# Methane Synthesis without the Addition of Adenosine Triphosphate by Cell Membranes Isolated from *Methanobacterium ruminantium*

By FRANK D. SAUER, JAMES D. ERFLE and SUBRAMANIAM MAHADEVAN Animal Research Institute, Agriculture Canada, Ottawa, Ont. K1A 0C6, Canada

(Received 21 June 1978)

The membrane fraction isolated from broken cells of *Methanobacterium ruminantium* actively synthesized methane from  $CO_2$  and  $H_2$  without the addition of ATP or other cofactors. This activity was lost unless strictly anaerobic conditions were maintained throughout the isolation and incubation procedures.  ${}^{3}H_2$ , but not  ${}^{3}H_2O$ , was readily incorporated into methane. This indicates that hydrogen atoms are used in the formation of methane without the prior equilibration of protons with the water phase. Methylenetetrahydrofolate was shown to be converted into methane, but less efficiently than  $CO_2$ . The evidence indicates that tetrahydrofolate derivatives may not be of primary importance in the formation of methane from  $CO_2$  and  $H_2$ . No requirement for ATP in methanogenesis could be demonstrated. However, chemical reagents that can increase proton conductance in membranes and thereby abolish the membrane electrical potential were also effective inhibitors of methanogenesis. It was postulated that, although the reduction of  $CO_2$  to methane by bacterial membranes may require energy derived from a transmembrane potential, this does not appear to be coupled to the intermediary synthesis of ATP.

Methane can be synthesized by cell-free extracts of Methanobacterium M.O.H. (Roberton & Wolfe, 1969), Methanosarcina barkeri (Stadtman & Blaylock, 1966) and Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum (Gunsalus & Wolfe, 1977). The precise sequence of reactions involved in the reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> to methane is not well understood, and to date there has been little success in characterizing and purifying the individual enzymes that are involved in this process. Only one enzyme, methylcobalamin-coenzyme M methyltransferase, which catalyses the transfer of methyl groups from coenzyme  $B_{12}$  to coenzyme M, and is thought to be part of the reaction sequence, has been purified to any extent (Taylor & Wolfe, 1974). Cellfree extracts have been prepared by disrupting Methanobacterium strain M.O.H. cells (Roberton & Wolfe, 1969) and Methanosarcina barkeri cells (Stadtman & Blaylock, 1966) with ultrasonic oscillation and pressure-cell treatment, followed by centrifugation at 30000g to remove particulate matter. In contrast, in mixed rumen bacteria, which contain Methanobacterium ruminantium and Methanobacterium mobilis (Smith & Hungate, 1958; Paynter & Hungate, 1968), methanogenic activity was found entirely in the cell fraction that was precipitated at 15000g (Sauer et al., 1977).

Although it has been reported that ATP is required in the reduction of  $CO_2$  to methane, its exact role in this process has not been defined (Roberton & Wolfe, 1969). Evidence has been presented for ATP involvement in the final reductive step in methane synthesis (McBride & Wolfe, 1971). We have reported (Sauer et al., 1977) that no requirement could be demonstrated for added ATP. In the present study, whole cells and cell-free extracts of *Methanobacterium ruminantium* were used to confirm and extend the previous studies carried out with mixed rumen microorganisms.

#### **Materials and Methods**

### Isolation of Methanobacterium ruminantium culture

In all procedures involved in the isolation of Methanobacterium ruminantium strict anaerobiosis was maintained throughout. Fresh rumen fluid diluted 106-108-fold was inoculated into sealed tubes containing 5ml of the reducing media of Paynter & Hungate (1968). The tubes were completely anaerobic and contained a  $CO_2/H_2$  (1:4) atmosphere. Once vigorous growth was established, as indicated by active methanogenesis, samples were streaked on the agar medium of Paynter & Hungate (1968) and incubated in roll tubes. The plating technique was adapted from that described by Holdeman & Moore (1972). The tubes were inoculated spirally (bottom to top) to facilitate the selection of isolated colonies from the top of the tube. Only colonies that had the appearance of those described by Smith & Hungate (1958) were re-inoculated into culture tubes. Those tubes that contained methane-producing organisms were again streaked in roll tubes for colony production. This process was repeated at least six times until the roll tubes contained only uniform-appearing methane-producing colonies. When these colonies were inoculated into liquid media, active methanogenesis resulted within a short time.

The organism was a short oval Gram-positive rod usually in chain formation and with no evidence of contamination. No motility could be detected. The structural details of the organism when examined by electron microscopy were exactly as described by Zeikus (1977). Surface colonies in roll-tube cultures were circular, convex, translucent, with entire margins and of pale yellow colour. The organisms required  $CO_2$  and  $H_2$  for methane synthesis, with  $H_2$  serving as electron donor and energy source. The organisms grew in the absence of rumen fluid, and had a requirement for acetate, ammonia, sulphide and branchedchain fatty acids. On the basis of these and the other criteria specified by Bryant (1974), this organism was classified as *Methanobacterium ruminantium*.

