The Yeast *med1* Mutant Undergoes Both Meiotic Homolog Nondisjunction and Precocious Separation of Sister Chromatids

Beth Rockmill and G. Shirleen Roeder

Department of Biology, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06511-8112 Manuscript received June 11, 1993 Accepted for publication September 15, 1993

ABSTRACT

A mutant at the yeast *MED1* locus was isolated in a screen for sporulation-proficient, meiotic-lethal mutants. Synaptonemal complex formation in the *med1* mutant is apparently normal and *med1* strains undergo meiotic crossing over at approximately 50% of the wild-type level. The *med1* mutant undergoes homolog nondisjunction at meiosis I, presumably as a consequence of the decrease in crossing over. In addition, the mutant undergoes precocious separation of sister chromatids, resulting in chromosome missegregation at both meiotic divisions. We suggest that the *med1* mutation perturbs chromosome structure, leading to a reduction in recombination and a defect in sister chromatid cohesion.

M EIOSIS is the mechanism whereby diploid organisms reduce their chromosomal content precisely in half in order to benefit from sexual reproduction. During meiosis, two rounds of chromosome segregation follow a single round of chromosome replication. The first round of chromosomal segregation, in which homologous chromosomes (homologs) segregate from each other, is unique to meiosis. There are two requirements for a proper reductional division. First, homologous chromosomes must locate each other and pair to form a bivalent. Second, the homologs must remain associated in some way until anaphase I.

In mitosis, sister chromatid cohesion holds chromatids together until anaphase. Sister chromatid cohesion is thought to be due, at least in part, to the residual intertwinings of the DNA helices resulting from DNA synthesis. Consistent with this hypothesis, topoisomerase II is required for mitotic anaphase (HOLM et al. 1985; UEMURA and YANAGIDA 1986). Alternatively, or in addition, sister chromatids might be held together specifically in the kinetochore region. Mitotic chromosomes are stabilized at the metaphase plate by the connections between sister chromatids and the connections between kinetochores and spindle poles (NICKLAS 1974). The tension applied to the chromatids by microtubules from opposite spindle poles is resisted by sister chromatid cohesion, which prevents segregation until anaphase.

For paired chromosomes to align properly at the metaphase plate in meiosis, tension must exist between the chromosomes and the spindle poles, as in mitosis (NICKLAS 1974). In meiosis, genetic crossovers establish connections between homologs that permit them to behave as a unit at metaphase I. These connections, called chiasmata, can be observed cytologically in some organisms and they persist until the chromosomes segregate (JONES 1987). Although crossovers are essential for reductional segregation, they are not sufficient. Analyses of meiotic mutants in maize, Drosophila and yeast have demonstrated that recombinant chromosomes can nondisjoin, suggesting that the connections are not maintained in these mutants (HAW-LEY 1988; ROCKMILL and FOGEL 1988; ROCKMILL and ROEDER 1990; ENGEBRECHT, HIRSCH and ROEDER 1990; FLATTERS and DAWSON 1993). Furthermore, the sequence context in which recombination takes place also determines whether a crossover ensures meiotic disjunction. In artificial chromosomes (MUR-RAY and SZOSTAK 1983) crossovers in yeast DNA sequences ensure proper meiosis I disjunction, whereas crossovers in bacteriophage lambda DNA do not (Ross et al. 1992).

The synaptonemal complex (SC) is the proteinaceous structure that is apparent when chromosomes are synapsed (VON WETTSTEIN, Rasmussen and HOLM 1984). Recombination nodules are cytologically defined spheres or ellipsoids found along SCs with the same frequency and distribution as recombination events (CARPENTER 1988). Chiasmata are thought to contain remnants of both the SC and recombination nodules (HOLM and RASMUSSEN 1980). It is possible that the bivalent is held together by these proteins (chiasma binders). Alternatively, sister chromatid cohesion distal to chiasmata could hold homologs together. From cytological studies of maize, MAGUIRE (1990) has concluded that the latter possibility is probably the case. It is also possible that both mechanisms function in meiosis.

In this paper, the yeast med 1 mutant is described.

B. Rockmill and G. S. Roeder

TABLE 1

Yeast strains

Strain	Genotype
BR2754-1C	MATa leu2-27 thr 1-4 trp 1-289 ura 3-1 ade2-1 adex med 1-1
BR2754-4B	MATα leu2-27 his4-260 arg4-8 thr 1-4 trp1-289 ura3-1 ade2-1 med 1-1
BR2774-BR2776	MATa leu2-3, 112 his4-260 ura3-1 trp1-289 lys2 spo13::ura3-1 ARG4 thr1-4 ade2-1 med1-1
	MATa leu2-27 his4-280 ura3-1 trp1-289 lys2spo13::ura3-1 arg4-8 thr1-1 ade2-1 med1-1
BR2777-BR2779	Congenic with BR2774, except $\frac{MED1}{med 1-1}$
BR2780-BR2782	MATa leu2-3, 112 his4-260 ura3-1 trp1-1 lys2spo13::ura3-1 ARG4 thr1-4 ade2-1 med1-1
	MATa leu2-27 his4-260 ura3-1 trp1-289 lys2spo13:ura3-1 arg4-8 thr1-1 ade2-1 med1-1
BR2783-BR2785	Congenic with BR2780, except $\frac{MED1}{med1-1}$
BR2800	BR2774, except $\frac{mek1::LYS2}{mek1::LYS2}$
BR2801	BR2777, except $\frac{mek1::LYS2}{mek1::LYS2}$
BR2804-BR2808	MATaleu2-27 HIS4 ura3-1 ade2-1ADE6 CYH3 TRP5 met13spo13::ura3-1 arg4-8 thr1-4 MED1
	MATa LEU2 his4-260 ura3-1 ade2-1 ade6 cyh3 trp5 MET13 spo13::ura3-1 arg4-8 thr1-1 med1-1
BR2809-BR2810	Congenic with BR2804, except $\frac{med 1-1}{med 1-1}$
BR2821	BR2774, except $\frac{mer1::LYS2}{mer1::LYS2}$
BR2822	BR2777, except $\frac{mer1::LYS2}{mer1::LYS2}$
BR2819	BR2774, except $\frac{mei4::URA3}{mei4::URA3}$
BR2820	BR2777, except $\frac{mei4::URA3}{mei4::URA3}$
BR2837	MATa leu2-27 his4-280 ura3-1 ADE2 TRP1 arg4-8 thr 1-1 CUP1 ^s med 1-1
	MATa leu2-27 his4-280 ura3-1 ade2-1 trp1-1 arg4-9 THR1 CUP1 ^s med1-1
BR2877	MATaCENIII::TRP1 leu2::arg4-8 CUP1 ^s HIS4 trp1 ura3 ade2 arg4- \Delta cup1- \Delta med 1-1
	MAT a CENIII::URA3 leu2::arg4-8 CUP1 ^s his4 trp1 ura3 ade2 arg4- \Delta cup1- \D med1-1

