# **Extended Region of Nodulation Genes in** *Rhizobium meliloti* **1021. 11. Nucleotide Sequence, Transcription Start Sites and Protein Products**

**Robert F. Fisher, Jean A. Swanson, John T. Mulligan and Sharon R. Long** 

*Department of Biological Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305* Manuscript received April 9, 1987 Revised copy accepted July 9, 1987

# ABSTRACT

We have established the DNA sequence and analyzed the transcription and translation products of a series of putative nodulation *(nod)* genes in *Rhizobium meliloti* strain 1021. Four loci have been designated *nodF, nodE, nodG* and *nodH.* The correlation of transposon insertion positions with phenotypes and open reading frames was confirmed by sequencing the insertion junctions of the transposons. The protein products of these *nod* genes were visualized by *in vitro* expression of cloned DNA segments in a *R. meliloti* transcription-translation system. In addition, the sequence for *nodG*  was substantiated by creating translational fusions in all three reading frames at several points in the sequence; the resulting fusions were expressed *in vitro* in both *E. coli* and *R. meliloti* transcriptiontranslation systems. A DNA segment bearing several open reading frames downstream of *nodG*  corresponds to the putative *nod* gene mutated in strain *nod-216.* The transcription start sites of *nodF*  and *nodH* were mapped by primer extension of RNA from cells induced with the plant flavone, luteolin. Initiation of transcription occurs approximately 25 bp downstream from the conserved sequence designated the *"nod* box," suggesting that this conserved sequence acts as an upstream regulator of inducible *nod* gene expression. Its distance from the transcription start site is more suggestive of an activator binding site rather than an RNA polymerase binding site.

IN a companion study (Swanson *et al.* 1987), we establish a physical and genetic map for the DNA between the common *nod* genes and the *nif* region. We report here the molecular analysis of a portion of this region. The determination of DNA sequence and identification of protein products for this region should provide approaches for analysis of individual gene functions and gene interactions, which will be important in the dissection of the complex host-specific nodulation of legumes by *Rhizobium.* 

Analysis of function requires an understanding of gene expression. The *nodABC* genes of *Rhizobium meliloti* 1021 are not expressed in free-living cells (MULLIGAN and LONG 1985). Exposure of *R. meliloti*  to plants or to plant exudates causes induction of these genes, as shown by *nodC-lacZ* fusions (MULLIGAN and LONG 1985) and by use of antibody to *nodA* protein to detect gene products (EGELHOFF and LONG 1985). The factors in alfalfa *(Medicago sativa)* exudates which cause induction are small aromatic molecules, and the most active has been identified by PETERS, FROST and LONG (1986) as the flavone luteolin (3',4',5,7-tetrahydroxyflavone). Studies in R. trifolii and R. legumi*nosarum* show that, in addition to *nodABC,* other nodulation gene clusters are plant-inducible (INNES *et* al. 1985; SHEARMAN *et* al. 1986). The factors from white clover, *Triyolium repens,* responsible for induction have been isolated and identified as flavones, primarily 4',7-dihydroxyflavone and two related molecules (REDMOND *et* al. 1986). In pea, *Pisum sativum,* a complex mixture of flavones, some of which may be glycosylated, appears to induce the *nod* genes of R. *leguminosarum* (FIRMIN *et al.* 1986); this effect is reproduced in free-living cells by the application of flavones such as apigenin and flavanones such as naringenin. The one known *nod* gene which is constitutive rather than inducible is *nodD* (MULLIGAN and LONG 1985). In *R. meliloti* and *R. leguminosarum,* induction of *nodABC* by plant exudates is in fact dependent on *nodD* expression (MULLIGAN and LONG 1985; ROSSEN *et* al. 1985).

Recent studies of *nod* gene expression in *R. leguminosarum* and *R. trifolii* show that *nodF* and other *nod*  genes are also induced by treatment of cells with plant exudates or flavone inducers (SHEARMAN *et* al. 1986; REDMOND *et* al. 1986). The apparently coordinate expression of several operons of *nod* genes may be related to a highly conserved sequence which is found upstream of *nodABC, nodFE, nodH* and other sequences in R. *meliloti* (ROSTAS *et al.* 1986, DEBELLÉ and SHARMA 1986; FISHER *et* al. 1987), *R. leguminosarum* (SHEARMAN *et al.* 1986), *R. trgolii* (SCHOFIELD and WATSON 1986), and *Bradyrhizobium* sp. *(Para*sponia) (SCOTT 1986). This sequence, tentatively designated as the *"nod* box," may represent a control region. However, its relationship to *nod* gene tran-

**The sequence data presented in this article have been submitted to the EMBL/GenBank Data Libraries under the accession No. Y00604.** 

scriptional start sites *in* vivo has not previously been examined. In this report, we determine the *in vivo*  transcriptional initiation site for several *nod* genes and show that in each case the *nod* box lies *25-28* bp upstream of the start site.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Strains:** Plasmids used in this study are shown in Figure 1 or described below. R. meliloti 1021 (EGELHOFF and LONG 1985) and RCR2011 (ROSENBERG et al. 1982), and E. coli HBlOl (MANIATIS, FRITSCH and SAMBROOK 1982) and JM 101 (MESSING 1983) were grown in bacteriological media as described by SWANSON et al. (1987).

**Materials:** Restriction enzymes were obtained from Bethesda Research Laboratories and Promega Biotec. T4 polynucleotide kinase, exonuclease **111,** S1 nuclease and PstI linkers were also from Bethesda Research Laboratories. T4 DNA ligase and avian myeloblastosis virus reverse transcriptase were obtained from BioRad Laboratories.

**Plasmid and phage constructions:** Most of the plasmids used for DNA sequencing and protein expression are shown in Figure 1 and were constructed as follows. Subcloning was accomplished by techniques described by MANIATIS, FRITSCH and SAMBROOK (1982)

Construction of B27 and B28 depended on the intermediates described below. pRmJT16 is a 3.3-kb EcoRI-ClaI fragment of the 15.5-kb EcoRI fragment of pRmJT5 (SWAN-SON et al. 1987) cloned into EcoRI-ClaI-digested pBR322. The 0.2-kb EcoRI-XhoI fragment of pRm[T16 was cloned into EcoRI-SalI-digested M13mp18 and M13mp19 to produce B27 and B28, respectively.

pRmF32 and pRmF33 were generated by cloning the 3.6-kb BamHI fragment of pRm $\overline{J}T16$  into the BamHI site of pUC118; this BamHI fragment contains 0.35-kb of pBR322 and 3.25 kb of R. meliloti DNA. These two plasmids containing the BamHI fragment in opposite orientations were subsequently digested with PstI and XbaI prior to treatment with exonuclease I11 to create a nested set of deletions for sequencing both strands of the entire 3.6-kb insert (HENIKOFF 1984).

pRmS15 was constructed by cloning the 2.6-kb SstI-SphI fragment from pRmS5 (SWANSON et al. 1987) into SstI-SphIdigested pUC18.

pRmS23 and pRmS24 were produced by cloning the 1.2 kb *SalI-SstI* fragment from pRmS 15 into SalI-SstI-digested pUCll8 and pUCll9, respectively. pRmS23 was subsequently digested with *Sal1* and SphI, and pRmS24 with BamHI and *SstI,* before treating with exonuclease 111 to generate a nested set of deletions for sequencing both strands of the insert.

Tn5 insertions 109, 210 and 912 (see Figure 1) in pRmJT5 were subcloned into pBR322 to construct pRm-JT20, pRmJT21 and pRmJT23, respectively. The 10.7-kb ClaI fragments (containing 5.8 kb of Tn5 inserted into the 4.9-kb wild-type ClaI fragment) were inserted at the vector ClaI site. The 0.7-kb XhoI fragment from pRmJT21, containing the genome-Tn5 junction of mutant 210, was inserted into SalI-digested M13mp18 in both orientations to produce B4 and B5. The 1.7-kb HindIII fragment from pRmJT21 was cloned into HindIII-digested M13mp19 to generate B20. The 1.1-kb XhoI fragment from pRmJT2O was inserted into SalI-cleaved M13mp19 in both orientations to make B6 and B7. B24 was constructed by inserting the 2.0-kb EcoRI-Hind111 fragment from pRmJT23 into EcoRI-HindIII-digested M13mp18, and B26 was made by cloning the 1.9-kb *XhoI-Bgl* **I1** fragment of pRmJT23 into BamHI-SalI-cleaved MI 3mp18.

pRmJT17 is the 5.2-kb ClaI fragment from pRmJT5 cloned into the ClaI site of pBR322. The 1.4-kb *XhoI-BglII*  fragment of pRmJTl7 was inserted into BamHI-SalI-digested M13mp18 to produce B25.

