# Physical Analysis of Tn10- and IS10-Promoted Transpositions and **Rearrangements**

## **Michael M. Shen,' Elisabeth A. Raleigh' and Nancy Kleckner**

*Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology; Haroard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts* 021 *38*  Manuscript received March 18, 1986 Revised copy accepted March 19, 1987

#### ABSTRACT

We have investigated by Southern blot hybridization the rate of IS10 transposition and other TnlO/ISlO-promoted rearrangements in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* strains bearing single chromosomal insertions of Tn10 or a related Tn10 derivative. We present evidence for three primary conclusions. First, the rate of IS10 transposition is approximately  $10^{-4}$  per cell per bacterial generation when overnight cultures are grown and plated on minimal media and is at least ten times more frequent than any other Tn10/IS10-promoted DNA alteration. Second, all of the chromosomal rearrangements observed can be accounted for by two previously characterized Tn10-promoted rearrangements: deletion/inversions and deletions. Together these rearrangements occur at about 10% the rate of IS10 transposition. Third, the data suggest that intramolecular  $Tn10$ -promoted rearrangements preferentially use nearby target sites, while the target sites for IS10 transposition events are scattered randomly around the chromosome.

 $\prod_{n=0}^{n}$  is a "composite" transposable element; its ends are inverted repeats of insertion sequence ISlO (Fig. 1). ISIO-Right encodes as essential transposase protein that acts at the ends of  $Tn10$  to promote transposition of the whole element and at the two ends of a single **IS10** to promote transposition of an individual insertion sequence. The termini of ISIO-Left are intact but ISIO-Left does not encode a functional transposase protein (FOSTER et al., 1981a; WAY and KLECKNER 1984; ROBERTS et al. 1985). Existing evidence strongly suggests that  $Tn10$  transposes by a nonreplicative transposition mechanism in which transposon sequences are excised from the donor site and inserted into a target site without extensive replication (BENDER and KLECKNER 1986; MORISATO and KLECKNER 1984; WEINERT et al. 1984).

Throughout the work to be described below, the following conventions will be observed with regard to nomenclature.

1. The two ends of an individual IS10 element are not genetically identical, and are referred to as "inside'' and "outside" ends respectively according to their locations in wild type  $Tn10$ . Tn10 transposition involves the action of transposase at two "outside" ends; **IS10** transposition involves one "outside" and one "inside" end; certain other transposon-promoted events (below) involve the two "inside" ends.

**2.** Some of the experiments described below involve strains containing wild type  $Tn10$ ; others involve an element that we call TnGal which consists of direct repeats of IS10 (one IS10-Left and one IS10-Right) flanking the E. coli gal operon (Figure 1;  $nadA::TnGal$  was isolated as a  $Tn10$ -promoted rearrangement of a  $nadA::Tn10$  rearrangement). Because of the different structures of these two elements, it is necessary to make a distinction between "inside" IS20 ends and "internal"  $IS10$  ends. In the case of  $Tn10$ , the  $IS10$  ends which are "internal" to the composite element, adjacent to the central nonrepeated material, are also by definition genetically two "inside" ends. However, in the case of TnGal, one "internal" IS10 end is genetically an "inside" end but the other one is genetically an "outside" end.

3. One issue of interest is whether a single IS10 element can promote recombination events other than transposition. In a strain that originally contains either  $Tn10$  or  $TnGal$ , we will use the term "Tn10-promoted events" to refer to events in which both ISIO-Left and ISIO-Right are thought to participate, and the term "ISl0-promoted events" to refer to events in which only one of the two IS10 sequences is thought to participate.

Genetic and physical analysis has revealed several types of Tn10-promoted recombination events other than transposition. The most prominent of these events involve the interaction of internal ends and a target site. The first such events to be described were two alterations of chromosomal material adjacent to an inserted  $Tn10$  element:  $Tn10$ -promoted deletions and Tn10-promoted deletion/inversions. Both events are most easily explained as the intramolecular attack of the two inside  $IS10$  ends on a nearby target site.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; **Present address: MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 ZQH, England.** 

<sup>&#</sup>x27; **Present address: New England Biolabs, 32 Tozer Road, Beverly, Massachusetts 01915.** 



 $nadA::TnGal$  was obtained as a Tet<sup>s</sup> deletion/inversion derivative (Figure 2) of  $nadA::Tn10$  to the target site indicated by a filled circle **(RALEIGH** and **KLECKNER** 1984). **HzncIl** and **EcoRV** junction fragments of the IS10 elements in nadA::TnGal are shown; **EcoRV**  fragments B and D are the same as the original outer junction fragments of nadA::TnlO. The sizes of **EcoRV** fragments A-E are 3600, 2900, 2650, 2250 and 657 bp, respectively; the sizes of **HincII** fragments a-d are 780, 1000, 1 100 and 1 175, respectively. The distance between the IS10 elements in TnGal is not known exactly, but is greater than 6 kb. (Bottom) Structures of three common transposon promoted rearrangements of nadA::TnGal. In each case, target site **"X"** is used, and the locations of adjacent segments "m" and "n" in each rearrangement are indicated. The IS10 insertions, deletion/inversion and deletion correspond to Classes **1,** 5 and 4 respectively in Table 3.

**As** shown in Figure **2,** both events result in deletion of the nonrepeated  $Tn10$  material located between the two **IS20** elements and, depending upon the orientation of the target with respect to the element, either deletion **or** inversion of a contiguous **DNA**  segment extending from the adjacent target site to the nearest inside *IS10* end. The *intermolecular equiv*alent of these rearrangements, in which the two inside ends interact with a target site on another replicon, has also been observed; this event is variously referred to as "inside-out" **or** "inverse" transposition **(FOSTER**  et *al.* 1981a; **CHANDLER** *et al.* 1979; **HARAYAMA, OGU-CHI** and **IINO** 1984b).