The *med1* mutant (*meiotic disjunction*) is defective in meiotic chromosome segregation, yet is proficient in chromosome synapsis and undergoes nearly wild-type levels of crossing over. We suggest that the *MED1* gene product modulates chromosome structure and thereby indirectly affects sister chromatid cohesion and genetic recombination.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Media, genetic techniques and strain constructions: Media and most genetic techniques have been described by SHERMAN, FINK and HICKS (1986). Copper medium and sporulation medium were described by ROCKMILL and FO-GEL (1988). Yeast strains are listed in Table 1.

The original isolate of *med1-1*, a homothallic diploid, was sporulated and backcrossed at least seven times to wild-type laboratory strains to construct the strains used in this study. Congenic diploids for the recombination assays were made by mating a mutant haploid to three mutant and three wildtype segregants from the same cross. Two sets of strains, each consisting of three wild types and three mutants, were used for the measurement of meiotic prototroph frequencies. Another set of four wild-type and two mutant strains was used for the crossover analysis. No variation in phenotype was seen among genotypically equivalent strains; therefore, the data from congenic strains were pooled. Other meiotic mutant derivatives were constructed by transforming the haploid parents that make up a pair of mutant and wild-type congenic diploids (BR2774 and BR2777) with the appropriate plasmids and mating the resulting transformants. The following plasmids were used to disrupt meiotic genes: pB121, mek1::LYS2 (ROCKMILL and ROEDER 1991); pTM6, mei4::URA3 (MENEES and ROEDER 1989); pME6, mer1::LYS2 (ENGEBRECHT and ROEDER 1989).

Complementation tests were carried out by transforming BR2837 with plasmids carrying wild-type meiotic genes and assaying spore viability by tetrad dissection. The following plasmids were used for complementation tests: pB64 (*RED1*) (ROCKMILL and ROEDER 1988); pME1 (*MER1*) (ENGE-BRECHT and ROEDER 1989); A62-3 (*HOP1*) (HOLLINGS-WORTH, GOETSCH and BYERS 1990); pB107 (*MEK1*) (ROCK-MILL and ROEDER 1991); pMB47 (*ZIP1*) (SYM, ENGEBRECHT and ROEDER 1993); pJB45 (*SPO11*) (J. BHARGAVA, unpublished data); pTM12 (*MEI4*) (MENEES and ROEDER 1989); pME56 (*MER2*) (ENGEBRECHT, HIRSCH and ROEDER 1989); pJB1 (*REC102*) (BHARGAVA, ENGEBRECHT and ROEDER 1991); pRM212 (*REC104*) (GALBRAITH and MALONE 1992); pDP27.1 (*REC114*) (R. MALONE, unpublished data).

Strain BR2877 was constructed through a series of crosses

involving 6DLeu (SPECTOR and FOGEL 1992), which contains deletions of ARG4 and CUP1 on chromosome VIII and an insertion of these sequences at the *LEU2* locus. Insertion of the *URA3* gene at *CENIII* was achieved by transformation with plasmid pJC3-13 (CLARKE and CARBON 1981). Insertion of the *TRP1* gene on chromosome III was accomplished by transformation with pB19 made by CLAIRE MOORE. In this plasmid, a 1900-bp *Eco*RI-Sau3A fragment adjacent to *CENIII* replaces the centromere-containing *Eco*RI-BglII fragment in pUN10 (ELLEDGE and DAVIS 1988). pB19 was digested with *ClaI* to target integration at *CENIII*, resulting in a duplication of *CENIII*-adjacent sequences with vector DNA and *TRP1* inserted between the repeats.

Isolation of copper-resistant spores: Copper-resistant spores were isolated in two ways. In method I, diploids were sporulated in liquid medium and then either undisrupted asci or physically isolated spores (ROCKMILL, LAMBIE and ROEDER 1991) were plated on rich medium. The resulting colonies were replica-plated to copper-containing medium. For method II, patches of cells were sporulated on solid medium and then replica-plated to copper-containing medium. After 3 days, copper-resistant papillae were picked and patched onto rich medium.

Measurement of chromosome loss: Wild-type and *med 1-1* homozygous diploid strains were grown to saturation and mated to an excess of a mating-competent haploid strain in fresh medium. After 5-hr incubation, aliquots were plated on selective medium to detect successful matings. Three cultures of each strain were mated, and the median frequencies of maters are reported.

