# The Genetic Dependence of Recombination in recD Mutants of Escherichia coli

# Susan T. Lovett, Cynthia Luisi-DeLuca and Richard D. Kolodner

Laboratory of Molecular Genetics, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute and Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115

> Manuscript received February 1, 1988 Revised copy accepted May 19, 1988

# ABSTRACT

RecBCD enzyme has multiple activities including helicase, exonuclease and endonuclease activities. Mutations in the genes *recB* or *recC*, encoding two subunbits of the enzyme, reduce the frequency of many types of recombinational events. Mutations in *recD*, encoding the third subunit, do not reduce recombination even though most of the activities of the RecBCD enzyme are severely reduced. In this study, the genetic dependence of different types of recombination in *recD* mutants has been investigated. The effects of mutations in genes in the RecBCD pathway (*recA* and *recC*) as well as the genes specific for the RecF pathway (*recF*, *recJ*, *recO*, *recQ*, *ruv* and *lexA*) were tested on conjugational, transductional and plasmid recombination events, especially those involving plasmids, and all recombination events in *recD* strains required *recA* and *recC*. In addition, unlike *recD*<sup>+</sup> strains, chromosomal recombination events and the repair of UV damage to DNA in *recD* strains were affected by *ruv*, *recN*, *recQ*, *recQ* and *lexA* mutations.

THE recB, recC and recD genes of Escherichia coli THE rece, reco and rece generative enzyme (exonuclease V) which is a single- or double-stranded DNA exonuclease, single-stranded DNA endonuclease, and DNA helicase (reviewed in TELANDER-MUS-KAVITCH and LINN 1981; TAYLOR 1988). Under certain conditions the enzyme will also nick linear duplex DNA at specific DNA sequences known as Chi sites (PONTICELLI et al. 1985; TAYLOR et al. 1985), sites which stimulate recombination in vivo (SMITH 1987). Mutations in the recB and recC genes lead to a reduction in many types of recombination events, a reduction in cell viability and a loss of repair capacity to DNA-damaging agents such as UV light (reviewed in CLARK 1973). Mutants in the recD gene, however, are hyper-recombinogenic in many types of genetic crosses, even though they lack detectable exonuclease and helicase activity in vitro and the ability to respond to Chi sequences in vivo (CHAUDHURY and SMITH 1984; AMUNDSEN et al. 1986; BIEK and COHEN 1986; SMITH 1987). To explain these results it has been suggested that the RecB and RecC subunits, even in the absence of the RecD subunit, retain some activity that is sufficient for normal recombination and repair processes (AMUNDSEN et al. 1986). In vitro, the RecD subunit can be stripped off the RecBCD complex: the RecD-less RecBC enzyme retains 10% of its ATPase activity (LIEBERMAN and OISHI 1974) and some endonuclease and helicase activity (PALAS and KUSHNER 1987). However, it has not been possible to demonstrate any of the RecBCD enzymatic activities in crude extracts of *recD* mutants, even using overproducers of the RecBC complex (A. TAYLOR and G. SMITH, personal communication). It has been assumed that the activities of the RecBCD enzyme which are negated by *recD* mutations must not be important for recombination, since *recD* mutants are recombination-proficient. However, recombination may proceed differently in *recD* mutants than in wild-type strains and use a different "pathway" to mediate recombination, perhaps involving the RecBC complex in addition to other gene products.

Recombination can be mediated without the recB, recC and recD genes by an alternate "pathway," the RecF pathway. This pathway can substitute efficiently for the RecBCD pathway in conjugational combination and P1 transduction if the gene for a singlestranded DNA specific exonuclease (exoI), sbcB, is mutant (KUSHNER et al. 1971). Additional mutations in one of several genes which together comprise the "RecF pathway" reduce or abolish recombination in sbcB-suppressed recB recC mutant strains; these genes include recF, recJ, recO (HORII and CLARK 1973; LOV-ETT and CLARK 1984; KOLODNER, FISHEL and HOW-ARD 1985) and the lexA-regulated genes recN, recQ, and ruv (LLOYD, PICKSLEY and PRESCOTT 1983; LLOYD, BENSON and SHURVINTON 1984; NAKAYAMA et al. 1984; IRINO, NAKAYAMA and NAKAYAMA 1986). The RecF pathway does not significantly contribute to conjugational recombination and P1 transduction in wild-type *E. coli* strains. However, plasmid recombination in wild-type strains requires the products of several RecF pathway genes (*recF*, *recJ* and *recO*, in addition to *recA*) and does not require *recB* or *recC* (FISHEL, JAMES and KOLODNER 1981; LABAN and COHEN 1981; JAMES, MORRISON and KOLODNER 1982; COHEN and LABAN 1983; KOLODNER, FISHEL and HOWARD 1985).

Recombination in recD mutants has some of the characteristics of RecF pathway recombination. These include: high frequency of exchange between close markers (MAHAJAN and DATTA 1977; CHAUDHURY and SMITH 1984; LLOYD and THOMAS 1984) and the localization of exchange near ends during recombination of  $\lambda$  red gam (D. THALER, F. STAHL, E. SAMP-SON, I. SADDIQI, S. ROSENBERG and M. STAHL, personal communication). To determine if genes in the RecF pathway are required for recombination and repair of UV damage in recD mutant strains, a series of strains carrying a recD mutation and a mutation in one of the RecF pathway genes (lexA, recF, recJ, recO, recN, recQ and ruv) were constructed and compared to strains carrying mutations in recD and recA or recC (genes required for the RecBCD pathway). The results indicated that efficient recombination and repair of UV damage in recD mutants required the RecBC complex and often required one RecF pathway gene, rec]. In addition, depending on the recombination or repair event examined, a limited number of other RecF pathway gene products were required.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and plasmids: The strains tested in this study were derived from AB1157 and are described in Table 1. Intermediates in strain constructions requiring two or more steps are listed in Table 1. Strains were constructed by P1 transduction as in WILLETTS, CLARK and LOW (1969). To score rec mutations patch tests for conjugational recombination-proficiency and UV-sensitivity were employed (CLARK and MARGULIES 1965). Other strains, not derived from AB1157, used as donors in conjugation or P1 transductions are given in Table 1. The dimer plasmid pRDK41 was used to study recombination of circular plasmids and the dimer plasmid pRDK69 was used to study recombination of linear dimer plasmids. A circular dimer of pBR322, pRDK41 contains one copy each of the tet-10 and tet-14 mutant alleles (DOHERTY, MORRISON and KOLODNER 1983); pRDK69 is a derivative of pRDK41 containing an XbaI linker insertion in the BamHI site closest to the tet-14 mutation of pRDK41 (SYMINGTON, MORRISON and KOLODNER 1985). Both plasmids were maintained in recA304 E. coli strain JC10287 (CSONKA and CLARK 1978).