To grow the organisms on a larger scale, the cultures were first grown in 500ml flasks containing 300ml of the medium of Paynter & Hungate (1968). When growth was well established, 14-litre flasks were inoculated with 900 ml of the actively growing culture. The 14-litre flasks (Labroferm Fermenter Assembly, New Brunswick Scientific Co., Edison, NJ, U.S.A.) were continually stirred and gassed with CO<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub> (1:4). Growth continued for approx. 1 week, as evidenced by the appearance of increasing turbidity and methane production. The cells were harvested when methane production was maximal. The culture was transferred anaerobically to 250ml polyallomer bottles with cap assembly (Beckman Instruments, Toronto, Ont., Canada) and centrifuged at 6000g for 10 min in a type-19 rotor in a Beckman preparative ultracentrifuge (model L2 65B). After centrifugation, the growth medium was removed by aspiration, and the bottle gassed with  $CO_2/H_2$  (1:4), capped with a rubber stopper, and the bacterial pellet stored at -80°C until used.

# Preparation of cell-free extracts and membrane fraction

Bacterial pellets (1.5g wet wt.) were washed and resuspended in 10ml of  $O_2$ -free 0.1M-potassium phosphate buffer (pH7.0) containing 2mM-Na<sub>2</sub>S. This buffer was stored under H<sub>2</sub>. The mixture was transferred to a gassed stoppered 40ml conical glass tube and to this was added: 5mM-sodium pyruvate, 1mM-FAD and 0.1mM-CoA. The tube was gassed with H<sub>2</sub> for 5min. A centre-hole rubber stopper was inserted to allow entry of the ultrasonicator micro-tip (Bronson sonicator model 1856). With continuous N<sub>2</sub> gassing, the cells (0°C) were subjected to ultrafrequency sound (two-thirds of maximum power setting). Cell disruption was carried out for a total of 6min, with 30s of power on followed by 30s of power off. The micro-tip was thoroughly cooled after each 2min interval. After disruption, the sample was regassed for 15min with H<sub>2</sub>, transferred to a 12ml Beckman centrifuge tube, capped and centrifuged for 15min at 1600g. The supernatant fraction constituted the crude cell extract. The crude cell extract was centrifuged at 106000g for 30min. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet resuspended in the potassium phosphate/Na<sub>2</sub>S buffer (pH 7.0). The centrifugation and washing steps were repeated twice. The final pellet was taken up in half the original volume of buffer, gassed with H<sub>2</sub> and either used fresh or stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C.

### Incubation and gas analyses

All incubations (whole cells, cell extracts or washed membrane) were done in 5ml Erlenmeyer flasks sealed with red-sleeve-type rubber stoppers (14mm  $\times$  18mm). Gassing with H<sub>2</sub>, and substrate additions were made by injections through the stoppers. All incubation mixtures, unless stated differently, contained the potassium phosphate (pH 7.0)/Na<sub>2</sub>S buffer, protein and NaH<sup>14</sup>CO<sub>3</sub> ( $6 \times 10^6$  d.p.m., 10  $\mu$ mol). The gas phase was H<sub>2</sub>. Incubations were made for specified time periods in a shaking water bath at 38°C. Radioactivity and mass analyses of the gas phase were done as described by Sauer et al. (1977). <sup>3</sup>H radioactivity was measured in the effluent gas from the gas partitioner (Fisher model 1200) by passing the gas through CuO and then through a 5mm-internal-diam. tube containing 0.5 cm of iron filings at 750°C. The flow rate of the carrier gas (argon) in the gas partitioner was 25 ml/min. Hydrogen purge gas (2 ml/min) was put into the reduction tube. Propane quench gas entered the counting tube (1 ml/min). The transfer lines between the combustion tube and the reduction were maintained at 250-300°C, otherwise condensation resulted in loss of measured radioactivity.

#### Adenine nucleotide assays

The reactions in the incubation flasks were stopped by the injection of 0.5ml of ice-cold 30% (w/v) HClO<sub>4</sub>. Samples were kept on ice for 3h, sonicated for 1 min at two-thirds of maximum power setting and centrifuged for 10min at 17500g. The supernatant was slowly neutralized with 5M-KOH, and the perchlorate salts were removed by centrifugation at 17 500g. ATP was measured in the supernatant by the technique of Cole et al. (1967), as modified by Roberton & Wolfe (1970). ADP plus ATP were measured as described by Roberton & Wolfe (1970), except that the pyruvate kinase incubation step was done in a separate tube and not in the scintillation vial. The combined adenine nucleotides were measured as described by Roberton & Wolfe (1970). Protein was measured by the method of Lowry et al. (1951) as modified by Miller (1959).