Scoring of recombination in disomic spores: The arg4-8 mutant is temperature-sensitive, capable of growth without arginine at or below 30°. arg4-8 and arg4-9 display intragenic complementation; the heteroallelic diploid can grow on medium lacking arginine at temperatures at or below 36°. Disomic spores derived from BR2837 were considered recombinant in the ARG4-THR1 interval if they displayed the following phenotypes (genotypes in parenthe-ses): Arg⁺ at temperatures up to 36°, Thr⁻ (arg4-8/arg4-9thr1/thr1); Arg⁺ at temperatures up to 30°, Thr⁺ (arg4-8/arg4-9arg4-8 thr1/THR1). The number of the first type was doubled to account for the presumed equal frequency of arg4-8/arg4-9 THR1/THR1 disomes. The mating-competent Arg disomes (arg4-9/arg4-9) were crossed to a thr1 tester strain, and the resulting diploids were sporulated and tetrads were dissected to detect the recombinant THR1/thr1 disomes. The number of these was corrected for those that did not mate and then added to the recombinant pool. The map distance in the disomes was calculated as the number of recombinant chromatids multiplied by 100 and then divided by the total number of chromatids.

Recombination on chromosome III in strain BR2877 was scored between CENIII and MAT. Disomic spores that were Ura⁺ Trp⁺ were scored as recombinant if they were mating competent. Ura⁺ Trp⁻ disomes were scored as single recombinants if they were nonmaters and as double recombinants if they were **a**-maters. Ura⁻ Trp⁺ disomes were scored as recombinant if they were nonmaters and as double recombinants if they were α -maters. Map distance was calculated by multiplying the frequency of recombinant chromatids by 100.

Cytology: Meiotic nuclei were spread according to the protocol of DRESSER and GIROUX (1988). To observe chromosomes at the light microscope, cells prepared according to the method of DRESSER and GIROUX (1988) were spread onto poly-L-lysine coated slides. To coat slides, a solution of 0.5 mg/ml poly-L-lysine (Sigma) was pipetted onto clean glass slides; after 15-min incubation the slides were rinsed

TABLE 2

Spore viab	ility in <i>med</i>	1 strains
------------	---------------------	-----------

Strain	Relevant genotyp e	No. of spores	% spore viability	
	MED1 med1	216	95	
	med 1 med 1	204	20	
BR2777	<u>MED1</u> <u>spo13</u> med1 spo13	104	72	
BR2774	<u>med1</u> <u>spo13</u> med1 spo13	104	71	
BR2820	MED1 mei4 spo13 med1 mei4 spo13	104	84	
BR2819	<u>med1</u> <u>mei4</u> <u>spo13</u> med1 mei4 spo13	104	88	

^e Strains for this analysis were diploids resulting from matings between the haploid parents of diploids BR2774-BR2785 and either BR2754-1C or BR2754-4B.

with water and air dried. The chromosomes were stained with 1 mm DAPI (4', 6-diamidino-2-phenyl-indole) for 30 min, rinsed with water and mounted with 70% glycerol, 2% n-propyl gallate.

RESULTS

med1 is a meiotic-lethal mutant: The med1-1 mutant was isolated in a screen for meiotic-lethal mutants. Spores from a homothallic strain were mutagenized with ultraviolet light, and the resulting colonies were sporulated and assayed for spore viability by an ether test, as described previously (ROCKMILL and ROEDER 1988; ROCKMILL, LAMBIE and ROEDER 1991). Preliminary characterization of the med1-1 mutant revealed that it produces 20% viable spores. The med1-1 mutation is recessive and segregates as a single Mendelian character as assayed by spore viability. After repeated backcrosses to wild-type laboratory strains, spore viability was reassayed. Twenty percent of the spores were viable (Table 2) and many of the viable spores originated from four-spore viable tetrads (6% 4-spore viable tetrads, 6% 3-spore viable tetrads, 14% 2-spore viable tetrads and 10% 1-spore viable tetrads).

No mitotic defects in growth, mating, recombination or sensitivity to methylmethane sulfonate have been observed (data not shown). The frequency of chromosome loss was measured by a quantitative mating test (DUTCHER and HARTWELL 1982). In a MATa/ MAT α diploid, mitotic loss of chromosome III leads to a mating-competent cell. med1-1 homozygous diploids mate no more frequently than the corresponding wild type (3.1×10^{-7} and 5.0×10^{-7} , respectively).

All the experiments in this paper were performed with the UV-induced *med1-1* allele, which will be referred to throughout the remainder of this paper simply as *med1*. It is not known whether this mutation results in a complete loss of *MED1* function.

Spore inviability in the med1 mutant is due to chromosome missegregation: To determine whether the spore inviability in med1 strains is due to the missegregation of chromosomes, spores were isolated (ROCKMILL, LAMBIE and ROEDER 1991) and analyzed for the presence of extra chromosomes. Nonmating spores carry two copies of chromosome III and copper-resistant spores carry two copies of chromosome VIII. In a population of random spores from a med 1 homozygous diploid (BR2837), 4.3% were nonmaters (11/256) and 6.6% were copper-resistant (17/256). Meiotic chromosome missegregation in wild type is less than 0.01%. The relatively low level of disomy in the *med1* homozygote compared to other meiotic mutants (e.g., red 1; Rockmill and Roeder 1988) probably reflects the relatively high level of spore viability and especially the four-spore viable tetrads in which all chromosomes segregated properly (30% of the viable spores are from 4-spore viable tetrads).

Missegregation of chromosomes can occur in three ways (Figure 1): (1) both members of a homolog segregate to the same pole at meiosis I (type IA); (2) one intact chromosome pair and one sister of the homologous chromosome segregate to the same pole at meiosis I (type IB); or (3) both chromatids of one chromosome segregate to the same pole at meiosis II (type II). Because there is relatively high spore viability in the *med1* mutant and many tetrads contain two or three viable spores, it is possible to identify the different types of chromosome missegregation by tetrad analysis.