Media: Strains were grown routinely in LB medium (WIL-LETTS, CLARK and LOW 1969). Plate minimal medium consisted of 56/2 salts (WILLETTS, CLARK and LOW 1969) with 0.2% glucose, 0.5  $\mu$ g/ml thiamine, and 50  $\mu$ g/ml amino acid supplements. Streptomycin (Sm), nalidixic acid (Nal), kanamycin (Km), ampicillin (Ap) and tetracycline (Tc) were added to 100, 20, 30, 100, and 20  $\mu$ g/ml concentrations, respectively. P1 was plated on R medium (MILLER 1972) with 50  $\mu$ g/ml thymine added.

Chromosomal recombination and viability tests: Each double mutant combination was assayed for chromosomal recombination events at least two times, with rec<sup>+</sup> and recD control strains in parallel. Single mutants were assayed one or more times; values obtained are in agreement with previous genetic characterization of these mutants. Values reported are representative of these determinations, except for those of the rec<sup>+</sup> and recD strains, which are averages of 6-10 determinations. Separate determinations did not differ from each other by more than two-fold. Matings were performed for 1 hr at 37° with a 10:1 recipient to donor ratio and used recipient and donor cells that had been grown to an OD<sub>590</sub> of 0.4. Hfr JC11033 was used to assay inheritance of both a chromosomal marker, leuB, by recombination and a plasmid marker, Km<sup>r</sup>, conjugally transferred in the same cross. Contraselection in both cases was Ser<sup>+</sup> Sm<sup>r</sup> in minimal medium. In strains already carrying a gene for  $Km^r$ , inheritance of an F' factor carrying *leu*<sup>+</sup> was assayed in an independent cross with RDK1376. A different test of conjugation efficiency measured zygotic induction of a  $\lambda$ prophage during conjugation with RDK1911. After mating, cells were diluted in 56/2 buffer and the number of infective centers determined by plating with CGSC5760 (Nal<sup>r</sup> Str<sup>r</sup>) with L + 0.7% agar to L Sm Nal plates. As controls, the donor lysogen culture alone was titered with the plating bacteria and the number of free phage in each mating culture was also determined. Since these values were <0.1% of the values obtained for "infective centers" in the mating cultures, the titers presented represent the number of infected transconjugant cells, and not merely zygotic induction in the plating culture or free phage from already lysed cells. The frequency of inheritance has been expressed relative to the rec<sup>+</sup> control strain, AB1157.

P1 transductions to Leu<sup>+</sup> were performed (WILLETTS, CLARK and Low 1969) using an m.o.i. of 0.1. The P1 transducing lysate was propagated on JC158. The relative transduction frequency is the number of transductants obtained for the strain in question relative to the number obtained for AB1157 transduced in parallel. For each transduction, the number of infected cells was determined by diluting the washed cells after the period of adsorption and plating with a titering culture of AB1157 on R plates. The number of infected cells for the strain in question relative to the number obtained for AB1157 is given as the "relative P1 infectivity" and is included in order to evaluate the P1 transduction data in case the failure to transduce is due to failure of a P1 particle to gain entry into the cell. However, this is an imperfect control since some strains can be infected with P1 yet do not support normal plating of the virus; many Rec<sup>-</sup> strains show a concomitant decrease in P1 plating efficiency for unknown reasons, perhaps due to improper metabolism of P1 DNA.

Viability of cells in L broth cultures ( $OD_{590} = 0.4$ ) was determined by comparing the number of viable cells (determined by diluting and plating to duplicate LB plates) to the total number of cells (determined by counting cells directly in Petroff-Hausser counting chamber using a phase-contrast microscope).

UV survival assays: Cells were grown in L broth and diluted in 56/2 buffer. Dilutions were plated on L medium and the plates were immediately irradiated with a 20  $J/m^2$  dose of UV irradiation. The plates were then incubated in the dark. Values obtained from this procedure did not vary significantly from those previously obtained by irradiation of the cells in buffer suspension with subsequent dilution and plating.

**Plasmid recombination:** Fluctuation test analysis was used to determine the frequency of production of Tc<sup>r</sup> prog-

eny after transformation of a strain with 44 ng of pRDK41, as described (FISHEL, JAMES and KOLODNER 1981; JAMES, MORRISON and KOLODNER 1982) with the following modifications. Transformants were selected on LB + Ap at 37°. Entire single Apr colonies were resuspended in 1 ml of minimal salts, the cell suspension was then serially diluted and 25 µl of each dilution was spotted onto LB+Tc and LB+Ap plates. For each strain, 11 individual Apr transformants were analyzed in this way. The fluctuation test was performed one to three times for each strain tested. Recombination rates were calculated by the method of LEA and COULSON (1949). Using this method,  $r_0 = M(1.24 + \ln M)$ where  $r_0$  is the median number of Tc<sup>r</sup> recombinants among the 11 cultures and M is the average number of recombinants per culture. M was solved by interpolation and then used to calculate the recombination rate, r = M/N where N is the final average concentration of Apr transformants in each 1 ml cell suspension.

Linear dimer plasmid transformation efficiencies were determined using XbaI-digested pRDK69. Following extensive digestion with XbaI, the plasmid DNA was further purified by HPLC chromatography using a Nucleogen DEAE-4000 column (Rainin Instruments, Woburn, Massachusetts) and the chromatography conditions provided by the manufacturer. Fractions containing linear dimers were pooled, dialyzed against 10 mM Tris pH 8.0/1 mM EDTA, extracted with phenol, precipitated with ethanol, and resuspended in 10 mM Tris pH 8.0/1 mM EDTA. Undigested pRDK69 was used as the circular dimer control in the transformations. Competent E. coli cells were prepared and transformed essentially as described (WENSINK et al. 1974) with each transformation mix containing 56 ng of linear or circular plasmid DNA. The number of Apr transformants per ml of culture was determined relative to the total number of viable cells per ml of culture. The efficiency reported is the transformation efficiency of the linear dimer relative to that of the circular dimer analog. The efficiency of transforming different strains by the circular dimer plasmid did not vary more than 10-fold.

## RESULTS

Chromosomal recombination events, cell viability and UV survival: The results for conjugational inheritance, viability, P1 transduction and UV survival are given in Table 2. Values relative to wild-type are given for inheritance of a chromosomal marker, *leuB*, by recombination and the conjugal inheritance of a ColE1-derivative plasmid, pML2, carrying Km<sup>r</sup>, in the same cross. For those strains already Km<sup>r</sup>, inheritance of F' factor carrying leuB was also assayed in a separate cross. Since the plasmid inheritance does not require homologous recombination, it can be used to control for the efficiency with which a strain undergoes conjugation. However, it should be noted that these inheritance values can be lowered by problems in metabolism of plasmid DNA (LOVETT and CLARK 1983; LLOYD, BENSON and SHURVINTON 1984) and also by a lowered viability of the strain. As a different measure of the ability to undergo conjugation, these strains were assayed for zygotic induction of a  $\lambda$  prophage transferred in an Hfr cross, which does not demand stable maintenance of any genetic element in the strain. As a control for P1 transduction, data regarding the relative ability of the strain to produce P1 after infection is also included.

