Mutations in the Second-Largest Subunit of Drosophila RNA Polymerase II Interact with Ubx

Mark A. Mortin,*¹ Richard Zuerner,*² Shelley Berger*³ and Barbara J. Hamilton^{†,4}

*Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, and [†]Department of Biochemistry, Duke University Medical School, Durham, North Carolina 27710

> Manuscript received October 27, 1991 Accepted for publication April 22, 1992

ABSTRACT

Specific mutations in the gene encoding the largest subunit of RNA polymerase II (RpII215) cause a partial transformation of a structure of the third thoracic segment, the capitellum, into the analogous structure of the second thoracic segment, the wing. This mutant phenotype is also caused by genetically reducing the cellular concentration of the transcription factor Ultrabithorax (Ubx). To recover mutations in the 140,000-D second-largest subunit of RNA polymerase II (RpII140) and determine whether any can cause a mutant phenotype similar to Ubx we attempted to identify all recessive-lethal mutable loci in a 340-kilobase deletion including this and other loci. One of the 13 complementation groups in this region encodes RpII140. Three RpII140 alleles cause a transformation of capitellum to wing but unlike RpII215 alleles, only when the concentration of Ubx protein is reduced by mutations in Ubx.

S OME mutations in the largest subunit of RNA polymerase II (215,000 D), encoded by the Rp-II215 locus (GREENLEAF 1983) cause discrete developmental defects (MORTIN and LEFEVRE 1981; VOELKER et al. 1985; MORTIN, KIM and HUANG 1988). The most striking of these is a partial transformation of the capitellum, a part of the halter structure of the third thoracic segment, into a wing, a structure of the second thoracic segment. The same mutant phenotype is caused by the loss of one wild-type copy of the Ultrabithorax (Ubx) locus, which encodes a transcriptional regulatory protein (JOHNSON and KRASNOW 1990) required for proper development of the entire third thoracic segment (LEWIS 1978).

Five *RpII215* alleles, *Ubl*, 4, 7, *H1* and *K2*, cause a *Ubx*-like phenotype, even when two wild-type copies of *Ubx* are present (MORTIN, KIM and HUANG 1988; Note that allele designations have been changed to correspond to Lindsley and ZIMM 1992). This transformation of capitellum to wing is synergistically increased by deleting one of the wild-type copies of *Ubx*, though it is still restricted to the capitellum. A detailed

We dedicate this paper to the memory of GEORGE LEFEVRE, JR.

¹ Corresponding author's current address: Laboratory of Biochemistry, NIH/NCI, Building 37, Room 4C-19, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, Maryland 20892-0037.

² Current address: National Ánimal Disease Center, 2300 Dayton Road, Ames, Iowa 50010.

 ³ Current address: Department of Biology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139.
⁴ Current address: Howard Hughes Institute, Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405. description of these interactions, which are called the "Ubx effect," can be found elsewhere (MORTIN, KIM and HUANG 1988).

The transformation of capitellum into wing caused by Ubx mutations is a consequence of the reduction of the cellular concentration of Ubx protein since deletions (and null alleles) that cause the most complete transformation show a loss of Ubx protein (WHITE and WILCOX 1985; BEACHY, HELFAND and HOGNESS 1985). RpII215 mutations that cause the Ubx effect alter the functioning of RNA polymerase II as deletions do not cause the mutant phenotype. These observations lead to the formulation of two hypotheses to explain the Ubx effect. First, RNA polymerase II mutations might reduce the level of Ubx protein by lowering transcription of Ubx. RNA polymerase II transcribes Ubx (BIGGIN and TJIAN 1988) and the defect seen as the Ubx effect is phenotypically equivalent to a reduction in the expression of Ubx^+ . Support for this hypothesis comes from the report that precursor cells for the adult capitellum in Ubl/+;Ubx/+ late third instar larval halter discs have reduced levels of Ubx protein (BOTAS, CABRERA and GARCIA-BELLIDO 1988).

The second hypothesis is based on three experiments. (1) Clonal analysis suggests that transcription of *Ubx* is required from the cellular blastoderm stage until 16 hr prior to pupation (MORATA and GARCIA-BELLIDO 1976). (2) *RpII215*⁴ acts from the middle of the third larval instar stage until the middle of the pupal stage to partially transform capitellum into wing (MORTIN, KIM and HUANG 1988). (3) Purified Ubx protein can activate and repress specific promoters in an *in vitro* transcription assay, suggesting that it physically contacts or directly signals RNA polymerase II (JOHNSON and KRASNOW 1990). The Ubx effect may result from mutationally altered RNA polymerase II being unable to respond correctly to a given level of Ubx protein, thus altering the expression of genes regulated by *Ubx*.

The two aforementioned hypotheses are not mutually exclusive. Different RpII215 alleles were used by BOTAS, CABRERA and GARCIA-BELLIDO (1988) and MORTIN, KIM and HUANG (1988), Ubl and 4, respectively. The latter study postulated that the stronger effect elicited by Ubl could result from disruption of two steps during transcription, while only one of these is affected by 4. Clearly more studies are required to determine the molecular mechanism behind the genetic interaction of Ubx and RNA polymerase II.

RpII215 encodes one subunit of a multimeric enzyme composed of approximately 11 unique polypeptides (YOUNG 1991). Our approach to determining the role of individual subunits is to mutate them and characterize the resulting developmental and molecular effects caused by the altered functioning of the enzyme. Of special interest is the question of whether mutations in other subunits of RNA polymerase II can cause the Ubx effect.