Construction of B30 involved several intermediate plasmids. pRmS505 is Tn5 insert 505 (see Figure 1) in pRmJT5. The 11-kb ClaI fragment from pRmS505 (containing the 5.8-kb Tn5 insertion inserted into the 5.2-kb ClaI fragment) was inserted into ClaI-cleaved pBR322 to generate pRm-RF36. The 0.9-kb *XhoI* fragment from pRmRF36 was cloned in SalI-digested M13mp19 to give rise to B30.

pRmS17 was constructed by inserting the 0.9-kb SstI-*SphI* fragment from pRmS5 in SstI-SphI-digested pUCl8, and pRmS2O and pRmS2l were generated by cloning the 2.1- $\hat{k}b$  BamHI-Bg III fragment from pRmS5 into the BamHI site, in both orientations, of pAD10. pRmS25 was generated by cloning the 1.3-kb *SphI-SstI* fragment from pRmS5 (SWANSON etal. 1987) into *Sph* I-SstI-cleaved pUC 19.

pRmF37, pRmF38, pRmF42 and pRmF43 are exonuclease 111-digested derivatives of pRmF32. They were used as sources of  $EcoRI-PvuII$  fragments of  $1.7-2.1$  kb which were cloned into EcoRI-SmaI-digested pADlO to give rise to the expression plasmids pRmF40, pRmF41, pRmF48 and pRmF49, respectively.

pRmF51 was constructed from the 1.3-kb SphI-SstI fragment of pRmS25. The ends of this fragment were filled in with the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I. Phosphorylated PstI linkers were ligated to this fragment with T4 DNA ligase and cleaved with PstI, and the DNA was precipitated with ethanol. The fragment was separated from excess linkers by agarose gel electrophoresis and inserted into PstIdigested pUC8 to produce pRmF5 1.

For sequencing the Tn5 insertion sites of inserts 216, 307,314,316,402,411,510,614,703,705,708,805 and 913, BamHI junction fragments, whose one end was in Tn5 and contained the Kan<sup>R</sup> element, and whose other end was in the R. meliloti genome, were inserted into BamHI-cleaved pUCll8. To facilitate production of single-stranded DNA, the Kan<sup>R</sup> element was subsequently eliminated by digestion with HindIII and religation. The positions of Tn5 inserts 304 and 906 were similarly determined by direct cloning of the appropriate HindIII-BamHI fragments (with the HindIII site in  $Tn5$  and the BamHI site in the R. meliloti genome) in  $\textit{BamHI-HindIII-digested pUC118}.$ 

DNA **sequencing:** Sequencing was carried out by the dideoxy chain termination technique of SANGER, NICKLEN and COULSON (1977), in vectors M13mp18, M13mp19, pUC118 and pUC119. pUC118 and pUC119 are derivatives of pUC18 and pUC19 into which has been inserted the M13 intergenic region (J. VIEIRA, personal communication). To produce single stranded DNA, Escherichia *coli*  JM101 containing pUC118 or pUC119 derivatives were infected with helper phage M13K07 in 2X YT containing 50  $\mu$ g/ml ampicillin and  $70 \mu$ g/ml kanamycin and shaken at 37" for 14-20 hr. Single-stranded DNA was then isolated as for M13 preparations (Amersham 1983). A series of nested deletions was created by the exonuclease **111** digestion procedure of HENIKOFF (1984) to sequence the segment spanned by plasmids pRmF32, pRmF33 and pRmS23, pRmS24. The sequencing strategy is shown in Figure 1. The sequence of transposon insertion positions was determined using a Tn5-homologous oligonucleotide as primer, as described below and by EGELHOFF et al. (1985). Overlapping nested deletions were organized and DNA sequence analysis conducted using SEQSORT, AA and RE programs as previously described (EGELHOFF et al. 1985).



FIGURE 1.-Clones used to obtain DNA sequence, location of open reading frames, and position of transposon insertions and of synthetic oligonucleotide primers used to determine transcription initiation sites. The DNA sequencing strategy is shown in the upper part of the figure. In each case (top of diagram), the tail end of the arrow represents the end of a deletion, and the length of the arrow represents how much of the sequence was determined from that deletion; the end of the clone in each case would correspond to the end of the original cloned DNA segment. *Triangles* on the linear restriction map represent the insertion points for each Tn5 mutant whose junction sequence was determined. Open reading frames which correlate with the phenotype of Tn5 insertion mutants are designated by H, F, E, and G, as proposed by ROSTAS et al. (1986) and by DEBELLÉ and SHARMA (1986). Several potential protein coding sequences, present in both directions and more than one reading frame, are found in the DNA segment at the left end of the region shown here. These correlate with Tn5 insertion 216 and appear to cross the EcoRI site; they are designated by ORF-216. Open arrowheads  $(P)$  indicate position of oligonucleotides used for primer extension of RNA transcripts. Short horizontal bars (-) indicate the nod-box conserved sequence reported by ROSTAS et *al.* (1986). For improved figure clarity, the "Rm" designation *(e.g.,* pRmF48) was omitted from all plasmid names. Clones used to analyze protein coding are shown in the bottom part of the figure. Expression was controlled by the *Salmonella typhimurium* **trp** promoter (+) of pAD10 (EGELHOFF and LONG 1985) or the *lac* promoter (-D) of pUC18 and pUC19 (MESSING 1983). The direction of transcription controlled by the *trp* or *lac* promoter is shown by the orientation of the arrow.

**Protein products:** DNA segments from the *nod* gene region were cloned in expression plasmids pAD10, pUC8, pUC 18, pUC 19 or pUC 1 18 so that transcription initiated either at the *Salmonella typhimurium trp* promoter (EGEL-HOFF and LONG 1985) *(closed arrows,* Figure 1 *bottom)* or the *E. coli lac* promoter (MESSING 1983) *(open arrows,* Figure 1 *bottom*). Plasmids (1  $\mu$ g) purified by CsCl banding were incubated with a coupled transcription-translation extract from E. *coli* HBlOl or R. *melibti* RCR2011, essentially as previously described (GUNSALUS, ZURAWSKI and YANOFSKY 1979). The R. *meliloti* extract was prepared by a modification of the technique of ZUBAY et *al.* (1972) as follows: R. *meliloti* were grown in LB or M9 minimal medium to mid log phase (Klett 200, red filter) and were harvested by centrifugation. The cell pellet was weighed and resuspended in 10 mM Tris-acetate, pH 8.2, 14 mM MgOAc, 6 mM KOAc, 1 mM DTT (1 ml buffer/g cells), and broken in a French press. The *in* vitro mixture was augmented by the addition of amino acids including 15  $\mu$ Ci of [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine (GUNSALUS, ZURAWSKI and YANOFSKY 1979). Reaction mixtures (25  $\mu$ l) were incubated 70 min at 30° or 37°, depending on whether the source of the extract was from R. *meliloti*  or E. *coli,* respectively. Protein products, processed as described previously (EGELHOFF and LONG 1985), were separated by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) by the method of LAEMMLI (1970) and visualized by autoradiography. DUSHA et al. (1986) recently reported the independent development of a cell-free system from AK63 1, a derivative of Rm41.