Conjugational recombination was reduced 50- to 100-fold in recB and recC mutants, and appeared to be increased 2-fold in recD mutants. P1 transduction was reduced at least 300-fold in recB or recC mutants and was increased 2.3-fold in recD mutants. The slightly hyper-rec phenotype of recD mutants was seen in each of greater than ten independent determinations. The recB and recC mutants were UV-sensitive; whereas, recD mutants were as resistant as wild type. These phenotypes are in agreement with those cited previously (EMMERSON and HOWARD-FLANDERS 1967; WILLETTS and MOUNT 1969: CHAUDHURY and SMITH 1984; BIEK and COHEN 1986). The apparent additivity of recB and recC mutations for UV survival was unexpected, given the interpretation that they make up subunits of the same enzyme, but the result was reproducible and has been similarly noted by others (DYKSTRA, PRASHER and KUSHNER 1984). The recB and recC mutations tested can be considered null mutations: recB21 is a large insertion mutation (AMUNDSEN et al. 1986) and recC22 a nonsense mutation (TEMPLIN, MARGOSSIAN and CLARK 1978).

In recD mutant strains, addition of a mutation in recA reduced conjugational or transductional recombination to extremely low levels. Addition of a recC mutation to a recD mutant strain reduced conjugational recombination 140-fold and transductional recombination 70-fold relative to single recD mutantslevels which are comparable to recB or recC mutant strains. The low level of residual recombination in recD recC mutant strains is presumably a result of alternate recB recC-independent (although recA-dependent) recombination pathways. The requirement for recB in recD strains was not tested since the two genes are tightly linked and construction of the appropriate strain was unsuccessful. However, it is likely that recB is required for conjugational and transductional recombination and UV survival in recD mutants since the recB21 mutation examined in this study is polar on recD expression (AMUNDSEN et al. 1986) and therefore could be considered phenotypically RecD<sup>-</sup>. These results are consistent with the expectation that in recD mutants, like wild-type strains, the RecA and RecBC complex were required to complete these types of recombination.

However, unlike wild-type strains, recD mutants required a functional recJ gene to mediate recombination after conjugation and for survival after UVirradiation. Loss of recJ function in recD strains led to a phenotype that was virtually identical to  $recB \ recC$ mutants with respect to conjugation and UV survival. The transposon insertion mutation, recJ284::Tn10, produced a slightly more extreme phenotype than the recJ77 allele, the strongest of the remaining known

