Large Heterologies Impose Their Gene Conversion Pattern Onto Closely Linked Point Mutations

H. Hamza,' A. Nicolas' and J.-L. Rossignol

Luborutoire IMG, B&tinent 400, Universiti Paris-Sud, 91 405 Orsay Cedex, France Manuscript received December 2, 1985 Revised copy accepted November 20, 1986

ABSTRACT

We have studied the meiotic non-Mendelian segregation (NMS) pattern of seven large heterologous combinations located in the *b2* ascospore gene of Ascobolus. The NMS patterns of these aberration heterozygotes widely differ from each other and from those of point mutations located in the same genetic region. They give lower gene conversion frequencies than point mutations, no postmeiotic segregations (PMS), and either parity or disparity that favors the wild type allele. Two related deletions, *G234* and *G40,* were studied for their effects on the conversion behavior of closely linked point mutations. We found that, when heterozygous, the deletions impose their own NMS pattern onto close mutations. These effects occur on both sides of the heterologies. The effects upon PMS and disparity of linked point mutations gradually disappear as point mutations become more distant. The effects on NMS frequencies and on aberrant **4:4** are polar. They persist for all mutations located downstream from the high conversion end of the gene. This last effect can reflect a blockage of symmetric hDNA formation by large heterologies, whereas the epistasis of the NMS pattern of large heterologies over that of closely linked point mutations suggests that large heterologies and point mutations undergo conversion by means of distinct pathways.

Infungi, large heterologies are able to undergo I gene conversion. In *Saccharomyces cerevisiae***, FINK** (1974), **FINK** and STYLES (1974) and **FOGEL** *et al.* (1 978) reported the non-Mendelian segregation (NMS) pattern of three deletions of the his4 gene, **LAWRENCE** *et* al. (1975) that of a deletion spanning the *cycl* and *rad7* genes and **MCKNIGHT, CARDILLO** and **SHERMAN** (1981) that of an approximately 5-kb deletion *(H3)* involving *cyc7.* For all but the *H3* deletion few if any differences between the **NMS** patterns of the deletions and of point mutations in the same gene were found: deletions converted at near normal frequencies; none of them gave postmeiotic segregation; and conversion occurred equally toward wild type $(3+1m$ asci) and toward mutant $(1+3m)$. Only *H3* showed disparity (favoring 3+:1m segregation). Limited results with conversion of insertions have yielded essentially equivalent data [cited in **FOGEL,** MORTIMER and LUSNAK (1981)]. From these data, gene conversion in yeast is explained either by a single strand transfer of information followed by an efficient mismatch repair event **(FOGEL, MORTIMER** and **LUS-**NAK 1981) or by a double strand transfer of information **(SZOSTAK** *et* al. 1983).

This homogeneous behavior of mutations in yeast contrasts with that observed in *Ascobalus immersus* where discrete classes of **NMS** patterns were described for induced point mutations **(LEBLON** 1972a; **NICOLAS** 1979) and correlated with their chemical nature **(LEB-LON** 1972b; **LEBLON** and **PAQUETTE** 1978). Base substitution mutations give all types of NMS, $|6:2|$ $(6+2m$ and $2+6m$, $|5:3|$ $(5+3m)$ and $3+5m$) and aberrant **4:4;** mutations that give this array are classified as giving type *C* **NMS** patterns. One base-pair addition-deletion mutations give only [6:2] asci, with the type B NMS pattern $(2+:6m > 6+:2m)$ assumed to be due to single base-pair addition mutations and the type A NMS pattern $(6+:2m > 2+:6m)$ assumed to be due to single base-pair deletions. These **Asco**bolus data were best interpreted in terms of gene conversion occurring by the repair of mismatches in heteroduplex **DNA.** Extensive subsequent studies in the *b2* spore color gene [reviewed in **ROSSIGNOL,** PAQUETTE and NICOLAS (1978)] have been consistent with this view.

In this context, the existence in $b2$ of several large additions and deletions (NICOLAS *et al.* 1987), together with numerous point mutations, appeared propitious for a comprehensive study of the gene conversion behavior of heterologies. The conversion behavior of complex heterologies is particularly interesting because it touches not only on mechanisms of homologous exchange but also on other topics such as the transfer of information between members of muhigene families and molecular evolution **(BALTIMORE** 1981; **DOVER 1982; KOURILSKY** 1983).

In this paper, we describe the **NMS** patterns of seven heterologous combinations. We show that these

¹ Present address: Department of Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture, Mon-* **Present address: Department of Molecular Biology, Massachusetts Gen-ofya University, Shebin el Kom, Egypt.**

eral Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts 021 14.

patterns are different from each other and from those of point mutations located in the same region. Among the large nonpoint mutations, two *(G234* and *G40)* exhibit a wild type spore phenotype that allowed the study of their effects upon the NMS pattern **of** closely linked mutations in the same gene. We found that these two large deletions impose their own conversion behavior onto all types of linked mutations. This effect on conversion is distinct from that previously reported on heteroduplex formation **(HAMZA** *et al.* 198 1).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mutant strains: The *b2* white spore point mutations *EO, E2, A6* (type A NMS pattern), *FO, BJ00, BJ7, BJ6, B20, EJ, E?, B79, BIOI,* **A0** (type **B** NMS pattern) and *FJ, 17, XJ5, GI, 24, 81, 94, 98, A4, 26* (type **C** NMS pattern) have been described (PAQUETTE and ROSSIGNOL 1978; NICOLAS *et al.* 1987). *EO, El, E2* and *E3* belong to the same *(E)* intragenic suppression group and probably correspond to single base pair addition-deletion mutations (LEBLON and PAQUETTE 1978; NICOLAS *et al.* 1987). The double mutant combinations *EO EJ* and *E2 El* both show a pink-spored phenotype distinguishable from either the brown wild type or the mutant white. The double mutant *E3 E2* has brown spores indistinguishable from wild-type spores. *GI, 24* and *"E"* mutations are very closely linked. The genetic map of *b2* mutations, as reported by LEBLON *et al.* (1982) and NICOLAS *et al.* (1987), is given on the x-axis of Figures 1-3.