**Transcript mapping:** RNA was isolated from induced R. *meliloti* 1021 grown in M9 minimal liquid medium (with addition of  $15 \mu M$  luteolin for 3 hr) by the technique of C. YANOFSKY (personal communication) as previously described by FISHER et *al.* (1987). Briefly, cells were harvested on ice, resuspended in a lysis buffer, and extracted directly with phenol, after which the nucleic acids were subjected to several cycles of DNAase treatment followed by phenol extraction. Synthetic end-labeled oligonucleotides complementary to the coding regions for *nodG, nodF* and *nodH*  (see Figures 1 and 2) were incubated with the RNA preparations, and avian myeloblastosis virus reverse transcriptase was used to extend each primer to the 5' end of the 60 **-G** CCT CTG **MT** ATG AGA ACG CCG AGC CGC AGA **GGG** GAT **CAG** CCG **MT GTA GCA**  -\_ **BamHl** 15 30 45 75 90 105 120 **GGC** AGA AAC ATA CTC GCG CCG **GCA** GTC GAT TTG **ATT GTT GTG** ATC TAC GGC AGA **GAT** GTG 135 150 165 180 TCG TTC TTG **GTG** CAC **TGG** ATA GCC GTC CAC TCG TCA CAG Ad **fdd A%** TCA CE **i%** GCC 240 225 195<br>GAC ATC **CAL ACA ATC CAT TIT ACC AAT CCC ACT GAT ATG CAC AAG CTG CCC ACC ATA هم GAC ATA <b>ACCE ATA** 255 270 285 300 **GGG** AGG CCA **ATG** ATG TTC TTC GTC ATC GGA GCC TTC TGC ACA GAC CAG CCG GAT GTC CGG mRNA> 315 **330** 345 360 CTC TGC **GGG** CAT TAG **GT** TAG CCA GTC GCG CAC CCC TCA TGA TAA TTT TCC TAT CGG GCC 375 390 405 420 GCC TCA GGA **ATT** TGA GCC GCC **GTG** CGT CGA ACA CAA GCT AAA **GGG** AAC AGA **ATG** GTA GAT MET **Val** Asp 450 465<br>CAA CTC GAA AGC GAT AGC ATT GGC ATC ARE ARE CAT CAR TCC GAG GGC GCC GAT<br>GIn Leu Glu Ser Glu Ile Ile Gly Ile Ile Lys Asn Arg Val Glu Ser Glu Gly Gly Asp 540<br>GGA GAG ACC GCG CTA ATA GTC GGC GAT TTA ACG GCT GCC ACT TAG TTG ACC GCG CTT GCT GTC<br>Gly Glu Thr Ala Leu Ile Val Gly Amp Leu Thr Ala Ala Thr Glu Leu Thr Ala Leu Gly 505<br>GTC GAT TCT CTC GCA GAC ATC ATC TGG GAC GTC GAA CAC GCC TAC GCT ATC ATC AOD<br>Val Asp Ser Leu Gly Leu Ala Asp Ile Ile Trp Asp Val Glu Gln Ala Tyr Gly Ile Arg 615 630 645 660 ATC GAG ATG MC ACG GCC GAG GCG **TGG** TCG GAT CTC CAG AAC GTC GCC GAC ATA **GTG** GCA **I1e Glu** WT Aen Thhr Ala Clu Ala Trp **Ser** Asp Leu Cln AIn Val Gly ASP Ile Val Gly 675 *H307* 690 705 720 GCC ATC CGA GCC TTC CTF ACT **AAG GGG** GCT TGA ATG GAC AGG CGC **GTT** GTC ATC ACC GGA Ala **Ilr** Arg Cly Leu Leu **Thr** Lye Gly Ala . UET Asp Arg Arg Val Val **Ile Thr** Gly 735 750 765 780 ATG GGC GGC CTA TGC CGA CTG GGC ACC GAC ACC ACC TCC ATC TGG AM **TGG** ATG CGC **GM**  WET Gly Gly Leu Cy8 Gly **Len** Gly **Thr** Aap **Thr Thr Ser** Ile Trp Lyo Trp **UET** Arg Glu MET GIFT CHE CASE OF SERVICE UP THE TREAT THE SERVICE CONTRACT CONTRA 900 855<br>GGC GCT GAG GTG GCG GTG GCT GAC ARC ATC GAC GGC GCG GCG CTC GTA TCG ATC<br>Gly Ala Glu Val Lys Ala Leu Pro Asp His Asn Ile Asp Arg Lys Gln Leu Val Ser MET 960<br>GAT CGC ATT AGC CTT CCC GTG ATT GCC GTG ATT CGC CAC GGC CAC GGC CAC GGC CAC GGC CAC GCC CAC GCC CAC<br>Asp Arg Ile Ser Val Leu Ala Val Ile Ala Ala His Glu Ala HET Arg Gln Ala Gly Leu 1020 1055<br>TCC TCC AAT GAA GAAT GCC CTT CGC TGC GGC GCC ACC CTC GCC CTC GCC TTC GGA GCA<br>Ser Cys Asm Glu Gly Asm Als Leu Arg Phe Gly Als Thr Val Gly Dsl Cly Leu Gly Gly 1085 – 1085 – 1086<br>TGG GAC GCT ACC AAA AAA GCA TAC GCT CTC CTC TTC GAC GCG GAC CCT ACT GAA<br>Trp Asp Ala Thr Glu Lys Ala Tyr Arg Thr Leu Leu Val Asp Gly Gly Thr Arg Thr Glu 1095 1110 1125 1140 ATC TTC ACT **FCCT** GTA **MG** GCT ATG CCG AGT ccc GCC GCC TCC CAC GTC AGC ATC ACC CTC **Ile Phe Thr** Gly Val Lye Ala WET **Pro Ser** Ala Ala Ala Cy. Gln **Val Sar UET Ser** Leu 1155 1170 1185 1200 GGC CTG CGC GCC CCG GTC TTC GGC GTC ACC TCC GCC **TGT** TCC **TCG** GCC MC CAT GCG ATC Gly Leu **Arg** Gly **Pro** Val **Phe** Gly Val **Thr Scr Ala** Cy8 **Ser Str** Ala A8n **HIS** Ala **Ile**  1215 1230 1245 1260 GCT TCG GCG GTA GAC CAC ATC **AAG** TGC GGC CGG GCC GAC GTC **ATG** CTC GCG **GCG** GGC AGC Ala **Ser** Ala v11 Asp **Gln I1e** Lys Cya Gly Arg Ala Asp Val WET Leu Ala Gly Gly **Ser**  1320 1275<br>GAC GCG CCA CTA CTC TGG ATT GTG CTG CGA TGG GAA GCT ATG CGC GCA CTC GCT CGC<br>Asp Ala Pro Leu Val Trp Ile Val Leu Lys Ala Trp Glu Ala MET Arg Ala Leu Ala Pro 1380 1380<br>GAT ACT TGC CGA CGC TTC TCC GCC GCC GADA GCC GTC GTA CTC GCC GAO GGT GCA GCC<br>Aap Thr Cya Arg Pro Phe Ser Ala Gly Arg Lys Gly Val Val Leu Gly Glu Gly Ala Gly 1440 1995 1997 11420 1410 1425 1440<br>ATC GCC CTC CTC GAC TAT CAA CAT GCC ACC GCT CGC GCT CGA ACA ATA CTC GCC GAC<br>MET Ala Val Leu Glu Ser Tyr Glu His Ala Thr Ala Arg Gly Ala Thr Ile Leu Ala Glu 1500 1485<br>GTC GCC GCC GTC GTT TCC GCC GAT ATC ATC ARE AGE GCC CCT CTC CAT ATC ARE ACA ACC CCC CCT CTC CAT ATC AGE GTC CT<br>Val Ala Gly Val Gly Leu Ser Ala Asp Ala Phe His Ile Thr Ala Pro Ala Val His Gly 1560 1585<br>CCG GAG TCG GCG GCG TCG CCT TGC CT TGC GAT GCA GGA CTC AAT GCC GAG GAC GTC GAC<br>Pro Glu Ser Ala MET Arg Ala Cys Leu Ala Asp Ala Gly Leu Asn Ala Glu Asp Val Asp The circ and case of the circ and the circumstance of the circumstance of the circumstance of the circumstance of the circumstance contract and the circumstance of the circumstance of the circumstance of the circumstance o 1680 1680<br>AAG OGC GTC TTORGA CAC ORT TAT TOG ATA TOT ACC AAG TOG ACC TOG ACC TATA TOT TAT TORGA CORC AAG TOG ACC CAC<br>Lys Arg Val Phe Gly Asp His Ala Tyr Ser MET Ser Ile Ser Ser Thr Lys Ser Thr His 1740 1695<br>GOG CAC TGT ATC GOG OCA GOG AGT GOG TT GAA ATC ATC ACC COG CAC TGT ATC GOG ATC GOG ATC GOG ATC GOG ATC GAA<br>Ala His Cys Ile Gly Ala Ala Ser Ala Leu Glu MET lle Ala Cys Val MET Ala Ile Gln 1785 17755 1800<br>GAA GGA GTC GTG CCG ACC GCC AAC TAT CGT GAG CCA GAT CC GAT TGC GAT CTA GAC<br>Glu Gly Val Val Pro Pro Thr Ala Asn Tyr Arg Glu Pro Asp Pro Asp Cy8 Asp Leu Asp 1815 1830 1845 1860 **GTG** AGG CCA MC **GTG** CCG CGT% **CGT MG GTG** CGC GTT GCC **ATG** AGC MC GCC **TTC** GCC Val **Thr Pro** Aan **Val Pro Arg** Glu Arg Lys **Val Arg Val A11** WT **Ser Asn** Ah **€%e AI.**  1920 1875 1875 18890 1905 1906 1907<br>ATG GGT GGC ACG AGG GAG GTT CTC CCA GTC AAG GAG GTA TGA CCC TGT CAG TTG CTT CCT<br>MET Gly Gly Thr Asm Ala Val Leu Ala Phe Lys Gln Val .