### Chemicals and radioisotopes

 ${}^{3}H_{2}O$  (25 mCi/g),  ${}^{3}H_{2}$  (2.6 Ci/ml at s.t.p.) and  ${}^{3}H_{2}CO$  (100 mCi/mmol) were purchased from New England Nuclear, Lachine, Que., Canada.

5,10-Methylenetetrahydrofolate ( $^{14}$ C- and  $^{3}$ H-labelled) was prepared as described by Sauer *et al.* (1977).

Carbonyl cyanide *m*-chlorophenylhydrazone and oligomycin were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO, U.S.A. Tetrabutylammonium bromide and triphenylmethylphosphonium bromide were purchased from ICN, Montreal, Que., Canada.

All other chemicals used were of the highest purity commercially available.

#### Electron-microscope studies

The sectioning and staining of cell fractions for electron-microscope examination were done as described by Sauer *et al.* (1977).

#### **Results and Discussion**

### Distribution of hydrogenase activity

Hydrogen is the principal energy source for all known methanogenic bacteria. With a few exceptions, methane-producing bacteria can also oxidize formate to supply energy for growth (Thauer *et al.*, 1977). The reaction:

$$\mathrm{CO}_2 + 4\mathrm{H}_2 \to \mathrm{CH}_4 + 2\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \tag{1}$$

is exergonic ( $\Delta G^{o'} = -131 \text{ kJ/mol}$ ) and can supply all the energy necessary for growth (Thauer *et al.*, 1977).

In the utilization of gaseous  $H_2$ , the first reaction is believed to be catalysed by a hydrogenase:

 $H_2$ +oxidized electron carrier  $\rightleftharpoons$ 

reduced electron carrier  $+2H^+$  (2)

Hydrogenase has been isolated from the 22400g supernatant of *Methanobacterium ruminantium* (Tzeng *et al.*, 1975*a*), but no attempt was made to determine if the particulate fraction contained hydrogenase activity. The results in Table 1 show that approx. 30% of the total cell hydrogenase activity resides in the particulate fraction. The hydrogenase activity of other anaerobes is known to be associated with the particulate cell fraction (Aspen & Wolin, 1966).

The hydrogenase of *Methanobacterium ruminantium* also catalysed the exchange reaction with water and  ${}^{3}H_{2}$  (reaction 3) (Table 2):

$$^{3}HH + H_{2}O \rightleftharpoons HO^{3}H + H_{2}$$
 (3)

A similar exchange reaction is catalysed by the hydrogenase isolated from *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* (Yagi

# Table 1. Distribution of hydrogenase activity between soluble and particulate fractions of cell extracts of Methanobacterium ruminantium

Cell free extract of Methanobacterium ruminantium was centrifuged at 15000g for 30min. The supernatant fraction (soluble fraction) was flushed with H<sub>2</sub> and stored in tightly stoppered tubes. The precipitate was washed, resuspended in buffer and stored as above. Reactions were carried out in 3.0ml Thunberg cuvettes sealed with silicone rubber stoppers. The incubation mixture contained: Tris, pH7.6, 100mm; EDTA, 40mm; MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 3mm; dithiothreitol, 10mm; NADP<sup>+</sup>, 2mm; Methyl Viologen, 1.5mm, in a final volume of 1.0ml. The cuvettes were thoroughly flushed with H<sub>2</sub> through the rubber stopper, and then enzyme (75–150 $\mu$ g of protein) was injected through the rubber stopper with a micro-syringe. Reaction rates were measured with a Beckman DK2 recording spectrophotometer at 600nm. A molar absorption coefficient of 8250 litre · mol<sup>-1</sup> · cm<sup>-1</sup> was used (Yu & Wolin, 1969). The reduction of 2mol of Methyl Viologen equals the consumption of 1 mol of H<sub>2</sub>.

	A	Specific activity
Cell fraction	(% of total)	per mg of protein)
Soluble	73	151
Particulate	27	67

Table 2. Conversion of  ${}^{3}H_{2}$  and  ${}^{3}H_{2}O$  into  $C^{3}H_{4}$  and exchange with  $H_{2}$  and  $H_{2}O$  by crude cell extracts of Methanobacterium ruminantium

In Expt. 1,  $0.9\mu$ Ci of  ${}^{3}H_{2}$  and  $1.2\mu$ Ci of  ${}^{3}H_{2}$ O were added to each incubation. Each flask contained 4.7 mg of protein. Gas phase was 1 ml of H<sub>2</sub>, the remainder argon. In Expt. 2,  $115\mu$ Ci of  ${}^{3}H_{2}$  and  $110\mu$ Ci of  ${}^{3}H_{2}$ O were added to each incubation. Gas phase was as in Expt. 1. Each flask contained 4.2 mg of protein.