The second-largest subunit of RNA polymerase II (140,000 D), encoded by the RpII140 locus, has been cloned and mapped by chromosomal hybridization in situ to polytene interval 88A/B (FAUST et al. 1986). We describe in this paper an attempt to genetically saturate the region around RpII140 with recessive-lethal mutations. We show that three of 31 alleles of one locus, A5, cause the Ubx effect. Molecular confirmation that the A5 locus encodes the second-largest subunit will be presented elsewhere (HAMILTON 1990; Y. CHEN and A. GREENLEAF, personal communication).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and culture conditions: Isogenic third chromosomes carrying *red e* (red eyes and ebony body color) were used in the mutation screens described below. The *red e* stock was obtained from Indiana University (SCOTT *et al.* 1983). Deficiencies for the 88A/B interval were obtained from the Bowling Green State University and California Institute of Technology stock centers and from R. KELLEY (PARKHURST *et al.* 1988). Most are described in LINDSLEY and ZIMM (1992). The multiply marked *rucuca* third chromosome was used for meiotic mapping.

Stocks were maintained on cornmeal-agar-sugar-yeast food and raised at 19° , 23° , 25° or 29° all $\pm 1^\circ$.

Mutagenesis and crosses: The crosses used to identify and recover recessive-lethal mutations are diagrammed in Figure 1. Three-day-old virgin *red e* males were mutagenized either by exposure to 137 Cs to a dose of 4,000 r (experiments A and B) or by treatment with 0.024 M ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS, experiments Z and M) according to LEWIS and BACHER (1968). These males were then mated to females with two different third chromosome balancers, TM6B/TM3. The F₁ progeny were individually mated to $Df(3R)red^{P52}/TM6B$ flies at 25° (A and B), 29° (Z) or 19° (M). Newly induced recessive-lethal mutations were identified by the failure of red eyed flies to eclose. Siblings carrying the putative recessive-lethal mutation and TM6B were identified by their ebony, Tubby and Humeral mutant phenotypes and used to establish stocks.

Quantitation of the Ubx effect: Capitellum size was measured as described elsewhere (MORTIN, KIM and HUANG 1988). Measurements were compared to that of an average capitellum on a wild-type fly, which is defined as one unit squared. The *t*-test was used to calculate 95% confidence intervals for the measurements. The temperature-sensitive period of $RpII140^{243}$ was determined as described elsewhere (MORTIN, KIM and HUANG 1988).

RESULTS

To study the role of individual subunits of RNA polymerase II on the expression of developmentally regulated genes we set out to: (1) identify mutations in the locus encoding the second largest subunit, and (2) compare their effects *in vivo* to existing mutations in the largest subunit.

Recovery of recessive-lethal mutations: A Southern blot analysis demonstrated that $Df(3R)red^{P52}$, which deletes cytological region 88A8;88B4, removes the *RpII140* locus (data not shown). The second-largest subunit of RNA polymerase II is encoded by a single copy gene (FAUST *et al.* 1986), is essential for viability in yeast (SWEETSER, NONET and YOUNG 1987) and is therefore also likely to be required for Drosophila viability. To identify mutations in the *RpII140* locus we first attempted to saturate the region removed by $Df(3R)red^{P52}$ with recessive-lethal mutations. The results of four mutagenesis experiments are presented in Table 1. Three recessive-lethal mutations were induced with γ -rays (experiments A and B) and 95 were induced with EMS (experiments Z and M).

Mapping complementation groups in the Df(3R)red^{P52} region: Overlapping deficiencies were used in complementation tests to subdivide the recessive-lethal mutations into cytologically distinct regions. They were then placed into 11 complementation groups and ordered along the chromosome as shown in Figure 2. This was accomplished by crossing all recessive-lethal alleles to representative alleles (the first identified allele) for each complementation group and scoring for viability. All 98 mutations were placed into single complementation groups except M36 and M49, which were placed in l(3)88Ac and l(3)88Ae, respectively, but which also fail to complement each other. We will tentatively assign this locus the designation l(3)88Ad. This may: (1) suggest that l(3)88Ac, l(3)88Ad and l(3)88Ae actually identify a single gene complex, (2) identify an additional locus within this region, or (3) result from a chance induction (or the prior existence) of second recessive-lethal mutations in a locus mapping outside Df(3R)red^{P52}. All three



FIGURE 1.—Scheme for recovering mutations in the region deleted by $Df(3R)red^{P52}$. Mutagenized (indicated by the asterisk) isogenic red e flies were crossed to flies doubly balanced for the third chromosome balancers TM6B/TM3 (P generation). Single males were mated to 1 or 2 females carrying the $Df(3R)red^{P52}$ chromosome (F₁ generation) and their progeny scored for the absence of red eyed flies in the F₂ generation. Stocks were established from ebony, Tubby, Humeral flies recovered in the F₂ generation.

TABLE 1

Mutagenesis results

Mutagen	No. matings	No. sterile	No. lethal	Frequency of lethals ^a
Gamma rays A and B ^b EMS	4,254	838	3	0.0009
Z ^c M ^d	12,382 10,613	4,925 3,881	52 43	0.007 0.006

^a Recessive-lethal mutations as a frequency of fertile matings.

^b Flies were reared at 25°.

^c Flies were reared at 29°.