Two different med 1 homozygous diploids were analyzed by tetrad dissection in order to detect chromosome missegregations. Tetrads from strain BR2837 were analyzed to identify spores disomic for chromosome III or VIII, as described above. The heterozygous, centromere-linked marker, TRP1, on chromosome IV was used to distinguish sister spores. Additional tetrads were dissected from BR2877 in which CUP1 (and arg4-8) are present on chromosome III instead of chromosome VIII (Figure 2). Chromosome III disomes derived from this strain are copper-resistant. Meiosis I and meiosis II nondisjunctants can be distinguished because the chromosome III centromeres are differentially marked with URA3 or TRP1. Chromosome III disomes resulting from missegregation at meiosis I are Ura⁺ Trp⁺; disomes resulting from nondisjunction at meiosis II are either Ura⁺ Trp⁻ or Ura⁻ Trp⁺. The results of tetrad analysis (Table 3) indicate that all three types of chromosome missegregation occur in the med1 homozygote.

med1 spore inviability is relieved by a *spo13* mutation: In meiosis, *spo13* mutants undergo a single round of predominantly equational chromosome segregation to form dyads containing diploid spores (KLAPHOLZ and ESPOSITO 1980). Many meiotic-lethal mutants that are recombination-deficient form viable spores in a *spo13* background (PETES, MALONE and SYMINGTON 1991), because recombination is not required for equational segregation. The spore viability of a *med1 spo13* double mutant is equivalent to that of a *spo13* single mutant (Table 2), suggesting that *MED1* function is required for the reductional division.

Recombination in med1 strains: Most previously described meiotic-lethal mutants of yeast display moderate to severe defects in meiotic recombination. Sets of *med1 spo13* and *MED1 spo13* congenic strains were constructed to compare crossing over and gene conversion frequencies. Crossing over was measured in five intervals on two chromosomes. Map distances in *med1* strains were only 1.5- to 2.2-fold lower than the corresponding wild-type values (Table 4). Gene conversion was measured by determining the frequencies of prototrophs at four heteroallelic loci. Meiotic conversion in *med1* strains was reduced 1.4- to 5.9-fold compared with the corresponding wild-type strains (Table 5).

spo13 diploids have reduced spore viability compared to wild-type strains, presumably because crossovers interfere with equational chromosome segregation (KLAPHOLZ and ESPOSITO 1980). When spo13 strains are made recombination-defective (e.g., by a mutation in rad50 or mei4), spore viability increases to approximately SPO13 levels (MALONE and ESPOSITO 1981; MENEES and ROEDER 1989). Spore viability in both the MED1 spo13 and med1 spo13 strains increased when the MEI4 gene was disrupted (Table 2). Thus, recombination interferes with equational chromosome segregation in both med1 spo13 and MED1 spo13 strains.

MED1 is not a previously described gene: The *med1* mutant was tested for complementation by cloned wild-type genes identified by mutants in which meiotic recombination is reduced or eliminated (EN-GEBRECHT and ROEDER 1989; HOLLINGSWORTH and BYERS 1989; ROCKMILL and ROEDER 1990, 1991; KLAPHOLZ, WADDELL and ESPOSITO 1985; ENGE-BRECHT, HIRSCH and ROEDER 1990; MENEES and ROEDER 1989; BHARGAVA, ENGEBRECHT and ROEDER 1991; MALONE *et al.* 1991). The results indicate that *med1-1* is not an allele of *HOP1*, *MER1*, *RED1*, *MEK1*, *SPO11*, *MER2*, *MEI4*, *REC102*, *REC104* or *REC114* (data not shown).

MED1 defines a new epistasis group: There are three known epistasis groups among mutants displaying decreased levels of meiotic recombination. Mutations in one group, defined by mutants that do not undergo any meiotic recombination, are epistatic to the others (PETES, MALONE and SYMINGTON 1991). The reduced levels of meiotic prototrophs found in *med1* strains provides an assayable feature to conduct



FIGURE 1.—Missegregation of chromosomes during meiosis. (IA) Both pairs of homologs segregate to the same pole at meiosis I. A normal equational segregation of the homologs at meiosis II results in two viable sister spores, which are disomic. (IB) One homolog and one chromatid from the homologous chromosome segregate to the same pole at meiosis I (i.e., a three-to-one segregation). At meiosis II, the intact homolog segregates equationally and the univalent segregates randomly to form a single disomic spore whose sister is viable. (II) A normal meiosis I followed by a meiosis II in which two sister chromatids fail to segregate from each other. This results in a single disomic spore whose sister is inviable.

epistasis tests with other meiotic mutants that also display partial recombination defects. RED1, HOP1

and MEK1 belong to one epistasis group (ROCKMILL and ROEDER 1990, 1991) and MER1 defines another (ENGEBRECHT and ROEDER 1989). To determine whether med1 is a member of the RED1/HOP1/MEK1 group, a mek1 med1 spo13 strain and a congenic mek1 spo13 strain were constructed and assayed for meiotic prototroph formation. The mek1 med1 mutant displays a greater reduction in meiotic prototroph production than either single mutant (Table 5). mer1 med1 spo13 and congenic mer1 spo13 strains were also analyzed (Table 5). Again, the med1 mer1 spo13 mutants dis-

BR2837



FIGURE 2.—Configuration of markers in strains BR2837 and BR2877.

TABLE 3

Tetrad types from med1 diploids

							_
Strain (chromosome)	IA	IB	II	IB or II	IA or IB	Total tetrads	
BR2837	0	4	1	0		330	
BR2837	4	0	1	2		330	
BR2877 (III)	4	0	5	0	4	320	

For BR2837, IA indicates a tetrad containing two viable sister spores, both of which are disomic. IB indicates tetrads in which two or three spores are viable; one spore is disomic and its sister is viable. II indicates a three-spore viable tetrad containing one disomic spore whose sister is not viable. IB or II indicates a two-spore viable tetrad in which one spore is disomic and a nonsister spore is viable. In addition, there were eight tetrads in which a single spore was viable and disomic for chromosome VIII and 10 tetrads in which the single viable spore was disomic for chromosome III.

