# TSF1 to TSF6, Required for Silencing the Saccharomyces cerevisiae GAL Genes, Are Global Regulatory Genes

# Shiming Chen,\* Robert W. West, Jr.,\*<sup>,1</sup> Junli Ma,\* Stephen L. Johnson,<sup>†,2</sup> Hayley Gans\* and Girma Woldehawariat\*

\*Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, SUNY Health Science Center, Syracuse, New York 13210; and <sup>†</sup>Department of Genetics, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195

Manuscript received May 28, 1992 Accepted for publication March 20, 1993

#### ABSTRACT

The Saccharomyces cerevisiae GAL1 and GAL10 genes are controlled in response to the availability of galactose and glucose by multiple activating and repressing proteins bound at adjacent or overlapping sites in UAS<sub>G</sub>. Negative control elements in UAS<sub>G</sub>, designated GAL operators GALO<sub>1</sub> to GALO<sub>6</sub>, are required to silence basal level transcription of GAL1 and GAL10 when galactose is absent. We isolated and characterized recessive mutations in six nuclear genes, TSF1 to TSF6, that impair silencing of GAL1 and GAL10 gene expression. Surprisingly, the results of several experiments suggest that the TSF genes encode global regulatory factors. tsf1 to tsf6 mutations derepressed expression from yeast CYC-GAL hybrid promoters (fused to lacZ) that harbor a variety of operator sequences, and caused pleiotropic defects in cell growth, mating, and sporulation. S1 mapping and Northern blot results for tsf3 suggest that the molecular defect is at the transcriptional level. Mutant phenotypes were additive in certain combinations of tsf double mutants, implying that more than one silencing pathway is involved in TSF1 to TSF6 function. Most significantly, mutations in all six TSF1 to TSF6 genes activated expression from GAL1 and CYC1 promoters (fused to lacZ) lacking upstream activating sequences. Combined, the simplest interpretation of these results is that TSF1 to TSF6 encode factors that control the function of the basic RNA polymerase II transcriptional machinery.

**D** EGULATION of transcription of eukaryotic **K** genes is a very complex process. Transcriptional control of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae GAL1 and GAL10 genes, for example, relies on the coordinate interactions of multiple regulatory proteins that recognize activating and silencing elements in a 365-bp control region designated UAS<sub>6</sub>, shown in Figure 1 (GUARENTE, YOCUM and GIFFORD 1982; STRUHL 1985; JOHNSTON 1987; FINLEY et al. 1990; FLICK and JOHNSTON 1990, 1992; NEHLIN, CARLBERG and RONNE 1991). A gene-specific activator protein, GAL4, binds as a dimer molecule cooperatively to four adjacent sites within  $UAS_G$  (GINIGER and PTASHNE 1988; CAREY et al. 1989). Six repression elements, designated GAL operators GALO1 to GALO<sub>6</sub>, reside adjacent to or overlap the GAL4 binding sites (WEST et al. 1987; FINLEY and WEST 1989; FINLEY et al. 1990; NEHLIN, CARLBERG and RONNE 1991; FLICK and JOHNSTON 1992). Finally, two general activating elements, GAE<sub>1</sub> and GAE<sub>2</sub> (GAL4/ galactose-independent activating elements), are present at positions adjacent to or overlapping the GAL4 sites and GAL operators (FINLEY and WEST 1989; FINLEY et al. 1990). Regulatory proteins that recognize these various elements control the amount of *GAL1* and *GAL10* transcription over a range of four orders of magnitude (YOCUM *et al.* 1984; WEST, YOCUM and PTASHNE 1984; FINLEY *et al.* 1990).

The regulatory model in Figure 1 suggests that the role of the GAL operators is to prevent general regulatory proteins bound at GAE<sub>1</sub> and GAE<sub>2</sub> from activating GAL1 and GAL10 transcription in the absence of galactose (i.e., noninducing conditions) (WEST, YOCUM and PTASHNE 1984; FINLEY and WEST 1989; FINLEY et al. 1990; FINLEY 1990). Two general regulatory proteins that bind  $GAE_1$  (GALO<sub>2</sub>) are REB1 (Y factor/GRF2/QBP; BRANDL and STRUHL 1990; CHAS-MAN et al. 1990; JU, MORROW and WARNER 1990; WANG, NICHOLSON and STILLMAN 1990) and BUF (binding URS1 protein) (LUCHE, SMART and COOPER 1992; T.G. COOPER, personal communication; see also LUCHE, SUMRADA and COOPER 1990; HOLLING-SWORTH, GOETSCH and BYERS 1990; BUCKINGHAM et al. 1990). Both proteins have been demonstrated to play positive as well as negative transcriptional regulatory roles in S. cerevisiae dependent on promoter context, coinciding with the positive and negative regulatory functions previously ascribed to GAE1 and GALO<sub>2</sub>, respectively (FINLEY and WEST 1989; FINLEY et al. 1990). A third general regulatory protein, GCR1 (BAKER 1991), also may bind to GAE<sub>1</sub> since a recog-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Present address: Institute of Neuroscience, 222 Heustis Hall, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon 97403.



FIGURE 1.—Model of GAL1 and GAL10 promoter structure and function. (A) The intact GAL1-GAL10 divergent promoter region is shown, denoting UAS<sub>G</sub> (nomenclature of GUARENTE, YOCUM and GIFFORD 1982), activating elements GAE<sub>1</sub>, GAE<sub>2</sub> and the four GAL4binding sites (rectangles), GAL operators O<sub>1</sub> to O<sub>6</sub> (ovals), and the regulatory proteins GAL4 ("4") and GAL80 ("80"; open ovals). T, TATA box. II, RNA polymerase II basal transcription apparatus. Regulatory proteins BUF (binding URS1 factor), REB1, GCR1 and MIG1 are described in the text. (B) Regulation of GAL1 transcription:  $\beta$ -galactosidase activities from GAL1-lacZ fusions (on 2- $\mu$ m plasmids) in a GAL4<sup>+</sup> yeast strain grown on Glu (2% glucose), Glu + Gal (2% glucose plus 2% galactose), Gly (3% glycerol plus 2% lactate), or Gal (2% galactose) medium. "Wild-type," normal GAL1 promoter activity (plasmid pRY131) in a wild-type yeast strain; "Derepressed," abnormal GAL1 promoter activity due to *cis*-acting (FINLEY *et al.* 1990) or *trans*-acting (this report) mutations.

nition sequence for this protein (5'-CTTCC-3') is present adjacent to the REB1 site, overlapping GAL4 binding site 3 (Figure 1). The repressor protein MIG1, which recognizes and appears to be responsible for the activity of operator  $GALO_6$  (NEHLIN, CARL-BERG and RONNE 1991), together with DNA-binding proteins that recognize other *GAL* operators (identified in partially purified yeast crude extracts; FINLEY 1990), are likely to be responsible for inhibiting transcriptional activation by REB1, BUF, and other GAE<sub>1</sub>and GAE<sub>2</sub>-binding proteins.

The repressing activity of the GAL operators is constitutive, and though sufficient when galactose is absent to block the relatively weak activating potential of the general regulatory proteins bound to GAE<sub>1</sub> and GAE<sub>2</sub>, is insufficient when galactose is present to inhibit the strong activity of GAL4 dimers bound to the four GAL4 sites. Interestingly, the MAT $\alpha$ 2 operator, a well characterized, efficient yeast operator which represses MATa-specific genes in MAT $\alpha$  cells (JOHNSON and HERSKOWITZ 1985; KELEHER, PASS-MORE and JOHNSON 1989), is likewise insufficient to block strong GAL4 activity when substituted for GAL operators in single or multiple copies in UAS<sub>G</sub> (R. W. WEST, JR., unpublished data). To prevent GAL4 from activating transcription of GAL1 and GAL10 when galactose is absent, a specific inhibitory protein, GAL80, is obligatory. Galactose or a metabolic derivative releases the GAL80 block on GAL4 activity, thereby allowing induction of GAL1 and GAL10 transcription (JOHNSTON 1987; OSHIMA 1991; LEUTHER and JOHNSTON 1992). Inhibition of GAL4 by GAL80 coupled with GAL operator-mediated silencing of GAE<sub>1</sub> and GAE<sub>2</sub> activity creates an extraordinarily effective genetic "off switch." Neither the mechanism of GAL4-GAL80 interaction, nor transcriptional silencing by the GAL operators, is well understood.

We wished to further characterize the mechanism of transcriptional silencing of GAL1 and GAL10 by the GAL operators. For this purpose, we employed a genetic selection scheme where hybrid yeast promoters that harbor specific subsets of GAL operators were used to identify mutants having lesions in genes required for GAL operator activity. Subsets of GAL operators were inserted between UAS<sub>c</sub>, from the CYC1 (iso-1-cytochrome c; GUARENTE et al. 1984) promoter, and a UAS-less GAL1, GAL10 or CYC1 promoter fused to the Escherichia coli lacZ gene. The GAL operators prevent activator proteins HAP1 to HAP4 that bind UAS<sub>c</sub> (Forsburg and GUARENTE 1989) from fully inducing promoter activity, so yeast cells containing these hybrid promoters form white instead of blue colonies on X-gal indicator plates (WEST et al. 1987; FINLEY and WEST 1989; FINLEY et al. 1990). Mutants having lesions in genes required for silencing should be detectable by the appearance of blue colonies. In this fashion, we identified six nuclear genes, TSF1 to TSF6, which appear to encode factors that are required to silence expression of GAL1- and GAL10-lacZ fusions when galactose is absent. Mutations in two of these genes, TSF3 and TSF6, individually increased expression substantially of a wild-type GAL1-lacZ fusion in the absence of galactose or GAL4. Studies with various combinations of tsf double mutants showed that the effects of the mutations are additive, suggesting that more than one regulatory pathway is operative. Results are presented which suggest that rather than encoding GAL operator-binding proteins, however, TSF1 to TSF6 encode global regulatory factors. For mutations in at least one of the six genes, TSF3, the molecular defect appears to be solely or primarily transcriptional, and the combined

data suggest that the target of TSF3 function is likely to be the activity of the basic RNA polymerase II basal transcriptional machinery. This view is supported by the fact that TSF3 was recently shown to be identical to SIN4 (JIANG and STILLMAN 1992; CHEN *et al.* 1993), a negative transcriptional regulator of mating type function in *S. cerevisiae* (NASMYTH, STILLMAN and KIPLING 1987; STERNBERG *et al.* 1987; NASMYTH and SHORE 1987; HERSKOWITZ 1989).

(*TSF1* to *TSF6* were formerly designated *GAL20* to *GAL25*, respectively; CHEN *et al.* 1991.)

