# Extended Region of Nodulation Genes in *Rhizobium meliloti* 1021. II. Nucleotide Sequence, Transcription Start Sites and Protein Products

Robert F. Fisher, Jean A. Swanson, John T. Mulligan and Sharon R. Long

Department of Biological Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305 Manuscript received April 9, 1987 Revised copy accepted July 9, 1987

## ABSTRACT

We have established the DNA sequence and analyzed the transcription and translation products of a series of putative nodulation (nod) genes in Rhizobium meliloti strain 1021. Four loci have been designated nodF, nodE, nodG and nodH. The correlation of transposon insertion positions with phenotypes and open reading frames was confirmed by sequencing the insertion junctions of the transposons. The protein products of these nod genes were visualized by in vitro expression of cloned DNA segments in a R. meliloti transcription-translation system. In addition, the sequence for nodG was substantiated by creating translational fusions in all three reading frames at several points in the sequence; the resulting fusions were expressed in vitro in both E. coli and R. meliloti transcriptiontranslation systems. A DNA segment bearing several open reading frames downstream of nodG corresponds to the putative nod gene mutated in strain nod-216. The transcription start sites of nodF and nodH were mapped by primer extension of RNA from cells induced with the plant flavone, luteolin. Initiation of transcription occurs approximately 25 bp downstream from the conserved sequence designated the "nod box," suggesting that this conserved sequence acts as an upstream regulator of inducible nod gene expression. Its distance from the transcription start site is more suggestive of an activator binding site rather than an RNA polymerase binding site.

I N a companion study (SWANSON et al. 1987), we establish a physical and genetic map for the DNA between the common nod genes and the nif region. We report here the molecular analysis of a portion of this region. The determination of DNA sequence and identification of protein products for this region should provide approaches for analysis of individual gene functions and gene interactions, which will be important in the dissection of the complex host-specific nodulation of legumes by *Rhizobium*.

Analysis of function requires an understanding of gene expression. The nodABC genes of Rhizobium meliloti 1021 are not expressed in free-living cells (MULLIGAN and LONG 1985). Exposure of R. meliloti to plants or to plant exudates causes induction of these genes, as shown by nodC-lacZ fusions (MULLIGAN and LONG 1985) and by use of antibody to nodA protein to detect gene products (EGELHOFF and LONG 1985). The factors in alfalfa (Medicago sativa) exudates which cause induction are small aromatic molecules, and the most active has been identified by PETERS, FROST and LONG (1986) as the flavone luteolin (3',4',5,7-tetrahydroxyflavone). Studies in R. trifolii and R. leguminosarum show that, in addition to nodABC, other nodulation gene clusters are plant-inducible (INNES et al. 1985; SHEARMAN et al. 1986). The factors from white clover, Trifolium repens, responsible for induction have been isolated and identified as flavones, primarily 4',7-dihydroxyflavone and two related molecules (REDMOND et al. 1986). In pea, Pisum sativum, a complex mixture of flavones, some of which may be glycosylated, appears to induce the nod genes of R. leguminosarum (FIRMIN et al. 1986); this effect is reproduced in free-living cells by the application of flavones such as apigenin and flavanones such as naringenin. The one known nod gene which is constitutive rather than inducible is nodD (MULLIGAN and LONG 1985). In R. meliloti and R. leguminosarum, induction of nodABC by plant exudates is in fact dependent on nodD expression (MULLIGAN and LONG 1985; ROSSEN et al. 1985).

Recent studies of nod gene expression in R. leguminosarum and R. trifolii show that nodF and other nod genes are also induced by treatment of cells with plant exudates or flavone inducers (SHEARMAN et al. 1986; REDMOND et al. 1986). The apparently coordinate expression of several operons of nod genes may be related to a highly conserved sequence which is found upstream of nodABC, nodFE, nodH and other sequences in R. meliloti (ROSTAS et al. 1986, DEBELLÉ and SHARMA 1986; FISHER et al. 1987), R. leguminosarum (SHEARMAN et al. 1986), R. trifolii (SCHOFIELD and WATSON 1986), and Bradyrhizobium sp. (Parasponia) (SCOTT 1986). This sequence, tentatively designated as the "nod box," may represent a control region. However, its relationship to nod gene tran-

The sequence data presented in this article have been submitted to the EMBL/GenBank Data Libraries under the accession No. Y00604.

scriptional start sites *in vivo* has not previously been examined. In this report, we determine the *in vivo* transcriptional initiation site for several *nod* genes and show that in each case the *nod* box lies 25–28 bp upstream of the start site.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains: Plasmids used in this study are shown in Figure 1 or described below. *R. meliloti* 1021 (EGELHOFF and LONG 1985) and RCR2011 (ROSENBERG *et al.* 1982), and *E. coli* HB101 (MANIATIS, FRITSCH and SAMBROOK 1982) and JM101 (MESSING 1983) were grown in bacteriological media as described by SWANSON *et al.* (1987).

**Materials:** Restriction enzymes were obtained from Bethesda Research Laboratories and Promega Biotec. T4 polynucleotide kinase, exonuclease III, S1 nuclease and *PstI* linkers were also from Bethesda Research Laboratories. T4 DNA ligase and avian myeloblastosis virus reverse transcriptase were obtained from BioRad Laboratories.

**Plasmid and phage constructions:** Most of the plasmids used for DNA sequencing and protein expression are shown in Figure 1 and were constructed as follows. Subcloning was accomplished by techniques described by MANIATIS, FRITSCH and SAMBROOK (1982).

Construction of B27 and B28 depended on the intermediates described below. pRmJT16 is a 3.3-kb *Eco*RI-*Cla*I fragment of the 15.5-kb *Eco*RI fragment of pRmJT5 (SWAN-SON *et al.* 1987) cloned into *Eco*RI-*Cla*I-digested pBR322. The 0.2-kb *Eco*RI-*Xho*I fragment of pRmJT16 was cloned into *Eco*RI-*Sal*I-digested M13mp18 and M13mp19 to produce B27 and B28, respectively.

pRmF32 and pRmF33 were generated by cloning the 3.6-kb BamHI fragment of pRmJT16 into the BamHI site of pUC118; this BamHI fragment contains 0.35-kb of pBR322 and 3.25 kb of *R. meliloti* DNA. These two plasmids containing the BamHI fragment in opposite orientations were subsequently digested with PstI and XbaI prior to treatment with exonuclease III to create a nested set of deletions for sequencing both strands of the entire 3.6-kb insert (HENIKOFF 1984).

pRmS15 was constructed by cloning the 2.6-kb SstI-SphI fragment from pRmS5 (SWANSON et al. 1987) into SstI-SphIdigested pUC18.

pRmS23 and pRmS24 were produced by cloning the 1.2kb SalI-SstI fragment from pRmS15 into SalI-SstI-digested pUC118 and pUC119, respectively. pRmS23 was subsequently digested with SalI and SphI, and pRmS24 with BamHI and SstI, before treating with exonuclease III to generate a nested set of deletions for sequencing both strands of the insert.

Tn 5 insertions 109, 210 and 912 (see Figure 1) in pRmJT5 were subcloned into pBR322 to construct pRm-JT20, pRmJT21 and pRmJT23, respectively. The 10.7-kb *Cla1* fragments (containing 5.8 kb of Tn 5 inserted into the 4.9-kb wild-type *Cla1* fragment) were inserted at the vector *Cla1* site. The 0.7-kb *Xho1* fragment from pRmJT21, containing the genome-Tn 5 junction of mutant 210, was inserted into *Sal1*-digested M13mp18 in both orientations to produce B4 and B5. The 1.7-kb *Hind111* fragment from pRmJT21 was cloned into *Hind111*-digested M13mp19 to generate B20. The 1.1-kb *Xho1* fragment from pRmJT20 was inserted into *Sal1*-cleaved M13mp19 in both orientations to make B6 and B7. B24 was constructed by inserting the 2.0-kb *Eco*R1-*Hind111* fragment from pRmJT23 into *Eco*R1-*Hind111*-digested M13mp18, and B26 was made by cloning the 1.9-kb XhoI-Bg1II fragment of pRmJT23 into BamHI-SalI-cleaved M13mp18.

pRmJT17 is the 5.2-kb ClaI fragment from pRmJT5 cloned into the ClaI site of pBR322. The 1.4-kb XhoI-Bg1II fragment of pRmJT17 was inserted into BamHI-SalI-digested M13mp18 to produce B25.