Several nonpoint mutations have been described (GIRARD and ROSSIGNOL 1974; NICOLAS *et al.* 1987). The white spore mutations *IO* and *138* were classified as large deletions because they fail to recombine with several inter-recombining mutations. GO **is** an unstable spontaneous mutation that gives rise to many distinct types of pseudo-wild-type revertants. Based on its properties, GO is certainly not a point mutation; it is almost certainly an insertion (NICOLAS *et al.* 1987). *G234* and *G40* are two GO derivatives that have wildtype spore phenotypes. They were characterized as deletions by the following criteria: when homozygous, they shorten genetic distance *(G234)* and increase co-conversion *(G234* and *G40)* between flanking point mutations; when in *cis* with the flanking mutations *E2* and *BIOI, G40* restores a pseudo-wild-type spore phenotype (pink spores), whereas the double mutant *E2 BJOJ* has a white spore phenotype. This effect is best explained if *G40* is a deletion (NICOLAS *et al.* 1987).

The method for associating the silent mutations *G234* and *G40* with spore color mutations in the same gene was described by NICOLAS et al. (1987).

Media and culture techniques have been previously described (RIZET *et al.* 1960; LISSOUBA *et al.* 1962; YU SUN 1964).

Crossing conditions were as described by ROSSIGNOL and PAQUETTE (1979). Experimental conditions that allow controlled comparisons of NMS patterns have been described (ROSSIGNOL and PAQUETTE 1979). **Thus,** in order to randomize strain background differences between *m* x wild type and $m \times G$ crosses, the same m strain was crossed to a set of wild type strains and a set of G strains which had been isolated from a unique $G \times$ wild type cross (e.g., $G \times$ + gives strains Gi, Gii, ... Gn , \overrightarrow{H} , \overrightarrow{H} , \overrightarrow{H} ; comparison crosses consist of crosses: $m \times G$ i, $m \times G$ ii, . . . $m \times G$ n, $m \times H$, m \times +ii, ... $m \times$ +n). All crosses were heterozygous for the *rndJ* ascospore shape marker in order to detect aberrant 4:4 segregations (PAQUETTE 1978). Some crosses were also heterozygous for *vag4* (mycelial growth) which makes the determination of sister spore pairs during ascus analysis easier. *rndJ* and *vag4* are unlinked to *b2* (NICOLAS *et al.* 1981).

RESULTS

NMS pattern of large heterologies: The NMS patterns of seven heterologous combinations are given in Table 1. These heterologies correspond to the heterozygosity of the *b2* nonpoint mutations with wild type or with each other. We considered only overlapping heterozygotes of nonpoint mutations (see Figure 1). The NMS pattern of *G234* could not be studied because of its wild-type phenotype. Despite the fact that *G40* also gives phenotypically wild-type spores, its NMS pattern could be established in the *E2 G40 BIOI* **^X***E2* + BlOl cross, because the triple mutant *E2 G40 BIOl* has a pink-spore phenotype. The *G40 us.* + segregation corresponds to pink *us.* white ascospore segregation.

The seven NMS patterns have two qualitative characteristics in common: (1) they show no postmeiotic segregation (PMS) and (2) the NMS frequencies are lower than those of point mutations located nearby (see Figure 1 and Tables 2 and **3).** In other respects the nonhomologous combinations exhibit broad diversity in their conversion patterns. The decrease in NMS frequency is variable: between one-third and one-half with *G* heterologies, but fourfold with *10* and 70-fold with *138.* With respect to the direction of conversion, five heterologous combinations exhibit parity $(6+2m)$ equals $2+6m$, whereas the other two both exhibit disparity in favor of wild type: *GO* shows a threefold excess, and *1?8* apparently exhibits only conversion to wild type. Since *GO* is an insertion and *138* is a deletion, direction of disparity is uncorrelated with the molecular nature of the heterology. Rare conversions of *138* to wild type were also detected in heteroallelic crosses between *138* and other *b2* mutations **(NICOLAS** 1983).

Effect of *G234* **and** *G40* **deficiencies upon the NMS pattern of allelic point mutations:** We have tested the effect of *G234* and/or *G40* heterologies upon the NMS patterns of 23 point mutations located along the entire length of the *b2* gene. Since both deletions have phenotypically brown spores, the spore color segregation (brown *us.* white) corresponds to the segregation of the point mutation. The results are presented in Table 2 for class A and **B** mutations (giving a type **A** or B NMS pattern) and in Table 3 for class **C** mutations (giving a type **C** NMS pattern). The analysis of NMS patterns in terms of variation between control crosses (absence of *G* heterologies) and test crosses (presence of *G* heterologies) for individual classes of NMS and related parameters deduced from NMS patterns are presented in Figures 1-3. Figure 1 illustrates the effect of *G* deficiency heterozygosity on NMS frequency for each of the mutants studied and the effect on aberrant **4:4** frequencies for

Gene Conversion **of** Heterologies

TABLE 1

NMS patterns of seven heterozygous combinations corresponding to large heterologies in gene *b2*

Crosses							
	n^a	$6C:2W^b$	$2C:6W^b$	Others ^e	NMS ^d	PMS ^e	$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}^f$
$10 \times +$		16	19		39		1.2
138×48	10	2(2)	2(0)	1(0)	(2)		
$G0 \times +^h$		51	15	10	76	10 ^h	3
$E2 G40 B101 \times E2 + B101$	11	35	41		80		1.2
$10 \times G$ 234		18	23		44		1.3
$G0 \times G234$		42	39		83		1.1
$GO \times G40$		36	34		70		

n: number of distinct crosses studied; in each cross a sample of **1** ,000 asci was counted.