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and plasmids: S. cerevisiae strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. YM335 (JOHNSTON and DAVIS 1984) and the congenic GAL4<sup>+</sup> strain YM256 were provided by MARK JOHNSTON. E. coli strain DH5 $\alpha$  was used for routine cloning work. pLGA-312, a URA3+ 2-µm plasmid containing a wild-type CYC1-lacZ fusion (GUARENTE et al. 1984), and pLG670Z (same as above but lacking UAS<sub>c</sub>), were gifts of LENNY GUARENTE. Plasmids pRY131 (wild-type GALI-lacZ fusion), pRY133 (wild-type GAL10-lacZ fusion), 121-593, pLR1 $\Delta$ 1, pLR1 $\Delta$ 23B (each containing the GAL1-lacZ fusion harboring a respective deletion of UAS<sub>G</sub>), 121-632 (GAL1lacZ fusion completely lacking UAS<sub>c</sub>), 121-688 (GAL1-lacZ fusion lacking UAS<sub>G</sub> and the GAL1 TATA box), and 632-37a-1 (single copy of GAE<sub>2</sub> upstream of the TATA box in 121-632) were described previously (YOCUM et al. 1984; WEST, YOCUM and PTASHNE 1984; FINLEY et al. 1990). Plasmid 1Δ1-37a contains a single copy of GAE<sub>2</sub> (oligonucleotide 37a; FINLEY et al. 1990) upstream of the GALI-lacZ fusion in pLR1 $\Delta$ 1, and was a gift of RUSSELL FINLEY. UAS<sub>C</sub>-GAL1, UAS<sub>C</sub>-GAL10 and UAS<sub>C</sub>-CYC1 hybrid promoters (fused to lacZ) were described previously (WEST et al. 1987; FINLEY and WEST 1989; FINLEY et al. 1990). Plasmid pRY131-I was constructed from pRY131 by deleting the 2241-bp EcoRI fragment harboring the yeast 2m origin of replication, and was used to construct strains BWY19 and BWY20 (Table 1) by integrating it into the URA3 locus of strains YM335 and BWY115, respectively. pBD6 (a gift of JIM YARGER) is a GAL7-lacZ fusion plasmid that harbors the GAL7-GAL10 intergenic region and adjacent sequences (YARGER, GORMAN and POLAZZI 1985). MATα derivatives of YM335 and YM256, BWY3 and BWY6 (Table 1), respectively, were obtained following transformation of each strain with YCp-HO-12 (a gift of TOM Fox), as described previously (JENSEN, SPRAGUE and HERSKOWITZ 1983). A PDC1-lacZ fusion plasmid (pyruvate decarboxylase; BUTLER, DAWES and MCCONNELL 1990) was obtained from GERAL-DINE BUTLER and DAVID MCCONNELL. An SSN20-containing plasmid and an ssn6 strain were obtained from JANET SCHULTZ and MARIAN CARLSON. A GAL11/SPT13-containing plasmid, and an spt13 strain, were provided by JAN FASSLER. Plasmids containing the SIN1, SIN2, SWI1, SWI2 (SNF2) and SW13 genes were provided by CRAIG PETERSON, WARREN KRUGER and IRA HERSKOWITZ. A cyc9 (tup1) strain was obtained from FRED SHERMAN. A SIN3-containing plasmid, and sdi4 and sdi2 strains, were obtained from DAVID STILLMAN. SSN6- and TUP1-containing plasmids were obtained from BOB TRUMBLY. SPT5-, SPT10- and SPT11/ SPT12-containing plasmids were provided by FRED WIN-STON. A SIT3-containing plasmid was provided by ANNE SUTTON and KIM ARNDT.

Media and chemicals: S. cerevisiae cells were routinely grown in YEP (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone) medium containing 2% glucose (YEP-D). Selection media for yeast transformation or for assaying  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity were synthetic complete (SC) medium (0.67% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids) containing either 3% glycerol and 2% lactate (Gly medium), 2% galactose plus 3% glycerol and 2% lactate (Gal medium), or 2% glucose (Glu medium). Ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS) and *O*-nitrophenyl- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranoside (ONPG) were obtained from Sigma. The chromogenic dye 5'-bromo-4'-chloro-3'-indolyl- $\beta$ -D-galactoside (X-gal) was purchased from Boehringer-Mannheim.

**Yeast transformation and \beta-galactosidase assays:** Yeast were transformed using the spheroplast technique (SHER-MAN, FINK and HICKS 1986). Transformants were selected on SC (lacking uracil) agar plates containing 2% glucose. Determination of  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity in individual transformants was performed as described previously (FINLEY *et al.* 1990) and values are given in units, according to MILLER (1972). Multiple identical constructs were tested simultaneously, individual samples were analyzed in duplicate in each experiment, and the results of multiple independent determinations (at least four) performed on different days were averaged. Error was less than 20%. Values lower than 0.02 unit were not determined with precision.

Mutant isolation: For mutant isolation, YM335 cells containing CYC1-UAS<sub>G</sub>-110A, CYC1-UAS<sub>G</sub>-150, UAS<sub>C</sub>-GAL1-1 and UAS<sub>C</sub>-GAL10-6 were used (WEST et al. 1987; FINLEY and WEST 1989; FINLEY et al. 1990; see Figure 2). YM335 cells harboring CYC1-UAS<sub>G</sub>-110A or CYC1-UAS<sub>G</sub>-150 and grown in Glu medium are repressed 30-fold and 100-fold, respectively, compared to the wild-type CYC1 promoter, and thus produce white colonies on X-gal Glu plates (corresponding to 1.5-5 units of  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity). YM335 cells harboring plasmid UASc-GAL1-1 or UASc-GAL10-6 and grown in Gly medium are repressed 2300-fold and 450fold, respectively, compared to the analogous hybrid promoters lacking GAL operators, UAS<sub>c</sub>-GAL1-8 and UAS<sub>c</sub>-GAL10-8 (WEST et al. 1987), and thus produce white colonies on X-gal Gly plates (0.6 and 0.4 unit of  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity, respectively; WEST et al. 1987). The respective strains containing plasmids were mutagenized with EMS to about 75% survival (LOWRY and ZITOMER 1984; SHERMAN, FINK and HICKS 1986), and plated directly onto Glu (CYC1-UAS<sub>G</sub>-110A and CYCI-UAS<sub>G</sub>-150 ) or Gly (UAS<sub>G</sub>-GAL1-1 and UAS<sub>c</sub>-GAL10-6) minimal selective agar plates containing X-gal. Seventy blue colonies were picked after screening a total of approximately 100,000 colonies, streak purified at least twice on selective medium and tested on two or more separate occasions for production of  $\beta$ -galactosidase. Colonies whose production of  $\beta$ -galactosidase was reproducibly derepressed by a factor of at least 2-fold compared to wild-type were characterized further. Mutations were identified as acting in trans after segregating the original plasmids (strains were grown in the nonselective medium YEP-D for approximately 20 generations) followed by transforming the segregants with the respective unmutagenized plasmids. tsf1 mutants were obtained using UASc-GAL10-6, tsf2, tsf3, and tsf4 mutants using CYC1-UASG-110A, tsf5 and tsf6-1 using CYC1-UAS<sub>G</sub>-150, and tsf6-2 using UAS<sub>C</sub>-GAL1-1 (see Table 2).

Genetic analysis: Genetic procedures were performed as described by SHERMAN, FINK and HICKS (1986) and GUTH-RIE and FINK (1991). For determining complementation, it was first necessary to obtain isogenic  $MAT\alpha$  leu2 derivatives of each mutant strain. This was achieved by crossing each mutant strain with wild-type strain BWY6 ( $MAT\alpha$  leu2::HIS3  $GAL4^+$ ; see Table 1), and dissecting tetrads from the respective heterozygous diploids. BWY6, in turn, was obtained from YM256 by transforming the latter with YCp-HO-12 to

# S. Chen et al.

# TABLE 1

## List of S. cerevisiae strains

Strain <sup>a</sup>	Genotype
Haploids	
YM335	MATa ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met <i>Agal4-536</i>
YM256	MATa ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met GAL4 <sup>+</sup>
BWY3	MATα ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met Δgal4-536 leu2::HIS3 <sup>+</sup>
BWY6	MATa ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met GAL4 <sup>+</sup> leu2:::HIS3 <sup>+</sup>
BWY19	MATa TSF3+ ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met Δgal4-536 pRY1311::URA3+
BWY20	MATa tsf3-10 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met Δgal4-536 pRY1311::URA3+
BWY54	MATa \Deltatsf3-2::LEU2 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met GAL4 <sup>+</sup> leu2::HIS3 <sup>+</sup>
BWY55	MATα Δtsf3-2::LEU2 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met GAL4+ leu2::HIS3+
BWY100	MATa tsf2-1 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 Δgal4-536 met
BWY101	MATa tsf2-2 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101
BWY102	MATa tsf4-1 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 Δgal4-536 met
BWY104	MATa tsf2-5 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101
BWY105	MATa tsf2-6 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101
BWY106	MATa tsf2-17 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 Δgal4-536 met
BWY107	MATa tsf2-18 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 ∆gal4-536 met
BWY108	MATa tsf2-19 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 ∆gal4-536 met
BWY109	MATa tsf2-21 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 Δgal4-536 met
BWY110	MATa tsf2-22 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 Δgal4-536 met
BWY111	MATa tsf2-23 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 ∆gal4-536 met
BWY113	MATa tsf3-7 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met
BWY115	MATa tsf3-10 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met Δgal4-536
BWY116	MATa tsf3-11 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met Δgal4-536
BWY117	MATa tsf3-12 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met ∆gal4-536
BWY118	MATa tsf3-13 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met Δgal4-536
BWY119	MATa tsf3-14 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met Δgal4-536
BWY120	MATa tsf3-15 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met Δgal4-536
BWY122	MATa tsf3-24 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met Δgal4-536
BWY124	MATa tsf1-1 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met Δgal4-536
BWY125	MATa tsf1-2 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met Δgal4-536
BWY126	MATa tsf1-3 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met Δgal4-536
BWY127	MATa tsf1-4 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met Δgal4-536
BWY128	MATa tsf1-5 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met ∆gal4-536
BWY132	MATa tsf5-1 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 ∆gal4-536 met
BWY133	MATa tsf6-1 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met Δgal4-536
BWY134	MATa tsf6-2 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met Δgal4-536
BWY135	MATa tsf3-27 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met Δgal4-536
BWY136	MATa tsf3-10 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met GAL4 <sup>+</sup>
BWY143	MATα tsf3-27 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met Δgal4-536 leu2::HIS3
BWY155	MATα tsf2-5 ura3-52 his3-200 ade2-101 GAL4 <sup>+</sup> leu2::HIS3 <sup>+</sup> met
BWY158	MAT $lpha$ tsf2-23 ura3-52 his3-200 ade2-101 GAL4 <sup>+</sup> leu2::HIS3 <sup>+</sup> met
BWY160	MATα tsf4-1 ura3-52 his3-200 ade2-101 Δgal4-536 leu2::HIS3 <sup>+</sup> met
BWY161	MATa tsf4-1 ura3-52 his3-200 ade2-101 GAL4 <sup>+</sup> leu2::HIS3 <sup>+</sup> met
BWY162	MATa tsf5-1 ura3-52 his3-200 ade2-101 GAL4 <sup>+</sup> met
BWY163	MATα tsf5-1 ura3-52 his3-200 ade2-101 GAL4 <sup>+</sup> leu2::HIS3 <sup>+</sup> met
BWY164	MATα tsf6-1 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met Δgal4-536 leu2::HIS3
BWY168	MATa tsf2-1 ura3-52 his3-200 ade2-101 GAL4 <sup>+</sup> leu2::HIS3 met
BWY171	MATα tsf3-7 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met Δgal4-536 leu2::HIS3
BWY173	MATα tsf3-14 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-101 met Δgal4-536 leu2::HIS3
BWY177	MATa tsf3-27 ura3-52 lys2-801 his3-200 ade2-201 met GAL4 <sup>+</sup>
BWY178	MATa tsf6-2 ura 3-52 lys2-801 his 3-200 ade 2-201 met GAL4*
BWY400	MAT $\alpha$ tsf4-1 $\Delta$ tsf3-2::LEU2 ura3-32 lys2-801 hs3-200 de2-101 $\Delta$ gal4-336 leu2::H133 met
BWY402	MAT a tsf 2-5 Atsf 3-2::LEU2 ura 3-52 lys2-801 hts3-200 ade2-101 GAL4 leu2::H133 met
BW Y 403	MAT & 1513-1 DISJ3-2::LEUZ WA3-32 1982-801 M83-200 & ae2-101 GAL4" leu2::M183 met MAT = 450 00 mm 2 50 his2 add hug2 2 Aant4 526 met
BWY515	MAI α tsj 2-22 uraj-52 hisj ade leu2-j Δgal4-536 mel MAT - (22.12 min 2.50 his) ade leu2-j Δgal4-536 mel
BWY521	MAIα 1513-13 ura3-32 ms3 aae leu2-3 Δgal4-330 lys2-801 MAT: 452 11 ura 2.50 his2 ado leu2-3 Δzzl4 526 lus2 801
BWY525	191A1 α 1513-11 utas-32 niss ade 1eu2-3 Δgal4-330 lys2-801
BWY527	MAT a 15/2-12 UT 2-32 ATS 2 a a leu 2-3 1952-801 Met GAL4 MAT a taft 1 ur 2-52 lan 2-3 CALA <sup>+</sup>
BW 1337	MATE will 3 was 3 50 his 3 ada law 3 3 CALA <sup>+</sup>
DW 1038 DW/VE40	MATe tof1 4 area 3 52 his3 ade leu 2-3 lus2=801 Acal4=536 met
BW 1340	14171 & 1511-7 4743-72 11157 444 1422 7 1432-001 128417-730 11161 MATe tofl 5 area 3 52 ada lan 2 3 los 2 801 CAT 4+
DW 1943	MALCONT OF THE

### Yeast GAL Silencing Genes

TABLE 1
---------

Continued

Strain <sup>a</sup>	Genotype	
 SI1031-7b	MATa tsf3-1j <sup>b</sup> ade2 ade6 leu2-3, 112 ura3-52 gal1 trp1 his7	
SI1031-14c	MAT $\alpha$ tsf3-11 <sup>b</sup> ade2 ade6 leu2-3, 112 ura3-52 gal1 trp1	
SI5899-8a	MATa TSF3 <sup>+</sup> ade6 ade2 leu2-3, 112 ura3-52 gal1 trp1 his7	
SI5899-18d	MATa TSF3 <sup>+</sup> ade2 lys5 leu2-3, 112 ura3-52 trp1 his7	
DBY745	MATα ura 3-52 leu2-3, 112 his 3-Δ1 ade 1-101 GAL4 <sup>+</sup>	
Diploids		
BWY5001	$YM335 \times BWY3$	
BWY7001	$YM335 \times DBY745$	

YM and BWY strains are isogenic, except for BWY515 to 543, and BWY7001. All strains, except YM and DBY strains, originated with this study.

