FRAMESHIFT SUPPRESSION IN SACCHAROMYCES CEREVISIAE. V. ISOLATION AND GENETIC PROPERTIES OF NONGROUP-SPECIFIC SUPPRESSORS

MICHAEL R. CULBERTSON,' RICHARD F. GABER **AND** CLAUDIA M. CUMMINS

Laboratories of Genetics and Molecular Biology, University *of* Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin **53706**

> Manuscript received February **23,** 1982 Revised copy accepted July 21, 1982

ABSTRACT

Two classes of frameshift suppressors distributed at *22* different loci were identified in previous studies in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisioe. These suppressors exhibited allele-specific suppression of **+1** G:C insertion mutations in either glycine or proline codons, designated as group I1 and group I11 frameshift mutations, respectively. Genes corresponding to representative suppressors of each group have been shown to encode altered glycine or proline tRNAs containing four base anticodons. This communication reports the existence of a third class of frameshift suppressor that exhibits a wider range in specificity of suppression. The suppressors map at three loci, **sufl2,** sufl3, and suf14, which are located on chromosomes IV, XV, and XIV, respectively. The phenotypes of these suppressors suggest that suppression may be mediated by genes other than those encoding the primary structure **of** glycine or proline tRNAs.

 $\mathbf{F}^{\text{RAMESHIFT}}$ mutations result from the addition or deletion of base pairs in a gene encoding a protein product. Mutations of this type usually render the gene product nonfunctional due to the inability of the translational apparatus to recognize the shift in reading frame. This results in an incorrect specification of amino acids and in termination of translation at the first nonsense codon encountered.

The correct reading frame can be restored in strains carrying frameshift mutations by several different compensatory mechanisms. In some instances suppressor mutations in genes external to that which carries the frameshift mutation have been shown to affect the structures of tRNAs, tRNA base modification enzymes or ribosomal proteins **(RIDDLE** and **CARBON** 1973; **CUM-MINS, DONAHUE** and **CULBERTSON** 1982; **GABER** and **CULBERTSON** 1982a; **RIDDLE** and **ROTH** 1972; **ATKINS** 1980; **GORINI** 1974). To examine these mechanisms in eukaryotes, mutationally induced nontriplet reading systems have been developed in this laboratory by the isolation of external suppressors of frameshift mutations at the his4 locus in Saccharomyces cerevisiae.

Two groups of externally suppressible frameshift mutations, designated group I1 and group **111,** have been identified among **2-methoxy-9-[-3-(ethyl-2-chloro-**

¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Genetics **102: 361-378** November, **1982.**

ethyl)aminopropylamino]acridine.2HCl (ICR-170)-induced his4 alleles (CUL-BERTSON et al. 1977; CUMMINS et al. 1980; GABER and CULBERTSON 1982b). Two representatives of the group I1 mutations, his4-38 and his4-519, contain base insertions resulting in 5'-GGGU-3' and 5'-GGGG-3' mRNA sequences, respectively, in place of wild-type 5'-GGU-3' and 5'-GGG-3' glycine codons. Two representatives of the group I11 mutations, his4-722 and his4-723, both contain 5'-CCCU-3' mRNA sequences in place of wild-type 5'-CCU-3' proline codons (DONAHUE, FARABAUGH and FINK 1981).

A third group of ICR-induced his4 mutations, designated group I, is not suppressible by external suppressors of group I1 or group I11 frameshift mutations (CULBERTSON et al. 1977; CUMMINS et al. 1980; GABER and CULBERTSON 1982b). Although this group may be heterogeneous in composition, sequencing data indicate that one of these mutations, his4-506, is the result of a $+1$ insertion in a wild-type 5'-CUG-3' leucine codon, generating a four-base 5'-CCUG-3' mRNA sequence (DONAHUE, FARABAUGH and FINK 1981). Thus, the group I mutations may represent insertions in codons other than glycine or proline.

In previous studies in this laboratory, extragenic suppressors distributed at 22 loci have been identified among revertants of group II and group III his4 frameshift mutations (CULBERTSON et al. 1977; CULBERTSON, UNDERBRINK and FINK 1980; CUMMINS *et* al. 1980; GABER and CULBERTSON 1982b). These suppressors have been divided into two classes that are distinguished phenotypically by their ability to exhibit group 11-specific or group 111-specific patterns of suppression. Molecular studies have shown that representative suppressors of these two classes encode altered glycine and proline tRNAs containing base insertions in the anticodon loops (CUMMINS, DONAHUE and CULBERTSON 1982; GABER and CULBERTSON 1982a). Thus, in the cases of group 11-specific and group 111-specific suppression, these representative examples indicate that suppression is mediated through mRNA:tRNA interactions.

This communication defines a new class of frameshift suppressors consisting of three different suppressor loci, *suf12*, *suf13*, and *suf14*. All three suppressor genes have been located on the yeast genetic map. These suppressors exhibit a nongroup-specific spectrum of suppression. *suf22* is able to cross-suppress certain frameshift and nonsense mutations. suf23 and *suf24* cross-suppress representative frameshift mutations belonging to groups I, I1 and 111. The phenotypes of these suppressors suggest that suppression may be mediated by genes other than those encoding the primary structures of glycine or proline tRNAs. Several possible molecular mechanisms of suppression consistent with the phenotypes of nongroup-specific suppressors are discussed,

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast strains, genetic methods, and medio: Some of the strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. Genetic markers, if not from this laboratory, were obtained from the Berkeley Stock Center. Genetic methods and nomenclature are those described in the Cold Spring Harbor Yeast Course Manual (SHERMAN, **FINK** and LAWRENCE 1971).

The following standard media were used: YEPD, which contains 2% Bactopeptone, 1% yeast extract, 2% glucose and 2% agar; MIN (minimal), which contains 6.7 g/liter Difco yeast nitrogen base without amino acids, 2% glucose and 2% agar; KAC (sporulation medium), which contains 1%

potassium acetate, 0.1% glucose, 1.25 g/liter yeast extract and 2% agar; YEPDG, which contains 2% Bactopeptone, 1% yeast extract, 2% glycerol (v/v) , 0.1% glucose, 1.25 g/liter yeast extract and 2% agar. Synthetic complete medium contains the components of minimal medium plus adenine, uracil, tyrosine, phenylalanine, histidine, leucine, tryptophan, methionine, lysine, arginine and threonine. Purines, pyrimidines and amino acids were added at concentrations given in the Cold Spring Harbor Yeast Course Manual (SHERMAN, FINK and LAWRENCE 1971).