Construction of B30 involved several intermediate plasmids. pRmS505 is Tn 5 insert 505 (see Figure 1) in pRmJT5. The 11-kb *ClaI* fragment from pRmS505 (containing the 5.8-kb Tn 5 insertion inserted into the 5.2-kb *ClaI* fragment) was inserted into *ClaI*-cleaved pBR322 to generate pRm-RF36. The 0.9-kb *XhoI* fragment from pRmRF36 was cloned in *SalI*-digested M13mp19 to give rise to B30.

pRmS17 was constructed by inserting the 0.9-kb SstI-SphI fragment from pRmS5 in SstI-SphI-digested pUC18, and pRmS20 and pRmS21 were generated by cloning the 2.1-kb BamHI-Bg1II fragment from pRmS5 into the BamHI site, in both orientations, of pAD10. pRmS25 was generated by cloning the 1.3-kb SphI-SstI fragment from pRmS5 (SWANSON et al. 1987) into SphI-SstI-cleaved pUC19.

pRmF37, pRmF38, pRmF42 and pRmF43 are exonuclease III-digested derivatives of pRmF32. They were used as sources of *Eco*RI-*Pvu*II fragments of 1.7–2.1 kb which were cloned into *Eco*RI-*Sma*I-digested pAD10 to give rise to the expression plasmids pRmF40, pRmF41, pRmF48 and pRmF49, respectively.

pRmF51 was constructed from the 1.3-kb SphI-SstI fragment of pRmS25. The ends of this fragment were filled in with the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I. Phosphorylated PstI linkers were ligated to this fragment with T4 DNA ligase and cleaved with PstI, and the DNA was precipitated with ethanol. The fragment was separated from excess linkers by agarose gel electrophoresis and inserted into PstIdigested pUC8 to produce pRmF51.

For sequencing the Tn 5 insertion sites of inserts 216, 307, 314, 316, 402, 411, 510, 614, 703, 705, 708, 805 and 913, Bam HI junction fragments, whose one end was in Tn 5 and contained the Kan<sup>R</sup> element, and whose other end was in the *R. meliloti* genome, were inserted into Bam HI-cleaved pUC118. To facilitate production of single-stranded DNA, the Kan<sup>R</sup> element was subsequently eliminated by digestion with HindIII and religation. The positions of Tn 5 inserts 304 and 906 were similarly determined by direct cloning of the appropriate HindIII-BamHI fragments (with the HindIII site in Tn 5 and the BamHI site in the *R. meliloti* genome) in Bam HI-HindIII-digested pUC118.

DNA sequencing: Sequencing was carried out by the dideoxy chain termination technique of SANGER, NICKLEN and COULSON (1977), in vectors M13mp18, M13mp19, pUC118 and pUC119. pUC118 and pUC119 are derivatives of pUC18 and pUC19 into which has been inserted the M13 intergenic region (J. VIEIRA, personal communication). To produce single stranded DNA, Escherichia coli JM101 containing pUC118 or pUC119 derivatives were infected with helper phage M13K07 in 2× YT containing 50  $\mu$ g/ml ampicillin and 70  $\mu$ g/ml kanamycin and shaken at 37° for 14-20 hr. Single-stranded DNA was then isolated as for M13 preparations (Amersham 1983). A series of nested deletions was created by the exonuclease III digestion procedure of HENIKOFF (1984) to sequence the segment spanned by plasmids pRmF32, pRmF33 and pRmS23, pRmS24. The sequencing strategy is shown in Figure 1. The sequence of transposon insertion positions was determined using a Tn5-homologous oligonucleotide as primer, as described below and by EGELHOFF et al. (1985). Overlapping nested deletions were organized and DNA sequence analysis conducted using SEQSORT, AA and RE programs as previously described (EGELHOFF et al. 1985).



FIGURE 1.—Clones used to obtain DNA sequence, location of open reading frames, and position of transposon insertions and of synthetic oligonucleotide primers used to determine transcription initiation sites. The DNA sequencing strategy is shown in the upper part of the figure. In each case (top of diagram), the tail end of the arrow represents the end of a deletion, and the length of the arrow represents how much of the sequence was determined from that deletion; the end of the clone in each case would correspond to the end of the original cloned DNA segment. Triangles on the linear restriction map represent the insertion points for each Tn 5 mutant whose junction sequence was determined. Open reading frames which correlate with the phenotype of Tn 5 insertion mutants are designated by H, F, E, and G, as proposed by ROSTAS et al. (1986) and by DEBELLÉ and SHARMA (1986). Several potential protein coding sequences, present in both directions and more than one reading frame, are found in the DNA segment at the left end of the region shown here. These correlate with Tn 5 insertion 216 and appear to cross the *Eco*RI site; they are designated by ORF-216. Open arrowheads ( $\triangleright$ ) indicate position of oligonucleotides used for primer extension of RNA transcripts. Short horizontal bars (——) indicate the nod-box conserved sequence reported by ROSTAS et al. (1986). For improved figure clarity, the "Rm" designation (e.g., pRmF48) was omitted from all plasmid names. Clones used to analyze protein coding are shown in the bottom part of the figure. Expression was controlled by the Salmonella typhimurium trp promoter (— $\triangleright$ ) of pAD10 (EGELHOFF and LONG 1985) or the lac promoter (— $\triangleright$ ) of pUC18 and pUC19 (MESSING 1983). The direction of transcription controlled by the trp or lac promoter is shown by the orientation of the arrow.

Protein products: DNA segments from the nod gene region were cloned in expression plasmids pAD10, pUC8, pUC18, pUC19 or pUC118 so that transcription initiated either at the Salmonella typhimurium trp promoter (EGEL-HOFF and LONG 1985) (closed arrows, Figure 1 bottom) or the E. coli lac promoter (MESSING 1983) (open arrows, Figure 1 bottom). Plasmids (1 µg) purified by CsCl banding were incubated with a coupled transcription-translation extract from E. coli HB101 or R. meliloti RCR2011, essentially as previously described (GUNSALUS, ZURAWSKI and YANOFSKY 1979). The R. meliloti extract was prepared by a modification of the technique of ZUBAY et al. (1972) as follows: R. meliloti were grown in LB or M9 minimal medium to mid log phase (Klett 200, red filter) and were harvested by centrifugation. The cell pellet was weighed and resuspended in 10 mм Tris-acetate, pH 8.2, 14 mм MgOAc, 6 mм KOAc, 1 mM DTT (1 ml buffer/g cells), and broken in a French press. The in vitro mixture was augmented by the addition of amino acids including 15 µCi of [35S]methionine (GUNSALUS, ZURAWSKI and YANOFSKY 1979). Reaction mixtures (25 µl) were incubated 70 min at 30° or 37°, depending on whether the source of the extract was from *R. meliloti* or *E. coli*, respectively. Protein products, processed as described previously (EGELHOFF and LONG 1985), were separated by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) by the method of LAEMMLI (1970) and visualized by autoradiography. DUSHA *et al.* (1986) recently reported the independent development of a cell-free system from AK631, a derivative of Rm41.

**Transcript mapping:** RNA was isolated from induced *R.* meliloti 1021 grown in M9 minimal liquid medium (with addition of 15  $\mu$ M luteolin for 3 hr) by the technique of C. YANOFSKY (personal communication) as previously described by FISHER et al. (1987). Briefly, cells were harvested on ice, resuspended in a lysis buffer, and extracted directly with phenol, after which the nucleic acids were subjected to several cycles of DNAase treatment followed by phenol extraction. Synthetic end-labeled oligonucleotides complementary to the coding regions for nodG, nodF and nodH (see Figures 1 and 2) were incubated with the RNA preparations, and avian myeloblastosis virus reverse transcriptase was used to extend each primer to the 5' end of the