<sup>a</sup> Construction of strains BWY54, 55, 400, 402 and 403 was described in CHEN et al. (1993).

<sup>b</sup> tsf3-1j was isolated in an independent screen (S. JOHNSON and B. BYERS, unpublished data).

switch the mating type, and by inserting a 1.8-kb BamHI fragment containing the HIS3 gene into the LEU2 locus. Thereafter, each original mutant was crossed in pairwise combinations with various other tsf1 to tsf6 mutants (see Table 2). Resulting diploids were examined for the characteristic mutant phenotype (derepression of the hybrid promoters, temperature sensitivity, flocculence and abnormal cell morphology). Complementation was manifest as a repressed phenotype (e.g., white colony, non-clumpy, nontemperature sensitive) in a diploid strain derived by mating two derepressed (blue colonies) haploid strains (liquid assays of  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity were performed to corroborate X-gal plate results). Complementation was scored as "+," wild-type phenotype "-," mutant phenotype (Table 2). Genetic linkage was determined by performing tetrad analysis with sporulation products of representative diploids from each complementation set. Parental ditype (PD), non-parental ditype (NPD) and tetratype (T) asci were ascertained by the presence of either 4 out of 4 (PD), 2 out of 4 (NPD), or 3 out of 4 (T) spores (respectively) giving rise to blue colonies on X-gal plates. X-gal plate results were always confirmed by assays for  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity. Linkage was ascertained if the PD:NPD was >1:<1 (SHERMAN, FINK and HICKS 1986)

 $GAL4^+$  and  $\Delta gal4$  derivatives of tsf1 to tsf6 mutants were distinguished following outcrossing by the growth on SC agar plates containing galactose as sole carbon source. Plate results were confirmed by testing for the presence of a 815bp fragment on a 1% agarose gel following polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification corresponding to the N terminus of the GAL4 gene, using the protocol described in AUSUBEL *et al.* (1990). Two synthetic oligonucleotides of 18 bp (see below) derived from the GAL4 sequence (LAUGHON and GESTELAND 1984) were used as primers for PCR amplification. Primer I corresponds to nucleotides 880–897 of the GAL4 sense strand, overlapping the *Clal*I site (LAUGHON and GESTELAND 1984), primer II corresponds to nucleotides 1695–1678 of the GAL4 nonsense strand, overlapping the *Sal*I site.

Primer I (sense strand): 5' GT<u>ATCGAT</u>T-GACTCGGCA 3'

Primer II (nonsense strand): 5' CTGCACATC<u>GTCGA-</u> CAGA 3'

Mating and sporulation efficiency: Quantitative mating

assays were performed according to the method of WIL-LIAMS and TRUMBLY (1990). Cells (10<sup>6</sup>) from a given MATa mutant strain or its isogenic  $MAT\alpha$  derivative were mixed with  $10^7$  cells from the wild-type strains BWY6 (MAT $\alpha$ ) or YM256 (MATa), respectively. Diploids were selected on minimal diploid selection plates (SC medium lacking histidine and leucine) and counted. Mating efficiency was expressed as the fraction of diploids obtained from mutants vs. wild-type strains. To measure sporulation efficiency, we obtained homozygous diploids for each representative mutant by crossing each original mutant with its corresponding isogenic  $MAT\alpha$ -derivative. Approximately 10<sup>4</sup> homozygous diploid cells subjected to sporulation conditions for 7 days were examined (500 per ocular field), and the number of asci present was calculated. Sporulation efficiency was expressed as the fraction of sporulated cells present in mutant vs. wild-type strains (see Table 5). Subsequent revival of sporulation products on rich medium plates showed that the number of colonies formed was proportionate to the fraction of tetrads observed by microscopy.

Methionine feeding indicator assay: The procedure to test for excretion of methionine (and/or a biosynthetic intermediate) from tsf1 to tsf6 strains was adapted from the method of KLINE (1972). Briefly, a 5-ml culture of YM335 (met) cells grown to a density of about 10<sup>8</sup> per ml was added to 250 ml of molten (42°) SC agar medium containing glucose and lacking methionine. The seeded medium was poured onto Petri plates and allowed to harden. Thereafter, inocula of fresh tsf1 to tsf6 cultures were patched onto the seeded agar plates. The plates were incubated for 3 days at 30° prior to scoring. Feeding was determined by the presence of a halo of growth surrounding a given patch of tsf1to tsf6 inoculum.

**Poly(A)<sup>+</sup> RNA isolation and Northern blot analysis:** Total cellular RNA was prepared by the method of SHER-MAN, FINK and HICKS (1986). Poly(A)<sup>+</sup> RNA was selected on an oligo(dT) column (Boehringer Mannheim), prepared and used in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Other procedures were performed as described in CHEN *et al.* (1993). <sup>32</sup>P-Radiolabeled DNA probes were prepared using a random primer labeling kit (Boehringer Mannheim, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The *GAL1* probe was a 600-bp *XhoI-Bam*HI DNA fragment obtained from plasmid 121-330 (WEST, YOCUM and PTASHNE 1984). The *GAL7* and *GAL10* probe was a 1.6-kb *Bam*HI-SalI fragment obtained from plasmid pBD6 (Yarger, Gorman and POLAZZI 1985). *ACT1* and *MAT* $\alpha 2$ gene probes were described previously (CHEN *et al.* 1993).



FIGURE 2.—Reporter plasmids that harbor *CYC-GAL* hybrid promoters. *CYC1*-UAS<sub>G</sub>-110A is a *CYC1-lacZ* fusion that contains a 110bp fragment of UAS<sub>G</sub>, harboring operators *GALO*<sub>3</sub> and *GALO*<sub>5</sub>, inserted into the unique *Xho*1 site between UAS<sub>C</sub> and the *CYC1* TATA box (WEST *et al.* 1987; FINLEY and WEST 1989). UAS<sub>C</sub>-*GAL1-1* is a *GAL1-lacZ* fusion that harbors the six operators *GAL* O<sub>1</sub> to *GALO*<sub>6</sub> between UAS<sub>C</sub> and the *GAL1* TATA box (WEST *et al.* 1987).

**S1 mapping:** S1 mapping analysis was performed as described previously (WEST, YOCUM and PTASHNE 1984; WEST *et al.* 1987). The single stranded probe extends from position 688 to 930 of the *GAL1* promoter (YOCUM *et al.* 1984; WEST *et al.* 1987), and was obtained as a 240-bp *Bam*HI-*Xho*I fragment from plasmid pLR1 $\Delta$ 24 (WEST, YOCUM and PTASHNE 1984).

## RESULTS

Genetic screen for silencing mutants: Reporter plasmids that contain CYC-GAL hybrid promoters harboring specific subsets of the GAL operators GALO<sub>1</sub> to GALO<sub>6</sub> (West et al. 1987; FINLEY and WEST 1989; FINLEY et al. 1990; CHEN 1992) were employed to isolate mutations in trans-acting regulatory genes required for GAL operator activity. Figure 2 shows that restriction fragments containing various parts of UAS<sub>G</sub> that harbored combinations of operators that would repress promoter activity sufficiently (from 3to 2500-fold, see MATERIALS AND METHODS), were placed between UAS<sub>c</sub> and the TATA box of a CYC1 or GAL1 (or GAL10, see MATERIALS AND METHODS) promoter fused to *lacZ. CYC1*-UAS<sub>G</sub>-110A (Figure 2; WEST et al. 1987; FINLEY and WEST 1989) contains operators GALO3 and GALO5 inserted between UASC and the TATA box of a CYC1-lacZ fusion. CYC1-UAS<sub>G</sub>-150 (FINLEY et al. 1990) is similar, but contains operators GALO<sub>5</sub> and GALO<sub>6</sub> (refer to Figure 1). UAS<sub>C</sub>-GAL1-1 (Figure 2; WEST et al. 1987) harbors all six GAL operators between UAS<sub>C</sub> and the TATA box of GAL1-lacZ fusion. UAS<sub>C</sub>-GAL10-6 (WEST et al.

1987) contains operators GALO<sub>1</sub>, GALO<sub>2</sub> and GALO<sub>4</sub> between UAS<sub>C</sub> and the TATA box of GAL10-lacZ fusion. YM335 cells containing CYC1-UASG-110A, CYC1-UAS<sub>G</sub>-150, UAS<sub>C</sub>-GAL1-1, or UAS<sub>C</sub>-GAL10-6 were treated with EMS (see MATERIALS AND METH-ODS), and approximately 70 blue (derepressed) colonies were isolated from over 100,000 colonies examined. Quantitative  $\beta$ -galactosidase activities indicated that expression from the hybrid promoters was derepressed by 2-140-fold (data not shown; see below). Thirty mutants were chosen for further characterization. Segregation analysis showed that the mutation in each of the 30 strains was unlinked to the plasmid and therefore was acting in trans. Heterozygous diploid strains derived by crossing each original mutant strain containing the respective reporter plasmid with wild-type strain BWY3 or DBY745 showed amounts of  $\beta$ -galactosidase only slightly higher than the homozygous diploid wild-type control strains BWY5001 and BWY7001, suggesting that each mutation was recessive. Tetrad analysis (6-10 asci tested per cross) following sporulation of representative diploids showed each mutation segregated 2:2, demonstrating that each resides in a single nuclear gene.

**Six genes designated** *TSF1* to *TSF6*: Pairwise crosses were made to determine the number of complementation groups represented by the 30 mutants. Subsequently, allelism was determined upon sporulating representative heterozygous diploids and performing tetrad analysis (5–12 asci dissected per cross). Table 2 shows that the 30 mutant alleles comprise 6 different genes, arbitrarily designated *TSF1* to *TSF6* (*T*ranscriptional Silencing Factor). The reporter plasmids used to select mutations in each gene are shown in Table 2.

Several other findings are noteworthy. First, mutations in TSF3, TSF4 and TSF6 caused prominent growth defects which segregated 2:2 with derepression of the hybrid promoter (discussed below). Second, heterozygous diploids derived from crosses between tsf2 and tsf4 strains, and tsf4-1 and tsf5-1 strains, showed only partial complementation (Table 2), suggesting possible functional interactions between the TSF2, TSF4, and TSF5 gene products (STEARNS and BOTSTEIN 1988; see DISCUSSION). Third, diploids derived by crossing tsf1 to various tsf2 to tsf6 strains showed exceptionally poor sporulation (<0.1%) and poor spore viability, thereby precluding a complete allelism assignment, and indicating that in combination certain tsf mutations behave in a semidominant fashion.

