SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 Interactions: Effects on Transcription and Viability in Saccharomyces cerevisiae

Michele S. Swanson¹ and Fred Winston

Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115 Manuscript received December 12, 1991 Accepted for publication June 5, 1992

ABSTRACT

The SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 genes of Saccharomyces cerevisiae were identified originally by mutations that suppress δ insertion mutations at HIS4 and LYS2. Subsequent analysis has demonstrated that spt4, spt5 and spt6 mutations confer similar pleiotropic phenotypes. They suppress δ insertion mutations by altering transcription and are believed to be required for normal transcription of several other loci. We have now analyzed interactions between SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6. First, the combination of mutations in any two of these three genes causes lethality in haploids. Second, some recessive mutations in different members of this set fail to complement each other. Third, mutations in all three genes alter transcription in similar ways. Finally, the results of coimmunoprecipitation experiments demonstate that at least the SPT5 and SPT6 proteins interact physically. Taken together, these genetic and biochemical results indicate that SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 function together in a transcriptional process that is essential for viability in yeast.

TN Saccharomyces cerevisiae, a large number of genes have been identified as being important or essential for transcription. Among these, the SPT genes (SPT = suppressor of Ty) were identified by selection for extragenic suppressors of transcriptional defects caused by δ and Ty insertions in the 5' regions of HIS4 and LYS2 (WINSTON et al. 1984, 1987; FASSLER and WINSTON 1988). These suppressor mutations, which define 17 SPT genes, are pleiotropic; for example, some affect mating and sporulation and others cause temperature-sensitive lethality (WINSTON et al. 1984; HIRSCHHORN and WINSTON 1988; EISENMANN, DOLLARD and WINSTON 1989). Genetic and molecular analysis of SPT genes and of spt mutant strains has led to the formation of three distinct classes of SPT genes. One group includes SPT15, which encodes the TATA binding protein TFIID (EISENMANN, DOLLARD and WINSTON 1989); another includes SPT13/GAL11, important for normal levels of transcription from several promoters (SUZUKI et al. 1988; FASSLER and WINSTON 1989; NISHIZAWA et al. 1990); and the third group includes SPT11/HTA1 and SPT12/HTB1, which encode histone proteins H2A and H2B, respectively (CLARK-ADAMS et al. 1988). Each group of mutants is distinct with respect to the pattern of suppression of Ty and δ insertion mutations and other mutant phenotypes.

In this study, we have characterized the interactions between the SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 genes. SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 are members of the phenotypic class that includes the histone genes HTA1 and HTB1. Mutations in SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 were isolated originally as suppressors of the δ insertion mutations his4-912 δ and lys2-1288 (WINSTON et al. 1984; FASSLER and WINSTON 1988). Mutations in all three genes have been shown to suppress both of these insertion mutations by altering the patterns of transcription of HIS4 and LYS2, respectively (CLARK-ADAMS and WINSTON 1987; SWANSON, MALONE and WINSTON 1991; E. A. MALONE, J. FASSLER and F. WINSTON, unpublished data). Mutations in SPT6 also have been identified in two other genetic studies. First, mutations in SPT6 (called SSN20) were demonstrated to be extragenic suppressors of the defect in transcription of the SUC2 gene caused by snf2, snf5 or snf6 mutations (NEIGE-BORN, RUBIN and CARLSON 1986). In these studies, mutations in SPT6 were also shown to suppress cisacting mutations at SUC2: they restore expression from SUC2 genes that lack upstream sequences that are necessary for regulation by glucose repression (NEIGEBORN, CELENZA and CARLSON 1987). In a second set of studies, one SPT6 allele, called cre2-1, was isolated as a mutation that allowed glucose-insensitive expression of ADH2 and bypassed the requirement for the ADR1 transcriptional activator protein (DENIS 1984; DENIS and MALVAR 1990).

Previous molecular and genetic analysis revealed that the SPT5 and SPT6 genes share a number of interesting features. First, both genes are essential for mitotic growth (NEIGEBORN, CELENZA and CARLSON 1987; CLARK-ADAMS and WINSTON 1987; SWANSON, MALONE and WINSTON 1991). Second, S. cerevisiae cells are sensitive to altered dosage of either the SPT5

¹ Current address: Department of Molecular Biology and Microbiology, Tufts University Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02111.

or the SPT6 gene: increased or decreased gene copy number causes an Spt⁻ phenotype (NEIGEBORN, CE-LENZA and CARLSON 1987; CLARK-ADAMS and WIN-STON 1987; SWANSON, MALONE and WINSTON 1991). Third, both genes encode nuclear proteins with unusually acidic amino termini (SWANSON, CARLSON and WINSTON 1990; SWANSON, MALONE and WINSTON 1991).

The genetic analyses presented here extend the similarities between SPT5 and SPT6 to include a third gene, SPT4. Interestingly, spt4 mutations cause similar phenotypes as spt5 and spt6 mutations with respect to effects on gene expression, yet SPT4 function is distinct in other ways. For example, the SPT4 gene is not essential for growth, and altered SPT4 dosage does not cause an Spt⁻ phenotype (E. A. MALONE, J. FAS-SLER and F. WINSTON, unpublished data).

In this study, we have used a number of techniques to analyze SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 interactions. First, we demonstrate that virtually every double mutant combination among the SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 genes causes lethality in haploid strains. Second, we show that certain mutations in SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 fail to complement each other in diploid strains. Third, we show that spt4 and spt5 mutations that were isolated as suppressors of δ -insertion mutations also suppress the SUC2 transcriptional defect caused by a snf2 allele, similar to spt6 mutations. Finally, by coimmunoprecipitation experiments, we present evidence that the SPT5 protein associates with the SPT6 protein. These results suggest that the SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 genetic interactions reflect physical interactions between the SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 proteins which are essential for some aspect of transcription in yeast.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast strains: The yeast strains used in this study (Table 1) are derivatives of strain S288C ($MAT\alpha gal2$) and are from our laboratory collection. Parentheses indicate episomal plasmids, and brackets indicate integrated plasmid DNA. The δ -insertion mutations his4-912 δ (CHALEFF and FINK 1980; FARABAUGH and FINK 1980) and lys2-128 δ (SIMCHEN et al. 1984; CLARK-ADAMS and WINSTON 1987) cause histidine and lysine auxotrophies, respectively.

The $spt4\Delta1::URA3$ allele is a deletion of approximately half of the SPT4 coding region and includes insertions of Tn5 sequences and the URA3 gene; spt4-289 and spt4-6 are nonsense mutations; and spt4-3 is an internal deletion (E. A. MALONE, J. FASSLER and F. WINSTON, unpublished data). The mutations spt5-24, spt5-8, spt5-194, spt6-140, spt6-113 and spt6-167 are spontaneous, presumed partial loss-of-function mutations (WINSTON et al. 1984; FASSLER and WINSTON 1988). Both spt6-140 and spt6-113 cause lethality at 37°, and spt6-167 causes slow growth at 37°. The mutations spt3 $\Delta 203$::TRP1 (HAPPEL AND WINSTON 1992) and snf2 Δ 1::HIS3 (ABRAMS, NEIGEBORN and CARLSON 1986) are null alleles. The $suc2\Delta(-1900/-390)$ allele contains the SUC2 TATA region, but lacks sequences from -1900 to -390 relative to the translational start site for the precursor to secreted invertase (NEIGEBORN, CELENZA and CARLSON

1987). Strains MS222, MS223 and MS224 have plasmid pBM68 integrated at the URA3 locus, such that the SPT5lacZ gene is expressed from the SPT5 promoter (SWANSON, MALONE and WINSTON 1991). Both the cis-acting mutation $suc2\Delta(-1900/-390)$ and the trans-acting mutation $snf2\Delta1::HIS3$ were used. In our suppression studies we observed that $spt6-140 suc2\Delta(-1900/-390)$ strains have a Suc⁺ phenotype with lower levels of invertase than measured for $SPT6^+ snf2\Delta1::HIS3$ (Suc⁻) strains; this difference is likely caused by the pleiotropic effects of the $snf2\Delta1::HIS3$ mutation (NEIGEBORN and CARLSON 1984).

Media: The media used were as described by SHERMAN, FINK and LAWRENCE (1978) and include the rich media YEP + 2% glucose (YPD) and YEP + 0.05% glucose, as well as synthetic complete media lacking a specific amino acid (for example, SC – Lys), minimal media supplemented with a particular amino acid (such as SD + Lys), and sporulation media. YP + sucrose consisted of YEP + 2% sucrose + 1 μ g/ml antimycin A. GNA presporulation medium contained 10 g yeast extract, 30 g nutrient broth, 50 g dextrose and 20 g agar per liter.