Expt. no.	Tracer added	Percent: recov	tage of added tracer overed after 5h in:	
		H <sub>2</sub>	H₂O	CH₄
1	<sup>3</sup> H <sub>2</sub>	0.57	98.46	0.87
	<sup>3</sup> H <sub>2</sub> O	0.17		0
		Percent	age of adde ered after 13	d tracer 8h in:
		H <sub>2</sub>	H,0	CH₄
2	<sup>3</sup> H <sub>2</sub>	0.003	99.10	0.640
	<sup>3</sup> H <sub>2</sub> O	0.019		0.004

et al., 1968). Table 2 shows that within 5 h, less than 1% of the <sup>3</sup>H added at the beginning of the incubation remained. After 18 h, the <sup>3</sup>H concentration was barely detectable, but more than 99% was accounted for as <sup>3</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O. Small but measurable amounts of <sup>3</sup>H<sub>2</sub> were present when <sup>3</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O was incubated with hydrogenase. The results indicate that under these experimental

conditions, the incorporation of  ${}^{3}H_{2}$  into  ${}^{3}H_{2}O$  is essentially irreversible.

# Incorporation of <sup>3</sup>H into methane

<sup>3</sup>H was incorporated into  $C^{3}H_{4}$  by crude cell extracts of *Methanobacterium ruminantium* (Table 2).  $C^{3}H_{4}$  production did not increase after 5 h, probably because by then excess <sup>3</sup>H<sub>2</sub> had largely been incorporated into <sup>3</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O. In contrast with these results, no <sup>3</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O conversion into  $C^{3}H_{4}$  was detectable at 5 h. Only by increasing the concentration of <sup>3</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O 100-fold (Expt. 2, Table 2) was it possible to detect any  $C^{3}H_{4}$  formation after 18 h. These results clearly show that the protons used in the formation of CH<sub>4</sub> from CO<sub>2</sub> are derived from H<sub>2</sub> and that these do not first equilibrate with protons from water.

# Conversion of 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate into methane

In a previous report (Sauer *et al.*, 1977) we found that 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate was converted into methane by cell extracts of rumen bacteria. It was observed that these intermediates were converted into  $CO_2$  as well as methane. These results did not prove conclusively that the tetrahydrofolate derivatives were reduced to methane. The possibility remained that the methyl and methylene groups were reoxidized to  $CO_2$  and then reduced to methane by a pathway other than the tetrahydrofolate one.

The ambiguous results obtained with  $5,10-[^{14}C]$ methylenetetrahydrofolate (Sauer *et al.*, 1977) can be resolved by labelling the methyl groups with <sup>3</sup>H (Table 3). Table 3 shows conclusively that  $5,10-[^{3}H]$ methylenetetrahydrofolate undergoes direct reduction to yield methane. In this experiment, oxidation of  $5,10-[^{3}H]$ -methylenetetrahydrofolate to CO<sub>2</sub> would

Table 3. Conversion of radioactive  $CO_2$  and radioactive 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate (5,10- $CH_2$ - $H_4$  folate) into  $CH_4$  by membrane fraction of Methanobacterium ruminantium

Membrane protein (3.2mg) was added to each incubation. Substrates added to each incubation flask were as follows: NaH<sup>14</sup>CO<sub>3</sub>, 15  $\mu$ mol, 8 × 10<sup>6</sup> d.p.m.; DL-5,10-<sup>14</sup>CH<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>4</sub>folate, 0.28  $\mu$ mol, 4.1 × 10<sup>6</sup> d.p.m.; DL-5,10-C<sup>3</sup>H<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>4</sub>folate, 0.26  $\mu$ mol, 24.6 × 10<sup>6</sup> d.p.m. All incubations were made in an H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere at 38°C. Numbers in parentheses represent  $\mu$ mol of labelled derivative converted into methane.

Substrate added	Percentage of added tracer recovered in CH <sub>4</sub>		
	2.5 h	18h	
<sup>14</sup> CO <sub>2</sub>	6.4 (0.96)	60.0 (9.01)	
5,10-14CH2-H4folate	8.9 (0.02)	26.1 (0.04)	
5,10-C <sup>3</sup> H <sub>2</sub> -H₄folate	7.4 (0.01)	12.9 (0.02)	

have resulted in the loss of <sup>3</sup>H atoms, which would then have equilibrated with the protons of water. The results in Table 2 show that no radioactivity from <sup>3</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O is recovered in methane even after 5h.

# Localization of methane-synthesizing activity in membrane fractions of Methanobacterium ruminantium

To date, the enzymes of methanogenesis have not been purified. Blaylock & Stadtman (1966) reported methane synthesis with a soluble supernatant fraction (30000g for 60min) obtained from *Methanosarcina barkeri*. Roberton & Wolfe (1969) used supernatant solutions (30000g for 25min) from cell-free extracts of *Methanobacterium* M.O.H. for methane synthesis. Similarly, active preparations were isolated from the supernatant fraction (33000g for 30min) of cell extracts from *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* (Gunsalus & Wolfe, 1977).

