# **Isolation, Characterization and Epistasis of Fluoride-Resistant Mutants of**  *Caenorhabditis elegans*

## Isao Katsura,\*<sup>\*,†,1</sup> Kazunori Kondo,<sup>†,2</sup> Tosikazu Amano,<sup>†,3</sup> Takeshi Ishihara\* and **Minoru Kawakami\*\*\***

*\*DNA Research Center, National Institute of Genetics, Mishima* 41 *1, Japan, ?Department* of *Biology, College of Arts and Sciences, University of Tokyo, Komaba, Tokyo 153, Japan and \*Department of Biophysics and Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Tokyo, Hongo, Tokyo 119, Japan* 

> Manuscript received April **19, 1993**  Accepted **for** publication September **24, 1993**

#### ABSTRACT

We have isolated **13** fluoride-resistant mutants of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans.* All the mutations are recessive and mapped to five genes. Mutants in three of the genes (class **1** genes: *jlr-I X, fir-3 IV, and fir-4 X)* are resistant to 400  $\mu$ g/ml NaF. Furthermore, they grow twice as slowly as and have smaller brood size than wild-type worms even in the absence of fluoride ion. In contrast, mutants in the other two genes (class 2 genes:  $\hat{\mu}r$ -2 *V* and  $\hat{\mu}r$ -5 *V*) are only partially resistant to 400  $\mu$ g/ml NaF, and they have almost normal growth rates and brood sizes in the absence of fluoride ion. Studies on the phenotypes of double mutants showed that class **2** mutations are epistatic to class **<sup>1</sup>** mutations concerning growth rate and brood size but hypostatic with respect to fluoride resistance. We propose two models that can explain the epistasis. Since fluoride ion depletes calcium ion, inhibits some protein phosphatases and activates trimeric G-proteins, studies on these mutants may lead to discovery of a new signal transduction system that controls the growth of *C. elegans.* 

 $\mathbf{O}^{\text{NE}}$  of the goals of molecular genetics is to elu-<br>lecular reactions by isolation and characterization of cidate complicated networks of biological molecular reactions by isolation and characterization of mutants in which one component is defective or altered. Isolation and characterization of drug-resistant mutants has been a particularly useful approach. In bacteria, mutants resistant to antibiotics have been useful in studies of protein synthesis, transcription, etc. The same is true for the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans.* Mutants of *C. elegans* resistant to levamisole and trichlorfon (BRENNER 1974; LEWIS *et al.* 1980) have revealed genes and their functions concerning the neurotransmitter acetylcholine. Other drug-resistant mutants such as those resistant to  $\alpha$ -amanitin (SAM-FORD, GOLOMB and RIDDLE 1983), phorbol ester (TA-**BUSE,** NISHIWAKI and MIWA 1989), benzimidazole (DRISCOLL *et al.* 1989) and caffeine (HARTMAN 1987) have been isolated and characterized.

Although harmfulness of fluoride ion at low concentrations is an issue of active debate (MARSHALL 1990), it is evidently toxic to almost all living organisms at high concentrations. In acute poisoning of mammals it acts as an irritant in the stomach and causes emesis, diarrhea, muscular weakness and delays blood clotting, resulting in hemorrhages. **As** chronic poisoning it causes fluorosis, that **is,** faulty formation of teeth and change in the structure of bones (RADE-LEFF 1964). These effects suggest that fluoride ion interferes either with the metabolism of calcium ion or with a signal transducing system. Biochemical studies *in vitro* are in agreement with this suggestion. Fluoride removes calcium ion, since calcium fluoride is poorly soluble in water. It also fixes trimeric Gproteins to the active state (GILMAN 1984). Furthermore, fluoride ion is an inhibitor of some enzymes, such as some protein phosphatases (BALLOU and FISCHER 1986) and enolase (LOHMAN and MEYERHOF 1934). However, for all these studies the molecular action *in vivo* of fluoride ion remains to be clarified.

In this paper we report isolation and characterization of *C. elegans* mutants resistant to NaF. These mutants define five new genes *(fir-1* to *fir-5)*. All mutations in three of the genes  $(f\bar{I}r-1, f\bar{I}r-3)$  and  $f\bar{I}r-4$ ) confer strong resistance to NaF; the mutants grow slowly both in the absence and presence of NaF. Conversely, *flr-2* and *flr-5* mutants are only weakly resistant to NaF and grow at almost normal rates in the absence of NaF. Interestingly, the latter mutations suppress the slow-growing phenotype but not the strong fluoride resistance of the former mutations. In fact, some of the weak mutations were isolated as suppressors of the slow-growing phenotype of the strong resistant mutations. Only subsequently were they found to have the phenotype of weak fluoride resistance after being separated from the latter mutations. We propose two models that can explain the relationship between class 1 and class **2** genes: one

<sup>&#</sup>x27; **To whom correspondence should be addressed at: National Institute of Genetics (present address).** 

<sup>&#</sup>x27; **Present address: Department of Bioengineering, Faculty of Engineering,** 

<sup>&#</sup>x27; **Present address: Yoshizato MorphoMatrix Project, Research Develop-Soka University, Hachioji, Tokyo 192, Japan. ment Corporation of Japan, 5-9-6 Tohkohdai, Tsukuba 326, Japan.** 

consisting of a pathway that confers fluoride sensitivity on **C.** *elegans* and the other consisting of a negatively regulated switch circuit for regulation of the growth rate. We hope studies on these mutants will elucidate a new signal transduction system that controls the growth of **C.** *elegans.* 

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**General techniques:** General techniques for culturing and genetically studying **C.** *elegans* including compositions of culture media have been described (BRENNER 1974; WOOD 1988). NGM plates 6 cm in diameter were used for genetic experiments. For cultures of a large number of worms, we used 9 cm plates with a richer medium (the same as NGM plates except with 15 g/liter bacto peptone and 5 g/liter yeast extract), which we call PY plates in this paper. Before using the plates, *Escherichia coli* OP50 was spread and grown for more than 1 day at room temperature to feed worms. Since the lawns of *E. coli* became hard and thick on PY plates, they were used in a wet state by adding distilled water to the surface of the agar to soften the lawn. **S** basal medium was used to suspend worms. Alkaline hypochlorite treatment (HECHT *et al.* 1982) was employed to kill worms and to isolate embryos.

**Strains:** *C. elegans* var. Bristol strain N2 was used as the wild-type strain. The phenotypes of mutations used in this paper are Cat (abnormal catecholamine distribution), Che (abnormal chemotaxis), Daf (abnormal dauer formation), Dpy (dumpy), Flr (fluoride resistant), Let (lethal), Lin (abnormal cell lineage), Lon (long), Mut (mutator), Osm *(os*motic avoidance defective), Tpa (TPA resistant) and Unc (uncoordinated movement). The mutations used in this study are listed below. LGI: *dpy-5(e61);* LGII: *dpy-lO(e128),*  mut-5(st701); LGIII:  $dpy-18(e\overline{364})$ ; LGIV:  $daf-1(m40)$ ,  $dpy-$ *9(e12), flr-3(ut8, ut9, utlO), tpa-l(k501), lin-l(e1771), dpy-13(e184), mut-6(st702);* LGV: *let-341(ut58ts), unc-46(el77), dpy-1 l(e224), flr-5(ut73), unc-23(e25), flr-Z(ut5, ut71), smal(e30), cat-#(e141 I), cat-6(e1861), osm-6(p81 I), che-1 l(elSlO), che-l2(e1812), unc-76(e911);* LGX: *lon-2(e678), unc-9(elOl), flr-l(ut1, ut2, ut4, ut6, ut11), unc-84(e1410), unc-3(e151), flr-4(ut3, ut7), unc-7(e5).* Chromosomal aberrations: *ctDfl V, sDj20 V, sDj26 V, sDf30 V, sDf35 V, mnDf4 X, mnDfl9 X, mnDj20 X, mnDj21 X, mnDf43* **X;** *mnDpl(X; V), mnDp9(x; I),*   $mn\ddot{D}p25(X; I);$  eT1(III; V),  $nT1(IV; V)$ . The chromosomal translocation *nT1* that we used was *nTl[unc(n754dom) let],*  which contained a dominant Unc mutation and a recessive Let mutation. The translocation *eT1* has a recessive Unc phenotype.