For BR2877, IA indicates tetrads containing two viable disomic spores, in which both spores are Ura⁺ Trp⁺. II indicates a tetrad containing one or two viable spores, one of which is disomic and either Ura⁺ Trp⁻ or Ura⁻ Trp⁺. IA or IB indicates a tetrad in which one spore is viable and a Ura⁺ Trp⁺ disome.

played a greater reduction in meiotic prototroph production than either single mutant. Thus, MED1 belongs to neither the RED1/HOP1/MEK1 nor the MER1 epistasis group.

The relationship between recombination and nondisjunction: To examine the relationship between recombination and chromosome segregation in the med1 homozygote, spores that have inherited two copies of the same chromosome (n + 1) due to nondisjunction were isolated and then analyzed to determine whether nondisjoined chromosomes had sus-

TABLE 4

Crossing-over in spo13 strains

	HIS4-LEU2 (cM)	LEU2-MAT (cM)	ADE6-CYH3 (cM)	<i>СҮНЭ-ТКР5</i> (сМ)	<i>TRP5-MET13</i> (cM)
MED1 med1	20	33	28	14	39
med 1 med 1	13	15	16	7	32
Fold decrease	1.5×	2.2×	$1.8 \times$	2×	1.2×

Data from five congenic Med⁺ strains, BR2804-BR2808, and from two congenic Med⁻ strains, BR2809-BR2810, were pooled. From wild type, 224 two-spore viable dyads were scored; 99 two-spore viable dyads were scored from mutant. Recombination in the chromosome *III* intervals was scored as described by ENGEBRECHT and ROEDER (1989). Recombination in the *CYH3-ADE6* and *CYH3-TRP5* intervals was determined as for the *CYH10-LYS2* interval (ENGEBRECHT and ROEDER 1989). Dyads that displayed 1⁺:1⁻ segregation for *TRP5* but 2⁺:0⁻ segregation for *MET13*, or vice versa, were assumed to result from crossovers in the *TRP5-MET13* interval. Dyads that could be accounted for either by a single crossover or by more than one crossover were assumed to be due to single exchanges.

TABLE 5

Meiotic gene conversion

Strain	Relevant genotype	leu2-27 leu2-3 112	<u>thr1-1</u> thr1-4	<u>trp1-1</u> trp1-289	his4-260 his4-519
BR2777-2779 BR2783-2785	MED1 med1	45	25	11	670
BR2774-2776 BR2780-2782	med 1 med 1	7.6 (5.9×)	6.8 (3.7×)	5.6 (2×)	470 (1.4×)
BR2801	MED1 mek1 med1 mek1	1.8 (25×)	1.2 (21×)		83 (8.1×)
BR2800	med 1 mek 1 med 1 mek 1	0.39 (115×)	0.53 (47×)		32 (21×)
BR2822	MED1 mer1 med1 mer1	0.53 (85×)	0.47 (53×)		
BR2821	med 1 mer 1 med 1 mer 1	0.11 (409×)	0.19 (132×)		

The MED1/med1 values are the averages from BR2777-BR2779 and/or BR2783-BR2785. The med1/med1 values are the averages from BR2774-BR2776 and/or BR2780-BR2782. The first three Med⁺ and Med⁻ strains are heteroallelic for LEU2, THR1 and HIS4 and the last three diploids in each set are heteroallelic for LEU2, THR1 and TRP1. All of these strains have one parent in common and the other parents are congenic due to multiple backcrosses to a wild-type strain. The mek1 and mer1 strains are isogenic with BR2777 or BR2774, but homozygous for the corresponding disruption allele. The meiotic prototroph frequencies were calculated by subtracting the premeiotic value from the meiotic value and averaging the corrected frequencies from three to six independent cultures of each genotype. The numbers in parentheses indicate the fold decrease from the corresponding wild-type value.

tained a crossover. The frequency of crossing over (map distance) was then compared with that derived from spores containing a single copy of the same chromosome, due to proper chromosome segregation (ROCKMILL and FOGEL 1988; ROCKMILL and ROEDER 1990; ENGEBRECHT, HIRSCH and ROEDER 1990).

Spores disomic for chromosome VIII were isolated from BR2837 on the basis of copper-resistance, and recombination in the ARG4-THR1 interval on chromosome VIII was measured (MATERIALS AND METH-ODS). Disomic spores homoallelic at ARG4 displayed the same frequency of recombination as monosomes (Table 6). In contrast, recombination was markedly reduced in disomic spores heteroallelic at ARG4 (Table 6). Most of the heteroallelic disomes presumably resulted from nondisjunction at meiosis I, since ARG4 is centromere-linked (15 cM). The homoallelic disomes resulted either from meiosis II nondisjunction or from crossing over in the *CENVIII-ARG4* interval followed by meiosis I nondisjunction. The fraction of disomic spores that were homoallelic at *ARG4* corresponded approximately to the frequency expected to result from meiosis II nondisjunction based on tetrad analysis (Table 3).

To further explore the relationship between recombination and nondisjunction at the two meiotic divisions, disomic spores from BR2877 (Figure 2) were recovered and analyzed. In this strain, disomes generated at each division are distinguishable because the centromeres are marked. Recombination was scored

Recombination in *med1* monosomic and disomic spores

		Disome VIII ARG4-THR1		
A. BR2837	Monosome VIII ARG4-THR1	arg4-8/arg4-9	arg4-8/arg4-8, arg4-9/arg4-9	
	19.7 cM	1.6 cM	20.5 cM	
	(47/239)	(7/426)	(25.5/124)	
	Monosome III	Disome III CENIII-MAT		
B. BR2877	CENIII-MAT	MI	MII	
	15.1 cM	5.7 cM	18.0 cM	
	(55/365)	(37/646)	(31/172)	

A. Monosomes and some disomes for chromosome VIII were isolated by screening random spores for copper-resistance (method I, MATERIALS AND METHODS). Most of the disomes were isolated by selection on copper-containing medium (method II). Recombinants were scored as described in MATERIALS AND METHODS.