It has been reported that cell-free extracts prepared from either fresh cells of *Methanobacterium ruminantium* or from cells stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C under H<sub>2</sub> failed to synthesize methane (Bryant *et al.*, 1968; Tzeng *et al.*, 1975b). We had previously reported (Sauer *et al.*, 1977) that the methane-synthesizing activity of rumen micro-organisms resides in the particulate and not the supernatant fraction and that the failure by others to obtain active cell-free preparations was probably because excessively high g values were used in the preparation of extracts. We reported that most of the methanogenic activity precipitated after 30min at 15000g.

The results in Table 4 confirm the previous report (Sauer *et al.*, 1977) that the particulate fraction of

 Table 4. Distribution of methanogenic activity between the soluble and the particulate fraction of cell-free extracts of Methanobacterium ruminantium

The 20 K pellet refers to the pellet obtained when the cell-free extract prepared as described in the Materials and Methods section was centrifuged for 30min at 26700g. The 20 K supernatant is the corresponding supernatant fraction. The 40 K pellet refers to the pellet obtained when the 20 K supernatant fraction described above was centrifuged for an additional 30min at 106500g. The 40 K supernatant is the corresponding supernatant fraction. The conditions of incubation were as described in the text.

		CH₄	CH₄
онс <i>г</i>	Total CH	d.p.m./mg o	f (d.p.m./mg of
Cell fraction	(a.p.m.)	total protein)	membrane protein)
20 K pellet	195000	57700	57700
20 K super- natant	61 000	19900	51 200
40 K pellet	82800	58 300	58 300
40 K super- natant	3050	1360	, —



# EXPLANATION OF PLATE I

## Ultrastructure of Methanobacterium ruminantium

(a) Cross-section and longitudinal section of intact Methanobacterium ruminantium cells. One cell is undergoing division. The cell wall is triple layered as described by Zeikus (1977). The cytoplasm has a granular appearance. Some membranes and vacuoles can be seen in the cytoplasm (bar indicates  $0.39 \,\mu$ m). (b) Washed membranes used for the incubations. The membranes appear heavily folded, and, in most cases, have formed closed vesicles (bar indicates  $0.39 \,\mu$ m). (c) Higher magnification of the cell membrane. A faint outline of cell-wall material is still visible. The membrane appears to be triple layered, tightly folded and has formed vesicular structures (bar indicates  $0.19 \,\mu$ m). (d) Densely coiled intracytoplasmic membrane bodies that are sometimes isolated from Methanobacterium ruminantium. Some cell-wall material is still adherent to the membranes (bar indicates  $0.24 \,\mu$ m).

Methanobacterium ruminantium contains the methanogenic activity. Approx. 70% of the activity was in the fraction that precipitated at 26700g. The remaining activity was in the pellet that precipitated after centrifugation at 106 500g for 30min. Less than 2% of the original methanogenic activity remained in the 106 500g supernatant fraction (Table 4). As shown, methane synthesis calculated per mg of cell membrane protein remained constant. These results show that, at least in Methanobacterium ruminantium, the membranous fraction is required for methane production from CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>. There appears to be no requirement for the high-speed-supernatant fraction or the soluble cofactors contained therein.

# Ultrastructure of Methanobacterium ruminantium cells and membranes

Plate 1(a) shows the ultrastructural appearance of intact Methanobacterium ruminantium cells in longitudinal and cross section. Plates 1(b), 1(c) and 1(d)are photomicrographs taken at different magnifications of the washed membrane fraction used in this study. Shown are typical large, heavily folded trilaminar membrane particles with some cell-wall material still attached. As shown in Plates 1(b) and 1(c), the preponderance of membrane particles are in the form of closed vesicles. Tightly folded intracytoplasmic membrane bodies, shown here in Plate 1(d)have been reported in a number of methane-producing micro-organisms (Zeikus, 1977). From the large size of the membrane particles and the presence of adherent cell-wall material, it is clear that methanesynthesizing activity would be sedimented by subjecting crude cell extracts to even moderately high gvalues.

# Effect of ATP on methane synthesis

There are published reports that indicate a requirement for ATP in a variety of reactions that have been proposed as intermediary steps in methane biosynthesis. Cell-free extracts of Methanosarcina barkeri have an ATP requirement for methanogenesis when methylcobalamin or methanol are substrates; however, this requirement is not specific for ATP, and other nucleotides can be substituted (Blaylock & Stadtman, 1966). The addition of ATP also has been reported to stimulate methane production from CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> in cell-free extracts of Methanobacterium M.O.H. (Roberton & Wolfe, 1969). The most likely site of ATP action with these cell extracts appears to be at the level of methyl-group transfer and reductive demethylation. Thus ATP appeared to be required for the methylcobalamin-coenzyme M methyltransferase reaction (McBride & Wolfe, 1971), although later studies indicated that the purified enzyme may not require ATP (Taylor & Wolfe, 1974). The conclusion then appears to be that ATP and  $H_2$  are required in *Methanobacterium* M.O.H. for the reductive demethylation of methyl-coenzyme M (Wolfe, 1971).

