Heterologous Prime-Boost Vaccination with the LACK Antigen Protects against Murine Visceral Leishmaniasis

Blaise Dondji,^{1,3} Eva Pérez-Jimenez,² Karen Goldsmith-Pestana,¹ Mariano Esteban,² and Diane McMahon-Pratt¹*

*Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut 06520-8034*¹ *; Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnologia, Campus Universidad Autonoma, 28049 Madrid, Spain*² *; and Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Natural Sciences,* University of Ngaoundéré, Ngaoundéré, Cameroon³

Received 6 October 2004/Returned for modification 15 December 2004/Accepted 30 March 2005

This study reports the efficacy of a heterologous prime-boost vaccination using DNA and vaccinia viruses (Western Reserve [WR] virus and modified [attenuated] vaccinia virus Ankara [MVA]) expressing the LACK antigen (*Leishmania* **homologue of receptors for activated C kinase) and an intradermal murine infection model employing** *Leishmania infantum***. At 1 month postinfection, vaccinated mice showed high levels of protection in the draining lymph node (240-fold reduction in parasite burden) coupled with significant levels of gamma interferon (20 to 200 ng/ml) and tumor necrosis factor alpha/lymphotoxin (8 to 134 pg/ml). Significant but lower levels of protection (6- to 30-fold) were observed in the spleen and liver. Comparable levels of protection were found for mice boosted with either LACK-WR or LACK-MVA, supporting the use of an attenuated vaccinia virus-based vaccine against human visceral leishmaniasis.**

Visceral leishmaniasis (VL) is a protozoan parasitic disease, fatal in the absence of treatment. Although drug treatment exists for VL (17, 42), alternative approaches for the control of this disease (vector control, immunotherapeutic, chemotherapeutic, and vaccine) are still needed (8, 45). Vaccine studies of VL have been less extensive (6, 11–13, 22, 36), and the level of protection found is generally poorer than those found for murine cutaneous leishmaniasis. However, studies utilizing a murine intradermal infection model of VL indicate that this is, in part, due to the animal model employed (1). Immunological studies of the mechanisms of pathogenesis as well as immunotherapeutic studies of VL indicate tissue site-specific mechanisms (for the spleen, liver, and lymph node) (9, 10, 41). Consequently, one of the challenges in the development of a vaccine against VL is the induction of protection at multiple and distinct tissue sites.

The LACK antigen (*Leishmania* analogue of the receptors of activated C kinase) (36 kDa) is highly conserved among *Leishmania* species and expressed by both the promastigote and amastigote forms of the parasite (25). Studies indicate that DNA coding for the LACK antigen provides protection against *Leishmania major*. However, a LACK DNA vaccine failed to protect against *L*. *mexicana* (7). Further, a LACK DNA vaccine, although highly immunogenic, failed to protect against murine VL in either intradermal or intravenous infection (23), suggesting that LACK may not be a useful antigen for a general DNA-based vaccine against leishmaniasis.

However, the antigen delivery system can be a critical component in determining antigenic efficacy. Vaccinia virus vectors have been shown to be a good antigen delivery system for the control of infectious diseases in animal model studies (24). A heterologous prime-boost regimen using DNA and vaccinia viruses expressing the LACK antigen has been shown to be highly immunogenic and protective against murine *L. major* infection (15, 20, 44). A heterologous prime-boost regimen using DNA and the replication-competent Western Reserve (WR) strain of vaccinia virus expressing the LACK antigen was recently explored in canine VL (37). However, the immune response in the canine model is known to significantly differ from those in the murine and human hosts of leishmaniae in terms of their regulation by interleukin-13 (IL-13), IL-12, and IL-10 (34, 35, 38, 39). Previous leishmaniasis vaccine studies, however, have demonstrated that the murine model can be predictive for vaccine outcomes in nonhuman-primate models (3, 5, 21). Therefore, in the current study, the potential of a prime-boost regimen using DNA-vaccinia virus was further explored using the murine intradermal model for VL. In order to assess the potential use of this vaccination regimen against murine VL, the efficacies of priming were examined using an *L*. *infantum* DNA-LACK construct (previously employed for vaccine studies against cutaneous leishmaniasis caused by *L. major* [44]) and the highly attenuated modified vaccinia virus Ankara (MVA) strain as well as the replication-competent WR strain, given the abilities of these viruses to induce both strong Th1 and $CD8⁺$ T-cell responses (2, 14, 43).