^d Flies were reared at 19°.

complementation groups affect activity of the enzyme dipeptidase B (J. COLLETT, personal communication), which HALL (1986) mapped to the region around $Df(3R)red^{P52}$, suggesting that the first hypothesis may be correct.

Complementation groups were tested for allelism with previously identified loci in the $Df(3R)red^{P52}$ region. Z33 and A7 alleles fail to complement l(3)K43and trx alleles, respectively. Molecular confirmation of the identity of A7 as trx comes from the finding that the B11 allele is an internal deletion resulting in a frame shift of the trx protein coding region (MAZO et al. 1990). The remaining nine complementation groups are not allelic with previously defined loci. No alleles of su(Hw) were recovered suggesting that it cannot be mutated to cause recessive lethality. Allelism with the *red* locus cannot be determined because the parent chromosome already possesses a recessive allele of this locus. HARRISON, MORTIN and CORCES (1992) showed that the Z23 mutation is a 174-base pair internal deletion of a 15-kD protein with sequence identity to the ninth largest subunit of yeast RNA polymerase II (WOYCHIK, LANE and YOUNG 1991). This locus will henceforth be referred to as RpII15.

The order of A5 and Z6 cannot be determined from existing mutations. The order of trx, red and su(Hw)was determined by BREEN and HARTE (1991). The su(Hw) and RpII15 loci are ordered by their molecular cloning and inclusion in the cytologically invisible deletion $Df(3R)su(Hw)^{V}$ (PARKHURST *et al.* 1988; HAR-RISON, MORTIN and CORCES 1992). The order of M1 and M41 was not determined with respect to trx, red, su(Hw) and RpII15. All complementation groups were mapped with respect to the map positions of mutations on the *rucuca* third chromosome. All map between *cu* (86D) and *sr* (90D/F), including the 11 A5 alleles tested. This is the same interval that contains $Df(3R)red^{P52}$ (88A8;88B4).

Hybridizations in situ have shown that the RpII140locus maps within the cytological region deleted by $Df(3R)red^{P1}$ but not $Df(3R)red^{P93}$ (HAMILTON 1990). Only the A5 and Z6 complementation groups map to this interval (Figure 2). Molecular confirmation that the A5 locus is RpII140 comes from rescue of A5 with

M. A. Mortin et al. 1(3)88Ab I(3)88Aa 1(3)88Bc 1(3)88Bd (3)88AC (3)88Ba Rpl1140 (3)88Bb (3)K43 Pli15 P52 Df(3R) red gamma5 **P1** Df(3R) red Df(3R)293 Df(3R) red P93 **P6** Df(3R) red Df(3R)su(Hw) Z33 M2 Z12 A5 Z6 Z4 A7 Z23 M41 Z1 Z25 Z3 Z29 Z47 M19 M1 B11 ^cZ48 Z5 Z30 Z17 M10 M23 Z2 h Z49 M27 **M**30 Z7 M13 Z21 **Z8** °ZWD h Z26 Z19 **Z9 M**36 Z20 Z27 Z10 Z22 Z46 M49 Z11 Z24 Z52 Z13 Z31 Z53 Z14 Z34 M15 Z15 Z36 M31 Z16 Z39 M43 Z18 h Z43 M51 Z28 h Z32 Z45 Z50 Z35 Z51 МЗ Z37 Z41 М4 M5 Z42 Z44 **M**8 **M**6 M7 MQ **M**16 M21 M12 M14 M25 M28 M17 M18

FIGURE 2.—Genetics of the RpII140 region. Recessive-lethal mutations from Table 1 were placed into 11 of the 13 complementation groups identified in this region. Their order was determined using overlapping deficiencies (solid lines). The order of RpII140 (A5) and (3)88Ba (Z6) was not determined. Also, the relative position of l(3)88Bc^{M1} and l(3)88Bd^{M41} (*) was not determined with respect to trx, red, and su(Hw)-Rp1115. The map positions of trx, red and su(Hw) were provided by BREEN and HARTE (1991). Heat sensitive (h) and cold sensitive (c) alleles are indicated.

M37

M39

M46

M38

M44

M50

the cloned RpII140 gene (HAMILTON 1990; B. J. HAM-ILTON and A. GREENLEAF, unpublished data) and the molecular mapping of a lesion in the A5 allele (Y. CHEN and A. GREENLEAF, personal communication). Henceforth we will refer to the A5 locus as RpII140.

Identification of conditional lethal alleles: We determined whether flies heterozygous for each of the 98 recessive-lethal alleles and $Df(3R)red^{P52}$ are viable at 19° and 29°. Flies heterozygous for six different recessive-lethal mutations and $Df(3R)red^{P52}$ die at 29° but survive at 19°, indicated by a superscript h in Figure 2. These include 2 RpII140 alleles. Flies heterozygous for two additional mutations and $Df(3R)red^{P52}$ live at 29° but die at 19°, indicated by a superscript c in Figure 2.

Do RpII140 alleles cause the Ubx effect? Unlike some RpII215 alleles, none of the 31 RpII140 alleles display a dominant Ubx-like phenotype (i.e., transformation of capitellum into wing) in the presence of two wild-type copies of Ubx^+ . However, three alleles cause a dominant enhancement of the Ubx effect in flies where one of the normal copies of Ubx^+ is removed, for example in flies heterozygous for $Df(3R)Ubx^{109}$. It is important to note that a deficiency of RpII140 does not cause this dominant enhancement.