**A** 1935 1950 1965 1980 CGA TGA **TCA** CCA CTT GCA **GGG** U% CAT **GAG** ACG CCC Cc1 **GTG** CCG **TIC CAT CM KA AGT**  1995 2010 2025 **\*#l** <sup>2040</sup> CCG TCA AGC **GGG** ATC *GGG* **CAT** GGC TCT CGT CAG **GAG** *MG* **GAG** CGA CTG **GTC TGG GCA** *GM:*  2055 2070 2085 2100 **TTT** GAC CCT AGG CCA GCG CTT CGA **GAT** CGC **GIG ATT** GGC **CCG** CGI TCA **TGI** *MG* **GCA GTT**  2115 2130 2145 2160 TTT CTG **GTG** GCG CGA TGA **ACT MT** GCT CGT **TGT** TCT TGC CCC **ATT** GCC GGC **Crc** TAC CGG 2175 2190 2205 2220 CAT CAG CGT GM **TGC ATG** GCA **GCA** AGC **MG** TCG *GAG* CTT CCA **AGG** TGC **X:r CTG** ACC **TAG**  2235 2250 2265 2280 CGC CGT GTA TCA GCC GCG *MG* CCG GGC TCG CCG CTG CGC **MT ATT** MG GCG GAG CTG **CGG**  2295 2310 2325 2340 CGC **MG** GCG ACG ATC AGC CGC GGC **MG** *CM* CCC ACG **ATC** MC ACG **MG** ACG CTG GCG CGG 2355 2370 2385 2400 TGA CGT TAA **MG** ACA CCA TCA CCA GCC TAC ACG **ATA TGA GAA** GAG **GTT** *MG* **ACA ATG TIC WT Phe**  2460 2460<br>GAA TTG ACC GGC GAG GGC CTC GCC GGC GGC GGA GGA GGC GGA GGG GGC GCA TCA GGA GGG GGC GGC ATCA GGA GGC GGC ATC<br>Glu Leu Thr Gly Arg Lys Ala Leu Val Thr Gly Ala Ser Gly Ala Ile Gly Gly Ala Ile 2505 2490 2505 2490<br>GCC CGC GTG CTG CTG CTG CTG CTG CTG CAC CTG CAC CAA ATT CAA ATT<br>Ala Arg Val Leu His Ale Gln Gly Ala Ile Val Gly Leu His Gly Thr Gln Ile Glu Lys 2535 2550 ' ' 2565 2580 CTG GAG ACA CTG GCA ACT GAG CTT GGA CAC Cj GTC *MG* CTG TTC CCG GCT MT CTG GCC Leu **Gh Thr** Leu **Ala Thr Glu** Leu Gly Asp Arg Val Lya Leu **Phe Pro** Ala **Am** Leu **Ah**  2640 26295<br>AAT CGA GAC GAC GAG AGG GCC CTT GGT GGT AGA GCG GAA GCC GAA CCC GTCT GAA GGC GTC<br>Asn Arg Asp Glu Val Lys Ala Leu Gly Gln Arg Ala Glu Ala Asp Leu Glu Gly Val Asp 2655 2670 2685 2700 ATC CTG GTC MC **MT** GCT GGC ATC ACC **MG** GAT GGA **TIC TTC** TTG CAC **ATC** GCA GAC CCC **Ile Leu** Val Am **Am** Ala Gly **Ile Thhr** Lys **Amp** Gly Leu **Phe** Leu **HI.** WET Ala **ASP Pro**  2760 2715<br>GAC TGG GAC ATE TEC GAC GTC ARE COME ARE THE CASE TO ACC GGC GGC ARE THE COME ATE THE PAP THE AFR CHE THE AFR<br>Amp Trp Amp Ile Val Leu Glu Val Amn Leu Thr Ala MET Phe Arg Leu Thr Arg Glu Ile 2820 2805 22775<br>ACC CAG CAG ATC ART COC CATC ART ANT GET TO ACT TOG CTC COC ATC ART CAST TO ACT TOG CTC COC GCC<br>Thr Glm Glm MET Ile Arg Arg Arg Asm Gly Arg Ile Ile Asm Val Thr Ser Val Alm Gly 2835 2850 2865 2880 GCC ATC GGC **MT** CCA GCC CAG ACC **MT** TAC TGC GCC TCC **AAG** GCC **GGT ATG** ATC GGC TTT Ala **Ilc** Gly Aan **Pro** Gly **Cln Thr** Am TYT Cy. Ala **Se.** Ly. **Ala** Gly **UET Ile Gly Phe**  2940 – 2925<br>TOC AAG TOG CTG CAG CAG ATG GOT AG COGA AAC ATG AAC TOG GTG GOT GOG COGA AAC ATG AAC TOG GOT GOG COG<br>Ser Lys Ser Leu Ala Cln Glu lle Ala Thr Arg Asn lle Thr Val Aan Cys Val Ala Pro 2955 1000<br>GGC TTC ATC GAA CAR ATG ACC GAT AG CTC AAT CAC AAA GAA AG GAG AAA ATC ACC GAT CAC AAT CAC AAA CAC AAA ATC ATC<br>Gly Phe lle Glu Ser Als MET Thr Asp Lys Leu Asn His Lys Gln Lys Glu Lys Ile MET 3060 1015<br>GTG GOG ATC CCG CAC GOG ATC GGG ATC GGGT ACC GAA CTC CG TCC GCG CTT GGG TAT CGG TOG GAA CTC GGG CTT GGG TAT<br>Val Ala Ile Pro Ile His Arg MET Gly Thr Glu Val Ala Ser Ala Val Ala Tyr 3120 5075<br>CTC GCT TCC GAP (RECORD CAC GOD TAT GTC GAR ACC ATC GTC ARC GOD GOD AND GOD TATG GTC GAR ACC ATCH TCH BLD FOR<br>Leu Ala Ser Asp His Ala Ala Tyr Val Thr Gly Gln Thr Ile His Val Asn Gly Gly MET 3135 3150 3165 3180 GCA ATC ATE TCA AGG CGG TCG GGC CTA CGC **AX: AGT GGG** CTT GCA **TTT** GCA TAC GCC AGC Ala WET **Ile** . 218 SEL LIE .<br>3240 3240 3240 3240 3240 3240 53240 53240 53240 53240 53240 53240 53240 53240 53240 FTC CAT TTC CGA AAC CTG AGC AAC 3255 3270 3285 *3300*  CAA GCC **ATT ATG** GAT **ACT GCA** CCT GTC AGC MT ACT **GAA** CGG TCT CM CGG **.UT AGC** CTG 3315 **3330** 3345 3360 CGA **TTG** AGC GCT CCG GTC CCA GCA GCA **ATA** GCT CGG CCC **CAT ATG MG** ACG CTG TCT CGC 3375 3390 3405<br>TCG GCG CCG GCG CAT CAG CGC GGA ACG TCA GAT AGC GCA AAC GCT TTA GTG CGG CGT TGC 3435 3450 3465 3480 TTA GCG CCA TTA CGT CGC GCC ACC GTC **Tx:** CCG CGG EA TCC CAC GCA **Tx: GGA** TGC **CT?**  3495 3510 3525 3540 **GAG** CGA GCT GAG CTG CCG **AGG** CGT MC CCG GAT **AGG** *TIT* CCT **GM** CAT **AGA** &CA *AGG* CCA 3555 3570 3585 3570<br>CAA ATG TCT CTT CCC CAT CTT CGG CGG CTT GAA GCC GAA GCG ATC CAT GTC ATT CGA GAA 3615 3630 3645 3660 **GTT GTT** GCG ACA TTC TCC **UT** CCG GTC **GTG** CTT TAC TCG ATC GGC AAA CAC TCC TCG **GTA**  3675 3690 3705 3720 CTG CTG CAC CTG GCG ATG **MG** GCG TTC TIC CCC GCC **MG** CCG CCA **TlT** CCA **TIC** CTG CAT 3735 3750 3765 3780 GTA GAT ACC **MA TGG** MG TTC CGG **GAG** AX: AX **GAG TTT** CGC GAC CCC **ATG** GCG CGA *GAG*  3825 3840 3795 3840 5216 33840 3840 5795<br>CTC GGG TIC GAT CTC CTC CAG GTC AAT CAG GAG GGG GGG GGG ATC GGG CCA CCA CCA GGG CAG ATC GGG CAG AG CAG CAG CAG C 3855 3870 3885 3900 TTC ACG CAC **GGT** TCC MC **CTG** CAC ACC CAT GTC **ATG** *MC* ACG AX: **GGG** CTC CGG **CAG** *GCG*  3915 3930 3945 3960 CTC **GAG** *AAA* TAC GGT **TIT** CAC *GCG* **GCG** CTC GCA GGC GCG U% **CGe** GAC **GAG** *GAG* **MG TCG**  3915 3990 **4w5** 4020 CCC GCC **MC CM** CGC ATC **TTC TCC** ATT CGC *AGC* GCC **CAC** CAC GGC **TGG GAT CCG** CAG CGC 4035 4050 4065 4080 **CAG** CGG CCC *GAG* **ATG** l"X **MG** ACT TAC **MT** ACG U% GTC *Gw\* CM GGC **GAG** ACG **ATG** Cod. 4095 4110 **CTC** TTC CCG CTT TCC MC **TGG** ACC **W** TTC