' **C:** colored spores; **W:** white spores.

Others: **5C:3W, 3C:5W,** aberrant **4C:4W, 7C:lW, 8C:OW,** and **OC:8W** asci.

^d NMS: total non-Mendelian segregations.

PMS: percent of NMS that show postmeiotic segregation.

fDV: disparity value; it is the ratio **(6C:2W** + **5C:3W)/(2C:6W** + **3C:5W)** when **6C:2W** + **5C:3W** are the most frequent or the ratio **(2C:6W** + **3C:5W)/(6C:2W** + **5C:3W)** when **2C:6W** + **3C:5W** are the most frequent.

*⁸*In the *138* **X** f crosses, the numbers in parentheses give the corrected values after ascus analysis: of a sample of **11 6C:2W, 11 2C:6W,** and **5** PMS, only the **6C:2W** asci were confirmed as **6+:2(138);** the others were new mutations or phenocopies.

* In **GO X** + crosses, many phenotypic **5C:3W, 3C:5W** and aberrant **4:4** asci do not correspond to PMS for **GO,** but rather to PMS for **805** a non-GO derivative (GI), a product of the instability of **GO** (NICOLAS et *al.* **1987).**

class C mutants; Figure 2 illustrates the effect on $\vert 5:3 \vert$ frequencies for class C mutants; and Figure **3** illustrates the effect on the disparity value (DV) of class **A,** B and C mutants. Clearly, *G234* and *G40* modify the NMS pattern of most of the mutations tested and the magnitude of the effect is a function of the position of the point mutation relative to the deficiency.

Nearby mutations: The effect of deficiency heterozygosity is particularly strong and remarkably homogeneous on mutations that are very tightly linked to the deficiency ends, that is those mapping in the *(EO,E3)-(81,B79)* interval.

Heterozygosity for *G234* or *G40* has two effects on close type **A** and B mutations (Table 2): the frequency of **NMS** is reduced by approximately twofold and disparity in conversion direction is abolished or very greatly reduced.

Heterozygosity for *G234* or *G40* has three effects on close class C mutations (Table **3):** as with class **A** and B mutations, the frequency of NMS is reduced by approximately twofold and disparity (if present) disappears, but in addition the frequent postmeiotic segregations that characterize mutations as being class *C* also almost completely disappear.

The effects of the two deficiencies,G40 and *G234,* on the NMS pattern of tightly linked class **A,** B, and C mutations are identical, suggesting either that these effects are characteristic of deficiencies in general or else that these two deficiencies have common special features. Interestingly, the NMS pattern imposed on the nearby mutant $m \text{ in an } m+/+$ G40 heterozygote is the same as that displayed by *G40* itself in a *G40/+* heterozygote (Table 1), suggesting that *G40* imposes its own NMS pattern on nearby point mutations. Since *G234* has the same effect as *G40,* we infer that *G234* has the same **NMS** pattern as *G40* and that it also imposes its NMS pattern on nearby point mutations.

Four other issues are resolved by the data in Tables **2** and **3.** First, since in crosses homozygous for *G234* or *G40* the NMS pattern of heterozygous point mutants is the same as in $+/+$ controls, the effects observed when these aberrations are heterozygous are due to heterozygosity *per* **se** rather than to some other attribute of the aberrations. Second, coupling and repulsion arrangements give the same effect. Third, since all of the point mutants under discussion here but *24* and *GI* are known to lie outside of the *G234* deficiency **(NICOLAS** *et al.* 1987), the alterations in NMS pattern are effects at a distance. And finally, these effects are not polar; point mutants to the left and to the right are affected equally.

However, the identical effects of *G40* and *G234* heterozygotes upon NMS patterns of nearby point mutations raises the question of whether these two deficiencies are in fact different, especially considering that *G40* was identified among the progeny of a cross between *G234* and *GI,* both *GO* derivatives and therefore potentially complementing for residual instability **(NICOLAS** *et al.* 1987). Since for both *G40* and *G234* it has been shown (Table 2) that homozygosity of the aberrations restores the wild-type pattern of NMS to nearby point mutations, we can use nearby point mutations to ask whether the *G40/G234* heterozygote behaves like the homozygotes or like heterozygotes with wild type; these data are also in Table **2,** and the effect of the *G234/G40* heterozygote is intermediate between that of homozygous aberration $(=$ homozygous wild type) and either aberration heterozygote in all respects (effect on NMS frequency and disparity reduction). This suggests that these are over-

TABLE 2

NMS pattern of 13 single and one double class A and B mutations in crosses with and without G deficiencies heterozygous