Genetic methods: Standard methods for mating, sporulation and tetrad analysis were used (MORTIMER and HAW-THORNE 1969; SHERMAN, FINK and LAWRENCE 1978). For analysis of spt double mutants, at least 15 tetrads were scored for each cross. The spt genotypes of viable spore clones were determined by complementation tests using spt tester strains L448, L449, L450, L451, L452 and L453 (Table 1). First, tetrads and parental strains were crossed to MATa and $MAT\alpha$ spt lawns on YPD media. Then, diploids were selected on SD + His + Lys plates and suppression of lys2-1288 and his4-9128 was scored on SD + His and SD + Lys plates, respectively. As described in RESULTS, we observed that some pairs of unlinked mutations failed to complement; however, in no case was the double heterozygote Spt⁻ phenotype as strong as the homozygous Spt⁻ phenotype. Therefore, the genotype of spore clones could be determined unambiguously by scoring their phenotype relative to that of each spt parent strain. Yeast cells were transformed by the lithium acetate method (ITO et al. 1983).

RNA preparation and analysis: For analysis of SUC2 RNA under derepressing conditions, yeast cells were grown at 30° in YPD medium to $1-1.5 \times 10^7$ cells per ml, washed twice with sterile water, then incubated for 2.5 h at 30° in YEP + 0.05% glucose to relieve glucose repression. For analysis of SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 RNA, cells were grown at 30° in YPD medium to $1-2 \times 10^7$ cells per ml. RNA was prepared as described previously (CARLSON and BOTSTEIN 1982) and separated by electrophoresis in formaldehydeagarose gels as described previously (SWANSON, MALONE and WINSTON 1991). Hybridization probes were radiolabeled by nick-translation (RIGBY *et al.* 1977) of plasmid DNA using [α^{-32} P]dATP (Amersham Corp.) and a reagent kit from Boehringer Mannheim.

Plasmids: Plasmids used to prepare hybridization probes were, for SUC2, plasmid pRB59 (CARLSON and BOTSTEIN 1982), and for TUB2, plasmid pYST138, a 0.24-kb BglII-KpnI restriction fragment internal to TUB2 cloned in a pGEM vector (Som et al. 1988).

Several plasmids were used to express SPT fusion proteins for analysis by coimmunoprecipitation experiments. Plasmid pMS33, which is a derivative of the *CEN4-ARS1-URA3* vector YCp50 (JOHNSTON and DAVIS 1984), encodes the nine amino acid HA1 epitope (NIMAN *et al.* 1983) fused to the entire SPT6 protein; the HA1-SPT6 fusion protein is functional, as judged by its ability to complement the temperature-sensitive lethality caused by the *spt6-140* mutation (SWANSON, CARLSON and WINSTON 1990). Plasmid pMS39 is a derivative of the CEN6-ARSH4-LEU2 vector pRS315 (SIKORSKI and HEITER 1989) and encodes the HAI-SPT6 hybrid gene; it was constructed by digesting plasmid pMS33 partially with HindIII and completely with Eagl to obtain a 7.0-kb HindIII-Eagl fragment containing the HA1-SPT6 gene, which was then ligated to the EagI and HindIII sites of the vector pRS315 (SIKORSKI and HEITER 1989). Plasmid pMS35, which is a derivative of the 2- μ m circle-URA3 vector YEp353 (MYERS et al. 1986), encodes a fusion between the HA1 epitope and the β -galactosidase protein of Escherichia coli expressed from the yeast ADH1 promoter (SWANSON, CARLSON and WINSTON 1990). Plasmid pAB1, which is a derivative of the CEN-ARS-TRP1 plasmid p[K1521 (KAMENS et al. 1990), encodes a LexA-SPT5 fusion protein expressed from the ADH1 promoter; it was constructed by digesting plasmid pMS4 (SwANSON, MALONE and WINSTON 1991) with SphI, treating with Klenow, and then ligating the 3.5-kb fragment containing the SPT5 gene to the SmaI site of plasmid pJK1521 (KAMENS et al. 1990). The LexA-SPT5 fusion protein consists of the first 87 amino acids of the E. coli LexA protein fused to the SPT5 protein beginning at amino acid 12; this plasmid causes a weak Spt- mutant phenotype in an SPT5⁺ background (A. BORTVIN and F. WINSTON, unpublished data). Plasmid pBM68 is an integrating plasmid containing the SPT5(1004)-lacZ gene; this hybrid gene encodes the first 1004 amino acids of SPT5 fused to β -galactosidase and partially complements an *spt5* null mutation (SWANSON, MALONE and WINSTON 1991). Plasmid pMS50 is an integrating plasmid carrying the SPT5(917)-lacZ gene; this hybrid gene, which encodes the first 917 amino acids of SPT5 fused to β -galactosidase, lacks the entire SPT5 repeat domain and provides a very low level of SPT5 activity (SWANSON, MALONE and WINSTON 1991). The vector YEp13 contains part of the yeast 2-µm circle and the LEU2 gene as a selectable marker (BROACH, STRATHERN and HICKS 1979).

Invertase assays: Yeast cultures were grown to exponential phase $(1-3 \times 10^7 \text{ cells per ml})$ in YPD medium, then glucose-repressed and glucose-derepressed cells were prepared as described by CELENZA and CARLSON (1984). Secreted invertase activity was assayed on whole cells using the method of GOLDSTEIN and LAMPEN (1975) as described by CELENZA and CARLSON (1984). For calculation of units of invertase activity, we assumed that 1 ml of cells at a density of 50 Klett units was equivalent to 0.38 mg dry weight of cells. A twofold variation in calculated invertase units occurs for cultures grown to $1 \times 10^7 \text{ vs. } 2 \times 10^7$ cells per ml (G. PRELICH, personal communication).

Coimmunoprecipitation assays: Yeast cell lysates were prepared from cultures grown at 30° to $1-2 \times 10^7$ cells per ml of SC media lacking the appropriate amino acid(s) for plasmid maintenance. Protein lysates were prepared as described previously (CELENZA and CARLSON 1986), except for the composition of the lysis buffer, which was 20 mm HEPES (N-[2-hydroxyethyl]piperazine-N'-[2-ethanesulfonic acid]) [pH 7.4], 100 mM potassium acetate, 2 mM magnesium acetate and 2 mm phenylmethyl sulfonate. For cross-linking, 0.5 mg/ml of protein lysate was incubated with 0.2 mg/ml dithiobis(succinimidylpropionate) (DSP, Pierce) for 30 min at 4°. The cross-linking reaction was quenched by the addition of 0.5 M ammonium acetate for 5 min at 4°, and the lysate was clarified by centrifugation at $16,000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4°. For mock-cross-linked lysates, the order of the DSP and ammonium acetate incubations was reversed. The protein concentrations of the cell lysates were determined by the method of BRADFORD (1976) using a dye reagent from Bio-Rad Laboratories and bovine serum albumin (BSA) as the standard.

The immunoprecipitation reactions consisted of 250 μg of freshly prepared protein lysate and either 2.5 μ l of ascites fluid containing the HA1 epitope- specific monoclonal antibody 12CA5 (NIMAN et al. 1983) or 1 μ l of anti- β -galactosidase antisera (Promega) in 0.5 ml of immunoprecipitation (IP) buffer (50 mM Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane-HCl (Tris-HCl) [pH 7.5], 1% Triton X-100, 150 mм NaCl, 500 mM ammonium acetate and 0.5 mg/ml BSA. (During our initial coimmunoprecipitation experiments, we found that addition of 250-750 mM ammonium acetate to the IP buffer virtually eliminated a nonspecific precipitation of both the LexA-SPT5 and SPT5- β -galactosidase fusion proteins without reducing their association with the HA1-SPT6 protein.) After 60 min on ice, aggregates were removed by centrifugation at $16,000 \times g$ (14,000 rpm in an Eppendorf microcentrifuge) for 10 min at 4°. Next, the supernatants were incubated with 50 μ l of an 8.3% suspension of Pansorbin (Calbiochem Corp., La Jolla, California) for 30 min at 4°. The protein-Pansorbin complexes were collected by centrifugation for 40 sec at $16,000 \times g$, washed three times with 0.5 ml of IP buffer, and then resuspended in 0.5 ml of IP buffer lacking BSA. The protein-Pansorbin suspension was transferred to a new tube, washed two times in IP buffer lacking BSA, and then resuspended in 35 μ l of protein sample buffer (60 mM Tris-HCl [pH 6.8], 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 8% glycerol, 0.4% bromphenol blue and 2% β -mercaptoethanol). The samples were heated in a boiling water bath for 3 min, cleared by centrifugation at $16,000 \times g$ for 2 min, and then separated by electrophoresis through 7.5% acrylamide gels and analyzed by immunoblotting.