Isolation of fluoride-resistant  $(f\mathbf{Ir})$  mutants by selection **on plates containing NaF:** Cultures on PY plates of mutator strains *(mut-5* or *mut-6;* MORI, MOERMAN and WATERSTON 1988) **or** of EMS (ethyl **methanesu1fonate)-mutagenized**  worms (BRENNER 1974) containing many  $F_1$  gravid adults were subjected to alkaline hypochlorite treatment to obtain embryos, which were then allowed to hatch in **S** basal medium at 20" overnight. About 100,000 newly hatched worms were put on a 9-cm NGM plate containing  $400 \mu g$ / ml NaF, which had been seeded with *E. coli* OP50. Most worms did not grow and died within a few days. After 5-8 days at 20", 5-50 progeny from EMS-mutagenized worms or 0-5 *mut* worms grew to adults on each plate. They were picked and tested for fertility and for fluoride resistance of the progeny. The percentage **of** sterile worms among the survivors varied from time to time, but most of the fertile survivors produced fluoride-resistant progeny. The fluoride-resistant (flr) mutants thus obtained were backcrossed five times to N2 males before they were used for further experiments. The  $\beta r$  mutants that were isolated from the

mutator strains were crossed to a strain having a marker near the mutator *(dpy-10* for *mut-5* and *dpy-13* for *mut-6)* to remove the *mut* mutations before the backcross. If two or more mutants in the same gene were obtained from one culture, only one of them was kept to ensure independence. We used *ut11*, *ut5*, *ut9* and *ut7* as reference alleles of flr-1, *2,3* and *4,* respectively, in this study.

**Mapping of fluoride-resistant mutants:** Determination of linkage group, two- and three-factor crosses and complementation tests were performed according to standard methods (BRENNER 1974; WOOD 1988). Males homozygous (autosomal mutations) or hemizygous (X-linked mutations) for the  $f\llbracket r$  mutations were obtained by heat shock (WOOD 1988) and by mating with N2 males, respectively. All **of**  them were fertile and therefore used for genetic crosses.

Mutations in  $\beta r$ -1, 3 and 4 were recognized by their phenotype **of** slow growth and smaller size rather than fluoride resistance during all the mapping procedures. When wild-type worms become adults, these  $\hat{\mathbb{H}}$ r mutants of the same age are small L3 larvae and much smaller than the wild-type adult worms, hence easily recognized. Complementation of flr-1 and flr-4 with deficiencies and duplications in the *X* chromosome was performed according to MENEELY and HERMAN (1979) and HERMAN, MADL and KARI (1979).

Two- and three-factor crosses for mapping  $\int l r$ -2 and  $\int l r$ -5 were scored by monitoring their ability to suppress the slowgrowing phenotype of *flr-1* **or** *flr-3.* Complementation **of**  *\$7-2* or *flr-5* with deficiencies in LGV was performed as follows. Males of flr-2; flr-1 or flr-5; flr-1 were mated with hermaphrodites of *ctDfl/nTl[unc(n754dom) let] V* or *dpy-18/eTI III; Df/eTl V* (where *Df* stands for *sDj20, sDfl6, sDf30* **or** *sDf35).* These crosses were chosen because **of**  ineficient transfer of deficiency chromosomes through sperms and because of extremely poor growth of worms having a genotype of *+/nTl[unc(n754dom) let]; jlr-1.*  Twelve  $F_1$  hermaphrodites were picked. Those  $F_1$  worms having the deficiency (and not the translocation) in the heterozygous condition were identified by their non-Unc phenotype in the case of  $ctDf1/nT1$  and by absence of Unc worms *(eT1* homozygotes) and presence of Dpy worms among the F2 progeny in the case **of** *dpy-IB/eTl III; Df/eTI V.* Complementation between flr-2 (or flr-5) and the deficiencies was judged by presence of slow-growing worms among the **F2** progeny. We found that *ctDfl* and *sDf35*  delete  $\hat{p}r-2$  and  $\hat{p}r-5$ , respectively. Worms having the genotypes *flr-Z/ctDfl; Jr-1* and *jlr-5/sDf35; flr-1* were found among the F2 progeny by checking for the presence **of** dead eggs among the self-progeny and slow growth of male progeny produced by mating with N2 males.

**Construction of the**  $\bar{f}$ **r double and triple mutants:** Since the phenotype of a  $flr$  mutation may be masked by another *jZr* mutation, we used tightly linked markers *(unc-84, sma-1*  **or** *unc-76, dpy-9, unc-7* and *unc-23* for *flr-1* ,flr-Z,jlr-3,flr-4 and  $f\ln(1 - 5)$ , respectively) for construction of the  $f\ln(1 - 1)$ and triple mutants. For instance, we made the double mutant flr-2 *V; flr-1 X* by mating *unc-76 V; flr-1 X* hermaphrodites with *flr-2 V; unc-84 X* males at 15" and selecting for non-Unc  $F_2$  hermaphrodites that did not segregate Unc worms at 25°. The temperature was chosen, because males hemizygous for *unc-84* are active in mating at 15<sup>°</sup>, but the Unc-84 phenotype is more easily discernible at 25°.

The jlr-5 **flr-2** double mutants were made as follows. First, fir-5 sma-1 and unc-23 fir-2 were obtained as worms having phenotypes of Sma and Unc among the progeny of *jlr-5/unc-23 sma-1* and *jlr-2/unc-23 sma-1,* respectively. Then,  $\beta r$ -5  $\beta r$ -2 worms were obtained among the progeny **offlr-5** *sma-l/unc-23flr-2* as non-Sma, non-Unc worms that segregate neither Sma nor Unc worms.

Some of the class 1-class 2 double mutants were made

without counter-selection markers as follows, assuming that class 2 mutations suppress the slow-growing phenotype of class 1 mutations. Class 1 slow-growing hermaphrodites were mated with class 2 normal-growing males. The  $F_1$  crossprogeny hermaphrodites were chosen by the normal-growing phenotype. Several slow-growing worms of the  $F_2$  progeny were cloned. About two-thirds of them segregated normal-growing worms, which were considered to be the class 1-class **2** double mutants.

In some cases the genotypes of double and triple mutants were confirmed by the following methods. Presence of a class 1 mutation in double and triple mutants was checked by the slow growth of the  $F_1$  cross progeny hermaphrodites in mating with the class 1 single-mutant males. Presence of a class 2 mutation in double and triple mutants containing at least one class 1 mutation was confirmed by absence of slow-growing worms among the  $F_2$  progeny in mating with the class 2 single-mutant males. Presence of flr-5 and flr-2 in the *flr-5 flr-2* double mutants was shown by absence of slow-growing worms among the  $F_2$  progeny in mating with the  $\tilde{f}$ r-5; $\tilde{f}$ lr-1 and  $\tilde{f}$ lr-2; $\tilde{f}$ lr-1 double-mutant males, respectively.