The segregation of auxotrophic markers in crosses was scored on synthetic complete medium lacking the appropriate purine, pyrimidine or amino acid. Petite (pet) mutants were scored on YEPDG medium. The tra3 mutation (triazolealanine resistance) confers temperature sensitivity on YEPD at 37' and was scored on this basis (WOLFNER et **al.** 1975). The prtl mutation, which confers temperature sensitivity for protein synthesis, was scored at the restrictive temperature of 37° on YEPD.

ICR-170 mutagenesis; ICR-170 was obtained from Polysciences, Inc. The his4-713, met2-1 and leu2-3 mutations used in this study were induced with ICR-170 according to the method of BRUSICK (1970) as modified by CULBERTSON et *al.* (1977). ICR-induced coreversion of his4-713 and met2-1 was performed as described by CULBERTSON, UNDERBRINK and FINK (1980).

Isolation of suppressors: Revertants harboring suppressors of independent origin were isolated in strains **852** and 853 (Table 1) as follows. Single colonies were isolated on YEPD plates. Cells from individual colonies were suspended in culture tubes containing 3 ml of YEPD and grown overnight with shaking at 30° to a density of 2×10^8 cells/ml. Aliquots (0.4 ml) of each culture were spread on four different types of selective media lacking either histidine, methionine, leucine or tryptophan. Colonies from these plates that exhibited coreversion for at least two markers were chosen for subsequent analysis. To ensure that isolates were of independent origin, colonies from the same culture tube were chosen for analysis only if they differed in phenotype.

Genetic mapping: Mapping was accomplished by crossing strains carrying the suppressors with a series of ten mapping strains carrying signal markers distributed throughout most of the yeast genome (GABER and CULBERTSON 198213). The two mapping strains that were shown to carry markers linked to the suppressors isolated in this study are listed in Table 1. It was possible to determine the segregation of the suppressors since all of these crosses were homozygous for the suppressible **leu2- 3** mutation.

Standard linkage values were derived from tetrad data using the equation X (in centimorgans, cM) = 50 (tetratype asci + 6 (nonparental ditype asci)]/total asci (PERKINS 1949). Gene order in multipoint crosses was determined by analyzing recombinant asci containing crossovers in the regions of interest. In some crosses the suppressors were tested for linkage to a centromere using the equation (PERKINS 1949):

$$
\frac{\text{tetratype asci}}{\text{total asci}} = X + Y - 3/2 \text{ (XY)}
$$

where X is the second division segregation frequency of the centromere-linked marker trp1 (0.45) (HAWTHORNE and MORTIMER 1960), and *Y* is the second division segregation frequency of the suppressor.

Spectrum of suppression: Strains used in suppression tests are listed in Table 1. In all cases the ability of a suppressor to suppress a particular marker was tested by tetrad analysis. Each cross was heterozygous for a suppressor and a potentially suppressible mutation. When a mutation failed to segregate 2:2 in these crosses, as indicated by an excess of prototrophic spore colonies, it was regarded as suppressible. Suppression was then confirmed in crosses homozygous for the mutation in question and heterozygous for the suppressor, in which case a **22** segregation was expected.

RESULTS

Isolation and distribution of the suppressors

Coreversion of hi&-713 and met2-1: The original intention of this work was to identify new suppressors of group III frameshift mutations $(+1 \text{ G:C}$ insertions in proline codons). A previous study had shown that the most convenient

TABLE 1

List of strains

*^a*ICR-induced frameshift mutations are designated by a roman numeral in parentheses to indicate the group to which each mutation has been assigned (see **CULBERTSON** et al. 1977; **GABER** and **CULBERTSON** 1982). Nonsense mutations are designated as UAA, UAG or UGA, corresponding to ochre, amber and opal mutations, respectively.

approach to the isolation of suppressors involves coreversion of frameshift mutations in two genes conferring different auxotrophic requirements **(CUL-BERTSON** et **al. 1977).** Corevertants that arise as the result of external suppression of both markers can thus be distinguished from internal revertants in one or the other of the two mutant genes conferring the auxotrophic requirements.

Although well characterized group I11 frameshift mutations were available at the **his4** locus, no group I11 mutations in genes other than his4 had been isolated prior to this study. Since at least one such mutation was required in order to develop an appropriate coreversion system, the wild-type strain S288C was mutagenized with **ICR-170,** and auxotrophic mutants were recovered and tested for their reversion and suppression properties. One mutant, which was shown by complementation and genetic mapping to be an allele of the **met2** locus (met2-1), was found to corevert with the group III his4-713 (5'-CCCU-3') mutation after mutagenesis of a haploid double mutant strain with ICR-170. Among 22 ICR-induced corevertants that were analyzed genetically, all were found to contain alleles of the group 111-specific, proline tRNA-encoding SUF2 gene (CUMMINS et al. 1980; CUMMINS, DONAHUE and CULBERTSON 1982). Thus, met2-2 was tentatively classified as a group III frameshift mutation.

Subsequently, met2-2 was examined for its ability to be suppressed by other group 111-specific suppressors (Table 2). Among the group 111-specific suppressors, met2-1 was suppressed by $SUF10$ as well as $SUF2-1$. However, other group 111-specific suppressors, including SUF7, SUF8, SUF9 and *suf22,* failed to suppress met2-2. These latter suppressors have also been shown not to suppress his4-712, a group I11 frameshift mutation that is known to contain the same codon change as the suppressible his4-713 mutation $(5'-CCU-3') \rightarrow 5'-CCCU-3'$ (CUMMINS etal. 1980; DONAHUE, FARABAUGH and FINK 1981). In this respect met2-1 and his4-722 behave similarly in that they are suppressed by some but not all of the group 111-specific suppressors.

