# SOME APPLICATIONS OF MATHEMATICS TO BREEDING PROBLEMS I11

### **RAINARD B. ROBBINS**

**University** of **Michigan, Ann** *Arbor,* **Michigan** 

**[Received November 22, 19171** 

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**



### **INTRODUCTION**

Professor H. S. JENNINGS (1916, 1917) has published two papers in **GENETICS,** giving numerical results for different systems of breeding in which the inheritance of Mendelian factors is in question. The first paper deals with one-factor problems, the second with two-factor problems. The present author has dealt with more general one-factor problems ( **ROBBINS 1917, 1918)** suggested by **JENNINGS'S** work. Similarly the present paper follows **JENNINGS's** lead in two-factor problems.

Part I gives the results for random mating for the most general problem of two linked factors. Part I1 is a less satisfactory solution of the problem of selection with regard to one of two linked factors. Part I11 gives the results for the general problem of self-fertilization.

The work of JENNINGS on random mating shows how useful it is to deal with the four kinds of gametes involved instead of the ten kinds of individuals. Of course, at any stage of the game we can find the proportions of the different types of individuals from our knowledge of the gametes of the parents.

### **I. RANDOM MATING**

## *a;. Linkage c in. each set of gawetes*

Let *A*, *a* represent respectively the dominant and recessive factors of **GENETICS 3: 375 J1 1918** 

### RAINARD B. ROBBINS

a simple Mendelian pair ; similarly let *B, b* represent respectively the dominant and recessive factors of a second simple Mendelian pair. With respect to these two sets of factors we will have four types of gametes. Let  $p_n$ ,  $q_n$ ,  $s_n$ ,  $t_n$  be respectively proportional to the number of  $AB$ , *Ab, aB, ab* gametes that will combine to produce the  $(n+1)$ th generation. A zygote will be represented by the juxtaposition of the letters representing the gametes which unite to produce the zygote. If a zygote produces *r* gametes of each of the types which united to produce it, for each gamete of the type obtained by interchanging a pair of the factors in the original gametes, there is said to be a linkage *Y* between the factors. For instance, if a zygote *ABab* produces gametes in the proportion  $rAB + Ab + aB + rab$ , the factors have a linkage *r*. Using this notation, JENNINGS has expressed the proportions of gametes in the  $(n+$  $1)$ th generation in terms of those in the *n*th generation in his table 9 (JENNINGS 1917, p. **144)** :

$$
\begin{array}{l}\n\mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \\
\mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \\
\mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \\
\mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \\
\mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \\
\mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \\
\mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \\
\mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \\
\mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \\
\mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \\
\mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \\
\mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \\
\mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \\
\mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \\
\mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \\
\mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \\
\mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \\
\mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \\
\mathbf{r} & \mathbf
$$

The form of these equations is decidedly simplified if we choose  $p_n$  $\ldots$   $t_n$  such that

$$
2) \qquad p_n+q_n+s_n+t_n=1,
$$

and use the notation  $\Delta_n = q_n s_n - p_n t_n$ . Then if we replace *n* by *n*-

in equations I) we obtain the following set:  
\n
$$
\begin{cases}\n p_n = p_{n-1} + \Delta_{n-1}/(1+r), \\
 q_n = q_{n-1} - \Delta_{n-1}/(1+r), \\
 s_n = s_{n-1} - \Delta_{n-1}/(1+r), \\
 t_n = t_{n-1} + \Delta_{n-1}/(1+r).\n\end{cases}
$$
\nThe equation can be related with  $1/4$  and  $1/4$ .

These equations can be solved with little difficulty. From the first of equations 3) we have

3) 
$$
\begin{cases} p_n = p_{n-1} + \Delta_{n-1}/(1+r), \\ q_n = q_{n-1} - \Delta_{n-1}/(1+r), \\ s_n = s_{n-1} - \Delta_{n-1}/(1+r), \\ t_n = t_{n-1} + \Delta_{n-1}/(1+r). \end{cases}
$$
  
These equations can be solved with little difficulty. Fro equations 3) we have  
4) 
$$
\cdot p_n = \frac{\Delta_{n-1}}{1+r} + \frac{\Delta_{n-2}}{1+r} + \dots + \frac{\Delta_0}{1+r} + p_0.
$$
  
If we can find  $\Delta_n$  in terms of *n* we will have the desired so

If we can find  $\Delta_n$  in terms of *n* we will have the desired solution for  $p_n$ . If we can find  $\Delta_n$  in terms of *n* we will have the desired solution for  $p_n$ .<br>Calculating  $\Delta_n$  from equations 3), i.e., forming  $q_n s_n - p_n t_n$  and using For  $\alpha_n$  in terms of *n* we will<br>alating  $\Delta_n$  from equations 3), i.e.<br>for  $q_{n-1}$   $s_{n-1}$   $\rightarrow p_{n-1}$   $t_{n-1}$ , we have<br> $\Delta_n = \Delta_{n-1} - \frac{\Delta_{n-1}}{1+r} = \frac{r}{1+r}$ .

$$
\Delta_n=\Delta_{n-1}-\frac{\Delta_{n-1}}{1+r}=\frac{r}{1+r} \cdot \Delta_{n-1}.
$$

Whence,

$$
5) \qquad \Delta_n = \left(\frac{r}{1+r}\right)^n \cdot \Delta_0 \, .
$$

Substituting from 5) into **4)** gives,

$$
p_n=\frac{\Delta_0}{1+r}\left[\left(\frac{r}{1+r}\right)^{n-1}+\left(\frac{r}{1+r}\right)^{n-2}+\ldots+\frac{r}{1+r}+1\right]+p_0.
$$