**Measurements of generation times and brood sizes:**  Brood sizes of the wild-type and the *jlr* single, double and triple mutants were measured on NGM plates at 20" as follows. The worms were grown on NGM plates at  $20^{\circ}$ under good, nonstarving conditions. Five L4 larvae were transferred onto five fresh NGM plates seeded with *E.* coli OP50 (one worm per plate). The plates were incubated at 20" and checked after every 24 hr until the worms stopped laying fertilized eggs. The worms were transferred onto fresh plates if they had laid eggs within 24 hr. The numbers of the progeny were counted when they were grown to **L3**  larvae to young adults. We did not check whether each progeny worm could lay eggs. The average and standard deviation of the brood sizes of the five worms are shown in Table **4.** 

Generation times of the wild-type and the *jlr* single, double and triple mutants were measured on NGM plates containing 0, 150 and 400  $\mu$ g/ml NaF at 15, 20 and 25<sup>°</sup> as follows. The worms were grown on NGM plates at 20° under good, nonstarving conditions One to four young adult worms, depending on the estimated brood sizes, were transferred onto the plates for the measurements and incubated at the designated temperatures. After 24 hr the worms were removed from the plates, on which they had laid 20-200 fertilized eggs. This time was regarded as time 0. The plates were incubated at the same temperatures and checked after every 24 hr. The generation times were defined as the times when the progeny worms laid more than one fertilized eggs on the average. They are estimated to have errors of  $\pm 1$ day.

**Isolation of revertants of** *flr-l(utl1)* **and** *flr-3(ut9):* To increase reversion frequency, we crossed  $\int \int l r$ -l(utl1) and  $\int \int l r$ -3(ut9) into a mutator genetic background. For this purpose mut-6 *IV* and mut-5 *11* hermaphrodites were mated with dpy-13/+ *IV;* flr-1(ut11) *X* males and  $dpy$ -10/+ *II;* flr-3(ut9) *IV* males, respectively.  $F_1$  worms that produce both Dpy and non-Dpy worms were chosen. Worms having the genotypes of mut-6; flr-1 and mut-5; flr-3, respectively, were obtained among the  $F_2$  as non-Dpy slow-growing worms that did not segregate Dpy worms. Alternatively, reversion frequency was increased by treatment with EMS (ethyl methanesulfonate) (BRENNER 1974). The worms (mutator-containing **or**  EMS-treated) for the isolation of revertants were cultured on PY plates. Cultures were continued until the  $F_1$  progeny grew to gravid adults in the case of the EMS-treated worms. Then, the worms were harvested and treated with alkaline hypochlorite (HECHT et *al.* 1982) to isolate embryos, which were then allowed to hatch in **S** basal medium at 20"

overnight to obtain L1 larvae. About 10,000 L1 larvae of the mutator strains or about  $40,000$  L1 larvae of the  $F_2$ progeny of the EMS-treated worms were cultured on a **9**  cm PY plate. After 3 days at 20° the slow-growing flr worms were at the stage of L3 larvae, and only growth-rate revertants had grown to gravid adults. At this time the worms were harvested and subjected to a second alkaline hypochlorite treatment, which killed worms but not embryos. The surviving embryos were put on NGM plates. After 3-4 days at  $20^{\circ}$ , worms that grew to adults were picked, and their progeny were tested for growth rate and for resistance to  $400 \mu g/ml$  NaF. The revertants (fluoride-sensitive normal growers) and pseudo-revertants (fluoride-resistant normal growers) were backcrossed three times to the original *jlr*  mutants before further characterization.

Removal of the original flr mutation from the pseudo**revertants of flr-1 and flr-3:** Hermaphrodites of the pseudorevertant  $\hat{f}$ r-3(ut9) *IV*;  $\hat{f}$ r-2(ut71)  $\hat{V}$  were mated with  $d\hat{p}$ y- $9(e12)/+ IV$ ; unc-76(e911)/+ *V* males and flr-3/dpy-9; flr-2/ unc-76 worms were selected among the cloned  $\mathbf{F}_1$  hermaphrodites by checking the phenotype of the  $F_2$  progeny. Ten Dpy, non-Unc  $F_2$  worms were cloned. Of these worms those segregating only Dpy non-Unc worms and no Dpy Unc worms were assigned the genotype  $dpy-9$ ;  $flr-2$ . Then they were mated with  $unc-76/$ + males, and  $dpy-9/$ +; flr-2/ unc-76 worms were selected among the cloned  $F_1$  hermaphrodites by checking the phenotype of the  $F<sub>2</sub>$  progeny. Non-Dpy, non-Unc worms among the  $F_2$  progeny were cloned and those segregating neither Dpy nor Unc were assigned the genotype of  $\beta$ r-2. The assignment was confirmed by making the double mutant  $\iint r-3(ut)$ ;  $\iint r-2(ut)$ again and checking the growth rate.

The suppressor mutation  $\int l r$ -5(ut73) was separated from the original mutation  $\hat{f}$ *l* $\hat{f}$  $\hat{f}$  (utl<sub>1</sub>) by mating  $\hat{f}$ *l* $\hat{f}$  $\hat{f}$  $\hat{f}$  $\hat{f}$  $\hat{f}$  $\hat{f}$  $\hat{f}$  $\hat{f}$  $\hat{f}$  $l(utl1)$  with N2 males and selecting for  $F_2$  weakly fluorideresistant worms that do not produce slow-growing male progeny when mated with  $N2$  males. The genotype of  $\beta r$ -5(ut73) was confirmed by making the double mutant *jlr-* $5(ut73)$ ; flr-l(utlI) again and checking its growth rate.

**Tests of suppression of the slow-growing phenotype of**  *flr-1(ut11)* by various known mutations: To test the possibility of class 2 mutations being allelic to  $cat-4(e1411)$ ,  $cat-$ 6(e1861), osm-6(p811), che-11(e1810) or che-12(e1812), we checked if the latter mutations suppress the slow-growing phenotype of  $\beta$ r-l(ut11) like class 2 mutations. Hermaphrodites of these mutants were mated with the  $flr-1$  males. Six thin, slow-growing worms were chosen among the  $F_2$  progeny of each **cross** and cloned onto new plates. Since none of them, each of which has a 67% possibility of having the genotype of cat-4/+; flr-1 etc., produced normal-growing worms, we concluded that none of the mutations suppress the slow-growing phenotype of  $flr-1$ .

We also tested if these mutations suppress the slow growth of  $flr-1$  if they are placed in trans to class 2 mutations, namely if cat-4/flr-2; flr-1 etc. are normal growers. Hermaphrodites of the mutants to be tested were mated with  $\beta r$ - $2(ut5)$ ;  $flr-l(ut11)$  males and with  $flr-5(ut73)$ ;  $flr-l(ut11)$ males. Six thin, slow-growing worms were chosen among the  $F_2$  progeny of each cross and cloned onto new plates. More than half of them produced normal-growing worms in all the cases of the crosses. In these cases the  $\bar{F}_2$  slowgrowing worms should have the genotype of  $cat-4/flr-2;flr-$ 1, cat-4/flr-5; flr-1 etc., because cat-4;  $\hat{flr}$ -1 etc. cannot produce normal growers as shown above and because  $\ell l r$ -2;  $\ell l r$ -1 and  $\beta r$ -5;  $\beta \bar{r}$ -1 are normal growers. Hence we concluded that  $cat-4/f$ r-2,  $cat-4/f$ r-5 etc. do not suppress the slow growth of  $\beta$ r-1.