Surprisingly, met2-2 was also suppressed by all of the group 11-specific suppressors (Table 2). Thus, by virtue of its ability to be suppressed by representative suppressors of both groups, met2-2 cannot be classified according to previously defined criteria. This is the first example in yeast of a frameshift mutation with this phenotype. A possible molecular mechanism for crosssuppression of met2-2 is discussed in a subsequent section.

Analysis of revertants: In a preliminary study, a recessive suppressor, designated suf12, was obtained by coreversion of his4-713 and met2-1. Unlike previously described group 111-specific suppressors, which fail to suppress nonsense mutations, this suppressor was shown to cross-suppress the UAG mutation trpl-2. The **suf22** mutation was shown to be linked to the aro2 locus on chromosome IV, whereas none of the previously identified frameshift suppressors map in this region. The distinguishing features of suf12 prompted a more systematic investigation of the phenomenon of cross-suppression. Additional suppressors were analyzed in two separate studies.

In the first of these investigations no alleles of **sufl2** were identified, but suppressor mutations mapping at two other new loci were recovered. Thirtyfive spontaneous His+ Met+ corevertants of strain 836 (Table **1)** were isolated. These corevertants were not necessarily of independent origin since some were derived from the same clonal isolates. Each of the corevertants was crossed with a strain carrying his4-713 MET2⁺ leu2-3, and the purified diploids were tested for dominance. All of the diploids were His⁻ in phenotype, suggesting that if the corevertants carried external suppressors they were phenotypically recessive in a his4-713 homozygous background.

One of these diploids was sporulated, and tetrads were analyzed. The observed segregation patterns were as follows: His⁺:His⁻, 2:2; Met⁺:Met⁻, 2:2, 4:0 and 3:1; and Leu⁺:Leu⁻, 2:2, 4:0, and 3:1. These results could be interpreted to mean that the corevertant carried an external suppressor capable of crosssuppressing the group III his4-713 mutation, met2-1, and the group II leu2-3 mutation. To test this hypothesis, a diploid was constructed that was homozy-

Cross-suppression of met2-1 *by* group 11- and group 111-specific suppressors

*^a*The suppresible four base codons for each frameshift mutation are shown, if known. + indicates suppression; - indicates no detectable suppression after ten days of incubation.

Numbers in parentheses indicate gene numbers for suppressors belonging to each subclass.

gous for his4-713, met2-2 and Ieu2-3 and heterozygous for the putative external suppressor. In tetrads derived from this diploid, the His, Met and Leu phenotypes cosegregated 2 His⁺Met⁺ Leu⁺:2 His⁻ Met⁻ Leu⁻ spores per tetrad. This demonstrated that a single suppressor mutation, designated suf13, was crosssuppressing both group II and group III mutations.

The remaining 34 corevertants were examined by complementation and segregation analyses. First, a strain carrying his4-713 and suf13-1 was crossed with each of the remaining corevertants. The ability of each recessive suppressor mutation to complement suf13-2 was tested in these diploids. In this type of complementation test, a His⁺ phenotype in the heterozygous diploid suggests a negative complementation response, whereas a His⁻ phenotype indicates a positive complementation response.

A negative complementation response was observed in 29 of the diploids, as indicated by a His⁺ phenotype. When tetrads derived from these diploids were analyzed, 4 His⁺:0 His⁻ segregations were observed in every tetrad. Thus, the noncomplementing suppressors are linked and appear to be alleles of the *suf13* locus. A positive complementation response was observed in five of the diploids, as indicated by a His⁻ phenotype. After sporulation, four of these diploids failed to yield viable spores and were, therefore, not analyzed further. However, one of the diploids yielded tetrads in which the His⁺:His⁻ phenotypes segregated 2:2, 4:O and 3:l in a 1:1:4 ratio. This result suggests that the diploid must have harbored two independently segregating suppressors, suf13-1 and a new suppressor. This was confirmed by analyzing tetrads from a diploid homozygous for his4-713 and met2-1 and heterozygous for the putative new suppressor. Since the His and Met phenotypes cosegregated 2 His⁺ Met⁺:2 His⁻ Met⁻ spores per tetrad, the new suppressor, designated sufl4, was cross-suppressing his4-713 and met2-1.

To examine the ability of *suf14* to cross-suppress another type of frameshift mutation, a his4-713 suf14 strain was crossed with a strain carrying the group II leu2-3 mutation. The observed 2:2, 4:0 and 3:1 (Leu⁺:Leu⁻) segregation patterns

in tetrads derived from the diploid suggest that, like $\frac{\sin(13-1)}{1}$, this suppressor is capable of cross-suppression. This result was confirmed in a cross homozygous for leu2-3 and heterozygous for suf14, where a 2 Leu⁺:2 Leu⁻ segregation pattern was observed in each tetrad. Thus, two suppressors were identifed in this coreversion analysis that exhibit recessive, cross-suppressing phenotypes atypical of previously identified frameshift suppressors.

Preliminary genetic mapping studies were undertaken to demonstrate that sufl3 and *sufl4* represent new frameshift suppressor genes rather than alleles of previously described suppressor genes. Strains carrying Ieu2-3 sufl3 or leu2-3 *sufl4* were crossed with a set of ten mapping strains carrying leu2-3 and a series of 61 signal markers distributed throughout the yeast genome (see GABER and CULBERTSON 1982b). In a cross with strain A236-57B (Table **l),** loose linkage was observed between suf13 and his3 on chromosome XV. Subsequently, sufl3 was found to map approximately 30 cM from a neighboring chromosome XV marker, tra3 (WOLFNER et al. 1975, data from R. LOWENSTEIN as reported in MORTIMER and SCHILD 1980). In another cross with strain A236- 57B, sufl4 was found to map approximately 40 cM from met4. The met4 gene was formerly assigned to chromosome XVII (see MORTIMER and SCHILD 1980) but has since been shown to be located on chromosome XIV (KLAPHOLTZ and ESPOSITO 1982; see subsequent sections for further presentation of mapping data).