		Number per 1,000 asci of:							
Crosses	\boldsymbol{n}		6C:2W 2C:6W	Others	NMS	DV			
$F\theta \times +$	3	99	213	3	315	2.2			
$FO \times G234$	3	112	220	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	336	2.0			
$B100 \times +$	4	66	206	$\mathbf{2}$	274	3.1			
$B100 \times G234$	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	69	195	I	265	2.8			
$B17 \times +$	$\overline{4}$	21	122	6	149	5.8			
$B17 \times G234$	6	34	94	3	131	2.8			
$B16 \times +$	5	21	152	3	176	7.2			
$B16 \times G234$	5	32	119	3	154	3.7			
$B20 \times +$	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	10	165	6	181	16.5			
$B20 \times G234$	$\overline{4}$	41	97	6	144	2.4			
$B20 \times G40$	$\mathbf{1}$	39	60	$\bf{0}$	99	1.5			
$E0 \times +$	5	139	8	7	154	17.4			
$EO \times G234$	5	50	48	6	104	1.0			
$E3 \times +$	3	9	156	7	172	17.3			
$E3 \times G234$	3	60	59	3	122	1.1			
$E3 \times G40$	$\overline{2}$	60	64	\mathbf{I}	125	1.1			
$E3 G234 \times +$	5	58	55	3	116	1.1			
E3 G234 × G234	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	10	140	$\overline{2}$	152	14.0			
E3 G234 × G40	3	31	92	3	126	3.0			
$E2 \times +$	3	159	10	9	178	15.9			
$E2 \times G234$	5	48	46	9	103	1.0			
$E2\times G40$	ı	51	46	0	97	1.1			
$E2 G40 \times +$	$\overline{4}$	48	59	$\boldsymbol{2}$	109	1.0			
E2 G40 × G234	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	129	34	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	167	3.8			
E2 G40 × G40	$\overline{2}$	159	12	$\overline{2}$	173	13.3			
$E1 \times +$	5	8	185	9	202	23.1			
$E1 \times G234$	5	60	65	5	130	1.1			
$E1 \times G40$	1	48	45	0	93	1.1			
$E0 E1 \times +^a$	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	48	45	7	100	1.1			
$E0 E1 \times G234^a$	5	34	32	6	72	1.1			
$B79 \times +$	5	10	128	2	140	12.8			
$B79 \times G234$	6	46	23	$\overline{2}$	71	2.0			
$B79 \times G40$	1	50	43	\mathbf{I}	94	1.2			
$B101 \times +$	$\overline{4}$	14	126	l	141	9			
$B101 \times G234$	$\overline{4}$	26	56	ı	83	2.2			
$A0 \times t^b$	11	5	89	$\overline{2}$	96	17.8			
$A0 \times G234^b$	12	7	44	5	56	6.3			
$A6 \times +^b$	9	80	6	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	90	13.3			
$A6 \times 6234^b$	11	50	11	0	60.	4.6			

See Table 1 for abbreviations. For each mutation crosses with $+$ and with $G234$ are comparison crosses, $+$ and $G234$ being sibs derived from the same cross (see MATERIAL AND METHODS).

The spore color segregation is B:P, i.e., brown spores (wild type or $G234$) vs. pink (EO E1).

 b From HAMZA et al. (1981).

lapping (since the effect is not as strong as either heterozygote $G/+)$ but not identical (since there is an effect) deficiencies, an implication that is in accord with the possibility that $G40$ arose from $G234$ by further rearrangement.

Effect of the $G234/+$ heterology on the double point mutation EO E1. Examination of the interaction of $G234$ and EO E1 addresses two questions: (1) does the $G234/+$ heterology affect close double point mutations, here $E0$ E1, as it does with single site point mutations and (2) does the $G234/+$ heterology affect the NMS pattern of a heterology which shows parity.

The results (EO E1 \times + and EO E1 \times G234, Table 2) demonstrate that there is a further decrease in the NMS frequency of E0 E1 in the presence of $G234/+$, whereas parity is observed in both crosses.

More distant mutations: The effects on more distant mutations depend on the location of these mutations with regard to $G234$ and $G40$ (distance and left or right position). On the left side, there is no significant change of the NMS pattern for the five mutations F1 to X15: NMS and PMS frequencies and DV are not affected. A parallel decrease of NMS frequencies and DV is seen for mutations $B17$ to E. No conclusion can be drawn about PMS in the $X15-E$ interval since no class C mutations are available.

For the seven mutations on the right $(94 \text{ to } 26)$, the patterns of effects are quite different for NMS and aberrant 4:4 asci frequencies on the one hand and [5:3] frequencies on the other hand. Aberrant 4:4 asci frequencies are drastically reduced (90% decrease) for all mutations. NMS frequencies are also reduced to the same extent for those mutations as for mutations close to $G234$ (e.g., the same reduction is seen for E2 and 26 or G1 and A0). However, we cannot exclude—when looking at the $81-26$ interval—that the NMS frequency reduction tends to be less strong when mutations become farther from G234. Whatever it might be, the NMS frequency reduction slope contrasts sharply with that observed on the left of G234 which is much steeper: for example, $B20$ which is much closer to $G234$ than mutations in region A undergoes a reduction of its NMS frequency which is clearly less drastic.

This long range and steady effect upon aberrant 4:4 asci and NMS frequencies contrasts with the effect upon $\left[5:3\right]$ that increase from 0% of the control value for 81 to almost 100% for A4 and 26. The effect upon $|5:3|$ is thus clearly dependent on the proximity of the mutations to the $G/$ + heterology.

The DV parameter is affected in an intermediate way: like NMS and aberrant 4:4 frequencies, the DV of rightmost mutations is still affected, but like [5:3] frequencies, the effect becomes progressively less drastic when mutations become closer to the right end, suggesting that proximity to the $G/$ + heterology plays an important role for DV, as for |5:3|.

Effect of point mutation heterozygosities on tightly linked mutations: The interaction during recombination between closely linked point mutation sites in the A region of $b2$ gene has been reported (LEBLON and ROSSIGNOL 1973, 1979; ROSSIGNOL and HAEDENS 1978). We wished to extend this type of study to the E region in order to compare the effects of interactions between point mutations and $G/+$ heterologies with that of point mutations with other point mutations in the same region. This was feasible because the double mutants $E0E1$, $E2E1$ and $E3E2$, combinations of two intersuppressing mutations, have

Gene Conversion of Heterologies

See Table 1 for abbreviations.

^a4:4 ab: aberrant 44; corrected values are given (see **PAQUETTE** 1978).

Others: 8C:OW, OC:8W, 7C:IW, 1C7W asci.

From **HAMZA** *et* al. **(1** 98 1).

With *A4* and *26,* one among several **sets** of comparison crosses performed by **HAMZA** et **al.** (1981) is shown.