The bracket is a geometric progression. Summing it,  $p_n$  takes the closed form

$$
p_n=\frac{\Delta_0}{(1+r)^n}[(1+r)^n-r^n]+p_0.
$$

Similar calculation for  $q_n$ ,  $s_n$ ,  $t_n$  gives us finally the set of solutions,

6) 
$$
p_n = p_0 + \Delta_0 \left[ I - \left( \frac{r}{1+r} \right)^n \right],
$$

$$
q_n = q_0 - \Delta_0 \left[ \mathbf{I} - \left( \frac{r}{\mathbf{I} + r} \right)^n \right],
$$

$$
s_0 \qquad s_n = s_0 \; - \; \Delta_0 \; \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{r}{1+r} \right)^n \right],
$$

9) 
$$
t_n = t_0 + \Delta_0 \left[ \mathbf{I} - \left( \frac{r}{\mathbf{I} + r} \right)^n \right],
$$

in which  $\Delta_o = q_o s_o - p_o t_o$ .

To get the zygotic composition of the  $(n+1)$ th generation it is only necessary to substitute these values for  $p_n$  ....  $t_n$  in JENNINGS'S (1917) table  $(6)$ .

DISCUSSION. I. The sum  $p_n + q_n$  represents the gametes *AB* and *Ab* in the nth generation; i.e., all the gametes having the factor *A.* Similarly  $s_n + t_n$  represents all the gametes with the factor *a*. It is well known that for a single factor the proportions of dominants, recessives and heterozygous individuals is fixed after the first random mating. This is due to the fact that the proportions of dominant and recessive gametes never changes in random mating. Then in our problem we should expect  $p_n + q_n$  to be constant, and our equations 6) and 7) show that  $p_n + q_n = p_0 + q_0$ . Similarly we have three other check equations.

**2.** From equations 6) to 9) it is evident that the value of  $\Delta_0$  is quite *important. Any two sets of initial conditions give results differing from the initial conditions by the same amounts if and only if*  $\Delta$ , *is the same for both.* 

3. In the case  $\Delta_0 = 0$ , the proportions are fixed from the beginning. This is shown by equations 6) to 9). *The only other case in which the proportions are fixed is that of complete linkage,*  $r = \infty$ . Then we have

 $r/(1+r)$  approaches unity as a limit, and equation 6) becomes,  $p_n =$  $p_{\rm o}$ , and similarly,  $q_n = q_{\rm o}$ ,  $s_n = s_{\rm o}$ ,  $t_n = t_{\rm o}$ . It is also evident that the case of complete linkage is in essence a one-factor problem and that therefore the proportions should be fixed.

4. The results for independent factors are obtained by setting  $r = 1$ :  $p_n = p_o + \Delta_o (1 - \frac{1}{2}n),$  $q_n = q_o - \Delta_o \ (1 - V_2^n),$  $s_n = s_o - \Delta_o$  (1 -  $\frac{1}{2}$ <sup>n</sup>),  $t_n = t_0 + \Delta_0 \ (1 - \frac{1}{2}n).$ 

## b. Linkage  $r$  in one set of gametes and  $r'$  in the other

We have a much more general problem than the one above if we assume that the degree of linkage is different in the different sexes. Consider the problem with linkages  $r$  and  $r'$  any two positive integers. Let  $p_n$ ,  $q_n$ ,  $s_n$ ,  $t_n$  be the gametic proportions in the set of gametes of linkage r and  $p_n'$ ,  $q_n'$ ,  $s_n'$ ,  $t_n'$  the same for the set of linkage r'. Then a study of the crosses involved gives the following recurrences:

$$
\begin{cases}\np_n = \frac{p_{n-1} + p_{n-1}}{2} + \frac{d_{n-1}}{2(r+1)}, \\
q_n = \frac{q_{n-1} + q_{n-1}}{2} - \frac{d_{n-1}}{2(r+1)}, \\
s_n = \frac{s_{n-1} + s_{n-1}}{2} - \frac{d_{n-1}}{2(r+1)}, \\
t_n = \frac{t_{n-1} + t_{n-1}}{2} + \frac{d_{n-1}}{2(r+1)}, \\
\left[p_n' = \frac{p_{n-1} + p_{n-1}'}{2} + \frac{d_{n-1}}{2(r+1)},\n\right] & q_n' = \frac{q_{n-1} + q_{n-1}}{2} - \frac{d_{n-1}}{2(r+1)}, \\
s_n' = \frac{s_{n-1} + s_{n-1}'}{2} - \frac{d_{n-1}}{2(r+1)}, \\
t_n' = \frac{t_{n-1} + t_{n-1}'}{2} + \frac{d_{n-1}}{2(r+1)},\n\end{cases}
$$

in which

$$
d_n = q_n s_n' - p_n t_n' + q_n' s_n - p_n' t_n.
$$

378

Substituting from equation  $II$ ) into equation  $IO$ ) we have,

$$
\begin{cases}\np_n = p_n' + \frac{(r'-r) d_{n-1}}{2(r+1) (r'+1)}, \\
q_n = q_n' - \frac{(r'-r) d_{n-1}}{2(r+1) (r'+1)}, \\
s_n = s_n' - \frac{(r'-r) d_{n-1}}{2(r+1) (r'+1)}, \\
t_n = t_n' + \frac{(r'-r) d_{n-1}}{2(r+1) (r'+1)}.\n\end{cases}
$$

Substituting these values of  $p_n$  ....  $t_n$  into the equations  $11$ ) gives us,