B **15C 115** *116***</del> <b>15** *120***<br>
<b>120 195 210 225 240**  *Ow ACC* **TM** *Cu* **TIC** *CIC* **A11:** *MC* **CCT TU WC** *UC* **Qcc** *CCI OX* **UC ACT** *AM* **UL WC**  255 270 285<br>GCC GCC CCA ACA TAA TCC TCC GCT GAA ACC ACC ACA ACT GCT AAA TGC AAA CAC GCC TCA 315<br>ATC ACC CAT TCC CTC CCC CCT CACC CTT CCA ATC CTT CCA ATC CAR ACC ACC ACC CCT<br>MET The His See The Leu Peo Peo Cln Peo Phe Ala 11e Leu Ala MET Peo Ars The Clv 375<br>ACA CAC TAC TAC TAC TO AT TO GTC AND CAT COC AAT CAC ACT ACC GOT CAA<br>TACK TACK TACK TACK AND TACK TACK AND TACK TACK AND CALL OF THE C 540<br>CTT CTT CAC CTC CTC TTC COC TC TTC CCC CCA CAC ACC GAC AAC AGC CTC ACC CAC<br>Lau Lau Clu Are Ala Phe Lau Are Tyr Pro Pro Bia Ser Ann Ive Ive Vel Thr Bia Val 505<br>GOT TCC AAC ATC AAC COT CAC COT CAC COT COR COT COR COT TTT TTT GCC CAC CTC<br>Gly Cys Lys Ile Aan Clu Pro Cin Phe Cin Glu Arg Pro Ser Phe Phe Ala Glu Leu Thr 615<br>CCT TCC CCT CCT TAC CTT ATC CTT CCC ACA AAC ACA TTA CAC TCC CTA<br>Ala Trp Pro Cly Leu Lys Val Ile Leu Val Ile Arg Arg Asp Thr Leu Clu Ser Leu <sup>675</sup><br>TCC TTT CTC CAC CCC CAA ACC CCC CTC AAC TTC AAC TCC CAC TCC CAC ACT TCA<br>Ser Phe Val Cln Ala Arg Cln Thr Arg Cln Trp Leu Lys Phe Lys Ser Asp Ser Ser 750<br>CCT CCA CCT CCC ATC TTC CCA TTC CCA TTC CCC ACC TGC CAA GCT CCC ACC TTC AAA GCT GCC<br>Pro Pro Pro Pal MET Leu Pro Phe Ala Thr Cys Clu Ala Tyr Phe Lys Ala Ala Ass 840<br>CAT TTC CAC GCT COC GTT TAC GCC TTTA ATC AGC AGC AT ATC ARE CAT TTA ATC GAC<br>Asp Phe His Ala Arg Val Val Tyr Ala Phe Asp Ser Ser Arg Ile Arg Leu Ile Glu Tyr 855<br>CAC AGG CTC CTC CAT CCC CTC CTC CTC CTC CCA ACG CTC CTC AT TTC CTC GCCC<br>Clu Arg Leu Leu Arg Age Pro Val Pro Cys Val Ala Thr Val Leu Asp Phe Leu Cly Ala 960<br>CCT GCC CTA CAG LCG TOC TOC COT COC GCT COC COT COC COT COC TTCG COT COC COT COC COT COC TTCG CAG COT COC THE<br>Pro Ala Leu Glm Leu Ala Asp Arg Gly Ile Leu Arg Arg Glm Glu Thr Arg Pro Leu Asp Arg 1020<br>CAA ACC CTA CCC AAC TT CAT CAC TO COC CTT CAC TTC CAC TTC CO CAT CCA CCT TAC CCC ACC<br>CIn Thr Val Arg Asn Phe His Clu Leu Arg Val His Phe Ala Asn Cly Pro Tyr Ala Arg 1065 1095<br>TTC TTT GAG CTT GEAC GAG TOA TTT GAG AGG ACA AAT TCT CGG CAT AAT CCA ATT CAG<br>The Phe Glu Leu Ala Asn Asp 1140 1125 1140<br>CAT GCC GAA GCT GCC ATT CGC AAT TOC GTA CGC CTA GGA ATT TOC ACC AAA TAA ACC CCA 1200 1155 1170 1185<br>TGC ACC GAA AGC GAC CGA TGC CTC AAG TCA CTA GGC GAT CGA CCT TGC GAC GAT GGG TAC 1215 1230 1245 1260<br>CTC ATG TCG ATT CAC GCC GGC TCG GAC CGT ATC GTT AGG CAG GAA GCC AGG AGC **CCT TAT TCC CTT** CTC **CA** 

FIGURE 2.-DNA sequence of putative *nod* genes *F*, *E*, *G*, and *H.* In (A) the nucleotide sequence beginning upstream of *nodF* and proceeding beyond *nodC* to the EcoRl site is presented (refer to Figure I for map). In **(B).** the sequence reads in the opposite direction *(ie..* toward **nifHDK).** and beginsat **a** position corresponding to nucleotide 23 in **(A).** The **BamHl** site which is present in both (A) and **(B)** is boxed to facilitate alignment of the two *se*quences. The transcription start sites deduced in Figure *5* are indicated as asterisks at nucleotide 234-237 in **(A)** *(nodF* transcript) and 80 in **(B)** *(nodH* transcript). Positions of transposon Tn5 insertions whose junctions have been sequenced are indicated by the insertion number, with a filled triangle pointing to the left-most **base** in the 9 **base** pair repeat created by the insertion. The consensus nod box sequences are indicated by dots ( $\cdot$ ). An inverted repeat, capable of forming a hairpin with 10 of 14 matches  $(\Delta G = -16.2$ kcal/mol), is shown in **(A)** as diverging arrows spanning nucleotides 287-318. Several strains had Tn5 insertions at identical nucleotides; only one of each of these is shown. The **groups** are as follows: (304. 703, **705);** (210, 212): (708. 906. **913);** (402. 411). Strains with different first numerals are products of separate mutagenesis experiments, and thus are independent insertions at the identical nucleotide.



FIGURE 3.-In vitro expression of nod protein products. Coupled transcription-translation was conducted with an *R. meliloti* extract and analyzed on SDS-polyacrylamide gels as described in Materials and Methods. Plasmids directing **in vifro** protein synthesis are as follows: Lane **1:** vector pADl0 (control for lanes 2-6). Lane 2: plasmid pRmS2O; Lane 3: plasmid pRmF41; Lane 4: plasmid pRmF40; Lane *5:* plasmid pRmF48; Lane 6: plasmid pRmF49; Lane 7: plasmid pRmS **15;** Lane 8: vector pUCl9 (control for lane 7).

corresponding transcript **(WILLIAMS** and **MASON 1985).** In addition, the appropriate cloned single stranded **DNAs** were annealed with the same unlabeled oligonucleotide primers, and sequencing ladders were generated by dideoxy chain termination reactions **from** these primers. The sequencing ladders and RNA-complementary primer extension products were electrophoresed in parallel on sequencing gels to establish the position **of** the transcript initiation sites.

### RESULTS

**DNA sequence of the extended** *nod* **gene region:**  In the R. *meliloti* genome, mutations in a region map ping between *nodDABC* and *nijHDK* cause severely delayed and **Nod-** phenotypic changes **(SWANSON** *et*  al. **1987).** We determined the nucleotide sequence **of**  this DNA segment and also located the precise transposon insertion sites **of** all the Tn5 mutants which

mapped in this region (Figure 1). We were thereby able to correlate Nod<sup>-</sup> phenotype directly with the position of a given Tn5 insertion. The DNA sequence, shown in Figure 2, A and B, was analyzed for open reading frames (ORFs) and other features to better understand the molecular and genetic organization of this region.

ORFs **defining** *nodF, nodE* **and** *nodG.* In Figure 2A, three ORFs are presented, starting at nucleotides 412, 694 and 2395. The first two of these lie within a segment in which  $Tn<sub>5</sub>$  insertions cause significant delays and reductions in nodulation of alfalfa (SWAN-SON *et al.* 1987). These two ORFs have been designated *nodF* and *nodE,* according to the convention of SHEARMAN *et al.* (1986) for *R. leguminosarum,* DE-BELLE and SHARMA (1986) and ROSTAS et al. (1986) for *R. meliloti,* and SCHOFIELD and WATSON (1986) for R. *trifolii*; they are equivalent to the R. *meliloti* strain 41 genes designated *hsnA* and *hsnB* by **HOR-**VATH *et al.* (1986). *nodF* specifies a protein of 93 amino acids (M, 9,760) and *nodE* encodes one of 402 amino acids (M, 41,779). Downstream of *nodE* is a DNA segment approximately 500 bp long, in which several short ORFs initiating with Met were found, but none were larger than about 40 amino acids. This is followed (at nucleotide 2395 of Figure 2A) by an ORF which we designated *nodG* (245 amino acids, *M,*  26,058) after DEBELLÉ and SHARMA (1986) [also called *hsnC* (HORVATH *et al.* 1986)l.

Tn5 insertions generated in the accompanying study (SWANSON 1987) (Figure 1) were located within the sequence shown in Figure 2A. Several points are noteworthy. Transposons 307, 3 16, 304, 703, 705, 614, 510, 708, 906 and 913 had previously been shown to cause marked decreases and delays in nodulation. The insertion point for transposon 307 lies within the ORF for *nodF,* and the others lie in the ORF for *nodE.* By contrast, strains 402 and 41 1, which display no altered symbiotic phenotype, have Tn5 inserted about 120 bp downstream from the end of nodE; this provides a bracket for the Nod<sup>-</sup> phenotype, and is consistent with the ORFs determined by DNA sequence analysis.

An almost normal nodulation phenotype is seen with mutant 314 (nucleotide 2553, Figure 2A), which was the only Tn5 insertion found in the large ORF of nodG. A transposon insertion nif-distal to 314, 805, also shows a slight delay in nodulation, although its position does not coincide with that of a significant ORF.

Transposon insertion 216 was found to have a severely altered Nod<sup>-</sup> phenotype, resulting in a pronounced delay in nodule formation (SWANSON *et al.*  1987). Interestingly, several large ORFs were found when the sequence of the DNA flanking insert 216 was determined. Two of these read in the same direction as *nodF,* E, and *G,* and begin with Met residues at nucleotides 3544 and 3732 (Figure 2A). The two lie in different reading frames, and each is continuous through the *EcoRI* site. Protein product analysis (see below) and preliminary sequence analysis downstream of the  $Eco$ RI site is consistent with the site at nucleotide 3544 being functional in translation initiation. In the opposite orientation, an ORF extends from the EcoRI site through nucleotide 3430 (Figure 2A). Which, if any, of these ORFs constitutes a gene is currently under analysis by additional DNA sequence determination of this region, and by transcript, protein, and complementation analyses.