1935 1950 1965 1980 CGA TGA CCA CTT CCA GGG CGG CAT GAG ACG CCC CCT GTG CCC TTG CAT CAA TGA ACT 1995 2010 2025 411 2040 CCC TCA AGC GGG ATC GGG CAT GGC TCT CGT CAG GAG AAG GAG CGA CTC GTC TGG GCA GGG 2055 2070 2085 2100 TTT GAC CCT AGG CCA GCG CTT CGA GAT CGC CTG ATT GGC CGG CGT TCA TGT AAG GCA GTT 2115 2130 2145 2160 CTG GTG GCG CGA TGA AGT AAT GCT GGT TGT TCT TCC GCC ATT GCC GCC GTG TAC CGG 2175 2190 2205 2220 CAG CGT GAA TGG ATC CCA GCA AGC AGC TAG TGG CGT GGA GGT TGG ACC TAG 2235 2250 2265 2280 CGC CGT GTA TCA GCC GCG AAG CCG GGC TGG CGC GAT ATT AAG GCG GAG CTG CGG 2295 2310 2325 2340 CGC AAG GCG ACG ATC AGC CGC GGC AAG GAA CCA ACG ATC AAC ACC CAG ATC CGC CGC 2355 2370 2385 2400 TGA CGT TAA AAG ACA CGA TCA CGA GCC TAC AGG ATA TGA GAA GAG GTT AAG ACA ATC TTC 2475 2490 2505 2520 GCC CGC GTC CTC GAT GCT CAG GGC GCT ATC GTC GGA CTG CAG GGC ACC CAA ATT GAA AAA Ala Arg Val Leu His Ala Gln Gly Ala Ile Val Gly Leu His Gly Thr Gln Ile Glu Lya 2535 2550 256 2560 2580 CTG GAG ACA CTG GCA ACT GAG CTC GGA GAC CGG GTC AAG CTG TTC CGG GCT AAT CTG GCC Leu Glu Thr Leu Ala Thr Glu Leu Gly Asp Arg Val Lys Leu Phe Pro Ala Asn Leu Ala 2595 2610 2625 2640 AAT CCA GAC GAA GTC AAG GCG CTT GGT CAG AGA GCC GAA GCC GAT CTT GAA GGC GTC GAC Asm Arg Asp Clu Val Lys Ala Leu Cly Cln Arg Ala Clu Ala Asp Leu Clu Cly Val Asp 

 2655
 2670
 2685
 2700

 ATC CTG GTC AAC AAT GCT GGC ATC ACC AAG GAT GGA TG TTC TTC TTC CAC ATG GCA GAC CCC
 11e
 Leu Val Aan Aan Ala Cly 11e
 Thr Lys Aap Gly Leu Phe Leu Ria NET Ala Aap Pro

 GAC TOG GAC ATT GTC GTG GAG GTC GAC CTC ACC CCC ATG TTC CGA CTG ACC CCC GAG ATG CTG ACC CTC ACC GCA CTG ATC CGA CTG ACC CCC AGA TTC Aap Trp Aap Ile Val Leu Glu Val Aan Leu Thr Ala MET Phe Arg Leu Thr Arg Glu Ile

2775 2790 2805 2820 ACC CAG CAG ATG ATA CGC CGT CGA AAT GGC CGC ATC ATC AAT GTC ACT TCG CTC GCC GGC Thr Cin Cin MET Ile Arg Arg Arg Arg Asn Ciy Arg Ile Ile Asn Val Thr Ser Val Ale Ciy 
 2835
 2850
 2865
 2880

 GCC ATC GGC AAT CCA GGC CAG ACC AAT TAC TGC GCC TCC AAG GCC GGT ATG ATC GGC TTT
 Ala Ile Gly Asn Pro Gly Gln Thr Asn Tyr Cys Ala Ser Lys Ala Cly MET Ile Gly Phe
 2895 2910 2925 2940 TCC AAG TCC CTC GCC GAG GAG ATC GCT AAC GTC GAC TCC GTC GCC CCG Ser Lys Ser Leu Ala Cin Glu Ile Ala Thr Arg Asn Ile Thr Val Asn Cys Val Ala Pro 2955 2970 2985 3000 GGC TTC ATC GAA TCG GCA ATG ACC GAT AAG CTC AAT CAC AAA CAG AAG GAG AAA ATC ATG Gly Phe lie Glu Ser Als MET Thr Asp Lys Leu Asn His Lys Gln Lys Glu Lys Ile MET 3015 3030 3045 3060 GTG GGG ATC CCC ATC CGC ATC GGC ACC GGT ACC GAA CTC GGC TCC GGC GTT GGG TAT Val Ala Ile Pro Ile His Arg MET Gly Thr Gly Thr Glu Val Ala Ser Ala Val Ala Tyr 3075 3090 3105 3120 CTC GCT TCC GAT CAC GCC CCC TAT CTC ACC GGA CAG ACC ATT CAC GTC AAC GGC GGT ATG Leu Ala Ser Asp His Ala Ala Tyr Val Thr Gly Gln Thr Ile His Val Asn Gly Gly MET 3135 3150 3165 3180 GCA ATC ATT TCA AGG CGG TCG GGC CTA CGG ATG AGT GGG CTT GCA TTC GCA TCC AGG CGG TCG GGC CTA CGG ATG AGT GGG CTT GCA TTT GCA TAC GCC AGC Ala MET Ile 3195 3210 **#805** 3225 3240 CTA TCA GCG CAA TGA TGA TGA TGA GGG CAT AAA GGG CAT TCC ACT TTC CGA AAG CTG AGG AAG 3255 3270 3285 3300 CAA GCC ATT ATG GAT AGT GCA CCT GTC AGC AAT ACT GAA CGG TCT CAA CGG AAT AGC CTG 3315 3330 3345 3360 CGA TTG AGC GCT CCG GTC CCA GCA GCA ATA GCT CCG GCC CAT ATG AAG ACG CTG TCT CCC 3375 3390 3405 3420 TCC GCG CCG CAT CAG CGC GGA ACC TCA GAT AGC GCA AAC GCT TTA GTC GGG CGT TGC 3435 3450 3465 3480 TTA GCG CCA TTA CGT CGC GCC ACC GTC TTC CCC CGC TCA TCC CAC GCA TTC CGA TCC CTT 3495 3510 3525 3540 GAG CCA GCT GAG CTC CCG AGG CGT AAC CCG GAT AGG TTT CCT GAA CAT AGA ACA AGG CCA 3555 3570 3585 3600 CAA ATG TCT CTT CCC CAT CTT CCG CCG CTT GAA CCC GAA GCG ATC CAT GTC ATT CGA GAA 3615 3630 3645 3660 GTT GTT GCG ACA TTC TCC AAT CCG GTC GTG CTT TAC TCG ATC GGC AAA GAC TCC TCG GTA 3675 3690 3705 3720 CTG CTG CAC CTG GCG ATG AAG GCG TTC TAC CCC GCC AAG CCG CCA TTT CCA TTC CTG CAT 3735 3750 3765 3780 GTA GAT ACC AAA TGG AAG TTC CGG GAG ATG GAC TTT CGC GAC CGG ATG GCG CGA GAG 3795 J216 3825 3840 CTC GGC TTC GAT CTC CTC GTC GAC GTC GAT CAG GGC GTC GAG GGC ATC GGG CGA 3855 3870 3885 3900 TTC ACG CAC GGT TCC AAC GTG CAC ACC CAT GTC ATG AAG ACG ATG GGG GTC CGC CAG GCG 3915 3930 3945 3960 CTC GAC AAA TAC GGT TTC GAC GCG GCG GTC GCA GGC GCG CGG GCG GAG GAG AAG TCG 3975 3990 4005 4020 CGC GCC AAG GAA CGC ATC TTC TCG ATT CGC AGC GCC CAG CAC CGC TGG GAT CGC CAG CGC 4035 4050 4065 4080 CAG CGG CCC GAG ATG TGG AAG ACT TAC AAT ACG CGG CTC GGA CAA GGC GAG ACG ATG CGA 4095 4110 GTC TTC CCG CTT TCC AAC TGG ACC GAA TTC

Α BamHI 15 30 45 60 GGC ATC CAC CCT CTG AAT ATG AGA ACG CCG AGC CGC AGA CGG GAT GAG CCC AAT GTA GCA 75 90 105 120 GGG AGA AAC ATA CTC GGG CGG GCA GTC GAT TTG ATT GTT GTG ATC TAC GGG AGA GAT GTG 135 TCG TTC TTG CTG CAC TGG ATA GCC GTC CAC TCG TCA CAC ACĂ ŤČĆ ÅŤŤ TCA CČĆ ÅŤČ GCC 240 225 240 GAC ảtẻ čải ảẻi ảtẻ čảt thị ảẻi ảtẻ cóc act gat atg tág các ang ctg ccc ace ata TRNA→ 255 270 285 30 GGG AGG CCA ATG ATG TTC TTC GTC ATC GGA GGC TTC TGC ACA GAC CAC CAC GAT GTC CGG 315 330 345 360 CTC T<u>GC GGG CAT TAG GCT</u> TAG CCA CTC GCG CAC GCC TCA TGA TAT TTC TAT CGG GCC 375 390 405 420 GCC TCA GGA ATT TGA GCC GCC GTC CGT CGA ACA CAA GCT AAA GGG AAC AGA ATG GTA GAT 495 510 525 540 GGA GAG ACC GCG THA ATA GTC GGC GAT TTA ACG GCT GCC ACT GAA TTG ACC GGG GTT GGT Gly Glu Thr Ala Leu Ile Val Gly Amp Leu Thr Ala Ala Thr Glu Leu Thr Ala Leu Gly 555 570 585 600 GTC GAT TCT CTG GGA TG GCA GAC ATC ATC TGG GAC GTC GAA CAG GCC TAC GGT ATC AGG Val Amp Ser Leu Gly Leu Ala Amp Ile Ile Trp Amp Val Glu Glu Ala Tyr Gly Ile Arg 
 615
 630
 645
 660