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{Substituting these values} \\
13\big) \n\begin{cases}\n\begin{aligned}\np'_n' &= p'_{n-1} + \nabla_{n-1}, \\
q'_n' &= q'_{n-1} - \nabla_{n-1}, \\
s'_n' &= s'_{n-1} - \nabla_{n-1}, \\
t'_n' &= t'_{n-1} + \nabla_{n-1}.\n\end{aligned}\n\end{cases}\n\end{aligned}
$$

where  $n > 1$  and

$$
\nabla_{n-1} = \frac{1}{2(r'+1)} \left[ \frac{r'-r}{2(r+1)} d_{n-2} + d_{n-1} \right].
$$

It is evident that we can solve our problem if we can find  $\nabla_{n-1}$ , and this depends upon finding  $d_n$ . If we let  $D_n = q_n' s'_n - p'_n' t'_n'$ , detailed computation from equations **12)** and 13) show that

$$
d_n = 2 D_n - \frac{(r'-r) d_{n-1}}{2(r+1)(r'+1)},
$$
 and  $D_n = D_{n-1} - \nabla_{n-1}.$ 

From these last equations we find that

$$
d_n = d_{n-1} \left[ \frac{r}{2(r+1)} + \frac{r'}{2(r'+1)} \right] \text{ for } n > 1.
$$

Whence

 $d_n = d_1$ .  $K^{n-1}$  where

$$
K = \frac{r}{2(r+1)} + \frac{r'}{2(r'+1)}.
$$

Having  $d_n$ , we can calculate  $\nabla_{n-1}$ , then solve equations **13**) and finally equations **12).**  *d, r'K"-\* (r'+r+2)* 

ons 12).  
\n
$$
p_{n}^{\prime} - p_{n-1}^{\prime} = \nabla_{n-1} = \frac{d_1 r^{\prime} K^{n-2} (r^{\prime} + r + 2)}{2(r+1) (2rr^{\prime} + r + r^{\prime})}.
$$

The solution of this equation is

14 a)  $p_n' = c_1 - d_1 r' K^{n-2}/2(r'+1)$ ,  $n > 1$ . in which<sup>1</sup>

$$
d_1 = q_1 s_1' - p_1 t_1' + q_1' s_1 - p_1' t_1 \text{ and } c_1 = (p_1 + p_1' + d_1)/2.
$$

Similarly,

14b)  $q_n' = c_2 + d_1 r' K^{n-2}/2(r'+1)$ , 14 c)  $s_n' = c_3 + d_1 r' K^{n-2}/2(r'+1),$ 14 d)  $t_n' = c_4 - d_1 r' K^{n-2}/2(r'+1)$ , in which  $c_2 = (q_1 + q_1' - d_1)/2$ ;  $c_3 = (s_1 + s_1' - d_1)/2$ ;  $c_4 = (t_1 +$  $t_1' + d_1$ /2. Substituting into equations 12) we have,

$$
\begin{array}{l}\n\mathfrak{p}_n = c_1 - d_1 \, r \, K^{n-2}/2(r+1), \\
q_n = c_2 + d_1 \, r \, K^{n-2}/2(r+1), \\
s_n = c_3 + d_1 \, r \, K^{n-2}/2(r+1), \\
t_n = c_4 - d_1 \, r \, K^{n-2}/2(r+1).\n\end{array}
$$

DISCUSSION. I. It is evident that these results should reduce to those in the previous problem, linkage r in each sex, if we set  $r' = r$ . This can be easily verified and serves as a check on the calculations. Equations 10) and 11) show that however different the original proportions may be in the two sexes, they are identical after the first cross, i.e.,  $p_1 = p_1'$ , etc., if the linkage is the same in both sexes.

2. The results for the case of complete linkage in one set of gametes is given by making r' infinite in the above formulae. This gives:

$$
p_n' = c_1 - d_1 K^{n-2}/2,
$$
  
\n
$$
q_n' = c_2 + d_1 K^{n-2}/2,
$$
  
\n
$$
s_n' = c_3 + d_1 K^{n-2}/2,
$$
  
\n
$$
t_n' = c_4 - d_1 K^{n-2}/2,
$$

in which  $K = (2r+1)/2(r+1)$  and  $c_1$  ....  $c_4$  are unchanged. The form of the equations for  $p_n$ ....  $t_n$  does not change.

3. Setting  $r' = 1$  will give the case of no linkage in one set of gametes. The equations become,

$$
p_n' = c_1 - d_1 K^{n-2}/4,
$$
  
\n
$$
q_n' = c_2 + d_1 K^{n-2}/4,
$$
  
\n
$$
s_n' = c_3 + d_1 K^{n-2}/4,
$$
  
\n
$$
t_n' = c_4 - d_1 K^{n-2}/4,
$$

where  $K = (3r + 1)/4(r + 1)$ . Here again the form of the equations for  $p_n$ ......  $t_n$  remains unchanged.

<sup>1</sup> Of course we can express  $c_1$  and  $d_1$  in terms of the original data,  $p_0...p_n$ , but so expressed they are cumbersome. The simpler is  $c_1$  which is given by<br>  $c_1 = (2 p_0 + 2 p'_0 + d_0 + q_0 s_0 - p_0 t_0 + q'_0 s_0' - p'_0 t_0')/4.$ 

380

4. *Equations* 14) and 15) *show that if*  $d_1 = 0$ , the proportions will be fixed after one random mating. In this case the proportions will be in*dependent of the degree of linkage.* This last statement can easily be verified by calculating  $c_1 \ldots c_4$  in terms of  $p_0 \ldots p'_0$ . The parts involving  $r$  and  $r'$  disappear—(see note **I**).