ORF **defining** *nodH:* Figure 2B shows the DNA sequence of a large ORF reading divergently from the ORFs shown in Figure 2A. This ORF has been designated *nodH* by DEBELLÉ and SHARMA (1986) and ROSTAS *et al.* (1986), and *hsnD* by HORVATH et *al.*   $(1986).$ 

Three Tn5 insertions, two of which are probably siblings, were found to lie in the ORF of *nodH,* all of these,  $210$ ,  $212$ , and  $912$ , caused very marked reductions in nodule number and a long delay in the appearance of the few nodules which did form (SWANSON *et al.* 1987). The phenotypes of these transposon insertions thus correlate perfectly with their position within *nodH.* A downstream transposon, 505, which exhibits no altered phenotype, lies outside the ORF for *nodH.* 

**Protein products:** Several features of the nucleotide sequence were confirmed by analysis of protein products encoded by specific segments of the *nod* gene region. In the first set of analyses, *nod* gene segments were cloned into the expression vector pAD10 (EGEL-HOFF and LONG 1985), in which transcription is driven by the *trp* promoter of *S. typhimurium.* We had previously shown (FISHER *et* al. 1987) that the almost identical *trp* promoter of *E. coli* is recognized and efficiently utilized by R. *meliloti* RNA polymerase. Coupled **transcription-translation** was conducted *in vitro*  with an *R. meliloti* extract to express radiolabeled polypeptides for analysis by PAGE and autoradiography. Clones pRmS2O and pRmS21 (see Figure 1) were used to analyze the *nodH* gene segment. An *in vitro*  translation product of apparent molecular weight 29,000 was produced by expression of clone pRmS20 (Figure 3, lane 2, *arrow),* corresponding to the ORF for *nodH* and in excellent agreement with the predicted size of 28,552. By contrast, clone pRmS21, in which transcription proceeds in the opposite direction, produced no insert-specific translation products (data not shown).

Clones pRmF48, pRmF40, pRmF41 and pRmF40 were used to analyze the segment of DNA encoding *nodG* and *nod-216* (Figure 1). A protein product of approximately 28,000 is generated only by plasmids pRmF48 and pRmF49 (Figure 3, lanes 5 and 6). This

size agrees well with that predicted by the DNA sequence of this region for *nodG* (26,058). The inserts in these two plasmids contain DNA which lies directly upstream of the putative *nodG* translation start site. Plasmids pRmF40 and pRmF41, whose promoterproximal insert ends begin downstream of the *nodG*  translation start site (see Figure l), do not synthesize the *nodG* product (Figure 3, lanes 3 and 4). A smaller protein product of approximately 20,000 is produced by pRmF40, pRmF41, pRmF48 and pRmF49 (Figure 3, lanes 3-6). The size of this product corresponds well with that of an insert-vector fusion polypeptide which is specified by the DNA sequence of the *nod-*216 region, and is consistent with translation initiation occurring at nucleotide 3544 of Figure 2A for ORF-216.

Plasmid pRmS15, which includes the DNA segment spanning *nodF* and *nodE,* produces proteins of approximately 42,500 and 13,000 (Figure 3, lane 7, *arrows),* which correspond well with the predicted sizes of 41,779 and 9,760 for the *nodE* and *nodF*  ORFs, respectively (Figure 2A). Expression of pRmS 15 also gives rise to a polypeptide migrating at about 8,800. This presumably results from the fusion of the *nodG* sequence to an in-phase ORF lying downstream of the *SphI* site in the pUC 19 polylinker. Such a fusion would result in the synthesis of a hybrid polypeptide of 7,978. It is not known whether this product arises *in vitro* from the same transcript as the *nodF* and *nodE* proteins.

Analysis of the DNA sequence of *nodG* was complicated in two positions by regions of band compression on the sequencing gels. We therefore decided to confirm the choice and expected size of the putative ORF we had deduced for *nodG* by independent methods. The full length (245 amino acid residue) *nodG* gene product is expressed by pRmF48 and pRmF49 (Figure 4A, lanes 7 and 8; 4B, *top line).* We made use of fusion plasmids which permit testing of multiple open reading frames, and created fusions of more than one segment of the *nodG* sequence, to confirm that the indicated ORF was correct. We cloned the *nodG* segment into the *PstI* site of pUC8, pUC9 and pUCll8, which fuses the *nodG* coding sequence to the vector *lacZ* in three different reading frames, and analyzed protein expression directed by these constructs. pRmF51 used a *PstI* linker to fuse the *nodG* coding sequence to *lacZ* in pUC8; the sequence of this fusion is shown in the bottom line of Figure 4B. When this plasmid was used to direct protein synthesis in a coupled transcription-translation system, it generated the predicted 232 amino acid residue polypeptide shown in Figure 4A, lane 1). When this same PstI-linkered fragment was inserted into pUC9 and pUC118, creating fusions in the other two reading frames, and used to direct protein synthesis, no large insert-specific proteins were produced (data not shown). In addition, pRmS25 fused *lac2* in frame to the *nodG* coding sequence at the *SphI* site (Figure 4B, *middle line),*  resulting in a 227 amino acid fusion protein (Figure 4A, lanes 4 and 6). Thus these two independent sets of fusions confirmed that the predicted *nodG* ORF shown in Figure 2A was correct. This analysis also demonstrates that insertion 3 14 interrupts the *nodG*  ORF; thus the lack of altered phenotype of this insertion mutant is in contrast to the nodG::Tn5 insertion mutants reported by HORVATH *et* al. (1986).

Because insert-specific expression of pRmS25 and pRmF51 was controlled by the *E. coli* wild-type lac promoter, expression *in vitro* by *E. coli* extracts was dramatically enhanced upon addition of exogenous cyclic AMP (CAMP) to the template-extract mixture (Figure 4A, lanes 1 *vs.* 2; lanes 4 and 6 *vs.* 3 and 5). Expression of the full-length *nodG* protein from the *trp* promoter on pRmF48 and pRmF49 is shown in Figure 4A, lanes 7 and 8. In other experiments we were able to show that CAMP was unable to stimulate expression from the *lac* promoter in *R. meliloti* extracts (data not shown).

**Transcription initiation sites:** To determine the transcription start sites of the *nod* gene proteins, we isolated RNA from R. *meliloti* grown under free-living conditions and under conditions which induce *nod*  gene expression. Synthetic oligonucleotides complementary to the coding regions of *nodG, nodF* and *nodH* (Figure 1) were used to carry out both primer extension reactions with the RNA, and a series of DNA sequencing reactions with single strand derivatives of pRmF32 (for *nodG),* pRmS23 (for *nodF)* and B20 (for *nodH).* Electrophoresis on sequencing gels revealed a single defined start site for the *nodH* induced transcript (Figure 5, left panel) and distinct *nodF* induced transcription start sites at a few adjacent nucleotides (Figure 5, *right panel).* Adjacent lanes show extension on uninduced transcripts. The transcription start sites are indicated in the DNA sequence shown in Figure 2. The primer extension products for the *nodF* induced transcript also included a prominent lower molecular weight band, which is consistent with a transcript 5' end at nucleotide 284 (Figure 2A). This corresponds to the beginning of an inverted repeat capable of generating a stable RNA hairpin  $(\Delta G = -16.2 \text{ kcal/mol})$ . While it is possible that this base represents an alternative *in vivo* transcription initiation site, it is also possible that the primary transcript is degraded or processed, and that the hairpin secondary structure serves to stabilize the RNA from further degradation (BELASCO *et* al. 1985).

Primer extension attempts using a *nodG* primer yielded no defined RNA-complementary product (data not shown). This may indicate the absence of nodG-homologous RNA in the R. *meliloti* cells, or it



Pst I ATGACCATGATTACGAATTCCCGGGGATCCGTCGACCTGCAGGCTCAGGGC... ThrMetIleThrAsnSerArgGlySerValAspLeuGInAlaGlnGly...

may indicate that the RNA starts further upstream than the end of the DNA template (pRmF32) used to generate the comparison sequencing ladder. In the latter situation, this would be consistent with *nodC*  being part of the *nodFE* operon.

# **DISCUSSION**

The regulation, expression and function of genes involved in nodulation are not yet understood mechanistically. Analysis of open reading frames and transcripts provides an initial set **of** clues to the function and regulation of these genes. The four ORFs described here agree exactly with those reported recently by DEBELLI: and **SHARMA (1** 986) for the sibling strain R. meliloti 2011. Another recent DNA sequence, determined by HORVATH et al. (1986) in R. *meliloti* strain **4** 1, largely agrees with that shown here **for** *nodH* and *nodE;* they designate these genes as *hsnD*  and *hsnB,* respectively. In contrast, substantial differences, including alternative choice of reading frames in portions of the sequences, exist between our *nodG*  and *nodF* sequence and the *(nodC) hsnC* and *(nodF) hsnA* sequence of **HORVATH** *et al.* (1986). We used repeated sequencing **of** *nodC* and translational fusions to confirm the *nodC* sequence presented here for strain 102 1. **A** single bp difference in the *nodF (hsnA)*  sequences of strains 1021 and 41 results in a frameshift which completely accounts for the differences in the carboxyl third of the *nodF* proteins.

