorporation reached a maximum and then decreased during the assay period owing to the presence of degradative enzymes in the nuclei (Fig. 5).

Fig. 3. Dependence of $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ araATP incorporation on the presence of priming ends. Activated nuclei (1.8x 106/assay) were treated in assay solution as described for Table 5 and the incubation was in the presence of: \bullet , $17.2 \,\mu\text{m}$ -[α ⁻³²P]araATP (6.0 × 10⁷c.p.m./ μ mol), 0.4 mM each of dCTP, dGTP and dTTP (1mm-CaCl₂ was added after 75min incubation; activation of the nuclei was at 0°C for 25min); \circ , [α -³²P]araATP, dCTP, dGTP and dTTP (each 0.4mm) (1mm-CaCl₂ was added after 75min incubation; activation of the nuclei was at 37°C for 6min); \blacktriangle , $[\alpha^{32}P]$ araATP, 0.4mm each of dCTP, dGTP and dTTP (1mm-CaCl_2) was added immediately when the incubation started; activation of the nuclei was at 37°C for 6min). Almost identical results were obtained when $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ araCTP $(6.9 \times 10^8 \text{ c.p.m.}/\mu \text{mol})$ was used as the labelled substrate.

Fig. 4. Re-initiation of $\lceil \alpha^{-32}P \rceil$ araCTP incorporation by deoxyribonuclease-I-activated calf thymus DNA. Nuclei $(1.05 \times 10^6/\text{assay})$ were in assay solution as described for Table 5 with dATP, dGTP and dTTP (each 0.4mM). The incubation was carried out in the presence of: \odot , $6\,\mu\text{m}$ - $\lceil \alpha^{-32}P \rceil$ araCTP $(1.87 \times 10^9 \text{ c.p.m.}/\mu \text{mol})$; \bullet , $\lceil \alpha^{-32}P \rceil$ araCTP (the nuclei were activated at 37"C for 4min and deoxyribonuclease-I-activated calf thymus DNA was added after 60min incubation); \blacktriangle , [α -³²P]araCTP and 1mm-CaCl₂; Δ , α^{-32} P]araCTP, deoxyribonuclease-I-activated calf thymus DNA was added at zero time.

Fig. 5. Incorporation of $\lceil \alpha^{-32} P \rceil$ araATP by rat thymus nuclei. Incubation conditions were as described in Table 5 with the assay solution containing $25.8\,\mu\text{m-}\left[\alpha^{-32}\text{P}\right]$ araATP $(6.0 \times 10^{7} \text{ c.p.m.}/ \mu \text{mol})$, dCTP, dGTP and dTTP (0.4mm) each). Each assay $(50 \,\mu\text{I})$ contained nuclei corresponding to $0.89 \,\mu$ mol of DNA P. Incorporation was measured: \bullet , in the absence of Ca²⁺; \circ , in the presence of 1 mm-CaCl₂.

araC and araA (% of total cytidine nucleotide or adenosine nucleotide substrate)

Fig. 6. Competition between labelled arabinoside triphosphate incorporation and the corresponding deoxyriboside triphosphate. Nuclei were exposed to Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} during isolation. Assays were for 15min and assay conditions were the same as those described for Fig. ¹ with modifications as stated below. The ratio of arabinoside triphosphate to its corresponding deoxyribonucleotide was varied as shown in the figure. In both cases the control incorporation corresponds to the incorporation when the test mixture contains 30μ M-arabinoside triphosphate without corresponding deoxyribonucleotide. With cytosine corresponding deoxyribonucleotide. With cytosine arabinoside this was 0.57 nmol/109 nuclei and with adenine arabinoside this was 0.94 nmol/10⁹ nuclei. \bigcirc , $30 \mu \text{m}$ (sum of dCTP and $\left[\alpha^{32}P\right]$ araCTP 2.18×10^9 c.p.m./ μ mol), 1.2×10^6 nuclei/assay with dATP, dGTP and dTTP (each 0.4mm). \bullet , 30 μ m (sum of dATP and [α -³²P]araATP 2.39×10^9 c.p.m./ μ mol), 1.02×10^6 nuclei/assay with dCTP, dGTP and dTTP (each 0.4mM).

Both the compounds araATP and araCTP were found to inhibit DNA synthesis in isolated rat liver and thymus nuclei. The results indicated that incorporation of $\lbrack \alpha^{-3}{}^{2}P \rbrack$ araCTP and $\lbrack \alpha^{-3}{}^{2}P \rbrack$ araATP competed successfully with dCTP and dATP respectively (Fig. 6).

Intrinsic DNA polymerase activities of nuclei from resting and regenerating liver. Nuclei prepared in the absence of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} from normal or 24h regenerating rat liver had incorporation rates close to the lowest limit of detection in our system. The rate was $1-2\%$ of that observed in the presence of ¹ mM-calcium chloride. Moreover, even in the presence of calcium this nuclear preparation did not show significant differences between nuclei from normal and regenerating livers.