 ATC GAG ATG AAC ACG GCC GAC GOG TGG TCG GAT CTC CAG AAC GTC GGC ATA GTG GGA
 Tle Glu MET Asn Thr Ala Glu Ala Trp Ser Asp Leu Cln Asn Val Gly Asp lle Val Gly
 $_{675}$   $^{6307}$   $_{690}$  705 720 GCC ATC CGA GGC TTC ATC ACT AAG GGG GCT TGA ATG GAC AGG CGC GTT GTC ATC ACC GGA Als Ile Arg Cly Leu Leu Thr Lys Cly Als . MET Asp Arg Arg Val Val Ile Thr Cly 735 750 765 780 ATG GGC GGC CTA TGC GGA CTG GGC ACC GAC ACC ACC TCC ATC TGG AAA TGG ATG GGC GAA MET Gly Gly Leu Cys Gly Leu Gly Thr Asp Thr Thr Ser Ile Trp Lys Trp MET Arg Glu 795 1316 825 840 GCC CGC TCC GCC ATC GGG CGC CTT CTC AAT ACA GAG CTT CAC GGC CTG AAG GGC ATA CTG Gly Arg Ser Ala Ile Cly Pro Leu Leu Asn Thr Clu Leu His Cly Leu Lys Cly Ile Val 855 870 885 900 GGC GCT GAG GTC AAG GCC CTG GCT GAC GAC AAC ATC GAC GGC AAG GAG CTC GTA TGG ATG Gly Ala Clu Val Lys Ala Leu Pro Asp His Asn Ile Asp Arg Lys Gln Leu Val Ser MET 915 930 945 960 GAT CCC ATT ACC CTC CTT GCC GTG ATT GCA GGC CAC GAA CCC ATG GCC CAG GCC CCC CT Asp Arg Ile Ser Val Leu Ale Val Ile Ale Ale His Glu Ale MET Arg Glu Ale Gly Leu 975 990 1005 1020 TCC TCC AAT GAA GGA AAT GCC CTT GGG TTC GGG GCG ACC GTC GGC GTC GGC TTC GGA GGA Ser Cys Asn Glu Gly Asn Ala Leu Arg Phe Gly Ala Thr Val Gly Val Gly Leu Gly Gly 1035 1050 1065 1080 TGG GAC GCT ACC CAA AAA GCA TAC GGT ACC CTT GTC GAC GGG GGG ACC CGT ACT GAA Trp Asp Ala Thr Glu Lys Ala Tyr Arg Thr Leu Leu Val Asp Gly Gly Thr Arg Thr Glu 
 #304
 1095
 1110
 1125
 1140

 ATC TTC ACT CCT GTA AAG GCT ATG CGG AGT GCC GCC GCC GCC GCC GCC GCC AGG ATG AGC CTC
 11e Phe Thr Gly Val Lys Ala MET Pro Ser Ala Ala Ala Cys Gln Val Ser MET Ser Leu
 1155 1170 1185 1200 GCC CTC GCC GCC GCC GTC TTC GCC GTC ACC TCC GCC GCC GCC GCC GTC ACC GCC ATC Gly Leu Arg Gly Pro Val Phe Gly Val Thr Ser Ala Cys Ser Ser Ala Asn His Ala Ile 1275 1290 1305 1320 GAC CCC CCA CTA GTC TGG ATT GTG CTG AAG GCA TGG GAA GCT ATG GGC GGA CTC GGT AGG AAB ATT ATA AIA Leu Ala Pro 1335 1350 1365 1380 GAT ACT TGC CGA CCC TTC TGC GCC GCC ACG AAA GGC GTC GTA CTG GGC GAG GGT GGA GGC Aap Thr Cys Arg Pro Phe Ser Ala Gly Arg Lys Gly Val Val Leu Gly Glu Gly Ala Gly 1395\*614 1410 1425 1440 ATC CCC CTC CTG CAA ACC TAT CAA CAT GCC ACC GCT CGC GGT GCA ACA ATA CTC GCC GAG MET Ala Val Leu Glu Ser Tyr Glu His Ala Thr Ala Arg Gly Ala Thr Ile Leu Ala Glu 
 1455
 1470
 1485
 1500

 CTC GCC GGC GTC GGC GTT TCC GCC GAT GCC
 CCC TTC CAT ATC ACA GCG CCC GCT CTC CAT GGC
 Val Ala Gly Val Gly Leu Ser Ala Asp Ala Phe His Ile Thr Ala Pro Ala Val His Cly
1575 1590 4510 1620 TAC CTC AAC CCC GAC GCC ACC GAC GAA GAC CAA AAC CAA ACT ACG GCT ATC Tyr Leu Asn Ala His Gly Thr Cly Thr Lys Ala Asn Asp Gln Asn Glu Thr Thr Ala Ile 1635 1650 1665 1680 AAG COC GTC TTC GGA GAC CAT GCT TAT TGC ATG TGC ATA TCT TGC ACC AAG TGC ACC GAC Lys Arg Val Phe Gly Asp His Ala Tyr Ser MET Ser Ile Ser Ser Thr Lys Ser Thr His 1695 1710 1725 GOG CAC TGT ATC GGC GCA GGG AGT GGC CTT GAA ATC ATC GGC TGT GTG ATG GGC ATC Ala His Cys Ile Gly Ala Ala Ser Ala Leu Glu MET Ile Ala Cys Val MET Ala Ile 1755 1770 1785 1800 GAA GGA GTC GTC GCG GCC GAC CAC TAT CGT GAG CCA GAT CCC GAT TGC GAT CTA GAG Glu Gly Val Val Pro Pro Thr Ala Asn Tyr Arg Glu Pro Asp Pro Asp Cys Asp Leu Asp 1815 **#913** 1830 1845 1860 GTG ACC CCA AAC GTG CCC CCT GAC GCT AAG GTG CGC CTT GCC ATG AGC AAC GCC TTC GCC Val Thr Pro Asn Val Pro Arg Clu Arg Lys Val Arg Val Ala MET Ser Ann Ala Phe Ala 

B CTC ATĂ THĆ ÁČĂ COC T<u>ČĆ ÁTĆ O</u>TT CTC ÂTĂ ALA ÁČÁ ÁTĆ GÁT TŤŤ ÁČĆ AAT ČTG COC CAC 75 **109** 90 105 120 TCC CAT TAA AAC CCT AAG CAG CCC CCA TTC CCA GTG AGG CCG GTG CCG GAA TCA CCC CTC mRNA► 135 150 165 180 AGC TCT CCG CCG ATA GAA CCA CCA AAC TAG CAA ACC TCC AGC TCT CAC CCA GTC ATC AAT 195 210 225 240 GGA AGC TAA CCA TAG GTG ATC AAC GCT TAA GAG CAG CGC GCT CCC CAG ACT AAG CAA GAC 255 270 285 300 CGC CGC CGA ACA TAA TCC TCC CCT GAA ACC ACC ACA ACT CCT AAA TCC AAA CAC GCC TGA ACA CAC TAC TTC GAA CAA TTC GTC AAC GAC CAT CCC AAT GTA ATC AGT AAC GGT GAA 435 450 465 1200 CTC AAT ACC TAC CAC ACC GAC TCC CTC GAT AAC GAA CCC CTC CTA CTC ACC CAT CCA GAC Lea ABT DT TAC ADT TAC TACT TAC TACA ABT AF GUI AFE LAW LEAFLAW AT AF AF AF C 495 510 525 540 CTT CTT GAG CGT GCT TT GGC CAT CGC CAC AAG CAG CAG AAG GTG ACC CAT GTG Leu Leu Clu Are Ale Phe Leu Are Tyr Pro Pro His Ser Asp Lys Lys Val Thr His Val 555 570 585 600 GCT TGC AAG ATC AAC GAG CGT CAG TTT CAG GAG CGT CGG AGC TTT TTT GGC GAG CGT CGG AGC CJV Cys Lys 11e Asn Clu Pro Cin Phe Cin Clu Arg Pro Ser Phe Phe Ala Clu Leu Thr 615 630 645 660 GCT TGG CCT GGA CTT AAG GTT ATC CTT GTG ATT CGC AGA AAC ACA TTA GAG TCC CTA AGA Ala Trp Pro Gly Leu Lys Val lie Leu Val lie Arg Arg Ann Thr Leu Clu Ser Leu Arg 675 690 705 TCG TTT GTG CAG GCG AGG CAA ACC CGC CAG TGG CTC AAG TGG GAC AGG TGA S-r Phe Va Cln Ala Arg Cln Thr Arg Cln Trp Leu Lyp She Lyp Ser App Ser Ser 735 750 765 780 CCT CCA CCT CCC GTG ATG TTG CCA TTC GCC ACC TGC GAA GCC TAC AAA GCT GCC GAG CCT CCA CCT CCC GTG ATG TTG CAA TTC GCC ALC ACC TAC TAC ATA AGA AGA 912 825 840 CAT TCA AGC AGG ATA CGT TTA ATC GAG TAC Asp Ser Ser Arg Ile Arg Leu Ile Clu Tyr CAT TTC CAC CCT CGA CTC CTT TAC CCC 855 870 885 900 GAG AGG CTC CTT CGC GAT CCC TCC CTT CG GTG CGA AGG GTC TTA GAT TTC CTC GGC GGT GLU ATE Leu Leu ATE AEP Pro Val Pro Cys Val Ala Thr Val Leu Asp Phe Leu Cly Ala 915 930 945 960 CCT GCC CTA CAC CTT GCT CAT CGC GCT ATT CGC GCT CAA GCA CCC GCT CCC TTG GAC Pro Ala Leu Cla Leu Ala Asp Arg Gly lle Leu Arg Arg Cla Clu Thr Arg Pro Leu Asp 975 CAA ACC GTA CCC AAC TTT CAT GAG TTC CGC GTT CAC TTC CCC AAT GGA CCT TAC CGC AGC GIn Thr Val Arg Aan Phe His Glu Lew Arg Val His Phe Ala Aan Gly Pro Tyr Ala Arg 1095 1110 1125 1140 CAT GCC GAA GCT GCC ATT CGG AAT TGC GTA CGC CTA GGA ATT TGC ACC AAA TAA ACG CCA 1155 1170 1185 1200 TGC ACC GAA AGC GAC CGA TGC CTC AAG TCA CTA GGG CAT GCA CCT TGC GAC GAT GGG TAC 1215 1230 1245 1260 CTC ATG TCG ATT CAC CCC CGC TCG GAC CGT ATC GTT AGG CAG GAA GCC AGG AGC 505 1275