**Miscellaneous:** DNA fragments containing Tcl that caused mutations  $flr-l(utl)$  and  $flr-3(ut9)$ , respectively, were cloned by the transposon-tagging method. Details of the experiments will be published elsewhere. See **SAM-BROOK,** Fritsch and **MANIATIS** (1989) for DNA techniques including Southern blotting. Chemotaxis and osmotic avoidance were tested according to WARD (1973) and to CULOTTI and **RUSSELL** (1978), respectively. Dye-filling into amphids and phasmids was checked as described by **HEDGECOCK** *et*  al. (1985), but using 10  $\mu$ g/ml DiO (3, 3<sup>2</sup>-dioctadecyloxacarbocyanine perchlorate) instead of **4** mg/ml FITC (fluorescein isothiocyanate).

#### **RESULTS**

**Effect of fluoride ion on wild-type C.** *eleguns:*  Various amounts of NaF were put into the agar medium to test the effect on the wild-type  $C$ . elegans. No effect was observed, if the concentration of NaF was below 40  $\mu$ g/ml (0.95 mm). However, at 150  $\mu$ g/ml (3.6 mM) the growth of L1 larvae was markedly retarded, especially at 15°. When the agar medium contained 400  $\mu$ g/ml (9.5 mM) NaF, worms of all stages stopped growing immediately except for embryos, which are protected by the highly impermeable egg shell. The worms eventually died within 1 or 2 days.

The effect of NaF in a liquid medium was essentially the same except that somewhat higher concentration of NaF was required for the same degree of toxicity: 34% and 93% of L1 worms were killed at concentrations of NaF of 400  $\mu$ g/ml and 1500  $\mu$ g/ml, respectively, in 72 hr. The killing effect was independent of the presence of *E.* coli, and killing of dauer larvae was not much different from that of L1 larvae. These results suggest that NaF can penetrate into worms through somewhere other than the alimentary canal. The toxic effect is due to fluoride and not to sodium ion. Sodium chloride, for instance, is nontoxic to C. elegans at these concentrations.

**Isolation and characterization of fluoride-resistant mutants:** Fluoride-resistant mutants of C. elegans were isolated by selecting for worms that can grow on plates containing  $400 \mu g/ml$  NaF (see MATERIALS AND METHODS for details). Eleven mutants were kept and characterized further. All the mutations are recessive. They are grouped into two classes, class 1 and class 2, according to the phenotype.

Class 1 mutants consist of all but one of the 11 mutants. They are resistant to 400  $\mu$ g/ml NaF and look different from wild-type worms even in the absence of fluoride ion. Namely, their larvae grow twice as slowly as wild-type larvae despite their almost normal speed of embryonic development. They also look thin and small (0.9 to 1.0 mm in adult length as compared with the wild-type adult length of 1.2 mm) and have small brood sizes. Since the slow-growing phenotype can be recognized easily, we used it for mapping and complementation tests. Complementation tests show that there are three genes for class **l**  mutants, *flr-I,* flr-3 and *flr-4* (Table 1). Mapping by two- and three-factor crosses and by complementation with deficiencies revealed the positions of the genes as follows: *flr-1* maps 0.4 unit to the right of unc-9 (LGX);  $flr-3$  maps very close to  $dpy-9$ ,  $daf-1$  and  $tpa-1$ (near the left end of LGIV); and  $flr-4$  maps 0.4 unit

**TABLE 1** 

**Genes, alleles and phenotypes of fluoride-resistant mutants** 

Gene	Allele	Mutagen	
		Class 1 mutation: Resistant to 400 $\mu$ g/ml NaF. Slow growth and small brood size even in the absence of NaF. Small and thin.	
$f\left(1 r-1\right) X$	utI	EMS	
	ut2	<b>EMS</b>	
	ut4	<b>EMS</b>	
	ut6	<b>EMS</b>	
	ut11	mut-6	Reference allele
flr-3 IV	ut8	<b>EMS</b>	
	ut9	$mut - 5$	Reference allele
	ut10	$_{mut-5}$	
flr-4 $X$	ut 3	<b>EMS</b>	
	ut7	<b>EMS</b>	Reference allele
		Class 2 mutation: Not completely resistant to 400 $\mu$ g/ml NaF.	
		Normal growth rate and brood size in the absence of NaF.	
		Suppressor of the slow-growing phenotype of mutations in $flr-1$ ,	
$\beta$ and $\beta$ -4.			



to the left of unc-7 (LGX) (Tables 2, 3 and Figure 1). Heterozygotes  $\int \frac{dr}{4\pi Df}$  X and  $\int \frac{dr}{4\pi Df}$  X were made by mating  $mnDpI(X;V)/+V$ ; *Df X* hermaphrodites with  $\beta r$ -4 males. They have essentially the same phenotype as  $f\ell r$ -4 homozygotes. They are slowgrowing worms (generation time: about 10 days at  $20^{\circ}$ ) with a small brood size (25  $\pm$  25). Such heterozygotes were not made for  $\beta$ r-1 or  $\beta$ r-3, because we could not obtain deficiencies covering these mutations.

Only one allele *(ut5)* belongs to class 2 among the 1 1 fluoride-resistant mutants isolated by selection with NaF. It is only weakly resistant to fluoride ion. Namely, only some of the newly hatched larvae can grow to adults in the presence of 400  $\mu$ g/ml NaF. However, it grows faster than wild-type worms in the presence of 150  $\mu$ g/ml NaF, especially at 15° (Table 4). Other properties are essentially the same as wildtype worms except that it is weakly dumpy. The dumpy phenotype is enhanced when the worms are starved. We were unable to separate the dumpy from fluoride-resistance phenotypes. The mutation complements all of  $\beta$ r-1,  $\beta$ r-3 and  $\beta$ r-4 alleles and maps on LGV, a different linkage group from those of  $\beta$ r-1, *flr-3* and *jlr-4.* Therefore, we assigned it to a new gene,  $\beta$ r-2. However, detailed mapping of the mutation was difficult owing to the weak fluoride resistance. It became possible after we discovered that it suppresses the slow-growing phenotype of *flr-I, flr-3*  and  $\beta$ r-4 (see below).

Both class 1 and class 2 mutants are essentially the same as wild-type worms concerning the following properties: sensitivity to caffeine and to TPA, chemotaxis to NaCl and to lysine, osmotic avoidance from 5 M NaCl, dye-filling into amphids and phasmids, touch sensitivity and pharyngeal pumping rate. Males of all the *flr* mutants can mate with hermaphrodites. Although  $\beta r$ -3 maps near *tpa-1*, it is not allelic to *tpa-1*, because worms having the genotype of  $\hat{f}$ r-3/tpu-I are

