# The Reaction of Mitochondrial L-3-Glycerophosphate Dehydrogenase with Various Electron Acceptors

BY A. P. DAWSON\* AND C. J. R. THORNE Department of Biochemistry, University of Cambridge

(Received 27 February 1969)

1. The kinetics of the reaction of glycerophosphate dehydrogenase with a variety of electron acceptors have been investigated. 2. In all cases the reaction mechanism appears to involve a free modified-enzyme intermediate. 3. With some electron acceptors, the maximum velocity of the reaction and the  $K_m$  for glycerophosphate are independent of the nature of the electron acceptor, whereas in other cases this is not so. 4. The reaction mechanism of the enzyme extracted with phospholipase A instead of with Triton X-100 is of a similar type.

A variety of artificial electron acceptors has been used to assay mitochondrial L-3-glycerophosphate dehydrogenase (EC 1.1.99.5). Green (1936) found that the enzyme in particulate form reduced Methylene Blue, and Tung, Anderson & Lardy (1952) found that this activity was retained after extraction of the enzyme with deoxycholate. Ling, Wu, Ting & Tung (1957) used DCIPt as electron acceptor with their preparation, which had been solubilized with deoxycholate and trypsin. Ringler & Singer (1959) showed that the enzyme in a soluble state after extraction with phospholipase A also reduced PMS and ferricyanide. Later work showed that the enzyme extracted with phospholipase A also reduced exogenous Q-6 (Szarkowska & Drabikowska, 1964), and a preparation solubilized with Triton X-100 reduced exogenous Q-10 in addition to DCIP and PMS (Dawson & Thorne, 1969).

The present paper describes a comparative study of the kinetics of reduction of a variety of electron acceptors by glycerophosphate dehydrogenase solubilized with Triton X-100. Gawron, Mahajan, Linetti, Kananen & Gliad (1966) found that for succinate dehydrogenase the reaction kinetics varied, depending on whether the enzyme was in soluble or particulate form. Some comparative results are therefore included on the reaction mechanism of the glycerophosphate dehydrogenase extracted with phospholipase A, since this preparation is in true solution; the Triton-extracted preparation requires the continuous presence of the detergent to maintain it in solution.

\* Present address: School of Biological Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich NOR 88C.

t Abbreviations: DCIP, 2,6-dichlorophenol-indophenol; PMS, phenazine methosulphate;  $Q-n$ , ubiquinone-n where  $n$ is the number of isoprene units in the side chain.

#### MATERIALS

DL-3-Glycerophosphate (disodium salt, grade X) and DLglyceraldehyde 3-phosphate (monobarium salt, diethyl acetal) were obtained from Sigma (London) Chemical Co. (London S.W.6). DCIP was obtained from British Drug Houses Ltd. (Poole, Dorset). PMS was purchased from Sigma (London) Chemical Co. Q-10, the crystalline compound from pig heart, was a kind gift from Dr 0. Isler (Roche Products, Basle, Switzerland). Q-6 (95-99% pure) was purchased from Koch-Light Laboratories (Colnbrook, Bucks.). Q-O, Q-1 and Q-2 were the kindgift ofDr G. E. Boxer (Merck, Sharp and Dohme Research Laboratories, Rahway, N.J., U.S.A.). Menadione (2-methyl-1,4-naphthaquinone) was purchased from Sigma (London) Chemical Co., as was freeze-dried Naja naja venom.

All other reagents were of A.R. or highest available grade and were obtained from British Drug Houses Ltd. or Hopkin and Williams Ltd. (Chadwell Heath, Essex).

All reagents were made up in deionized water from a Permutit series <sup>11</sup> deionizer. The pH of buffer solutions was determined with a Radiometer type 22pH-meter fitted with a type B glass electrode (Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark) and standardized with solutions prepared from standard buffer tablets (Burroughs Wellcome and Co., London N.W.1).

#### METHODS

Preparation of glycerophosphate dehydrogenase. Method 1. The enzyme was solubilized with Triton X-100 and partially purified by the method of Dawson & Thorne (1969), to a specific activity of at least 1.1 DCIP units/mg., where 1 DCIP unit is that amount of enzyme reducing  $1\mu$ mole of DCIP/min. under the conditions described by Dawson & Thorne (1969).