Immunoblotting analysis: Protein samples were analyzed by immunoblotting as described previously (CELENZA and CARLSON 1984) using one of the following primary antibody preparations: the HA1-SPT6 and HA1- β - galactosidase fusion proteins were identified with a 1:500 dilution of 12CA5 ascites fluid (NIMAN *et al.* 1983); the LexA-SPT5 fusion protein was identified with a 1:1500 dilution of rabbit serum containing LexA-specific polyclonal antibodies (kindly provided by R. BRENT); and the SPT5(1004)- β -galactosidase fusion protein was identified with a 1:7500 dilution of a β galactosidase-specific monoclonal antibody (Promega). The primary antibodies were detected using the Protoblot System from Promega with a 1:7500 dilution of rabbit or mouse IgG-specific antibody affinity-purified from goat serum and conjugated to alkaline phosphatase.

RESULTS

Combinations of mutations in the SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 genes cause lethality: Mutations in the SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 genes were isolated originally as suppressors of δ -insertion mutations in the 5' regions of the HIS4 and LYS2 genes (WINSTON et al. 1984; FAS-SLER and WINSTON 1988). During the initial characterization of spt mutant strains, WINSTON et al. (1984) observed that the combination of any two of the mutations spt4-3, spt5-194 and spt6-140 caused lethality at 30°. The double mutant lethality appeared to be specific to these three genes, since the same mutations in combination with mutations in 13 other SPT genes were viable (WINSTON et al. 1984; FASSLER and WINSTON 1988; M. SWANSON, E. A. MALONE and F. WINSTON, unpublished data).

We have extended this analysis to determine

328

TABLE 1

Yeast strains

| a. | Double mutant lethality studies | | | | |
|----|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | MS53 | MATa spt4-289 his4-9128 lys2-1288 leu2-3 can ^R | | | |
| | MS63 | MATa spt5-24 ura3-52 his4-9128 lys2-1288 leu1 trp5 ade1 can1-100 | | | |
| | L242 | MATα spt6-140 ura3-52 his4-912δ lys2-128δ leu1 trp5-1 | | | |
| | FW147 | MATα spt6-167 ura3-52 his4-912δ lys1-1 cry1 | | | |
| | MS22 | MATa spt4Δ1::URA3 ura3-52 his4-9128 lys2-1288 leu1 trp5 | | | |
| | MS23 | MATα spt6-167 his4-912δ lys2-128δ ade2-1 | | | |
| | FW146 | MATa spt6-167 his4-9128 lys1-1 leu2-3 cry1 | | | |
| | MS17 | MATα spt5-24 ura3-52 his4-912δ lys2-128δ ade2-1 can1-100 | | | |
| | MS14 | MATa spt4-289 his4-9126 lys2-1286 ura3-52 leu2-1 ade2-1 trp5 | | | |
| | L448 | MATa spt6-140 his4-9128 lys2-1288 ade8 | | | |
| | L449 | MAT \alpha spt6-140 his4-9120 lys2-1280 ade8 | | | |
| | L450 | MAT \alpha spit AT:: URA3 his + 9120 iys2-1280 a dee | | | |
| | | MATa spital:::UKA2 nist-9120 ljs2-1200 aaeo MATa shi 2 a bida 0.125 ling 1.208 zada | | | |
| | L432 L453 | MATa spi-24 ms4-24 los2-120 ms2-1200 auco MATa set set s 24 ms4-24 ms4 | | | |
| ь | Complemen | MATU Sp1-27 http://www.sp120.0922-1200.0000 | | | |
| υ. | MS73 | MATa /MATa his4-9128/his4-9128 /182-1288//182-1288 trb2/trb2/en2/len2 can1-100/can1-100 | | | |
| | MS70 | MATa / MATo (str4A) (-1)RA3/+ ade8/+ bis4-9126/bis4-9126/bis2-1288/bis2-1288/bis2-1288/bis2/LEU2 trb2/TRP2 can 1-100/CAN1 | | | |
| | MS169 | MATa/MATa stut5-24/(SPT5 ade8/ade8 his4-9126/his4-9126 lw2-1286/lw2-1286 | | | |
| | MS69 | MATa/MATα spt6-140/SPT6 ade8/ADE8 his4-912δ/his4-912δ lys2-128δ lys2-128δ leu2/LEU2 trp2/TRP2 can1-100/CAN1 | | | |
| | MS208 | MATa/MATa spt6-113/SPT6 his4-917/his4-9128 lys2-1288/lys2-1288 ura3-52/URA3 leu2/leu2 | | | |
| | MS72 | MATα /MATα spt4Δ1::URA3/spt4Δ1::URA3 ade8/ade8 his4-912δ/his4-912δ lys2-128δ/lys2-128δ | | | |
| | MS166 | MATα/MATα spt5-24/spt5-24 ade8/ade8 his4-912δ/his4-912δ lys2-128δ/lys2-128δ | | | |
| | MS71 | MAT a /MATα spt6-140/spt6-140 ade8/ade8 his4-912δ/his4-912δ lys2-128δ/lys2-128δ | | | |
| | MS209 | MAT a /MATα spt6-113/spt6-113 his4-917/his4-917 lys2-128δ/lys2-128δ ura3-52/ura3-52 leu2/leu2 | | | |
| | MS167 | MATα/MATα spt4Δ1::URA3/SPT4 spt5-24/SPT5 ade8/ade8 his4-912δ/his4-912δ lys2-128δ/lys2-128δ | | | |
| | MS68 | MATα/MATα spt4Δ1::URA3/SPT4 spt6-140/SPT6 ade8/ade8 his4-912δ/his4-912δ lys2-128δ/lys2-128δ | | | |
| | MS168 | MAT_{a}/MAT_{a} spt5-24/SPT5 spt6-140/SPT6 ade8/ade8 his4-9128/his4-9128 lys2-1288/lys2-1288 | | | |
| | MS93 | MATa/MATa spit4D1::URA3/SPT4 spi5-24/SPT5 spi6-140/SPT6 ura3-52/ura3-52 his4-9120/his4-9120 lys2-1280/lys2-1280 | | | |
| | | $ade^{2-1}/ADE^{2} can I/CAN I$ | | | |
| | MS210 | MAIa/MAIa spital::UKA3/SPI4 spio-113/SPI0 daes/ADE8 nist-9120/nist-917 lys2-1200/lys2-1200 ura3-22/UKA3 leu2/ | | | |
| | M8917 | LEU2 MATE /MATE 2015 8/SPT5 ado8/ADE8 biod 9192/biod 9192 lus2-1982/lus2-1982 urg3-59/I/RA3 lou221/lou2 trb2/TRP2 | | | |
| | M3217 | win a/win a spis-6/5/17 uue6/ADE6 hist-9120/hist-9120 ijs2-1200/ijs2-1200 uu2-92/01019 wu2-11/wu2 w/p2/110 2 | | | |
| | M\$918 | tan 1-100/07141 MATa (1915-8/1915-8 ade8/ADF8 his4-9128/his4-9128/1952-1288/1952-1288 ura 3-52/URA3 leu221/LEU2 | | | |
| | MS210 | MATa/MATa shi4 A1-11RA3/SPT4 shi5-8/SPT5 ade8/ADE8 his4-9128/his4-9128 lss2-1288/lss2-1288/us3-52/ura3-52 | | | |
| | MS220 | MATa/MATa sht5-8/SPT5 sht6-113/SPT6 ade8/ADE8 his4-912δ/his4-917 lys2-128δ/lys2-128δ ura3-52/URA3 leu2Δ1/leu2 | | | |
| с | Invertase as | savs | | | |
| | FW1238 | MATα ura 3-52 his4-912δ lys2-128δ | | | |
| | MS1 | MATa snf22::HIS3 ura3-52 his4-9128 lys2-1288 | | | |
| | MS87 | MATa sp14Δ1::URA3 ura3-52 his4-9126 lys2-1286 | | | |
| | L381 | MATα spt4Δ1::URA3 ura3-52 lys2-128δ | | | |
| | L331 | MATa spt4-289 ura3-52 his4-9126 lys2-1286 ade2-1 | | | |
| | MS3 | MATα spt5-194 his4-912δ ade2-1 | | | |
| | FW256 | MATα spt5-194 his4-912δ ade2-1 | | | |
| | MS11 | MAT a spt5-8 ura3-52 hts4-917 lys2-1286 leu2 | | | |
| | L807 | $MAT \alpha spis-8 uras-22 nis4-917 lys2-1280 leu2$ | | | |
| | MS30 | MATa spio-140 uta 3-32 nts+-3120 (yzz-1200) | | | |
| | M5155 M530 | MATa spio-1+0 uta 3-22 usa+2120 (322-1200) MATa spio-1+0 uta 3-22 usa+2140 uta 3-22 usa+2140 uta 3-22 usa+2120 uta 3-22 uta 3-2 | | | |
| | M539 M531 | MAT(a, spio-1+0, sig2), $max = 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3,$ | | | |
| | MS56 | $MAT_{\alpha} \text{ spit-24} (26) \text{ sm}^{2}(24) (26) (26) (26) (26) (26) (26) (26) (26$ | | | |
| | MS58 | MATa sht4-289 snf2\1::HIS3 ura3-52 his4-9128 lys2-1288 | | | |
| | MS10 | MATa spt5-8 snf2D1::HIS3 ura 3-52 his4-917 lys2-1288 leu2 | | | |
| | MS13 | MATa spt5-8 snf2Δ1::HIS3 ura3-52 his4-9128 lys2-1288 | | | |
| | MS4 | MATa spt5-194 snf2∆1::HIS3 ura3-52 his4-9128 ade2-1 | | | |
| | MS7 | MATa spt5-194 snf2Δ1::HIS3 his4-912δ lys2-128δ | | | |
| | MS29 | MATα spt6-167 snf2Δ1::HIS3 ura3-52 his4-912δ lys2-128δ | | | |
| | MS30 | MATa spt6-167 snf2\1::HIS3 ura3-52 his4-9128 lys2-1288 leu2-3 | | | |
| | FY51 | MATa spt3-203::TRP1 ura3-32 hist-91/0 leu201 trp1003 | | | |
| | FY416 | MAIα spij-203:: I KPI snj2Δ1::HISJ utaj-32 nis4-31/0 MAT., shi2 203:: TDD1 snf2Δ1::HIS3 utaj-32 his4 0178 | | | |
| | A745 EV191 | 191A1 & Sp13-203:11 KF1 STJ221:::T133 UT43-32 Hist-3110 MATe suc2. A. 1900/. 390 una 3.52 hist. 9128 los 2.1288 toh 1 A63 | | | |
| | FY121 MS162 | MATA SUC2-6-1300/-390 UT03-32 hist-3120 1982-1200 191603 MATA SUC2-A-1900/-390 UT03-52 hist-9128 [NS2-1288 | | | |
| | M3103 | 11111 u suce-u-1700/-770 u/u-72 hist-7100 yse-1000 | | | |