## TABLE 1

#### **Bacterial strains**

	Relevant genotype	Source or derivation	
AB1157	rec <sup>+</sup>	BACHMANN (1972)	
DM49	lexA3	MOUNT, LOW and EDMISTON (1972)	
JC2924	recA56	CLARK and MARGULIES (1965)	
JC5489	recG22	WILLETS and MOUNT (1969)	
JC5519	recB21 recC22	WILLETS, CLARK and LOW (1969)	
JC9239	recF143	HORII and CLARK (1973)	
JC12123	rec]284::Tn10	LOVETT and CLARK (1984)	
JC13030	rec]77	LOVETT and CLARK (1984)	
RDK1540	recN1502::Tn5	KOLODNER, FISHEL and HOWARD (1985)	
RDK1541	recO1504::Tn5	KOLODNER, FISHEL and HOWARD (1985)	
RDK1542	ruvB9	KOLODNER, FISHEL and HOWARD (1985)	
RDK1791	recD1013 rec]77	b	
RDK1792	recD1013	<u> </u> '	
RDK1796	recD1013 recO1504::Tn5	d	
RDK1798	recD1013 recJ284::Tn10	<u> </u> '	
RDK1863	recD1013 recQ1	f	
RDK1864	recD1013 recA56	الل	
RDK1865	recD1013 lexA3	^	
RDK1868	recD1013 recF143	i	
RDK1869	recD1013 ruvB9	<b>i</b>	
RDK1870	recD1013 recN1502::Tn5	d	
RDK1880	recD1013 recC22	نــــ	
PDV 1000	recOl	ſ	
KDK1500		······································	
KDK1500	B. Intermediates in strain constructions (re	lated to AB1157) <sup>e</sup>	
RDK1580	B. Intermediates in strain constructions (re thyA	lated to AB1157) <sup>a</sup>	
RDK1500 RDK1580 RDK1631	B. Intermediates in strain constructions (re thyA metE163::Tn10	lated to AB1157) <sup>a</sup>	
RDK1580 RDK1580 RDK1631 RDK1788	B. Intermediates in strain constructions (re thyA metE163::Tn10 thyA serA1 zgb-224::Tn10	lated to AB1157) <sup>a</sup> kim	
RDK1580 RDK1580 RDK1631 RDK1788 RDK1789	B. Intermediates in strain constructions (re thyA metE163::Tn10 thyA serA1 zgb-224::Tn10 thyA recJ77	lated to AB1157) <sup>a</sup> kimn	
RDK1580 RDK1580 RDK1631 RDK1788 RDK1789 RDK1858	B. Intermediates in strain constructions (re thyA metE163::Tn10 thyA serA1 zgb-224::Tn10 thyA recJ77 thyA recD1013 argA::Tn10	lated to AB1157) <sup>a</sup> kimn°	
RDK1580 RDK1580 RDK1631 RDK1788 RDK1789 RDK1858 RDK1859	B. Intermediates in strain constructions (re thyA metE163::Tn10 thyA serA1 zgb-224::Tn10 thyA recJ77 thyA recD1013 argA::Tn10 thyA argA::Tn10	lated to AB1157) <sup>a</sup> kimn°°	
RDK1580 RDK1631 RDK1631 RDK1788 RDK1789 RDK1858 RDK1859 RDK1860	B. Intermediates in strain constructions (re thyA metE163::Tn10 thyA serA1 zgb-224::Tn10 thyA recJ77 thyA recD1013 argA::Tn10 thyA argA::Tn10 recD1013 malE::Tn10	lated to AB1157) <sup>a</sup> ki""""""	
RDK1580 RDK1631 RDK1631 RDK1788 RDK1789 RDK1858 RDK1859 RDK1860 RDK1861	B. Intermediates in strain constructions (re thyA metE163::Tn10 thyA serA1 zgb-224::Tn10 thyA recJ77 thyA recD1013 argA::Tn10 thyA argA::Tn10 recD1013 malE::Tn10 recD1013 srl-300::Tn10	lated to AB1157)°	
RDK1580 RDK1631 RDK1631 RDK1788 RDK1789 RDK1858 RDK1859 RDK1860 RDK1861 RDK1862	B. Intermediates in strain constructions (re thyA metE163::Tn10 thyA serA1 zgb-224::Tn10 thyA recJ77 thyA recD1013 argA::Tn10 thyA argA::Tn10 recD1013 malE::Tn10 recD1013 srl-300::Tn10 recD1013 metE163::Tn10	lated to AB1157) <sup>o</sup> kimmn0opqi	
RDK1580 RDK1631 RDK1631 RDK1788 RDK1789 RDK1858 RDK1859 RDK1860 RDK1860 RDK1861 RDK1862 RDK1866	B. Intermediates in strain constructions (re thyA metE163::Tn10 thyA serA1 zgb-224::Tn10 thyA recJ77 thyA recD1013 argA::Tn10 thyA argA::Tn10 recD1013 malE::Tn10 recD1013 srl-300::Tn10 recD1013 metE163::Tn10 thyA recF143 argA::Tn10	lated to AB1157)° k ' m n ° ° ° ° ° ° ° °	
RDK1580 RDK1580 RDK1631 RDK1788 RDK1789 RDK1858 RDK1859 RDK1860 RDK1860 RDK1862 RDK1866 RDK1866 RDK1866	B. Intermediates in strain constructions (re thyA metE163::Tn10 thyA serA1 zgb-224::Tn10 thyA recJ77 thyA recD1013 argA::Tn10 thyA argA::Tn10 recD1013 malE::Tn10 recD1013 srl-300::Tn10 recD1013 metE163::Tn10 thyA recF143 argA::Tn10 thyA ruvB9 argA::Tn10	lated to AB1157)" k i m n n o o p f i r r	
RDK1580 RDK1580 RDK1631 RDK1788 RDK1789 RDK1858 RDK1859 RDK1859 RDK1860 RDK1861 RDK1862 RDK1866 RDK1867 RDK1878	B. Intermediates in strain constructions (re thyA metE163::Tn10 thyA serA1 zgb-224::Tn10 thyA recJ77 thyA recD1013 argA::Tn10 thyA argA::Tn10 recD1013 malE::Tn10 recD1013 metE163::Tn10 thyA recF143 argA::Tn10 thyA ruvB9 argA::Tn10 thyA recD1013	lated to AB1157) <sup>a</sup> kimnnnooopqirrrr	
RDK1580 RDK1580 RDK1631 RDK1788 RDK1789 RDK1858 RDK1859 RDK1860 RDK1861 RDK1862 RDK1866 RDK1867 RDK1878 RDK1901	B. Intermediates in strain constructions (re thyA metE163::Tn10 thyA serA1 zgb-224::Tn10 thyA recJ77 thyA recD1013 argA::Tn10 thyA argA::Tn10 recD1013 malE::Tn10 recD1013 srl-300::Tn10 recD1013 metE163::Tn10 thyA recF143 argA::Tn10 thyA recD1013 recD1013 recC22 argA::Tn10	lated to AB1157) <sup>a</sup> kimmnnnnn	
RDK1580 RDK1631 RDK1631 RDK1788 RDK1789 RDK1858 RDK1859 RDK1860 RDK1861 RDK1862 RDK1866 RDK1867 RDK1867 RDK1878 RDK1901	B. Intermediates in strain constructions (re thyA metE163::Tn10 thyA serA1 zgb-224::Tn10 thyA recJ77 thyA recD1013 argA::Tn10 thyA argA::Tn10 recD1013 malE::Tn10 recD1013 srl-300::Tn10 thyA recF143 argA::Tn10 thyA recF143 argA::Tn10 thyA recD1013 recD1013 recC22 argA::Tn10 C. Miscellaneous strains	lated to AB1157) <sup>a</sup> kimnnooooo	
RDK1580 RDK1631 RDK1788 RDK1789 RDK1858 RDK1859 RDK1860 RDK1861 RDK1862 RDK1866 RDK1867 RDK1867 RDK1878 RDK1901	B. Intermediates in strain constructions (re thyA metE163::Tn10 thyA serA1 zgb-224::Tn10 thyA recJ77 thyA recD1013 argA::Tn10 thyA argA::Tn10 recD1013 matE::Tn10 recD1013 metE163::Tn10 thyA recF143 argA::Tn10 thyA recD1013 recD1013 recC22 argA::Tn10 C. Miscellaneous strains F506 aroD argE lac gal man rpsL nalA recA1	lated to AB1157)"k!mnn00000	
RDK1580 RDK1631 RDK1788 RDK1788 RDK1858 RDK1859 RDK1860 RDK1861 RDK1862 RDK1866 RDK1867 RDK1867 RDK1878 RDK1901	B. Intermediates in strain constructions (re thyA metE163::Tn10 thyA serA1 zgb-224::Tn10 thyA recJ77 thyA recD1013 argA::Tn10 thyA argA::Tn10 recD1013 metE163::Tn10 recD1013 metE163::Tn10 thyA recF143 argA::Tn10 thyA recD1013 recD1013 recC22 argA::Tn10 C. Miscellaneous strains F506 aroD argE lac gal man rpsL nalA recA1 Hfr PO1 serA6 thi-1 relA1 lac122	lated to AB1157)"klmnnn0000	
RDK1580 RDK1631 RDK1788 RDK1788 RDK1858 RDK1859 RDK1860 RDK1861 RDK1862 RDK1866 RDK1867 RDK1867 RDK1867 RDK1878 RDK1901 CGSC5760 JC158 JC11033	B. Intermediates in strain constructions (re thyA metE163::Tn10 thyA serA1 zgb-224::Tn10 thyA recJ77 thyA recD1013 argA::Tn10 thyA argA::Tn10 recD1013 malE::Tn10 recD1013 metE163::Tn10 thyA recF143 argA::Tn10 thyA recF143 argA::Tn10 thyA recD1013 recD1013 recC22 argA::Tn10 C. Miscellaneous strains F506 aroD argE lac gal man rpsL nalA recA1 Hfr PO1 serA6 thi-1 relA1 lac122 Hfr PO1 pML2 serA6 thi-1 relA1 lac122	lated to AB1157)"klmnn00000	
RDK1580 RDK1631 RDK1631 RDK1788 RDK1789 RDK1858 RDK1860 RDK1860 RDK1861 RDK1862 RDK1866 RDK1867 RDK1867 RDK1878 RDK1901 CGSC5760 JC158 JC11033 RDK1376	B. Intermediates in strain constructions (re thyA metE163::Tn10 thyA serA1 zgb-224::Tn10 thyA recJ77 thyA recD1013 argA::Tn10 thyA argA::Tn10 recD1013 malE::Tn10 recD1013 srl-300::Tn10 recD1013 metE163::Tn10 thyA recF143 argA::Tn10 thyA recF143 argA::Tn10 thyA recD1013 recD1013 recC22 argA::Tn10 C. Miscellaneous strains F506 aroD argE lac gal man rpsL nalA recA1 Hfr PO1 serA6 thi-1 relA1 lac122 Hfr PO1 pML2 serA6 thi-1 relA1 lac122 F104::Tn5\Delta112 thyA hsdR recA1 rif	lated to AB1157)"kimnn00000	
RDK1580 RDK1631 RDK1631 RDK1788 RDK1789 RDK1858 RDK1859 RDK1860 RDK1860 RDK1861 RDK1866 RDK1866 RDK1867 RDK1867 RDK1878 RDK1901 CCSSC5760 JC158 JC11033 RDK1376 RDK1911	B. Intermediates in strain constructions (re thyA metE163::Tn10 thyA serA1 zgb-224::Tn10 thyA recJ77 thyA recD1013 argA::Tn10 thyA argA::Tn10 recD1013 malE::Tn10 recD1013 metE163::Tn10 thyA recF143 argA::Tn10 thyA recF143 argA::Tn10 thyA recD1013 recD1013 recC22 argA::Tn10 C. Miscellaneous strains F506 aroD argE lac gal man rpsL nalA recA1 Hfr PO1 serA6 thi-1 relA1 lac122 Hfr PO1 pML2 serA6 thi-1 relA1 lac122 F104::Tn5 $\Delta$ 112 thyA hsdR recA1 rif Hfr PO1 ( $\lambda$ clind) serA6 thi-1 relA1 lac122	lated to AB1157)"kimnn00000	