B. CENIII-MAT map distances for spores monosomic for chromosome III were calculated from a random spore analysis of BR2877. Spores disomic for chromosome III were isolated by method II, and map distances were calculated as described in MATERIALS AND METHODS. Numbers in parentheses are the number of recombinant chromatids over the total number of chromatids.

in the *CENIII-MAT* interval. Consistent with the studies of chromosome *VIII* (Table 6), recombination in the disomes resulting from meiosis I nondisjunction was less frequent than recombination in monosomes or in disomes resulting from meiosis II nondisjunction. These results suggest that recombination does not influence the probability of nondisjunction at meiocis II, but that reduced recombination is associated with missegregation at meiosis I.

med1 mutants form apparently wild-type SC: Most previously identified meiotic mutants display some defect in the formation or abundance of SC. Analysis of the SC was accomplished by spreading sporulating cells, staining with silver nitrate and viewing the stained chromosomes in the electron microscope (DRESSER and GIROUX 1988). Spreads from congenic MED1/med1 (BR2777) and med1/med1 (BR2774) diploids are displayed in Figures 3A, 3B and 3C. Mutant and wild-type spreads were indistinguishable; both contained full length SCs and a single, darkly staining nucleolus. In most SCs, two lateral elements, representing the proteinaceous backbones of the individual chromosomes, were observed as parallel structures. The frequency of pachytene nuclei (containing fully synapsed chromosomes) was similar in mutant and wild type at a number of different time points (data not shown).

The SC and the nucleolus are the predominant structures evident with silver staining. To examine chromosome condensation, spreads were stained with the DNA-binding dye, DAPI, and observed in the light microscope. Spreads of wild-type and *med1/med1* sporulating diploids are displayed in Figures 4A and 4B. The chromosomes from *med1/med1* and wild-type

FIGURE 3.—Electron micrographs of meiotic nuclear spreads. (A) Wild-type (BR2777) and (B and C) *med1/med1* (BR2774) nuclei during pachytene, showing fully synapsed homologous chromosomes. Bar = $1 \mu m$.



FIGURE 4.—DAPI-stained meiotic nuclei. (A) Wild type (BR2777) and (B) *med1/med1* (BR2774) nuclei displaying fully condensed "sausage-like" chromosomes. Bar = $1 \mu m$.

strains are indistinguishable. At the stage of maximum chromatin condensation, individual chromosomes appear as "sausages" in both wild-type and mutant spreads.

DISCUSSION

The *med1* mutant displays a novel meiotic phenotype. Diploids homozygous for the *med1* mutation form cytologically normal SC and recombine at nearly wild-type levels. The poor spore viability (20%) observed in the mutant cannot be explained entirely by the reduction in crossing over. Even chromosomes that have recombined nondisjoin in *med1* homozygous strains.

About 5% of the spores from a med1 homozygote are disomic for chromosome III or VIII. Assuming a

frequency of nondisjunction of 5% per chromosome per meiosis, we would expect 42% of the tetrads to have four viable spores. We observe 6% four-spore viable tetrads. However, a nondisjunction frequency of 5% per chromosome is probably a gross underestimate of the actual frequency since it is based on the analysis of viable spores. Aneuploidy is presumably more frequent among inviable meiotic products. Thus, we believe that the observed spore lethality can be attributed entirely to chromosome missegregation leading to aneuploidy.

med1 homozygotes missegregate chromosomes at both meiosis I and meiosis II. Nondisjunction at meiosis I occurs in two ways (Figure 1): either both homologs segregate to the same pole (IA), or one homolog and one chromatid segregate to one pole and the remaining chromatid segregates to the other pole (IB). Although the data in Table 3 show differential segregation for chromosomes III and VIII, we believe that this is due to the small number of informative tetrads. If the data from the two strains and two chromosomes are pooled, we calculate that approximately two-thirds of the disomes derived from meiosis I nondisjunction are due to type IA missegregations. Missegregation at meiosis II, like type IB missegregation at meiosis I, involves the precocious separation of sister chromatids. In the med1 mutant, meiosis I nondisjunction is about three- to four-times as frequent as nondisjunction at meiosis II (Table 6).

Recombination in the meiosis II-generated disomes occurs at similar levels as in monosomes, suggesting that recombination does not influence segregation at meiosis II. Disomes derived from missegregation at meiosis I display less than a third as much recombination as monosomes. Type IA segregation is the type of segregation expected for chromosomes in which no crossovers took place (and consequently no chiasmata were formed). If type IA disomes are nonrecombinant, then the overall frequency of recombination in the meiosis I (IA and IB) disomes would be reduced, as observed. Thus, we suggest that there are two defects in the med1 mutant. As a result of the reduction in reciprocal crossing over, some chromosomes fail to recombine and therefore undergo type IA nondisjunction. In addition, sister chromatids separate precociously leading to missegregation of types IB and II. We propose that the med1 mutation leads to an alteration in chromosome (or chromatin) structure and that the observed defects in sister chromatid cohesion and recombination are secondary consequences of this alteration.