FIGURE 2.-DNA sequence of putative nod genes F, E, G, and H. In (A) the nucleotide sequence beginning upstream of nodF and proceeding beyond nodG to the EcoRI site is presented (refer to Figure 1 for map). In (B), the sequence reads in the opposite direction (i.e., toward nifHDK), and begins at a position corresponding to nucleotide 23 in (A). The BamHI site which is present in both (A) and (B) is boxed to facilitate alignment of the two sequences. The transcription start sites deduced in Figure 5 are indicated as asterisks at nucleotide 234-237 in (A) (nodF transcript) and 80 in (B) (nodH transcript). Positions of transposon Tn5 insertions whose junctions have been sequenced are indicated by the insertion number, with a filled triangle pointing to the left-most base in the 9 base pair repeat created by the insertion. The consensus nod box sequences are indicated by dots (.). An inverted repeat, capable of forming a hairpin with 10 of 14 matches ( $\Delta G = -16.2$ kcal/mol), is shown in (A) as diverging arrows spanning nucleotides 287-318. Several strains had Tn5 insertions at identical nucleotides; only one of each of these is shown. The groups are as follows: (304, 703, 705); (210, 212); (708, 906, 913); (402, 411). Strains with different first numerals are products of separate mutagenesis experiments, and thus are independent insertions at the identical nucleotide.



FIGURE 3.—In vitro expression of nod protein products. Coupled transcription-translation was conducted with an *R. meliloti* extract and analyzed on SDS-polyacrylamide gels as described in Materials and Methods. Plasmids directing *in vitro* protein synthesis are as follows: Lane 1: vector pAD10 (control for lanes 2–6). Lane 2: plasmid pRmS20; Lane 3: plasmid pRmF41; Lane 4: plasmid pRmF40; Lane 5: plasmid pRmF48; Lane 6: plasmid pRmF49; Lane 7: plasmid pRmS15; Lane 8: vector pUC19 (control for lane 7).

corresponding transcript (WILLIAMS and MASON 1985). In addition, the appropriate cloned single stranded DNAs were annealed with the same unlabeled oligonucleotide primers, and sequencing ladders were generated by dideoxy chain termination reactions from these primers. The sequencing ladders and RNA-complementary primer extension products were electrophoresed in parallel on sequencing gels to establish the position of the transcript initiation sites.

#### RESULTS

**DNA sequence of the extended** nod gene region: In the *R. meliloti* genome, mutations in a region mapping between nodDABC and nifHDK cause severely delayed and Nod<sup>-</sup> phenotypic changes (SWANSON et al. 1987). We determined the nucleotide sequence of this DNA segment and also located the precise transposon insertion sites of all the Tn 5 mutants which mapped in this region (Figure 1). We were thereby able to correlate Nod<sup>-</sup> phenotype directly with the position of a given Tn 5 insertion. The DNA sequence, shown in Figure 2, A and B, was analyzed for open reading frames (ORFs) and other features to better understand the molecular and genetic organization of this region.

ORFs defining nodF, nodE and nodG. In Figure 2A, three ORFs are presented, starting at nucleotides 412, 694 and 2395. The first two of these lie within a segment in which Tn5 insertions cause significant delays and reductions in nodulation of alfalfa (SWANson et al. 1987). These two ORFs have been designated nodF and nodE, according to the convention of SHEARMAN et al. (1986) for R. leguminosarum, DE-BELLE and SHARMA (1986) and ROSTAS et al. (1986) for R. meliloti, and SCHOFIELD and WATSON (1986) for R. trifolii; they are equivalent to the R. meliloti strain 41 genes designated hsnA and hsnB by HOR-VATH et al. (1986). nodF specifies a protein of 93 amino acids  $(M_r 9,760)$  and nodE encodes one of 402 amino acids ( $M_r$  41,779). Downstream of nodE is a DNA segment approximately 500 bp long, in which several short ORFs initiating with Met were found, but none were larger than about 40 amino acids. This is followed (at nucleotide 2395 of Figure 2A) by an ORF which we designated nodG (245 amino acids,  $M_r$ 26,058) after DEBELLÉ and SHARMA (1986) [also called hsnC (HORVATH et al. 1986)].

Tn 5 insertions generated in the accompanying study (SWANSON 1987) (Figure 1) were located within the sequence shown in Figure 2A. Several points are noteworthy. Transposons 307, 316, 304, 703, 705, 614, 510, 708, 906 and 913 had previously been shown to cause marked decreases and delays in nodulation. The insertion point for transposon 307 lies within the ORF for *nodF*, and the others lie in the ORF for *nodE*. By contrast, strains 402 and 411, which display no altered symbiotic phenotype, have Tn 5 inserted about 120 bp downstream from the end of *nodE*; this provides a bracket for the Nod<sup>-</sup> phenotype, and is consistent with the ORFs determined by DNA sequence analysis.

An almost normal nodulation phenotype is seen with mutant 314 (nucleotide 2553, Figure 2A), which was the only Tn 5 insertion found in the large ORF of *nodG*. A transposon insertion *nif*-distal to 314, 805, also shows a slight delay in nodulation, although its position does not coincide with that of a significant ORF.

Transposon insertion 216 was found to have a severely altered Nod<sup>-</sup> phenotype, resulting in a pronounced delay in nodule formation (SWANSON *et al.* 1987). Interestingly, several large ORFs were found when the sequence of the DNA flanking insert 216 was determined. Two of these read in the same direction as *nodF*, *E*, and *G*, and begin with Met residues

at nucleotides 3544 and 3732 (Figure 2A). The two lie in different reading frames, and each is continuous through the *Eco* RI site. Protein product analysis (see below) and preliminary sequence analysis downstream of the *Eco* RI site is consistent with the site at nucleotide 3544 being functional in translation initiation. In the opposite orientation, an ORF extends from the *Eco* RI site through nucleotide 3430 (Figure 2A). Which, if any, of these ORFs constitutes a gene is currently under analysis by additional DNA sequence determination of this region, and by transcript, protein, and complementation analyses.

**ORF defining nodH:** Figure 2B shows the DNA sequence of a large ORF reading divergently from the ORFs shown in Figure 2A. This ORF has been designated *nodH* by DEBELLÉ and SHARMA (1986) and ROSTAS *et al.* (1986), and *hsnD* by HORVATH *et al.* (1986).