<sup>c</sup> Thy<sup>+</sup> transductant of RDK1580 with P1 grown on RDK1791. *recD* mutation was confirmed by plating phenotype of <sup>d</sup> Km<sup>r</sup> transductant of RDK1792; RDK1796 from P1 grown on RDK1541, RDK1870 from P1 grown on RDK1540. of P2 and  $\lambda$  red gam.

Tc' transductant of RDK1792 with P1 grown on JC12123. Met<sup>+</sup> transductant of RDK1862 (for RDK1863) or RDK1631 (for RDK1900) with P1 grown on KD2196 (*recQ1 thyA12 thyR14* + markers of AB1157; from K. NAKAYAMA). The recQ1 mutation was confirmed by backcrossing to strain RDK1630 (metE163::Tn10 recB21 recC22 *sbcB15* + AB1157 markers) giving cotransduction of a UV<sup>3</sup> Rec<sup>-</sup> phenotype with Met<sup>+</sup>. <sup>8</sup> Srl<sup>+</sup> UV<sup>3</sup> transductant of RDK1861 with P1 grown on JC2924.

<sup>h</sup> Mal<sup>+</sup> UV<sup>s</sup> transductant of RDK1860 with P1 grown on DM49. <sup>i</sup> Thy<sup>+</sup> Tc<sup>s</sup> transductant of RDK1866 (for RDK1868) or RDK1867 (for RDK1869) from P1 grown on RDK1792. (*recD1013* scored by P2 and  $\lambda red gam$  plating phenotypes.) RDK1869 is additionally  $kdgK^+$ .

UV' Tc' transductant of RDK1792 from P1 grown on RDK1901.

\* Spontaneous thyA mutant of AB1157 (for RDK1580) or RDK1792 (for RDK1878), selected by plating on minimal media with trimethoprim (STACEY and SIMSON 1965).

Tc<sup>\*</sup> Met<sup>-</sup> transductant of RDK1792 (for RDK1862) or AB1157 (for RDK1631) from P1 grown on RK4349 (from B. BACHMANN; metE163::Tn10).

# Recombination in recD Mutants

# **TABLE 2**

## Chromosomal recombination events and UV survival

	Relative conjugational inheritance frequency <sup>a</sup>						UV	
	Hfr cross		F' cross Hf	Hfr cross	Viability	Relative P1	Relative P1	(20 J/m <sup>2</sup> ) survival
Genotype	Chromosomal	Plasmid	plasmid	λ	(%)	transd.*	infectivity	(%)
rec <sup>+</sup>	1	1	1	1	80	1	1	51
recD1013	2.0	1.1	1.5	1.1	100	2.3	0.95	40
recB21	0.0059	0.10		0.95	50	< 0.002	0.34	0.30
recB21 recC22	0.015	0.13		0.88	20	< 0.002	0.27	0.026
recC22	0.020	0.52		0.32	30	0.0029	0.47	0.14
recD1013 recC22	0.014	0.42		0.38	30	0.033	0.54	0.24
recA56	0.000069	0.52		0.17	60	< 0.001	0.20	0.0039
recD1013 recA56	0.000043	0.40		0.42	70	< 0.001	0.28	0.00050
recI77	0.80	0.91		0.23	100	2.2	0.067	40
recD1013 rec177	0.054	0.74		0.69	100	0.43	0.60	2.2
rec/284::Tn10	0.59	0.72		0.83	90	1.4	1.0	24
recD1013 recJ284::Tn10	0.024	0.20		0.74	100	0.16	0.63	0.028
recF143	1.0	1.1		0.39	60	0.86	0.91	8.6
recD1013 recF143	0.88	1.1		0.39	70	0.80	1.1	4.7
<i>recO1504</i> ::Tn <i>5</i>	0.53		0.78	0.88	50	1.0	0.69	2.1
<i>recD1013 recO1504</i> ::Tn5	0.44		0.16	0.64	50	3.0	0.69	0.93
<i>recN1502</i> ::Tn5	0.53		0.89	0.78	100	0.29	0.47	42
recD1013 recN1502::Tn5	0.13		0.060	0.67	90	0.80	0.84	18
recO1	0.88	1.7		0.65	90	2.8	0.90	54
recD1013 recQ1	1.4	1.1		0.72	100	2.9	0.56	31
ruvB9	0.25	0.59		0.95	100	0.12	0.95	0.14
recD1013 ruvB9	0.25	0.20		0.58	60	0.095	0.39	0.11
lexA3	0.20	1.4		1.8	80	0.27	0.94	0.0057
recD1013 lexA3	0.050	0.62		1.7	40	0.35	0.39	0.0056

<sup>e</sup> Frequencies for conjugational inheritance for the rec<sup>+</sup> strain ranged from 10 to 50%.

\* P1 transduction frequencies for the rec<sup>+</sup> strain were  $1-2 \times 10^{-5}$  per plaque-forming units in all determinations.

rec] alleles (LOVETT and CLARK 1984). P1 transduction frequencies in recD recJ mutants, however, were reduced only 5- to 14-fold. In contrast, recB or recC strains showed 70- to greater than 1000-fold less recombination than in *recD* strains. It appears then that recB recC-dependent UV survival and conjugational recombination have acquired an almost complete dependence on rec] in recD mutants, whereas P1 transductional inheritance was only partially dependent on rec] in recD mutants.

None of the remaining mutations had a clear differential effect on conjugational or transductional recombination in a *recD* mutant versus a  $recD^+$  genetic background. Some mutations had moderate effects in both backgrounds and some affected stable inheritance of DNA by recombination and extrachromosomally equally. For instance, the ruv mutation had a 10- to 20-fold effect on conjugation and P1 transduction in recD strains; however, it affected these processes in recD<sup>+</sup> strains almost equally and produced a similar defect in plasmid inheritance and had a lowered P1 plating efficiency. Likewise, the lexA mutation lowered conjugational, and perhaps transductional recombination in recD strains but it lowered these events in wild-type strains as well. recN mutations may have lowered conjugational recombination somewhat

<sup>&</sup>quot; Tcr Ser transductant of RDK1580 with P1 grown on RDK1445 (serA1 zgb-224:: Tn10 derivative of AB1157).