FIGURE 4.-Use of protein fusions to



FIGURE 5.-Primer extension to determine transcription start **sites for** *nodF* **and** *nodH.* **DNA primers complementary to 15 nucleotide segments within the structural genes of** *nodF* **and** *nodH*  **were used to direct DNA synthesis complementary to transcripts**  isolated from luteolin-induced (i) and uninduced (u) R. meliloti. **Products were separated by electrophoresis on sequencing gels adjacent to dideoxy-termination sequencing ladders generated using the same oligomers as primers on appropriate single stranded DNA templates.** *(Left)* **A single major transcript start site for** *nodH*  **mRNA is indicated (arrow). Minor bands seen at lower molecular weights are sometimes more prominent.** *(Right)* **Four potential start sites are seen for the** *nodF* **transcript (arrow)** *(see* **summary on sequence, Figure 2A). Prominent lower molecular weight bands**  (open arrow) correspond in position to an inverted repeat in the **sequence of the** *nodF* **leader, which could cause formation of an RNA hairpin secondary structure (Figure 2A).** 

DNA sequence determinations have been made for *nod* genes in *R. leguminosarum* (SHEARMAN *et al.* **1986)**  and *R. tnyolii* (SCHOFIELD and WATSON **1986),** to

which *nodF* and *nodE* from R. *meliloti* show substantial homology. SHEARMAN *et al.* (1986) have pointed out homology between the deduced amino acid sequence of R. *leguminosarum nodF* and **of** acyl carrier protein from E. *coli* and barley; homologous sequences are largely conserved in *R. meliloti nodF* as well. While one potential function for the *nodF* gene product might be in lipid synthesis **or** modification, it has been recently reported that *E. coli* acyl carrier protein functions in the synthesis of an extracellular  $\beta$ -1,2-glucan (THERISOD, WEISSBORN and KENNEDY **1986).** Since this molecule is present in *Rhizobium* and *Agrobacterium* (PUVANESARAJAH *et al.* **1985),** both **of** which stimulate abnormal plant growth, a role for a specialized acyl carrier protein in glucan biosynthesis should be investigated. No DNA sequence homologies to other known genes are obvious for *nodE*; a hydropathy analysis (KYTE and DOOLITTLE 1982) of the predicted amino acid sequence indicates it to be largely hydrophobic (grease index  $= +0.10$ ). Thus *nodE* joins the ranks of other *nod* gene proteins likely to be membrane localized (JACOBS, EGELHOFF and LONG **1985;**  JOHN *et al.* **1985;** EVANS and DOWNIE **1986).** The nodG amino acid sequence was shown by DEBELLE and SHARMA **(1986)** to have homology to that of ribitol dehydrogenase of *Klebsiella pneumoniae;* its hydropathy also reveals substantial hydrophobic character (grease index  $= +0.09$ ). Using the FASTP protein comparison program (LIPMAN and PEARSON **1985),**  we found significant *nodG* amino acid sequence homologies to alcohol dehydrogenase and glucose dehydrogenase as well. The *nodH* protein coding region has a very unusual feature, in that the polypeptide has a high proline content **(21** out of **245** residues). This may give rise to a protein with an unusual tertiary structure; however, the polypeptide expressed *in* vitro from the *nodH* clone migrates with the expected mobility in SDS-polyacrylamide gels (Figure 3).

An *in* vitro **transcription-translation** expression system from R. *meliloti* was useful in identifying and defining protein products **of** the *nod* genes. In these experiments, two exogenous promoters were used to direct expression of the *nod* genes *in vitro.* We had previously shown that R. *meliloti* RNA polymerase could efficiently initiate transcription from an enteric *trp* promoter (FISHER *et al.* **1987).** In addition, we also utilized the E. *coli lac* promoter to direct *nod* gene expression in the presence of *R. meliloti* extracts. However, we found that addition of CAMP to the extract, which greatly enhances *lac* promoter function in E. *coli* (ZUBAY, SCHWARTZ and BECKWITH **1970).** has no detectable effect on *in* vitro use **of** the *lac* promoter by the *R. meliloti* extract (data not shown). It is possible that the catabolite activation protein (CAMP receptor protein) which complexes with CAMP, and binds near and enhances function of the *lac* promoter in *E. coli,*  does not exist in *Rhizobium* or does not bind CAMP or the *E. coli* target DNA sequence.

The *Rhizobium* extract directs the synthesis of *nodF*  and *nodE* gene products and also what is probably a *nodG* fusion protein from pRmS15 (Figure **3,** lane 7). Since in pRmS15 the *nodF* translation start site is over 600 bp downstream from the vector *lac* transcription start site, it is possible that the transcript which directs synthesis of these polypeptides originates not from the *lac* promoter, but from a sequence within the *nod*  gene clone. The *nodF* regions studied in *R. leguminosarum* and *R. trifolii* are transcribed only in flavoneinduced cells (SHEARMAN *et al.* 1986; REDMOND *et al.*  1986), and it would be unexpected and interesting to observe transcription arising from a *nodF* promoter in an *in vitro* extract isolated from noninduced cells. Towards this end, we are determining the transcript start sites for these *in vitro* products. This constitutes a first step toward analyzing the factors involved in inducible *nod* promoter function. The expression of a possible *nodG* fusion protein from plasmid pRmS15 suggests either that it is expressed from its own promoter, or that the *nodF-nodE in vitro* transcript may read through to *nodG.* Although it has been proposed by ROSTAS *et al.* (1986) that *nodG (hsnC)* must have its own promoter due to the Nod<sup>+</sup> phenotype of transposon insertions between *nodE (hsnB)* and *nodG (hsnC),* this can only be confirmed by transcription analysis. Our studies of RNA from this region failed to detect a transcription start site between *nodE* and *nodG,* and left open the possibility that transcription initiates much further upstream.

ROSTAS *et al.* (1986) cloned and sequenced six segments of the *R. meliloti* strain 41 genome which displayed considerable homology in a 50-bp region. Three of these segments lay upstream of *R. meliloti nod* genes *nodA, nodF (hsnA)* and *nodH (hsnD).* SCHO-FIELD and WATSON (1986), SCOTT (1986), and SHEAR-MAN *et al.* (1986), studying *R. trifolii, Bradyrhizobium*  sp. (Parasponia), and *R. leguminosarum,* respectively, also observed this highly conserved sequence upstream of *nodA* and *nodF* in these species. This sequence *("nod* box") has been postulated to regulate co-ordinately *nod* gene function (ROSTAS *et al.* 1986), but until now this function remained speculation since no transcription initiation sites had been determined for any inducible *nod* genes. In this study, our primer extension mapping demonstrated that the transcript start sites for *nodH* and *nodF* lie downstream of each *nod* box by **28-** and 26-bp, respectively (Figure **2, A**  and **B).** In work to be published elsewhere, the *nodA*  transcript start site has also been mapped at a similar distance from its *nod* box. The features of these three promoters and their behavior when regulated by an additional locus, *syrM,* are discussed in a separate study (J. T. MULLIGAN and **S.** R. LONG, unpublished

data). The position of the transcript start sites in relation to the *nod* box is consistent with the idea that the *nod* box functions as an upstream regulatory sequence. However, the *nod* box is centered further upstream than the usual consensus sequence for a prokaryotic RNA polymerase binding site (MCCLURE 1985; REZNIKOFF *et al.* 1985). The transcription start sites demonstrated here for *nodF* and *nodH* appear to rule out the involvement of the sequences homologous to the *nif* promoter in regulation of expression (Ros-TAS *et al.* 1986), since these sequences lie within the transcribed leader of at least one of the genes. Thus, in *Rhizobium,* the nature of a regulatory element analogous to the *E.*  $\text{coli } -10$  consensus sequence remains to be determined for *nod* gene promoters.

The phenotype for one transposon insertion mutant, 7 10, which lies between the *nodF* nod-box and transcription start site for *nodF,* shows a significant reduction in the number of nodules formed compared to wild-type (SWANSON *et al.* 1987). However, another mutant, 109, which lies one base inside the transcript leader for *nodH,* has a wild-type nodulation phenotype. Transposon insertions mapped by HORVATH *et al.* (1 986) to positions just upstream of *nodH* and *nodF*  translation start sites, and thus likely to lie in the transcript leader region, also have completely Nod<sup>+</sup> phenotypes. This is likely due to the documented nonpolarity of Tn5 (CORBIN, BARRAN and DITTA 1983; HORVATH *et* al. 1986, MULLICAN and **LONG**  1985). This observation reinforces the importance of conducting detailed RNA and protein analyses to accompany genetic studies of regulation.