Three Tn 5 insertions, two of which are probably siblings, were found to lie in the ORF of nodH; all of these, 210, 212, and 912, caused very marked reductions in nodule number and a long delay in the appearance of the few nodules which did form (SWANSON *et al.* 1987). The phenotypes of these transposon insertions thus correlate perfectly with their position within *nodH*. A downstream transposon, 505, which exhibits no altered phenotype, lies outside the ORF for *nodH*.

Protein products: Several features of the nucleotide sequence were confirmed by analysis of protein products encoded by specific segments of the nod gene region. In the first set of analyses, nod gene segments were cloned into the expression vector pAD10 (EGEL-HOFF and LONG 1985), in which transcription is driven by the trp promoter of S. typhimurium. We had previously shown (FISHER et al. 1987) that the almost identical trp promoter of E. coli is recognized and efficiently utilized by R. meliloti RNA polymerase. Coupled transcription-translation was conducted in vitro with an R. meliloti extract to express radiolabeled polypeptides for analysis by PAGE and autoradiography. Clones pRmS20 and pRmS21 (see Figure 1) were used to analyze the nodH gene segment. An in vitro translation product of apparent molecular weight 29,000 was produced by expression of clone pRmS20 (Figure 3, lane 2, arrow), corresponding to the ORF for nodH and in excellent agreement with the predicted size of 28,552. By contrast, clone pRmS21, in which transcription proceeds in the opposite direction, produced no insert-specific translation products (data not shown).

Clones pRmF48, pRmF40, pRmF41 and pRmF40 were used to analyze the segment of DNA encoding *nodG* and *nod-216* (Figure 1). A protein product of approximately 28,000 is generated only by plasmids pRmF48 and pRmF49 (Figure 3, lanes 5 and 6). This

size agrees well with that predicted by the DNA sequence of this region for nodG (26,058). The inserts in these two plasmids contain DNA which lies directly upstream of the putative nodG translation start site. Plasmids pRmF40 and pRmF41, whose promoterproximal insert ends begin downstream of the nodG translation start site (see Figure 1), do not synthesize the nodG product (Figure 3, lanes 3 and 4). A smaller protein product of approximately 20,000 is produced by pRmF40, pRmF41, pRmF48 and pRmF49 (Figure 3, lanes 3-6). The size of this product corresponds well with that of an insert-vector fusion polypeptide which is specified by the DNA sequence of the nod-216 region, and is consistent with translation initiation occurring at nucleotide 3544 of Figure 2A for ORF-216.

Plasmid pRmS15, which includes the DNA segment spanning nodF and nodE, produces proteins of approximately 42,500 and 13,000 (Figure 3, lane 7, arrows), which correspond well with the predicted sizes of 41,779 and 9,760 for the nodE and nodF ORFs, respectively (Figure 2A). Expression of pRmS15 also gives rise to a polypeptide migrating at about 8,800. This presumably results from the fusion of the nodG sequence to an in-phase ORF lying downstream of the SphI site in the pUC19 polylinker. Such a fusion would result in the synthesis of a hybrid polypeptide of 7,978. It is not known whether this product arises in vitro from the same transcript as the nodF and nodE proteins.

Analysis of the DNA sequence of nodG was complicated in two positions by regions of band compression on the sequencing gels. We therefore decided to confirm the choice and expected size of the putative ORF we had deduced for nodG by independent methods. The full length (245 amino acid residue) nodG gene product is expressed by pRmF48 and pRmF49 (Figure 4A, lanes 7 and 8; 4B, top line). We made use of fusion plasmids which permit testing of multiple open reading frames, and created fusions of more than one segment of the nodG sequence, to confirm that the indicated ORF was correct. We cloned the nodG segment into the PstI site of pUC8, pUC9 and pUC118, which fuses the nodG coding sequence to the vector lacZ in three different reading frames, and analyzed protein expression directed by these constructs. pRmF51 used a PstI linker to fuse the nodG coding sequence to lacZ in pUC8; the sequence of this fusion is shown in the bottom line of Figure 4B. When this plasmid was used to direct protein synthesis in a coupled transcription-translation system, it generated the predicted 232 amino acid residue polypeptide shown in Figure 4A, lane 1). When this same PstI-linkered fragment was inserted into pUC9 and pUC118, creating fusions in the other two reading frames, and used to direct protein synthesis, no large insert-specific proteins were produced (data not shown). In addition, pRmS25 fused *lacZ* in frame to the *nodG* coding sequence at the *SphI* site (Figure 4B, *middle line*), resulting in a 227 amino acid fusion protein (Figure 4A, lanes 4 and 6). Thus these two independent sets of fusions confirmed that the predicted *nodG* ORF shown in Figure 2A was correct. This analysis also demonstrates that insertion 314 interrupts the *nodG* ORF; thus the lack of altered phenotype of this insertion mutant is in contrast to the *nodG*::Tn5 insertion mutants reported by HORVATH *et al.* (1986).

Because insert-specific expression of pRmS25 and pRmF51 was controlled by the *E. coli* wild-type *lac* promoter, expression *in vitro* by *E. coli* extracts was dramatically enhanced upon addition of exogenous cyclic AMP (cAMP) to the template-extract mixture (Figure 4A, lanes 1 vs. 2; lanes 4 and 6 vs. 3 and 5). Expression of the full-length *nodG* protein from the *trp* promoter on pRmF48 and pRmF49 is shown in Figure 4A, lanes 7 and 8. In other experiments we were able to show that cAMP was unable to stimulate expression from the *lac* promoter in *R. meliloti* extracts (data not shown).

Transcription initiation sites: To determine the transcription start sites of the nod gene proteins, we isolated RNA from R. meliloti grown under free-living conditions and under conditions which induce nod gene expression. Synthetic oligonucleotides complementary to the coding regions of nodG, nodF and nodH (Figure 1) were used to carry out both primer extension reactions with the RNA, and a series of DNA sequencing reactions with single strand derivatives of pRmF32 (for nodG), pRmS23 (for nodF) and B20 (for nodH). Electrophoresis on sequencing gels revealed a single defined start site for the nodH induced transcript (Figure 5, left panel) and distinct nodF induced transcription start sites at a few adjacent nucleotides (Figure 5, right panel). Adjacent lanes show extension on uninduced transcripts. The transcription start sites are indicated in the DNA sequence shown in Figure 2. The primer extension products for the nodF induced transcript also included a prominent lower molecular weight band, which is consistent with a transcript 5' end at nucleotide 284 (Figure 2A). This corresponds to the beginning of an inverted repeat capable of generating a stable RNA hairpin  $(\Delta G = -16.2 \text{ kcal/mol})$ . While it is possible that this base represents an alternative in vivo transcription initiation site, it is also possible that the primary transcript is degraded or processed, and that the hairpin secondary structure serves to stabilize the RNA from further degradation (BELASCO et al. 1985).

Primer extension attempts using a nodG primer yielded no defined RNA-complementary product (data not shown). This may indicate the absence of nodG-homologous RNA in the *R. meliloti* cells, or it



Pst I ATGACCATGATTACGAATTCCCGGGGGATCCGTCGACCTGCAGGCCCCAGGGC... ThrNetIleThrAsnSerArgGlySerValAspLeuGlnAlaGlnGly...

may indicate that the RNA starts further upstream than the end of the DNA template (pRmF32) used to generate the comparison sequencing ladder. In the latter situation, this would be consistent with nodG being part of the nodFE operon.

## DISCUSSION

The regulation, expression and function of genes involved in nodulation are not yet understood mechanistically. Analysis of open reading frames and transcripts provides an initial set of clues to the function and regulation of these genes. The four ORFs described here agree exactly with those reported recently by DEBELLÉ and SHARMA (1986) for the sibling strain R. meliloti 2011. Another recent DNA sequence, determined by HORVATH et al. (1986) in R. *meliloti* strain 41, largely agrees with that shown here for nodH and nodE; they designate these genes as hsnD and hsnB, respectively. In contrast, substantial differences, including alternative choice of reading frames in portions of the sequences, exist between our nodGand nodF sequence and the (nodG) hsnC and (nodF)hsnA sequence of HORVATH et al. (1986). We used repeated sequencing of nodG and translational fusions to confirm the nodG sequence presented here for strain 1021. A single bp difference in the nodF (hsnA) sequences of strains 1021 and 41 results in a frameshift which completely accounts for the differences in the carboxyl third of the nodF proteins.