Method 2. Solubilization of glycerophosphate dehydrogenase by phospholipase A from Naja naja venom was carried out as described by Ringler (1961). The purification was taken as far as the first  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  precipitation.

Glyceropho8phate dehydrogenaae a88ay8. All asays were

carried out at 38°. Spectrophotometric assays were performed in <sup>a</sup> Beckman DK2A recording spectrophotometer, fitted with a constant-temperature cell housing.

(a) DCIP and PMS assays. These were carried out as described by Dawson & Thorne (1969).

(b) Quinone assays. The Q-10 reduction assay was carried out by the method of Dawson & Thorne (1969). Q-6 reduction was followed by a modification of the same method. The basal mixture consisted of 5.0ml. of  $0.1M-KH_2PO_4-$ NaOH buffer, pH7.6, and 1.2ml. of 1% aq. (w/v) Triton  $X-100$ . To this was added 1.0ml. of ethanolic  $lmm-Q-6$ , and the mixture was incubated without shaking until clear. For enzyme assays the reaction mixture contained, in a 0-5cm.-light-path cell, 0-72ml. of the Q-6 emulsion and 0-2ml. of 0-125M-L-3-glycerophosphate as the racemic mixture in a total volume of lml. The reaction was started by the addition of enzyme and the decrease in  $E_{274}$  followed.  $\Delta E_{274}$  (oxidized-reduced) for Q-6 dispersed in Triton X-100 was calculated to be 11-9cm.<sup>2</sup>/ $\mu$ mole, based on a value of  $14.5 \text{ cm.}^2/\mu$  mole for  $E_{272}$  of the oxidized compound in cyclohexane (Gloor, Isler, Morton, Rüegg & Wiss, 1958).

For experiments in which the concentration of Q-10 or Q-6 was varied, reactions were carried out at constant concentrations of Triton and ethanol. The concentrations of Triton (0.12%, w/v) and ethanol (10%, v/v) used in the assays had no effect on the activity of the enzyme in the DCIP assay.

Q-0, Q-1 and Q-2 are all sufficiently soluble in water for the assays to be carried out in the absence of Triton. All assays involving these compounds were carried out in 0-05M-KH2PO4-NaOH buffer, pH7-6, in 0-5cm.-light-path cells.  $\Delta E$  (oxidized-reduced) for these compounds in water were calculated from the published values for  $E_{\text{oxidized}}$ in ethanol (Morton, 1965). The following values were used: for Q-0,  $\Delta E_{264}$  was 14.4cm.<sup>2</sup>/ $\mu$ mole; for Q-1,  $\Delta E_{275}$  was 12.6cm.<sup>2</sup>/ $\mu$ mole; for Q.2,  $\Delta E_{275}$  was 10.9cm.<sup>2</sup>/ $\mu$ mole.

Menadione reduction was measured in a Thunberg tube with a quartz spectrophotometer cell fused on to the end. The reaction mixture (2ml. final volume) containing menadione, DL-3-glycerophosphate and  $100 \mu$ moles of KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>-NaOH buffer, pH7-6, was placed in the main compartment and the enzyme solution in the side arm. The tube was

evacuated on a water pump for 10min. with continuous shaking, and then placed in the spectrophotometer for 3 min. to reach the required temperature  $(38^{\circ})$  before the reaction was started by tipping the enzyme solution into the main compartment. The velocity of the reaction could be followed from about 30sec. after tipping, by measuring the decrease in  $E_{260}$ .  $E_{260}$  (oxidized) was taken to be  $16.9 \text{ cm}$ .  $2/\mu \text{mole}$ (Morton, 1965).

Expression of results. Michaelis constants were determined by expressing the initial reaction velocities at various substrate concentrations as double-reciprocal plots (Lineweaver & Burk, 1934), and extrapolating the resulting lines to cut the abscissa. Lines were fitted to the experimental points by eye.