M20 M22

M24 M29 M40 M42

M48

One explanation for the enhancement of Ubx by three RpII140 alleles is that the latter may actually carry weakly expressive Ubx mutations on the same chromosomes. This was tested by recombining either of the Ubx null mutations $Ubx^{9.22}$ or $Df(3R)Ubx^{109}$ onto the chromosomes carrying RpII140 alleles, thus removing the Ubx^+ allele present on the mutagenized chromosome. The same transformation of capitellum to wing is observed whether Ubx mutations are in cis or trans to RpII140 alleles (RpII140 Ubx/+ + vs. Rp-II140 + /+ Ubx). We conclude that the transformation of capitellum to wing is a property of specific RpII140 alleles and therefore they must cause the Ubx effect.

Measurement of the Ubx effect caused by RNA polymerase II mutations: To better characterize the



FIGURE 3.—Effect of *RpII215* or *RpII140* alleles on capitellum size. Capitellum size was measured as described in MORTIN, KIM and HUANG (1988), except that the measurements are not adjusted to a standard fly size. We define the size of a capitellum on a wild-type fly as equal to 1 unit squared (white bar). Black bars show the size of a capitellum on flies lacking RNA polymerase II mutations but carrying only one copy of Ubx^+ . Gray bars show the effect of RNA polymerase II mutations on capitellum size in the presence of two (A) or one (B) copy of Ubx⁺ (n = 10, vertical lines indicate 95% confidence intervals).

Ubx effect caused by RpII140 alleles and to directly compare them to RpII215 alleles, we crossed flies carrying the three RpII140 and five RpII215 alleles that cause the Ubx effect to flies heterozygous for the deficiency $Df(3R)Ubx^{109}$. Capitellum size was measured for flies with either two or one wild-type copy(ies) of Ubx^+ (Figure 3, A and B, respectively). We previously showed that an increase in capitellum size is a good indicator of the degree of transformation of capitellum to wing (MORTIN, KIM and HUANG 1988). Here we define a wild-type capitellum size as 1.00 unit². Flies with only one copy of Ubx^+ have a capitellum size of 1.42 (Figure 3).

All five RpII215 alleles cause a dominant partial transformation of capitellum to wing even in the presence of two wild-type copies of Ubx^+ (Figure 3A).

In fact, the capitellum size of heterozygous Rp- $II215^{Ubl}/+$ flies is indistinguishable from that of heterozygous $Df(3R)Ubx^{109}/+$ flies. In contrast, when two copies of Ubx^+ are present, the capitellum size of flies mutant for any RpII140 allele is not different from that of wild-type flies (Figure 3A). The partial transformation of capitellum to wing caused by five Rp-II215 alleles is dramatically increased by deleting one wild-type copy of Ubx^+ . Three RpII140 alleles also greatly increase the capitellum to wing transformation seen in flies with only one copy of Ubx^+ even though they have no effect in the presence of two copies of Ubx^+ (Figure 3, A and B).

RpII140 alleles do not enhance the Ubx effect caused by *RpII215* alleles: The capitellum size of flies heterozygous for either RpII215 allele Ubl or 4 and $RpII215^+$ was examined in a $RpII140^+$ or heterozygous RpII140/RpII140⁺ background. Heterozygotes for any of the three RpII140 alleles that cause the Ubx effect were compared with *RpII140*⁺ flies (Figure 4). None of the three *RpII140* alleles increases the transformation of capitellum to wing caused by Rp-II215 alleles in the presence of two wild-type copies of Ubx^+ (Figure 4A). Thus, even though the transformation of capitellum to wing observed in RpII215^{Ubl}/ + flies is phenotypically indistinguishable from that of $Df(3R)Ubx^{109}/+$ flies, three RpII140 alleles increase the transformation in the latter (Figure 3B) but not the former (Figure 4A).

The same comparison described above was carried out in the presence of only a single copy of wildtype Ubx^+ . Figure 4B shows the capitellum size of $RpII215^{Ubl}/+;Df(3R)Ubx^{109}/+ \text{ or } RpII215^{4}/+;Df(3R)$ $Ubx^{109}/+$ flies in the presence of wild-type or heterozygous mutant RpII140. In flies missing one wild-type copy of Ubx^+ and mutant for *RpII215*, the capitellum size is increased when a RpII140 mutation that causes the Ubx effect is crossed into the background. We consistently see small increases in capitellum size except for the RpII2154 and RpII140^{M39} combination. which shows a dramatic increase in size. We conclude that the Ubx effects elicited by most pairs of RpII140 and RpII215 alleles tested appear to be additive. This result suggests that the two subunits are acting independently of one another with respect to the Ubx effect. The large increase in the Ubx effect seen with the combination of $RpII215^4$ and $RpII140^{M39}$ may either represent a statistical aberration or an example of synergism between the two largest subunits of RNA polymerase II with respect to their ability to cause the Ubx effect.