FIGURE 1. Effect of the *G234* deficiency heterozygote on NMS frequencies of $23 b2$ point mutations and on the for 10 type C mutations. The values plotted are experimental/control (in percent) for each point mutation; the **data** are from Tables 2 and 3; for *A4* and 26, the values are the mean values of distinct comparison crosses performed by \overrightarrow{HAMZA} *et al.* (1981). Genetic map: the positions of the aberrations 10 138 GO (0 positions of the aberrations *10,* 138, GO and *G234* with respect to the point mutations are shown along the abscissa. The order **of** nondeficiency mutations within the *E* region is: *(E3,EO)-E2-E1,24, (GI,GO),* where parentheses mean no recombination detected and commas mean that the relative order is unknown. *GZ34* is located between the mutations *El* and *81;* it is not known whether or not *G234* spans *24, GI* and *W.* The deficiency *G40* is not shown: it overlaps *G234* (see **re**sults); it is located between *E2* and *BlOl* ; it is not known whether or not it spans the mutations within the *E2-BIOI* interval. (\Box) NMS, $(*)$ aberrant 4:4.

colored spore phenotypes (see **MATERIAL AND METHom);** moreover, these mutations are rarely reasso**crated** through recombination, so most events detected probably involve co-conversions (LEBLON and **PAQUETTE** 1978). Crosses involving one, two or three **point** mutations are presented in Table **4.** The inter-

FIGURE 2.-Effect of the *G234* deficiency heterozygote on the **frequency of 15:3** I **asci for** 10 **class C mutations. The values plotted are experimental/controI (in percent)** for **each point mutation. The data are from Table** *3;* **for** *A4* **and 26 see legend Figure** 1.

action of double point mutations with class C mutations was studied in crosses of *E2 El* or *E3 E2* with 24, *G1* or 81. For 24 and *G1*, $|6:2|$ are enhanced at the expense of PMS, which in turn almost completely disappear. *E2 El* imposes its NMS pattern to *24* (no PMS, low disparity). The NMS pattern of *E3 E2* cannot be observed directly; however, it seems reasonable to assume that it is like that of other double mutant combinations composed of closely linked class A and class B mutations, *(i.e.,* no PMS and low disparity: see *EO El* and *E2 El,* Table *4,* and **LEBLON** and ROSSIGNOL (1979) for double mutants in region *A):* this suggests that in the cross $E3 E2 \times G1$, $E3 E2$ imposes its *NMS* pattern onto *GI.* With the more distant mutation, *81,* a similar though weaker effect is observed (PMS are lowered but do not disappear).

The other crosses in Table 4 show the interaction of class A and B mutations with each other. In crosses with wild type, the disparity of the double mutants *E2 El* and *EO El* is much lower than that for each single mutation *EO, El* and *E2.* This is also observed in the five three-point crosses involving the interaction of three class A and B mutations, *e.g.,* in the cross *E2 El* \times *EO*, the *DV* is lower than that for each single mutation and it is higher than that for *E2 El,* indicating that the three mutations mutually interact to give the observed NMS pattern.

In conclusion, this study of the interaction between point mutations in the middle region confirms previous studies involving point mutations in the *A* region: class **A** and **B** mutations mutually interact in their conversion patterns and tend to impose their own patterns on closely linked class C mutations.

DISCUSSION

The results presented in this paper bear on the mechanism of meiotic gene conversion in the filamen-

FIGURE 3.-Effect of **the** *G234* **deficiency heterozygote on the disparity value** (DV) for **17 class A,** B **and C mutations. The values plotted are experimental/control (in percent)** for **each point mutation. Only mutations with DV** > **4 in control crosses are plotted. DV are calculated as defined in the legend of Table** 1. **The experimental/control values were calculated by using the log** of **the DV. The data are from Tables 2 and** *3.*

tous fungus Ascobolus. This study of the NMS pattern **of** large nonpoint mutations reveals important differences from the NMS pattern of point mutations. Heterologies exhibit lower NMS frequencies than point mutations located in the same area. In contrast with class C mutations, they do not give PMS. In contrast with class **A** and B mutations, several of them show parity in the direction of conversion.

Nonpoint mutations also show a variety **of** NMS patterns. This variety may reflect the different location of these mutations with respect to the recombination signals operating in the *b2* region and/or differences in sizes of the respective mutations. The facts that *G234* (and *G4O)* are epistatic to GO and that *IO* is in turn epistatic to them suggest the existence of complex interactions and/or hierarchies.

All previous studies on the mechanism of gene conversion in *b2,* reviewed by ROSSIGNOL *et al.* **(1** 987), strongly supported the model of MESELSON and RAD-DING (1975), in which gene conversion occurs by two steps: hybrid DNA formation involving either one (asymmetric hDNA) or two (symmetric hDNA) inter- . acting duplexes and mismatch correction. Mismatches formed with class *C* mutations are assumed rarely to be corrected, leading to PMS. Mismatches formed with class **A** and B mutations are assumed always to be corrected. Class B mutations are probably one GC base pair additions. Indeed, they were induced by ICR-170 which induces the addition of one GC base pair in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (DONAHUE, FARA-BAUGH and FINK 1981) and *Neurospora crassa* (BURNS *et al.* 1986). In crosses with wild type, the preferential conversion to mutant thus indicates that it is the shorter strand which is excised and further corrected by copying the longer mutant strand. The excision of the shorter strand also accounts for the preferential

Crosses		Number per 1,000 asci of:								
	n	6C:2W	2C:6W	5C:3W	3C.5W	4:4ab	Others	NMS	DV	PMS
$E0 E1 \times t^4$	4	48	45		6	Ω	Ω	100	1.1	
$E2 E1 \times t^2$		76	28					104	2.7	
$+ \times 24^{b}$	3	91	17	26		9		144	4.9	24
$E2 E1 \times 24^b$	3	41	70	2				114	1.7	
$E3E2\times GI$	ĥ	43	32					77	1.3	
$+ \times 81^b$	٩	30		73	18	24	G)	154	4.1	75
$E3 E2 \times 81^b$		33	28	24	12	8		105	1.4	42
$E2E1\times E3$		32	65	$\bf{0}$	0			97	2	
$E0 E1 \times E3$		45	35					80	1.3	
$E2 E1 \times B20$		17	107					124	6.3	
$E0 E1 \times B20$		11	48					59	4.4	
$E2 E1 \times E0$		52	9			0	0	61	5.8	

Effect of double class A and B mutations upon the NMS pattern of closely linked class A, B or C point mutations

See Table 1 for abbreviations.