*5. The limiting population:* 

a. *The gametic proportions approach limiting values as n increases.* 

b. *The limiting values are equal in the two sexes;* i.e., *the limits of*   $p_n$ ,  $q_n$ ,  $s_n$ ,  $t_n$  are respectively the limits of  $p_n'$ ,  $q_n'$ ,  $s_n'$ ,  $t_n'$ . In case r *and r' are not both infinite the limits of*  $p_n$ *,*  $q_n$ *,*  $s_n$ *,*  $t_n$  *are respectively*  $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4$ . In case of complete linkage the limits are respectively *and r' are not both infinite the limits of*  $p_n$ ,<br>  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ ,  $c_3$ ,  $c_4$ . In case of complete linkage t<br>  $c_1 - d_1/2$ ,  $c_2 + d_1/2$ ,  $c_3 + d_1/2$ ,  $c_4 - d_1/2$ .<br>  $\therefore$  *The limiting values are indetendent of the set* 

c. *The limiting values are independent of the linkage factors r, r' except in the sense that complete linkage in both sexes gives limits different from those for any other case.* As was pointed out above, the case of complete linkage in both sexes is really a one-factor problem, and the proportions are fixed after one random mating.

d. The limiting proportions must be such that if used as initial pro*portions the population would remain fixed;* this follows because if after the limiting proportions had been reached one more random mating changed the proportions, our notion of limiting proportions would be violated. We can therefore check our limits by forming  $d_1$  using  $p_1 =$  $p_1' = c_1, q_1 = q_1' = c_2, s_1 = s_1' = c_3, t_1 = t_1' = c_4$ . From point 4 in the discussion,  $d_1$  should vanish, and detailed calculation will show that it does.

e. *In the limiting population, the proportion of A B gametes is the troduct of the proportions of 4 gametes and B gametes.* Symbolically this is expressed by the equation  $c_1 = (c_1 + c_2)(c_1 + c_3)$ , which may be easily verified.

Two striking facts stand out as a result of this discussion:

I. *In random mating, the effect of incomplete linkage between two factors* .is *only temporary.* 

2. Continued random mating results in a population in which the *distribution of B factors among the A and a factors is the same as the*, *distribution of the b factors among the A and a factors.* 

11. SELECTION OF DOMINANTS WITH RESPECT TO ONE OF THE PAIRS OF CHARACTERS-LINKAGE *f'* IN BOTH SETS OF **GAMETES** 

In this problem we select for breeding purposes only the zygotes which have the factor *A*. A study of the crosses involved gives the following recurrence relations :

**GENETICS 3: .TI 1918** 

$$
\begin{array}{l}\n\text{f3} & \begin{cases} p_n = \left[ (r+1) \ p_{n-1} + \delta_{n-1} \right] / D_{n-1}, \\ q_n = \left[ (r+1) \ q_{n-1} - \delta_{n-1} \right] / D_{n-1}, \\ s_n = \left[ (r+1) \ s_{n-1} \ l_{n-1} - \delta_{n-1} \right] / D_{n-1}, \\ t_n = \left[ (r+1) \ t_{n-1} \ l_{n-1} + \delta_{n-1} \right] / D_{n-1}, \end{cases}\n\end{array}
$$

In which  $\delta_n = q_n s_n - p_n t_n$ ;  $D_n = l_n (1 + L_n) (r + 1)$ ;  $L_n = s_n + t_n$ and  $l_n = p_n + q_n$ .

The method of solving these equations is analogous to the methods used in the earlier problems, but there is more detail and the results are less satisfactory. It is convenient to solve first for  $L_n$  and  $l_n$ . It is evident that  $L_n$  and  $l_n$  give the gametic composition of the *n*th generation for the one factor problem and we could compute them from this standpoint, but it is easy to calculate them from the equations 16). We have

Since  $s_n + t_n = L_n$  $s_n + t_n = (r+1)l_{n-1}(s_{n-1} + t_{n-1})/D_{n-1} = (s_{n-1} + t_{n-1})/(1 + L_{n-1}).$  $L_n = L_{n-1} / (1 + L_{n-1}).$ 

Then

Since 
$$
s_n + t_n = L_{n}
$$
,  
\n
$$
L_n = L_{n-1}/(1 + L_{n-1}).
$$
\nThen  
\n
$$
L_n = \frac{1 + L_{n-1}}{L_{n-1}} = 1 + \frac{1}{L_{n-1}} = 2 + \frac{1}{L_{n-2}} = \dots = n + \frac{1}{L_n}.
$$

Whence,

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{I8)} \quad L_n &= L_0 / (n \, L_0 + 1). \\
\text{I9)} \quad l_n &= 1 - L_n = \frac{(n-1)L_0 + 1}{n \, L_0 + 1} = \frac{L_n}{L_{n-1}}.\n\end{aligned}
$$

Combining equations 17) and 19) we get,

$$
20) \quad \frac{1}{1+L_n} = \frac{L_{n+1}}{L_n} = l_{n+1}
$$

Equation 20) enables us to write  $D_n$  in the simpler form,  $(21)$   $D_n = (r+1)l_n/l_{n+1}.$ 

It is at once apparent that  $L_n$  approaches zero as *n* increases and hence  $s_n$  and  $t_n$  do likewise. Therefore  $l_n$  approaches unity as *n* increases.