The Ubx effect of RpII140^{Z43} depends upon temperature and heterozygosity: The RpII140 allele Z43 causes the Ubx effect as a heterozygote reared at 25° and engenders temperature-dependent lethality as a homozygote. We therefore wanted to test whether

900



FIGURE 4.—Ubx effect in flies mutant for both *RpII215* and *RpII140*. Capitellum size was measured as described for Figure 3. Capitellum size of flies carrying two (A) or one (B) copy of *Ubx*⁺ are shown for wild-type flies (white bar), flies mutant only for *RpII215* (black bars), and those mutant for both *RpII215* and *RpII140* (gray bars) (n = 10, vertical lines represent 95% confidence intervals, n < 10 for genotypes with greatly reduced viability, indicated by the absence of 95% confidence intervals).

temperature would affect the degree to which Z43 elicits the Ubx effect. The capitellum size of wild-type and heterozygous $Ubx^{9.22}$ flies was compared to flies heterozygous for $Ubx^{9.22}$ and $RpII140^{Z43}$ reared at four different temperatures. Heterozygous $RpII140^{Z43}$ $Ubx^{9.22}/RpII140^+$ Ubx^+ flies reared at 29° have a capitellum size that is indistinguishable from $RpII140^+$ $Ubx^{9.22}/RpII140^+$ Ubx^+ flies reared at 25°; in other words they do not display the Ubx effect. At all three lower temperatures tested, heterozygous $RpII140^{Z43}$ $Ubx^{9.22}/RpII140^+$ Ubx^+ flies display the Ubx effect (Figure 5).

RpII215 alleles do not cause the Ubx effect when homozygous, hemizygous or *trans*-heterozygous for other alleles that also cause the Ubx effect (VOELKER *et al.* 1985; MORTIN, PERRIMON and BONNER 1985; MORTIN, KIM and HUANG 1988). They only elicit the Ubx effect when heterozygous with other *RpII215* alleles that do not cause this effect (MORTIN, KIM and HUANG 1988). We therefore wanted to test the effect



FIGURE 5.—Effects of temperature and heterozygosity on the Ubx effect caused by $RpII140^{Z43}$. Capitellum size was measured as described in Figure 3. Capitellum size is shown of wild-type (white bar), $Ubx^{9.22}/+$ (black bar), $RpII140^{Z43}$ $Ubx^{9.22}/+$ (gray bars) and $RpII140^{Z43}Ubx^{9.22}/RpII140^{Z43} +$ (gray bar) flies reared at the indicated temperatures (n = 10, vertical lines represent 95% confidence intervals).

of homozygous Z43 on capitellum size in the presence of only one copy of Ubx^+ . Homozygotes survive to the adult stage at 19° and show a significant reduction in the transformation of capitellum to wing compared to heterozygotes reared at all temperatures tested except 29° (Figure 5). Thus, like the *RpII215* alleles that cause the Ubx effect, *RpII140*^{Z43} must be heterozygous with other *RpII140* alleles that do not cause the effect in order to elicit the strongest Ubx effect. We were unable to test the other heteroallelic combinations with the *RpII140* alleles Z45 and M39 because even at 19° they cause lethality when heterozygous with Z43.

Temperature-sensitive period of the Ubx effect caused by RpII140^{Z43}: We used the large difference in capitellum size of RpII140^{Z43} Df(3R)Ubx¹⁰⁹/Rp-II140⁺ Ubx⁺ flies reared at 19° verses 29° to determine the temperature-sensitive period for the Ubx effect. Twenty-four-hour egg collections were taken at 19° and 29°, the resulting progeny were allowed to develop for different lengths of time and then shifted to the other temperature to complete development. The capitellum is transformed toward a wing when flies are reared throughout development at 19° but not at 29° (Figure 6). Flies that develop at one temperature and are shifted to the other, from the earliest embryonic stage until the middle of the third larval instar stage, display a capitellum size consistent with their new temperature. Flies shifted after the middle of the pupal stage display a capitellum size



FIGURE 6.—Temperature-sensitive period for the Ubx effect elicited by $RpII140^{Z43}$. Eggs were collected at 19° or 29°, allowed to develop for from 1–21 days or 1–9 days, respectively, shifted to the other temperature and allowed to complete development. Capitellum size of resulting adult $RpII140^{Z43} Df(3R)Ubx^{109}/+$ flies was measured as described in Figure 3. Thick black horizontal lines represent the temperature-sensitive periods for Ubx, (*MORATA and GARCIA-BELLIDO 1976; KAUFMAN, TASAKA and SUZUKI 1973), $RpII215^4$ (*MORTIN, KIM and HUANG 1988) and $RpII140^{Z43}$ (n = 5, E = embryo, L1 = first instar larva, L2 = second instar larva, L3 = third instar larva, WP = white pupa, MP = middle pupa, LP = late pupa, A = adult not shifted, * = flies completed development at 29°, + = flies completed development at 19°, vertical lines with the unshifted flies, A, represent 95% confidence intervals).

consistent with their previous temperature. Therefore, the middle of the third larval instar stage until the middle of the pupal stage define the TSP for the Ubx effect caused by $RpII140^{Z43}$ (Figure 6). Note that most of the TSP for $RpII140^{Z43}$ occurs after what is thought to be the end of the requirement for Ubx transcription to form a normal capitellum (MORATA and GARCIA-BELLIDO 1976; KAUFMAN, TASAKA and SUZUKI 1973; Figure 6).

DISCUSSION

The second largest subunit of RNA polymerase II, encoded by RpII140, maps to the cytological region 88A8;B4 removed by $Df(3R)red^{P52}$. In order to identify mutations in RpII140, we attempted to saturate this region for recessive-lethal mutations, assuming that RpII140 is an essential gene. Two molecular genetic experiments confirm that the A5 complementation group is RpII140. First, the cloned RpII140gene rescues A5 lethality (HAMILTON 1990; B. J. HAM-ILTON and A. GREENLEAF, unpublished data), and second, a lesion has been mapped in the RpII140 coding region of the allele A5 (Y. CHEN and A. GREEN-LEAF, personal communication).