TSF1 to TSF6 effects are not GAL operator-specific: Mutations in each TSF1 to TSF6 gene had a general effect on expression of CYC-GAL-lacZ fusions on reporter plasmids. In Table 3 is shown the amount of  $\beta$ -galactosidase synthesized from the reporter vec-

#### Yeast GAL Silencing Genes

TABLE Z	Т	AB	LE	2
---------	---	----	----	---

Thirty mutations comprising the six genes TSF1 to TSF6

Mutant	Reporter			tsf1-α				tsf2-α				tsf3-α				tsf4-α tsf5-α tsf6				-α	
allele	plasmid	1	2	3	4	5	1	5	22	1j	7	10	11	12	13	14	27	1	1	1	2
tsf1-1	UAS <sub>C</sub> -GAL10- 6	_	-	_	_	_	_	+				+			+			+			
2		_	_	_		_						+			+						
- 3																					
4		_	_	_	_	_															
5								+													
tsf2-1	CYC1-USA <sub>G</sub> -						_	_	-		+	+	+	+	+	+		$\pm^a$			
2	110/1						_	_	_		+	+	+	+	+	+		$\pm^a$			
5		+					_	_	_		+	+	+	+	+	+		$\pm^a$			
6							_	_	-		+	+	+	+	+	+		$\pm^a$			
17							_	_	_		+	+	+	+	+	+		$\pm^{a}$			
18							_		_		+	+	+	+	+	+		$\pm^{a}$			
19							_	_			+	+	+	+	+	+		$\pm^a$			
21							_	_	_		+	+	+	+	+	+		$\pm^a$			
22							_	_	_		+	+	+	+	+	+		$\pm^{a}$			
23		+					_	_	_		+	+	+	+	+	+		$\pm^{a}$			
tsf3-	CYC1-USAc-																				
1j <sup>b</sup>	110a	+	+					+				_		-	-	-					
7							+	+	+		-	-	-	-	-	-		+			
10		+	+				+	+	+	-	-		-	-		-		+			
11							+	+	+		-	-	-	-		-		+			
12							+	+	+		-	-		-		-		+			
13		+	+				+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-			+			
14							+	+	+		-	_	-			-	-	+			
15							+	+	+		-	-	_	-				+			
24							+	+	+		-		-	-		-		+			
27		+	+					+								_		+			
tsf4-1	CYC1-	+					$\pm^a$	$\pm^{a}$	$\pm^a$		+	+	+	+	+	+		-			
-2	UAS <sub>G</sub> -110A						$\pm^a$	$\pm^{a}$	$\pm^a$		+	+	+	+	+	+		-			
tsf5-1	<i>CYC1</i> -UAS <sub>G</sub> - 150	+						+	}						+	+	+	$\pm^a$	-	+	+
tsf6-1	CYC1-UAS <sub>G</sub> - 150	+						+				+			+	+	+	+	+	-	-
-2	UAS <sub>C</sub> -GAL1-1	+						+				+			+	+	+	+	+		-

Thirty original mutants (MATa) containing the indicated reporter plasmids were crossed to the respective  $MAT\alpha$  derivatives and the resulting diploids tested for complementation (see MATERIALS AND METHODS). Complementation was scored as "+," wild-type phenotype, "-," mutant phenotype.

<sup>a</sup> Unliked noncomplementation (see RESULTS).

<sup>b</sup> tsf3-1j was isolated from an independent screen (S. JOHNSON and B. BYERS).

tors CYC1-UAS<sub>G</sub>-110A, CYC1-UAS<sub>G</sub>-150 and UAS<sub>C</sub>-GAL1-1 in tsf1 to tsf6 strains grown under inducing (glycerol and lactate, or "Gly" medium) vs. repressing (glucose, or "Glu" medium) conditions (with respect to the activity of UAS<sub>C</sub>; see MATERIALS AND METHODS; GUARENTE et al. 1984). First, each tsf1 to tsf6 mutation derepressed expression of all three CYC-GAL-lacZ fusions rather than one containing a given subset of GAL operators. This reduces the likelihood that the mutations are in genes encoding operator-specific binding proteins. Second, hybrid promoter activity in the mutant strains was generally derepressed when UAS<sub>c</sub> was maximally active (Gly medium) as well as repressed (Glu medium), indicating that the tsf1 to tsf6 mutations are not involved in a specific repression pathway like catabolite repression. Third, none of the tsf mutations individually fully derepressed expression of the CYC- *GAL-lacZ* fusions relative to the wild-type *CYC1-lacZ* fusion, suggesting that more than one silencing mechanism is operative.

tsf3 and tsf6 mutations allow wild-type GAL1-lacZ expression in the absence of galactose and GAL4: Transcription from the wild-type GAL1 and GAL10 promoters ordinarily cannot occur in the absence of galactose and GAL4 (see Introduction; Figure 1). We found upon examining expression of the wild-type GAL1-lacZ fusion that this requirement was relaxed in strains that harbor tsf3 or tsf6 mutations. tsf1 to tsf6strains were transformed with multicopy plasmids that harbor the wild-type GAL1 (pRY131) or GAL10 promoter (pRY133) fused to lacZ, and expression was measured after growing the cells in the absence (Gly medium) or presence of galactose (galactose plus glycerol and lactate or "Gal" medium). Table 4 shows that

### TABLE 3

Effects of tsf1 to tsf6 on expression from CYC-GAL hybrid promoters on reporter plasmids

		Hybrid promoter						
Strain	Allele	Growth medium	$\begin{array}{c} CYC1\text{-}UAS_{c}\text{-}110A\\ (CVYC1; O_{3},O_{5}) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} CYC1\text{-}UAS_{G}\text{-}150\\ (CYC1; O_5, O_6) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} UAS_{C}-GAL1-1\\ (GAL1; O_1-O_6)\end{array}$			
YM335	TSF <sup>+</sup>	Gly	200 (1×)	150 (1×)	0.6 (1×)			
		Glu	5 (1×)	$1.5(1\times)$	$0.1(1\times)$			
BWY124	tsf1-1	Gly	375 (1.9×)	$220 (1.5 \times)$	15 (25×)			
	-	Glu	9 (1.8×)	$4.5(3.0 \times)$	$0.4 (\geq 4.0 \times)$			
BWY104	tsf 2-5	Gly	360 (1.8×)	$150 (1.0 \times)$	4.5 (7.5×)			
	·	Glu	20 (4.0×)	5.0 (3.3×)	$0.2 (\geq 2.0 \times)$			
BWY118	tsf3-13	Gly	345 (1.7×)	228 (1.5×)	$72 (120 \times)$			
	·	Glu	50 (10×)	9.0 (6.0×)	$14 (\geq 140 \times)$			
BWY102	tsf4-1	Gly	300 (1.5×)	160 (1.1×)	20 (33×)			
	-	Glu	30 (6.0×)	$7.0(5.0 \times)$	1.0 (≥10×)			
BWY132	tsf5-1	Gly	340 (1.7×)	$160 (1.0 \times)$	16 (27×)			
	-	Glu	$7.0(1.4 \times)$	$11 (7.0 \times)$	1.8 (≥18×)			
BWY133	tsf6-1	Gly	480 (2.4×)	600 (4.0×)	174 (290×)			
	-	Glu	$16 (3.2 \times)$	38 (25×)	30 (≥300×)			

Numbers represent units of  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity in the indicated strains (harboring the corresponding hybrid promoters) grown in Glu (repressed) or Gly (derepressed) medium. UAS<sub>C</sub>, common to all promoters, is 4–25-fold less active in Glu vs. Gly medium (GUARENTE et al. 1984; WEST et al. 1987). Numbers in parentheses indicate fold derepression relative to the wild-type strain for the strains grown in the corresponding medium. Units of  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity derived from the corresponding wild-type (*CYC1*) or hybrid (UAS<sub>C</sub>-GAL1 or CYC1-UAS<sub>C</sub>) promoters lacking GAL operators (WEST et al. 1987) were as follows: *CYC1*, 600 (Gly) and 150 (Glu); UAS<sub>C</sub>-GAL1-8, 1380 (Gly) and 235 (Glu).

#### **TABLE 4**

Expression of wild-type GAL1- and CYC1-lacZ fusions in tsf1 to tsf6 strains

	All	ele	Crownh	Promoter			
Strain	TSF	GAL4	condition <sup>a</sup>	GAL1	CYC1		
YM256	TSF <sup>+</sup>	GAL4	Induced	4400	565		
			Noninduced	<0.1	165		
BWY124	tsf1-1	$\Delta gal4$	Induced	1.0	602		
	-	0	Noninduced	0.8	164		
BWY155	tsf 2-5	GAL4	Induced	2600	670		
	•		Noninduced	0.4	205		
BWY136	tsf3-10	GAL4	Induced	3700	475		
			Noninduced	35	178		
BWY115	tsf3-10	$\Delta gal4$	Induced	60	600		
	5	Ũ	Noninduced	35	150		
BWY161	tsf4-1	GAL4	Induced	3300	805		
	•		Noninduced	0.6	210		
BWY162	tsf5-1	GAL4	Induced	2500	390		
			Noninduced	0.2	290		
<b>BWY178</b>	tsf6-2	GAL4	Induced	2490	853		
	5		Noninduced	7.0	238		

Numbers represent units of  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity produced in the indicated strains harboring the wild-type *GAL1* (pRY131) or *CYC1* (pLG $\Delta$ -312) promoter fused to *lacZ*.

<sup>a</sup> For GAL1, induced is Gal and noninduced is Gly. For CYC1, induced is Gly and noninduced is Glu.

in the absence of galactose,  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity derived from a wild-type GAL1-lacZ fusion rose well above background in tsf3 and tsf6 strains, and increased in other tsf1 to tsf6 strains as well. This was not an effect of increased reporter plasmid copy number, since proportionate derepression was observed in a tsf3-10 strain that harbors an integrated copy of the

wild-type GAL1-lacZ fusion [7.0 units in strain BWY20 (Table 1) vs. 35 units in BWY136, compared to <0.1unit in BWY19, a TSF<sup>+</sup>control strain harboring an integrated wild-type GAL1-lacZ fusion (Table 1); see MATERIALS AND METHODS]. Equivalent results were obtained in isogenic tsf1 to tsf6 strains that lacked GAL4 (see for example BWY115, Table 4; data not shown). When the respective strains were grown under catabolite repressing conditions (Glu medium), expression in the absence of galactose and GAL4 was reduced by about 10-fold with respect to noninducing conditions (Gly medium). This may largely be due to increased repression by operator  $GALO_6$ , which is at least partly controlled by glucose (FINLEY et al. 1990; NEHLIN, CARLBERG and RONNE 1991; FLICK and JOHNSTON 1992). GAE<sub>1</sub> and GAE<sub>2</sub> activity, which likely contributes substantially to high basal level GAL1 expression observed in tsf strains, can be repressed by GALO<sub>6</sub> alone (see below; FINLEY et al. 1990; R. FINLEY and R. WEST, unpublished data; CHEN 1992). For reasons that are unclear, the wildtype GAL10 promoter was derepressed to a detectable level in only one tsf strain examined [BWY143 (tsf3-27, Table 1), data not shown], though allele tsf3-1j(SJ1031-7b, Table 1) was originally isolated using a GAL10-lacZ reporter plasmid.