### RESULTS

DCIP as electron acceptor. Michaelis constants for L-3-glycerophosphate were obtained at various fixed concentrations of DCIP and vice versa. The results are shown in Fig. 1. The slope of the Lineweaver-Burk plots is in both cases independent of the concentration of the invariant substrate. Such behaviour can be explained bya reaction mechanism corresponding to type IV(i) as proposed by Dalziel (1957):

$$
E + S_1 \rightleftharpoons ES_1 \rightleftharpoons E' + P_1
$$
  

$$
E' + S_2 \rightleftharpoons E'S_2 \rightleftharpoons E + P_2
$$

There is a free modified-enzyme intermediate E'. This scheme gives rise to a rate equation of the form shown below, where  $K_{m1}$  is the Michaelis constant for  $L-3$ -glycerophosphate at  $[acceptor] = \infty$ , and  $K_{m2}$  the Michaelis constant for electron acceptor at  $[g]$ ycerophosphate] =  $\infty$ :

$$
v_0 = \frac{V}{1 + \left(\frac{K_{m1}}{[S_1]}\right) + \left(\frac{K_{m2}}{[S_2]}\right)}\tag{1}
$$



Fig. 1. Kinetics of DCIP reduction. Measurements were carried out with <sup>a</sup> Beckman DK2A spectrophotometer at a temperature of 38°. The buffer was  $0.05$  m-K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>-NaOH, pH7-6. (a) DCIP concentrations were:  $\odot$ , 71 $\mu$ m;  $\bullet$ ,  $49 \mu$ m;  $\triangle$ ,  $35 \mu$ m;  $\bullet$ ,  $27 \mu$ m;  $\Box$ ,  $21 \mu$ m;  $\Box$ ,  $17 \mu$ m. (b) The concentrations of L-3-glycerophosphate, as the racemic mixture, were:  $\odot$ ,  $25 \text{mm}$ ;  $\bullet$ ,  $6 \cdot 2 \text{mm}$ ;  $\triangle$ ,  $3 \cdot \text{mm}$ ;  $\blacktriangle$ ,  $1 \cdot 9 \text{mm}$ . Each assay contained  $5 \cdot 3 \times 10^{-3}$  DCIP units of enzyme.



Fig. 2. Secondary plots for the determination of (a)  $K_{m1}$  and (b)  $K_{m2}$ , from the data of Fig. 1.

There is no  $K_{1,2}/[S_1][S_2]$  term. The constants  $K_{m1}$  and  $K_{m2}$  can be determined by extrapolation (Dalziel, 1957). The secondary plots of  $1/K_{m_1}$ <sup>'</sup> against 1/[DCIP] and  $1/K_{m2}^{\prime}$  versus 1/[glycerophosphate], where  $K_{m_1}$  and  $K_{m_2}$  are Michaelis constants for glycerophosphate and DCIP (where DCIP and glycerophosphate concentrations are not infinite) respectively are shown in Figs.  $2(a)$  and  $2(b)$ .  $K_{m1}$  is found to be 10mm-L-3-glycerophosphate in the racemic mixture and  $K_{m2}$  is  $0.125 \text{mm}$ -DCIP. Ringler & Singer (1959) found that  $K_{m_1}$ was 9-5mM at one very high PMS concentration.

It has been pointed out by DerVartanian, Zeylemaker & Veeger (1966) that a mechanism of the type described above by eqn. (1) cannot be readily distinguished from a mechanism yielding the more general form of rate equation:

$$
v_0 = \frac{V}{1 + \left(\frac{K_{m1}}{[S_1]}\right) + \left(\frac{K_{m2}}{[S_2]}\right) + \left(\frac{K_{1,2}}{[S_1][S_2]}\right)}
$$

when the term  $K_{1,2}/[S_1][S_2]$  is very small. In this case the Lineweaver-Burk plots will appear as a family of parallel lines since the deviation from parallel will be very small. DerVartanian et al. (1966) point out that such a deviation from parallel lines can be artificially increased by carrying out the kinetic measurements in the presence of a constant high concentration of a competitive inhibitor, which would have the effect of bringing the intersection point closer to the origin. DL-Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate is a potent competitive inhibitor of glycerophosphate dehydrogenase, with a  $K_i$  of 66 $\mu$ M (Dawson & Thorne, 1969). Fig. 3 shows double-reciprocal plots of  $1/v_0$  versus  $1/[L-3-g]$ ycerophosphate] at a series of DCIP concentrations in the