The next step in the solution is to solve for  $\delta_n$ . Computing  $\delta_n$  from  $s_n$  and  $t_n$  do likewise. Therefore  $l_n$  approaches unity as *n* increments the next step in the solution is to solve for  $\delta_n$ . Computing equations 16), i.e. calculating  $q_n s_n - p_n t_n$  we get at once that equations 16), i.e. calculating  $q_n s_n - p_n t_n$  v<br>
(22)  $\delta_n = \delta_{n-1} (r - L_{n-1}) / D_{n-1} (1 + L_{n-1}).$ 

22) 
$$
\delta_n = \delta_{n-1}(r - L_{n-1})/D_{n-1}(1 + L_{n-1}).
$$
Substituting from equations 20) and 21) for  $I/(I + L_{n-1})$  and  $D_{n-1}$ ,  $\delta_n$  takes the form

$$
\delta_n = \delta_{n-1} l_n^2 (r - L_{n-1}) / l_{n-1} (r + 1),
$$
  
\n
$$
= \delta_{n-2} l_n^2 l_{n-1} (r - L_{n-1}) (r - L_{n-2}) / (r + 1)^2 l_{n-2},
$$
  
\n
$$
= \delta_0 l_n l_n l_{n-1} \ldots l_1 (r - L_{n-1}) \ldots (r - L_0) / (r + 1)^n l_0
$$

From equation **20)** we have,

 $l_n l_{n-1} \ldots l_1 = L_n / L_0$ .

Then we can write,

23) 
$$
\delta_n = \delta_0 \ \ l_n \ L_n \ \prod_{i=0}^{i=n-1} \ \left( r - L_i \right) / l_0 \ L_0 \ (r+1)^n \ .
$$

The first of equations 16) can be written  
\n
$$
p_n - l_n p_{n-1}/l_{n-1} = \delta_{n-1} l_n / (r+1) l'_{n-1}
$$

The solution of this equation satisfying the initial conditions is,

24) 
$$
p_n = \frac{p_0 l_n}{l_0} + \frac{l_n}{r+1} \left[ \frac{\delta_{n-1}}{l_{n-1}} + \frac{\delta_{n-2}}{l_{n-2}} + \ldots + \frac{\delta_0}{l_0} \right]
$$

Similarly the solutions of the other equations of set 16) are,

25) 
$$
q_n = \frac{q_0 l_n}{l_0} - \frac{l_n}{r+1} \left[ \frac{\delta_{n-1}}{l_{n-1}} + \frac{\delta_{n-2}}{l_{n-2}} + \ldots + \frac{\delta_0}{l_0} \right].
$$

$$
s_n = \frac{s_0 L_n}{L_0} - \frac{l_n}{r+1} \left[ \frac{\delta_{n-1}}{l_{n-1}} + \frac{\delta_{n-2}}{l_{n-2}} + \ldots + \frac{\delta_0}{l_0} \right].
$$

$$
t_n = \frac{t_0 \ L_n}{L_0} + \frac{l_n}{r+1} \left[ \frac{\delta_{n-1}}{l_{n-1}} + \frac{\delta_{n-2}}{l_{n-2}} + \ldots + \frac{\delta_0}{l_0} \right].
$$

This seems to be about the most compact form into which the solution can be put. It will probably be **a** matter of opinion whether these equations are worth writing down. Certainly if one desires the composition of each generation, repeated use of the recurrence relation is easiest. But if one wishes the tenth generation and does not care about the preceding ones, it seems that the solutions **24)** to **27)** may be more useful. It should be noted that  $L_n$  and  $l_n$  are very simple functions of  $n$  and can be calculated rapidly, and that successive values of  $\delta_n$  come rather easily if we use equation **22).** 

DISCUSSION. I. As noted above,  $s_n$  and  $t_n$  approach zero as n in*creases.* 

**2.** The proportions can be fixed only in the trivial case where  $s_0 = t_0$  $=$  **0.** This is shown by equation  $18$ ).

**3.** If  $p_y/q_y = s_y/t_i$  for any value of *i* it is true for all values of *i*. This follows from equation 22), since if  $p_i/q_i = s_i/t_i$ , then  $\delta_i = 0$ . In this *special case, the equations* **24**) *to* **27**) *reduce to*  $p_n = p_0 l_n/l_0$ ;  $q_n = q_0 l_n/l_0$ ;

$$
p_n = p_0 l_n / l_0; q_n = q_0 l_n / l_0; s_n = s_0 L_n / L_0; t_n = t_0 L_n / L_0.
$$

*It is important to note that in this case,*  $\delta_i = 0$ , the results are independ*ent of the linkage factor. Furthermore we find that*  $p_n + s_n = p_0 + s_0$ *.* This is readily shown as follows. From equations **24)** and **26)** 

$$
p_n + s_n = p_o l_n / l_o + s_o L_n / L_o \text{ when } \delta_o = 0; = p_o l_n / l_o + s_o (1 - l_n) / L_o = l_n [p_o / l_o - s_o / L_o] + s_o / L_o.
$$

Since  $p_o/q_o = s_o/t_o$ , then  $p_o/(p_o + q_o) = s_o/(s_o + t_o)$ ; i.e.,  $p_o/l_o$   $s_0/L_0 \equiv$  0, and therefore

 $p_n + s_n = s_0/L_0$ Also since  $p_0/(p_0 + q_0) = s_0/(s_0 + t_0)$ , each fraction is equal to  $(p_o + s_o) / (p_o + q_o + s_o + t_o) = \frac{p_o + s_o}{r}$ . Therefore,

$$
p_n+s_n=p_o+s_o.
$$

This is an important fact. The sum  $p_n + s_n$  represents the gametes with the factor  $B$  in the nth generation. We therefore have the conclusion, if  $\delta_0 = 0$ , selection of dominants with respect to A does not interfere with random mating with respect to B, regardless of the degree of linkage between A and B.