In contrast with these systems, with washed membrane fractions isolated from *Methanobacterium ruminantium*, the addition of ATP did not stimulate methane production (Table 5). In confirmation of our previous results obtained with cell-free extracts of mixed rumen micro-organisms (Sauer *et al.*, 1977), ATP can inhibit methane synthesis at concentrations of 5–10mM. These results, of course, do not rule out the possibility that crude cell extract or washed membrane contained sufficient quantities of ATP (stored or generated during incubation) to allow methane synthesis to proceed. Therefore adenine nucleotide concentration in *Methanobacterium ruminantium* cells and cell-free extracts were measured at different times of incubation.

# Changes in adenine nucleotide concentration during incubation of intact cells, crude cell extract and washed membrane

The results in Table 6 show the changes in adenine nucleotide concentration when intact cells of Methanobacterium ruminantium that were stored frozen (-80°C) under H<sub>2</sub> are thawed and incubated. Concentrations of ATP and ADP rapidly increased during methanogenesis, whereas AMP underwent a concomitant decrease. These results (Table 6) are similar to those obtained by Roberton & Wolfe (1969) with cells freshly harvested from actively growing cultures of Methanobacterium M.O.H. When adenine nucleotide concentrations were measured in intact cells synthesizing methane, the values, expressed as energy charge (Atkinson, 1968), ([ATP] + 0.5 [ADP])/([ATP] + [ADP] + [AMP]), increased from less than 0.1 to 0.59 (Table 6). For Methanobacterium M.O.H. during maximal methane production, an energy charge of 0.67 has been reported (Chapman et al., 1971). These results show that the reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> to methane (reaction 1), which is exergonic (Thauer et al., 1977), is coupled to the

Table 5.	Effect of add	led ATP on	rate of	methane synthesis by
washed	membrane	fraction	from	Methanobacterium
		ruminan	tium	

Preparation of membrane fraction and conditions of incubation were as described in the text.

	Rate of
ATP added	CH₄ synthesis
(mм)	(nmol/min per mg of protein)
0	15.60
2	13.50
5	11.40
10	9.30

Time (min)	CH₄ (nmol/mg of protein)	Adenine nucleotide concentration (nmol/mg of protein)			Enanov
		ATP	ADP	AMP	charge
0	0	0.31	2.86	15.90	0.09
2	0.99	0.37	2.42	14.10	0.09
5	12.90	0.25	3.55	12.90	0.12
10	51.30	0.70	3.67	13.00	0.15
20	387.00	2.08	4.47	7.54	0.31
30	985.00	2.04	4.15	3.15	0.44
60	2718.00*	2.98	4.49	2.35	0.53
90	2355.00*	2.07	5.62	0.86	0.59

 

 Table 6. Adenine nucleotide concentrations in intact cells of Methanobacterium ruminantium synthesizing methane Conditions of incubation are described in the text.

\* Methane production stopped because most of the  $CO_2$  had been converted into  $CH_4$ .

synthesis of ATP in intact cells of *Methanobacterium* ruminantium.

No ATP synthesis can be demonstrated in these bacterial cells after disruption by ultrasonication (Table 7). That the ATP concentration at zero time was greater in the crude cell extract (Table 7) than in the intact cells (Table 6) is fortuitous, since ATP concentrations decrease with time (even in cells stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C) and these values therefore merely reflect the length of time the cells were stored before use.

It is nevertheless clear from data in Table 7 that methanogenesis is not coupled to adenine nucleotide phosphorylation in either crude cell extracts or washed membranes. The presence of ATP phosphohydrolase (ATPase, EC 3.6.1.3) in crude cell extracts is indicated by the progressive decrease in ATP concentration with time. The washed membrane

Table 7. ATP concentration and methane synthesis in crudecell extracts and in twice-washed membrane fractionisolated from Methanobacterium ruminantiumBacterial membrane preparation and conditions ofincubation are described in the text.

Time (min)	CH <sub>4</sub> synthesis (nmol/mg of protein)		ATP concentration (nmol/mg of protein)	
	Crude cell extract	Washed membrane	Crude cell extract	Washed membrane
0	0	0	2.36	0.11
2	0.90	0	2.05	0.10
5	7.22	1.80	1.99	< 0.05
10	35.60	10.90	1.70	0.08
20	153.00	46.50	1.39	0.07
30	260.00	112.00	1.07	0.07
60	871.00	343.00	0.67	0.05
90	1210.00	652.00	0.49	0.07

fraction actively synthesized methane in spite of the fact that the ATP concentration was exceedingly low and did not increase during incubation (Table 7). These results indicate that the reduction of  $CO_2$  to methane by H<sub>2</sub> can proceed in 'uncoupled' membrane fractions and involves neither the utilization nor the production of ATP.