Some transposable element make structures called cointegrates. These structures arise by a replicative process that results in duplication of the transposable element itself. The available evidence suggests that neither the full  $Tn10$  element nor an individual IS10 element can generate cointegrates **(BENDER** and **KLECKNER** 1986; **WEINERT** *et al.* 1984; **HARAYAMA, OGUCHI** and **IINO** 1984a).

The above picture of  $Tn10$ -promoted events is the aggregate of a number of different experimental approaches. In general, one or more events has first been selected genetically and the nature of the events answering the selection then determined by genetic



DELETION / INVERSION DELETION

FIGURE 2.-Structures and proposed model for formation of  $Tn10$ -promoted deletion/inversions and  $Tn10$ -promoted deletions. Note that in each case two internal IS10 ends interact with the target and the internal nonrepeated portion of the original transposon is lost.

or physical analysis. For example,  $Tn10$ -promoted deletions and deletion/inversions were identified as tetracycline-sensitive derivatives of a Tn10 insertion in the Salmonella histidine operon **(KLECKNER, REI-CHARDT** and **BOTSTEIN** 1979). The structures of large numbers of derivatives were deduced genetically; physical confirmation of the structure was obtained by analysis of a small number of similar derivatives isolated from a Tn10 insertion in bacteriophage  $\lambda$ **(Ross, SWAN** and **KLECKNER** 1979).

In the experiments described below, Southern blot hybridization analysis was used to confirm and extend the above picture in the following four ways.

First, we wished to determine the frequency of transposition of an individual *ISlU* element. To do **so,**  we screened by Southern blot hybridization a large number of unselected clones of  $IS10$ -containing strains to identify those in which a transposition (or other rearrangement of  $IS10$  sequences) had occurred. Previously IS10 transposition frequencies could only be estimated using an artificial 'marked *ISIU'* element containing an inserted selectable marker. This approach also addresses directly the issue of whether any other *ISlU-* **or** TnlU-promoted recombination event(s) might occur at a frequency comparable to IS10 transposition.

Second, we wished to confirm by physical analysis of a large number of derivatives a previous conclusion from genetic analysis, that the vast majority of rearrangements resulting in loss of a marker located between two IS10 sequences events result from the two  $Tn10$ -promoted rearrangements, deletions and deletion/inversions, and as above to determine whether some other event might be present as a minority class. Southern blot analysis was applied to derivatives **of**  Tn10 and TnGal selected for loss of tetracycline resistance or galactose genes respectively.

Third, we wished to examine physically a set of  $Tn10$  derivatives that had undergone transposon-promoted rearrangements to target sites within the element itself to see whether the spectrum of observed rearrangements was different for this special, limited target region than for rearrangements in general. We have therefore examined a particular subset of Tet<sup>s</sup> derivatives of a Salmonella hisG::Tn10 insertion, those which retained the ability to revert to His<sup>+</sup> and thus had undergone rearrangements of sequences wholly internal to the element.

Fourth, we wished to examine further, by physical analysis of a larger number of derivatives, our previous conclusion that a cell containing one genetically selected IS10- or Tn10-promoted event has an increased probability of containing a second (unselected) transposon-promoted event, as if the first and second events are not independent (RALEIGH and KLECKNER 1984).

The primary conclusions of this work are: (1) The rate of IS10 transposition is approximately  $10^{-4}$  per element per cell generation in minimal medium. **(2)**  The second most frequent type detected was Tn10promoted alteration of adjacent sequences (deletions and deletion/inversions). The rates of these two events together are approximately  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  per element per generation, about  $10\%$  the rate of IS10 transposition. At least 90% of all events resulting in loss of a marker between two IS10 sequences have the physical structures expected for events of this type. The physical structures of the remaining 10% of events were complex or/and uninterpretable. (3) All 33 of the internal (His-revertible)  $\text{Tet}^S$  transposon-promoted rearrangements analyzed could be explained as  $Tn10$ -promoted deletions or deletion/inversions to target sites within the element itself. Twenty-one of the derivatives probably used as a target the 9 bp repeat sequence at the ends of TnlO, which is known to be a favored target site for all types of  $Tn10$ -promoted events (references below). ISIO-promoted adjacent deletions, which have been proposed to occur in other situations (WEINERT et al. 1984), were not detected; if they occur, their frequency must be less than 10% that of TnlO-promoted deletion/inversions, and their rate less than about 10<sup>-6</sup> per element per generation. **(4)** Some aspects of the data raise the possibility that intramolecular events preferentially use nearby target sites, while the target sites for transposition events are scattered randomly around the chromosome. (5) The data obtained were consistent with previous observations suggesting the occurrence of "multiple" transposonpromoted events.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Media and enzymes:** LB and M9 minimal media and agar have been described (MILLER 1972). Minimal media included 0.2% (w/v) glycerol as a carbon source, 1 ng/ml nicotinamide, and, where appropriate, 1 .O% (w/v) galactose. Macconkey indicator agar (Difco) was supplemented with 1 .O% (w/v) sorbitol. Antibiotics (Sigma) were routinely used at 100  $\mu$ g/ml (ampicillin) or 20  $\mu$ g/ml (chloramphenicol and tetracycline). Plates for the selection of tetracycline-sensitive clones ("Tet<sup>s</sup> plates") are described by BOCHNER et al. (1980) as modified by MALOY and NUNN (1981). Phenol red plates are described by GEORGE and LEVY (1983)

Restriction enzymes, T4 DNA ligase, DNA polymerase I, and OX174 DNA were purchased from New England Biolabs. Procedures for restriction enzyme digestions and ligations were as described (MANIATIS, FRITSCH, and SAM-BROOK 1982).

Bacterial strains: NK7176 is W3110 nadA::Tn10; NK7381 is a spontaneous Tet<sup>s</sup> derivative of NK7176; NK7383 is a rec $\tilde{A}$ <sup>-</sup> *srl*<sup>+</sup> derivative of NK7381 made by first transducing NK7381 to recA srl::Tn10 using NK5841 as a donor strain, and subsequently reverting the transductant to srl<sup>+</sup>. NK5841 is Hfr KL16 *ilv thr spc recA56 srl*::Tn10. The transposons in NK7176 and NK7381 are described in RALEIGH and KLECKNER (1984) and Figure 1.