4. The case of complete linkage,  $r = \infty$ , gives the same equations for  $p_n$  .....  $t_n$  as does  $\delta_0 = 0$ . However, we do not have the other *results that follow from*  $\delta_0 = 0$ .

5. The case of no linkage,  $r = 1$ , simplifies considerably because the continued product for  $\delta_n$  (equation 23) can be summed when  $r = 1$ :

 $\delta_n = \delta_0 l_n L_n l_{n-1} \ldots l_0 / l_0 L_n 2^n$ .  $= \delta_0 L_n^2/L_0^2$ .  $2^n$ , (using equation 19)).  $\delta_n/l_n = \delta_0 L_n^2/L_0^2$  .  $2^n$  .  $l_n$ .

Using equation 19) again, this becomes  $\delta_n/l_n = \delta_0 L_n L_{n-1}/L_0^2$ . 2<sup>n</sup>.

From equation 17) we have

 $I/L_n - I/L_{n-1} = I.$ 

Whence.

 $L_n$ .  $L_{n-1} = L_{n-1} - L_n$ .

Substituting this value of  $L_n L_{n-1}$  above, we have,

 $\delta_n/l_n = \delta_0 (L_{n-1} - L_n)/L_0^2$ . 2<sup>n</sup>.

Using this value of  $\delta_n/l_n$ , equations 24) to 27) may be written,

$$
p_n = \left(p_0 + \frac{\delta_0}{2} + \frac{\delta_0 l_0}{4 L_0} \right) \frac{l_n}{l_0} - \left(S_{n-1} + \frac{L_{n-1}}{2^{n-1}} \right) \cdot \frac{l_n \delta_0}{4 L_0^2}.
$$

29) 
$$
q_n = \left(q_0 - \frac{\delta_0}{2} - \frac{\delta_0 l_0}{4 L_0}\right) \frac{l_n}{l_0} + \left(S_{n-1} + \frac{L_{n-1}}{2^{n-1}}\right) \cdot \frac{l_n \delta_0}{4 L_0^2}.
$$

30) 
$$
s_n = \left(s_0 - \frac{\delta_0}{2 l_0} - \frac{\delta_0}{4}\right) \frac{L_n}{L_0} - \left(S_{n-1} - \frac{L_{n-1}}{2^{n-1}}\right) \cdot \frac{L_n \delta_0}{4 L_n^2}.
$$

384

31) 
$$
t_n = \left(t_0 + \frac{\delta_0}{2 l_0} + \frac{\delta_0}{4}\right) \frac{L_n}{L_0} + \left(S_{n-1} - \frac{L_{n-1}}{2^{n-1}}\right) \cdot \frac{L_n \delta_0}{4 L^2}.
$$

in which  $S_n = L_1/2 + L_2/4 + \ldots + L_n/2^n$ .

The computation in this case is fairly simple and the formulae should be useful.

JENNINGS (1917) discusses this problem in section (26) of his paper. In the next to the last paragraph of this section he writes, "selection with reference to *A* and *a* is random mating with reference to *B* and *b,* if the two pairs are not linked." There is nothing in our equations 28) to 31) to suggest this, and as a matter of fact an example can easily be found for which this is not true. Suppose, for instance, that the breeding begins with a cross between *ABAb* and *abab* and suppose there is no linkage,  $r = 1$ . Then  $p_0 = \frac{1}{4}$ ;  $q_0 = \frac{1}{4}$ ;  $s_0 = 0$ ;  $t_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ . From equations 16) or equations 28) to 31), or from JENNINGS'S equations of table 16, we calculate,

\n The equation is:\n 
$$
\begin{align*}\n p_1 &= \frac{1}{4}, \quad\n q_1 = \frac{5}{12}, \quad\n s_1 = \frac{1}{12}, \quad\n t_1 = \frac{1}{4}, \\
 p_2 &= \frac{17}{64}, \quad\n q_2 = \frac{31}{64}, \quad\n s_2 = \frac{5}{64}, \quad\n t_2 = \frac{11}{64}.\n \end{align*}
$$
\n

In random mating with respect to *B* and *b,* the proportion of each type of gamete remains fixed. The proportion of *B* gametes is given by  $p_n + s_n$ . In the above example,

*Thus we see that we do not have random mating with respect to B and b.*  $p_{o} + s_{o} = \frac{1}{4}; p_{1} + s_{1} = \frac{1}{3}; p_{2} + s_{2} = \frac{11}{32}.$ 

As has already been mentioned,  $s_n$  and  $t_n$  approach zero. That  $p_n$  and  $q_n$  approach limiting values is apparent when we notice from equations 24) and 25) that each increases or decreases continuously and lies between zero and unity. The limits of  $p_n$  and  $q_n$  are *6. The proportions approach limiting values as n increases.* 

$$
\lim_{n = \infty} t_n = \frac{p_0}{l_0} + \frac{1}{r+1} \left[ \frac{\delta_0}{l_0} + \frac{\delta_1}{l_1} + \frac{\delta_2}{l_2} + \cdots \right],
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{n = \infty} t_n = \frac{q_0}{l_0} - \frac{1}{r+1} \left[ \frac{\delta_0}{l_0} + \frac{\delta_1}{l_1} + \frac{\delta_2}{l_2} + \cdots \right].
$$

We can say very little about these values because of their complicated form. However, we may note this one fact: the limits of  $p_n$  and  $q_n$  de*pend upon the value of r and*  $\delta_0 = 0$ . This is worth noting since it was not the case in random mating.