BALB/c mice (4 to 6 weeks of age) were vaccinated intradermally with $100 \mu g$ of DNA encoding the LACK antigen (DNAp36) and then boosted 2 weeks later intraperitoneally with 1×10^7 or 5×10^7 PFU of either recombinant Western Reserve–wild-type (WR-LACK or WRp36) or Ankara-MVA (MVA-LACK or MVAp36) vaccinia viruses expressing the LACK antigen. Three and one-half weeks after boosting, mice were infected intradermally in the ear pinnae using $10⁷$ metacyclic promastigotes of *L. infantum*, as previously described (1). One month after infection, the parasite burdens were evaluated by limiting dilution analysis in vaccinated and con-

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06520-8034. Phone: (203) 785-4481. Fax: (203) 737-2921. E-mail: diane.mcmahon-pratt@yale.edu.

FIG. 1. Protection against visceral leishmaniasis in LACK DNA-LACK vaccinia virus-vaccinated mice. Shown are the results of parasite burden analyses of BALB/c mice vaccinated with a prime-boost regimen (DNA genes and recombinant vaccinia virus [VV]) using the LACK antigen and then infected intradermally with *L. infantum* promastigotes. Parasite burdens were determined using limiting dilution analyses and represent the averaged values for at least four mice/ group. (A) Spleen; (B) liver; (C) lymph node. Statistical analyses were performed using Student's *t* test comparing vaccine groups to a vector control group (pCI-neo-WRLuc). ***, $P < 0.001$; **, $P < 0.01$; *, $P <$ 0.05. PBS, phosphate-buffered saline.

trol groups of mice (1). This evaluation of protection in the spleen, the liver, and the draining lymph node demonstrated that the mice receiving a prime-boost vaccination using the LACK (p36) antigen were significantly protected against infection (Fig. 1). The levels of protection at each tissue site were comparable among the various vaccinated groups of mice and did not statistically differ between mice receiving the WRp36 or the MVAp36 virus. However, the level of protection did vary with the target organ site, with the highest levels of protection achieved in the draining lymph node (Fig. 1C). The level of protection in the draining lymph node was evidenced by a 144- to 244-fold reduction in the parasite burdens in comparison to those of control mice. Lower levels of protection were achieved when the parasite burdens were evaluated in the spleen and the liver. These results ranged from 6- to 9-fold reductions in parasite burdens in the liver and 9- to 30-fold reductions in the spleen. In the spleen, a slight protective effect was also observed for the mice receiving control DNA and vaccinia virus (WR-Luc), which may be due to the low gamma interferon $(IFN-\gamma)$ response observed for these mice (Fig. 2). However, in other vaccine experiments employing WR-Luc (10⁷ PFU) (44; data not shown) an IFN- γ response and a reduction of the splenic parasite burden were not consistently observed, nor were reductions in parasite burdens observed in the livers and lymph nodes of the WR-Luc-vaccinated mice (Fig. 1). However, the differences between the parasite burdens observed for the WR-Luc-vaccinated mice and those for mice receiving WR-LACK or MVA-LACK were significant $(P < 0.02)$ to 0.05) (Fig. 1A), demonstrating a LACK antigen-specific effect.