**Plasmids:** pNK997 is derived from the ISIO-containing plasmid pNK82 (FOSTER et al. 1981a) in two steps: insertion of a placUV5 promoter at the BclI site (bp 70) of ISD10-Right to create pNK353 (MORISATO et al. 1983) and elimination of the "outside" end of ISIO-Right bpl-70 by a deletion between an  $EcoRI$  site in the  $placUV5$  fragment upstream of the promoter and an EcoRI site in upstream vector sequences. pNK997 overproduces transposase at approximately 100 times the level of pNK82 but cannot itself give rise to transpositions of  $IS10$ . When rearrangements were obtained in strains carrying pNK997, this plasmid was eliminated from the rearranged derivative prior to Southern blot analysis by transformation with and selection for an incompatible Cam<sup>R</sup> Amp<sup>s</sup> plasmid, pNK259. Cam<sup>R</sup> transformants were screened for sensitivity to ampicillin on phenol red plates. pNK259 is pBR323 containing an insertion at its *PstI* site of the *PstI camR* fragment of Tn9 (J. WAY and N. KLECKNER, unpublished data).

**Southern hybridization: procedure and analysis:** Extraction of chromosomal DNA and Southern blot hybridization were performed exactly as described by RALEIGH and KLECKNER (1984). Probes used were either the Sau3A fragment of ISIO-L (base pairs 67-1320) from pNK83, or the complete plasmid pNK290 (FOSTER et al. 1981a). The Sau3A fragment was purified by electroelution from a 4% polyacrylamide gel.

**Rates of transposition and rearrangement:** The rate of events per element per generation  $(R)$  is calculated from the frequency of events observed in an experimental population *(f)* by the equation  $R = 0.4343f/(\log N - \log N_0)$  from DRAKE (1970).  $N$  is the total number of cells in the population at the time of plating.  $N_0$  is the number of cells in the population at the beginning of the experiment. For these experiments,  $N_0 = 1$  because all cultures were grown from single colonies which in turn arose from single cells, and  $N$  $5 \times 10^9$ .

**Experimental protocols:** The data in Tables 2 and 4 were obtained by protocols summarized in Table 1 and below. Five separate experiments (1-5) were performed, each of which began with one single colony of the relevant bacterial strain lacking any plasmid (Table 1, step A). In each experiment this single colony was restreaked onto one or more different non-selective plates (step B); each such restreak is denoted by a different lower case letter (a, b, etc.). For experiment 1, the "restreaking" in step B was replaced by transformation of a small culture grown from the starter colony; the culture was either transformed with pNK997 and plated on ampicillin-containing plates (c, d) or mock-transformed with no plasmid and plated on non-ampicillin-containing plates (a, b). From the "restreaking"

Summary **of experimental protocols** 

								Experiment							
Step	Details				$\overline{2}$ 3			$\overline{4}$			5				
A	Strain (one primary col- ony per experiment):			NK7383		NK7383		<b>NK7381</b>	NK7176			NK7176			
В	Sub-experiment: Primary colony res- treaked on:	la MG	1 <sub>b</sub> МG	1 <sub>c</sub> <b>MGA</b>	1d <b>MGA</b>	2a <b>MG</b>	2 <sub>b</sub> <b>MG</b>	3 LB	4a LB	4b LB	4c LB	5a MG.	5b LB	5с LB	5d LB
C.	No. of colonies chosen from $(B)$ :	72	72	72	72	32	33	33	35	72	72	72	72	72	72
D	Colonies from (C) plated either selectively on: or nonselectively on:	MG	<b>MGG</b>	<b>MGA</b>	<b>MGGA</b>	<b>MGG</b>	<b>MGG</b>	<b>MG</b>	TS		TS-CT TS-FA	MG	$_{LB}$	LB	LB
Е.	Purification of colonies from $(D)$ No. purifications: Medium	$\boldsymbol{2}$	$\overline{2}$	$\boldsymbol{2}$	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\bf{0}$	1	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	$\bf{0}$	$\bf{0}$	$\mathbf{2}$	$\overline{2}$
	1st: 2nd:	<b>MG</b> МG	МG MG	<b>MG</b> <b>MG</b>	<b>MG</b> <b>MC</b>			MG	TS LB	$T\text{S-CT}$ LВ	TS-FA LB			LB LB	<b>TS-CT-FA</b> LB

MG, MGA, MGG and MGGA are M9 media containing glycerol, glycerol and ampicillin, glycerol and glucose, or glycerol, glucose and ampicillin, respectively. LB is tryptone plus yeast extract, a rich medium. TS are plates selective for tetracycline-sensitive cells (also called Tet<sup>s</sup> plates). TS-CT, TS-FA and TS-CT-FA are TS plates lacking one or two of the ingredients necessary for the selection, chlortetracycline (CT) or/and fusaric acid (FA).

plates, a large number of different colonies (each being an independent clone of cells derived from a single cell), were chosen (step C), grown slightly, and replated on medium selective either for  $\text{Te}^{S}$  or  $\text{Ga}^{R}$  derivatives or on medium that did not select for any transposon-promoted rearrangement (step E). One Gal<sup>R</sup> or Tet<sup>S</sup> colony or, alternatively two or three colonies not subjected to selection, were chosen from each independent clone. Each such chosen colony was either analyzed directly without purification or purified according to one of several regimes (step E). For experiment 1, "purification" actually involved transformation by pNK259 (to eliminate pNK997) followed by a single restreaking.

We are confident that all of the rearrangements identified occurred during growth of the many independent clones. Only occasionally did derivatives from two different clones exhibit the same pattern of ISIO-containing restriction fragments, and there are several possible explanations for such coincidences. Furthermore, in no case did the particular purification regime have any discernible effect on the frequency or types of rearrangements observed, even in experiments where different regimes were compared directly.