Fully induced expression of a wild-type GAL1-lacZ fusion (presence of galactose or Gal medium; Table 4) or wild-type GAL10- or GAL7-lacZ fusions (data not shown) was marginally lower in tsf2 to tsf6 strains  $(GAL4^+)$  than in the isogenic  $TSF^+$  control strain. Figure 3 shows that consistent results were obtained



FIGURE 3.—Northern blot analysis of GAL1, GAL7 and GAL10 chromosomal transcripts in tsf3 and tsf6 strains. RNA was prepared for Northern blot analysis from strains YM256 (lanes 1 and 4), BWY136 (tsf3-10; lanes 2 and 5), and BWY 178 (tsf6-2; lanes 3 and 6). Strains were grown under noninducing (Gly medium, lanes 1– 3) vs. inducing (Gal medium, lanes 4–6) conditions. Approximately 10 ug of poly(A)<sup>+</sup> RNA was loaded per lane, blotted, and probed with <sup>32</sup>P-radiolabeled DNA fragments corresponding to GAL1 and ACT1 (see MATERIALS AND METHODS). The membrane was stripped and re-probed with a <sup>32</sup>P-radiolabeled DNA fragment that harbors both GAL7 and GAL10 sequences.

by Northern blot analysis of GAL1, GAL7 and GAL10 chromosomal transcripts in tsf3 and tsf6 strains (GAL4<sup>+</sup>) grown under fully inducing conditions. Notwithstanding, expression of GAL1, GAL7 and GAL10 was not impaired significantly enough to prevent growth on galactose as a sole carbon source (Table 5). GAL1, GAL7 and GAL10 chromosomal transcripts were not detectable in tsf3 and tsf6 strains grown under noninducing conditions (Fig. 3), since the amount of  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity derived from a wildtype GAL1-lacZ fusion under noninducing conditions was at least 100-fold lower than under inducing conditions (Table 4) and  $\beta$ -galactosidase activities were derived from strains harboring multicopy plasmids.

Expression of a wild-type CYC1-lacZ fusion was not appreciably altered in fully induced tsf1 to tsf6 strains (Gly medium), consistent with the fact that tsf1 to tsf6 strains grow at a normal rate in media containing glycerol as sole carbon source. Induced expression of at least two other genes, PDC1 (encoding pyruvate decarboxylase; BUTLER, DAWES and MCCONNELL 1990) and ACT1, appeared to be unaffected by tsf1 to tsf6 mutations (CHEN 1992; J. MA and R. W. WEST, unpublished results).

tsf1 to tsf6 cause pleiotropic growth defects: tsf1 to tsf6 mutations did not significantly affect cellular growth rate at 30° in rich medium or in minimal medium containing any of the following sugars as sole carbon source(s): glucose, galactose, sucrose, raffinose, or glycerol plus lactate. Table 5 shows, however, that tsf3, tsf4 and tsf6 mutations caused both flocculence (dispersible by EDTA or dithiothreitol, reversible by MgSO<sub>4</sub> or CaCl<sub>2</sub>) and temperature-sensitive lethality (36° was the nonpermissive temperature) which segregated 2:2 with derepressed promoter activity upon outcrossing. Additionally, tsf3, tsf4 and tsf6 mutations caused aberrant cell morphology (2-4-fold greater cell size and occasional schmooing; not shown). tsf3 mutations furthermore significantly reduced the mating efficiency of both MATa and MATa cell types, the severity of which was allele-dependent and ranged from 4- to 5-fold for tsf3-14, to 50-100-fold for tsf3-7 and tsf3-10 (Table 5). Consistent with this finding, the results of Northern blot analysis, shown in Figure 4, indicated that the silent mating type locus HMLa is expressed in strain BWY115 (MATa tsf3-10). Homozygous diploid tsf3 strains further exhibited a significantly reduced sporulation efficiency, the severity of which was likewise allele-dependent (Table 5).

tsf1, tsf2 and tsf5 mutants were not as severely growth defective as tsf3, tsf4 and tsf6 mutants (Table 5). Surprisingly, however, while the parental strain YM335 requires methionine for growth, tsf2, tsf4 and tsf5 mutants reverted to Met<sup>+</sup> (Table 5) which cosegregated with transcriptional derepression upon outcrossing. Using a feeding-indicator plate assay (see MATERIALS AND METHODS), we observed that methionine and/or a metabolic precursor was excreted by tsf2, tsf4 and tsf5 strains, though not by YM335, tsf3 or tsf6 strains (data not shown). The respective met mutation in YM335 has not been characterized but was derived from a genetic screen to obtain mercuryresistant mutants of S. cerevisiae (SINGH and SHERMAN 1974; M. JOHNSTON, personal communication). One interesting possibility is that the defect lies in a regulatory gene required for transcription of MET structural genes (see, for example, THOMAS, JACQUEMIN and SURDIN-KERJAN 1992), and that tsf2, tsf4 and tsf5 mutations circumvent this requirement. Post-transcriptional roles, however, for Met<sup>+</sup> phenotypic reversion in tsf2, tsf4 and tsf5 mutants cannot be excluded.

tsf1 to tsf6 activate expression of UAS-less GAL1and CYC1-lacZ fusions: Unexpectedly, yeast GAL1 and CYC1 promoters (fused to lacZ) lacking their upstream activating elements were expressed at unusually high basal levels in tsf1 to tsf6 strains, a phenomenon reported previously for ssn20 (SPT6/CRE2; NEIGEBORN, CELENZA and CARLSON 1987; DENIS and MALVAR 1990) and spt10 S. cerevisiae mutants (CRE1; DENIS and MALVAR 1990). Figure 5 shows that the amount of  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity derived from a UAS-less GAL1-lacZ fusion (plasmid 121-632 in Figure 6) ranged from 4 to 26 units in tsf strains grown in Glu medium, or 20-130-fold higher than in the isogenic wild-type (TSF<sup>+</sup>) control strain. A UAS-less CYC1-lacZ fusion (plasmid pLG670Z, Figure 6) was expressed at levels ranging from 0.3 to 32 units, or 1.5-160-fold higher than in the wild-type strain. In tsf3 strains, UAS-less promoter activity reached as high as 13% of the fully derepressed (i.e., GAE-dependent; Figure 1) wild-type GAL1 promoter activity,

#### S. Chen et al.

#### TABLE 5

Effects of tsf1 to tsf6 on cellular growth, mating and sporulation

					Mating e	efficiency	Coordination
Allele	Temperature sensitivity	Flocculence	Gal	Met	MATa	MATα	efficiency
$TSF^+$	_	_	+	-	1	1	1
tsf1-1	-	-	ND	-	0.8	ND	ND
tsf1-2	-	-	ND	-	0.8	ND	ND
tsf 2-5	-	-	+	+	0.6	0.5	1
tsf 2-23	_	-	+	+	1	0.7	0.6
tsf3-7	+	++	ND	-	0.02	0.01	0.01
tsf3-10	$+^a$	++	+	-	0.02	0.01	1
tsf3-13	$+^{a}$	++	ND	-	ND	ND	ND
tsf3-14	+	+	+	-	0.25	0.20	< 0.0001
tsf4-1	$+^a$	+	+	+	1	0.7	0.4
tsf5-1	-	-	+	+	0.5	0.6	0.2
tsf6-1	$+^a$	++	ND	-	0.2	0.5	0.5
tsf6-2	$+^a$	++	+	-	ND	ND	ND

Respective isogenic MATa and MATa derivatives of tsf1 to tsf6 strains are from Table 1. The  $TSF^+$  control strain was YM256. Temperature sensitivity was tested on YEP-D medium at 36°. Flocculence was detected in liquid YEP-D medium at 30°. Gal (galactose utilization) phenotype was determined using SC medium containing galactose as sole carbon source. Met (methionine prototrophy/auxotrophy) phenotype was determined using SC medium lacking methionine. Mating and sporulation efficiency were determined as described in MATERIALS AND METHODS. ND, not determined.

<sup>a</sup> Leaky growth.



FIGURE 4.—*tsf3* derepresses the silent mating-type locus HML $\alpha$ in a *MAT***a** strain. Approximately 15  $\mu$ g of poly(A)<sup>+</sup> RNA prepared from strains YM335 (*MAT***a** *TSF3*) and BWY115 (*MAT***a** *tsf3-10*) grown in Glu medium was fractionated on a denaturing 1% agarose gel, transferred to a nylon membrane following electrophoresis, and probed with a <sup>32</sup>P-radiolabeled 1.12-kb *EagI-Ndel* fragment of *MAT* $\alpha$ 2. A <sup>32</sup>P-radiolabeled 0.6-kb *Eco***RI**-*Hin*dIII fragment of the yeast *ACT1* (actin) gene was used as an internal standard for RNA concentration. A faint transcript in YM335 migrating ahead of the *MAT* $\alpha$ 2 position likely corresponds to *MAT***a**, which cross-hybridizes with the *MAT* $\alpha$  probe (FASSLER and WINSTON 1989).

and 17% of the wild-type *CYC1* promoter activity (Figures 5 and 6). Comparable results were obtained with tsf1 to tsf6 strains that harbored a UAS-less *GAL10-lacZ* fusion, and comparable levels of expression were observed in the respective tsf strains grown in Gly medium (data not shown). It is noteworthy that tsf3 was more effective than other tsf1 to tsf6 mutations in activating expression of the UAS-less *GAL1-lacZ* fusion and, surprisingly, considerably more effective in activating the UAS-less *CYC1-lacZ* fusion (Figure 5). This and other results in Figure 5 denote a certain degree of promoter specificity to *TSF1* to *TSF6* function. Combined with the fact that tsf mutations cause



FIGURE 5.—tsf1 to tsf6 activate expression of UAS-less GAL1- or CYC1-lacZ fusions. Bars show levels of  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity in the respective strains harboring a UAS-less GAL1 (plasmid 121-632; see MATERIALS AND METHODS) or UAS-less CYC1 (plasmid pLG670Z) promoter fused to lacZ and grown in Glu medium. The corresponding amount of  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity for UAS-less GAL1- or CYC1-lacZ fusions in the wild-type strain (YM335) was 0.2 unit for both. Under fully derepressing (but noninducing; see Figure 1, Table 4 and text) conditions, wild-type (YM335) cells harboring the respective wild-type GAL1-lacZ (plasmid pRY131) or CYC1-lacZ (pLG $\Delta$ -312) fusions produced 200 and 150 units of  $\beta$ -galactosidase, respectively.

pleiotropic growth defects, these results suggest that *TSF1* to *TSF6* are global regulatory genes, and that their respective gene products act independently of specific upstream promoter elements.

*tsf3* appears to cause a specific defect in transcription: Figure 6 shows that expression of the UAS-less

		n-ner er	
0.	$\frac{1}{4} \underbrace{0_1}_{4} \underbrace{0_2}_{0_{1}} \underbrace{0_{3}}_{0_{5}} \underbrace{0_{6}}_{0_{6}}$	TSF3 *	<i>ist3</i> - 13
pRY131 —	[61] [62] [28] [4] [GAL1	<b>≤0.1</b>	2.5
121-688	GALI	≤0.1	≤ <b>0.1</b>
121-632	GALI	0.2	26
121-593	GAL1	≤0.1	0.3
141-37a	GAL1	2.5	7.5
632-37a	GAL 1 04 01 02	25	50
LR1423B		80	150
pLG4-312	UASC	150	180
pLG670Z	CYC1	0.2	32

FIGURE 6.—*tsf3* removes a block on the function of upstream as well as downstream promoter elements. Presence or absence of *GAL* operators (horizontal bars), *GAL4* sites (open boxes 1–4), *GAE*<sub>1</sub> (stippled box G<sub>1</sub>), *GAE*<sub>2</sub> (stippled box G<sub>2</sub>), TATA box (T box), and UAS<sub>C</sub> (large open box) in the respective promoter construct is denoted. Units of  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity from the respective *GAL1*-or *CYC1-lacZ* fusion in strains YM335 (*TSF3*<sup>+</sup>) and BWY118 (*tsf3-13*), grown in Glu medium, are shown at right. Expression of pRY131 in the *tsf3-13* strain grown in Glu medium is repressed 14-fold with respect to Gly medium (Table 4), due to catabolite repression acting via the *GAL* operators, in particular *GALO*<sub>6</sub> (FIN-LEY *et al.* 1990; NEHLIN, CARLBERG and RONNE 1991; FLICK and JOHNSTON 1992).