| SUC2 transcription | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Coimmunoprecipitation experiments | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

TABLE 2

Combinations of spt mutations cause lethality

| Cross | Relevant genotype | Phenotype of double mutant ^a |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| FW256 × MS53 | spt4-289 spt5-194 | Dead |
| L381 × MS63 | spt4∆1::URA3 spt5-24 | Dead |
| MS63 × L242 | spt5-24 spt6-140 | Dead |
| L381 × MS36 | spt4∆1::URA3 spt6-140 | Dead |
| WINSTON et al. $(1984)^{b}$ | spt4-3 spt6-140 | Dead |
| WINSTON et al. (1984) | spt4-3 spt5-194 | Dead |
| WINSTON et al. (1984) | spt5-194 spt6-140 | Dead |
| $MS14 \times FW147$ | spt4-289 spt6-167 | Spt ⁻ , Ts ⁻ |
| $MS22 \times MS23$ | sp4∆1::URA3 spt6-167 | Spt ⁻ , Ts ⁻ |
| $FW146 \times MS17$ | spt5-24 spt6-167 | Spt ⁻ , Ts ⁻ |
| $FW146 \times FW256$ | spt5-194 spt6-167 | Spt ⁻ , Ts ⁻ |

^a Greater than 15 tetrads were scored for each cross. The SPT genotypes of viable spore clones were determined by complementation tests as described in MATERIALS AND METHODS. Strains designated Spt⁻ and Ts⁻ had an Spt⁻ phenotype at 30° and failed to grow at 37°.

^b Crosses designated WINSTON *et al.* (1984) contain data originally presented in that publication.

whether the *spt4*, *spt5* and *spt6* double mutant lethality is allele-specific. Tetrad analysis demonstrated that most double mutant combinations examined caused lethality in haploids at 30° (Table 2). The only exception was the *spt6-167* allele, which unlike the other *spt6* mutation tested, *spt6-140*, does not itself cause temperature-sensitive growth and is thus likely to be a less severe mutation. Even in cases with this allele, the *spt4 spt6-167* and *spt5 spt6-167* double mutants grew poorly at 30° and failed to grow at 37° (Table 2). Therefore, the double mutant lethality caused by *spt4*, *spt5* and *spt6* mutations is not allele-specific. These data suggest that the double mutant lethality occurs by the cumulative reduction of function(s) caused by mutations in *SPT4*, *SPT5* and *SPT6*.

Some spt4, spt5 and spt6 mutations fail to complement each other: During our analysis of *spt* single and double mutants, we frequently observed incomplete complementation of spt4, spt5 and spt6 mutations. To investigate this genetic interaction more systematically, we constructed diploid strains heterozygous at two of these three SPT loci and compared their phenotypes to strains that were either homozygous mutant or homozygous wild type. The phenotype examined was suppression of the lysine auxotrophy caused by the insertion mutation lys2-1288. This analysis has demonstrated that among mutations in SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6, some recessive mutations in any one of these SPT genes fail to complement recessive mutations in the other two SPT genes (Table 3). For example, the spt4 Δ 1::URA3 and spt6-140 mutations were recessive for suppression of lys2-128b (diploid strains MS70 and MS69 were Lys⁻), yet a diploid that was heterozygous for both an *spt4\Delta1::URA3* and an spt6-140 mutation had an Spt⁻ phenotype (MS68 was Lys^{+/-}; Table 3, Figure 1). The failure of unlinked spt mutations to complement each other was also observed for most other combinations of spt4, spt5 and spt6 alleles that were tested (Table 3). In general, the double heterozygote mutant phenotypes were not as strong as those of homozygous mutant strains. [Similar results have been observed for suppression of the mutations his4-912 δ and suc2 Δ (-1900/-390) (M. SWANSON, G. PRELICH and F. WINSTON, unpublished data).] The results of testing different combinations of mutations suggest that the cumulative severity of the spt alleles determines the degree of complementation. First, the spt5-8 allele, which fully complemented both an spt4 Δ 1::URA3 and an spt6-113 mutation (Table 3), is probably a leaky allele, as judged by its weak effects on lys2-1288 transcription (SWANSON,

TABLE 3

Mutations in SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 fail to complement each other

| Diploid Strain | Relevant genotype | Lys phenotype |
|-------------------|---|------------------|
| MS73 | Wild type | - |
| MS70 | spt4 Δ 1::URA3/SPT4 | - |
| MS169 | spt5-24/SPT5 | - |
| MS217 | spt5-8/SPT5 | - |
| MS69 | spt6-140/SPT6 | - |
| MS208 | spt6-113/SPT6 | - |
| MS72 | spt4 Δ 1::URA3/spt4 Δ 1::URA3 | + |
| MS166 | spt5-24/spt5-24 | + |
| MS218 | spt5-8/spt5-8 | + |
| MS71 | spt6-140/spt6-140 | + |
| MS209 | spt6-113/spt6-113 | + |
| MS167 | spt4 Δ 1::URA3/SPT4 spt5-24/SPT5 | +/- |
| MS68 | spt4 Δ 1::URA3/SPT4 spt6-140/SPT6 | +/- |
| MS210 | spt4 Δ 1::URA3/SPT4 spt6-113/SPT6 | +/- |
| MS168 | spt5-24/SPT5 spt6-140/SPT6 | +/- |
| MS219 | spt4 Δ 1::URA3/SPT4 spt5-8/SPT5 | - |
| MS220 | spt5-8/SPT5 spt6-113/SPT6 | - |
| MS93 | spt4 Δ 1::URA3/SPT4 spt5-24/SPT5 spt6- | + |
| | 140/SPT6 | |

Suppression of lys2-1288 was scored after replica-plating patches of each strain to SC and SC - Lys plates and incubating at 30° for 1.5 days. + indicates growth; +/- indicates weak growth; - indicates no growth.



SPT4 SPT6

FIGURE 1.-Mutations in SPT4 and SPT6 fail to complement for suppression of lys2-1288. Patches of strains of the genotype indicated were grown on a YPD plate, then replica plated to the SC and SC - Lys plates shown here. The plates were photographed after 1.5 days of growth at 30°. The strains shown are (a) MS73, (b) MS70, (c) MS69, (d) MS72, (e) MS71 and (f) MS68.

MALONE and WINSTON 1991) and suppression of snf2 (described in the next section). Second, the mutant phenotype of a triple heterozygote (Table 3, strain MS93) was more severe than that of isogenic double heterozygotes (Table 3). These effects are also consistent with the previous observations that spt5 and spt6 null alleles, which are recessive lethal, are dominant for an Spt⁻ phenotype. Taken together, these results indicate that the SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 gene products function in a similar process.

