Errata

Table 3

Association of the Truncating Mutation E265X and the Missense variant R462Q of the *RNASEL* Gene with Patients with BPH, Unselected PRCA, or HPC

Patient or Family Sample and Mutation	No. of Carriers/ Total (Frequency)	OR	95% CI	P
E265X:				
Controls	10/566 (1.8%)	1.00	...	...
Patients with BPH	7/223 (3.1%)	1.80	.68–4.79	.24
Patients with unselected PRCA	10/492 (2.0%)	1.15	.48–2.80	.75
All patients with HPC	5/116 (4.3%)	2.51	.84–7.47	.1
Two affected	1/64 (1.6%)	.88	.11–7.01	.91
Three affected	2/31 (6.5%)	3.83	.80–18.31	.09
Four or more affected	2/21 (9.5%)	5.85	1.20–28.87	.03[a]
R462Q homozygotes:				
Controls	23/176 (13.1%)	1.00	...	...
Patients with unselected PRCA	24/167 (14.4%)	1.12	.60–2.07	.73
All patients with HPC	15/66 (22.7%)	1.96	.95–4.03	.07
Two affected	2/19 (10.5%)	.78	.17–3.61	.75
Three affected	7/26 (26.9%)	2.45	.93–6.47	.07
Four or more affected	6/21 (28.6%)	2.66	.94–7.55	.07

[a] Statistically significant.

In the May 2002 issue of the *Journal,* in the article entitled "Germline Alterations of the *RNASEL* Gene, a Candidate *HPC1* Gene at 1q25, in Patients and Families with Prostate Cancer," by Rökman et al. (70:1299–1304), four of the odds ratios and their corresponding 95% CI figures were incorrect. The corrected table 3 is shown here. The authors regret these errors and thank Professor Henrik Grönberg for bringing these mistakes to their attention.

———

In the June 1999 issue of the *Journal,* in the article entitled "Mutational Analysis of the Defective Protease in Classic Late-Infantile Neuronal Ceroid Lipofuscinosis, a Neurodegenerative Lysosomal Storage Disorder" by Sleat et al. (64:1511–1523), we reported in error that the cell line GUS16776 lacked CLN2 protease activity. Subsequent reanalysis of this cell line, which was derived from a patient originally diagnosed with late-infantile neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis, has revealed the activity of the *CLN2* gene product, tripeptidyl peptidase I, to be normal in this cell line; thus, a defect in a gene other than *CLN2* is likely. The authors regret this error.