It may be worth while to state without proof that in case  $r = 1$ ,  $p_n$ lies between the values  $p_0/l_0 + \delta_0/2l_0$  and  $p_0/l_0 + \delta_0/2l_0 + \delta_0/2L_0$ . Also, the difference between these two expressions,  $\delta_0/2L_0$ , lies between zero and  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

### *386* RAINARD B. ROBBINS

Similar investigations can be carried through for the corresponding problem in which the linkage constant is different in the two sexes, but the results become complicated so rapidly that it would seem wiser to follow JENNINGS's method of repeated use of the recurrence relations.

### 111. SELF-FERTILIZATION

## *a. Linkage r in each set of gametes*

In this problem we cannot deal with the types of gametes only. We must consider the different types of zygotes. We shall follow JEN-NINGS in letting  $c_n$  represent the proportion of the zygotes of the nth generation which have **a** composition indicated by *ABAB,* and use similar



If **we** assume that

 $c_n + d_n + e_n + f_n + g_n + h_n + i_n + j_n + k_n + l_n = 1,$ the recurrence relations for the problem are,

 $c_n = c_{n-1} + r^2 g_{n-1}/R + h_{n-1}/R + (i_{n-1} + j_{n-1})/4$  $e_n = e_{n-1} + \frac{a_{n-1}}{R} + \frac{r^2 h_{n-1}}{R} + \frac{f_{n-1}}{R} + \frac{I_{n-1}}{R}$ *32) 34)*  33)  $d_n = d_{n-1} + g_{n-1}/R + r^2h_{n-1}/R + (i_{n-1} + k_{n-1})/4,$ *35) 36) 37) 38)*  39) **40) 41)**   $f_n = f_{n-1} + r^2 g_{n-1}/R + h_{n-1}/R + (k_{n-1} + l_{n-1})/4,$  $g_n = 2[r^2g_{n-1} + h_{n-1}]/R$  $h_n = 2\left[g_{n-1} + r^2h_{n-1}\right]/R$  $i_n = 2r(g_{n-1} + h_{n-1})/R + i_{n-1}/2,$  $j_n = \frac{2r(g_{n-1} + h_{n-1})}{R + j_{n-1}/2},$  $k_n = \frac{2r(g_{n-1} + h_{n-1})}{R} + \frac{k_{n-1}}{2},$  $l_n = 2r(g_{n-1} + h_{n-1})/R + l_{n-1}/2,$ in which  $R = 4(1 + r^2)$ . Adding 36) and 37) and using the notation  $v = (r^2 + 1)/2(r + 1)^2$ , Whence Substituting from *42)* into 38) gives, The solution of this equation is The solution of this equation is<br>  $A_1$  :  $i = \frac{g_0 + h_0 + 2i_0}{2^{n+1}} - \frac{g_0 + h_0}{2}$ .  $v^n$ .  $g_n + h_n = v(g_{n-1} + h_{n-1}).$  $q_2$  *g<sub>n</sub>* +  $h_n = v^n(g_0 + h_0)$ .  $i_n - i_{n-1}/2 = 2r(g_o + h_o) \cdot v^{n-1}/R$ .  $g_0 + h_0 + 2i_0$   $g_0 + h_0$  $2^{n+1}$  2

Similarly,

Similarly,  
\n44) 
$$
j_n = \frac{g_o + h_o + 2j_o}{2^{n+1}} - \frac{g_o + h_o}{2} \cdot v^n
$$
.  
\n45)  $k_n = \frac{g_o + h_o + 2k_o}{2^{n+1}} - \frac{g_o + h_o}{2} \cdot v^n$ .

45) 
$$
k_n = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{
$$

From equation 42) we get<br>  $h_n = v^n (g_0 + h_0) - g_n$ 

$$
h_n = v^n (g_0 + h_0) - g_n.
$$

Substituting this value of  $h_n$  into equation  $36$ ) and simplifying we have,  $g_n = \left[2(r^2-1)g_{n-1} + 2v^{n-1}(g_0 + h_0)\right]/R.$ 

If we let  $(r^2-1)/2(r + 1)^2 = w$ , this equation takes the simpler form,  $g_n - w g_{n-1} = (g_o + h_o) v^{n-1} / 2(r+1)^2$ .

The solution is,

Substituting for  $g_n$  from equation 47) into equation 42) we have  $g_n = (g_0 - h_0)w^n/2 + (g_0 + h_0)v^n/2.$  $h_n = (h_o - g_o) w^n/2 + (g_o + h_o) v_n/2.$ 47) 48)

We can now evaluate everything in equation 32) excepting 
$$
c_n
$$
 and  $c_{n-1}$   
and have,  

$$
c_n - c_{n-1} = \frac{g_0 - h_0}{4} w^n + \frac{g_0 + h_0}{4} (v^n - v^{n-1}) + \frac{g_0 + h_0 + i_0 + j_0}{2^{n+1}}.
$$

The solution is

$$
c_n - c_{n-1} = \frac{g_o - h_o}{4} w^n + \frac{g_o + h_o}{4} (v^n - v^{n-1}) + \frac{g_o + h_o + i_o + j_o}{2^{n+1}}.
$$
  
The solution is  
49) 
$$
c_n = \frac{g_o - h_o}{4} \cdot w \frac{(1 - w^n)}{1 - w} + \frac{g_o + h_o}{4} (v^n - 1) + (g_o + h_o + i_o + j_o) \left(\frac{2^n - 1}{2^{n+1}}\right) + c_o.
$$
  
Similarly,  

$$
h_n - g_n = \frac{(1 - v^n)}{2^n} + \frac{2^n}{4} \left(\frac{2^n}{2^n} + \frac{2^n}{4^n}\right) + \frac{2^n}{4} \left(\frac{2^n}{2^n} + \frac{2^n}{4^n}\right) + c_o.
$$