IFN- γ and tumor necrosis factor alpha/lymphotoxin (TNF- α /LT) have been found to be involved in resistance to infection in murine VL (27, 29, 30, 46), while IL-10 correlates with susceptibility (26, 33). The levels of IFN- γ , IL-10, and TNF- α /LT produced by spleen cells of vaccinated and nonvaccinated mice in response to LACK antigen were evaluated before infection and at 1 month after infection (Fig. 2). Before infection, mice receiving 10^7 WRp36 or 5×10^7 MVAp36 PFU appeared to produce somewhat higher levels of IFN- γ (100 to 113 ng/ml) than mice boosted with either 5×10^7 WRp36 or 10^7 MVAp36 PFU (55 to 67 ng/ml) (Fig. 2A). As shown in Fig. 2B, enzyme-linked immunospot analyses (44) indicated that the number of IFN- γ -secreting cells correlated with the levels of IFN- γ found by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay $(ELISA)$, with the frequency of IFN- γ -producing cells ranging from 380 to $640/10^6$ spleen cells. In addition, significant levels of TNF- α /LT (58 and 134 pg/ml) were observed for mice boosted with recombinant wild-type WRp36, while lower levels of TNF- α /LT were produced in response to LACK antigen by mice receiving MVAp36 (27 pg/ml and 8 pg/ml, respectively). These differences in the levels of induction of TNF- α may reflect, in part, the different abilities of WR and attenuated MVA vaccinia viruses to induce an inflammatory response and $NF-\kappa B$ activation, which result in distinct cytokine profiles. MVA has been shown to enhance $NF-_KB$ activation, while WR appears to inhibit it (18, 32, 40).

The amounts of LACK-specific IL-10 produced by splenocytes before challenge varied from 0.1 ng/ml in mice boosted with 5×10^7 WRp36 PFU to 0.7 ng/ml in those receiving 10^7 WRp36 or MVAp36 PFU (Fig. 2D).

The cytokine responses at 1 month postinfection paralleled but were somewhat higher than those found prior to infection. IFN- γ levels ranged from 20 ng/ml in mice receiving 5×10^7 WRp36 PFU to 204 ng/ml in those boosted with 5×10^7 $MVAp36 PFU$. The levels of TNF- α/LT in response to LACK antigen stimulation in vaccinated mice ranged from 64 pg/ml in mice boosted with 107 MVAp36 PFU to 120 pg/ml in the group boosted with 5×10^7 WRp36 PFU. Significant levels of IL-10 (0.04 ng/ml to 0.54 ng/ml) were also produced in response to LACK antigen at 1 month postinfection. Both the level of IFN- γ and the IFN- γ /IL-10 ratio found at 1 month postinfection appeared to correlate with the protection levels found (Table 1).

Both IFN- γ and TNF- α are implicated in the macrophage killing of intracellular *L*. *donovani*, through the up-regulation of inducible NO synthase (iNOS) and production of nitrite oxide (28). Nitric oxide has been demonstrated to be critical for the leishmanicidal activity of murine macrophages (4, 16,

FIG. 2. Antigen-specific IFN- γ , TNF- α , and IL-10 responses in prime-boost vaccinated mice prior to infection. Shown are the IFN- γ , TNF- α , and IL-10 responses found for the vaccinated and control groups of mice (as indicated) prior to infection. The results of (A) ELISAs of IFN- γ from culture supernatants of splenic cells in response to recombinant LACK antigen, (B) corresponding enzyme-linked immunospot analyses of IFN- γ , and ELISAs of (C) TNF- α /LT and (D) IL-10 are shown. PBS, phosphate-buffered saline.

19) and also has been shown to enhance, at low levels, a Th1 response (31). However, IL-10 is known to down-regulate macrophage NO production (26). To further determine the ongoing immune response dynamics in the vaccinated versus control mice, the induction of NO/nitrite at 1 month postchallenge was examined. Significant amounts of this antimicrobial agent were observed and ranged from 6 to 7 μ M in the vaccinated mice, with control group NO/nitrite levels ranging from $0.6 \mu M$ to 1 μ M. Therefore, the vaccinated mice, consistent with the findings for levels of IFN- γ (Fig. 2; Table 1), had higher levels of nitric oxide induction and potential leishmanicidal activity. These results were consistent with the protection found in the LACK-DNA–LACK-WR- or MVA-vaccinated mice.