^{*a*} The spore color segregation is B:P, *i.e.*, brown spores (wild type) vs. *pink* (E0 E1 or E2 E1).

^b The crosses $+ \times 24$ and E2 E1 \times 24 on the one hand, $+ \times 81$ and E3 E2 $\times 81$ on the other hand, correspond to comparison crosses, $+$ and E2 E1 (or E3 E2) being sibs derived from the same cross (see MATERIAL AND METHODS).

The NMS patterns of single mutations E0, E1, E2, E3, B20 and G1 are given in Tables 2 and 3.

conversion to wild type of type A mutations that are complementary to class B and should thus correspond to one base pair deletions (LEBLON 1972b).

The present study relies on the interaction between mutations in order to address the mechanism of conversion of large heterologies. This revealed an important difference in the conversion behavior of point mutations and G mutations. Both $G/+$ heterologies and class A or B mutations impose their NMS pattern onto closely linked class C mutations. This epistasis of class A and B mutations to closely linked class C mutations was also shown in region A by LEBLON and ROSSIGNOL (1973) and ROSSIGNOL and HAEDENS (1978). However, $G/+$ heterologies are epistatic to closely linked class A and B mutations, whereas class A and B mutations mutually interact on their NMS pattern, in region E (this study) as they do in region A (LEBLON and ROSSIGNOL 1973, 1979). Taken collectively, the differences of point and nonpoint mutations on NMS patterns and their distinct conversion behavior in interaction studies suggest that the conversion process of heterologies such as G/τ might be distinct from that of class A and B mutations. This raises the interesting possibility that two distinct conversion processes occur in the same genetic region. We will examine this possibility in the light of the multiple interaction studies reported here and in previous studies involving the G234 deletion (HAMZA et al. 1981) as well as point mutations (NICOLAS and ROSSIGNOL 1983).

Two effects of $G/$ + heterozygosity were observed: (1) A long range effect that affects to a similar extent all mutations on the right and in the middle region. This effect involves total NMS frequencies and aberrant 4:4. (2) A local effect that affects mutations close

to the G region, and becomes less strong as the distance between the mutations and the G region increases. This effect involves the DV (disparity is lowered) and [5:3] frequencies in the right region.

The simplest hypothesis to account for the longrange effect is to assume that $G/$ + heterologies block branch migration of symmetric hDNA propagating from the left end of b2 to the right (HAMZA et al. 1981). This hypothesis explains why G40 gives about one-third less NMS than closely linked point mutations and why G234 and G40 also reduce by about one third the NMS frequencies of point mutations in the middle region and in the right $b2$ portion. The algebraic calculation by PAQUETTE and ROSSIGNOL (1978), that about one-third of hDNA formed in the middle region of $b2$ was in the symmetric phase, also nicely fits the NMS pattern frequency decrease and gives support to the proposed hypothesis. This effect on symmetric heteroduplex is not specific to large heterologies. Double point mutations in the same region (E0 E1, E2 E1 and E3 E2) also show a polar effect, but the effect is quantitatively less drastic, with aberrant 4:4 at A4 reduced by only approximately 50% (NICOLAS and ROSSIGNOL 1983).

The polar effect of $G/$ + heterologies upon heteroduplex formation does not seem to involve asymmetric hDNA, since [5:3] segregations for distant right end class C mutations are not affected by the presence of G/\neq heterologies. The same result was also found for double point mutations (NICOLAS and ROSSIGNOL 1983). This suggests that asymmetric hDNA is not blocked by these heterologies and leaves the possibility that the conversions of G , like that of point mutations, occur by mismatch correction. A mismatch correction process could account for the local effect of $G/+$

heterologies upon closely linked class *C* mutations: the PMS decrease may reflect mismatch correction triggered at G/+ and spanning the class **C** mutations. The weaker decrease of PMS with increasing distance would reflect the limited extension of the correction tracts. The same type of effect of class A and **B** mutations upon class **C** mutations was used to argue that **)6:21** segregations of class A and B mutations result from heteroduplex formation followed by single strand mismatch correction **(LEBLON** and **ROSSIGNOL 1973).** This mismatch correction process was named "ADEL" because it specifically acts upon mismatches with one-base-pair ADdition or one-base-pair DELetion mutations. The key result presented here, that *G/+* heterologies impose their own conversion behavior to all closely linked mutations, implies that, if a process analogous to "ADEL" is responsible for *G* conversions, it differs from it in two respects: it predominates over "ADEL" and it is able to promote parity. One simple hypothesis that explains the hierarchical conversion behavior between large and smaller heterologies is that larger loops are more likely to trigger mismatch correction. The parity effect is more troublesome. The requirement that mismatch correction, instead of exacerbating its directionality when the loop becomes larger, loses it, is not straightforward. This difficulty is overcome in the models of conversion proposed by **RADDING (1** 978) and by **HAS-TINGS** (1 **984),** which predict parity in the direction of conversion of large heterologies. Both models retain the assumption that large heterologies become part of a heteroduplex region but they differ by its processing: **RADDING** supposes that DNA synthesis makes the loop double stranded and that a resolution event similar to the resolution of **HOLLIDAY** structures eliminates or retains the double stranded loop with equal likelihood; **HASTINGS** proposes that mismatch repair begins by cutting both strands, generating a double strand gap which is repaired by double strand gap repair **(SZOSTAK** *et al.* **1983). HASTINGS'** model also can explain the influence of *G* conversion upon closely linked mutations by variable extension of the double stranded gap region.