Thus, in addition to their novel cross-suppressing phenotypes, suf13 and $\frac{\text{snf14}}{14}$ appear to be new suppressor genes by virtue of their map positions. None of the previously described group 11- or group 111-specific suppressors map at these positions. Detailed mapping data are presented in a subsequent section.

After this initial study, 40 independent revertants of spontaneous origin were isolated from strains 852 and 853 (Table l), which carry his4-713, Ieu2-3, met2-I, and the **UAG** amber nonsense mutation trpl-1. These revertants were chosen for analysis because they exhibited coreversion for two or more of the four auxotrophic phenotypes in these strains and were, therefore, likely to contain nongroup-specific suppressor mutations. The results of genetic studies on these corevertants are presented in Table 3.

Seventeen of the revertants were His⁺ Leu⁺ Met⁺ Trp⁻ in phenotype. In 12 cases, the suppressors harbored in these corevertants were linked to the tra3 locus on chromosome XV and are, therefore, likely to be alleles of the suf13 locus. The remaining five corevertants were not analyzed due to poor spore viability in crosses.

One corevertant was His⁺ Leu⁻ Met⁺ Trp⁻ in phenotype and was subsequently shown by genetic mapping to contain an allele of the SUF2 locus on chromosome *III.*

The remaining *22* corevertants were distinguished from those described since they were prototrophic for tryptophan. Three phenotypic classes were identified. One class, consisting of 14 corevertants, was His⁺ Leu⁺ Met⁺ Trp⁺ in phenotype. Two of these isolates were not analyzed further due to poor spore viability in crosses. A second class, consisting of three corevertants, was His⁺ Leu⁻ Met⁺ Trp⁺ in phenotype. A third class, consisting of five revertants, was

Distribution of independent revertants

Revertants were analyzed from strains 852 and *853* (Table **1)** as described in the **MATERIALS AND METHODS.**

Corevertants listed as "unknown" were not analyzed due to poor **spore** viability or due to loss of the suppressor phenotype in crosses.

His⁺ Leu⁻ Met⁻ Trp⁺ in phenotype. Two of these corevertants were not analyzed further due to poor spore viability in crosses. One representative of each of these phenotypic classes was crossed with a his4-733 Ieu2-3 met2-2 *trpl-2* strain. In tetrads derived from the diploids, the suppressed phenotypes cosegregated 22, indicating that in each cross a single mutation was responsible for suppression of the auxotrophic requirements to prototrophic phenotypes.

One of the His⁺ Leu⁺ Met⁺ Trp⁺ corevertants was crossed with a series of mapping strains containing Ieu2-3, and the segregation of the suppressor was scored relative to signal markers in the crosses. In a cross between the corevertant and strain A230-2C (Table **l),** a map distance of 8 cM was observed between the suppressor and the arol locus on chromosome IV. This approximate map location corresponds to the position assigned previously to the nongroup-specific *sufl.2* suppressor mutation that originally prompted this study. Thus, the corevertant probably contains an allele of the suf12 locus.

Each of the remaining corevertants that were prototrophic for tryptophan were then crossed with a strain containing *trp1-1* and *aro1* to determine whether the suppressors exhibited linkage to arol. Despite the phenotypic variation among these corevertants, all of the suppressor mutations genetically analyzed were linked to arol. An attempt was made to determine whether these suppressor mutations map at the same locus. Strains carrying the suppressors were crossed in pairwise combinations to perform complementation tests and to determine whether they could undergo meiotic recombination. Although in all cases the mutations failed to complement each other, it was not possible to confirm these results by recombination analyses because all of these crosses failed to yield viable spores. Although allelism has not yet been rigorously established, the complementation results suggest that the three different phenotypic classes observed among these Trp⁺ corevertants are likely to represent alleles of a single locus. As a matter of convenience, they will be referred to as alleles of the *sufl2* locus.

The combined results indicate that spontaneous alleles of $\frac{\text{snf12}}{\text{snf2}}$ and $\frac{\text{snf13}}{\text{snf2}}$ were common among the corevertants analyzed in this study. Alleles of **SUF2** and suf14 were rare, whereas no alleles of SUF10 were recovered, even though SUFI0 is known to suppress his4-713 and met2-1.

Genetic mapping of the suppressors

The results of tetrad analyses defining the locations of suf12, suf13 and suf14 are presented in Table 4. A genetic map showing the locations of the suppressors is presented in Figure 1. The analyses of recombinant asci that indicate the order of genes in each case are described next.

Mapping of suf12: This suppressor was located on chromosome IV by analyzing recombinant asci derived from a three-point cross heterozygous for sufl2, arol and hom2 and homozygous for the suppressible Ieu2-3 mutation (see cross number 555 in Table 4). Among seven tetrads that were recombinant for the suf12-hom2 marker pair, three contained multiple crossovers, whereas the remaining four tetrads were tetratype for the sufl2-arol marker pair and parental ditype for the hom2-arol marker pair. This result suggests that the order of genes is arol-hom2-sufl2. This gene order was confirmed by analyzing recombinant asci for the sufl2-arol marker pair. Among 17 such tetrads, three contained multiple crossovers, whereas the remaining 14 were divided into two classes. Ten tetrads were tetratype for the aro1-hom2 marker pair and parental ditype for the suf12-hom2 marker pair, suggesting that crossovers had occurred in the hom2-aro1 region. Four tetrads were tetratype for the suf12-hom2 marker pair and parental ditype for the arol-hom2 marker pair, suggesting that crossovers occurred in the adjacent suf12-hom2 region.

The results suggest that suf12 maps in the vicinity of a previously described "omnipotent" suppressor, sup35, which is known to cross-suppress different classes of nonsense mutations (MORTIMER and HAWTHORNE 1966; HAWTHORNE and LEUPOLD 1974; see Figure 1). Since the map distances for the sufl2-hom2 and sup35-hom2 marker pairs are 3.5 and 4.0 cM, respectively, and since alleles of both sufl2 and sup35 exhibit **a** wide range in specificity of suppression, it is conceivable that these loci are synonymous. Thus far, this hypothesis has not been rigorously tested by further recombination or complementation studies. Such studies have been hampered by technical difficulties resulting from poor sporulation of diploids derived from crosses between haploid strains carrying suf12 and sup35, extremely poor viability of spores from these crosses, and the failure to identify a mutation that is suppressed by both suf12 and sup35 alleles.