Mutations in SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 each restore invertase expression to snf2 mutants: A number of spt6 mutations were identified as suppressors of mu-

TABLE 4

Effects of spt4, spt5 and spt6 mutations on invertase activity

| | Secreted invertase activity ^a | |
|--|--|--------------|
| Relevant genotype | Repressed | Derepressed |
| Wild type | 3 ± 1 | 141 ± 7 |
| $spt4\Delta 1::URA3$ | $3 \pm < 1$ | 149 ± 15 |
| spt4-289 | 3 ± 1 | 187 ± 33 |
| spt5-8 | 3 ± 1 | 131 ± 13 |
| spt5-194 | 3 ± 1 | 224 ± 29 |
| spt6-140 | 3 ± 1 | 252 ± 20 |
| $spt3\Delta 203:TRP1$ | 7 ± 1 | 112 ± 1 |
| $snf2\Delta1::HIS3$ | $2 \pm <1$ | 17 ± 2 |
| $snf2\Delta1::HIS3 spt4\Delta1::URA3$ | $2 \pm <1$ | 50 ± 6 |
| snf2\[2]::HIS3 spt4-289 | $2 \pm <1$ | 60 ± 1 |
| snf2 Δ 1::HIS3 spt5-8 | 4 ± 1 | 59 ± 9 |
| snf2\[2]::HIS3 spt5-194 | $3 \pm < 1$ | 104 ± 10 |
| snf2 Δ 1::HIS3 spt6-140 | 5 ± 1 | 109 ± 13 |
| snf2 Δ 1::HIS3 spt6-167 | 10 ± 3 | 107 ± 17 |
| snf2\Delta1::HIS3 spt3\Delta203:TRP1 | $3 \pm <1$ | 6 ± 1 |
| $suc2\Delta(-1900/-390)$ | 3 ± 1 | 3 ± 1 |
| suc2 Δ (-1900/-390) spt4 Δ 1::URA3 | 3 ± 1 | 5 ± 1 |
| suc2∆(-1900/-390) spt5-194 | 4 ± 1 | 9 ± 1 |
| suc2∆(-1900/-390) spt6-140 | 7 ± 1 | 12 ± 1 |

^a Micromoles of glucose released per min per 100 mg (dry weight) of cells as described in MATERIALS AND METHODS. Values are the averages of two strains, each assayed at least twice; ± standard error.

tations in the SNF2 and SNF5 genes, which are required for high level expression of SUC2, the structural gene for invertase (NEIGEBORN and CARLSON 1984; NEIGEBORN, RUBIN and CARLSON 1986). Several of these spt6 mutations were shown to restore high levels of invertase activity to snf2 mutant strains by increasing the level of SUC2 RNA (NEIGEBORN, RUBIN and CARLSON 1986; NEIGEBORN, CELENZA and CARLSON 1987).

We reasoned that if the SPT4 and SPT5 gene products were related functionally to the SPT6 gene product, spt4 and spt5 mutations might also suppress the defect in SUC2 transcription caused by snf2 mutations. To test this possibility, we measured the effect of spt mutations on SUC2 expression by assaying invertase activity in spt4 snf2, spt5 snf2 and spt6 snf2 double mutant strains. As shown in Table 4, mutations in either SPT4 or SPT5 partially suppressed the defect in SUC2 expression in snf2 mutant strains. The spt4 Δ 1::URA3, spt4-289 and spt5-8 alleles had weak effects, while the spt5-194, spt6-140 and spt6-167 mutations were stronger, restoring nearly wild-type levels of SUC2 expression (Table 4). In contrast, null mutations in the SPT3 gene, which belongs to a different phenotypic class of SPT genes (WINSTON et al. 1987), showed no suppression of snf2 (Table 4).

To verify that suppression of snf2 by spt4, spt5 and spt6 occurs at the level of transcription, we determined SUC2 mRNA levels by Northern hybridization analysis. This analysis demonstrated that at least spt5 and spt6 mutations increased SUC2 mRNA levels in a



FIGURE 2.—Mutations in *SPT5* and *SPT6* restore expression of *SUC2* RNA in *snf2* mutant strains. Total yeast RNA was prepared from cells grown under derepressing condition and analyzed by Northern hybridization analysis. The filter was hybridized with a *SUC2* probe, then rehybridized with *TUB2* as a standardization probe. Each lane contains approximately 5 μ g of RNA from strains (1) FW1237, (2) MS37, (3) MS91, (4) MS4 and (5) MS38. The arrow indicates the position of the 1.9-kb *SUC2* mRNA, which encodes the secreted form of invertase. The 1.8-kb RNA encodes an intracellular form of invertase of unknown function (CARLSON and BOTSTEIN 1982).

snf2 mutant background (Figure 2). The SUC2 gene encodes two mRNAs that are regulated differently: a glucose-repressible 1.9-kb mRNA encodes the secreted form of invertase, and a constituitive 1.8-kb mRNA encodes an intracellular form of invertase of unknown function (CARLSON and BOTSTEIN 1982). The spt5-194 snf2 mutant had an intermediate level of the 1.9-kb SUC2 RNA (Figure 2, lane 4). An spt6-140 snf2 mutant strain had an approximately wildtype level of SUC2 RNA (Figure 2, lane 5), similar to that previously described by NEIGEBORN, CELENZA and CARLSON (1987). By this assay, which is less sensitive than measurement of invertase activity, the relatively weak suppression of snf2 by the $spt4\Delta1::URA3$ mutation was not detectable (Figure 2, lane 3). We observed that the spt6-140 snf2 strain had higher levels of SUC2 RNA than the spt5-194 snf2 strain (Figure 2), while the average units of invertase activity for two strains of each genotype were approximately equal (Table 4). We believe that this difference is a result of an effect of cell density on the level of invertase measured (see MATERIALS AND METHODS).

Mutations in SPT6 were also shown previously to restore low levels of invertase activity to strains with

upstream activation sequence (UAS) deletions at SUC2 (NEIGEBORN, CELENZA and CARLSON 1987). To determine whether *spt4* or *spt5* mutations also partially bypassed the requirement for the SUC2 UAS, we assayed invertase activity in *spt suc2* Δ UAS strains. As shown in Table 4, both the *spt5-194* and the *spt6-140* mutations restored a low level of invertase activity in a *suc2* Δ UAS background. However, the *spt4* Δ 1::URA3 mutation had no detectable effect on expression of the *suc2* Δ UAS allele (Table 4).

Since the *spt5* and *spt6* effects on the *suc2\DeltaUAS* allele were slight, we also used a plate assay to analyze suppression of this cis-acting mutation. Invertase is required by yeast to utilize sucrose as a carbon source, and growth on YP + sucrose plates is a sensitive indicator of low levels of invertase. Therefore, we spotted cell suspensions of wild type, suc2\DeltaUAS, spt4 $suc2\Delta UAS$, spt5 $suc2\Delta UAS$, and spt6 $suc2\Delta UAS$ strains on YPD and YP + sucrose plates. The ability of these strains to grow was consistent with our invertase assays. After 2 days at 30°, the wild type and the spt6-140 suc2 ΔUAS strains were Suc⁺, while the spt5-194 suc2 ΔUAS strain was Suc^{+/-}. In contrast, both the $spt4\Delta1::URA3$ suc2 ΔUAS and the suc2 ΔUAS strain were Suc⁻, even after a third day on a YP + sucrose plate. (All of the strains grew well on YPD medium.) The inability of the *spt4\Delta1::URA3* mutation to suppress the suc2 ΔUAS mutation was consistent with its weak suppression of the less severe defect caused by the $snf2\Delta 1$::URA3 mutation.

Our observations that an *spt5-194* mutation was a strong suppressor of the *snf2* transcriptional defect and that some *spt5* mutations failed to complement *spt6* mutations raised the possibility that some temperature-sensitive *ssn20* alleles (NEIGEBORN, RUBIN and CARLSON 1986) might actually be *spt5* mutations. Complementation tests using the cloned *SPT5* and *SPT6* genes indicated that *ssn20-2, ssn20-3* and *ssn20-11* were *spt5* alleles; genetic linkage tests confirmed their identity as *spt5* mutations (E. A. MALONE, M. CARLSON and F. WINSTON, unpublished data). These alleles have been renamed *spt5-2, spt5-3* and *spt5-11*, respectively.

Physical association of the SPT5 and SPT6 proteins: The genetic interactions among *SPT4*, *SPT5* and *SPT6* are consistent with two classes of models. In the first class, the SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 proteins function in a regulatory pathway, with one SPT protein regulating the level or the activity of the next SPT protein. In the second class of models, the SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 proteins function in a protein complex and contribute to a common activity. The results of our analysis of *SPT4*, *SPT5* and *SPT6* mRNA and protein levels in wild type, *spt4*, *spt5* and *spt6* mutant strains did not support models in which *SPT4*, *SPT5* and *SPT6* regulate the levels of mRNA or protein for other SPT genes in this triad (SWANSON 1991). Therefore, we investigated whether the SPT5 and SPT6 proteins interact physically. (Since the SPT4 protein is detectable only when overexpressed, we did not include it in these studies.)