Fig. 3. Kinetics of DCIP reduction in the presence of DLglyceraldehyde 3-phosphate. Measurements were carried out in a Beckman DK2A spectrophotometer at 38°. The buffer was  $0.05M$ -KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>-NaOH, pH7.6, and the concentration of DL-glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate was 0-56mm throughout. DCIP concentrations were:  $\bigcirc$ , 71.5 $\mu$ M;  $\bullet$ , 33.4 $\mu$ M;  $\Delta$ , 23.0 $\mu$ M;  $\blacktriangle$ , 18.0 $\mu$ M. L-3-Glycerophosphate was present as the racemic mixture. Each assay contained  $17.7 \times 10^{-3}$  DCIP units of enzyme.

presence of 0.56mM-DL-glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate. This should increase any deviation from parallel-lines kinetics by the factor 10, but the lines apparently remain parallel.

Kinetic results obtained for the enzyme extracted with phospholipase A also show parallel-lines kinetics, indicating that the enzyme prepared with Triton X-100 and that solubilized with phospholipase show similar reaction pathways. For the latter enzyme preparation  $K_{m1}$  was 10.5mm and  $K_{m2}$  was  $0.2$ mm.

Quinones as electron acceptor8. Kinetic measurements with  $Q-10$ ,  $Q-6$ ,  $Q-2$ ,  $Q-1$ ,  $Q-0$  and menadione



Fig. 4. Kinetics of Q-6 reduction. Assays were carried out in a Beckman DK2A spectrophotometer at 38°. All assays contained  $0.05M-KH_2PO_4-NaOH$  buffer, pH7.6,  $0.12\%$  (w/v) Triton X-100 and aq. 10% (v/v) ethanol. (a) Concentration of L-3-glycerophosphate (as the racemic mixture) was:  $\circ$ , 25.0mm;  $\bullet$ , 6.2mm;  $\triangle$ , 3.1mm;  $\blacktriangle$ , 19mm. (b) Concentrations of Q-6 was:  $\odot$ ,  $111\mu$ m;  $\bullet$ ,  $43\mu$ m;  $\triangle$ ,  $27\mu$ m;  $\triangle$ ,  $19\mu$ m.



Fig. 5. Kinetics of Q-0 reduction. Measurements were carried out at 38° in a Beckman DK2A spectrophotometer. The buffer was  $0.05M-KH_2PO_4-NaOH$ , pH7-6. (a) Concentration of L-3-glycerophosphate (as the racemic mixture) was: 0, 21 8mm;  $\bullet$ , 8.7 mm;  $\triangle$ , 5.5 mm;  $\blacktriangle$ , 3.3 mm. (b) Concentration of Q.0 was; 0, 229  $\mu$ m;  $\bullet$ , 114 $\mu$ m;  $\Delta$ , 82 $\mu$ M;  $\blacktriangle$ , 64 $\mu$ M;  $\Box$ , 46 $\mu$ M.

as electron acceptors show that in all cases the double-reciprocal plots are of the parallel-lines type. Results for Q-6 and Q-0 are shown in Figs. 4 and 5. Table <sup>1</sup> shows the values of the kinetic constants in all these cases. For Q-6, Q-2, Q-1 and Q-0 the value for  $K_{m1}$  is essentially constant, and is similar to the values obtained by using DCIP and PMS as electron acceptors. For Q-10 and menadione the values are somewhat lower. The values for  $K_{m2}$ , however, vary over a fairly wide range.

Vmax. measurements for different electron acceptor8. In the case of six electron acceptors, Q-0, Q-1, Q-2, Q-6, DCIP and PMS, the value of  $K_{m1}$  when

## Table 1. Kinetic constants for various electron acceptors

 $K_{m1}$  and  $K_{m2}$  were determined by the extrapolation method as in Fig. 2.  $K_{m1}$  values are for L-3-glycerophosphate as the racemic mixture.