<sup>&</sup>quot; Ser<sup>+</sup> transductant of RDK1788 using P1 grown on JC13030.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>o</sup> Tc<sup>r</sup> transductants of RDK1580 from P1 grown on V778; RDK1858 is RecD<sup>-</sup> and RDK1859 is RecD<sup>+</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>p</sup> Tc<sup>r</sup> Mal<sup>-</sup> transductant of RDK1792 from P1 grown on TST1 (malE::Tn10 araD139 ΔlacU169 rpsL relA fibB deoC from T. SILHAVY).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Tc<sup>r</sup> Srl<sup>-</sup> transductant of RDK1792 from P1 grown on RDK1489 (*srl-300*::Tn10 derivative of AB1157). <sup>7</sup> Thy<sup>-</sup> Tc<sup>r</sup> transductant of RDK1542 (for RDK1867) or JC9239 (for RDK1866) with P1 grown on RDK1859. RDK1542 and RDK1867 are additionally kdgK+.

Thy<sup>+</sup> UV<sup>\*</sup> transductant of RDK1858 with P1 grown on JC5489; the recD mutation was verified by a backcross to RDK1792 with all Tc<sup>+</sup> UV' transductants showing the RecD<sup>-</sup> diagnostic phenotype for P2 and  $\lambda red$  gam plating.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Transposition of Tn5Δ112 into F104 in strain KL725 (from B. BACHMANN; F104 recA13 + AB1157 markers) selected by mating Km<sup>r</sup> into HMS187 (thyA hsdR recA1 rif) (from J. CAMPBELL).

<sup>&</sup>quot; λ cI-ind lysogen of JC158. (λ cI-ind provided by F. STAHL.)

TABLE 3

Plasmid dimer recombination in recD strains

Genotype	Linear dimer transformation efficiency (relative to circles)	Circular dimer recombination rate (to Tc <sup>r</sup> ) × 10 <sup>4</sup>
rec <sup>+</sup>	0.038	0.015
recB21 recC22	0.020	0.84
recD1013	0.43	2.7
recD1013 recC22	0.017	0.46
recD1013 recA56	0.0064	≤0.044
recD1013 rec]77	0.25	1.0
recD1013 recJ284::Tn10	0.060	NT <sup>a</sup>
recD1013 recF143	0.31	3.9
<i>recD1013 recO1504</i> ::Tn5	0.073	4.8
<i>recD1013 recN1502</i> ::Tn5	0.022	1.2
recD1013 recQ1	0.33	0.52
recD1013 ruvB9	0.24	3.1
recD1013 lexA3	0.090	0.75

" Not tested.

(20-fold) but were also defective in plasmid inheritance, and unaffected for transductional recombination. *recF*, *recO* and *recQ* had only minor effects, if any, on both these recombination events in either genetic background.

None of the mutations tested except *recJ* had any substantial synergism with *recD* with respect to UV survival, although *recA*, *recC*, *recF*, *recO*, *ruv* and *lexA* were required for optimal UV survival in both *rec*<sup>+</sup> and *recD* mutant genetic backgrounds. In all cases, the values for the RecF pathway mutations in the *recD*<sup>+</sup> background were consistent with previous reports (OTSUJI, IYEHARA and HIDESHIMA 1974; LLOYD, PICK-SLEY and PRESCOTT 1983; LOVETT and CLARK 1983, 1984; LLOYD, BENSON and SHURVINTON 1984; NA-KAYAMA *et al.* 1984; KOLODNER, FISHEL and HOWARD 1985).

Plasmid recombination: Two types of plasmid recombination events were assayed in recD mutant derivatives and the results are presented in Table 3. The first was the efficiency of transformation by a linear dimer plasmid compared to an analogous circular dimer. This transformation efficiency reflects the efficiency of intramolecular recombination of the linear dimer to yield recombinant circular monomers that can be stably maintained in cells (SYMINGTON, MOR-RISON and KOLODNER 1985). In wild-type strains the transformation efficiency of linear dimers is normally low (about 4% relative to the analogous circular dimer). The low efficiency in wild-type strains appears to reflect the failure of linear dimers to recombine rather than the simple degradation of the linear dimers by RecBCD nuclease or other nucleases. This is because mutations in xthA (exoIII) or recB and recC (exoV) had no effect on the efficiency of transformation of wild-type strains by linear dimers (SYMINGTON, MORRISON and KOLODNER 1985; C. LUISI-DELUCA

and R. D. KOLODNER, in preparation) and because recB and recC were not required for recombination of circular dimer plasmids in wild-type strains (xthA was not tested) (FISHEL, JAMES and KOLODNER 1981; JAMES, MORRISON and KOLODNER 1982; and Table 3). In recD mutant strains, the transformation efficiency of linear dimers was elevated at least 10-fold over that seen in wild type (Table 3). Restriction mapping verified that the transformants contained recombinant forms (data not shown). The efficient recombination of linear molecules in recD strains was reduced by mutations in recA, recC and recN, and to a lesser extent by mutations in recI, recO and lexA. This reduced recombination of linear dimer plasmids does not reflect a general instability of plasmids in these strains because the linear dimer transformation efficiencies have been normalized to those obtained for an analogous circular dimer plasmid. None of these mutations, or other RecF pathway mutations, reduced the transformation efficiency of wild-type strains by linear dimers below the low level that is normally seen for wild-type strains (SYMINGTON, MORRISON and KOLOD-NER 1985; unpublished results of C. LUISI-DELUCA and R. D. KOLODNER).

In a second assay of plasmid recombination, the rate of a circular dimer plasmid containing two different mutant tet alleles to yield Tcr recombinants was measured. This rate was elevated 70-fold in recD mutants relative to rec<sup>+</sup> (Table 3). This elevated rate was dependent on recA (at least 50-fold reduced) and was partially dependent on recQ. recC mutations reduced the frequency of recombination in recD mutants to the level observed in recB recC double mutants. The other mutations tested did not affect this type of recombination. (The recJ::Tn10 insertion mutation, consistently more extreme in phenotype than recJ77, could not be tested since the strain is already Tc<sup>r</sup>.) In comparison, recombination of circular dimer plasmids in wild-type strains requires the products of the recA, recF, recJ and recO genes (FISHEL, JAMES and KOLOD-NER 1981; LABAN and COHEN 1981; JAMES, MORRISON and KOLODNER 1982; COHEN and LABAN 1983; KO-LODNER, FISHEL and HOWARD 1985; unpublished results of C. LUISI-DELUCA and R. D. KOLODNER).