In the first series of experiments, we immunoprecipitated an HA1-SPT6 fusion protein (SWANSON, CARLSON and WINSTON 1990) using a monoclonal antibody specific to the nine amino acid HA1 epitope (NIMAN et al. 1983), and then determined whether the immune complexes contained a LexA-SPT5 fusion protein using LexA-specific antibody. In this test, the LexA-SPT5 protein coimmunoprecipitated with the HA1-SPT6 protein (Figure 3A, lane 1). Several control experiments were done to test the specificity of the LexA-SPT5 coimmunoprecipitation. These control experiments demonstrated that the precipitation of the LexA-SPT5 fusion protein required the HA1-SPT6 protein, since the LexA-SPT5 protein was not precipitated when the HA1 antibody was omitted (Figure 3A, lane 4), nor when the antibody was incubated with extracts that either lacked the HA1-epitope (Figure 3A, lane 2) or contained a fusion of the HA1 epitope to β -galactosidase instead of SPT6 (Figure 3A, lane 3).

To confirm that the association between the HA1-SPT6 and the lexA-SPT5 fusion proteins required the SPT5 protein and was independent of the LexA domain, we determined whether an SPT5-*B*-galactosidase fusion protein associated with HA1-SPT6. Similar to the results obtained with the LexA-SPT5 protein, the SPT5- β -galactosidase protein coimmunoprecipitated with the HA1-SPT6 protein (Figure 3B, lane 2). Precipitation of the SPT5- β -galactosidase protein was dependent on HA1-SPT6, since SPT5-*B*-galactosidase was not detected in immune complexes that lacked either the HA1 antibody (Figure 3B, lane 3) or the HA1 epitope (Figure 3B, lane 1). In the converse experiment, coimmunoprecipitation of the HA1-SPT6 protein with the SPT5- β -galactosidase protein also occurred, although it required pretreatment with the cross-linking reagent DSP (Figure 3C, lanes 1 and 3). Cross-linking is often required to detect specific coimmunoprecipitation (for example see SANDERS et al. 1992).

The predominant species of the SPT5- β -galactosidase fusion protein present in the HA1-SPT6 immune complexes migrated faster than full length SPT5- β galactosidase and appeared to be approximately 15 kD smaller. This species was also seen in the total protein extract. In the same experiment, the full length SPT5- β -galactosidase fusion protein was precipitated by β -galactosidase-specific antibody (Figure 3C, lanes 1 and 3), indicating that the full length protein was present in the immunoprecipitation reaction. Therefore, we believe that the apparently smaller form of SPT5- β -galactosidase is a degradation product that interacts with HA1-SPT6 more efficiently than does the full length SPT5- β -galactosidase, and thus is enriched by the HA1-SPT6 immunoprecipitation. A simple explanation for this observation is that the addition of β -galactosidase to the carboxy terminus of SPT5 interferes with the SPT5-SPT6 protein interaction, either due to direct interference by β -galactosidase sequences with the SPT6 interaction or to tetramerization of the SPT5- β -galactosidase hybrid protein (ZABIN and FOWLER 1978).

The SPT5 nucleotide sequence predicts that the carboxy-terminal domain of the protein consists almost exclusively of 15 copies of a 6-amino acid repeat with the consensus S-T/A-W-G-G-A/Q (SWANSON, MALONE and WINSTON 1991). While this repeat domain appears to be critical for SPT5 activity (SWAN-SON, MALONE and WINSTON 1991), its function is not known. We assessed whether the SPT5 repeat domain was required for SPT5-SPT6 protein interactions by the coimmunoprecipitation assay using SPT5- β -galactosidase hybrid proteins that contain either zero or nine copies of the SPT5 repeat. Equal amounts of each SPT5-\beta-galactosidase species coimmunoprecipitated with SPT6 (data not shown). Therefore, the SPT5 carboxy-terminal repeat domain does not appear to be required for the physical interaction between the SPT5 and SPT6 proteins. Of course, we cannot rule out the possibility that β -galactosidase substitutes for the SPT5 repeat domain with respect to interaction with SPT6.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated the functional relationship between SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6, three genes previously identified as important for transcription in veast (WINSTON et al. 1984; FASSLER and WINSTON 1988; CLARK-ADAMS and WINSTON 1987; SWANSON, MALONE and WINSTON 1991; E. A. MALONE, J. FAS-SLER and F. WINSTON, unpublished data). On the basis of several genetic and biochemical criteria, the SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 proteins appear to function together in a transcriptional process that is essential for viability. First, the combination of mutations in any two of these three genes causes lethality. Second, some mutations in any two of these three genes fail to complement each other. Third, spt4, spt5 and spt6 mutations suppress a common set of cis- and trans-acting mutations that reduce transcription of the HIS4, LYS2 and SUC2 genes (WINSTON et al. 1984; FASSLER and WIN-STON 1988; CLARK-ADAMS and WINSTON 1987; NEI-GEBORN, CELENZA and CARLSON 1987; SWANSON, MA-LONE and WINSTON 1991; E. A. MALONE, J. FASSLER and F. WINSTON, unpublished data). In a related study, we found that mutations in SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 suppress the defect in Ty transcription caused



FIGURE 3.—Coimmunoprecipitation of SPT5 fusion proteins with the HA1-SPT6 protein. (A) Coimmunoprecipitation of LexA-SPT5 with HA1-SPT6. Protein lysates prepared from strains MS225 (lanes 1 and 4), MS227 (lane 2) and MS242 (lane 3) were immunoprecipitated with HA1-specific antibody, then the immune complexes were analyzed by immunoblotting with HA1-specific antibody (top panel, 5-µl sample per lane) and LexA-specific antibody (bottom panel, 25-µl sample per lane). Strains MS225, MS227 and MS242 each encode the LexA-SPT5 fusion protein. (B) Coimmunoprecipitation of SPT5-β-galactosidase with HA1-SPT6. Protein lysates prepared from strains MS234 (lane 1) and MS232 (lanes 2 and 3) were immunoprecipitated with HA1-specific antibody, then the immune complexes were analyzed by immunoblotting with HA1-specific antibody (top panel, 5- μ l sample per lane) and β -galactosidase-specific antibody (bottom panel, 25- μ l sample per lane). Total protein lysates prepared from strain MS234 (lane 4) and MS232 (lane 5) were analyzed by immunoblotting with HA1-specific antibody (top panel, 7.5 μ g per lane) and β -galactosidase-specific antibody (bottom panel, 7.5 μ g per lane). In this experiment, we estimate that 15-20% of total HA1-SPT6 is immunoprecipitated and that 2-4% of the SPT5- β -galactosidase coimmunoprecipitates. (C) Coimmunoprecipitation of HAI-SPT6 with SPT5-β-galactosidase. Protein lysate prepared from strain MS232 was either cross-linked with DSP (lanes 3 and 4) or mock-cross-linked (lanes 1 and 2) and immunoprecipitated with β -galactosidase-specific antibody. The immune complexes were analyzed by immunoblotting with β -galactosidase-specific antibody (top panel, 5- μ l sample per lane) or with HA1-specific antibody (bottom panel, 25-µl sample per lane). In this experiment, we estimate that 20-30% of the total SPT5- β -galactosidase is immunoprecipitated and that 1–2% of the HA1-SPT6 coimmunoprecipitates. For each figure a + indicates the presence and a - indicates the absence during the immunoprecipitation reaction of the indicated HA1-fusion protein, the antibody or the cross-linking reagent DSP. The panels represent identical gels used to analyze two aliquots of each immunoprecipation reaction.

by *snf2* mutations (HAPPEL, SWANSON and WINSTON 1991). Thus, the *spt4*, *spt5* and *spt6* effects on Ty transcription parallel the effects demonstrated for *SUC2* expression. Finally, results of coimmunoprecipitation experiments indicate that the SPT5 and SPT6 proteins interact physically.

Our genetic data are consistent with a model in which the sum of SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 activities is required to be above a particular threshold to result in a wild-type phenotype. By this model, reduction below this threshold results in an Spt⁻ phenotype; reduction below a lower threshold results in inviability. This model is supported by results examining both haploid and diploid strains. In haploids, leaky effects (leaky mutations in SPT5 or SPT6 or any mutation in SPT4), cause an Spt⁻ phenotype; tight effects (double mutants or null mutations in SPT5 or SPT6) cause inviability. Similarly, in diploids leaky effects (complete loss of one copy of SPT5 or SPT6, or heterozygosity at more than one of these three SPT loci) cause an Spt⁻ phenotype; tight effects (homozygous null mutations in SPT5 or SPT6) cause lethality. Thus, reducing the sum of SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 activities by either a single severe mutation or a combination of less severe mutations in two of these three genes results in a mutant phenotype. Also consistent with this model is the result that we have not observed

allele-specific *spt4*, *spt5* and *spt6* interactions in our studies of double mutant lethality.