**TABLE 2** 

**Two-factor crosses** 

Heterozygous parent	Segregants	Map distance		
$flr-l(ut11)X$				
$\int \ln 1$ unc-3/++	Wild type	1795	$3.5\%$	
	Flr Unc	479		
	Flr	35		
	Unc	45		
$unc-9$ flr- $1/+$	Wild type	1106	0.4%	
	Flr Unc	326		
	Flr	4		
	Unc	2		
$\int \ln 1 \, \ln 6 \cdot 84 / + +$	Wild type	1145	1.2%	
	Flr Unc	315		
	Flr	9		
	Unc	9		
$\int$ <i>f</i> lr-2(ut71)V				
$f(r-3)/f(r-3)$ ;	non-Unc slow grower	336	$2.0\%$	
$\int \ln 2unc76/++$	Unc slow grower	4		
	non-Unc normal grower	5		
	Unc normal grower	115		
$\int$ <i>flr</i> -3 $(ut9)$ <i>IV</i>				
$\int \ln 3 \, d \rho y - 13/++$	Wild type	190	25%	
	Dpy Flr	22		
	Dpy	33		
	F r	27		
$daf$ 1/flr-3	$\int$ flr-3/flr-3	551	0%	
	flr-3/daf-1 flr-3	0		
$dpy-9/flr-3$	$\int$ flr-3/flr-3	388	0%	
	$\int$ flr-3/dpy-9 $\int$ flr-3	0		
flr-3/tpa-1	$\int$ flr-3/flr-3	357	0%	
	$flr-3/flr-3$ tpa-1	0		
$\int$ <i>f</i> $\int$ <i>r</i> -4 $(ut7)X$				
$unc-3$ flr-4/++	Wild type	361	1.9%	
	Flr Unc	102		
	F <sub>lr</sub>	5		
	Unc	4		
$\int \ln 4$ unc-7/++	Wild type	1166	0.4%	
	Flr Unc	310		
	Flr	3		
	Unc	3		

The phenotype of *flr-1, flr-3* and *flr-4* was recognized by slow growth in the absence *of* fluoride ion, and that *ofjlr-2* by suppression of the slow-growing phenotype of  $\beta$ *r-3*.

normal growing, fluoride sensitive and TPA sensitive. Small differences were sometimes found between wild-type worms and some or all of the  $\ell l r$  mutants in the following properties. Hermaphrodites of  $\beta$ r-1,  $\beta$ r-*3* and *f*lr-4 commit suicide more often than wild-type hermaphrodites by climbing up the wall of plates. All the *flr* mutants are somewhat less active in spontaneous movement when they are placed on NGM plates without *E. coli.* Double mutants in *unc-3* and *flr-1*, *flr-3* or *f*lr-4 form dauer larvae more easily than the *unc*-*3* single mutant.

**Suppression of the slow-growing phenotype of**  class 1 mutations by the class 2 mutation  $\int \frac{Ir-2(ut5)}{t^2}$ We constructed various double and triple mutants in the *flr* genes to test their interaction. Their phenotypes (Table **4)** can be summarized as follows. (1) Double and triple mutants among class 1 mutations

have essentially the same phenotype as the single mutants. (2) Double and triple mutants including the class 2 mutation  $\hat{H}r-2(ut5)$  have a new, mixed phenotype. They are resistant to  $400 \mu g/ml$  NaF, but they grow as fast as the class 2 mutant. Their brood sizes are larger than that of class 1 mutants. Namely, the class 2 mutation  $\int \frac{dr}{2(ut)}$  is epistatic to class 1 mutations concerning growth rate and brood size, but hypostatic concerning the degree **of** fluoride resistance. In other words, the class 2 mutation suppresses the slow growth and small brood size but not strong fluoride resistance of class 1 mutations. The  $\hat{H}r-2(ut5)$ mutation is recessive in the suppression as it is in fluoride resistance.

Isolation and mapping of revertants of flr-1(ut11) and *flr-3(ut9)*: Since mutants in *flr-1*, *flr-3* and *flr-4* grow much slower than wild-type worms, it was possible to isolate their revertants by selecting for worms that grow at normal rate. We have established a method of such selection and isolated normal-growing revertants from transposon-insertion mutants *fir* $l(utl1)$  and  $flr-3(ut 9)$ , which were isolated as spontaneous fluoride-resistant mutants from the mutator strains mut-6 and mut-5, respectively.

The selection method (see **MATERIALS AND METH-ODS** for details) consists of two repeated treatments with alkaline hypochlorite, which kills worms but not embryos that are protected by highly impermeable egg shells. The first treatment followed by hatching in buffer solution yields a synchronized population of L1 larvae. When we culture them for three days at 20°, the slow-growing *flr* worms have not reached adulthood, but normal-growing revertants, if any, have grown to gravid adults. At this time the second alkaline hypochlorite treatment is performed to kill all except embryos of the revertants.

When we isolated spontaneous growth-rate revertants of the mutator-containing, slow-growing strains  $mut-6(st702)$ ; flr-l(utl1) and mut-5(st701); flr-3(ut9), all the revertants were sensitive to NaF. Since we have cloned DNA fragments containing the Tcl transposons that caused the  $\int \int r-1(ut11)$  and  $\int \int \int r-3(ut9)$  mutations (our unpublished data), we performed Southern blot analysis of genomic DNA from N2, the  $f\ell r$  mutants and their revertants, using a DNA fragment flanking the Tcl as a probe. The result showed that all the revertants lost the Tcl that caused the original mutations (data not shown). Therefore, they are intragenic revertants.

When we used ethyl methanesulfonate to increase the reversion frequency, all the growth-rate revertants obtained were still strongly fluoride resistant. Two of them were kept and analyzed further. The secondary mutations were recessive in suppression of the slowgrowing phenotype of  $flr-1$  or  $\bar{flr-3}$ , because all the  $F_1$ cross-progeny between hermaphrodites of the pseudorevertants and males of the original  $\eta r$  mutants grow slowly. When the pseudo-revertants were mated with  $N2$  males, about  $3/16$  of the  $F_2$  worms grew slowly. This result shows that the secondary mutations are not linked to the original  $f\ell r$  mutation. Complemen-

# **150 I. Katsura** *et al.*

**Three-factor crosses** 







Mutations flr-1, flr-3 and flr-4 were recognized by the slow-growing phenotype, whereas flr-2 and flr-5 by the activity of suppressing the slow growing phenotype of  $f\!I$ r-1. In the latter cases, if the worms did not contain  $f\!I$ r-1, it was introduced afterward by mating to recognize the phenotype.



FIGURE 1.-Genetic map of fluoride-resistant mutants. (a) Map of three linkage groups relevant to the  $\hat{p}$ r mutations. The bars below the linkage group **X** show the part **of** the chromosome deleted in deficiencies (filled bars) and those duplicated in duplications (open bars). (b) Central part of the linkage group V including flr-5 and  $\beta$ r-2. The parts deleted in some deficiencies are shown by solid bars below. The positions of  $f\ln 2$  and  $f\ln 5$  relative to sma-1 and unc-23, respectively, have not been determined. Although the order of *daf-1*, *dpy-9*, *tpa-1* and *flr-3* was not determined by classical genetic means, the results of DNA cloning show that it is flr-3, daf-1 and tpa-1 from left to right (M. **KAWAKAMI, T. ISHIHARA** and I. **KATSURA,** unpublished data), where the position of *dpy-9* is still unknown.

tation of the pseudo-revertants with  $\hat{f}$ *lectrical*  $\hat{f}$ *lectr*  $l(ut11)$  or  $flr-3(ut9)$ ;  $flr-2(ut5)$  showed that one of the secondary mutations ( $ut73$ ) complemented  $flr-2(ut5)$ for suppression of the slow-growing phenotype of the original *jlr* mutation, whereas the other mutation (ut71) did not complement flr-2(ut5). Mapping of the suppressor mutations showed that the mutation  $ut73$ 

is located near  $unc-23$  on LGV, whereas  $ut71$  is near sma-1, also on LGV (Tables 2, **3** and Figure 1). Mapping of  $\hat{f}$ r-2(ut5) was also performed by monitoring its activity of suppressing the slow-growing phenotype of jlr-1. The results (Tables 2, **3** and Figure 1) are consistent with the above complementation test which showed that it is in the same gene as  $ut71$ .