# DISCUSSION

The genetic results presented here indicate that recombination in *recD* mutants is fundamentally different than in wild-type strains, although both types of recombination use the *recB* and *recC* gene products. All of the chromosomal recombination and repair events tested in *recD* mutants showed a dependence on *recC* function to the same extent as seen in *recD*<sup>+</sup> strains. In contrast to these chromosomal events, *recB* and *recC* do not normally contribute to recombination of circular plasmids in wild-type strains and linear

dimer plasmids appear to recombine at low levels or not at all in wild-type and recB recC mutant strains (FISHEL, JAMES and KOLODNER 1981; JAMES, MORRI-SON and KOLODNER 1982; SYMINGTON, MORRISON and KOLODNER 1985; Table 3). Rather, a subset of RecF pathway genes appear to mediate recombination of circular plasmids in both wild-type and recB recC strains (FISHEL, JAMES and KOLODNER 1981; LABAN and COHEN 1981; JAMES, MORRISON and KOLODNER 1982; COHEN and LABAN 1983; KOLODNER, FISHEL and HOWARD 1985; unpublished results of C. LUISI-DELUCA and R. D. KOLODNER). In this study, plasmid recombination frequencies were elevated in recD mutants (as seen previously for circular plasmids by BIEK and COHEN 1986) and they were recC-dependent. The smallest effect of recC observed was a fivefold reduction in the rate of circular plasmid recombination, to a level comparable to that seen in recB recC mutant strains. It appears that recD mutations allow both linear and circular plasmids to recombine by the RecBC pathway, which in the case of circular plasmids, is observed above a background of RecF pathway recombination (FISHEL, JAMES and KOLODNER 1981; JAMES, MORRISON and KOLODNER 1982). Because recB21 is polar on recD (AMUNDSEN et al. 1986), all of the recC-dependent events that occur in recD mutants most likely have a dependence on recB as well. These results indicate that recB and recC, in recD mutants, have some activity that can act in recombination. Unfortunately, it is not yet clear what biochemical function RecBC has in the absence of the RecD subunit.

The RecBC complex in the absence of the RecD subunit required other gene products that were not required in the presence of the RecD subunit. The most striking requirement was for rec] which was required for all of the events detected, with the possible exception of the recombination of circular dimer plasmids where it was not possible to test the tightest rec] allele (rec]284::Tn10). Recently, we have overproduced the RecJ protein and shown that accumulation of RecJ protein in cells is accompanied by the accumulation of a new exonuclease activity (unpublished results of S. T. LOVETT and R. KOLODNER). This observation suggests that some exonuclease activity that is normally provided by the RecBCD complex may be required for RecBC-dependent recombination. Further analysis of the RecJ protein should provide additional insights into the activities of the RecBCD complex that are normally required for recombination.

In addition to *recJ*, other RecF pathway gene products were required for recombination and repair in *recD* mutants. The degree of dependence on a given gene product varied depending on the recombination or repair event tested. Our results are consistent with a small dependence of conjugational recombination on recN, ruv and lexA, and a small dependence on ruv and lexA for transductional recombination. ruv and lexA were also partially required for both conjugational and transductional recombination in wild-type strains. Recombinational repair in recD mutants, as well as in wild-type strains, required recF, recO, ruv and lexA. Recombination of linear dimer plasmids in recD mutants required recO, recN and lexA, whereas the recombination of circular dimer plasmids in recD mutants did not require any of the RecF pathway gene products, with the possible exception of recQ. In addition to recC, all of the events also required recA. And, all events that required lexA also required a lexA regulatable gene like ruv or recN. That such a variety of effects was observed is not surprising because each event involves a different substrate and probably recombines by a different mechanism. Furthermore, for any given recombination event, the substrates that are available for recombination in recD mutants are most likely different from the substrates that are available for recombination in wild-type strains. It is clear that further biochemical analysis of the different proteins and further mechanistic analysis of the different recombination events will be required to explain these different effects. The results presented here provide information about the types of recombination events that will be useful for studying these proteins and provide clues as to the roles that some proteins may play in recombination and repair.

The difference between the genetic requirements for the recombination of linear plasmid dimers and the recombination of circular dimer plasmids is striking. *recD* mutant strains are known to accumulate linear concatemers of plasmid DNA and recombination of circular plasmids may really represent recombination of this linear concatemeric DNA (COHEN and CLARK 1986). The results reported here suggest that the mechanism of recombination of these two types of substrates may be different, and/or that the *recJ*, *recN*, *recO* and *lexA* gene products may be required to stabilize linear DNA prior to circularization in the cell.

The fact that the effects of mutations in RecF pathway genes vary greatly depending on the recombination event tested in *recD* mutants points out that recombination "pathways" are much more fluid than previously appreciated. Among the 10 or so recombination genes known in *E. coli*, only two, *recB* and *recC*, have identical phenotypic effects. Mutations in each of the other genes are unique with respect to the types of recombination events affected, the severity of the mutant defect, and their interaction with other genes. It is clear that among recombination genes there must be some redundancy of function and the

genetic dependence of recombination will vary with the substrates provided.

We are indebted to DAVID THALER and FRANK STAHL for suggesting that recombination in *recD* mutants was different and more like the RecF pathway. GERRY SMITH not only provided us with *recD* mutants but also, along with ANDY TAYLOR, insights about the RecD-less RecBC enzyme. This work was supported by an American Cancer Society (Massachusetts Division) Postdoctoral Fellowship to S.T.L., National Institutes of Health (NIH) Postdoctoral Fellowship GM10522 to C.L.-D., and American Cancer Society Faculty Research Award FRA-271 and NIH grant GM26017 to R.D.K.

# LITERATURE CITED

- AMUNDSEN, S. K., A. F. TAYLOR, A. M. CHAUDHURY and G. R. SMITH, 1986 recD: the gene for an essential third subunit of exonuclease V. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83: 5558–5562.
- BACHMANN, B. J., 1972 Pedigrees of some mutant strains of Escherichia coli. Bacteriol. Rev. 36: 525-557.
- BIEK, D. P., and S. N. COHEN, 1986 Identification and characterization of *recD*, a gene affecting plasmid maintenance and recombination in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. **167**: 594–603.
- CHAUDHURY, A. M., and G. R. SMITH, 1984 A new class of *Escherichia coli recBC* mutants: implications for the role of the RecBC enzyme in homologous recombination. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81: 7850-7854.
- CLARK, A. J., 1963 Genetic analysis of a "double male" strain of Escherichia coli K-12. Genetics 48: 105-120.
- CLARK, A. J., 1973 Recombination-deficient mutants of *E. coli* and other bacteria. Annu. Rev. Genet. 7: 67-86.
- CLARK, A. J., and A. D. MARGULIES, 1965 Isolation and characterization of recombination-deficient mutants of *Escherichia coli* K12. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 53: 451-459.
- COHEN, A., and A. J. CLARK, 1986 Synthesis of linear plasmid multimers in *Escherichia coli* K-12. J. Bacteriol. 167: 327-335.
- COHEN, A., and A. LABAN, 1983 Plasmidic recombination in *Escherichia coli* K12: the role of the *recF* gene. Mol. Gen. Genet. 189: 471-474.
- CSONKA, L., and A. J. CLARK, 1979 Deletions generated by the transposon Tn10 in the *srl recA* region of the *Escherichia coli* K-12 chromosome. Genetics **93**: 321-343.
- DOHERTY, M. J., P. T. MORRISON and R. KOLODNER, 1983 Genetic recombination of bacterial plasmid DNA: physical and genetic analysis of the products of recombination in *Escherichia coli*. J. Mol. Biol. 167: 539-560.
- DYKSTRA, C. C., D. PRASHER and S. R. KUSHNER, 1984 Physical and biochemical analysis of the cloned *recB* and *recC* genes of *Escherichia coli* K-12. J. Bacteriol. 157: 21-27.
- EMMERSON, P. T., and P. HOWARD-FLANDERS, 1967 Cotransduction with *thy* of a gene required for genetic recombination in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. **93**: 1729–1731.
- FISHEL, R. A., A. A. JAMES and R. D. KOLODNER, 1981 recAindependent general genetic recombination of plasmids. Nature 294: 184-186.
- HORII, Z. I., and A. J. CLARK, 1973 Genetic analysis of the RecF pathway of genetic recombination in *Escherichia coli* K12: isolation and characterization of mutants. J. Mol. Biol. 80: 327– 344.
- IRINO, N., K. NAKAYAMA and H. NAKAYAMA, 1986 The recQ gene of Escherichia coli K12: primary structure and evidence for SOS regulation. Mol. Gen. Genet. **205**: 298-304.
- JAMES, A. A., P. T. MORRISON and R. D. KOLODNER, 1982 Genetic recombination of bacterial plasmids: analysis of the effect of recombination-deficient mutations on plasmid recombination. J. Mol. Biol. 160: 411-430.