The two hypotheses make different predictions about the fate of the *G* heterology when heteroduplex spans it. **RADDING'S** model predicts that conversion to the invader genotype will equal restoration to the resident one (if replication and isomerization are independent of which strand is the invader). **HASTINGS'** model predicts that all events will lead to conversion to the invader genotype if the double strand gap is always repaired from one of the two homologous chromatids, never from the sister. These two hypotheses were tested in experiments using *G234* **(Ros-SIGNOL** *et al.* **1984; HAMZA** *et al.* 1986). There are significantly more than 50% (between **72** and 89%) of the events leading to conversion. This is more in agreement with the prediction of **HASTINGS'** model than with that of **RADDING.**

Alternatively, the conversion of *G* heterologies may not involve a heteroduplex intermediate at all. It could occur *de novo* via a double strand gap repair event (SZOSTAK et al. 1983) initiated in its vicinity. Since closely linked class **C** mutations exhibit no PMS, this model requires that *G/+* heterologies prevent heteroduplex formation in their vicinity as well as at the site of heterology itself. Since we suppose that hybrid DNA begins at the left end of *b2* and propagates rightward **(PAQUETTE** and **ROSSIGNOL 1978;** HAMZA *et al.* 1981), we would expect that $G/$ + heterologies would abolish all hDNA (asymmetrical as well as symmetrical) to the right. They do not: asymmetric hDNA formation is not affected. For this reason, we prefer the former hypothesis of hDNA being a prerequisite for *G* conversion.

If, as seems the most likely, *G/+* heterologies prevent the rightward propagation of symmetric hDNA, the present results suggest that this effect begins at a distance, to the left of the deficiency heterozygosity, rather than at its very border. If the effect began at the border, then symmetrical hDNA should span *E* mutations, an hypothesis which leads to the following three predictions: (1) the drop in NMS frequencies should not be as strong for *E* mutations as for mutations farther on the right, **(2)** frequent arrest of hDNA between *E* and *G* should lead to frequent recombination via single site conversion involving the *E* mutation, and **(3)** mismatch correction of *E* mutations within the symmetrical hDNA fraction should not be under the influence of the heterology and thus retain partial disparity. None of these predictions are met: with G/τ , E mutations have their NMS frequencies as strongly reduced as mutations on their right, reassociate extremely rarely with $G \left(\sim 10^{-4} \right)$ and have a DV that drops to **1.** We therefore conclude that the effect on symmetric hDNA formation begins left of the **G/** *f* heterology and at a distance (although probably rather close). If true, this might suggest that either the blockage of the **HOLLIDAY** junction, or the strand cutting mediating its resolution, is not adjacent to the start of the heterologous region.

We wish to thank J. **DELARUELLE, S. LE BILCOT and V. HAEDENS for technical assistance, A. T. C. CARPENTER for critical comments and useful suggestions, T. ORR-WEAVER for critical reading of the manuscript and M. DAHURON for typing it.**

This investigation was made possible by support of Université **Paris-Sud and Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (L.A. 040086).**

LITERATURE CITED

- BALTIMORE, D., 1981 Gene conversion: some implications for immunoglobulin genes. Cell 24: 592-594.
- **BURNS, P. A.,** J. **H. KINNAIRD, B.** J. **KILBEY and** J. **R. S. FINCHAM, 1986 Sequencing studies of ICR-170 mutagenic specificity in the** *am* **(NADP-specific glutamate dehydrogenase) gene of** *New rospora crassa.* **Genetics 1 IS: 45-5 1.**
- DONAHUE, T. F., P. FARABAUGH and G. R. FINK, **1981** Suppressible four-base glycine and proline codons in yeast. Science **212: 455-457.**
- DOVER, G., **1982** Molecular drive: a cohesive mode of species evolution. Nature **299 11 1-1 17.**
- FINK, G. R., **1974** Properties of gene conversion of deletions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae.* pp. **287-293.** In: *Mechanisms in Recombination,* Edited by R. F. GRELL. Plenum Press, New York.
- FINK, G. R. and C. A. STYLES, **1974** Gene conversion of deletions in the HIS4 region of yeast. Genetics **77: 23 1-244.**
- FOGEL, S., R. MORTIMER and K. LUSNAK, 1981 Mechanisms of meiotic gene conversion or "Wanderings on a foreign strand." pp. **289-339.** In: *Molecular Biology* of *the Yeast Saccharomyces. Lfe Cycle and Inheritance.* Edited by J. **N.** STRATHERN, E.**W.** JONES and J. R. BROACH. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.
- FOGEL, S., R. MORTIMER, K. LUSNAK and F. TAVARES, **1978** Meiotic gene conversion-a signal of the basic recombination event in yeast. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. **43: 1325-1341.**
- GIRARD, J. and J.-L. ROSSIGNOL, **1974** The suppression of gene conversion and intragenic crossing-over in *Ascobolus immersus:* evidence for modifiers acting in the heterozygous state. Genetics **76: 221-243.**
- HAMZA, H., V. HAEDENS, A. MEKKI-BERRADA and J.-L. ROSSIGNOL, **198** 1 Hybrid DNA formation during meiotic recombination. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **78: 7648-7651.**
- HAMZA, H., A. KALOGEROPOULOS, A. NICOLAS and J.-L. ROSSIGNOL, **1986** Two mechanisms for directional gene conversion. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **83: 7386-7390.**
- HASTINGS, P. J., 1984 Measurement of restoration and conversion: its meaning for the mismatch repair hypothesis of conversion. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. **49 49-53.**
- KOURILSKY, P., 1983 Genetic exchanges between partially homologous nucleotide sequences: possible implications for multigene families. Biochimie **65: 25-93.**
- LAWRENCE, C.W., F. SHERMAN, M. JACKSON and R. A. GILMORE, **1975** Mapping and gene conversion studies with the structural gene for iso-1-cytochrome *c* in yeast. Genetics **81: 615- 629.**
- LEBLON, G., **1972a** Mechanism of gene conversion in *Ascobolus immersus.* I. Existence of a correlation between the origin of the mutants induced by different mutagens and their conversion spectrum. Mol. Gen. Genet. **115: 36-48.**
- LEBLON, G., 1972b Mechanism of gene conversion in *Ascobolus immersus.* **11.** The relationship between the genetic alterations in *b* I or *b2* mutants and their conversion spectrum. Mol. Gen. Genet. **116: 322-335.**
- LEBLON, G. and N. PAQUETTE, 1978 Intragenic suppression at the *62* locus in *Ascobolus immersus.* I. Identification of three distinct groups of suppression. Genetics **90: 475-488.**
- LEBLON, G. and J.-L. ROSSIGNOL, 1973 Mechanism of gene conversion in *Ascobolus immersus.* **111.** The interaction of heteroalleles in the conversion process. Mol. Gen. Genet. **122: 165- 182.**
- LEBLON, *G.* and J.-L. ROSSIGNOL, **1979** The interaction during recombination between closely allelic frameshift mutant sites in *Ascobolus immersus.* 11. A and B type mutant sites. Heredity **42: 337-352.**
- LEBLON, G., V. HAEDENS, A. KALOGEROPOULOS, N. PAQUETTE and Aberrant segregation patterns and J.-L. ROSSIGNOL, **1982**