**Properties of the suppressor mutations:** To study the phenotype **of** the two suppressor mutations ut71 and  $ut73$  in the absence of the original mutations  $ut9$ and  $ut11$ , respectively, we removed the latter from the pseudo-revertants (see **MATERIALS AND METHODS**  for details). Both of the suppressor mutations by themselves were similar to  $\hat{H}r-2(ut5)$  in that they were weakly resistant to NaF (Table **4).** Therefore, the allele ut73 defines a new fluoride-resistant gene, which we call  $\beta$ r-5. The weak fluoride-resistant phenotype of ut71 is consistent with the results of mapping (see above) showing that it is an allele of  $\hat{n}r-2$ . Also like flr-2(ut5), those mutants (flr-2(ut71) and flr-5(ut73)) grow almost as fast as wild-type worms in the absence of fluoride ion, and their brood sizes are only slightly smaller than that of wild-type worms. We therefore include them in class 2 mutants. However, neither  $\eta$ r- $2(ut71)$  nor flr-5(ut73) has the weak dumpy phenotype of  $\hat{H}r-2(ut)$ . Except for this phenotype those mutants have the same properties as flr-2(ut5) (see Isolation and characterization of fluoride-resistant mutants for the properties tested). By making double mutants we confirmed that all the three class 2 mutations suppress the slow-growing phenotype of all of  $\beta$ *lr-1*,  $\beta$ *r-3* and  $\hat{f}$ r-4 (Table 4). We used two alleles of  $\hat{f}$ r-1,  $\hat{f}$ r-2,  $\hat{f}$ r- $3$  and  $\beta Ir-4$  to show that the suppression is not allele specific but specific to the genes. The suppression is weak at 15° in the presence of 400 µg/ml NaF. The flr-5 flr-2 double mutants (ut73 ut5 and ut73 ut71) were also made and tested for their phenotype. They had essentially the same phenotype as the class 2 single mutants.

Heterozygotes  $flr-2/ctDf1$  and  $flr-5/5Df35$ , where  $ctDf1$  and  $sDf35$  delete flr-2 and flr-5, respectively, were made by mating  $ctDf1/nTI/unc(n754dom)$  let] and  $sDf35/eT1$  hermaphrodites with flr-2 and flr-5 males, respectively. They are weakly resistant to fluoride ion and grow as fast as  $\beta r$ -2 and  $\beta r$ -5. Thus, they have essentially the same properties as the  $\ell r$ -2 and  $flr-5$  homozygotes except that they segregate dead eggs. Heterozygotes  $\int \frac{dr}{2}$ /ctDf1 V;  $\int \frac{dr}{dx}$  and  $\int \frac{dr}{5}$  $s\overline{D}f35$  V; flr-1 X were found among the  $F_2$  progeny of

#### **TABLE 4**

**Generation time at various concentrations of NaF at various temperatures and brood sizes in the absence of NaF at 20"** 

	Generation time (days)									
		No NaF		150 $\mu$ g/ml NaF		400 $\mu$ g/ml NaF				
	15°	$20^{\circ}$	$25^{\,\circ}$	15°	20°	25°	15°	20°	25°	<b>Brood</b> size
(Fluoride-sensitive, normal grower)										
N <sub>2</sub>	5	3	$\overline{2}$	16 <sup>a</sup>	6	5	$-b$	$-b$	$-b$	$308 \pm 22$
(Weak fluoride-resistant, normal grower)										
$f_1r-2$	5	3	2	$6\phantom{1}6$	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	3	10 <sup>c</sup>	5 <sup>c</sup>	4 <sup>c</sup>	$300 \pm 52$
$\int \ln 2(ut71)$	5	3	$\sqrt{2}$	7	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	3	$\alpha$	6 <sup>c</sup>	4 <sup>c</sup>	$209 \pm 41$
flr-5	4	3	$\overline{\mathbf{2}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	3	$\boldsymbol{2}$	9 <sup>c</sup>	5 <sup>c</sup>	4 <sup>c</sup>	$307 \pm 47$
$f(r-5)$ fl $r-2$	5	3	$\overline{2}$	6	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	3	12 <sup>c</sup>	6 <sup>c</sup>	5 <sup>c</sup>	$162 \pm 54$
$\int$ <i>flr-5</i> $\int$ <i>flr-2(ut71)</i>	5	3	3	5	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	3	12 <sup>c</sup>	6 <sup>c</sup>	5 <sup>c</sup>	$153\pm90$
(Fluoride-resistant, slow grower)										
$f(r-1)$	10	5	5	10	6	6	10	6	5	$56 \pm 55$
$flr-l(utl)$	12	8	7	12	7	7	12	7	$\overline{7}$	$50 \pm 38$
$\mathit{flr-3}$	10	6	5	9	5	4	10	7	5	$89 \pm 32$
$\int$ flr-3(ut8)	12	7	5	12	7	5	$_{11}$	7	5	$61 \pm 39$
$\int$ lr-4	12	8	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	15	9	$\overline{4}$	12	9	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	$31 \pm 32$
$\int$ <i>flr-4(ut3)</i>	13	7	5	12	7	5	12	8	5	$47 \pm 19$
$f(r-3; f(r-1))$	9	5	4	9	5	$\overline{4}$	10	6	5	$57 \pm 49$
$flr-1flr-4$	9	5	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	10	5	$\overline{4}$	10	5	4	$60 \pm 35$
$f$ lr-3; $f$ lr4	11	7	4	11	8	5	12	7	5	$40 \pm 43$
$flr-3; flr-1 flr-4$	9	5	$\overline{4}$	10	6	5	10	6	4	$85 \pm 45$
(Fluoride-resistant, normal or seminormal grower)										
$\int$ <i>flr-2</i> ; $\int$ <i>flr-1</i>	5	3	3	5	3	$\boldsymbol{3}$	7	5	$\boldsymbol{3}$	$204 \pm 25$
$\int$ <i>flr-2</i> ; $\int$ <i>flr-1(ut1)</i>	7	4	3	7	4	3	7	4	4	$201 \pm 10$
$\int \!\! dr - 3$ ; $\int \!\! dr - 2$	6	3	3	6	3	3	6	4	3	$184 \pm 31$
$\int \frac{1}{r^2} f(r - 3)(ut8)$ ; $\int \frac{1}{r^2} f(r - 2) dr$	6	4	3	6	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{3}$	7	4	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	$125 \pm 34$
$\int \!\! dr - 3$ ; $\int \!\! dr - 2(ut71)$	6	3	$\overline{2}$	6	3	$\overline{2}$	6	3	$\overline{\mathbf{2}}$	$133 \pm 42$
$\int$ <i>ftr-2</i> ; $\int$ <i>ftr-4</i>	6	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{\mathbf{2}}$	6	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	$\overline{2}$	6	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	$\,2\,$	$150 \pm 48$
$flr-2; flr-4(ut3)$	6	4	3	6	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	3	6	4	$\boldsymbol{3}$	$129 \pm 35$
$flr-3; flr-2; flr-1$	5	3	$\overline{2}$	5	3	$\overline{2}$	5	3	$\overline{2}$	$217 \pm 32$
$\int r-2; \int r-1 \int r-4$	6	3	3	6	$\overline{4}$	3	7	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	3	$203 \pm 24$
$f(r-5; f(r-1))$	7	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	3	7	4	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	7	4	3	$162 \pm 18$
$flr-5; flr-1(ut1)$	6	3	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	7	4	$\overline{3}$	8	4	$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$	$205 \pm 28$
$\int$ <i>flr-3</i> ; $\int$ <i>flr-5</i>	5	3	3	7	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	3	8	4	3	$150 \pm 39$
$flr-3(ut8); flr-5$	6	3	3	6	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	3	9	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	3	$187 \pm 29$
$f1r-5$ ; $f1r-4$	6	4	3	7	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	3	9	4	3	$220 \pm 9$
$\int \!\! dr - 5; \int \!\! dr - 4(ut3)$	6	4	3	7	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	$\overline{4}$	9	5	4	$147 \pm 18$

The alleles used for the measurements (except those indicated) are *utll, ut5, ut9, ut7* and *ut73* for *jlr-1, jr-2, jlr-3, jlr-4* and *jlr-5,*  respectively.