In conclusion, this study demonstrated that a heterologous

TABLE 1. Cytokine levels and corresponding reductions (*n*-fold) in parasite burden at 1 month postinfection

Expl group	$IFN-\gamma/$ $IL-10$ ratio	Amt of IFN- ν (ng/ml)	Fold reduction in parasite burden, by tissue site		
			Spleen	Liver	Lymph node
DNAp36 + 5×10^7 MVA PFU	4.633	204	31	9	244
DNAp36 + 10^7 WR PFU	720	153	18	6.5	244
$DNAp36 + 107 MVA PFU$	271	147	12.8	6.7	203
DNAp36 + 5×10^7 WR PFU	92	20	9.2	6	144

prime-boost regimen using DNA and vaccinia virus, both expressing the same antigen, LACK, is highly immunogenic and confers protection against murine *L. infantum* infection. Notably, the highly attenuated MVA and the replication-competent WR strain vaccinia viruses achieved comparable levels of protection. This heterologous prime-boost approach resulted in higher levels of IFN- γ (up to 200 ng/ml) than those reported for DNA-DNA vaccination (6 to 12 ng/ml) (23), where protection was not achieved. This observation prompts a question on the biologically effective amount of IFN- γ required to induce protection against VL. Although additional effector mechanisms may be involved, these results suggest that higher levels of IFN- γ may be required for protection against visceral disease than are needed against cutaneous leishmaniasis. Future studies will explore the use of this vaccine approach for a composite/multicomponent strategy against visceral leishmaniasis.

This work was supported through grants from NIH (AI45044 and AI27811) and grants from the EU (QLK2-CT-2002-01867) and Communidad de Madrid (GR/SAL/0862/2004). Eva Perez-Jimenez is a recipient of a predoctoral fellowship from the Ministerio de Educacion y Ciencia, Spain.

REFERENCES

- 1. **Ahmed, S., M. Colmenares, L. Soong, K. Goldsmith-Pestana, L. Munstermann, R. Molina, and D. McMahon-Pratt.** 2003. Intradermal infection model for pathogenesis and vaccine studies of murine visceral leishmaniasis. Infect. Immun. **71:**401–410.
- 2. **Anderson, R. J., C. M. Hannan, S. C. Gilbert, S. M. Laidlaw, E. G. Sheu, S. Korten, R. Sinden, G. A. Butcher, M. A. Skinner, and A. V. Hill.** 2004.

Enhanced CDS^+ T cell immune responses and protection elicited against *Plasmodium berghei* malaria by prime boost immunization regimens using a novel attenuated fowlpox virus. J. Immunol. **172:**3094–3100.