Mapping of sufl3: This suppressor was located on chromosome XV by analyzing recombinant asci from a three-point cross heterozygous for his3, tra3 and suf13 and homozygous for the suppressible leu2-3 mutation (see cross number **528** in Table 4). Among 77 tetrads that were tetratype for the tra3-sufl3 marker pair, 26 contained multiple crossovers. Forty-six of the remaining 51 tetrads were tetratype for the his3-sufl3 marker pair and parental ditype for the his3-tra3 marker pair. Five tetrads were reversed in phenotype, being tetratype for the his3-tra3 marker pair and parental ditype for the his3-suf13 marker pair. This latter ascal type is probably the result of multiple crossing over, since it is

TABLE 4

 a Genotypes of the crosses listed are as follows: 555, leu2-3 his4-713 met2-1 trp1-1 suf12 *MAT1* α \times leu2-3 trp1-1 aro1 hom2 MAT1a; 528, leu2-3 his3 suf13 MAT1a \times leu2-3 tra3 MAT1a; 557, leu2-3 prt1 petx pha2 MAT1a × leu2-3 his3 suf13 MAT1a; 2881, leu2-3 met4 suf14 MAT1a × leu2-3 pet2 $MAT1\alpha$; 3238, leu2-3 petx suf14 MAT1 α × leu2-3 met4 MAT1a.

 b PD = parental ditype; NPD = non-parental ditype; T = tetratype.

a minority class. These results suggest that the most probable gene order is his3 tra3-sufl3 (see Figure 1).

The tra3 and sufl3 loci are separated by 20.1 cM, which places *sufl3* in the vicinity of cpal, a mutation that confers arginine auxotrophy in the presence of excess uracil (data of F. **HILGER,** as reported in **MORTIMER** and **SCHILD** 1980). The gene order for suf13 and cpa1 relative to other linked markers has not been established. These markers are, therefore, shown within parentheses on the genetic map. Since met7 has been shown to be located between his3 and tra3 (data of R. **LOWENSTEIN,** as reported in **MORTIMER** and **SCHILD** 1980), the most probable gene order for this chromosome region is his3-met7-tra3-(snf13- cpa₁).

The mutation prt1 has been shown by mitotic mapping to be located on chromosome XV **(MORTIMER** and **HAWTHORNE** 1973). Cross number 557 (Table 4) shows that sufl3 and *prtl* are loosely linked. Although the map distance calculated by the standard formula of **PERKINS** (1949) is slightly greater than 50 cM, a chi-square value of 22.39 indicates that the deviation in the data from that expected for complete nonlinkage between the two markers is significant. This result and other recombination tests described in **MORTIMER** and **SCHILD** (1980) suggest the likelihood that the gene order is *his3-met7-tra3-(sufl3-cpal)-prtl.*

Mapping *of* sufl4: This suppressor was located on chromosome XIV by analyzing tetrads from two separate three-point crosses. The first cross (number 2881 in Table 4) was heterozygous for pet2, sufl3 and met4 and homozygous for the suppressible Ieu2-3 mutation. Data from this cross indicate that *sufl4* is located 42.8 cM from met4 and 39.9 cM from pet2. Due to an excessive number of double crossovers, the standard method for determining gene order was not

FIGURE 1.-Genetic map of three yeast chromosomes showing the locations of suf12, suf13 and sufl4. The genetic map for chromosomes IV and XV is drawn as shown in **MORTIMER** and **SCHILD** (1980). The genetic map for chromosome XlV has been revised from that shown in **MORTIMER** and **SCHILD** (1980) and is based on data from this communication and more extensive mapping data from **KLAPHOLTZ** and **ESPOSITO** (1982). The revised map includes markers formerly placed on chromosome XVll which are now known to reside on chromosome XIV. Black circles indicate the locations of the centromeres. Dotted lines represent linkages established by trisomic segregation analysis. When the orientation of two or more genes relative to outside markers is in question, these genes have been enclosed within parentheses.

used. However, the genetic distances between markers were suggestive of the correct order. Since suf14 is approximately equidistant from met4 and pet2 and since met4 and pet2 are virtually unlinked (greater than **50** cM), the most likely gene order is pet2-sufl4-met4. Furthermore, in separate crosses each of these three markers failed to exhibit linkage to a centromere. They must, therefore, comprise a single linkage group on the same chromosome arm.

The second three-point cross (number **3238** in Table **4)** was heterozygous for petx, suf14 and met4. The petx mutation, which maps between met4 and pet2, is 11.8 cM from suf14. The order of genes was determined by analyzing tetratype asci for the petx-sufl4 marker pair. Among **25** such asci, 12 contained double crossovers. Ten of the remaining **13** asci were tetratype for the petx-met4 marker pair and parental ditype for the sufl4-met4 marker pair. The other three asci were reversed in phenotype, being tetratype for the suf14-met4 marker pair and parental ditype for the petx-met4 marker pair. These three tetrads are probably the result of double crossing-over since they represent a minority ascal class. By combining the results of both crosses, the most probable order is pet2-petx-suf14-met4.

The complete genetic map for chromosome XIV showing the location of suf14 is provided in Figure **1.** This genetic map differs from previously published maps of this chromosome (see **MORTIMER** and **SCHILD 1980).** The left arm of the chromosome is partially comprised of markers formerly thought to reside on chromosome XVII. The extensive genetic data of **KLAPHOLTZ** and **ESPOSITO (1982)** provide ample justification for the revised chromosome XIV map shown in the figure.