#### **RESULTS**

*IS10* **transposition in unselected clones:** Many independent clones of strains containing either  $nadA::Tn10$  or  $nadA:TrGal$  (Figure 1) were plated for single colonies. DNA was extracted from a large number of such colonies after amplification by a few additional generations of growth in liquid culture. In most cases, several independent clones were pooled prior to the amplification and extraction steps. The extracted DNA was digested with restriction enzyme EcoRV, fragments were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis, and IS10-containing fragments were identified by Southern blot hybridization with a radioactive probe containing  $IS10$  sequences. For any pool that yielded an  $IS10$ -containing fragment other than one of those present in the parental strain, each clone within that pool was individually analyzed further to determine the nature of the identified rearrangement. Additional details are provided in **MATERIALS AND METHODS.** 

A clone was said to have undergone a simple transposition of IS10 if it retained all four parental IS10 "junction fragments" (those fragments containing the junctions between  $IS10$  sequences and adjacent chromosomal or unique transposon sequences) and also gave rise to two new  $IS10$ -containing fragments (see Figure 1, bottom). Transpositions of IS10-Right and of IS10-Left are distinguished by EcoRV digestion. IS10-Right contains a single asymmetrically-located EcoRV site, and ISIO-Right insertions therefore yield one "dark" and one "light" junction fragment due to the differential hybridization of the  $IS10$  probe to the different amounts of  $IS10$  sequence present in each fragment; IS10-Left contains two  $EcoRV$  sites located roughly symmetrically near the ends of the element, and  $IS10$ -Left insertions correspondingly yield two "light" junction fragments.

These criteria for  $IS10$  transposition are subject to two assumptions. First, transposition of a full  $Tn10$  or TnGal element would have given the same fragment pattern as transposition of IS10-Left or of IS10-Right respectively; we assume that none of the observed events are transpositions of the complete composite

|--|--|

**IS10 transposition in unselected clones** 



pNK997 is ptac-transposase overproducer plasmid that increases 20-fold the frequencies of Tn10 and TnGal transposition, TnGalpromoted rearrangements (Gal<sup>R</sup>) and IS10 transpositions present as secondary events in such Gal<sup>R</sup> rearrangements (data not shown). The **frequency of IS10 transposition in the presence of pNK997 is therefore assumed to be 20 times the frequency of transposition in the absence of the plasmid. "Ambig" means that the IS10 insertion could not be classified as either ISIO-R** or **ISIO-L. Transposition rate is per element**  per cell generation (see MATERIALS AND METHODS).

element, because the frequencies of  $nadA::Tn10$  and nadA::TnGal transposition are less than 1% the frequencies of events observed here (M. M. **SHEN,** E. A. **RALEIGH,** D. **ROBERTS** and N. **KLECKNER,** unpublished). Second, in most cases this analysis cannot distinguish ISIO transpositions from one particular type of replicative IS-promoted DNA rearrangement, "replicative inversion" or "insertion-inversion'' [see for example SHAPIRO (1979) and KLECKNER (1981)]. The structure produced by this event is identical to that of an ISIO insertion except that the chromosomal material located between the element present at the original location and the element at the new location has been inverted. We assume that none of the events we observe are replicative inversions because there is no evidence for high-frequency replicative ISIO-promoted recombination: ISIO does not make cointegrates at any detectable frequency (above); our analysis below and the analysis of **WEINERT** et al. (1984) have failed to reveal a high frequency of adjacent deletion events; also **WEINERT** et al. report that ISIOpromoted replicative inversions did not occur at a detectable frequency in their plasmid transposition system.

nadA::TnlO and nadA::TnGal strains were examined for **IS10** transposition under a number of slightly different experimental regimes (Table 1 and **MATE-RIALS AND METHODS).** As summarized in Table **2,** the aggregate of all experiments suggests that the rate of IS10 transposition is  $1-4 \times 10^{-4}$  per element per cell generation in minimal medium, that the rate of transposition is ten times higher in rich medium (but see **DISCUSSION),** and that most of the observed transposition events are of ISIO-Right.

Only one clone exhibited a pattern other than that of an ISIO insertion: one deletion/inversion event was detected (in experiment IC) as compared with a total of 38 ISIO transposition events observed in all experiments. Conservatively we can conclude that rearrangements other than **IS10** transposition occur at less than 10% the rate of IS10 transposition; the observed ratio, 1/38, corresponds to a relative rate of 3%. This analysis would not have detected any ISIOor TnIO-promoted event that resulted in complete excision of the element, because independent clones were first examined in pools rather than individually. Previous experiments suggest that complete excisions of Tn10 occur at a rate of less than  $10^{-6}$  per element per generation **(KLECKNER, REICHARDT** and **BOTSTEIN**  1979); the rate of loss of an individual IS10 element is presently under investigation.

**Physical analysis of derivatives selected for loss of a central transposon marker:** Positive genetic selections exist both for loss of tetracycline-resistance from a strain carrying  $Tn10$  and for loss of galactose genes from a GalE- strain carrying TnGal **(MATERIALS AND METHODS);** the resulting derivatives are either tetracycline-sensitive  $(Tet^S)$  or galactose-resistant  $(Gal<sup>R</sup>)$ , respectively. 168 independent Gal<sup>R</sup> derivatives of strains carrying nadA::TnGal and 35 independent Tet<sup>S</sup> derivatives of a strain carrying  $nadA::Tn10$  were analyzed by Southern blot hybridization using radioactively labeled ISIO sequences as a probe. Examples of the restriction fragment patterns generated by some Gal<sup>R</sup> rearrangements are shown in Figure 1. The criteria used for interpretation of hybridization patterns for the Gal<sup>R</sup> derivatives are summarized in Table 3, and representative Southern blot data are shown in Figure 3. Analogous criteria were used for analysis of Tet<sup>s</sup> derivatives (not shown). The results of these experiments, described in Table 4, can be summarized as follows:

### **364** M. **M.** Shen, **E. A.** Raleigh and N. Kleckner

#### **TABLE 3**

Interpretation of Southern blot patterns of Gal<sup>R</sup> derivatives

				Description	Interpretation				
Type	Enzyme Class		Total no. of bands	Parental bands remaining	Properties of new bands	Type of event	Location of target site		
IS10 insertion	1	RV	$\overline{7}$	A, B, C, D, E	$1$ dk, $1$ lt	Ins. of $IS10-R$	Between or outside of IS10s		
	$\overline{2}$	RV	7	A. B. C. D. E	$2$ dk	Ins. of IS10-L	Between or outside of IS10s		
Deletions and	3	<b>RV</b>	$\overline{\mathbf{2}}$	A	$1$ dk	Del	Leftward, beyond fragment E		
deletion/in-	4	RV	3	D, E	1 <sup>h</sup>	Del	Rightward, beyond fragment B		
versions	5	RV	5	A, D, E	$1$ dk, $1$ lt	Del/Inv	Leftward or rightward, beyond Fragments D or A		
	6	RV	$\overline{4}$	D, E	$l$ very dk, $l$ lt sum is 4900 bp	Del/Inv	Fragment A		
	7	<b>RV</b>	$\overline{4}$	A, E	$1$ dk, $1$ lt sum is $3550$ bp	Del/Inv	Fragment D		
	8	<b>RV</b>	4	A, D	2 dk, sum is 1990	Del/Inv	Fragment E		
	9	RV	4	A, D, E	1 dk /same size; $\setminus$ $=$ length	Del/Inv	IS10-R or L, but not in frag- ments A, D, E, c or d		
		HII	3	c, d	$1$ dk of IS10				
	10	<b>RV</b>	$\overline{4}$	A, D, E	$1$ dk same size; less than length of <b>IS10</b>	Del	IS10-R or L, but not in frag- ments A, D, E, C or d		
	11	<b>RV</b>	4	A, D, E	$1$ dk		IS10-R, between HincII site at		
		HII	3	c	$2$ dk	Del/Inv	bp 393 and EcoRV site at bp 1062		
Non-Tn10-	12 None RV 0 HII None		None	Spontaneous deletion of entire TnGal element.					
promoted			0		None				
events	13	RV	5	A, B, C, D, E	None	Spontaneous mutation in $galT$ or $E$			
		HII	4	a, b, c, d	None				
	<b>RV</b> 14		3	A, D, E	None	Deletion by reciprocal recombination between			
		HII	$\overline{2}$	c, d	None	IS10's.			

 $dk = dark$ ;  $lt = light$ ;  $RV = EcoRV$ ;  $HII = HincII$ ; restriction fragments identified in Figure 1.

1. Of the 168 Gal<sup>R</sup> derivatives analyzed, 162 (96%) appear to be the result of  $TnGal$ - or  $IS10$ -promoted recombination events in that they contain one or more new IS10-containing *EcoRV* restriction fragments. Similarly, 34 of 35  $(97%)$  of Tet<sup>s</sup> derivatives were transposon-promoted.

2. The average frequency of  $Gal<sup>R</sup>$  derivatives in all experiments was about  $3 \times 10^{-4}$ , which corresponds to a rate of occurrence per cell per generation of about  $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ . This is essentially the same as the rate of  $2.5 \times 10^{-5}$  for Gal<sup>R</sup> derivatives of TnGal previously determined by fluctuation analysis (RA-LEIGH and KLECKNER, 1984). The overall frequency of Tet<sup>S</sup> derivatives of Tn10 was the same as for Gal<sup>R</sup> derivatives of TnGal and the same as previously observed for other Tn10 insertions (KLECKNER, REI-CHARDT and BOSTEIN 1979).

3. Of the  $162$  transposon-promoted Gal<sup>R</sup> derivatives, 149 (92%) can be accounted for as the products of TnGal-promoted deletions or deletion/inversions analogous to those previously described. Of the remainder, six derivatives (4%) contain complex events in which more than two new  $IS10$ -containing junction fragments appeared and which must therefore have involved more than a single transposon-promoted recombination event of any traditional type. One such derivative was an IS10 insertion into the *gal* genes, and five such derivatives gave complex patterns that could not be definitively interpreted without more extensive analysis. An additional seven derivatives (4%) contained uninterpretable non-"multiple" (containing one or two new  $IS10$  junction fragments) events. None of the derivatives analyzed gave the pattern of bands expected for an ISIO-promoted adjacent deletion from one "internal" IS10 end into the *gal* genes.

4. The five derivatives that did not result from a transposon-promoted event include: two that show no change in ISIO-containing fragments and are presumably point mutations in *galK* or *galT,* one that lacks all IS10 sequences and is presumably (though not necessarily) a spontaneous deletion of the entire region, and two that have the structure expected for homologous recombination between the directly repeated IS10 sequences of TnGal.



FIGURE 3.-Southern blot analysis of IS10 rearrangements de**rived from nadA::TnCal.** DNA **was digested with EcoRV and probed with radioactive ISIO sequences. Lanes marked 'P" show bands** A-E **of the parental insertion (Figure 1). The rearrangements illustrated and their class in Table 3 are: lane 1, parent plus insertion of ISIO-Right, Class I; lanes 3 and** *5.* **deletion/inversions, Class 5; lane 2, deletion/inversion of Class 5 plus insertion of ISIO-Right; lane 4. deletion/inversion of Class 6 plus insertion of ISIO-Right; lane 7, deletion rightward of Class 4; lanes 8 and 9. two independently isolated identical deletions ending in an IS10 element, Class 10; lane IO, deletion/inversion leftward of Class 7; lane I I. deletion/inversion into ISIO-Left, Class 8. The top band in lanes 1 and "P" of the first panel and lanes 7. 9 and 10 is from hybridbation to contaminating plasmid** DNA **and should be disregarded. Size stan**dards (not shown) were PstI, AccI and HaeIII digests of OX174.