gene mappability in *Ascobolus immersus.* Genet. Res. **39 121- 138.**

- LISSOUBA, P., J. MOUSSEAU, G. RIZET and J.-L. ROSSIGNOL, **1962** Fine structure of genes in the Ascomycete *Ascobolus immersus.* Adv. Genet. **11: 343-380.**
- MCKNIGHT, G. L., T. S. CARDILLO and F. SHERMAN, 1981 An extensive deletion causing overproduction of yeast iso-2-cytochrome *c.* Cell **25 409-419.**
- MESELSON, M. S. and C. M. RADDING, 1975 A general model for genetic recombination. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **72: 358- 361.**
- NICOLAS, A., 1979 Variation of gene conversion and intragenic recombination frequencies in the genome of *Ascobolus immersus.* Mol. Gen. Genet. **170 129-138.**
- NICOLAS, A., 1983 La recombinasion méiotique chez Ascobolus: conversion génique et crossing-over, leur relation. Thèse Doctorat d'Etat, Université Paris-Sud, Orsay, France.
- NICOLAS, A. and J.-L. ROSSIGNOL, 1983 Gene conversion: point mutation heterozygosities lower heteroduplex formation. EMBO J. **2: 2265-2270.**
- NICOLAS, A., S. ARNAISE, V. HAEDENS and J.-L. ROSSIGNOL, **1981** Ascospore mutants and genetic map of *Ascobolus immersus* stock **28.** J. Gen. Microbiol. **125: 257-272.**
- NICOLAS, A., H. HAMZA, A. MEKKI-BERRADA, A. KALOGEROPOULOS and J.-L. ROSSIGNOL, **1987** Premeiotic and meiotic instability generates numerous *b2* mutation derivatives in *Ascobolus.* Genetics **116 33-43.**
- PAQUETTE, N., 1978 Detection of aberrant 4:4 asci in *Ascobolus immersus.* Can. J. Genet. Cytol. **20: 9-17.**
- PAQUETTE, N. and J.-L. ROSSIGNOL, 1978 Gene conversion spectrum of **15** mutants giving post-meiotic segregation in the *b2* locus of *Ascobolus immersus.* Mol. Gen. Genet. **163: 313-326.**
- RADDING, C. M., **1978** The mechanism of conversion of deletions and insertions. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. **43: 13 15-1 3 16.**
- RIZET, G., N. ENGELMAN, C. LEFORT, P. LISSOUBA and J. MOUSSEAU, 1960 Sur un Ascomycète intéressant pour l'étude de certains aspects du problème de la structure du gène. C. R. Acad. Sci. (Paris) **270 2050-2052.**
- ROSSIGNOL, J.-L. and V. HAEDENS, 1978 The interaction during recombination between closely linked allelic frameshift mutant sites in *Ascobolus immersus.* I. A (or B) and C type mutant sites. Heredity **40: 405-425.**
- ROSSIGNOL, J.-L. and N. PAQUETTE, 1979 Disparity of gene conversion in frameshift mutants located in locus *b2* of *Ascobolus immersus.* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **76 2871-2875.**
- ROSSIGNOL, J.-L., N. PAQUETTE and A. NICOLAS, 1978 Aberrant **4:4** asci, disparity in the direction of conversion, and frequencies of conversion in *Ascobolus immersus.* Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. **43: 1343-1351.**
- ROSSIGNOL, J.-L., A. NICOLAS, H. HAMZA and T. LANGIN, **1984** The origin of gene conversion and reciprocal exchange in *Ascobolus.* Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. **49: 13- 21.**
- ROSSIGNOL, J.-L., A. NICOLAS, H. HAMZA and A. KALOGEROPOULOS, **1987** Recombination and gene conversion in *Ascobolus.* **In:** *The Recombination* of *Genetic Material,* Edited by B. LOW. Academic Press, New York. In press.
- SZOSTAK, J. W., T. L. ORR-WEAVER, R.J. ROTHSTEIN and F. W. STAHL, **1983** The double strand-break repair model for recombination. Cell **33: 25-35.**
- Yu Sun, C. C. C., 1964 Biochemical and morphological mutants of *Ascobolus immersus.* Genetics **50: 987-998.**

Communicating editor: A. T. C. CARPENTER