These results put in question the role of tetrahydrofolate intermediates in methanogenesis from  $CO_2$  and  $H_2$ . The first step in this reaction is the reduction of  $CO_2$  to formate by the enzyme formate dehydrogenase (EC 1.2.1.2) present in *Methanobacterium ruminantium* (Tzeng *et al.*, 1975*b*). In cell extracts of *Methanobacterium ruminantium* producing methane from <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>, 75% of the water-soluble radioactivity is present as formate (F. D. Sauer, unpublished work). The second step is the activation of formate according to reaction 4, catalysed by 10-formyltetrahydrofolate synthetase (EC 6.3.4.3):

 $H_4$ folate + ATP + HCO<sub>2</sub>H  $\rightleftharpoons$  10-CHO-H<sub>4</sub>folate +

$$P_i + ADP + H_2O \quad (4)$$

The active substrate for this reaction is the magnesium chelate of ATP (Curthoys & Rabinowitz, 1971) with a  $K_m$  of 86  $\mu$ M (Paukert *et al.*, 1976). In the experiment with washed membrane from *Methanobacterium ruminantium* cells reported in Table 7, the maximum ATP concentration present at any time was  $0.3 \mu$ M, i.e. 280-fold lower than the reported  $K_m$  for the enzyme. This makes it unlikely that in *Methanobacterium ruminantium*, the tetrahydrofolate intermediates have the major role in methanogenesis that they may have in *Methanobacterium* M.O.H. (Wood & Wolfe, 1965). More likely, these intermediates are primarily required for C<sub>1</sub>-transfer reactions in purine biosynthesis, as has been suggested (Thauer *et al.*, 1977).

## Effect of ATP phosphohydrolase inhibitors and membrane-modifying agents

The finding that specific ATP phosphohydrolase inhibitors did not impair methane production in cellfree extracts of *Methanobacterium ruminantium* (Table 8) is further evidence that ATP is not required for this process. Neither oligomycin nor NN'dicyclohexylcarbodi-imide, both of which are inhibitors of membrane-bound bacterial ATP phosphohydrolase (Haddock & Jones, 1977; Melandri *et al.*, 1977), were effective in inhibiting methane synthesis (Table 8).

Although there does not appear to be an ATP requirement for methane biosynthesis in *Methanobacterium ruminantium* membranes, this in itself does not rule out the possiblity that energy may be required to initiate the reaction. There are a number of energydependent reactions, that is reactions coupled to

### 171

# Table 8. Effects of membrane-modifying agents and ATP phosphohydrolase inhibitors on methane sythesis in crude

cell extracts of Methanobacterium ruminantium Where necessary, inhibitors were dissolved in ethanol. The equivalent volume of ethanol  $(10\mu l)$ without inhibitor was then added to the control. Concentrations of inhibitors are given in parentheses. Incubations were as described in the text.

Additions	CH₄ synthesis		
	(nmol/min per mg of protein)	(% of control)	
None	47.31	100	
Carbonyl cyanide <i>m</i> -chloro- phenylhydrazone (50 μM)	1.25	2.6	
2,4-Dinitrophenol (0.1 mm)	0.10	<1	
Triphenylmethylphos- phonium bromide (2 mм)	5.93	12.5	
Tetrabutylammonium bromide (8 mм)	6.59	13.9	
Deoxycholate $(0.25\%, w/v)$	1.73	3.7	
Oligomycin $(2\mu g)$	38.89	82.2	
Dicyclohexylcarbodi-imide (40μM)	69.26	146.4	

electron flow, which do not appear to involve ATP hydrolysis. These include the energy-dependent transport against concentration gradients of solutes such as amino acids, sugars and cations. It is generally accepted that the energy required for these processes is linked to the movement of protons across membranes and is described by the relationship:

$$\Delta \bar{\mu}_{\mathrm{H}+} = \Delta \psi - \left(\frac{2.3RT}{F}\right) \Delta \mathrm{pH}$$

where  $\Delta \bar{\mu}_{H^+}$  is the electrochemical potential of protons across the membrane,  $\Delta \psi$  the electrical potential, and  $\Delta pH$  the chemical difference in proton concentration across the membrane (Mitchell, 1966, 1967).

Table 8 shows that chemical reagents that decrease the protonmotive force,  $\Delta \mu_{H+}$ , also decreased methane synthesis. 2,4-Dinitrophenol and carbonyl cyanide *m*-chlorophenylhydrazone, which are proton conductors that probably abolish both electrical and pH gradients across bacterial membranes (Ramos & Kaback, 1977; MacDonald & Lanyi, 1975), were also powerful inhibitors of methanogenesis in *Methanobacterium ruminantium* membranes (Table 8).