Brood size was measured with five worms, and its average and standard deviation are shown in the table.

**<sup>a</sup>**Large fluctuation (10-25 days).

Death at **L1** larvae.

Less than 5% **of** the worms can grow to adults.

the cross between *ctDfllnTl[unc(n754dom) let]* and *sDf351eTI* hermaphrodites and *flr-2; Jr-I* and *flr-5; jlr-1* males, respectively **(MATERIALS AND METHODS,**  *Mapping of fluoride-resistant mutants).* They resemble *flr-2; Jr-1* and *flr-5; jlr-I* and differ from *jlr-I.*  Namely, they have a generation time of **3** days at 20" and brood size of about 100. These results show that all the class 2 mutations,  $flr-2(ut5)$ ,  $flr-2(ut71)$  and  $flr-$ *5(ut73),* are null or hypomorphic mutations.

Since class 2 mutations have not been mapped very precisely, and since two different alleles in a gene sometimes produce different phenotypes, it is possible that class 2 mutations may map in known genes. We therefore checked this possibility for *cat-4, cat-6, osm-6, che-1 I* and *che-12,* all of which map in the central region of LGV. We found that none of the reference alleles of these genes suppressed the slow-growing phenotype of *flr-l(ut11).* We also showed that the suppression does not occur when these mutations are placed in *trans tojlr-2* **orflr-5** *(cat-4ljlr-2, cat-4/flr-5,*  etc.) **(MATERIALS AND METHODS).** Hence, it is very unlikely that class 2 mutations are allelic to these mutations.

### **DISCUSSION**

In this study we isolated **13** fluoride-resistant *cflr)*  mutants in five genes, 11 by selection on plates containing NaF and two by reversion of some of the  $\beta r$ mutations. These mutants are classified into two groups, class 1 and class 2, according to the phenotype.

Class 1 mutants consist of all the mutants in three new genes, *flr-1*, *flr-3* and *flr-4*. All of them grow almost twice as slowly as wild-type worms and have small brood sizes even in the absence of fluoride ion. They are small and thin and resistant to 400  $\mu$ g/ml NaF. They were isolated at normal frequency after mutagenesis with ethyl methanesulfonate. The mutations are recessive, and some of them in  $\beta r$ -1 and  $\beta r$ -3 are caused by insertion of the transposon Tcl (M. KAWAKAMI, T. ISHIHARA, T. AMANO, K. KONDO and I. KATSURA, unpublished data). Since  $flr-4/Df$  (where Df deletes flr-4) has almost the same phenotype as  $flr$ - $4/flr-4$ , the flr- $4(ut3$  and  $ut7)$  mutations are null or hypomorphic. Although no such data are available for fir-1 and fir-3, their properties mentioned above suggest that they are probably null mutants or hypomorphs. The functions **of** class 1 genes seem to be important in the normal growth of  $C$ . elegans. However, slow growth is not the cause of fluoride resistance, since the triple mutant  $\hat{\pi}$ -3;  $\hat{\pi}$ -2;  $\hat{\pi}$ -1, for instance, grows as fast as wild-type worms and is still strongly resistant to NaF.

Class 2 mutants consist of flr-2(ut5), flr-2(ut71) and  $\hat{\pi}$ -5(ut73). These mutations are null or hypomorphs according to the phenotype of  $\hat{H}r-2$  (or  $\hat{H}r-5$ )/Df and flr-2 (or flr-5)/Df; flr-1. It was fortuitous that the weakly resistant mutant  $\int \ln 2(u \cdot t) \, du$  isolated by selection using 400 pg/ml NaF, since less than *5%* of the mutant worms survive this treatment (Table **4).**  We have not yet succeeded in separating its weak dumpy phenotype from that of weak fluoride resistance. The former may be caused by the same mutation as the latter or by a closely linked extragenic mutation. When we examined the phenotype of double mutants, we found that  $\hat{f}$ r-2(ut5) acts as a recessive suppressor of the slow growth rate and small brood size (but not strong fluoride resistance) of class 1 mutations. Therefore, we looked for more class **2** mutations as suppressors of the slow-growing phenotype of  $\int l r \cdot l(ut1)$ and  $\hat{p}r-3(ut9)$ . Two of the class 2 mutations,  $\hat{p}r-2(ut71)$ and  $\hat{p}r\text{-}5(\mu/73)$ , were obtained in this way. By separating them from the original mutations  $\hat{H}r-l(ut11)$  or  $\hat{f}$ r-3(ut9), we showed that those mutations by themselves cause weak fluoride resistance like  $\hat{H}r-2(ut5)$ . All the class **2** mutations suppress the slow-growing phenotype of mutations in all the class 1 genes.

The phenotypes of double and triple mutants suggest a functional relationship between the fluorideresistant genes. Double and triple mutants of the same class have the same phenotype as the single mutants. Therefore, genes of the same class seem to act in a single process **or** in different steps of a linear, sequential pathway. It is especially interesting that the class **2** mutations suppress the slow-growing phenotype but not the strong fluoride resistance of the class 1 mutations. We can interpret the relation by either of the two models shown in Figure 2. In model (a) the flr gene products form a metabolic, transport **or** signal transduction pathway that confers fluoride sensitivity on C. elegans. **A** mutation in any of the class 1 genes blocks the pathway and accumulates an intermediate



FIGURE 2.-Two models that can explain the epistasis between **class 1 and class 2 mutations. (a) A hypothetical pathway that confers fluoride resistance on C.** *elegans.* **It may concern metabolism, transport or signal transduction and can explain complex epistasis between the fluoride-resistant mutations. The intermediate of the**  pathway shown as "X," which accumulates by a mutation in  $\hat{H}r-1$ , flr-3 or flr-4, has a growth-inhibitory activity. The orders of action **of genes in the parentheses have not been determined. (b) A switch circuit that regulates the growth rate.** "+" **shows presence, active state and normal growth, whereas** "-" **shows absence, inactive state and slow growth for fluoride ion, genes (or gene products) and growth, respectively. Each component negatively regulates the one on the adjacent right. Action of a high concentration of fluoride ion on the class 1 gene products causes death of worms (not shown in the figure).** 

that decreases the growth rate of worms without killing them. A mutation in one of the class **2** genes blocks the pathway somewhere upstream of the intermediate and prevents its accumulation. In class 1-class **2** double mutants the intermediate does not accumulate and the pathway is still blocked. Hence, they grow at normal rate and are strongly fluoride resistant. A bypass to the intermediate was assumed to explain the weak fluoride resistance of class **2** mutations as compared with the strong fluoride resistance of class 1 mutations.