Spectrum *of* suppression

Since preliminary results indicated that alleles of *suf12, sufl3* and *suf14* are capable of cross-suppressing different types of mutations, the spectrum of suppression for a representative allele of each locus was tested in greater detail (Table **5).**

Although alleles of suf12 proved difficult to examine due to poor spore viability in crosses, the suf12-2 allele has been shown to suppress Ieu2-3 (a group I1 frameshift mutation suppressed by glycine tRNA encoding suppressors), his4-713 (a group III frameshift mutation suppressed by proline tRNA encoding suppressors), met2-1 (a mutation that is cross-suppressible by glycine and proline tRNA encoding suppressors), trpl-1 (a UAG nonsense mutation) and leu2-2 (a UGA nonsense mutation). This suppressor does not suppress all mutations regardless of type, as evidenced by its failure to suppress his4-506 (a group I frameshift mutation), his4-519 (a group I1 frameshift mutation), ade2-I and *lys-1* (UAA nonsense mutations). Thus, *suf12-2* exhibits an allele-specific pattern of suppression, but the mutations that are suppressed need not be related to each other according to mutational type.

The suf13-1 and suf14-1 alleles fail to suppress any of the nonsense mutations listed in Table **5.** However, they do suppress certain frameshift mutations of group I, group I1 and group 111. Thus, these suppressors differ in phenotype from suf12-2 but fit within the broad definition of nongroup-specific suppression by virtue of their ability to suppress different types of frameshift mutations.

g **z E** & In **U** *s 0)* **p.** $\frac{1}{2}$ *5* $\sum_{k=1}^{n}$ In **a** *0* TA
d nonsel
 0 5 5 **e, ^g***c. c* **3** $\ddot{ }$ **g** ត្តិ \$ **a** <u>.a</u> \mathbf{e} $5¹$

su 프인 - H *28* **OP** a; &\$ c **3** *-2* k: 8 g
8 se **3** '; E SL III refer
^{3,} respec
3uf12-1 v Ξ Ξ Ξ

DISCUSSION

Prior to this study, two major classes of extragenic suppressors had been identified among revertants of his4 frameshift mutations in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (CULBERTSON et al. 1977; CULBERTSON, UNDERBRINK and FINK 1980; CUMMINS etal. 1980; GABER and CULBERTSON 1982b). Molecular studies have shown that representative suppressors of these two classes encode altered glycine and proline tRNAs containing base insertions in the anticodon loops (CUMMINS, DONAHUE and CULBERTSON 1982; GABER and CULBERTSON 1982a). These suppressors exhibit specific patterns of suppression that are explicable on the basis of codon-anticodon interactions leading to quadruplet rather than triplet reading of the genetic code.

This communication describes the isolation and genetic properties of recessive frameshift suppressors mapping at three loci. These suppressors exhibit behavior atypical of the tRNA-encoding group-specific suppressor genes. Representative alleles of these suppressor genes have been shown to suppress +1 frameshift mutations that have been classified into different groups according to the types of codons containing the base insertions. In some cases certain nonsense mutations are also suppressed. These suppressors have, therefore, been designated as nongroup-specific in phenotype. The distinguishing features of these suppressors imply the existence in yeast of molecular mechanisms for frameshift suppression involving components of translation other than glycine and proline tRNAs.

Nongroup-specific suppressors were identified in these studies by determining whether extragenic revertants of one type of frameshift mutation ever exhibit simultaneous coreversion for other types of frameshift mutations or for nonsense mutations. Corevertants exhibiting a variety of cross-suppressing phenotypes were isolated in strains carrying a group I1 frameshift mutation (Ieu2-3), a group I11 frameshift mutation **(his4-713),** a UAG amber nonsense mutation **(trpl-2)** and the ICR-induced met2-1 mutation described in this study.

The met2-1 mutation is interesting in that it is cross-suppressed by groupspecific suppressor mutations in genes known to encode altered glycine and proline tRNAs. One of several plausible mechanisms may be responsible for the unusual properties of the met2-2 allele. The group-specific suppressors may interact with glycine and/or proline codons in the vicinity of the met2-2 mutation rather than with the codon containing the mutation itself. This could occur particularly if the affected region of the protein is not essential for catalytic activity. Alternatively, met2-2 may represent a **+1** G:C insertion in adjacent glycine and proline codons within the met2 gene, such that either glycine or proline suppressor tRNAs could act at the site of mutation by reading overlapping sets of four bases in the mRNA to generate a normal amino acid sequence. The met2-1 mutation proved useful in these studies since it is suppressed by the nongroup-specific suppressors as well.

Corevertants exhibiting nongroup-specific suppression patterns map at three loci, designated suf12, suf13 and suf14. The suf12 locus maps 3.5 cM from aro1 on chromosome IV. The **sufl3** locus maps 20.1 cM from tra3 on chromosome **XV.** In the course of mapping the *suf24* locus, results were obtained that substantiate the proposal of **KLAPHOLTZ** and **ESPOSITO** (1982) for revision of the chromosome XIV map and elimination of chromosome XVII from the genetic map. The **sufl4** suppressor is linked to two markers that were formerly thought to reside on chromosome XVII, pet2 and met4 **(MORTIMER** and **SCHILD** 1980). The suppressor is also limited to petx, which was placed on chromosome XIV by trisomic segregation analysis **(WICKNER** and **LEIBOWITZ** 1976; **WICKNER** 1979). The combined chromosome map (Figure I), which includes chromosome XIV and the former chromosome XVII linkage groups, is supported by trisomic analysis, standard meiotic linkage, and by the observation that markers assigned in previous studies to these two chromosomes cosegregate in the absence of genetic recombination in strains homozygous for the rec- mutant **spoll-l (KLAPHOLTZ** and **ESPOSITO** 1982). Our results are consistent with those of **KLAPHOLTZ** and **ESPOSITO** (1982) and support the contention that yeast contains 16 rather than 17 genetically defined chromosomes.

Tetrad data from genetic mapping studies suggest that suf12 may be located at the same position as the "omnipotent" suppressor **sup35 (HAWTHORNE** and **MORTIMER** 1968). Alleles of **sup35** exhibit phenotypic variation and are known to cross-suppress certain nonsense mutations belonging to different classes **(HAWTHORNE** and **LEUPOLD** 1974). Since alleles of sufl.2 also exhibit phenotypic variation and cross-suppress several types of frameshift and nonsense mutations, they bear a striking phenotypic resemblance to **sup35** alleles. Although the deleterious effects of these suppressors on sporulation and spore viability have hampered our ability to complete rigorous complementation and recombination tests, the phenotypic similarity of these suppressors and their close proximity on the genetic map suggest the possibility that $\frac{\text{sup35}}{\text{sup35}}$ and $\frac{\text{sup12}}{\text{sup}}$ be synonymous.