Even though missegregation occurs at both meiotic divisions in *med1* homozygotes, the primary defect might be restricted to meiosis I. If sister chromatids separate precociously during meiosis I, they presumably will segregate independently. Half of the time,

the two sisters will move to the same pole at meiosis I (*i.e.*, segregate correctly); these chromatids have a 50% chance of moving to the same pole at meiosis II (i.e., segregating incorrectly), resulting in type II missegregation. Thus, all of the observed meiosis II missegregation events may result from sister chromatid separation prior to the first division. A defect confined to meiosis I is consistent with the spore viability of the med1 spo13 diploid, since spo13 diploids skip meiosis I (KLAPHOLZ and ESPOSITO 1980). An alternative hypothesis is that precocious sister chromatid separation occurs at both meiotic divisions in SPO13 strains and in the meiosis II-like division in spo13 diploids. In this case, the effect of precocious separation of sister chromatids on viability in med1 spo13 diploids may be counteracted by the decrease in recombination. Mutations that reduce crossing over generally improve spore viability in spo13 diploids (MALONE and ESPOS-ITO 1981; ROCKMILL and ROEDER 1988, 1991), but the med1 mutation has no such effect.

A number of mutants of Drosophila, maize, Sordaria and yeast have been postulated to be defective in meiotic sister chromatid cohesion (MASON 1976; GETHMANN 1984; GOLDSTEIN 1980; KERREBROCK et al. 1992; MIYAZAKI and ORR-WEAVER 1992; MA-GUIRE 1978; MOREAU, ZICKLER and LEBLON 1985; ROCKMILL and FOGEL 1988; FLATTERS and DAWSON 1993). Of the Drosophila mutants, the defect in the ord mutant is proposed to occur earlier than the meiS-332 and mei-G87 defects because there is a higher proportion of meiosis I missegregations relative to meiosis II missegregations (MASON 1976; GETHMANN 1984; GOLDSTEIN 1980; KERREBROCK et al. 1992; MIYAZAKI and ORR-WEAVER 1992). The relative frequency of type IB segregations to type II segregations in the med1 strains, approximately one to one, makes this mutant phenotypically very similar to ord and is consistent with an early loss of sister chromatid cohesion.

MAGUIRE (1990) has proposed that the SC is responsible for installing or reinforcing meiotic sister chromatid cohesion. Sister chromatids often separate precociously in certain translocation strains or trisomics, in which centromeric regions are not synapsed. In some organisms, structures that appear to be SC remnants, have been observed between sister chromatids along their length at metaphase I (MOENS and CHURCH 1979). Two mutants proposed to be defective in sister chromatid cohesion, spo76 in Sordaria and desynaptic in maize (MOREAU, ZICKLER and LEB-LON 1985; MAGUIRE, PARADES and REISS 1992), display aberrant SCs. The spo76 mutant displays little tripartite SC and the axial elements are often split as if each chromatid forms an axial element (MOREAU, ZICKLER and LEBLON 1985). The desynaptic mutant forms SC with an expanded distance between the lateral elements (MAGUIRE, PARADES and REISS 1992). Although *med1* mutants form apparently normal SCs, it is possible that there is a subtle alteration of this structure that is not readily detected in our spread preparations. An alteration is the SC would be consistent with the observed decrease in genetic recombination.

We would like to thank PENELOPE CHUA, JOANNE ENGEBRECHT, NANCY HOLLINGSWORTH, MARJORIE MAGUIRE, PETRA ROSS-MAC-DONALD, ALBERT SMITH and MARY SYM for helpful comments on the manuscript and ROBERT MALONE and ARLENE GAUDET for plasmids. We also thank the two reviewers of our manuscript for thorough and unusually thoughtful reviews. This work was supported by American Cancer Society grant VM-7C.

LITERATURE CITED

- BHARGAVA, J., J. ENGEBRECHT and G. S. ROEDER, 1991 The rec102 mutant of yeast is defective in meiotic recombination and chromosome synapsis. Genetics 130: 59-69.
- CARPENTER, A. T. C., 1988 Thoughts on recombination nodules, meiotic recombination, and chiasmata, pp. 529-548 in Genetic Recombination, edited by R. KUCHERLAPATI and G. R. SMITH. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
- CLARKE, L., and J. CARBON, 1981 Genomic substitutions of centromeres in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nature 305: 23-28.
- DRESSER, M. E., and C. N. GIROUX, 1988 Meiotic chromosome behaviour in spread preparations of yeast. J. Cell Biol. 106: 567-573.
- DUTCHER, S. K., and L. HARTWELL, 1982 The role of S. cerevisiae cell division cycle genes in nuclear fusion. Genetics 100: 175– 184.
- ELLEDGE, S. J., and R. W. DAVIS, 1988 A family of versatile centromeric vectors designed for use in the sectoring-shuffle mutagenesis assay in Sacchromyces cerevisiae. Gene 70: 303-312.
- ENGEBRECHT, J., J. HIRSCH and G. S. ROEDER, 1990 Meiotic gene conversion and crossing over: their relationship to each other and to chromosome synapsis and segregation. Cell 62: 927– 937.
- ENGEBRECHT, J., and G. S. ROEDER, 1989 Yeast merl mutants display reduced levels of meiotic recombination. Genetics 121: 237-247.
- FLATTERS, M., and D. DAWSON, 1993 SID1-1: a mutation affecting meiotic sister-chromatid association in yeast. Genetics 134: 423-433.
- GALBRAITH, A. M., and R. E. MALONE, 1992 Characterization of *REC104:* a gene required for early meiotic recombination in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Dev. Genet. 13: 392-402.
- GETHMANN, R. C., 1984 The genetic analysis of a chromosomespecific meiotic mutant that permits a premature separation of sister chromatids in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics 107: 65-77.
- GOLDSTEIN, L. S. B., 1980 Mechanisms of chromosome orientation revealed by two meiotic mutants in *Drosophila melano*gaster. Chromosoma **78**: 79-111.
- HAWLEY, R. S., 1988 Exchange and chromosomal segregation in eucaryotes, pp. 497–527 in *Genetic Recombination*, edited by R. KUCHERLAPATI and G. R. SMITH. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
- HOLLINGSWORTH, N. M., and B. BYERS, 1989 HOP1: a yeast meiotic pairing gene. Genetics 121: 445-462.
- HOLLINGSWORTH, N. M., L. GOETSCH and B. BYERS, 1990 The HOP1 gene encodes a meiosis-specific component of yeast chromosomes. Cell 61: 73-84.