GAL1-lacZ fusion in strain BWY118 (tsf3-13  $\Delta gal4$ ) requires the normal GAL1 TATA box (compare plasmids 121-688 vs. 121-632, Figure 6). Figure 7 shows S1 mapping results which demonstrate that the amount of GAL1-lacZ specific mRNA in strains BWY118 and BWY115 (tsf3-10  $\Delta$ gal4) harboring plasmid LR1 $\Delta$ 23B, grown in the absence of galactose and GAL4, is substantially greater than in the isogenic TSF<sup>+</sup> control strain YM335 (see also WEST, YOCUM and PTASHNE 1984). Figure 7 further shows that mRNA derived from a UAS-less GAL1-lacZ fusion (plasmid 121-632), though in lower abundance than that derived from LR1 $\Delta$ 23B (see Figure 6), is detectable in strains BWY118 and BWY115 but not in the isogenic TSF<sup>+</sup> control strain YM335. The 5' GAL1 mRNA start sites for each construct appear to be unaltered in tsf3 strains relative to TSF<sup>+</sup> strains (Figure 7). These results in conjunction with those above suggest that tsf3 causes a defect in transcriptional efficiency.

UAS-dependent vs. UAS-independent promoter activity in tsf strains: The amount of  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity derived from the wild-type GAL1-lacZ fusion or from CYC-GAL-lacZ fusions in tsf1 to tsf6 strains grown under noninducing or repressing conditions (Tables 3 and 4) is roughly equivalent to that derived from UAS-less GAL1- or CYC-lacZ fusions (Figure 5). In the former instances, however, expression likely

derives from activation mediated by UAS-binding proteins (for example, GAE1- and GAE2-binding proteins for  $UAS_G$ , and HAP proteins for  $UAS_C$ ) as well as from the removal of a constraint on the basal activity of the RNA polymerase II transcriptional machinery. Figure 6 shows, for example, that GALO<sub>6</sub> present upstream of the UAS-less GAL1 promoter (plasmid 121-593) blocked derepression by tsf3. A similar result occurred when GALO3 was placed upstream (data not shown). By contrast, GAE<sub>1</sub> and/or GAE<sub>2</sub> upstream of the UAS-less GAL1 promoter (632-37a and LR1 $\Delta$ 23B) increased the amount of transcription above that derived from derepression by tsf3 (Figure 6). Similar results were observed in other tsf1 to tsf6 strains (data not shown). These results are consistent with the notion that the products of the TSF1 to TSF6 genes act independently of specific upstream promoter elements, and that net GAL1 promoter activity is commensurate to the number and strength of positive vs. negative control elements upstream (1 $\Delta$ 1-37a, Figure 6; see also FINLEY et al. 1990).

TSF genes may comprise more than one repression pathway: Availability of the cloned TSF3 gene (CHEN et al. 1993) made it possible to analyze expression in tsf double mutant strains, by constructing a tsf3 null mutation in other tsf1 to tsf6 strains. Table 6 shows that the amount of expression in  $\Delta tsf3tsf2$ ,  $\Delta tsf3tsf4$ , or  $\Delta tsf3tsf5$  double mutant strains from a wild-type GAL1-lacZ fusion (repressing conditions), a UAS-less GAL1- or CYC1-lacZ fusion, or the CYC-GAL hybrid promoter CYC1-UAS<sub>G</sub>-110A was greater than the sum of the amount of expression in the two corresponding single mutant strains. The double mutants also were more growth defective, exhibiting increased flocculence, greater sensitivity to high temperature, and in some instances, reduced growth rate at 30° in glucose medium (i.e., non-mitochondrial growth defect). These results are consistent with the fact that tsf3 mutations caused different growth and/ or regulatory defects than tsf2, tsf4 or tsf5 single mutations (Table 5). Interestingly, even in tsf double mutant strains expression of CYC1-UAS<sub>G</sub>-110A remained beneath that derived from the wild-type CYC1 promoter (Table 6), raising the possibility that an additional repression pathway(s) is involved.

#### DISCUSSION

Our studies suggest that a silencing mechanism(s) dependent on *TSF1* to *TSF6* represses basal level expression of the *GAL* structural genes (*i.e.*, noninducing conditions) and that this comprises an important pathway(s) in addition to GAL4/GAL80-mediated control for regulating *GAL* gene expression. Our results suggest that, rather than encoding *GAL* operator-specific binding proteins, *TSF1* to *TSF6* en-



FIGURE 7.—GAL1-lacZ mRNA abundance and 5' start sites in tsf3 vs.  $TSF3^+$  strains. S1 mapping of RNA made *in vivo* from wild-type or mutant (for example, UAS-less) GAL1-lacZ fusions in  $TSF^+$  vs. tsf3 strains. Total cellular RNA was isolated from the respective strains harboring one of the plasmids shown in Figure 6, following growth in Gal medium (lane 1), Gly medium (lane 2) or Glu medium (lanes 3– 9). The single-stranded GAL1-lacZ probe was derived from a 240-bp XhoI-BamHI fragment of pLR1 $\Delta$ 24. Lane 1 (25  $\mu$ g RNA) pRY131 (wild-type GAL1-lacZ fusion plasmid)/YM256 ( $TSF^+$  GAL4<sup>+</sup>); lane 2 (50  $\mu$ g RNA) pLR1 $\Delta$ 23B (mutant GAL1-lacZ fusion plasmid)/YM256; lane 3 (100  $\mu$ g RNA) pLR1 $\Delta$ 23B/YM335 ( $TSF^+$   $\Delta$ gal4); lane 4 (50  $\mu$ g RNA) pLR1 $\Delta$ 23B/BWY115 (tsf3-10  $\Delta$ gal4); lane 5 (50  $\mu$ g RNA) pLR1 $\Delta$ 23B/BWY118 (tsf3-13  $\Delta$ gal4); lane 6 (50  $\mu$ g RNA) 121-632/YM335; lane 7 (50  $\mu$ g RNA) 121-632/BWY115; lane 8 (50  $\mu$ g RNA) 121-632/BWY118; lane 9 (50  $\mu$ g RNA) 121-593/BWY118. Other lanes: (M) molecular size markers (*Hpa*II-digested pBR327 DNA); (P) undigested probe. Further details are provided in Figure 6 and MATERIALS AND METHODS (see also WEST, YOCUM and PTASHNE 1984; WEST *et al.* 1987).

#### TABLE 6

TSF1 to TSF6 genes comprise more than one silencing pathway

	Promoter								
	14711 J	UAS	S-less						
Allele(s)	GALI	GAL1	CYC I	CYC1-UAS <sub>G</sub> -110A					
TSF <sup>+</sup>	≤0.1	0.2	0.2	5.0 (150)					
tsf 2-5	0.1	15	8.0	20					
$\Delta tsf3-2$	3.0	38	52	38					
tsf4-1	<b>≤</b> 0.1	20	2.0	30					
tsf5-1	≤0.1	23	2.0	7.0					
∆tsf3-2 tsf 2-5	20	120	114	100					
∆tsf3-2 tsf4-1	12	125	110	88					
Δtsf3-2 tsf5-1	4.0	80	110	45					

Numbers represent units of  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity measured from the respective strains harboring the indicated promoter (fused to *lacZ*), grown in Glu medium (for further details see Tables 2 and 3, and Figures 3 and 4). Strains used were YM256 (*TSF*<sup>+</sup>), BWY155 (*tsf2-5*), BWY54 ( $\Delta tsf3-2$ ), BWY160 (*tsf4-1*), BWY163 (*tsf5-1*), BWY402 ( $\Delta tsf3-2tsf2-5$ ), BWY400 ( $\Delta tsf3-2tsf4-1$ ), and BWY403 ( $\Delta tsf3$  *tsf5-1*). For *CYC1*-UAS<sub>G</sub>-110A, the number in parentheses indicates units of  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity obtained from the respective wild-type *CYC1-lacZ* fusion (lacking *GAL* operators), provided for comparison.

code regulatory proteins that function in a more global manner. This perspective derives from the fact that one or more tsfl to tsf6 mutations: (1) derepressed expression of a variety of CYC-GAL-lacZ fusions, (2) activated high basal level expression of UAS-less GAL1- and CYC1-lacZ fusions and (3) caused pleiotropic defects in cell growth and development. These results are consistent with our previous finding that tsf3 partially suppresses repression in a MATa strain of CYC-GAL hybrid promoters that contain single or multiple copies of the  $MAT\alpha 2$  operator (CHEN et al. 1993). At least in the case of tsf3 mutations, S1 mapping and Northern blot analysis in conjunction with activation of UAS-less GAL1- and CYC1-lacZ fusions suggest that the molecular basis of the defect is transcriptional. Failure to obtain mutations in genes encoding GAL operator-specific binding proteins might be due to a failure to saturate our genetic screen with respect to the number of genes that can be identified (since for several of the TSF complementation groups only one or two alleles were identified, Table 2), or might instead suggest that such genes are either essential, repeated or functionally redundant, and therefore the corresponding mutations could not be detected by our genetic screen. Success in obtaining tsf1 to tsf6 mutations suggests that equally important silencing components act in conjunction with repressor proteins bound upstream to control GAL1 and GAL10 expression. We cannot rule out the possibility, of course, that one or more TSF1 to TSF6 genes encodes a "general" repressor protein having degenerate sequence specificity, capable of binding to a broad number of S. cerevisiae sequences including one or more GAL operators as well as downstream promoter sites.

A number of yeast transcriptional regulatory genes have been characterized in which mutations cause pleiotropic defects in cell growth and development, and which likewise appear to affect the expression of many genes. They include SIT genes (HIS4 promoter; ARNDT, STYLES and FINK 1989), SIN, SDI and SWI genes (HO promoter; STERN, JENSEN and HERSKOWITZ 1984; BREEDEN and NASMYTH 1985), SNF and SSN genes (SUC2 promoter; CARLSON 1987; SCHULTZ and CARLSON 1987; SCHULTZ, MARSHALL-CARLSON and CARLSON 1990), CRE genes (ADH2 promoter; DENIS and MALVAR 1990), UME genes (SPO promoter; STRICH, SLATER and ESPOSITO 1989), and SPT genes (Ty element promoter; WINSTON et al. 1984; FASSLER and WINSTON 1988). Follow-up studies have revealed that such genes encode a variety of proteins having a general role in transcription, including histone H2A (SPT11; CLARK-ADAMS et al. 1988), histone H2B (SPT12; CLARK-ADAMS et al. 1988), histone H3 (SIN2; KRUGER and HERSKOWITZ 1991), an HMG-like protein (SPT2/SIN1; KRUGER and HERSKOWITZ 1991) and other proteins affecting the structure/function of chromatin (SNF2/SWI2 and SNF5; HIRSCHHORN et al. 1992), transcription factor TFIID (SPT15; EISEN-MANN, DOLLARD and WINSTON 1989), the protein kinase SNF1 (CARLSON 1987), the protein phosphatase SIT4 (ARNDT, STYLES and FINK 1989; SUTTON, IMMANUEL and ARNDT 1991), the putative "mediator" or "potentiator" protein GAL11 (SUZUKI et al. 1988; FASSLER and WINSTON 1989; NISHIZAWA et al. 1990; HIMMELFARB et al. 1990) and the general repressor protein complex SSN6-TUP1 (TRUMBLY 1992; KE-LEHER et al. 1992). Results of complementation tests, in most cases involving transformation of tsf strains with cloned S. cerevisiae genes (see MATERIALS AND METHODS) and analysis of reversion to wild-type transcriptional (using UAS-less promoters and CYC-GAL hybrid promoters fused to lacZ) and cell growth phenotypes, suggest that none of the TSF1 to TSF6 genes is allelic to the following genes: SIN1 (SPT2, ROEDER et al. 1985; KRUGER and HERSKOWITZ 1991). SIN2 (HHT1; KRUGER and HERSKOWITZ 1991), SIN3 (SDI1/UME4/RPD1; NASMYTH, STILLMAN and KIP-LING 1987; STRICH, SLATER and ESPOSITO 1989; WANG and STILLMAN 1990; VIDAL et al. 1991), SDI2 and SDI4 (NASMYTH, STILLMAN and KIPLING 1987), SWI1, SWI2 (SNF2) or SWI3 (PETERSON and HER-SKOWITZ 1992), SSN6 (SCHULTZ and CARLSON 1987; SHULTZ, MARSHALL-CARLSON and CARLSON 1990), SSN20 (SPT6/CRE2; NEIGHEBORN, CELENZA and CARLSON 1987; SWANSON, CARLSON and WINSTON 1990; DENIS and MALVAR 1990), TUP1 (WILLIAMS and TRUMBLY 1990), GAL11 (SPT13; SUZUKI et al. 1988; FASSLER and WINSTON 1989), SIT3 (GCR1; ARNDT, STYLES and FINK 1989; BAKER 1991; DEVLIN et al. 1991), SPT5 (SWANSON, MALONE and WINSTON

1991), SPT10 (CRE1; FASSLER and WINSTON 1988; DENIS and MALVAR 1990), or SPT11/12 (HTA1/ HTB1; CLARK-ADAMS et al. 1988). Recently, TSF3 was found to map to a new chromosomal location, and DNA cloning and sequencing revealed that TSF3 and SIN4, a negative regulator of yeast HO (homothallism) gene transcription (NASMYTH, STILLMAN and KIPLING 1987; STERNBERG et al. 1987; NASMYTH and SHORE 1987; HERSKOWITZ 1989), are allelic (JIANG and STILLMAN 1992; CHEN et al. 1993). This indicates that the promoters for the GAL genes and mating-type genes share certain transcriptional silencing as well as activating (see PETERSON and HERSKOWITZ 1992) components and mechanisms.