The *RpII140* locus is not allelic to any of the four genes previously mapped to the 88A8;88B4 interval. (1) l(3)K43, whose product regulates cell proliferation

in larval diploid tissue (SZABAD and BRYANT 1982). (2) trx, a gene that regulates the expression of other homeotic genes (GARCIA-BELLIDO and CAPDEVILA 1978; INGHAM 1983). (3) red, which reduces the pigmentation of the eye and malpighian tubules (LIN-DSLEY and ZIMM 1992). (4) suppressor of Hairy wing [su(Hw)], whose gene product, when deleted, corrects the mutant phenotype caused by the insertion of the retrotransposon gypsy into other loci (MODOLELL, BENDER and MESELSON 1983).

Two groups previously described alleles of the *RpII140* locus. Seven *RpII140* alleles were identified as dominant suppressors of the conditional lethality caused by a mutation in the largest subunit of RNA polymerase II (MORTIN 1990; M. A. MORTIN, unpublished data). In addition, one allele of *RpII140* called wimp acts as a dominant maternal enhancer of mutations in other genes acting early in Drosophila development, for example the transcription factor hairy (h) (PARKHURST and ISH-HOROWICZ 1991). Note that *RpII140^{wimp}* does not interact with *Ubx* (PARKHURST and ISH-HOROWICZ 1991) and the three *RpII140* alleles that cause the Ubx effect do not interact with h (M. A. MORTIN, unpublished data).

Similarities between the genes encoding the two largest subunits of RNA polymerase II: The genes encoding the 215,000- and 140,000-D subunits of RNA polymerase II share a high degree of conservation even with the β and β' subunits, respectively, of Escherichia coli (ALLISON et al. 1985; BIGGS, SEARLES and GREENLEAF 1985; SWEETSER, NONET and YOUNG 1987; FALKENBURG et al. 1987). As single copy genes, both *RpII215* and *RpII140* are readily mutable to recessive lethality by treatment with EMS, a chemical that often results in DNA changes that give rise to single amino acid changes in protein. Specific mutations in the two largest subunits of RNA polymerase II, RpII215 and RpII140, cause partial transformation of capitellum into a wing, referred to as the Ubx effect.

Properties of *RpII215* and *RpII140* alleles that elicit the Ubx effect are similar. Loss-of-function alleles of either locus do not cause the Ubx effect; therefore, alleles that elicit the effect display an altered function. Alleles of both loci that cause the Ubx effect require heterozygosity with other alleles of the same loci to elicit the strongest effect. Approximately one in ten EMS-induced mutations of either locus display the Ubx effect (MORTIN, KIM and HUANG 1988; this report). Assuming an average rate of mutation for both loci of 10^{-3} , alleles that display the Ubx effect are recovered at a frequency of approximately 10^{-4} .

The sole difference between the two loci with respect to their ability to cause the Ubx effect is that RpII140 alleles only cause the transformation when one copy of the wild-type Ubx^+ locus is deleted. In contrast, RpII215 alleles also cause the Ubx effect when two wild-type copies of Ubx^+ are present in the genome (Figure 3). This difference cannot be explained by postulating that all three RpII140 alleles cause a weaker Ubx effect than the RpII215 alleles, because in the presence of a single copy of wild-type Ubx^+ , RpII140 alleles cause as strong or stronger an effect than three RpII215 alleles (Figure 3B).

Do RNA polymerase II mutations cause the Ubx effect by altering expression of genes downstream from Ubx? Two lines of evidence suggest that RNA polymerase II mutations might cause the Ubx effect by altering the expression of genes regulated by Ubx. First, RNA polymerase II mutations cause the Ubx effect after the time in development during which Ubx transcription is thought to be required to form a normal third thoracic segment (Figure 6). The temperature-sensitive period of the Ubx effect elicited by $RpII215^4$ and $RpII140^{243}$ starts in the middle to late third larval instar stage and persists until the middle of the pupal stage (Figure 6). The small difference in its duration observed between the two mutations (Figure 6) is probably not significant. Most of this developmental period is thought not to require transcription of the Ubx⁺ locus for normal capitellum develop-(MORATA and **GARCIA-BELLIDO** ment 1976: KAUFMAN, TASAKA and SUZUKI 1973). Second, we were unable to duplicate the results reported by Bo-TAS, CABRERA and GARCIA-BELLIDO (1988) from an experiment that suggests a reduction in the amount of Ubx protein in $RpII215^{Ubl}/+$; $Df(3R)Ubx^{109}/+$ halter discs is caused by RpII215^{Ubl} (M. A. MORTIN, data not shown).

Further studies are required to conclusively demonstrate the mechanism for the interaction between RNA polymerase II mutations and Ubx. However, our results are consistent with the hypothesis that RNA polymerase II mutations cause the Ubx effect by incorrect transcription of genes that are regulated by the transcription factor Ubx. Since purified Ubx protein can both positively and negatively regulate transcription in an in vitro transcription system (JOHNson and KRASNOW 1990), the Ubx effect may result from an increase in transcription of genes that are negatively regulated and/or the decrease in transcription of genes that are positively regulated by Ubx. It is intriguing to speculate that this proposed interaction between the Ubx and RNA polymerase II proteins might be direct.