- HOLM, C., T. GOTTO, J. C. WANG and D. BOTSTEIN, 1985 DNA topoisomerase II is required at the time of mitosis in yeast. Cell 41: 553-563.
- HOLM, P. B. and S. W. RASMUSSEN, 1980 Chromosome pairing, recombination nodules and chiasma formation in diploid Bombyx males. Carlsberg Res. Commum. 45: 483-548.
- JONES, G. H., 1987 Chiasmata, pp. 213-244 in *Meiosis*, edited by P. B. MOENS. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL.
- KERREBROCK, A. W., W. Y. MIYAZAKI, D. BIRNBY and T. L. ORR-WEAVER, 1992 The Drosophila mei-S332 gene promotes sister-chromatid cohesion in meiosis following kinetochore differentiation. Genetics 130: 827-841.
- KLAPHOLZ, S., and R. E. ESPOSITO, 1980 Recombination and chromosome segregation during the single division meiosis in *spo12-1* and *spo13-1* diploids. Genetics 96: 589-611.
- KLAPHOLZ, S., C. S., WADDELL and R. E. ESPOSITO, 1985 The role of the SPO11 gene in meiotic recombination in yeast. Genetics 110: 187-216.
- MAGUIRE, M. P., 1978 Evidence for separate genic control of crossing over and chiasma maintenance in maize. Chromosoma 65: 173-183.
- MAGUIRE, M. P., 1990 Sister chromatid cohesiveness: vital function, obscure mechanism. Biochem. Cell Biol. 68: 1231-1242.
- MAGUIRE, M. P., A. M. PARADES and R. W. REISS, 1991 The desynaptic mutant of maize has a combined defect of synaptonemal complex and chiasma maintenance. Genome 34: 879– 877.
- MALONE, R. E., S. BULLARK, M. HERMISTON, R. REIGER, M. COOL and A. GALBRAITH, 1991 Isolation of mutants defective in early steps of meiotic recombination in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics **128**: 79–88.
- MALONE, R. E., and R. E. ESPOSITO, 1981 Recombinationless meiosis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 1: 891-901.
- MASON, J. M., 1976 Orientation disruptor (ord): a recombinationdefective and disjunction-defective meiotic mutant in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 84: 545-572.
- MENEES, T. M., and G. S. ROEDER, 1989 MEI4: a yeast gene required for meiotic recombination. Genetics 123: 675-682.
- MIYAZAKI, W. Y., and T. L. ORR-WEAVER, 1992 Sister-chromatid misbehavior in *Drosophila ord* mutants. Genetics 132: 1047– 1061.
- MOENS, P. B., and K. CHURCH, 1979 The distribution of synaptonemal complex material in metaphasse I bivalents in *Locusta* and *Chloealtis* (Orthoptera: Acrididae). Chromosoma **73**: 247-254.
- MOREAU, P. J. F., D. ZICKLER and G. LEBLON, 1985 One class of mutants with disturbed centromere cleavage and chromosome pairing in Sordaria macrospora. Mol. Gen. Genet. 198: 189– 197.
- MURRAY, A. W., and J. W. SZOSTAK, 1983 Construction of artificial chromosomes in yeast. Nature 305: 189–193.
- NICKLAS, R. B., 1974 Chromosome segregation mechanisms. Genetics 78: 205-213.
- PETES, T. D., R. E. MALONE and L. S. SYMINGTON, 1991 Recombination in yeast, pp. 407-521 in The Molecular and Cellular Biology of the Yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae: Genome Dynamics, Protein Synthesis and Energetics, edited by J. BROACH, E. JONES and J. PRINGLE. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- ROCKMILL, B., and S. FOGEL, 1988 DIS1: a yeast gene required for proper meiotic chromosome disjunction. Genetics 119: 261-272.
- ROCKMILL, B., E. J. LAMBIE and G. S. ROEDER, 1991 Spore enrichment. Methods Enzymol. **194:** 146-149.
- ROCKMILL, B., and G. S. ROEDER, 1988 RED1: a yeast gene required for the segregation of chromosomes during the reductional division of meiosis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85: 6057-6061.

- ROCKMILL, B., and G. S. ROEDER, 1990 Meiosis in asynaptic yeast. Genetics 126: 563-574.
- ROCKMILL, B. M., and G. S. ROEDER, 1991 A meiosis-specific protein kinase homolog required for chromosome synapsis and recombination. Genes Dev. 5: 2392-2404.
- Ross, L. O., D. TRECO, A. NICOLAS, J. W. SZOSTAK and D. DAWSON, 1992 Meiotic recombination on artificial chromosomes in yeast. Genetics 131: 541–550.
- SHERMAN, F., G. R. FINK and J. B. HICKS, 1986 Methods in Yeast Genetics: Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- SPECTOR, L. M., and S. FOGEL, 1992 Mitotic hyperploidy for

chromosomes VIII and III in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Curr. Genet. 21: 309-318.

- SYM, M., J. E. ENGEBRECHT and G. S. ROEDER, 1993 ZIP1 is a synaptonemal complex protein required for meiotic chromosome synapsis. Cell 72: 365–378.
- UEMURA, T., and M. YANAGIDA, 1986 Mitotic spindle pulls but fails to separate chromosomes in type II DNA topoisomerase mutants: uncoordinated mitosis. EMBO J. 5: 1003-1010.
- VON WETTSTEIN, D., S. W. RASMUSSEN and P. B. HOLM, 1984 The synaptonemal complex in genetic segregation. Annu. Rev. Genet. 18: 331-413.

Communicating editor: S. JINKS-ROBERTSON