The failure of unlinked recessive mutations to complement has been reported previously for other groups of functionally related genes and is generally thought to indicate a physical interaction between the respective gene products (For examples see BISSON and THORNER 1982; ATKINSON 1985; RINE and HER-SKOWITZ 1987; JAMES et al. 1988; STEARNS and BOT-STEIN 1988; REGAN and FULLER 1988; HAYS et al. 1989; GREEN et al. 1990). For example, in both S. cerevisiae and D. melanogaster, particular mutations in α -tubulin-encoding genes fail to complement particular mutations in β -tubulin-encoding genes (STEARNS and BOTSTEIN 1988; HAYS et al. 1989). In both cases, the failure of these mutations to complement was allele specific, indicating that the mutant proteins interact to form either an inactive complex or a "poison" (STEARNS and BOTSTEIN 1988; HAYS et al. 1989). STEARNS and BOTSTEIN (1988) also showed that recessive mutations in TUB1 and TUB3, which encode the major and minor forms of α -tubulin, respectively, also fail to complement each other. In this case, however, the failure to complement was not allele-specific and most likely reflects a cumulative reduction of protein below the minimal threshold necessary for function. The unlinked noncomplementation between spt4, spt5 and spt6 mutations, in conjunction with our other genetic data, is most consistent with a cumulative reduction of function, as opposed to specific interactions between mutant proteins, as the cause for the failure to complement. This model is strongly supported by the result that an spt4 null allele fails to complement either spt5 or spt6 mutations.

Our evidence that the SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 proteins interact and contribute to a common activity is consistent with results of previous studies of the effects of altered dosage of the SPT5 and SPT6 gene products. For both SPT5 and SPT6, increased or decreased gene copy number causes an Spt⁻ phenotype (CLARK-ADAMS and WINSTON 1987; NEIGEBORN, CELENZA and CARLSON 1987; SWANSON, MALONE and WINSTON 1991). A simple model that is consistent with these dosage effects is that the SPT5 and SPT6 proteins function in a complex that is sensitive to altered stoichiometry. Altered stoichoimetry of proteins that are components of a complex has been observed to cause mutant phenotypes in several other cases. For example, assembly of the bacteriophages T4 and λ are disrupted by altered levels of some gene products important for head morphogenesis (FLOOR 1970; STERNBERG 1976). In addition, mitotic chromosome transmission and transcription can be affected by altered dosage of some histone genes (MEEKS-WAGNER and HARTWELL 1986; CLARK-ADAMS et al. 1988).

SPT4 appears to be distinct from SPT5 and SPT6 in

several respects. First, both SPT5 and SPT6 encode large, nuclear proteins with unusually acidic amino terminal domains (SWANSON, CARLSON and WINSTON 1990; SWANSON, MALONE and WINSTON 1991). In contrast, the SPT4 protein is not large or acidic, and it is present in much lower amounts as compared to either the SPT5 or SPT6 protein. Second, altered SPT4 gene dosage does not cause an Spt⁻ phenotype. Finally, the SPT4 gene is not essential (E. A. MALONE, J. FASSLER and F. WINSTON, unpublished data). Therefore, the function of the SPT4 protein is likely to be distinct from that of the SPT5 and SPT6 proteins. Analysis of the SPT4 interactions with SPT5 and SPT6 must await more sensitive methods of detecting SPT4.

Mutations in the SPT16/CDC68 gene and the histone genes SPT11/HTA1 and SPT12/HTB1 alter transcription of many of the same loci as mutations in SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6. For example, the pattern of his4-9128 and lys2-1288 transcription is similar in spt16, spt11, spt12 and spt6 mutant strains (CLARK-ADAMS et al. 1988; CLARK-ADAMS and WINSTON 1987; SWANSON, MALONE and WINSTON 1991; MALONE et al. 1991). Also, the defect in transcription of SUC2 and Ty elements caused by snf2 mutations is suppressed by spt4, spt5 and spt6 mutations, as well as mutations in either SPT16 or the SPT11/HTA1-SPT12/HTB1 locus (HAPPEL, SWANSON and WINSTON 1991; MALONE et al. 1991; J. HIRSCHHORN, S. BROWN, C. D. CLARK and F. WINSTON, unpublished data). These phenotypic similarities suggest that SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6, together with SPT16 affect transcription via an effect on chromatin structure. However, double mutants of spt16 with either spt4, spt5 or spt6 are viable as haploids and spt16 mutations complement these other mutations in diploids (MALONE et al. 1991). Therefore, the SPT16 protein does not appear to function in a protein complex with the SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 proteins.

On the basis of the phenotypic similarities to histone mutant strains, as well as the physical characteristics of the SPT5 and SPT6 proteins, we favor a model in which the SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 proteins function as a nuclear protein complex that is important for establishment or maintenance of proper chromatin structure. Given that spt4, spt5 and spt6 mutations suppress the loss of transcriptional activation in snf2, snf5 and snf6 mutants, we hypothesize that the SPT4-SPT5-SPT6 complex would be required for repression of gene expression. Therefore, SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 may serve a role that is somewhat analogous to that postulated for the genes in the Polycomb group of D. melanogaster-chromatin-associated proteins that are required to maintain chromatin in a repressed state (PARO 1990). Alternatively, SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 may encode functions required for establishment of a repressed chromatin state. For example, chromatin assembly on SV40 DNA during *in vitro* replication requires CAF-1, a nuclear, multisubunit protein complex (SMITH and STILLMAN 1989; 1991a,b). Possibly, reduced levels of such an activity would result in altered establishment of chromatin states *in vivo*. Analysis of chromatin structure in the *spt4*, *spt5* and *spt6* mutants, as well as continued genetic and biochemical studies of SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6, should help to elucidate the mechanism by which these proteins affect transcription in yeast.

We thank ALEX BORTVIN for plasmid pAB1, ELIZABETH MALONE for plasmid pBM65, and ROGER BRENT for the anti-LexA serum. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grant GM32967, National Science Foundation grant DCB8451649, and a grant from the Stroh Brewing Company to F.W. M.S.S. was supported by a National Institutes of Health training grant to the Genetics Program (T32-GM07196) and by the Lucille P. Markey Charitable Trust.

LITERATURE CITED

- ABRAMS, E., L. NEIGEBORN and M. CARLSON, 1986 Molecular analysis of SNF2 and SNF5, genes required for expression of glucose-repressible genes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 6: 3643–3651.
- ATKINSON, K. D., 1985 Two recessive suppressors of *Saccharomy*ces cerevisiae cho1 that are unlinked but fall in the same complementation group. Genetics **11**: 1–6.
- BISSON, L., and J. T. THORNER, 1982 Mutations in the PHO80 gene confer permeability to 5'-mononucleotides in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 102: 341-359.
- BRADFORD, M. M., 1976 A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein dye binding. Anal. Biocem. 72: 248–254.
- BROACH, J. R., J. N. STRATHERN and J. B. HICKS, 1979 Transformation in yeast: development of a hybrid cloning vector and isolation of the CAN1 gene. Gene 8: 121–131.
- CARLSON, M., and D. BOTSTEIN, 1982 Two differentially regulated mRNAs with different 5' ends encode secreted and intracellular forms of yeast invertase. Cell **28**: 145–154.
- CELENZA, J. L., and M. CARLSON, 1984 Cloning and genetic mapping of *SNF1*, a gene required for expression of glucoserepressible genes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. **4**: 49-53.
- CELENZA, J. L., and M. CARLSON, 1986 A yeast gene that is essential for release from glucose repression encodes a protein kinase. Science **233**: 1175–1180.
- CHALEFF, D. T., and G. R. FINK, 1980 Genetic events associated with an insertion mutation in yeast. Cell **21:** 227–237.
- CLARK-ADAMS, C. D., and F. WINSTON, 1987 The SPT6 gene is essential for growth and is required for δ -mediated transcription in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. **7:** 679–686.
- CLARK-ADAMS, C. D., D. NORRIS, M. A. OSLEY, J. S. FASSLER and F. WINSTON, 1988 Changes in histone gene dosage alter transcription in yeast. Genes Dev. 2: 150-159.
- DENIS, C. L., 1984 Identification of new genes involved in the regulation of yeast alcohol dehydrogenase II. Genetics 108: 845-858.
- DENIS, C. L., and T. MALVAR, 1990 The CCR4 gene from Saccharomyces cerevisiae is required for both nonfermentative and sptmediated gene expression. Genetics 124: 283–291.
- EISENMANN, D. M., C. DOLLARD and F. WINSTON, 1989 SPT15, the gene encoding the yeast TATA binding factor TFIID, is re-

quired for normal transcription initiation in vivo. Cell 58: 1183-1191.