From these observations we conclude that Tn-promoted deletions and deletion/inversions are indeed the predominant transposon-promoted rearrangement obtained from this type of genetic selection, and find no evidence that any other type of simple event occurs at comparable frequency.

These observations are consistent with previous data suggesting that  $Tn10$  gives rise to "multiple" events at frequencies higher than expected for two independent events. In minimal medium, the frequency of multiple events among **GalR** derivatives **(6/**   $162 = 4\%)$  is significantly higher than the frequency of any single event. In LB medium, the measured proportion of Tet<sup>s</sup> deletion/inversion derivatives containing in addition a new IS10 insertion  $(6/35 = 0.17)$ may be slightly higher than proportion of unselected clones containing **a** new **IS10** insertion **(29/324** = **0.09).** We suggest that the unusually high frequency of **ISZO** transposition observed in rich medium in these experiments **as** compared with previous experiments has provided an exceptionally high background over which the occurrence of multiple events cannot be seen.

Deletions and deletion/inversions do not occur equally frequently. This is not an unusual result; in some experiments deletions are more frequent, and in others deletion/inversions are more frequent (KLECKNER *et al.* **1979;** RALEIGH and KLECKNER **1984;**  WEINERT *et al.* **1984).** These differences probably reflect at least in part the particular disposition of essential genes adjacent to the transposon; for example, the *nadA* locus is flanked by loci that are important for cell viability under the conditions used for selection of tetracycline-sensitive derivatives (E. A. RALEIGH, unpublished data). Such differences could also partly reflect intrinsic mechanistic features of the transposition process.

Physical analysis of revertible Tet<sup>S</sup> derivatives of hisG9424::Tn10: Previous genetic analysis of Tet<sup>s</sup> derivatives of **a** Salmonella hisG::TnlO insertion revealed about **20%** which retained the ability to give His+ revertants (KLECKNER, REICHARDT and **BOT-**STEIN **1979).** Such derivatives should have suffered changes only within the  $Tn10$  element itself, since alterations outside of the element would have deleted or rearranged hisG sequences, thus precluding subsequent restoration of an intact hisG gene.

Among revertible derivatives, several types of events might have been expected: Tn10-promoted deletions and deletion/inversions to sites within either of the **IS10** sequences (Figure **4,** lines b-e), insertions of an **IS10** element into the tet genes, or ISIO-promoted adjacent deletions extending from one inside ISlO end into the tet genes (Figure **4,** line f). Rearrangements of the latter type are known to be promoted by the types of transposable elements that promote intermolecular cointegrate formation **as** the intramolecular equivalent of that event (for example, WEINERT et *al.* **1984).** It has been suggested that **IS10**  may also promote such deletions at **low** frequency (WEINERT *et al.* **1984);** whether and how **a** non-replicative element might promote deletions of this type is an interesting question.

The potential target sizes for all of these events are very similar: **2658** bp for TnlO-promoted deletions and deletion/inversions into one of the two IS10 sequences, about 2 kb for IS10 insertions into the *tet*  genes, **2.3** kb for adjacent deletions beginning at the inside end of IS10-Left and extending into the tet genes. **5.4** kb for adjacent deletions beginning at the inside end of ISIO-Right and extending into the tet genes.

The results of this analysis are summarized in Table **5.** The striking result is that all **33** of the derivatives resulted from a Tn 10-promoted deletion/inversion or deletion into **a** target site within one of the ISlO sequences. Furthermore, **2 1/33** of the events analyzed had apparently (given the resolution of the mapping performed) used **as a** target site one of the **9** bp repeat sequence present at either end of the original  $Tn10$ element. This preference is probably due to the fact that this particular sequence is known to be **a** favored site for  $Tn10$  insertion and for  $Tn10$ -promoted rear-





<sup>*a*</sup> One or two new ISI0-containing junction fragments.  $D =$  deletion,  $I =$  insertion, Uncat = uncategorized.

**More than two new ISIU-containing junction fragments.** 

**Spontaneous deletion.** 

**Two point mutations; two RecA-promoted deletions.** 



FIGURE 4.-Schematic representation of hisG9424::Tn10 and some of the possible His-revertible Tet<sup>s</sup> rearrangement derivatives **discussed in the text. The** 9 **bp** *hisC* **target site sequence duplicated during TnIU insertion is indicated by the small arrow-containing box at each end of the parental insertion.** 

rangements **(HALLING** and **KLECKNER** 1982 and unpublished data), although it is not ruled out that the proximity of **IS10** terminal sequences could also be important.

Neither an ISIO-promoted adjacent deletion into internal transposon sequences nor an insertion of  $IS10$ into the *tet* genes was detected. This suggests that the frequency of  $IS10$ -promoted adjacent deletions is (conservatively) less than 10% the frequency of  $Tn10$ promoted deletions and inversion/deletions. The predominance of Tn10-promoted events is not due to the presence of a single major  $Tn10$  insertion hot spot within the **ISlO** sequences themselves **(KLECKNER,**  **REICHARDT** and **BOTSTEIN** 1979; **HALLING** and **KLECKNER** 1982) because at least a third of the observed rearrangements involved several different target sites within the IS sequences.

From one point of view, it should not be surprising that of the revertible Tet<sup>s</sup> derivatives are insertions in the *tet* genes. Given the frequency of new ISlO insertions determined above and the assumption that IS10 insertions will select targets randomly throughout the genome, insertions into the *tet* genes might easily have been absent in the population of derivatives analyzed. If we (somewhat arbitrarily) take the frequency of IS10 transposition to be  $4 \times 10^{-3}$  (Table 2) and we assume that the *tet* gene target size is 0.1 % of the Salmonella chromosome (2 kb/2000 kb), then *tet::ISlO* insertions should occur at a frequency of **4 X**   $10^{-6}$ . This corresponds to about 2% of all Tet<sup>S</sup> derivatives, and the sample of 150 derivatives examined might easily have contained no such events. Viewed another way, however, the absence of  $IS10$  insertions is somewhat surprising. The frequency of  $IS10$  transposition as assayed by Southern blot hybridization is at least ten times higher than the frequency of  $Tn10$ promoted deletions and deletion/inversions, and the target size for the two types of events in the analyzed population is about the same, 2-3 kb in each case.