Triphenylmethylphosphonium bromide and tetrabutylammonium bromide are cations of strong bases capable of penetrating phospholipid (Grinius *et al.*, 1970) and bacterial membranes (MacDonald & Lanyi, 1975). These cations have been shown to abolish electrical but not pH gradients across synthetic and bacterial membranes (Grinius *et al.*, 1970; Mac-Donald & Lanyi, 1975). These compounds too were effective inhibitors of methane synthesis (Table 8). Similarly, sodium deoxycholate, a membranesolubilizing agent, also totally abolished methane production (Table 8). These results suggest that electrical (and pH) gradients across *Methanobacterium ruminantium* membranes (i.e. 'energized' membranes) may be a prerequisite for methane production from  $CO_2$ . If this is so, then this energy must be used directly and without the prior intermediary formation of ATP.

We acknowledge the expert technical assistance of Mr. W. Cantwell. The assistance of Mr. W. Addison in growing bacterial cultures is acknowledged. This is Animal Research Institute Contribution no. 765, Ottawa, Ont. K1A 0C6, Canada.

### References

- Aspen, A. J. & Wolin, M. J. (1966) J. Biol. Chem. 241, 4152–4156
- Atkinson, D. E. (1968) Biochemistry 7, 4030-4034
- Blaylock, B. A. & Stadtman, T. C. (1966) Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 116, 138-152
- Bryant, M. P. (1974) in Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology (Buchanan, R. E. & Gibbons, N. E., eds.), 8th edn., pp. 472–477, Williams and Wilkins Co., Baltimore
- Bryant, M. P., McBride, B. C. & Wolfe, R. S. (1968) J. Bacteriol. 95, 1118-1123
- Chapman, A. G., Fall, L. & Atkinson, D. E. (1971) J. Bacteriol. 108, 1072-1086
- Cole, H. A., Wimpenny, J. W. T. & Hughes, D. E. (1967) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 143, 445–453
- Curthoys, N. P. & Rabinowitz, J. C. (1971) J. Biol. Chem. 246, 6942–6952
- Grinius, L. L., Jasaitis, A. A., Kadziauskas, Yu. P., Liberman, E. A., Skulachev, V. P., Topali, V. P., Tsofina, L. M. & Vladimirova, M. A. (1970) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 216, 1-12
- Gunsalus, R. P. & Wolfe, R. S. (1977) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 76, 790-795
- Haddock, B. A. & Jones, C. W. (1977) Bacteriol. Rev. 41, 47-99
- Holdeman, L. V. & Moore, W. E. C. (1972) Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 25, 1312-1315
- Lowry, O. H., Rosebrough, N. J., Farr, A. L. & Randall, R. J. (1951) *J. Biol. Chem.* **193**, 265-275
- MacDonald, R. E. & Lanyi, J. K. (1975) Biochemistry 14, 2882-2888
- McBride, B. P. & Wolfe, R. S. (1971) Biochemistry 10, 2317-2324
- Melandri, A. B., Casadio, R. & Melandri, B. A. (1977) Eur. J. Biochem. 78, 389-402
- Miller, G. L. (1959) Anal. Chem. 31, 964
- Mitchell, P. (1966) Biol. Rev. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 47, 445-502
- Mitchell, P. (1967) Nature (London) 919, 144-148
- Paukert, J. L., Straus, L. D. & Rabinowitz, J. C. (1976) J. Biol. Chem. 251, 5104–5111
- Paynter, M. J. B. & Hungate, R. E. (1968) J. Bacteriol. 95, 1943–1951
- Ramos, S. & Kaback, H. R. (1977) Biochemistry 16, 848-853

- Roberton, A. M. & Wolfe, R. S. (1969) *Biochim. Biophys.* Acta 192, 420-429
- Roberton, A. M. & Wolfe, R. S. (1970) J. Bacteriol. 102, 43-51
- Sauer, F. D., Bush, R. S., Mahadevan, S. & Erfle, J. D. (1977) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 79, 124–131
- Smith, P. H. & Hungate, R. E. (1958) J. Bacteriol. 75, 713-718
- Stadtman, T. C. & Blaylock, B. A. (1966) Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 116, 138-152
- Taylor, C. D. & Wolfe, R. S. (1974) J. Biol. Chem. 249, 4886–4890

- Thauer, R. K., Jungermann, K. & Decker, K. (1977) Bacteriol. Rev. 41, 100-180
- Tzeng, S. F., Wolfe, R. S. & Bryant, M. P. (1975a) J. Bacteriol. 121, 184–191
- Tzeng, S. F., Bryant, M. P. & Wolfe, R. S. (1975b) J. Bacteriol. 121, 192–196
- Wolfe, R. S. (1971) Adv. Microb. Physiol. 6, 107-146
- Wood, J. M. & Wolfe, R. S. (1965) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 19, 306-311
- Yagi, T., Honya, M. & Tamiya, N. (1968) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 153, 699-705
- Yu, L. & Wolin, M. J. (1969) J. Bacteriol. 98, 51-55
- Zeikus, J. G. (1977) Bacteriol. Rev. 41, 514-541