Several results are compatible with the possibility that the TSF1 to TSF6 gene products comprise more than one silencing pathway. This is primarily suggested by the finding that the tsf1 to tsf6 mutations cause different categories of cell growth defects. For instance, tsf2, tsf4 and tsf5 each results in phenotypic suppression of the methionine auxotrophy in the genetic background used. Though the mechanism of suppression has not been worked out in this case, the simplest explanation is that these mutants either relieve transcriptional silencing at some uncharacterized met locus, or allow for overexpression of its gene product. This raises the possibility that of the six TSF1 to TSF6 genes, only these three are involved in this mechanism of transcriptional control. Consistent with the idea that TSF2, TSF4 and TSF5 are involved in a single pathway is the finding of unlinked non-complementation among tsf2, tsf4 and tsf5 mutations, which suggests direct protein-protein interaction among their gene products (STEARNS and BOTSTEIN 1988; SWANSON and WINSTON 1992). By contrast, only tsf3 mutations caused severe defects in mating and sporulation, implying that TSF3 is involved in a distinct pathway from the other TSF genes. Consistent with the above findings, double mutants between the tsf3 deletion allele and tsf2, tsf4, or tsf5 mutations exhibit additive effects on derepression of repressed promoters, lending further support to the possibility that more than one silencing mechanism has been identified by the tsf1 to tsf6 mutations. Multiple silencing mechanisms would ensure that expression of the GAL structural genes would remain at a very low basal level when galactose is not present.

It remains to be determined how the *TSF1* to *TSF6* gene products modulate gene expression. One possibility is that they directly mediate the interaction between upstream regulatory proteins and the basic transcription apparatus. According to this type of model, the respective proteins would function similarly to the yeast Gall1 protein, which is postulated to assist GAL4 in inducing transcription of the *GAL* structural genes (HIMMELFARB *et al.* 1990; NISHIZAWA

et al. 1990). While in this regard Gal11 has been viewed as a positive regulator, it also has been shown to act as a negative transcriptional regulator (FASSLER and WINSTON 1989), and a gall1 mutation like tsf mutations activates constitutive expression from UASless GAL1 or CYC1 promoters and derepresses the uninduced level of transcription from the wild-type GAL1 promoter, implying that the respective proteins share certain functional properties (CHEN et al. 1993). Several of our present findings, however, are difficult to reconcile by any simple mediator/potentiator model of TSF gene product function. Alternatively, the TSF genes may affect the structure or assembly of chromatin (see, for example, HIRSCHHORN et al. 1992; WINSTON and CARLSON 1992), which in turn mediates the selective activation of promoters. Consistent with this possibility, JIANG and STILLMAN (1992) determined that a tsf3/sin4 mutation alters the superhelical density of circular DNA molecules, implying that TSF3/SIN4 affects the structure/function of chromatin. Further suggestive evidence for a chromatin role is provided by the fact that depletion of histone H4 activates expression from UAS-less promoters, similarly to tsf mutations (HAN and GRUNSTEIN 1988; GRUNSTEIN 1990). A third model can be envisioned where one or more TSF1 to TSF6 gene products comprises or regulates the activity of some component(s) of the basal transcription apparatus (e.g., a subunit of RNA polymerase II or one of the general transcription factors TFIIA to TFIIH). A general negative regulatory factor designated NC2 has been characterized (MEISTERERNST and ROEDER 1991) which has a regulatory effect compatible with this model of TSF1 to TSF6 function. NC2 appears to compete with TFIIA, a general factor required for the establishment of a productive initiation complex, for binding to TFIID (MEISTERERNST and ROEDER 1991). Distinguishing among these models is now underway. We expect further studies on TSF1 to TSF6 will enhance our understanding of the mechanisms by which gene transcription may be controlled by complex upstream regulatory regions.

We especially thank DECLAN HICKEY for help with EMS mutagenesis and UAS<sub>G</sub> subcloning experiments, GREG MARCUS for help with genetic complementation experiments, JIM SCHWOB for help with cell morphology studies of tsf1 to tsf6 strains, and JING MIAO and MIKE EHRLICH for technical support. We also thank JIM BROACH, GERALDINE BUTLER, DAVID MCCONNELL, JANET SCHULTZ, MARIAN CARLSON, JOANNE ENGEBRECHT, SHIRLEEN ROEDER, JAN FASSLER, TOM FOX, CRAIG PETERSON, WARREN KRUGER, IRA HER-SKOWITZ, FRED SHERMAN, DAVID STILLMAN, ANNE SUTTON, KIM ARNDT, BOB TRUMBLY, FRED WINSTON and RICHARD ZITOMER for strains and/or plasmids. We thank DAVID STILLMAN for communicating unpublished data, ED MORGAN and FRED SHERMAN for helpful discussions, and RUSS FINLEY, DAVID GOLDFARB, PETER HAHN, DAVID MITCHELL and RICHARD ZITOMER for providing critical comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by U.S. Public Health Service grant GM39052 from the National Institutes of Health (NIH), grant MV557 from the American Cancer Society, and an NIH Shannon Award to R.W.W.

# LITERATURE CITED

- ARNDT, K. T., C. A. STYLES and G. R. FINK, 1989 A suppressor of a *HIS4* transcriptional defect encodes a protein with homology to the catalytic subunit of protein phosphatases. Cell 56: 527-537.
- AUSUBEL, F. M., R. BRENT, R. E. KINGSTON, D. D. MOORE, J. G. SEIDMAN, J. A. SMITH and K. STRUHL, 1990 Current Protocols in Molecular Biology. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- BAKER, H. V., 1991 GCR1 of Saccharomyces cerevisiae encodes a DNA-binding protein whose binding is abolished by mutations in the CTTCC sequence motif. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88: 9443-9447.
- BRANDL, C. J., and K. STRUHL, 1990 A nucleosome-positioning sequence is required for GCN4 to activate transcription in the absence of a TATA element. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10: 4256–4265.
- BREEDEN, L., and K. NASMYTH, 1985 Regulation of the HO gene. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 50: 643–650.
- BUCKINGHAM, L. E., H.-T. WANG, R. T. ELDER, R. M. MCCARROLL, M. R. SLATER and R. E. ESPOSITO, 1990 Nucleotide sequence and promoter analysis of SPO13, a meiosis-specific gene in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87: 9406– 9410.
- BUTLER, G., I. W. DAWES, and D. J. MCCONNELL, 1990 TUF factor binds to the upstream region of the pyruvate decarboxylase structural gene (*PDC1*) of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Gen. Genet. **223**: 449-456.
- CAREY, M., H. KAKIDANI, J. LEATHERWOOD, F. MOSTASHARI and M. PTASHNE, 1989 An amino-terminal fragment of GAL4 binds DNA as a dimer. J. Mol. Biol. 209: 423-432.
- CARLSON, M., 1987 Regulation of sugar utilization in Saccharomyces species. J. Bacteriol. 169: 4873-4877.
- CHASMAN, D. I., N. F. LUE, A. R. BUCHMAN, J. W. LAPOINTE, Y. LORCH and R. D. KORNBERG, 1990 A yeast protein that influences the chromatin structure of UAS<sub>G</sub> and functions as a powerful auxiliary gene activator. Genes Dev. 4: 503–514.
- CHEN, S., 1992 Negative regulatory factors controlling transcriptional silencing in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Ph.D. Thesis, State University of New York, Syracuse.
- CHEN, S., R. W. WEST, JR., S. JOHNSON and H. GANS, 1991 Yeast Genetics and Molecular Biology Meeting, San Francisco (May 23-27) (Abstract 24).
- CHEN, S., R. W. WEST, JR., S. L. JOHNSON, H. GANS, B. KRUGER and J. MA, 1993 TSF3, a global regulatory protein that silences transcription of yeast *GAL* genes, also mediates repression by  $\alpha$ 2 repressor and is identical to SIN4. Mol. Cell. Biol. **13:** 831–840.
- CLARK-ADAMS, C. D., D. NORRIS, M. A. OSLEY, J. S. FASSLER and F. WINSTON, 1988 Changes in histone gene dosage alter transcription in yeast. Genes Dev. 2: 150-159.
- DENIS, C. L., and T. MALVAR, 1990 The CCR4 gene from Saccharomyces cerevisiae is required for both nonfermentative and sptmediated gene expression. Genetics 124: 283-291.
- DEVLIN, C., K. TICE-BALDWIN, D. SHORE and K. T. ARNDT, 1991 RAP1 is required for BAS1/BAS2- and GCN4-dependent transcription of the yeast *HIS4* gene. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11: 3642–3651.
- EISENMANN, D. M., C. DOLLARD and F. WINSTON, 1989 SPT15, the gene encoding the yeast TATA binding factor TFIID, is required for normal transcription initiation *in vivo*. Cell **58**: 1183–1191.
- FASSLER, J. S., and F. WINSTON, 1988 Isolation and analysis of a novel class of suppressor of Ty insertion mutations in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics. 118: 203-212.
- FASSLER, J. S., and F. WINSTON, 1989 The Saccharomyces cerevisiae

SPT13/GAL11 gene has both positive and negative regualtory roles in transcription. Mol. Cell. Biol. 9: 5602-5609.