FIGURE 4.—Use of protein fusions to



FIGURE 5.—Primer extension to determine transcription start sites for nodF and nodH. DNA primers complementary to 15nucleotide segments within the structural genes of nodF and nodH were used to direct DNA synthesis complementary to transcripts isolated from luteolin-induced (i) and uninduced (u) R. meliloti. Products were separated by electrophoresis on sequencing gels adjacent to dideoxy-termination sequencing ladders generated using the same oligomers as primers on appropriate single stranded DNA templates. (Left) A single major transcript start site for nodH mRNA is indicated (arrow). Minor bands seen at lower molecular weights are sometimes more prominent. (Right) Four potential start sites are seen for the nodF transcript (arrow) (see summary on sequence, Figure 2A). Prominent lower molecular weight bands (open arrow) correspond in position to an inverted repeat in the sequence of the nodF leader, which could cause formation of an RNA hairpin secondary structure (Figure 2A).

DNA sequence determinations have been made for nod genes in R. leguminosarum (SHEARMAN et al. 1986) and R. trifolii (SCHOFIELD and WATSON 1986), to which nodF and nodE from R. meliloti show substantial homology. SHEARMAN et al. (1986) have pointed out homology between the deduced amino acid sequence of R. leguminosarum nodF and of acyl carrier protein from E. coli and barley; homologous sequences are largely conserved in R. meliloti nodF as well. While one potential function for the nodF gene product might be in lipid synthesis or modification, it has been recently reported that E. coli acyl carrier protein functions in the synthesis of an extracellular  $\beta$ -1,2-glucan (THERISOD, WEISSBORN and KENNEDY 1986). Since this molecule is present in Rhizobium and Agrobacterium (PUVANESARAJAH et al. 1985), both of which stimulate abnormal plant growth, a role for a specialized acyl carrier protein in glucan biosynthesis should be investigated. No DNA sequence homologies to other known genes are obvious for nodE; a hydropathy analysis (KYTE and DOOLITTLE 1982) of the predicted amino acid sequence indicates it to be largely hydrophobic (grease index = +0.10). Thus nodE joins the ranks of other nod gene proteins likely to be membrane localized (JACOBS, EGELHOFF and LONG 1985; JOHN et al. 1985; EVANS and DOWNIE 1986). The nodG amino acid sequence was shown by DEBELLÉ and SHARMA (1986) to have homology to that of ribitol dehydrogenase of Klebsiella pneumoniae; its hydropathy also reveals substantial hydrophobic character (grease index = +0.09). Using the FASTP protein comparison program (LIPMAN and PEARSON 1985), we found significant nodG amino acid sequence homologies to alcohol dehydrogenase and glucose dehydrogenase as well. The nodH protein coding region has a very unusual feature, in that the polypeptide has a high proline content (21 out of 245 residues). This may give rise to a protein with an unusual tertiary structure; however, the polypeptide expressed in vitro from the *nodH* clone migrates with the expected mobility in SDS-polyacrylamide gels (Figure 3).

An in vitro transcription-translation expression system from R. meliloti was useful in identifying and defining protein products of the nod genes. In these experiments, two exogenous promoters were used to direct expression of the nod genes in vitro. We had previously shown that R. meliloti RNA polymerase could efficiently initiate transcription from an enteric trp promoter (FISHER et al. 1987). In addition, we also utilized the E. coli lac promoter to direct nod gene expression in the presence of R. meliloti extracts. However, we found that addition of cAMP to the extract, which greatly enhances lac promoter function in E. coli (ZUBAY, SCHWARTZ and BECKWITH 1970), has no detectable effect on in vitro use of the lac promoter by the R. meliloti extract (data not shown). It is possible that the catabolite activation protein (cAMP receptor protein) which complexes with cAMP, and binds near and enhances function of the lac promoter in E. coli,

does not exist in *Rhizobium* or does not bind cAMP or the *E. coli* target DNA sequence.

The Rhizobium extract directs the synthesis of nodF and nodE gene products and also what is probably a nodG fusion protein from pRmS15 (Figure 3, lane 7). Since in pRmS15 the nodF translation start site is over 600 bp downstream from the vector lac transcription start site, it is possible that the transcript which directs synthesis of these polypeptides originates not from the lac promoter, but from a sequence within the nod gene clone. The nodF regions studied in R. leguminosarum and R. trifolii are transcribed only in flavoneinduced cells (SHEARMAN et al. 1986; REDMOND et al. 1986), and it would be unexpected and interesting to observe transcription arising from a nodF promoter in an in vitro extract isolated from noninduced cells. Towards this end, we are determining the transcript start sites for these in vitro products. This constitutes a first step toward analyzing the factors involved in inducible nod promoter function. The expression of a possible nodG fusion protein from plasmid pRmS15 suggests either that it is expressed from its own promoter, or that the nodF-nodE in vitro transcript may read through to nodG. Although it has been proposed by ROSTAS et al. (1986) that nodG (hsnC) must have its own promoter due to the Nod<sup>+</sup> phenotype of transposon insertions between nodE (hsnB) and nodG(hsnC), this can only be confirmed by transcription analysis. Our studies of RNA from this region failed to detect a transcription start site between nodE and nodG, and left open the possibility that transcription initiates much further upstream.

ROSTAS et al. (1986) cloned and sequenced six segments of the R. meliloti strain 41 genome which displayed considerable homology in a 50-bp region. Three of these segments lay upstream of R. meliloti nod genes nodA, nodF (hsnA) and nodH (hsnD). SCHO-FIELD and WATSON (1986), SCOTT (1986), and SHEAR-MAN et al. (1986), studying R. trifolii, Bradyrhizobium sp. (Parasponia), and R. leguminosarum, respectively, also observed this highly conserved sequence upstream of nodA and nodF in these species. This sequence ("nod box") has been postulated to regulate co-ordinately nod gene function (ROSTAS et al. 1986), but until now this function remained speculation since no transcription initiation sites had been determined for any inducible nod genes. In this study, our primer extension mapping demonstrated that the transcript start sites for nodH and nodF lie downstream of each nod box by 28- and 26-bp, respectively (Figure 2, A and B). In work to be published elsewhere, the nodA transcript start site has also been mapped at a similar distance from its nod box. The features of these three promoters and their behavior when regulated by an additional locus, syrM, are discussed in a separate study (J. T. MULLIGAN and S. R. LONG, unpublished

data). The position of the transcript start sites in relation to the *nod* box is consistent with the idea that the *nod* box functions as an upstream regulatory sequence. However, the *nod* box is centered further upstream than the usual consensus sequence for a prokaryotic RNA polymerase binding site (MCCLURE 1985; REZNIKOFF *et al.* 1985). The transcription start sites demonstrated here for *nodF* and *nodH* appear to rule out the involvement of the sequences homologous to the *nif* promoter in regulation of expression (RosTAS *et al.* 1986), since these sequences lie within the transcribed leader of at least one of the genes. Thus, in *Rhizobium*, the nature of a regulatory element analogous to the *E. coli* -10 consensus sequence remains to be determined for *nod* gene promoters.

The phenotype for one transposon insertion mutant, 710, which lies between the nodF nod-box and transcription start site for nodF, shows a significant reduction in the number of nodules formed compared to wild-type (SWANSON et al. 1987). However, another mutant, 109, which lies one base inside the transcript leader for nodH, has a wild-type nodulation phenotype. Transposon insertions mapped by HORVATH et al. (1986) to positions just upstream of nodH and nodF translation start sites, and thus likely to lie in the transcript leader region, also have completely Nod<sup>+</sup> phenotypes. This is likely due to the documented nonpolarity of Tn5 (CORBIN, BARRAN and DITTA 1983; HORVATH et al. 1986, MULLIGAN and LONG 1985). This observation reinforces the importance of conducting detailed RNA and protein analyses to accompany genetic studies of regulation.

We are grateful to M. ORBACH for gifts of pUC118, pUC119, and M13K07, and for his helpful advice on their use to generate single stranded DNA for sequencing; T. EGELHOFF, M. YELTON and P. GOLLNICK for materials and suggestions on coupled transcription/translation experiments; S. KUSTU and J. KEENER for suggestions on S-30 protocols; and C. YANOFSKY for advice on mRNA isolation. The expert technical assistance of M. WORTHING-TON is greatly appreciated. We also thank F. DEBELLÉ for communicating unpublished DNA sequence data, and gratefully acknowledge the exchange of unpublished information from J. DENARIE, A. KONDOROSI and his colleagues, J. A. DOWNIE, A. W. B. JOHN-STON, M. A. DJORDJEVIC, K. SCOTT, J. WATSON, and B. G. ROLFE. We are indebted to N. FEDERSPIEL, H. BRIERLEY, M. YELTON and K. PETERS for comments on the manuscript, and A. BLOOM for her skill in its preparation. This research was supported by contract DE-AS03-82-ER12084 from the Department of Energy Division of Biological Energy Research.