**We are grateful to M. ORBACH for gifts of pUCll8, pUCll9, and M13K07, and for his helpful advice on their use to generate single stranded DNA for sequencing; T. EGELHOFF, M. YELTON and P. GOLLNICK for materials and suggestions on coupled transcription/translation experiments; S. KUSTU and** J. **KEENER for suggestions on S-30 protocols; and C. YANOFSKY for advice on mRNA isolation. The expert technical assistance of M. WORTHING-**TON is greatly appreciated. We also thank F. DEBELLÉ for commu**nicating unpublished DNA sequence data, and gratefully acknowledge the exchange of unpublished information from J. DENARIE, A. KONDOROSI and his colleagues,** J. **A. DOWNIE, A. W. B. JOHN-STON, M. A. DJORDJEVIC, K. SCOTT,** J. **WATSON, and B. G. ROLFE. We are indebted to N. FEDERSPIEL, H. BRIERLEY, M. YELTON and K. PETERS for comments on the manuscript, and A. BLOOM for her skill in its preparation. This research was supported by contract DE-AS03-82-ER12084 from the Department of Energy Division of Biological Energy Research.** 

# **LITERATURE CITED**

- **Amersham Corporation, 1983 M13 Cloning and Sequencing Handbook. Amersham Corp., Arlington Heights, Ill.**
- **BELASCO,** J. **G.,** J. T. **BEATTY, C. W. ADAMS, A. VON GABAIN and S.** N. **COHEN, 1985 Differential expression of photosynthesis genes in** *R. capsulata* **results from segmental differences in**  stability within the polycistronic *rxcA* transcript. Cell **40:** 171-**181.**
- **CORBIN, D.,** L. **BARRAN and G. DITTA. 1983 Organization and**

expression *of Rhizobium meliloti* nitrogen fixation genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **80: 3005-3009.** 

- DEBELL% F. and **S.** B. SHARMA, **1986** Nucleotide sequence *of Rhizobium meliloti* RCR2Ol **1** genes involved in host specificity of nodulation. Nucleic Acids Res. **14: 7453-7472.**
- DUSHA, I., J. SCHRODER, P. PUTNOKY, *2.* BANFALVI and A. KON-WROSI, **1986** A cell-free system from *Rhizobium meliloti* to study the specific expression of nodulation genes. Eur. J. Biochem. **160 69-75.**
- *Rhizobium meliloti* nodu-EGELHOFF, T. T. and **S.** R. LONG, **1985**  lation genes: identification of *nodDABC* gene products, purification *of nodA* protein, and expression of *nodA* in *Rhizobium meliloti.* J. Bacteriol. **164: 591-599.**
- EGELHOFF, T. T., R. F. FISHER, T. W. JACOBS, J. T. MULLIGAN and **S.** R. LONG, **1985** Nucleotide sequence of *Rhizobium meliloti*  **102 1** nodulation genes: *nodD* is read divergently from *nodABC.*  DNA **4: 241-248.**
- EVANS, I. J. and J. A. DOWNIE, 1986 The *nodI* gene product of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* is closely related to ATP-binding bacterial transport proteins; nucleotide sequence analysis of the *nodl* and *nod]* genes. Gene **43: 95-1** 0 **1.**
- FIRMIN, J. L., K. E. WILSON, L. ROSSEN and A. W. B. JOHNSTON, **1986** Flavonoid activation **of** nodulation genes in *Rhizobium*  reversed by other compounds present in plants. Nature **324 90-92.**
- FISHER, R. F., H. L. BRIERLEY, J.T. MULLIGAN and **S.** R. LONG, **1987** Transcription of *Rhizobium meliloti* nodulation genes: identification *of* a *nodD* transcription initiation site *in vitro* and *in Vivo.* J. Biol. Chem. **262: 6849-6855.**
- and functional analysis of cloned deoxyribonucleic acid containing the *trpR-thr* region of the *Escherichia* coli chromosome. J. Bacteriol. **140 106-1 13.**  GUNSALUS, R. P., G. ZURAWSKIand c. YANOFSKY, **1979** Structural
- HENIKOFF, S., **1984** Unidirectional digestion with exonuclease **111**  creates targeted breakpoints for DNA sequencing. Gene **28: 35 1-359.**
- HORVATH, B., E. KONDOROSI, M. JOHN, J. SCHMIDT, I. TÖRÖK, Z. GYORGYPAL, I. BARABAS, U.WIENEKE, J. SCHELL and A. KON-**DOROSI, 1986** Organization, structure and symbiotic function *of Rhizobium meliloti* nodulation genes determining host specificity *for* alfalfa. Cell **46: 335-343.**
- INNES, R. W., P. L. KUEMPEL, J.PLAZINSKI, H. CANTER-CREMERS, B. G. ROLFE and M. A. DJORDJEVIC, **1985** Plant factors induce expression of nodulation and host-range genes in *Rhizobium triyolii.* Mol. Gen. Genet. **201: 426-432.**
- JACOBS, T. W., T. T. EGELHOFF and **S.** R. LONG, **1985** Physical and genetic map of a *Rhizobium meliloti* nodulation gene region and nucleotide sequence of *nodC.* J. Bacteriol. **162: 469-476.**
- JOHN, M., J. SCHMIDT, U. WIENEKE, E. KONDOROSI, A. KONDOROSI and J. SCHEU. **1985** Expression *of* the nodulation gene *nodC*  of *Rhizobium meliloti* in *Escherichia coli:* role of the *nodC* gene product in nodulation. EMBO J. **4 2425-2430.**
- A simple method *for* dis-KYTE, J. and R. F. DOOLITTLE, **1982**  playing the hydropathic character *of* a protein. J. Mol. Biol. **157: 105-132,**
- LAEMMLI, U. K., 1970 Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head *of* bacteriophage T4. Nature **227: 680- 685.**
- LIPMAN, D. J. and W. R. PEARSON, 1985 Rapid and sensitive protein similarity searches. Science **227: 1435-1441.**
- *Molecular*  MANIATIS, T., E. F. FRITSCH and J. SAMBROOK, **1982**  *Cloning.* Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- McCLURE, W. R., 1985 Mechanism and control of transcription

initiation in prokaryotes. Annu. Rev. Biochem. **54 17 1-204.** 

- MESSING, J., 1983 New M13 vectors for cloning. Methods Enzymol. **101: 20-78.**
- Induction *of Rhizobium*  MULLIGAN, J.T. and **S.** R. LONG, **1985**  *meliloti nodC* expression by plant exudate requires *nodD.* Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **82: 6609-66 13.**
- PETERS, N. K., J. W. FROST and S. R. LONG, 1986 A plant flavone, luteolin, induces expression of *Rhizobium meliloti* nodulation genes. Science **233: 977-980.**
- PUVANESARAJAH, V., F. M. SCHELL, G. STACEY, C. J. DOUGLAS and E. W. NESTER,  $1985$  Role for 2-linked- $\beta$ -p-glucan in the virulence of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens.* J. Bacteriol. 164: 102-106.
- REDMOND, J.W., M. BATLEY, M. A. DJORDJEVIC, R. W. INNES, P. L. KUEMPEL and B. G. ROLFE, **1986** Flavones induce expression of nodulation genes in *Rhizobium.* Nature **323: 632-634.**
- REZNIKOFF, W. S., D. A. SIEGELE, D. W. COWING and C. A. GROSS, **1985** The regulation of transcription initiation in bacteria. Annu. Rev. Genet. **19 355-387.**
- ROSENBERG, C., F. CASSE-DELBART, **1.** DUSHA, M. DAVID and C. BOUCHER, **1982** Megaplasmids in the plant-associated bacteria *Rhizobium meliloti* and *Pseudomonas solanacearum.* J. Bacterial. **150: 402-406.**
- ROSSEN, L., C. A. SHEARMAN, A. W. B.JOHNSTON and J. A. DOWNIE, **1985** The *nodD* gene of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* is autoregulatory and in the presence of plant exudate induces the *nodA,*  B, *C* genes. EMBO J. **4 3369-3373.**
- **ROSTAS,** K., E. KONDOROSI, B. HORVATH, A. SIMONCSITS and A. KONDOROSI, **1986** Conservation of extended promoter regions *of* nodulation genes in *Rhizobium.* Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **83: 1757-1761.**
- SANGER, F., S. NICKLEN and A. R. COULSON, 1977 DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **74: 5463-5467.**
- SCHOFIELD, P. R. and J. M. WATSON, 1986 DNA sequence of *Rhizobium triyolii* nodulation genes reveals a reiterated and potentially regulatory sequence preceding *nodABC* and *nodFE.*  Nucleic Acids Res. **14 2891-2903.**
- SCOTT, K. F., **1986** Conserved nodulation genes from the nonlegume symbiont *Bradyrhizobium* sp. *(Parasponiu).* Nucleic Acids Res. **14: 2905-2919.**
- SHEARMAN, C. A., L. ROSSEN, A. W. B. JOHNSTON and J. A. DOWNIE, **1986** The *Rhizobium leguminosarum* nodulation gene *nodF*  encodes a polypeptide similar to acyl-carrier protein and is regulated by *nodD* plus a factor in pea root exudate. EMBO J. **5: 647-652.**
- SWANSON, J. A., J. K. TU, J. OGAWA, R. SANGA, R. F. FISHER and **S.** R. LONG, **1987** Extended region of nodulation genes in *Rhizobium meliloti* **1021. I.** Phenotypes *of* Tn5 insertion mutants. Genetics **117: 181-189.**
- THERISOD, H., A. C. WEISSBORN and E. P. KENNEDY, **1986** An essential function for acyl carrier protein in the biosynthesis *of*  membrane-derived oligosaccharides *of E. coli.* Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **83: 7236-7240.**
- WILLIAMS, J. G. and P. J. MASON, 1985 Hybridization in the analysis of RNA. pp. **139-160.** In: *Nucleic Acid Hybridization:*  <sup>A</sup>*Practical Approach,* Edited by B. D. HAMES and **S.** J. HIGGINS. IRL Press, Washington, D.C.
- ZUBAY, G., D. SCHWARTZ and J. BECKWITH, 1970 The mechanism of action of catabolite sensitive genes. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. **35 433-435.**
- ZUBAY, G., D. E. MORSE, W. J. SCHRENK and J. H. MILLER, **1972** Detection and isolation of the repressor protein *for* the tryptophan operon *of Escherichia coli.* Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 69: 1100-1103.

Communicating editor: J. ROTH