DISCUSSION

Nearest-neighbour frequencies observed in the presence of all four deoxyribonucleoside triphosphates did not differ significantly from the values expected for rat liver DNA, and in the absence of the other three deoxyribonucleoside triphosphates there was no extensive homopolymer formation (Table 1) of the type that would result from template-independent terminal transferase (Krakow, Coutsageorgopoulos & Canellakis, 1962; Bollum, Groeniger & Yoneda, 1964). The small increase in homodinucleotide frequencies (4.8% for A-A, 1.4% for C-C, 1.4% for G-G and 2.8% for T-T; Table 2) was consistent with template-dependent limit attachment at endogenous primer termini. So attachment to endogenous primer termini was probably a major component of incorporation in vitro. When chain-terminating nucleotide analogues, i.e. $[\alpha^{.32}P]$ araATP or $[\alpha^{.32}P]$ araCTP, were used as the labelled substrate (Tables 3 and 4) nearest-neighbour frequencies similar to those with the deoxyribonucleotides were obtained.

To reconcile the apparent template-dependence ofincorporation from single triphosphates with their relatively rapid and continued incorporation in nuclei prepared in the presence of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} , the following two possibilities were considered. (a) The nuclei might contain endogenous deoxyribonucleoside triphosphates sufficient to maintain the observed rates of incorporation. These triphosphates might have been present in vivo or have been formed from endogenous DNA by nuclease and kinase action. (b) The nuclear DNA might be so extensively nicked that observed incorporation might involve attachment to one or a few nucleotides at each primer terminus.

The results reported here further support the conclusion (Burgoyne et al. 1970a) that the availability of primer ends (alternative b) was the major factor controlling the incorporation in the absence of the complete complement of deoxyribonucleotides.

The prime objective of the nearest-neighbour frequency studies was to demonstrate that both the ordinary deoxyribonucleotides and the arabinosyl nucleotides were being incorporated into a heteropolymer with a composition similar to that expected for rat DNA. We think that these results have demonstrated this; nevertheless there were deviations from the ratios expected for a complementary double-stranded DNA (e.g. G-G is not equal to C-C, Table 2). A complete evaluation of the significance of these deviations was not possible with these results. However, as incorporations in the complete system were carried out under conditions that gave a large excess of primer ends it might be expected that some base sequences would be preferentially copied and thus cause deviations in the gross nearest-neighbour ratios.

In the cases of omission of the three unlabelled deoxyribonucleoside triphosphates additional deviations would arise from the preferential copying of the limited number of homonucleotide sequences available.

The limit attachment of $[3^{2}P]$ araCMP or $[3^{2}P]$ araAMP to nicked DNA in activated nuclei, and the further incorporation achieved when new primer sites were made available by the addition of either Ca2+ or activated DNA, indicated that DNA synthesis was limited by the availability of priming sites and that the arabinosyl nucleotides appeared to function as chain terminators. The chaintermination mechanism observed in vitro would be expected to be operable in vivo. Both these chainterminating arabinoside triphosphates acted as a substrate for mammalian DNA polymerase and appeared to terminate chains by terminal addition of arabinosyl nucleotide to the growing polydeoxyribonucleotide chains, which then prevented further addition of other nucleotides. This agrees with studies on partially purified DNA polymerase from animal (Momparler, 1969) and bacterial (Atkinson, Deutscher, Kornberg, Russel & Moffatt, 1969) sources.

If the synthesis we were observing were true replication we would have expected to find more intrinsic priming ability in nuclei from regenerating liver than in nuclei from normal liver. Although nuclei from regenerating liver have been found to have slightly higher intrinsic (i.e. Ca²⁺-free) priming activity, we consider these differences too small to be convincing. Thus we consider that the processes we are observing in vitro are still a very imperfect reflection of the process of DNA synthesis, or repair, in vivo.

This work was supported by the Australian Research Grants Committee and the University of Adelaide Anti-Cancer Foundation.

REFERENCES

- Atkinson, M. R., Deutscher, M. P., Kornberg, A. Russel, A. F. & Moffatt, J. G. (1969). Biochemistry, Easton, 8, 4897.
- Blobel, G. & Potter, V. R. (1966). Science, N.Y., 154, 1662.
- Bollum, F. J., Groeniger, E. & Yoneda, M. (1964). Proc. natn. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 51, 853.
- Burgoyne, L. A., Waqar, M. A. & Atkinson, M. R. (1970a). Biochem. biophy8. Re8. Commun. 39, 254.
- Burgoyne, L. A., Waqar, M. A. & Atkinson, M. R. (1970b). Biochem. biophy8. Res. Commun. 39, 918.
- Josse, J., Kaiser, A. D. & Kornberg, A. (1961). J. biol. Chem. 236, 864.
- Kay, E. R. M., Simmons, N. S. & Dounce, A. L. (1952). J. Am. chem. Soc. 74, 1724.
- Keir, H. M. (1965). Prog. nucleic Acid Res. molec. Biol. 4, 81.
- Krakow, J. S., Coutsageorgopoulos, C. & Canellakis, E. S. (1962). Biochim. biophys. Acta, 55, 639.
- Lehman, I. R., Bessman, M. J., Simms, E. S. & Kornberg, A. (1958) . J. biol. Chem. 233, 163.
- Momparler, R. L. (1969). Biochem. biophys. Re8. Commun. 34, 465.
- Symons, R. H. (1969). Biochim. biophys. Acta, 190, 548.
- Wu, R. & Kaiser, A. D. (1968). J. molec. Biol. 35, 523.