We suggest that the explanation for this apparent conflict is the assumption in the second case that **IS10**  transposition and  $Tn10$ -promoted deletions and deletion/inversions choose target sites in the same way. We propose that **IS10** transpositions do select target sites randomly, but that  $Tn10$ -promoted deletions and deletion/inversions preferentially use nearby target sites, with the result that the latter two types of events are 'over-represented' in the sample of His-revertible derivatives. Additional data supporting this conclusion are presented in the **DISCUSSION.** 

- 1	
-----	--

Physical analysis of revertible Tet<sup>s</sup> rearrangements of hisG::Tn10



Target site locations are accurate to ±50 bp. Deletion target sites C and G could be the same as deletion/inversion target sites D and F, respectively. - = not applicable (parent) or none (rearrangements).

KLECKNER, REICHARDT and BOTSTEIN (1979).

The analysis of revertible Tet<sup>s</sup> derivatives reveals several other miscellaneous but noteworthy facts. (1) KLECKNER, REICHARDT and BOTSTEIN (1979) assigned the revertible derivatives to different genetic classes based on their His<sup>+</sup> reversion frequencies and polarity properties; all of the derivatives in the same genetic class turned out to have the same physical structure. **(2)** Deletion events to either 9 basepair sequence yield a structure which is identical to that resulting from insertion of **IS10** at the hisG9424 target site (Figure 4b), and these presumptive  $hisG::IS10$  insertions revert at the lowest frequency of any derivative, less than 1% the rate of the parental  $Tn10$  insertion. This is consistent with previous experiments suggesting that reversion is stimulated by the presence of inverted repeats at the ends of the transposon; the ISIO insertions have the shortest inverted repeats of any of the  $Tn10$  derivatives tested (KLECKNER, REICHARDT and BOTSTEIN 1979; FOSTER et al. 1981b). Additional support for this view is provided by the series of deletion/inversions ending in ISIO-Right (Figure 4e). Each such derivative contains the same overall length of transposon material between 9 bp direct repeats; however, as the target site moves farther into  $IS10-$ Right (top to bottom in Table 4), both the length of the terminal inverted repeat and the frequency of His+ revertants increases. (3) Deletion/inversion events to either 9 bp sequence yields a structure containing tandem direct repeats of IS10 (Figure 4c). The His<sup>+</sup> reversion frequency of these derivatives is about the same as the parental  $Tn10$  insertion and 100-fold higher than that of the presumptive ISIO insertions, suggesting that lengthy direct repeats may also stimulate excision.

#### **DISCUSSION**

**Relative rates of different transposon-promoted**  rearrangements: The physical analysis of Tn10-,  $TnGal$ -, and IS10-promoted DNA rearrangements presented above suggests that the most frequent event is transposition of ISIO-Right, which is at least ten times more frequent than the next most common events, Tn10- or TnGal-promoted deletions and deletion/inversions. No other simple transposon-promoted event was detected here. Previous experiments have shown that full transposition of  $\text{Tr}10$  and  $\text{Tr}Gal$ in these strains occurs at about 0.1 % the rate of *IS10*  transposition in minimal medium or about **1%** the rate of Tn- promoted rearrangements.

**Relative rates of TnlU and IS10 transposition:**  The 1000-fold difference between the rates of ISIO and  $Tn10$  transposition can be ascribed largely but not completely to the difference in length of the two elements. The transposition rates of  $Tn10$  elements decrease about 40% for every one kilobase increase in transposon length (MORISATO et *al.* 1983). Simple application of this relationship predicts that ISIO should transpose at 100 times the rate of  $nadA::Tn10$ . The length of  $TnGal$  is unknown.

Several factors could account for the remaining difference. (I) The length-dependence relationship derived for larger elements may not apply to elements as short as ISIO. **(2)** Inside and outside ISIO ends are not genetically identical (ROBERTS et *al.* 1985; D. MORISATO and **N.** KLECKNER, unpublished data), and an inside ISIO-Right end may be intrinsically more active for transposition than an outside ISIO. (3) The rates of transposition of  $Tn10$  elements, and presumably also of **ISIO** elements, vary with the particular site of the starting element, and most of this variation is due to effects **of** the chromosomal context on the transposition process per se and not to effects of chromosomal location on transposase expression (M. A. DAVIS and N. KLECKNER, unpublished data). The chromosomal contexts of ISIO-Right and **of** nadA:: TnIO or nadA::TnGal are not identical. **(4)** TnlO- and TnGal-promoted deletions and deletion/inversions may be rarer than **ISIO** transposition because **of** some intrinsic mechanistic difference between the two types of events.

**ISIO-R vs. ISIO-L:** Most **of** the ISIO transposition events observed in unselected clones were transpositions of ISIO-Right (Table **2).** This preference is probably a reflection **of** the fact that ISIO-Right makes functional transposase while ISIO-Left does not. **ISIO**  transposase is known to act preferentially on the element encoding it, for two totally different reasons: in part because of intrinsic properties of the protein or its interactions with DNA that limit its ability to move freely in three dimensions (MORISATO et al. 1983) and in part because of the way in which **IS10** transposition is regulated by DNA adenine methylation (ROBERTS et al. 1985).

**Possible medium dependence of ISIO transposition:** The observation of especially high **ISIO** transposition in cultures grown in rich medium was somewhat surprising. It has not been observed in previous experiments involving the same strains and virtually identical protocols (RALEIGH and KLECKNER 1984). For this reason we are uncertain as to how much weight to place on the current observations; further experiments are required.

**Target site selection during TnIO and ISIO-promoted recombination events:** The results presented above raise the possibility that  $IS10$  transposition events choose target sites randomly throughout the genome while TnlO-promoted deletions and deletion/ inversions preferentially use adjacent target sites.