Fig. 6. Comparison of  $V'$  values for acceptors for which  $K_{m1}$  is similar. In all cases  $23 \text{mm-L-3-glycerophosphate}$ was present as the racemic mixture. The assays contained 0-05M-KH2PO4--NaOH buffer, pH7.6, and in addition Q-6 assays contained  $0.12\%$  (w/v) Triton X-100 and aq. 10%  $(v/v)$  ethanol. Assays were carried out at 38°. The enzyme concentration in all cases was  $3.0 \times 10^{-3}$  DCIP units/ml. Acceptors;  $\bigcirc$ ,  $Q-0$ ;  $\bullet$ ,  $Q-1$ ;  $\square$ ,  $Q-2$ ;  $\triangle$ ,  $Q-6$ ;  $\blacktriangle$ , DCIP;  $\blacksquare$ , PMS.

the electron acceptor concentration is infinite is essentially constant. When the electron-acceptor concentration is infinite but that of L-3-glycerophosphate is not:

$$
V' = \frac{V}{1 + \left(\frac{K_{m1}}{[S_1]}\right)}
$$

where  $V'$  is the velocity under these conditions. Thus the maximum velocities for the reduction of those acceptors for which  $K_{m1}$  is the same can be readily compared by determining V' values at a single concentration of L-3-glycerophosphate. The results of such a determination are shown in Fig. 6. In all instances, the acceptors give the same value for  $V'$  and therefore the same value for  $V$ , the maximum velocity when both substrates are at infinite concentration.

Values for V for Q-10 and menadione have been determined by extrapolation from values for  $V'$  at a series of glycerophosphate concentrations. For these compounds it is found that, for  $Q-10$ ,  $V$  is  $39\%$ , and, for menadione, V is 78%, of V for DCIP and the other electron acceptors.

# DISCUSSION

For all electron acceptors so far tested with mitochondrial glycerophosphate dehydrogenase, the mechanism of the reaction appears to involve a free modified-enzyme intermediate. This also seems to be the case with the enzyme extracted with phospholipase A. A possibility for the reaction scheme is therefore:

$$
E + S_1 \underset{k_{-1}}{\underset{k_{+1}}{\rightleftharpoons}} ES \underset{k_{+3}}{\underset{k_{+3}}{\rightleftharpoons}} E'P_1 \underset{k_{-5}}{\overset{k_{+3}}{\rightleftharpoons}} E' + P_1
$$

$$
E' + S_2 \underset{k_{-4}}{\rightleftharpoons} E'S_2 \underset{k_{-5}}{\rightleftharpoons} E + P_2
$$

Application of the steady-state treatment to this system gives the rate equation:

$$
v_0 = \frac{V}{1 + \left(\frac{K_{m1}}{[S_1]}\right) + \left(\frac{K_{m2}}{[S_2]}\right)}
$$

where

$$
V = \frac{ek_{+2}k_{+5}k_{+3}}{k_{+2}k_{+5} + k_{+2}k_{+3} + k_{-2}k_{+5} + k_{+3}k_{+5}}
$$
  
\n
$$
K_{m1} = \frac{k_{+5}(k_{+2}k_{+3} + k_{-1}k_{+3} + k_{-1}k_{-2})}{k_{+1}(k_{+5}k_{+2} + k_{+2}k_{+3} + k_{-2}k_{+5} + k_{+3}k_{+5})}
$$
  
\n
$$
K_{m2} = \frac{k_{+2}k_{+3}(k_{-4} + k_{+5})}{k_{+4}(k_{+2}k_{+5} + k_{+2}k_{+3} + k_{-2}k_{+5} + k_{+3}k_{+5})}
$$

Both V and  $K_{m1}$  involve the term  $k_{+5}$ , which, it would be anticipated, would vary depending on the nature of the electron acceptor. However, for several of the electron acceptors used in this study  $K_{m1}$  and  $V$  are independent of the nature of the electron acceptor. This implies that in these cases  $k_{+5}$  does not make a significant contribution to these terms. Rearrangement of the terms for V and  $K_{m1}$  gives:

$$
V = \frac{ek_{+2}k_{+3}}{k_{+2} + \left(\frac{k_{+2}k_{+3}}{k_{+5}}\right) + k_{-2} + k_{+3}}
$$

$$
K_{m1} = \frac{(k_{-1}k_{-2} + k_{-1}k_{+3} + k_{+2}k_{+3})}{k_{+1}\left[k_{+2} + \left(\frac{k_{+2}k_{+3}}{k_{+5}}\right) + k_{-2} + k_{+3}\right]}
$$