KOLODNER, R., R. A. FISHEL and M. HOWARD, 1985 Genetic

recombination of bacterial plasmid DNA: effect of RecF pathway mutations on plasmid recombination in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. **163:** 1060-1066.

- KUSHNER, S. R., H. NAGAISHI, A. TEMPLIN and A. J. CLARK, 1971 Genetic recombination in *Escherichia coli*: the role of exonuclease I. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 68: 824-827.
- LABAN, A., and A. COHEN, 1981 Interplasmidic and intraplasmidic recombination in *Escherichia coli* K-12. Mol. Gen. Genet. 184: 200-207.
- LEA, D. E., and C. A. COULSON, 1949 The distribution of numbers of mutants in bacterial populations. J. Genet. 49: 264–285.
- LIEBERMAN, R. P., and M. OISHI, 1974 The *recBC* deoxyribonuclease of *Escherichia coli*: isolation and characterization of the subunit proteins and reconstruction of the enzyme. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **71**: 4816-4820.
- LLOYD, R. G., and A. THOMAS, 1984 A molecular model for conjugational recombination in *Escherichia coli* K12. Mol. Gen. Genet. **197:** 328-336.
- LLOYD, R. G., F. E. BENSON and C. E. SHURVINTON, 1984 Effect of *ruv* mutations on recombination and DNA repair in *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Gen. Genet, **194**: 303-309.
- LLOYD, R. G., S. M. PICKSLEY and C. PRESCOTT, 1983 Inducible expression of a gene specific to the RecF pathway for recombination in *Escherichia coli* K-12. Mol. Gen. Genet. 190: 162– 167.
- LOVETT, S. T., and A. J. CLARK, 1983 Genetic analysis of regulation of the RecF pathway of recombination in *Escherichia coli* K-12, J. Bacteriol. 153: 1471-1478.
- LOVETT, S. T., and A. J. CLARK, 1984 Genetic analysis of the recJ gene of Escherichia coli K-12. J. Bacteriol. 157: 190-196.
- MAHAJAN, S. K., and A. R. DATTA, 1977 Nature of recombinants produced by the RecBC and the RecF pathways in *Escherichia coli*. Nature **266**: 652–653.
- MILLER, J. H., 1972 Experiments in Molecular Genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- MOUNT, D. W., K. B. LOW and S. J. EDMISTON, 1972 Dominant mutations (*lex*) in *Escherichia coli* K-12 which affect radiation sensitivity and frequency of ultraviolet light-induced mutations. J. Bacteriol. 112: 886–893.
- NAKAYAMA, H., K. NAKAYAMA, R. NAKAYAMA, N. IRINO, Y. NA-KAYAMA and P. C. HANAWALT, 1984 Isolation and genetic characterization of a thymineless death-resistant mutant of *Escherichia coli* K12; identification of a new mutation (*recQ1*) that blocks the RecF recombination pathway. Mol. Gen. Genet. **195**: 474–480.
- OTSUJI, N., H. IYEHARA and U. HIDESHIMA, 1974 Isolation and characterization of an *Escherichia coli ruv* mutant which forms nonseptate filaments after low doses of ultraviolet light irradiation. J. Bacteriol. 117: 337–344.
- PALAS, K. M., and S. R. KUSHNER, 1987 Comparison of the catalytic properties of the RecBC and RecBCD enzymes of *Escherichia coli*. Fed. Proc. **46**: 2210.
- PONTICELLI, A. S., D. W. SCHULTZ, A. F. TAYLOR and G. R. SMITH, 1985 Chi-dependent DNA strand cleavage by RecBC enzyme. Cell 41: 145-151.
- SMITH, G. R., 1987 Mechanism and control of homologous recombination in *Escherichia coli*. Annu. Rev. Genet. 21: 179–201.
- STACEY, K. A., and E. SIMSON, 1965 An improved method for the isolation of thymine-requiring mutants of *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. **90**: 554–555.
- SYMINGTON, L. S., P. MORRISON and R. KOLODNER, 1985 Intramolecular recombination of linear DNA catalyzed by the *Esch*erichia coli RecE recombination system. J. Bacteriol. 186: 515-525.
- TAYLOR, A. F., 1988 The RecBCD enzyme of *Escherichia coli*. In: *Genetic Recombination*, Edited by R. KUCHERLAPATI and G. R. SMITH. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C. (in press).

- TAYLOR, A. F., D. W. SCHULTZ, A. S. PONTICELLI and G. R. SMITH, 1985 RecBC enzyme nicking at Chi sites during DNA unwinding: location and orientation-dependence of the cutting. Cell 41: 153-163.
- TELANDER-MUSKAVITCH, K. M., and S. LINN, 1981 RecBC-like enzymes: exonuclease V deoxyribonucleases. pp. 234–250. In: *The Enzymes*, Vol. IX, Edited by P. D. BOWER. Academic Press, New York.
- TEMPLIN, A., L. MARGOSSIAN and A. J. CLARK, 1978 Suppressibility of *recA*, *recB* and *recC* mutations by nonsense suppressors. J. Bacteriol. **134**: 590–596.
- WENSINK, P. C., D. J. FINNEGAN, P. J. DONELSON and D. S. HOGNESS, 1974 A system for mapping DNA sequences in the chromosomes of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Cell 3: 315-325.
- WILLETTS, N. S., and D. W. MOUNT, 1969 Genetic analysis of recombination-deficient mutants of *Escherichia coli* K-12 carrying rec mutations cotransducible with thyA. J. Bacteriol. 100: 923-934.
- WILLETTS, N. S., A. J. CLARK and B. LOW, 1969 Genetic location of certain mutations conferring recombination deficiency in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. **97**: 244–249.

Communicating editor: G. MOSIG