Genetics of the Ubx effect does not recapitulate RNA polymerase II biochemistry: RNA polymerase II consists of approximately 11 different polypeptides (YOUNG 1991). The stoichiometry of RNA polymerase II suggests that only a single copy each of the two largest subunits are present in a functioning enzyme (SAWADOGO and SENTENAC 1990; YOUNG 1991). Our current understanding of RNA polymerase II function is that it recognizes and binds to promoter regions in response to general transcription factors (BURA-TOWSKI *et al.* 1989). RNA polymerase II can then be stimulated or repressed by spatially and temporally specific transcription factors (*e.g.*, Ubx).

It is difficult to reconcile this view of RNA polymerase II with the phenomenology described for the Ubx effect. Two observations warrant further discussion, the apparent requirement for heterozygosity within and independent action between mutations in the two largest subunits. First, specific mutations of either RpII215 or RpII140 must be heterozygous with other RpII215 or RpII140 alleles, respectively, in order to elicit the strongest transformation of capitellum to wing (MORTIN, KIM and HUANG 1988; Figure 5). Since the stoichiometry suggests that only a single copy of either of the largest two subunits are present in active RNA polymerase II, the requirement for heterozygosity might reflect the cooperative interaction between functioning multimeric RNA polymerase II enzymes.

Our results also suggest that mutations in *RpII215* and RpII140 act independently to cause the Ubx effect. Evidence for this includes the observation that *RpII215* mutations cause the Ubx effect even when the concentration of Ubx protein is normal; however, RpII140 mutations require a reduction in the concentration of Ubx protein before they elicit the Ubx effect. Furthermore, while the transformation of capitellum to wing observed in RpII215^{Ubl}/+ flies is indistinguishable from that of $Df(3R)Ubx^{109}/+$ flies, Rp-II140 alleles increase the transformation in the latter but not the former flies (Figures 3B and 4A). Clearly the changes of gene expression responsible for the Ubx effect in $RpII215^{Ubl}/+$ and $Df(3R)Ubx^{109}/+$ flies are different even though they result in identical mutant phenotypes.

Finally, with the possible exception of $RpII215^4$ and $RpII140^{M39}$ the Ubx effect observed in flies mutant for both RpII215 and RpII140 appears to be additive (Figure 4). This again suggests that the two largest subunits of RNA polymerase II are eliciting the Ubx effect independently of one another. Thus, the interaction between Ubx and RNA polymerase II might identify a two step process, one mediated by the largest subunit and the other by the second largest subunit.

This work was greatly facilitated by the sharing of clones, antibodies and unpublished results from many sources, including E. K. F. BAUTZ, T. BREEN, D. BROWER, Y. CHEN, J. COLLETT, V. CORCES, R. COYNE, D. ISH-HOROWICZ, A. GREENLEAF, D. HARRISON, P. HARTE, R. KELLY, J. LIS, S. PARKHURST and R. RENKAWITZ-POHL. This spirit, rooted in the tradition of Drosophila biology, was exemplified by GEORGE LEFEVRE, JR. We thank B. CALVI and P. HARTE for comments on this manuscript. The work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants awarded to M. MESELSON, A. GREENLEAF and W. GELBART.

LITERATURE CITED

- ALLISON, L. A., M. MOYLE, M. SHALES and C. J. INGLES, 1985 Extensive homogy among the largest subunits of eukaryotic and prokaryotic RNA polymerase. Cell 42: 599-610.
- BEACHY, P. A., S. L. HELFAND and D. S. HOGNESS, 1985 Segmental distribution of Bithorax Complex proteins during *Drosophila* development. Nature **313**: 545–551.
- BIGGIN, M. D., and R. TJIAN, 1988 Transcription factors that activate the Ultrabithorax promoter in developmentally staged extracts. Cell 53: 699-711.
- BIGGS, J., L. L. SEARLES and A. L. GREENLEAF, 1985 Structure of the eukaryotic transcription apparatus: features of the gene for the largest subunit of Drosophila RNA polymerase II. Cell 42: 611-621.
- BOTAS, J., C. V. CABRERA and A. GARCIA-BELLIDO, 1988 The reinforcement-extinction process of selector gene activity: a positive feed-back loop and cell-cell interactions in *Ultrabithorax* patterning. Wilhelm Roux's Arch. Dev. Biol. **197:** 424–434.
- BREEN, T. R., and P. J. HARTE, 1991 Molecular characterization of the *trithorax* gene, a positive regulator of homeotic gene expression in *Drosophila*. Mech. Dev. 35: 113–127.
- BURATOWSKI, S., S. HAHN, L. GUARENTE and P. A. SHARP, 1989 Five intermediate complexes in transcription initiation by RNA polymerase II. Cell 56: 549–561.
- FALKENBURG, D., B. DWORNICZAK, D. M. FAUST and E. K. F. BAUTZ, 1987 RNA polymerase II of *Drosophila*. Relation of its 140,000 M_r subunit to the subunit of *Escherichia coli* RNA polymerase. J. Mol. Biol. 195: 929–937.
- FAUST, D. M., R. RENKAWITZ-POHL, D. FALKENBURG, A. GASCH, S. BIALOJAN, R. A. YOUNG and E. K. F. BAUTZ, 1986 Cloning and identification of the gene coding for the 140-kd subunit of *Drosophila* RNA polymerase II. EMBO J. 5: 741–746.
- GARCIA-BELLIDO, A., and M. P. CAPDEVILA, 1978 The initiation and maintenance of gene activity in a developmental pathway of *Drosophila*. Symp. Soc. Dev. Biol. **36**: 8–21.
- GREENLEAF, A. L., 1983 Amanitin-resistant RNA polymerase II mutations are in the enzyme's largest subunit. J. Biol. Chem. 258: 13403-13406.
- HALL, N. A., 1986 Peptidases in Drosophila melanogaster. I. Characterization of dipeptidase and leucine aminopeptidase activities. Biochem. Genet. 24: 775-793.
- HAMILTON, B. J., 1990 Molecular cloning and genetic characterization of genes encoding subunits of Drosophila RNA polymerase II. Ph.D. dissertation, Duke University School of Medicine.
- HARRISON, D. A., M. A. MORTIN and V. G. CORCES, 1992 The RNA polymerase II 15 kilodalton subunit is essential for viability in *Drosophila*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 12: 928–935.
- INGHAM, P. W., 1983 Differential expression of bithorax complex genes in the absence of the extra sex combs and trithorax genes. Nature 306: 591-593.
- JOHNSON, F. B., and M. A. KRASNOW, 1990 Stimulation of transcription by an Ultrabithorax protein in vitro. Genes Dev. 4: 1044-1052.
- KAUFMAN, T. C., S. E. TASAKA and D. T. SUZUKI, 1973 The interaction of two complex loci, zeste and bithorax in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics **75**: 299-321.
- LEWIS, E. B., 1978 A gene complex controlling segmentation in Drosophila. Nature 276: 565-570.