- 3. **Belli, S., A. Formenton, T. Noll, A. Ivens, R. Jacquet, C. Desponds, D. Hofer, and N. Fasel.** 1999. *Leishmania major*: histone H1 gene expression from the sw3 locus. Exp. Parasitol. **91:**151–160.
- 4. **Bhakuni, V., U. K. Singha, G. P. Dutta, H. B. Levy, and R. K. Maheshwari.** 1996. Killing of *Leishmania donovani* amastigotes by poly ICLC in hamsters. J. Interferon Cytokine Res. **16:**321–325.
- 5. **Campos-Neto, A., R. Porrozzi, K. Greeson, R. N. Coler, J. R. Webb, Y. A. W. Seiky, S. G. Reed, and G. Grimaldi, Jr.** 2001. Protection against cutaneous leishmaniasis induced by recombinant antigens in murine and nonhuman primate models of the human disease. Infect. Immun. **69:**4103–4108.
- 6. **Coelho, E. A. F., C. A. P. Tavares, F. A. A. Carvalho, K. F. Chaves, K. N. Teixeira, R. C. Rodrigues, H. Charest, G. Matlashewski, R. T. Gazzinelli, and A. P. Fernandes.** 2003. Immune responses induced by the *Leishmania* (*Leishmania*) *donovani* A2 antigen, but not by the LACK antigen, are protective against experimental *Leishmania* (*Leishmania*) *amazonensis* infection. Infect. Immun. **71:**3988–3994.
- 7. **Dumonteil, E., R. S. Maria Jesus, E. O. Javier, and G. M. Maria del Rosario.** 2003. DNA vaccines induce partial protection against *Leishmania mexicana*. Vaccine **21:**2161–2168.
- 8. **Dye, C.** 1996. The logic of visceral leishmaniasis control. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. **55:**125–130.
- 9. **Engwerda, C. R., and P. M. Kaye.** 2000. Organ-specific immune responses associated with infectious disease. Immunol. Today **21:**73–78.
- 10. **Engwerda, C. R., M. L. Murphy, S. E. Cotterell, S. C. Smelt, and P. M. Kaye.** 1998. Neutralization of IL-12 demonstrates the existence of discrete organspecific phases in the control of *Leishmania donovani*. Eur. J. Immunol. **28:**669–680.
- 11. **Fragaki, K., I. Suffia, B. Ferrua, D. Rousseau, Y. Le Fichoux, and J. Kubar.** 2001. Immunisation with DNA encoding *Leishmania infantum* protein papLe22 decreases the frequency of parasitemic episodes in infected hamsters. Vaccine **19:**1701–1709.
- 12. **Ghosh, A., S. Labrecque, and G. Matlashewski.** 2001. Protection against *Leishmania donovani* infection by DNA vaccination: increased DNA vaccination efficiency through inhibiting the cellular p53 response. Vaccine **19:** 3169–3178.
- 13. **Ghosh, A., W. W. Zhang, and G. Matlashewski.** 2001. Immunization with A2 protein results in a mixed Th1/Th2 and a humoral response which protects mice against *Leishmania donovani* infections. Vaccine **20:**59–66.
- 14. **Gomez, C. E., D. Rodriguez, J. R. Rodriguez, F. Abaitua, C. Duarte, and M.** Esteban. 2001. Enhanced CD8⁺ T cell immune response against a V3 loop multiepitope polypeptide (TAB13) of HIV-1 Env after priming with purified fusion protein and booster with modified vaccinia virus Ankara (MVA-TAB) recombinant: a comparison of humoral and cellular immune responses with the vaccinia virus Western Reserve (WR) vector. Vaccine **20:**961–971.
- 15. **Gonzalo, R. M., G. del Real, J. R. Rodriguez, D. Rodriguez, R. Heljasvaara, P. Lucas, V. Larraga, and M. Esteban.** 2002. A heterologous prime-boost regime using DNA and recombinant vaccinia virus expressing the *Leishmania infantum* P36/LACK antigen protects BALB/c mice from cutaneous leishmaniasis. Vaccine **20:**1226–1231.
- 16. **Green, S. J., M. S. Meltzer, J. B. Hibbs, Jr., and C. A. Nacy.** 1990. Activated macrophages destroy intracellular *Leishmania major* amastigotes by an Larginine-dependent killing mechanism. J. Immunol. **144:**278–283.
- 17. **Guerin, P. J., P. Olliaro, S. Sundar, M. Boelaert, S. L. Croft, P. Desjeux, M. K. Wasunna, and A. D. Bryceson.** 2002. Visceral leishmaniasis: current status of control, diagnosis, and treatment, and a proposed research and development agenda. Lancet Infect. Dis. **2:**494–501.
- 18. **Guerra, S., L. A. Lo´pez-Ferna´ndez, R. Conde, A. Pascual-Montano, K. Harshman, and M. Esteban.** 2004. Microarray analysis reveals characteristic changes of host cell gene expression in response to attenuated modified vaccinia virus Ankara infection of human HeLa cells. J. Virol. **78:**5820–5834.
- 19. **Liew, F. Y., S. Millott, C. Parkinson, R. M. Palmer, and S. Moncada.** 1990. Macrophage killing of *Leishmania* parasite *in vivo* is mediated by nitric oxide from L-arginine. J. Immunol. **144:**4794–4797.
- 20. **Lopez-Fuertes, L., E. Perez-Jimenez, A. J. Vila-Coro, F. Sack, S. Moreno, S. A. Konig, C. Junghans, B. Wittig, M. Timon, and M. Esteban.** 2002. DNA vaccination with linear minimalistic (MIDGE) vectors confers protection against *Leishmania major* infection in mice. Vaccine **21:**247–257.
- 21. **Masina, S., M. M. Gicheru, S. O. Demotz, and N. J. Fasel.** 2003. Protection against cutaneous leishmaniasis in outbred vervet monkeys using a recombinant histone H1 antigen. J. Infect. Dis. **188:**1250–1257.
- 22. **Mazumdar, T., K. Anam, and N. Ali.** 2004. A mixed Th1/Th2 response elicited by a liposomal formulation of Leishmania vaccine instructs Th1 responses and resistance to Leishmania donovani in susceptible BALB/c mice. Vaccine **22:**1162–1171.
- 23. **Melby, P. C., J. Yang, W. Zhao, L. E. Perez, and J. Cheng.** 2001. *Leishmania*