In model (b) the *jlr* genes form a switching circuit in which each step negatively regulates the following step. This circuit can explain epistasis between class 1 and class **2** genes with respect to the growth rate. Combined action of the products of class 2 genes (fir-2 and  $f(r-5)$  decreases the growth rate of worms, but in wild-type worms this action is neutralized by the products of class 1 genes ( $\beta$ r-1,  $\beta$ r-3 and  $\beta$ r-4). Fluoride ion acts either directly **or** indirectly on the class 1 gene products and interferes with the neutralizing activity. However, to explain the epistasis concerning degree of fluoride resistance, it is necessary to assume that action of a high concentration of fluoride ion on the class 1 gene products causes death of worms (not shown in the figure).

The pathway shown in Figure 2a resembles that of acetylcholine of C. elegans in the logical structure. Triple mutations in all the three acetylcholine esterase genes, ace-1; ace-2; ace-3 block degradation and accumulate an excess amount of acetylcholine around the post-synaptic membrane, which causes death of worms at early larval stage. Introduction of a strongly defective mutation upstream in the pathway, either in the

synthesis (cha-1) or in the release from the pre-synaptic membrane (unc-17), suppresses the lethal phenotype most probably by decreasing the amount of acetylcholine around the post-synaptic membrane (C. D. JOHNSON and J. B. RAND, cited in CHALFIE and **WHITE 1988).** 

Although the schemes in Figure 2, a and b, may look different from each other, the same mechanism can be interpreted in both ways. In Figure 2a the action of class 1 genes, which decreases the amount of "X," neutralizes the action of class 2 genes, which increases the amount of "X." This is identical to the relation in which class I genes negatively regulates the function of class 2 genes.

The class 1 fluoride-resistant mutations have properties that make them suitable as genetic markers in various experiments. First, they do not interfere with the mating activity **of** males. Second, the slow-growing phenotype of  $\beta$ *r-1*, 3 and 4 is easily discernible, even if they are combined with other mutations such as *dpy*  or unc. Finally, selection methods in both directions are available: **Flr+** to Flr (selection on plates containing 400  $\mu$ g/ml NaF) and Flr to Flr<sup>+</sup> (sequential hypochlorite treatment). Thus, they may have general utility for mapping other genes; as well, fluoride resistance may prove a useful system for mutagenesis studies.

The mechanism of action of fluoride ion has been discussed in the introductory section. This work itself does not give much information in this aspect. However, we recently cloned  $\beta r$ -3 by the transposon-tagging method and found sequence homology to all the conserved protein kinase catalytic domains (M. KA-WAKAMI, **T.** ISHIHARA and **I.** KATSURA, unpublished data). We therefore think that the  $\beta r$  genes are probably members of a signal transduction system. We hope further studies of the mutants isolated in this study will reveal a new signal transduction system that controls the growth of *C.* elegans.

We thank MARTY CHALFIE, RYUICHI HISHIDA, RYUJI HOSONO, HIROAKI KAGAWA, JOHJI MIWA, IKUE MORI, YASUMI OHSHIMA and SHAHID SIDDIQUI for discussions and SAIRI NAGAOKA for preparation of the manuscript. This work was supported by grants-in-aid from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan (nos. 01480536, 01639503, 01654503, 02236202, 62124047, 03680217,04270219 and 04454615 to I.K. and no. 01790599 to K.K.). Some nematode strains used in this work were provided by the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center, which is funded by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) National Center for Research Resources (NCRR).

#### LITERATURE CITED

BALLOU, L. M., and **E.** H. FISCHER, 1986 Phosphoprotein phosphatases, pp. 31 1-361 in *The Enzymes,* Vol. XVII, Ed. **3,** edited by P. D. BOYER and E. G. KREBS. Academic Press, Orlando, Fla.

- BRENNER, **S.,** 1974 The genetics of *Caenorhabditis elegans.* Genetics **77:** 71-94.
- CHALFIE, M., and J. WHITE, 1988 The nervous system, pp. 337- 391 in *The Nematode Caenorhabditis elegans,* edited by W. B. WOOD and THE COMMUNITY OF*C. elegans* Researchers. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- CULOTTI, J. G., and R. L. RUSSELL, 1978 Osmotic avoidance defective mutants of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans.* Genetics **90:** 243-256.
- DRISCOLL, M., E. DEAN, E. REILLY, **E.** BERGHOLZ and M. CHALFIE, 1989 Genetic and molecular analysis of a *Caenorhabditis elegans* &tubulin that conveys benzimidazole sensitivity. J. Cell Biol. 109: 2993-3003.
- GILMAN, A. G., 1984 G proteins and dual control of adenylate cyclase. Cell **36:** 577-579.
- HARTMAN, P. **S.,** 1987 Caffeine-resistant mutants of *Caenorhabditis elegans.* Genet. Res. **49** 105-1 10.
- HECHT, R. M., **S.** M. WALL, D. F. SCHOMER, J. A. **ORO** and A. L. BARTEL, 1982 DNA replication may be uncoupled from nuclear and cellular division in temperature-sensitive embryonic lethal mutants of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Dev. Biol. 94: 183-191.
- HEDGECOCK, **E. M.,** J. G. CULOTTI, J. N. THOMSON and L. A. PERKINS, 1985 Axonal guidance mutants of *Caenorhabditis elegans* identified by filling sensory neurons with fluorescein dyes. Dev. Biol. **111:** 158-170.
- HERMAN, R. K., J. E. MADL and C. K. KARI, 1979 Duplications in *Caenorhabditis elegans.* Genetics **92:** 4 19-435.
- LEWIS, J. A., C. H. WU, H. BERG and J. **H.** LEVINE, 1980 The genetics of levamisole resistance in the nematode *C. elegans.*  Genetics **95:** 905-928.
- LOHMAN, K., and *0.* MEYERHOF, 1934 Uber die enzymatische Umwandlung von Phosphoglycerinsiure in Brenztraubensiure und Phosphorsiure. Biochem. **Z. 273:** 60-72.
- MARSHALL, E.1990 The fluoride debate: one more time. Science **247:** 276-277.
- MENEELY, P. M., and R. K. HERMAN, 1979 Lethals, steriles and deficiencies in a region of the **X** chromosome of *Caenorhabditis elegans.* Genetics **92:** 99-1 15.
- MORI, I., D. G. MOERMAN and R. H. WATERSTON, 1988 Analysis of a mutator activity necessary for germline transposition and excision of Tcl transposable elements in *C. elegans.* Genetics **120:** 397-407.
- RADELEFF, R. D., 1964 *Veterinary Toxicology,* p. 145, Lea & Febiger, Philadelphia.
- SAMBROOK, J., E. F. FRITSCH and T. MANIATIS, 1989 *Molecular Cloning, a Laboratory Manual,* Ed. 2. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- SAMFORD, T., M. GOLOMB and D. L. RIDDLE, 1983 RNA polymerase II from wild type and  $\alpha$ -amanitin-resistant strains of *Caenorhabditis elegans.* J. Biol. Chem. **258:** 12804-12809.
- TABUSE, **Y.,** K. NISHIWAKI and J. MIWA, 1989 Mutations in a protein kinase C homolog confer phorbol ester resistance on *Caenorhabditis elegans.* Science **243:** 171 3-1 7 16.
- WARD, **S.,** 1973 Chemotaxis by the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans:* identification of attractants and analysis of the response by use of mutants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 70: 817-821.
- WOOD, W. B. (ED.), 1988 The Nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans,*  Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.

Communicating editor: R. **K.** HERMAN