### LITERATURE CITED

- Amersham Corporation, 1983 M13 Cloning and Sequencing Handbook. Amersham Corp., Arlington Heights, Ill.
- BELASCO, J. G., J. T. BEATTY, C. W. ADAMS, A. VON GABAIN and S. N. COHEN, 1985 Differential expression of photosynthesis genes in *R. capsulata* results from segmental differences in stability within the polycistronic *rxcA* transcript. Cell **40**: 171– 181.
- CORBIN, D., L. BARRAN and G. DITTA. 1983 Organization and

expression of *Rhizobium meliloti* nitrogen fixation genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80: 3005-3009.

- DEBELLÉ, F. and S. B. SHARMA, 1986 Nucleotide sequence of *Rhizobium meliloti* RCR2011 genes involved in host specificity of nodulation. Nucleic Acids Res. 14: 7453-7472.
- DUSHA, I., J. SCHRODER, P. PUTNOKY, Z. BANFALVI and A. KON-DOROSI, 1986 A cell-free system from *Rhizobium meliloti* to study the specific expression of nodulation genes. Eur. J. Biochem. 160: 69-75.
- EGELHOFF, T. T. and S. R. LONG, 1985 Rhizobium meliloti nodulation genes: identification of nodDABC gene products, purification of nodA protein, and expression of nodA in Rhizobium meliloti. J. Bacteriol. 164: 591-599.
- EGELHOFF, T. T., R. F. FISHER, T. W. JACOBS, J. T. MULLIGAN and S. R. LONG, 1985 Nucleotide sequence of *Rhizobium meliloti* 1021 nodulation genes: *nodD* is read divergently from *nodABC*. DNA 4: 241–248.
- EVANS, I. J. and J. A. DOWNIE, 1986 The nodI gene product of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* is closely related to ATP-binding bacterial transport proteins; nucleotide sequence analysis of the nodI and nodJ genes. Gene **43**: 95-101.
- FIRMIN, J. L., K. E. WILSON, L. ROSSEN and A. W. B. JOHNSTON, 1986 Flavonoid activation of nodulation genes in *Rhizobium* reversed by other compounds present in plants. Nature 324: 90-92.
- FISHER, R. F., H. L. BRIERLEY, J. T. MULLIGAN and S. R. LONG, 1987 Transcription of *Rhizobium meliloti* nodulation genes: identification of a nodD transcription initiation site in vitro and in vivo. J. Biol. Chem. 262: 6849–6855.
- GUNSALUS, R. P., G. ZURAWSKI and C. YANOFSKY, 1979 Structural and functional analysis of cloned deoxyribonucleic acid containing the *trpR-thr* region of the *Escherichia coli* chromosome. J. Bacteriol. **140**: 106–113.
- HENIKOFF, S., 1984 Unidirectional digestion with exonuclease III creates targeted breakpoints for DNA sequencing. Gene 28: 351–359.
- HORVATH, B., E. KONDOROSI, M. JOHN, J. SCHMIDT, I. TÖRÖK, Z. GYÖRGYPAL, I. BARABAS, U. WIENEKE, J. SCHELL and A. KON-DOROSI, 1986 Organization, structure and symbiotic function of *Rhizobium meliloti* nodulation genes determining host specificity for alfalfa. Cell **46**: 335-343.
- INNES, R. W., P. L. KUEMPEL, J. PLAZINSKI, H. CANTER-CREMERS, B. G. ROLFE and M. A. DJORDJEVIC, 1985 Plant factors induce expression of nodulation and host-range genes in *Rhizobium* trifolii. Mol. Gen. Genet. 201: 426-432.
- JACOBS, T. W., T. T. EGELHOFF and S. R. LONG, 1985 Physical and genetic map of a *Rhizobium meliloti* nodulation gene region and nucleotide sequence of *nodC*. J. Bacteriol. 162: 469–476.
- JOHN, M., J. SCHMIDT, U. WIENEKE, E. KONDOROSI, A. KONDOROSI and J. SCHELL. 1985 Expression of the nodulation gene nodC of Rhizobium meliloti in Escherichia coli: role of the nodC gene product in nodulation. EMBO J. 4: 2425-2430.
- KYTE, J. and R. F. DOOLITTLE, 1982 A simple method for displaying the hydropathic character of a protein. J. Mol. Biol. 157: 105-132.
- LAEMMLI, U. K., 1970 Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature 227: 680-685.
- LIPMAN, D. J. and W. R. PEARSON, 1985 Rapid and sensitive protein similarity searches. Science 227: 1435-1441.
- MANIATIS, T., E. F. FRITSCH and J. SAMBROOK, 1982 Molecular Cloning. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- MCCLURE, W. R., 1985 Mechanism and control of transcription

initiation in prokaryotes. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 54: 171-204.

- MESSING, J., 1983 New M13 vectors for cloning. Methods Enzymol. 101: 20-78.
- MULLIGAN, J. T. and S. R. LONG, 1985 Induction of Rhizobium meliloti nodC expression by plant exudate requires nodD. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82: 6609-6613.
- PETERS, N. K., J. W. FROST and S. R. LONG, 1986 A plant flavone, luteolin, induces expression of *Rhizobium meliloti* nodulation genes. Science 233: 977-980.
- PUVANESARAJAH, V., F. M. SCHELL, G. STACEY, C. J. DOUGLAS and E. W. NESTER, 1985 Role for 2-linked-β-D-glucan in the virulence of Agrobacterium tumefaciens. J. Bacteriol. 164: 102–106.
- REDMOND, J. W., M. BATLEY, M. A. DJORDJEVIC, R. W. INNES, P. L. KUEMPEL and B. G. ROLFE, 1986 Flavones induce expression of nodulation genes in *Rhizobium*. Nature **323**: 632–634.
- REZNIKOFF, W. S., D. A. SIEGELE, D. W. COWING and C. A. GROSS, 1985 The regulation of transcription initiation in bacteria. Annu. Rev. Genet. **19:** 355–387.
- ROSENBERG, C., F. CASSE-DELBART, I. DUSHA, M. DAVID and C. BOUCHER, 1982 Megaplasmids in the plant-associated bacteria Rhizobium meliloti and Pseudomonas solanacearum. J. Bacteriol. 150: 402-406.
- ROSSEN, L., C. A. SHEARMAN, A. W. B. JOHNSTON and J. A. DOWNIE, 1985 The nodD gene of Rhizobium leguminosarum is autoregulatory and in the presence of plant exudate induces the nodA, B, C genes. EMBO J. 4: 3369–3373.
- ROSTAS, K., E. KONDOROSI, B. HORVATH, A. SIMONCSITS and A. KONDOROSI, 1986 Conservation of extended promoter regions of nodulation genes in *Rhizobium*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83: 1757-1761.
- SANGER, F., S. NICKLEN and A. R. COULSON, 1977 DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74: 5463-5467.
- SCHOFIELD, P. R. and J. M. WATSON, 1986 DNA sequence of *Rhizobium trifolii* nodulation genes reveals a reiterated and potentially regulatory sequence preceding *nodABC* and *nodFE*. Nucleic Acids Res. 14: 2891–2903.
- SCOTT, K. F., 1986 Conserved nodulation genes from the nonlegume symbiont Bradyrhizobium sp. (Parasponia). Nucleic Acids Res. 14: 2905–2919.
- SHEARMAN, C. A., L. ROSSEN, A. W. B. JOHNSTON and J. A. DOWNIE, 1986 The *Rhizobium leguminosarum* nodulation gene nodF encodes a polypeptide similar to acyl-carrier protein and is regulated by nodD plus a factor in pea root exudate. EMBO J. 5: 647-652.
- SWANSON, J. A., J. K. TU, J. OGAWA, R. SANGA, R. F. FISHER and S. R. LONG, 1987 Extended region of nodulation genes in *Rhizobium meliloti* 1021. I. Phenotypes of Tn 5 insertion mutants. Genetics 117: 181–189.
- THERISOD, H., A. C. WEISSBORN and E. P. KENNEDY, 1986 An essential function for acyl carrier protein in the biosynthesis of membrane-derived oligosaccharides of *E. coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83: 7236-7240.
- WILLIAMS, J. G. and P. J. MASON, 1985 Hybridization in the analysis of RNA. pp. 139–160. In: Nucleic Acid Hybridization: A Practical Approach, Edited by B. D. HAMES and S. J. HIGGINS. IRL Press, Washington, D.C.
- ZUBAY, G., D. SCHWARTZ and J. BECKWITH, 1970 The mechanism of action of catabolite sensitive genes. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 35: 433-435.
- ZUBAY, G., D. E. MORSE, W. J. SCHRENK and J. H. MILLER, 1972 Detection and isolation of the repressor protein for the tryptophan operon of *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 69: 1100-1103.

Communicating editor: J. ROTH