50) 
$$
d_n = \frac{h_0 - g_0}{4} \cdot w \frac{(1 - w^n)}{1 - w} + \frac{g_0 + h_0}{4} (v^n - 1) + (g_0 + h_0 + i_0 + k_0) \left(\frac{2^n - 1}{2^{n+1}}\right) + d_0
$$
.

$$
\text{(51) } e_n = \frac{h_0 - g_0}{4} \cdot w \frac{(1 - w^n)}{1 - w} + \frac{g_0 + h_0}{4} (v^n - 1) + (g_0 + h_0 + j_0 + l_0) \left( \frac{2^n - 1}{2^{n+1}} \right) + e_0.
$$

52) 
$$
f_n = \frac{g_0 - h_0}{4}
$$
.  $w \frac{(1 - w^n)}{1 - w} + \frac{g_0 + h_0}{4} (v^n - 1) + (g_0 + h_0 + k_0 + l_0) \left(\frac{2^{n-1}}{2^{n+1}}\right) + f_0$ .

DISCUSSION. I. It is easy to get the limiting population in this problem. Since *v* and w are proper fractions, *v"* and *w"* approach zero as *<sup>n</sup>* increases. Because of this, the limits are zero for all but the homozy*gous types, c, d, e, f. For these* four *we* have,

$$
\lim_{n = \infty} t_n = (g_0 - h_0)(r + 1)/2(r + 3) + (h_0 + i_0 + j_0)/2 + c_0.
$$

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} d_n = (h_o - g_o)(r+1)/2(r+3) + (g_o + i_o + k_o)/2 + d_o.
$$
  
\n
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} e_n = (h_o - g_o)(r+1)/2(r+3) + (g_o + j_o + l_o)/2 + e_o.
$$
  
\n
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n = (g_o - h_o)(r+1)/2(r+3) + (h_o + k_o + l_o)/2 + f_o.
$$

*In all the one-factor problems in self-fertilization or any other forms of iiibrccdiitg that have been discussed by* JENNINGS (1916) *and* by *the present writer* (ROBBINS 1917, 1918) *the heterozygous type tends to disappear.* Here in the two-factor problem in self-fertilization we note *the same tendency.* 

2. In general the proportions in the limiting population depend upon *the linkage factor r, but in case*  $h_0 = g_0$ *, i.e., when the two types ABab* and AbaB appear in equal numbers, the limiting population is indepen*dent of the linkage factor.* 

## *b. Linkage r in one set of gametes and r' in the other set*

The recurrence relations for this more general problem may be obtained by replacing  $r^2$  by  $rr'$  and  $2r$  by  $r+r'$  in equations 32) to 41) above. The solutions have the same form as above, equations **43)** to 52) but  $v$  and  $w$  have the values

 $v = (r\prime + 1)/2(r + 1)(r' + 1); w = (r\prime' - 1)/2(r + 1)(r' + 1).$ The limiting population takes the form obtained by replacing  $(r+1)$  $v = (r r' + r)/2(r + r)$   $(r' + r)$ ;  $w = (r r' - r)/2(r + r)$   $(r' + r)$ .<br>The limiting population takes the form obtained by replacing  $(r + r)$ <br> $/2(r + 3)$  in the previous limiting forms by  $(r r' - r)/(r r' + 2r +$  $\sqrt{2(r+3)}$  in the previous limiting forms by  $\frac{(rr'-1)}{(rr'+2r+2r'+3)}$ .

DISCUSSION. **I.** In case of no linkage in either set of gametes,  $r =$  $r' = I$ , the equations simplify considerably since  $w = 0$  and  $v = \frac{1}{4}$ .

*2.* In case of no linkage in one set of gametes and linkage *r* in the other set,  $r' = 1$ , we have  $v = \frac{1}{4}$ ;  $w = \frac{r - 1}{4(r + 1)}$ .

3. In case of complete linkage in both sets of gametes,  $r = \infty$ , we have  $w = v = V_2$ . The value of each class except those which are homozygous reduces to its original value divided by  $2<sup>n</sup>$ . The homozygous classes have the values,

$$
c_n = (g_0 + i_0 + j_0) \left( \frac{2^n - 1}{2^{n+1}} \right) + c_0,
$$
  
\n
$$
d_n = (h_0 + i_0 + k_0) \left( \frac{2^n - 1}{2^{n+1}} \right) + d_0,
$$
  
\n
$$
e_n = (h_0 + j_0 + l_0) \left( \frac{2^n - 1}{2^{n+1}} \right) + e_0,
$$
  
\n
$$
f_n = (g_0 + k_0 + l_0) \left( \frac{2^n - 1}{2^{n+1}} \right) + f_0.
$$

**4.** In case of complete linkage in one set of gametes and *r* in the other we have  $v=w=r/2(r+1)$ .

*5.* In case of complete linkage in one set of gametes and no linkage in the other set,  $v = w = \frac{1}{4}$ .

#### LITERATURE CITED

- JENNINGS, H. S., **1916** The numerical results of diverse systems of breeding. Genetics **l** : **53-89.** 
	- The numerical results **of** diverse systems of breeding, with respect to two **1917**  pairs of characters, linked or independent, with special relation to the effects of linkage. Genetics **2** : **97-154.**
- ROBBINS, R. B., 1917 Some applications of mathematics to breeding problems. Genetics **<sup>2</sup>**: **489-504.**

1918 Applications of mathematics to breeding problems 11. Genetics **3** : 73-92?.