donovani p36(LACK) DNA vaccine is highly immunogenic but not protective against experimental visceral leishmaniasis. Infect. Immun. **69:**4719–4725.

- 24. **Moss, B.** 1996. Genetically engineered poxviruses for recombinant gene expression, vaccination, and safety. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **93:**11341–11348.
- 25. **Mougneau, E., F. Altare, A. E. Wakil, S. Zheng, T. Coppola, Z. E. Wang, R. Waldmann, R. M. Locksley, and N. Glaichenhaus.** 1995. Expression cloning of a protective *Leishmania* antigen. Science **268:**563–566.
- 26. **Murphy, M. L., U. Wille, E. N. Villegas, C. A. Hunter, and J. P. Farrell.** 2001. IL-10 mediates susceptibility to *Leishmania donovani* infection. Eur. J. Immunol. **31:**2848–2856.
- 27. **Murray, H. W., A. Jungbluth, E. Ritter, C. Montelibano, and M. W. Marino.** 2000. Visceral leishmaniasis in mice devoid of tumor necrosis factor and response to treatment. Infect. Immun. **68:**6289–6293.
- 28. **Murray, H. W., and C. F. Nathan.** 1999. Macrophage microbicidal mechanisms *in vivo:* reactive nitrogen versus oxygen intermediates in the killing of intracellular visceral *Leishmania donovani*. J. Exp. Med. **189:**741–746.
- 29. **Murray, H. W., G. L. Spitalny, and C. F. Nathan.** 1985. Activation of mouse peritoneal macrophages *in vitro* and *in vivo* by interferon-gamma. J. Immunol. **134:**1619–1622.
- 30. **Murray, H. W., J. J. Stern, K. Welte, B. Y. Rubin, S. M. Carriero, and C. F. Nathan.** 1987. Experimental visceral leishmaniasis: production of interleukin 2 and interferon-gamma, tissue immune reaction, and response to treatment with interleukin 2 and interferon-gamma. J. Immunol. **138:**2290–2297.
- 31. **Niedbala, W., X. Q. Wei, D. Piedrafita, D. Xu, and F. Y. Liew.** 1999. Effects of nitric oxide on the induction and differentiation of Th1 cells. Eur. J. Immunol. **29:**2498–2505.
- 32. **Oie, K. L., and D. J. Pickup.** 2001. Cowpox virus and other members of the orthopoxvirus genus interfere with the regulation of NF-kappaB activation. Virology **288:**175–187.
- 33. **Padigel, U. M., J. Alexander, and J. P. Farrell.** 2003. The role of interleukin-10 in susceptibility of BALB/c mice to infection with *Leishmania mexicana* and *Leishmania amazonensis*. J. Immunol. **171:**3705–3710.
- 34. **Pinelli, E., S. Y. van der Kaaij, R. Slappendel, C. Fragio, E. J. Ruitenberg, W. Bernadina, and V. P. Rutten.** 1999. Detection of canine cytokine gene expression by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction. Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. **69:**121–126.
- 35. **Quinnell, R. J., O. Courtenay, M. A. Shaw, M. J. Day, L. M. Garcez, C. Dye, and P. M. Kaye.** 2001. Tissue cytokine responses in canine visceral leishmaniasis. J. Infect. Dis. **183:**1421–1424.