- FARABAUGH, P. J., and G. R. FINK, 1980 Insertion of the eukaryotic transposable element Ty1 creates a 5-base pair duplication. Nature 286: 352-356.
- FASSLER, J. S., and F. WINSTON, 1988 Isolation and analysis of a novel class of suppressors of Ty insertion mutations in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 118: 203–212.
- FASSLER, J. S., and F. WINSTON, 1989 The Saccharomyces cerevisiae SPT13/GAL11 gene has both positive and negative regulatory roles in transcription. Mol. Cell. Biol. 9: 5602–5609.
- FLOOR, E., 1970 Interaction of morphogenetic genes of bacteriophage T4. J. Mol. Biol. 47: 293–306.
- GOLDSTEIN, A., and J. O. LAMPEN, 1975 β-D-Fructofuranoside fructohydrolase from yeast. Methods Enzymol. 43C: 504-511.
- GREEN, L. L., N. WOLF, K. L. MCDONALD and M. T. FULLER, 1990 Two types of genetic interaction implicate the *whirligig* gene of *Drosophila melanogaster* in microtubule organization in the flagellar axoneme. Genetics **126**: 961–973.
- HAPPEL, A. M., and F. WINSTON, 1992 A mutant tRNA affects δ mediated transcription in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics (in press).
- HAPPEL, A. M., M. S. SWANSON and F. WINSTON, 1991 The SNF2, SNF5, and SNF6 genes are required for Ty transcription in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 128: 69-77.
- HAYS, T. S., R. DEURING, B. ROBERTSON, M. PROUT and M. T. FULLER, 1989 Interacting proteins identified by genetic interactions: a missense mutation in α -tubulin fails to complement alleles of the testis-specific β -tubulin gene of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Mol. Cell. Biol. **9:** 875–884.
- HIRSCHHORN, J. N., and F. WINSTON, 1988 SPT3 is required for normal levels of **a**-factor and α -factor expression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. **8**: 822–827.
- ITO, H., Y. FUKUDA, K. MARATA and A. KIMURA, 1983 Transformation of intact yeast cells treated with alkali cations. J. Bacteriol. 153: 163–168.
- JAMES, S. W., L. P. W. RANUM, C. D. SIFLOW and P. A. LEFBVRE, 1988 Mutants resistant to anti-microtubule herbicides map to a locus on the *uni* linkage group in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Genetics 118: 141–147.
- JOHNSTON, M., and R. W. DAVIS, 1984 Sequences that regulate the divergent *GAL1-GAL10* promoter in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 4: 1440–1448.
- KAMENS, J., P. RICHARDSON, G. MOSIALOS, R. BRENT and T. GIL-MORE, 1990 Oncogenic transformation by vRel requires an amino-terminal activation domain. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10: 2840– 2847.
- MALONE, E. A., C. D. CLARK, A. CHIANG and F. WINSTON, 1991 Mutations in *SPT16/CDC68* suppress *cis-* and *trans*acting mutations that affect promoter function in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11: 5710- 5717.
- MEEKS-WAGNER, D., and L. H. HARTWELL, 1986 Normal stoichiometry of histone dimer sets is necessary for high fidelity of mitotic chromosome transmission. Cell 44: 53–63.
- MORTIMER, R. K., and D. C. HAWTHORNE, 1969 Yeast genetics, p. 385-460 in *The Yeasts*, Vol. 1, edited by A. H. ROSE and J. S. HARRISON. Academic Press, New York.
- MYERS, A. M., A. TZAGALOFF, D. M. KINNEY and C. J. LUSTY, 1986 Yeast shuttle and integrative vectors with multiple cloning sites suitable for construction of *lacZ* fusions. Gene **45**: 299-310.
- NEIGEBORN, L., and M. CARLSON, 1984 Genes affecting the regulation of SUC2 gene expression by glucose repression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 108: 845–858.
- NEIGEBORN, L., J. L. CELENZA and M. CARLSON, 1987 SSN20 is an essential gene with mutant alleles that suppress defects in *SUC2* transcription in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 7: 672-678.

- NEIGEBORN, L., K. RUBIN and M. CARLSON, 1986 Suppressors of *snf2* mutations restore invertase derepression and cause temperature-sensitive lethality in yeast. Genetics **112**: 741-753.
- NIMAN, H. L., R. A. HOUGHTERN, L. E. WALKER, R. A. REISFELD, I. A. WILSON, J. M. HOGLE and R. A. LERNER, 1983 Generation of protein-reactive antibodies by short peptides is an event of high frequency: implication for the structural basis of immune recognitition. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80: 4949-4953.
- NISHIZAWA, M., Y. SUZUKI, Y. NOGI, K, MATSUMOTO and T. FUKASAWA, 1990 Yeast GAL11 protein mediates the transcriptional activation signal of two different *trans*-acting factors, GAL4 and general regulatory factor I/repressor/activator site binding protein 1/translation upstream factor. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87: 5373-5377.
- PARO, R., 1990 Imprinting a determined state into the chromatin of *Drosophila*. Trends Genet. 6: 416–421.
- REGAN, C. L., and M. T. FULLER, 1988 Interacting genes that affect microtubule function: the *nc2* allele of the *haywire* locus fails to complement mutations in the testis-specific β -tubulin gene of Drosophila. Genes Dev. **2:** 82–92.
- RIGBY, P. W., M. DIEKMAN, C. RHODES and P. BERG, 1977 Labeling deoxyribonucleic acid to high specific activity in vitro by nick translation with DNA polymerase I. J. Mol. Biol. 113: 237-251.
- RINE, J., and I. HERSKOWITZ, 1987 Four genes responsible for a position effect on expression from *HML* and *HMR* in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 116: 9–22.
- SANDERS, S. L., K. M. WHITFIELD, J. P. VOGEL, M. D. ROSE and R. W. SCHEKMAN, 1992 Sec61p and BiP directly facilitate polypeptide translocation into the ER. Cell 69: 353–365.
- SHERMAN, F., G. R. FINK and C. W. LAWRENCE, 1978 Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory manual for a course, in *Methods in Yeast Genetics*, revised edition. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- SIKORSKI, R. S., and P. HEITER, 1989 A system of shuttle vectors and yeast host strains designed for efficient manipulation of DNA in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics **122**: 19–27.
- SIMCHEN, G., F. WINSTON, C. A. STYLES and G. R. FINK, 1984 Tymediated expression of the LYS2 and HIS4 genes of Saccharomyces cerevisiae is controlled by the same SPT genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81: 2431–2434.
- SMITH, S., and B. STILLMAN, 1989 Purification and characterization of CAF-1, a human cell factor required for chromatin

assambly during DNA replication in vitro. Cell 58: 15-25.

- SMITH, S., and B. STILLMAN, 1991a Immunological characterization of chromatin assembly factor I, a human cell factor required for chromatin assembly during DNA replication *in vitro*. J. Biol. Chem. **266**: 12041–12047.
- SMITH, S., and B. STILLMAN, 1991b Stepwise assembly of chromatin during DNA replication in vitro. EMBO J. 10: 971–980.
- SOM, T., K. A. ARMSTRONG, F. C. VOLKERT and J. R. BROACH, 1988 Autoregulation of 2µ circle gene expression provides a model for maintenance of stable plasmid copy levels. Cell 52: 27–37.
- STEARNS, T., and D. BOTSTEIN, 1988 Unlinked noncomplementation: isolation of new conditional-lethal mutations in each of the tubulin genes of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 119: 249-260.
- STERNBERG, N., 1976 A genetic analysis of bacteriophage λ head assembly. Virology **71:** 568–582.
- SUZUKI, Y., Y. NOGI, A. ABE and T. FUKASAWA, 1988 GAL11 protein, an auxillary transcription activator for genes encoding galactose-metabolizing enzymes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 8: 4991–4999.
- SWANSON, M. S., 1991 Analysis of SPT4, SPT5, and SPT6 interactions and effects on transcription in yeast. Ph.D. Thesis, Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass.
- SWANSON, M. S., M. CARLSON and F. WINSTON, 1990 SPT6, an essential gene that affects transcription in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, encodes a nuclear protein with an extremely acidic amino terminus. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10: 4935-4941.
- SWANSON, M. S., E. A. MALONE and F. WINSTON, 1991 SPT5, an essential gene important for normal transcription in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, encodes an acidic nuclear protein with a carboxy-terminal repeat. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11: 3009-3019.
- WINSTON, F., D. T. CHALEFF, B. VALENT and G. R. FINK, 1984 Mutations affecting Ty-mediated expression of the HIS4 gene of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 107: 179–197.
- WINSTON, F., C. DOLLARD, E. A. MALONE, J. CLARE, J. G. KAPAKOS, P. FARABAUGH and P. MINEHEART, 1987 Three genes are required for trans-activation of Ty transcription in yeast. Genetics 115: 649–656.
- ZABIN, I., and A. N. FOWLER, 1978 β -Galactosidase, the lactose permease protein, and thiogalactosdiase transferase, pp. 89– 121 in *The Operon*, edited by J. H. MILLER and W. S. REZNI-KOFF. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.

Communicating editor: M. CARLSON

336