There is no other information bearing on the way that  $IS10$  or Tn10 selects target sites during transposition. However there are additional indications that Tn10-promoted deletion/inversions prefer nearby target. First, the His-revertible deletion/inversions identified above are disproportionately frequent; they comprise about 15% of all deletion/inversions but involve a target region **of** only 2.6 kb, within TnlO itself, out of the roughly 2000 kb in the Salmonella genome. More important, of the majority class (85%) of deletion/inversions that involve target sites outside of Tn10, about 90% could be transduced to His<sup>+</sup> by a single **P22** transducing particle, indicating that they involve target sites less than 35 kb away from the original hisG::Tn10 insertion (KLECKNER, REICHARDT and BOTSTEIN 1979). This leaves only a few percent

of deletion/inversion events to more distant target sites. There is no intrinsic reason that inversions should be less than 35 kb in length; substantial inversions of the Salmonella chromosome have been constructed by other methods and shown to be viable (J. ROTH, personal communication).

We would like to thank DENISE ROBERTS and JEFF WAY for helpful discussions in the course of this work. E.A.R. was supported by a National Institutes of Health (NIH) postdoctoral training grant (NIHl-T32-Al07245-01) and by grants to N.K. from the NIH (5ROI GM25,326) and the National Science Foundation (PCM-83- 034 15).

#### LITERATURE CITED

- BENDER, J. and N. KLECKNER, 1986 Genetic evidence that Tn10 transposes nonreplicatively. Cell 45: 801-815.
- BOCHNER, B. R., H.-C. HUANG, G. L. SCHIEVEN and B. N. AMES, 1980 Positive selection for loss of tetracycline resistance. J. Bacteriol. **143:** 926-933.
- CHANDLER, M., E. ROULET, L. SILVER, E. BOY DE LA TOUR and L. CARO, 1979 TnlO mediated integration of the plasmid R100.1 into the bacterial chromosome: inverse transposition. Mol. Gen. Genet. **173:** 23-30.
- DRAKE, J.W., 1970 *The Molecular Basis* of *Mutation.* Holden-Day, San Francisco.
- FOSTER, T. J., M. A. DAVIS, K. TAKESHITA, D.E. ROBERTS and N. KLECKNER, 1981a Genetic organization of transposon Tn10. Cell **23:** 201-213.
- FOSTER, T. J., V. LUNDBLAD, **S.** HANLEY-WAY, **S.** M. HAUING and N. KLECKNER, 1981b Three Tn10-associated excision events: relationship to transposition and role of direct and inverted repeats. Cell **23:** 2 15-227.
- GEORGE, A. M. and **S.** B. LEVY, 1983 Amplifiable resistance to tetracycline, chloramphenicol, and other antibiotics in *Escherichia coli:* involvement of a non-plasmid-determined efflux of tetracycline. J. Bacteriol. **155:** 531-540.
- HALLING, S. M. and N. KLECKNER, 1982 A symmetrical six-basepair target site sequence determines  $Tn10$  insertion specificity. Cell **28:** 155-163.
- HARAYAMA, **S.,** T. **OGUCHI,** AND T. **IINO,** 1984a Does TnlO transpose via the cointegrate molecule? Mol. Gen. Genet. **194**  444-450.
- HARAYAMA, S., T. OGUCHI and T. IINO, 1984b The *E. coli* K-12 chromosome flanked by two  $IS10$  sequences transposes. Mol. Gen. Genet. **197:** 62-66.
- KLECKNER, N., 1981 Transposable elements in prokaryotes. Annu. Rev. Genet. **15** 341-404.
- KLECKNER, N., K. REICHARDT and D. BOTSTEIN, 1979 Inversions and deletions of the *Salmonella* chromosome generated by the translocatable tetracycline resistance element TnlO. J. Mol. Biol. **127:** 89-115.
- KLECKNER, N., D. A. STEELE, K. REICHARDT and D. BOTSTEIN, 1979 Specificity of insertion by the translocatable tetracycline-resistance element TnIO. Genetics **92:** 1023-1040.
- MALOY, S. R. and W. D. NUNN, 1981 Selection for loss of tetracycline resistance by *Escherichia coli.* J. Bacteriol. **145:** 1 110- 1112.
- MANIATIS, T., E. F. FRITSCH and J. SAMBROOK, 1982 *Molecular Cloning-A Laboratory Manual.* Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- MILLER, J., 1972 *Experiments in Molecular Genetics.* Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- MORISATO, D., J. C. WAY, **H.-J.** KIM and N. KLECKNER, 1983 TnlO transposase acts preferentially on nearby transposon ends in vivo. Cell **32:** 799-807.
- MORISATO, **D.** and **N.** KLECKNER, **1984** Transposase promotes double strand breaks and single strand joints at  $TnI0$  termini in vivo. Cell **39: 181-190.**
- RALEIGH, E. **A.** and **N.** KLECKNER, **1984** Multiple **IS10** rearrangements in E. coli. J. Mol. **Biol. 173: 437-461.**
- ROBERTS, **D.,** B. C. **HOOPES, W. R.** MCCLURE and **N.** KLECKNER, **1985 IS10** transposition is regulated by **DNA** adenine methylation. Cell **43: 11 7-130.**
- Ross, **D.** G., J. SWAN and **N.** KLECKNER, **1979** Physical structures of TnlO promoted deletions and inversions: role **of** the **1400**  bp inverted repetitions. Cell **16: 721-732.**
- SHAPIRO, J. A., **1979** Molecular model for the transposition and replication of bacteriophage Mu and other transposable elements. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **USA** *76* **1933-1937.**
- WAY, J. and N. KLECKNER, 1984 Essential sites at Tn10 termini. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **81: 3452-3456.**
- WEINERT, T. **A.,** K. DERBYSHIRE, **F.** M. HUGHSON and **N. D.** F. GRINDLEY, **1984** Replicative and conservative transpositional recombination of insertion sequences. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. **49 251-260.**

Communicating editor: **D.** BOTSTEIN