The behavior of sufl2 and **sup35** alleles is atypical of mutations in genes that encode suppressor tRNAs. Since several lines of evidence implicate **sup35** as a gene that possibly encodes a ribosomal protein, mutations at this locus may resemble the ribosomal ambiguity mutations in bacteria that cause generalized misreading of the genetic code **(GORINI** 1974; **LIEBMAN** and **CAVENAGH** 1979; **LIEBMAN, CAVENAGH** and **BENNETT** 1980; **LIEBMAN** and **CAVENAGH** 1981). However, definitive identification of the **sup35** gene product is still lacking. In addition, the suf12 locus could represent an adjacent rather than a synonymous gene. It should be possible to distinguish between these possibilities using molecular cloning techniques.

The *suf23* and sufl4 genes do not map at positions previously assigned to omnipotent suppressors, and alleles of these loci fail to suppress nonsense mutations. These suppressors are, however, nongroup-specific in phenotype since they suppress certain frameshift mutations of groups I, I1 and 111. Representative mutations in each of these groups have been shown to be $+1$ G:C insertions, but each of the groups differ in the types of codons containing the base insertions **(DONAHUE, FARABAUGH** and **FINK** 1981). Mutations in different groups would not be expected to be suppressed by informational suppressors encoding specific tRNAs that interact directly at the sites of the frameshift mutations. Since suppressor genes encoding glycine and proline tRNAs are known to be specific for suppression of $+1$ G:C insertions in glycine (group II) and proline (group III) codons, the nongroup-specific suf13 and suf14 suppressors are unlikely candidates for genes encoding these tRNAs.

Suppressor mutations mapping at or near the suf13 and suf14 loci have also been identified among corevertants of the group I1 his4-206 and leu2-3 frameshift mutations (GABER and CULBERTSON 1982b). These alleles have also been shown to suppress group I frameshift mutations and are, therefore, nongroup-specific in phenotype. However, the his4-206 mutation is not suppressed by the suf13 or $suf14$ alleles isolated in the present study. Thus, $suf13$ and $suf14$ may resemble *sufl2* and sup35 in that different alleles of these loci suppress different mutations. Alternatively, phenotypically distinguishable suppressors mapping in the same chromosome region may represent mutations in distinct but closely linked genes such that they affect the functions of different gene products or activities.

Although the his4 frameshift mutations suppressed by $\frac{\sin 13}{\sin 13}$ and $\frac{\sin 14}{\cos 13}$ belong to the same group, they may be related according to their map position within the his4 coding region. Three of the four suf13- and suf14-suppressible mutations, including his4-520, his4-507 and his4-504, are clustered in a small region near the **3'** end of his4A (CULBERTSON et al. 1977). The only exception, his4-723, maps close to the **3'** end of the coding region in his4C (DONAHUE, FARABAUCH and FINK 1981). More extensive genetic analysis will be required to determine whether the ability to be suppressed by these nongroup-specific suppressors bears any relationship to map position within the his4 gene. The existence of such a relationship would be an important consideration in elucidating possible mechanisms of suppression.

Several molecular mechanisms for suf13- and suf14-mediated suppression of frameshift mutations are possible. At the translational level, altered tRNA base modification enzymes might exhibit pleiotropic effects on multiple families of tRNAs and cause mistranslation and suppression of different types of $+1$ frameshift mutations. A similar model has been proposed as one possible mechanism of suppression for recessive frameshift suppressors in Salmonella (RYASATY and ATKINS 1968; RIDDLE and ROTH 1972).

Alternatively, suf13 or suf14 might encode tRNAs other than the glycine and proline isoacceptors which contain four base anticodons. Such suppressing tRNAs might interact at sites in the mRNA in regions neighboring the sites of mutation rather than at the sites of the mutations themselves. Suppression would result from restoration of the reading frame if the region between the site of mRNA:tRNA interaction and the site of mutation is not essential for catalytic activity of the enzyme, as is the case for certain sufJ suppressible frameshift mutations in the hisD gene in Salmonella **(BOSSI** and ROTH 1981). Although genetic dominance of the suppressors would be predicted from this model, it is possible that the recessive phenotypes of the nongroup-specific suppressors may simply reflect a low efficiency of suppression.

Other translational components, such as ribosomal proteins or any of the various translational factors, might be altered in such a way as to cause suppression of certain frameshift mutations by altering the phase fidelity of translation, resulting in a shift to the normal phase and production of a functional protein.

One precedent for a more exotic mechanism of nongroup-specific suppression

is based on the existence of suppressor mutations mapping at the Ion locus in Escherichia coli (see MOUNT 1980). The Ion gene has been shown to affect the activity of a protease that degrades abnormal amino acid sequences. The suppressor mutations mapping within this gene exhibit genetic properties resembling to some extent those of the nongroup-specific suppressors in yeast. This model requires an in vivo mechanism for spontaneous low level reading frame slippage similar to that described by ATKINS et al (1979). If such reading frame slippage occurs in yeast, it is possible that the gene products of nongroupspecific suppressor loci might act posttranslationally by conferring stability and allowing accumulation of an altered but functional gene product which would otherwise undergo proteolytic degradation. This model also requires that the incorrect stretch of amino acids between the point of the frameshift mutation and the site of reading frame slippage does not abolish catalytic activity.

Further genetic and molecular characterization of the suppressor genes and gene products will be required in order to elucidate the mechanisms of nongroup-specific frameshift suppression since, at present, the available information on these suppressors is insufficient to distinguish between various possible molecular models.