 $k_{+5}$  will have no significant effect on the values of V and  $K_{m1}$  if  $k_{+2}k_{+3}/k_{+5} \ll (k_{+2}+k_{-2}+k_{+3})$ . In the cases of the electron acceptors for which  $K_{m1}$  and V are independent of the nature of the electron acceptor it appears that  $k_{+5}$  is sufficiently large for this to be the case. Hasegawa (1962) has reported a similar situation for  $L(+)$ -lactate dehydrogenase from yeast, in which  $V$  and  $K_m$  for lactate were independent of the nature of the electron acceptor. However, later investigations

For glycerophosphate dehydrogenase with either Q-10 or menadione as electron acceptor, the overall mechanism appears to be similar to that with the other acceptors, although in these two cases  $k_{+5}$ seems to be sufficiently small to make a significant contribution to the values of  $K_{m1}$  and  $V$ . The reason for the decreased value of  $k_{+5}$  in these two cases is not immediately clear. It should be noted that, in the case of Q-10, it is unlikely to be due to the presence of Triton X-100 in the assay medium, since the conditions for assaying Q-6 reduction are identical. The fact that Q-10 and Q-6 are likely to be present in micellar form rather than in free solution under these conditions will probably have an effect on  $K_{m2}$ , but is unlikely to affect  $V$ . An analogous situation, for trypsin acting on gelatin in solution and on gelatin in the form of gel spheres, has been investigated by Schurr & McLaren (1966).

Although the reaction of the enzyme with Q-10 is slower than with many other electron acceptors, it is still moderately rapid. It seems likely, therefore, that, unless the enzyme is spatially separated from the Q-10 in the mitochondrion or its activity has been modified during the extraction procedure, at least a part and possibly all of the electrons from glycerophosphate will pass directly into the ubiquinone pool of the respiratory chain.

A.P.D. is indebted to the Science Research Council for a research grant.

#### REFERENCES

- Dalziel, K. (1957). Acta chem. 8cand. 11, 1706.
- Dawson, A. P. & Thorne, C. J. R. (1969). Biochem. J. 111,27.
- DerVartanian, D. V., Zeylemaker, W. P. & Veeger, C. (1966). In Flavins and Flavoproteins, p. 183. Ed. by Slater, E. C. Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing Co.
- Gawron, O., Mahajan, K. P., Linetti, M., Kananen, G. & Gliad, A. J. (1966). Biochemistry, 5, 4111.
- Gloor, V., Isler, O., Morton, R. A., Riiegg, R. & Wiss, 0. (1958). Helv. chim. acta, 41, 2357.
- Green, D. E. (1936). Biochem. J. 30, 629.
- Hasegawa, H. (1962). J. Biochem., Tokyo, 52, 207.
- Hinkson, J. W. & Mahler, H. R. (1963). Biochemistry, 2,209.
- Lineweaver, H. & Burk, D. (1934). J. Amer. chem. Soc. 56, 658.
- Ling, K. H., Wu, S. H., Ting, S. M. & Tung, T. C. (1957). Proc. int. Symp. Enzyme Chemistry, Tokyo and Kyoto, p. 260. London: Pergamon Press Ltd.
- Morton, R. A. (1965). Biochemistry of Quinones, p. 41. London: Academic Press (Inc.) Ltd.
- Ringler, R. L. (1961). J. biol. Chem. 236, 1192.
- Ringler, R. L. & Singer, T. P. (1959). J. biol. Chem. 234,2211.
- Schurr, J. M. & McLaren, A. D. (1966). Science, 152,1064.
- Szarkowska, L. & Drabikowska, A. K. (1964). Life Sci. 2, 519.
- Tung, T. C., Anderson, L. & Lardy, H. A. (1952). Arch. Biochem. Biophy8. 40,194.