- FINLEY, R. L., JR., 1990 Structure and function of the transcription regulatory region UAS<sub>G</sub> of yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Ph.D. Thesis, State University of New York, Syracuse.
- FINLEY, R. L., JR., and R. W. WEST, JR., 1989 Differential repression of GAL4 and adjacent transcription activators by operators in the yeast *GAL* upstream activating sequence. Mol. Cell. Biol. 9: 4282–4290.
- FINLEY, JR., R. L., S. CHEN, J. MA, P. BYRNE and R. W. WEST, JR., 1990 Opposing regulatory functions of positive and negative elements in UAS<sub>G</sub> control transcription of the yeast GAL genes. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10: 5663–5670.
- FLICK, J. S., and M. JOHNSTON, 1990 Two systems of glucose repression of the GAL1 promoter in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10: 4757–4769.
- FLICK, J. S., and M. JOHNSTON, 1992 Analysis of URS<sub>c</sub>-mediated glucose repression of the GAL1 promoter of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 130: 295–304.
- FORSBURG, S. L., and L. GUARENTE, 1989 Communication between mitochondria and the nucleus in regulation of cytochrome genes in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisae. Annu. Rev. Cell. Biol. 5: 153-180.
- GINIGER, E., and M. PTASHNE, 1988 Cooperative DNA binding of the yeast transcriptional activator GAL4. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85: 382–386.
- GRUNSTEIN, M., 1990 Histone function in transcription. Annu. Rev. Cell Biol. 6: 643–678.
- GUARENTE, L., R. R. YOCUM and P. GIFFORD, 1982 A GAL10-CYC1 hybrid yeast promoter identifies the GAL4 regulatory region as an upstream site. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79: 7410-7414.
- GUARENTE, L., B. LALONDE, P. GIFFORD and E. ALANI, 1984 Distinctly regulated tandem upstream activation sites mediate catabolite repression of the CYC1 gene of S. cerevisiae. Cell 36: 503-511.
- GUTHRIE, C., and G. R. FINK, 1991 Guide to yeast genetics and molecular biology. Methods Enzymol. **194**: 21-57.
- HAN, M., and M. GRUNSTEIN, 1988 Nucleosome loss activates yeast downstream promoters in vivo. Cell 55: 1137–1145.
- HERSKOWITZ, I., 1989 A regulatory hierarchy for cell specialization in yeast. Nature 342: 749–757.
- HIMMELFARB, H. J., J. PEARLBERG, D. H. LAST and M. PTASHNE, 1990 GAL11P: a yeast mutation that potentiates the effect of weak GAL4-derived activators. Cell 63: 1299–1309.
- HIRSCHHORN, J. N., S. A. BROWN, C. D. CLARK and F. WINSTON, 1992 Evidence that SNF2/SWI2 and SNF5 activate transcription in yeast by altering chromatin structure. Genes Dev. 6: 2288-2298.
- HOLLINGSWORTH, N. M., L. GOETSCH and B. BYERS, 1990 The HOP1 gene encodes a meiosis-specific component of yeast chromosomes. Cell 61: 73-84.
- JENSEN, R., G. F. SPRAGUE, JR., and I. HERSKOWITZ, 1983 Regulation of yeast mating-type interconversion: feedback control of HO gene expression by the mating-type locus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80: 3035–3039.
- JIANG, Y. W., and D. J. STILLMAN, 1992 Involvement of the SIN4 global transcriptional regulator in the chromatin structure of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 12: 4503-4514.
- JOHNSON, A. D., and I. HERSKOWITZ, 1985 A repressor ( $MAT\alpha^2$ product) and its operator control expression of a set of cell type specific genes in yeast. Cell **42**: 237–247.
- JOHNSTON, M., 1987 A model fungal gene regulatory mechanism: the GAL genes of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiol. Rev. 51: 458-476.
- JOHNSTON, M., and R. W. DAVIS, 1984 Sequences that regulate the divergent *GAL1-GAL10* promoter in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 4: 1440–1448.

- JU, Q., B. E. MORROW and J. R. WARNER, 1990 REB1, a yeast DNA-binding protein with many targets, is essential for cell growth and bears some resemblance to the oncogene myb. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10: 5226-5234.
- KELEHER, C. A., S. PASSMORE and A. D. JOHNSON, 1989 Yeast repressor a2 binds to its operator cooperatively with yeast protein Mcm1. Mol. Cell. Biol. 9: 5228-5230.
- KELEHER, C. A., M. J. REID, J. SCHULTZ, M. CARLSON and A. D. JOHNSON, 1992 Ssn6-Tup1 is a general repressor of transcription in yeast. Cell 68: 709–719.
- KLAPHOLZ S., and R. E. ESPOSITO, 1982 A new mapping method employing a meiotic *rec* mutant of yeast. Genetics 100: 387– 412.
- KLINE, E. L., 1972 New amino acid regulatory locus having unusual properties in heterozygous merodiploids. J. Bacteriol. 110: 1127-1134.
- KRUGER, W., and I. HERSKOWITZ, 1991 A negative regulator of HO transcription, SIN1 (SPT2), is a nonspecific DNA-binding protein related to HMG1. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11: 4135–4146.
- LAUGHON, A., and R. F. GESTELAND, 1984 Primary structure of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae GAL4 gene. Mol. Cell. Biol. 4: 260– 267.
- LEUTHER, K. K., and S. A. JOHNSTON, 1992 Nondissociation of GAL4 and GAL80 *in vivo* after galactose induction. Science **256**: 1333-1335.
- LOWRY, C. V., and R. S. ZITOMER, 1984 Oxygen regulation of anaerobic and aerobic genes mediated by a common factor in yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **81:** 6129–6133.
- LUCHE, R. F., R. SUMRADA and T. G. COOPER, 1990 A *cis*-acting element present in multiple genes serves as a repressor protein binding site for the yeast *CAR1* gene. Mol. Cell. Biol. **10**: 3884–3895.
- LUCHE, R. M., W. C. SMART and T. G. COOPER, 1992 Purification of the heteromeric protein binding to the URS1 transcriptional repression site in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89: 7412–7416.
- MEISTERERNST, M., and R. G. ROEDER, 1991 Family of proteins that interact with TFIID and regulate promoter activity. Cell 67:557–567.
- MILLER, J., 1972 Experiments in Molecular Genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- NASMYTH, K., and D. SHORE, 1987 Transcriptional regulation in the yeast life cycle. Science 237: 1162–1170.
- NASMYTH, K. D., D. STILLMAN and D. KIPLING, 1987 Both positive and negative regulators of *HO* transcription are required for mother-cell-specific mating-type switching in yeast. Cell **48**: 579–587.
- NEHLIN, J. O., M. CARLBERG and H. RONNE, 1991 Control of yeast GAL genes by MIG1 repressor: a transcriptional cascade in the glucose response. EMBO J. 10:3373–3377.
- NEIGEBORN, L., J. L. CELENZA and M. CARLSON, 1987 SSN20 is an essential gene with mutant alleles that suppress defects in SUC2 transcription in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 7: 672–678.
- NISHIZAWA, M., Y. SUZUKI, Y. NOGI, K. MATSUMOTO and T. FUKASAWA, 1990 Yeast Gall1 protein mediates the transcriptional activation signal of two different transacting factors, GAL4 and general regulatory factor I/repressor/activator site binding protein 1/translation upstream factor. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87: 5373–5377.
- OSHIMA, Y., 1991 Impact of the Douglas-Hawthorne model as a paradigm for elucidating cellular regulatory mechanisms in fungi. Genetics **128**: 195–201.
- PETERSON, C. L., and I. HERSKOWITZ, 1992 Characterization of the yeast SW11, SW12, and SW13 genes, which encode a global activator of transcription. Cell 68: 573-583.
- ROEDER, G. S., C. BEARD, M. SMITH and S. KERANEN, 1985 Isolation and characterization of the *SPT2* gene, a neg-

ative regulator of Ty-controlled yeast gene expression. Mol. Cell. Biol. 5: 1543-1553.

- SCHULTZ, J., and M. CARLSON, 1987 Molecular analysis of SSN6, a gene functionally related to the SNF1 protein kinase of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 7: 3637-3645.
- SCHULTZ, J., L. MARSHALL-CARLSON and M. CARLSON, 1990 The N-terminal TPR region is the functional domain of SSN6, a nuclear phosphoprotein of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10: 4744-4756.
- SHERMAN, F., G. R. FINK and J. B. HICKS, 1986 Methods in Yeast Genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- SINGH, A., and F. SHERMAN, 1974 Characteristics and relationships of mercury-resistant mutants and methionine auxotrophs of yeast. J. Bacteriol. 118: 911–918.
- STEARNS, T., and D. BOTSTEIN, 1988 Unlinked noncomplementation: isolation of new conditional-lethal mutations in each of the tubulin genes of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 119: 249-260.
- STERN, M., R. E. JENSEN and I. HERSKOWITZ, 1984 Five SWI genes are required for the expression of the HO gene in yeast. J. Mol. Biol. **178**: 853-868.
- STERNBERG, P. W., M. J. STERN, I. CLARK and I. HERSKOWITZ, 1987 Activation of the yeast HO gene by release from multiple negative controls. Cell 48: 567–577.
- STRICH, R., M. R. SLATER and R. E. ESPOSITO, 1989 Identification of negative regulatory genes that govern the expression of early meitoic genes in yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86: 10018-10022.
- STRUHL, K., 1985 Negative control at a distance mediates catabolic repression in yeast. Nature **317**: 822–824.
- SUTTON, A., D. IMMANUEL and K. T. ARNDT, 1991 The SIT4 phosphatase functions in late G1 for progression into S phase. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11: 2133-2148.
- SUZUKI, Y., Y. NOGI, A. AKIO and T. FUKASAWA, 1988 GAL11 protein, an auxiliary transcription activator for genes encoding galactose-metabolizing enzymes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 8: 4991–4999.
- SWANSON, M. S., M. CARLSON and F. WINSTON, 1990 SPT6, and essential gene that affects transcription in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, encodes a nuclear protein with an extremely acidic amino terminus. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10: 4935-4941.
- SWANSON, M. S., E. A. MALONE and F. WINSTON, 1991 SPT5, an essential gene important for normal transcription in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, encodes an acidic nuclear protein with a carboxy-terminal repeat. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11: 3009-3019.

- SWANSON, M. S., and F. WINSTON, 1992 SPT4, SPT5 and SPT6 interactions: effects on transcription and viability in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 132: 325-336.
- THOMAS, D., I. JACQUEMIN and Y. SURDIN-KERJAN, 1992 MET4, a leucine zipper protein, and centromere-binding factor 1 are both required for transcriptional activation of sulfur metabolism in *S. cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. **12:** 1719–1727.
- TRUMBLY, R. J., 1992 Glucose repression in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Microbiol. 6: 15-21.
- VIDAL, M., R. STRICH, R. E. ESPOSITO and R. F. GABER, 1991 *RPD1* (SIN3/UME4) is required for maximal activation and REPRESSION OF DIVERSE YEAST GENES. MOL. CELL. BIOL. 11: 6306-6316.
- WANG, H., P. R. NICHOLSON and D. J. STILLMAN, 1990 Identification of a Saccharomyces cerevisiae DNA-binding protein involved in transcriptional regulation. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10: 1743–1753.
- WANG, H., and D. J. STILLMAN, 1990 In vitro regulation of a SIN3-dependent DNA-binding activity by stimulatory and inhibitory factors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87: 9761–9765.
- WEST, R. W., JR., R. R. YOCUM and M. PTASHNE, 1984 Saccharomyces cerevisiae GAL1-GAL10 divergent promoter region: location and function of the upstream activating sequence UAS<sub>G</sub>. Mol. Cell. Biol. 4: 2467–2478.
- WEST, R. W., JR., S. CHEN, H. PUTZ, G. BUTLER and M. BANERJEE, 1987 GAL1-GAL10 divergent promoter region of Saccharomyces cerevisiae contains negative control elements in addition to functionally separate and possibly overlapping upstream activating sequences. Genes Dev. 1: 1118–1131.
- WILLIAMS, F. E. and R. J. TRUMBLY, 1990 Characterization of TUP1, a mediator of glucose repression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10: 6500-6511.
- WINSTON, F., and M. CARLSON, 1992 Yeast SNF/SWI transcriptional activators and the SPT/SIN chromatin connection. Trends Genet. 8: 387-391.
- WINSTON, F., D. T. CHALEFF, B. VALENT and G. R. FINK, 1984 Mutations affecting Ty-mediated expression of the HIS4 gene in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 107: 179-197.
- YARGER, J. G., M. C. GORMAN and J. POLAZZI, 1985 Regulation of GAL7 gene expression in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Dev. Ind. Microbiol. 26: 181-192.
- YOCUM, R. R., S. HANLEY-WAY, R. W. WEST, JR., M. PTASHNE, 1984 Use of *lacZ* fusions to delimit regulatory elements of the inducible divergent *GAL1-GAL10* promoter in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 4: 1985–1998.

Communicating editor: F. WINSTON