This research was supported by the College of Agricultural and Life Sciences, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, and Public Health Service grant CA26217 (M. R. CULBERTSON). Some of the results reported in this communication are from dissertations to be submitted by R. F. GABER and C. M. CUMMINS in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Doctor of Philosophy degree in the Department of Genetics and the Molecular Biology Program, respectively. R. F. GABER and C. M. CUMMINS are trainees supported by Public Health Service Training grant GM07133 in the Department of Genetics. This manuscript is Laboratory of Genetics paper number 2538.

LITERATURE CITED

- ATKINS, J.F., 1980 Non-triplet tRNA:mRNA interactions. pp. 439-449. In: Transfer RNA: Biological Aspects. Edited by D. SOLL, **J.** N. ABELSON and P. R. SCHIMMEL. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratories, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.
- ATKINS, **J.** F., R. F. GESTELAND, B.R. REID and **C. W.** ANDERSON, 1979 Normal tRNAs promote ribosomal frameshifting. Cell **18** 1119-1131.
- BOSSI, L. and J. R. ROTH, 1981 Four-base codons ACCA, ACCU, and ACCC are recognized by frameshift suppressor *suf].* Cell **25:** 489-496.
- BRUSICK, D. **J.,** 1970 The mutagenic activity of ICR-170 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mutat. Res. **10:** 11-19.
- CULBERTSON, **M. R.,** M. T. JOHNSON, L. CHARNAS and G. R. FINK, 1977 Frameshifts and frameshift suppressors in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics **86** 745-764.
- CULBERTSON, M. R., K. M. UNDERBRINK and G. R. FINK, 1980 Frameshift suppression in Saccharomyces cerevisioe. 11. Genetic properties of group **I1** suppressors. Genetics **95:** 833-853.
- CUMMINS, C. M., T. F. DONAHUE and M. R. CULBERTSON, 1982 Nucleotide sequence of the SUFZ frameshift suppressor gene from Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **79:** 3565-3569.
- CUMMINS, C. M., R. F. GABER, M. R. CULBERTSON, R. MANN and G. R. FINK, 1980 Frameshift suppression in Saccharomyces cerevisioe. 111. Isolation and genetic properties of group 111 suppressors. Genetics **95:** 855-875.
- DONAHUE, T. F., P. FARABAUCH and G. R. FINK, 1981 Suppressible glycine and proline four base codons. Science **212** 455-457.
- GABER, R. F. and M. R. CULBERTSON, **1982a** The yeast frameshift suppressor SUFl6-1 encodes an altered glycine tRNA containing the four base anticodon 3'-CCCG-5'. Gene, in press.
- GABER, R. F. and M. R. CULBERTSON, **19821,** Frameshift suppression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. IV. New suppressors among spontaneous corevertants of the his4-206 and *leu2-3* frameshift mutations. Genetics, in press.
- Streptomycin and misreading of the genetic code. pp. **791-803.** In: Ribosomes. GORINI, L., **1974** Edited by M. NOMURA, A. TISSIERES and A. LENGYEL. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratories, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.
- HAWTHORNE, D. C. and U. LEUPOLD, **1974** Suppressors in yeast. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. *64:* **1-47.**
- HAWTHORNE, D. C. and R. K. MORTIMER, **1960** Chromosome mapping in Saccharomyces centromere-linked genes. Genetics **45: 1085-1110.**
- KLAPHOLTZ, S. and R. E. ESPOSITO, **1982** Chromosomes XIV and XVII of Saccharomyces cerevisiae constitute a single linkage group. Molecular Cell. Biol., in press.
- LIEBMAN, **S.** W. and M. CAVENAGH, **1979** An antisuppressor that acts on omnipotent suppressors in yeast. Genetics **95: 49-61.**
- LIEBMAN, S. W. and M. CAVENAGH, **1981 40s** ribosomal protein from a Saccharomyces cerevisiae antisuppressor mutant exhibiting a unique 2D gel pattern. Curr. Genet. **3: 27-29.**
- LIEBMAN, S.W., M. CAVENAGH and L. N. BENNETT, **1980** Isolation and properties of an antisuppressor in Saccharomyces cerevisiae specific for an omnipotent suppressor. J. Bacteriol. **143: 1527-1529.**
- MORTIMER, R. K. and D. C. HAWTHORNE, **1966** Genetic mapping in Saccharomyces. Genetics **53: 165-173.**
- MORTIMER, R.K. and D. C. HAWTHORNE, **1973** Genetic mapping in Saccharomyces. IV. Mapping of temperature sensitive genes and the use of disomic strains in localizing genes. Genetics **74: 33-54.**
- MORTIMER,. K. and D. SCHILD, **1980** Genetic map of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiol. Rev. **44 519-571.**
- MOUNT, D. W., **1980** Genetics of protein degradation in bacteria. Annu. Rev. Genet. **14: 279-320.**
- PERKINS, D., **1949** Biochemical mutants in the smut fungus Ustilago maydis. Genetics **34: 607- 626.**
- RIDDLE, D. L. and J. CARBON, **1973** A nucleotide addition to the anticodon of a glycine tRNA. Nature (New Biol.) **242: 230-237.**
- RIDDLE, D. L. and J. R. ROTH, **1972** Frameshift suppressors. 111. Effect of suppressor mutations on tRNA. J. Mol. Biol. **66 495-506,**
- RYASATY, *S.* and **J.** ATKINS, **1968** External suppression of a frameshift mutation in Salmonella. J. Mol. Biol. **34 541-557.**
- SHERMAN, F., G. R. FINK and C. W. LAWRENCE, **1971** Methods in Yeast Genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratories, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.
- WICKNER, R.B., **1979** Mapping chromosomal genes of Saccharomyces cerevisiae using an improved genetic mapping method. Genetics **92: 803-821.**
- WICKNER, R.B. and M. J. LEIBOWITZ, **1976** Chromosomal genes essential for the replication of a double-stranded RNA plasmid of Saccharomyces cerevisiae: the killer character of yeast. J. Mol. Biol. **105: 427-434.**
- WOLFNER, M., D. YEP, F. MESSENGUY and G. R. FINK, 1975 Integration of amino acid biosynthesis into the cell cycle of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Mol. Biol. 96: 273-290.

Corresponding editor: F. SHERMAN