LEWIS, E. B., and F. BACHER, 1968 Method of feeding ethyl

methanesulfonate (EMS) to Drosophila males. Drosophila Inform. Serv. 43: 193.

- LINDSLEY, D. L., and G. ZIMM, 1992 The Genome of Drosophila melanogaster. Academic Press, London.
- MAZO, A. M., D.-H. HUANG, B. A. MOZER and I. B. DAWID, 1990 The trithorax gene, a trans-acting regulator of the bithorax complex in *Drosophila*, encodes a protein with zincbinding domains. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87: 2112-2116.
- MODOLELL, J., W. BENDER and M. MESELSON, 1983 Drosophila melanogaster mutations suppressible by the suppressor of hairy wing are insertions of a 7.3-kilobase mobile element. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80: 1678-1682.
- MORATA, G., and A. GARCIA-BELLIDO, 1976 Developmental analysis of some mutants of the bithorax system of *Drosophila*. Wilhelm Roux's Arch. Dev. Biol. 179: 125–143.
- MORTIN, M. A., 1990 Use of second-site suppressor mutations in Drosophila to identify components of the transcriptional machinery. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87: 4864–4868.
- MORTIN, M. A., W. J. KIM and J. HUANG, 1988 Antagonistic interactions between alleles of the *RpII215* locus in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics **119**: 863–873.
- MORTIN, M. A., and G. LEFEVRE, JR., 1981 An RNA polymerase II mutation in *Drosophila melanogaster* that mimics *Ultrabithorax*. Chromosoma 82: 237-247.
- MORTIN, M. A., N. PERRIMON and J. J. BONNER, 1985 Clonal analysis of two mutations in the large subunit of RNA polymerase II of *Drosophila*. Mol. Gen. Genet. **199**: 421–426.
- PARKHURST, S. M., and D. ISH-HOROWICZ, 1991 wimp, a dominant maternal-effect mutation, reduces transcription of a specific subset of segmentation genes in *Drosophila*. Genes Dev. 5: 341– 357.
- PARKHURST, S. M., D. A. HARRISON, M. P. REMINGTON, C. SPANA, R. L. KELLEY, R. S. COYNE and V. G. CORCES, 1988 The Drosophila su(Hw) gene, which controls the phenotypic effect of the gypsy transposable element, encodes a putative DNAbinding protein. Genes Dev. 2: 1205-1215.
- SAWADOGO, M., and A. SENTENAC, 1990 RNA Polymerase B (II) and general transcription factors. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 59: 711–754.
- SCOTT, M. P., A. J. WEINER, T. I. HAZELRIGG, B. A. POLISKY, V. PIRROTA, F. SCALENGHE and T. C. KAUFMAN, 1983 The molecular organization of the *Antennapedia* locus of Drosophila. Cell **35**: 763-776.
- SWEETSER, D., M. NONET and R. A. YOUNG, 1987 Prokaryotic and eukaryotic RNA polymerases have homologous core subunits. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84: 1192–1196.
- SZABAD, J., and P. J. BRYANT, 1982 The modes of action of "discless" mutations in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Dev. Biol. 93: 240-256.
- VOELKER, R. A., G. B. WISELY, S.-M. HUANG and H. GYURKOVICS, 1985 Genetic and molecular variation in the *RpII215* region of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Mol. Gen. Genet. **201**: 437–445.
- WHITE, R. A. H., and M. WILCOX, 1985 Distribution of Ultrabithorax proteins in Drosophila. EMBO J. 4: 2035-2043.
- WOYCHIK, N. A., W. S. LANE and R. A. YOUNG, 1991 Yeast RNA polymerase II subunit RPB9 is essential for growth at temperature extremes. J. Biol. Chem. 266: 19503–19505.
- YOUNG, R. A., 1991 RNA Polymerase II. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 60: 689-715.

Communicating editor: R. E. DENELL