- 36. **Rachamim, N., and C. L. Jaffe.** 1993. Pure protein from *Leishmania donovani* protects mice against both cutaneous and visceral leishmaniasis. J. Immunol. **150:**2322–2331.
- 37. **Ramiro, M. J., J. J. Zarate, T. Hanke, D. Rodriguez, J. R. Rodriguez, M. Esteban, J. Lucientes, J. A. Castillo, and V. Larraga.** 2003. Protection in dogs against visceral leishmaniasis caused by *Leishmania infantum* is achieved by immunization with a heterologous prime-boost regime using DNA and vaccinia recombinant vectors expressing LACK. Vaccine **21:**2474–2484.
- 38. **Santos, W. R., V. M. de Lima, E. P. de Souza, R. R. Bernardo, M. Palatnik, and C. B. de Sousa.** 2002. Saponins, IL-12 and BCG adjuvant in the FMLvaccine formulation against murine visceral leishmaniasis. Vaccine **21:**30–43.
- 39. **Santos-Gomes, G. M., R. Rosa, C. Leandro, S. Cortes, P. Romao, and H. Silveira.** 2002. Cytokine expression during the outcome of canine experimental infection by *Leishmania infantum*. Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. **88:**21–30.
- 40. **Shisler, J. L., and X.-L. Jin.** 2004. The vaccinia virus K1L gene product inhibits host NF- κ B activation by preventing I κ B α degradation. J. Virol. **78:**3553–3560.
- 41. **Smelt, S. C., S. E. Cotterell, C. R. Engwerda, and P. M. Kaye.** 2000. B cell-deficient mice are highly resistant to *Leishmania donovani* infection, but develop neutrophil-mediated tissue pathology. J. Immunol. **164:**3681–3688.
- 42. **Sundar, S., T. K. Jha, C. P. Thakur, J. Engel, H. Sindermann, C. Fischer, K. Junge, A. Bryceson, and J. Berman.** 2002. Oral miltefosine for Indian visceral leishmaniasis. N. Engl. J. Med. **347:**1739–1746.
- 43. **Sutter, G., and C. Staib.** 2003. Vaccinia vectors as candidate vaccines: the development of modified vaccinia virus Ankara for antigen delivery. Curr. Drug Targets Infect. Disord. **3:**263–271.
- 44. **Tapia, E., E. Perez-Jimenez, L. Lopez-Fuertes, R. Gonzalo, M. M. Gherardi, and M. Esteban.** 2003. The combination of DNA vectors expressing IL-12 IL-18 elicits high protective immune response against cutaneous leishmaniasis after priming with DNA-p36/LACK and the cytokines, followed by a booster with a vaccinia virus recombinant expressing p36/LACK. Microbes Infect. **5:**73–84.
- 45. **Tesh, R. B.** 1995. Control of zoonotic visceral leishmaniasis: is it time to change strategies? Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. **52:**287–292.
- 46. **Tumang, M. C., C. Keogh, L. L. Moldawer, D. C. Helfgott, R. Teitelbaum, J.** Hariprashad, and H. W. Murray. 1994. Role and effect of $TNF-\alpha$ in experimental visceral leishmaniasis. J. Immunol. **153:**768–775.